

# PACKING A FOREST WITH A GRAPH

Hong Wang

Department of Mathematics  
Massey University  
Palmerston North, New Zealand

Let  $F$  be a forest of order  $n$  and  $G$  a graph of order  $n$ . Suppose that  $\Delta(G)(\Delta(F) + 1) \leq n$ . Then, except for three pairs of graphs  $(G, F)$ , there is a packing of  $G$  and  $F$ .

## 1 Introduction

We discuss only finite simple graphs and use standard terminology and notation from [1] except as indicated. For any graph  $G$ , we use  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  to denote the vertex set and the edge set of  $G$ , respectively. We denote the complement of  $G$  by  $G^c$ . Let  $G$  and  $H$  be two graphs of order  $n$ . We say that there is a packing of  $G$  and  $H$  if the complement  $G^c$  contains a subgraph isomorphic to  $H$ . In this case, we also say that  $G$  and  $H$  are packable. There are many papers concerning the packing of two graphs which have a small number of edges. For example, Sauer and Spencer [6] proved that if  $|E(G)| \leq n - 2$  and  $|E(H)| \leq n - 2$ , then there is a packing of  $G$  and  $H$ . Bollobás and Eldridge [2] found all the forbidden pairs  $(G, H)$  of graphs with  $\Delta(G) < n - 1$ ,  $\Delta(H) < n - 1$ ,  $|E(G)| + |E(H)| \leq 2n - 3$  for which there are no packings of  $G$  and  $H$ . Slater, Teo and Yap [7] proved that if  $n \geq 5$ ,  $G$  is a tree,  $H$  has  $n - 1$  edges and neither  $G$  nor  $H$  is a star, then there is a packing of  $G$  and  $H$ . Sauer and Spencer [6] also proved that if  $2\Delta(G)\Delta(H) < n$ , then there is a packing of  $G$  and  $H$ . For more results, see [1, Chapter 8] and [9]. Bollobás and Eldridge [2] conjectured that if  $(\Delta(G) + 1)(\Delta(H) + 1) \leq n + 1$ , then there is a packing of  $G$  and  $H$ . This conjecture is still open. Hajnal and Szemerédi [4] proved that if  $n = sk$  ( $s \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 1$ ) and  $G$  is the vertex-disjoint union of  $k$  copies of  $K_s$  and  $\Delta(H) \leq k - 1$ , i.e.,  $(\Delta(G) + 1)(\Delta(H) + 1) \leq n$ , then there is a packing of  $G$  and  $H$ . The result in the case  $s = 3$  was first obtained by Corrádi and Hajnal [3].

In this paper, we consider the case that one of  $G$  and  $H$  is a forest, i.e., a graph with no cycles. To state our result, we define  $kG$  to be the vertex-disjoint union of  $k$  copies of  $G$  for any positive integer  $k$  and graph  $G$ . For even positive integer  $n$ , there is no packing of the two graphs in each of the following three pairs of graphs:  $((n/2)K_2, K_{1, n-1})$ ,  $(K_{(n/2)+1} \cup H, (n/2)K_2)$  where  $H$  is any graph of order  $n/2 - 1$  and 'U' means 'vertex-disjoint union', and  $(K_{n/2, n/2}, (n/2)K_2)$  with  $n/2$  odd. To see this, we observe that in each pair, the complement of the graph which is not  $(n/2)K_2$  does not have a perfect matching. We especially name these three pairs as three forbidden pairs of graphs. We prove the following.

**Theorem** *Let  $F$  be a forest of order  $n$  and  $G$  a graph of order  $n$ . Suppose that  $\Delta(G)(\Delta(F) + 1) \leq n$ . Then there is a packing of  $G$  and  $F$  unless the pair  $(G, F)$  is one of the three forbidden pairs of graphs.*

For the proof of the theorem, we recall some terminology and notation.

Let  $G$  be a graph,  $U$  a subset of  $V(G)$  and  $u$  a vertex of  $G$ . As usual,  $N_G(u)$  is the set of neighbors of  $u$ ,  $d_G(u)$  is the degree of  $u$  in  $G$  and  $N_G(U)$  is the union of all  $N_G(u)$  for  $u \in U$ . We define  $N_G(u, U)$  to be  $N_G(u) \cap U$  and let  $d_G(u, U) = |N_G(u, U)|$ . If  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$ , we define  $d_G(u, H)$  to be  $d_G(u, V(H))$ . Then  $d_G(u, G)$  is just the degree of  $u$  in  $G$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be a bijection on  $V(G)$ . We define a graph  $G_\sigma$  with  $V(G_\sigma) = V(G)$  and  $E(G_\sigma) = \{\sigma(u)\sigma(v) | uv \in E(G)\}$ . Clearly,  $G_\sigma$  is isomorphic to  $G$  under  $\sigma$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  be distinct vertices of  $G$ . Then  $G_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)}$  stands for  $G_\sigma$  where  $\sigma(x_i) = x_{i+1}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ),  $\sigma(x_k) = x_1$  and  $\sigma(x) = x$  for all  $x \in V(G) - \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ .

## 2 Proof of the Theorem

Let  $F$  be a forest of order  $n$  and  $G$  a graph of order  $n$  such that  $\Delta(G)(\Delta(F) + 1) \leq n$ . We use induction on  $|E(F)|$  to prove the theorem. The theorem is trivial if  $|E(F)| = 0$ . Assume that the theorem holds at  $|E(F)| = m - 1$ . We shall prove the theorem for  $|E(F)| = m$ . We may assume that  $G$  and  $F$  are not packable and then prove that  $(G, F)$  is one of the pairs mentioned in the theorem.

We distinguish three cases:  $\Delta(F) = 1$ ,  $\Delta(F) = 2$  or  $\Delta(F) \geq 3$ .

**Case 1.**  $\Delta(F) = 1$ .

In this case,  $\Delta(G) \leq n/2$  and  $\delta(G^c) \geq n - 1 - n/2 = n/2 - 1$ . As  $F$  consists of independent edges and isolated vertices,  $G^c$  doesn't contain  $\lceil (n-1)/2 \rceil$  independent edges. Let  $b$  be the edge independence number of  $G^c$  and  $d = n - 2b$ . Then  $d \geq 2$  if  $n$  is even, and  $d \geq 3$  if  $n$  is odd. By the well known standard proof of Tutte's Theorem [1, pp. 55-57], there exists a maximal subset  $S_0 \subseteq V(G^c)$  such that  $o(G^c - S_0) = |S_0| + d$ , where  $o(G^c - S_0)$  is the number of odd components of  $G^c - S_0$ . Furthermore,  $o(G^c - S) \leq |S| + d$  for all subsets  $S \subseteq V(G^c)$ . If  $G^c - S_0$  has an even component  $D$ , let  $x \in V(D)$ . Then  $|S_0 \cup \{x\}| + d \geq o(G^c - S_0 - x) \geq o(G^c - S_0) + 1 = |S_0 \cup \{x\}| + d$ , contradicting the maximality of  $S_0$ . Hence  $G^c - S_0$  contains no even components. Let  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{k+d}$  be a list of all odd components of  $G^c - S_0$ , where  $k = |S_0|$ . We may assume that  $|V(D_1)| \leq |V(D_2)| \leq \dots \leq |V(D_{k+d})|$ . Let  $x \in V(D_1)$ . Then

$$n/2 - 1 \leq d_{G^c}(x) \leq |S_0| + |V(D_1)| - 1 \tag{1}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(|S_0| + |V(D_1)| + |V(D_2)| + \dots + |V(D_{k+d})|) - 1 \tag{2}$$

$$= n/2 - 1. \tag{3}$$

Hence equality holds in (1), (2) and (3). This implies that  $d = 2$  and  $n$  is even. Moreover, if  $S_0 = \emptyset$ , then  $|V(D_1)| = |V(D_2)| = n/2$ ,  $n/2$  is odd and  $G^c$  is  $2K_{n/2}$ .

Hence  $F$  is  $(n/2)K_2$  and  $G$  is  $K_{n/2, n/2}$ . If  $S_0 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $k = n/2 - 1$ ,  $|V(D_1)| = |V(D_i)| = 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n/2 + 1$ ). Furthermore,  $V(G^c) - S_0$  is an independent set of vertices of  $G^c$  and  $yz \in E(G^c)$  for all  $y \in S_0$  and all  $z \in V(G^c) - S_0$ . Hence  $F$  is  $(n/2)K_2$  and  $G$  is  $K_{(n/2)+1} \cup H$  where  $H$  is a graph of order  $n/2 - 1$ .

**Case 2.**  $\Delta(F) = 2$ .

In this case,  $\Delta(G) \leq n/3$  and  $\delta(G^c) \geq n - 1 - n/3 \geq (n - 1)/2$ . From this, we can easily deduce that  $G^c$  is connected. Let  $P = x_1x_2 \dots x_k$  be a longest path of  $G^c$ . Then  $k \geq 3$ . Moreover,  $d_{G^c}(x_1, P) + d_{G^c}(x_k, P) = d_{G^c}(x_1) + d_{G^c}(x_k) \geq n - 1$ . If  $k \leq n - 1$ , then by the well-known Ore's condition [5],  $G^c$  contains a cycle  $C$  with  $V(C) = V(P)$ . This implies that  $G^c$  contains a longer path than  $P$  as  $G^c$  is connected. Hence  $k = n$  and therefore  $P$  contains  $F$  as  $F$  consists of vertex-disjoint paths.

**Case 3.**  $\Delta(F) \geq 3$ .

Let  $x_0y_0$  be an edge of  $F$  with  $d_F(x_0) = 1$ . By the induction hypothesis, we may assume that  $F - x_0y_0$  is a subgraph of  $G^c$ . Then  $x_0y_0$  is an edge of  $G$ . Let

$$C = N_G(x_0) \cap N_G(y_0) \quad (4)$$

$$A = N_G(x_0) - C \cup \{y_0\} \quad (5)$$

$$B = N_G(y_0) - C \cup \{x_0\} \quad (6)$$

$$Y_0 = N_F(y_0) - \{x_0\} \quad (7)$$

$$V_1 = V(G) - A \cup B \cup C \cup Y_0 \cup \{x_0, y_0\}. \quad (8)$$

As there is no packing of  $G$  and  $F$ , we have the following four claims.

**Claim 1.** For every  $u \in A \cup V_1$ , there exists  $v \in N_G(x_0)$  such that  $uv$  is an edge of  $F$ , i.e.,  $uv \in E(F)$ .

Suppose, for a contradiction, that there exists  $u_0 \in A \cup V_1$  such that  $u_0v \notin E(F)$  for all  $v \in N_G(x_0)$ . Then  $u_0y_0 \notin E(G)$  and  $x_0w \notin E(G)$  for all  $w \in N_F(u_0)$ . Therefore  $F_{(u_0, x_0)}$  is a subgraph of  $G^c$ , a contradiction. This proves the claim.

By Claim 1, we have that

$$|V_1| \leq |A|(\Delta(F) - 1) + |C|\Delta(F). \quad (9)$$

$$n = |\{x_0, y_0\}| + |A| + |B| + |C| + |Y_0| + |V_1| \quad (10)$$

$$\leq 2 + |A| + |B| + |C| + \Delta(F) - 1 + |A|(\Delta(F) - 1) + |C|\Delta(F) \quad (11)$$

$$= 1 + (|A| + |C| + 1)\Delta(F) + |B| + |C| \quad (12)$$

$$\leq 1 + \Delta(G)\Delta(F) + \Delta(G) - 1 \quad (13)$$

$$= \Delta(G)(\Delta(F) + 1) \leq n. \quad (14)$$

Hence equality holds in (9) through (14). This implies the following.

$$d_G(x_0) = d_G(y_0) = \Delta(G); \quad (15)$$

$$d_F(y_0) = \Delta(F) = d_F(u) \text{ for all } u \in A \cup C; \quad (16)$$

$$d_F(u, V_1) = \Delta(F) - 1 \text{ for all } u \in A; \quad (17)$$

$$d_F(u, V_1) = \Delta(F) \text{ for all } u \in C; \quad (18)$$

$$N_F(u, V_1) \cap N_F(v, V_1) = \emptyset \text{ for all } u, v \in A \cup C \text{ with } u \neq v; \quad (19)$$

$$N_F(u, V_1) \cap Y_0 = \emptyset \text{ for all } u \in A \cup C. \quad (20)$$

Claim 2.  $|A| = |B| = 0$ .

From (15), we see that  $|A| = |B|$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Choose an arbitrary vertex  $u \in N_F(A) \cap V_1$ . By (19),  $N_F(u, C) = \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $N_F(u, B) = \emptyset$ . Then it is clear that  $N_G(y_0, N_F(u)) = \emptyset$ . It is also clear that  $N_G(x_0, Y_0) = \emptyset$  and  $ux_0 \notin E(G)$ . This implies that  $F_{(x_0, u, y_0)}$  has no edges in common with  $G$ , a contradiction. Hence, for all  $u \in N_F(A) \cap V_1$ , there exists  $v \in B$  such that  $uv \in E(F)$ . Since  $\Delta(F) \geq 3$  and by (17),  $d_F(y, V_1) \geq 2$  for all  $y \in A$ . This implies that  $|B| \geq 1 + |A|$  as  $F$  doesn't contain cycles, a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Claim 2 says that  $N_G(x_0) - \{y_0\} = N_G(y_0) - \{x_0\}$ . Let  $C = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k-1}\}$ , where  $k = \Delta(G)$ . If  $k = 1$ , then  $F$  is  $K_{1, n-1}$  and therefore  $n$  must be even and  $G$  must be  $(n/2)K_2$  for otherwise  $G$  and  $F$  are packable. So we may assume that  $k \geq 2$  in the following.

For every  $y_i \in C$ , it is easy to see that  $F_{(x_0, y_i)} - y_0y_i$  is a subgraph of  $G^c$  and the degree of  $y_i$  in  $F_{(x_0, y_i)}$  is one. Hence by the similarity, we may assume that  $N_G(y_0) - \{y_i\} = N_G(y_i) - \{y_0\}$ . This implies that the subgraph  $G_1$  of  $G$  induced by  $N_G(y_0) \cup \{y_0\}$  is  $K_{k+1}$ . Obviously,  $G_1$  is a component of  $G$ . Let  $Y_i = N_F(y_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ . Set  $t = \Delta(F)$ . Then by (17) and (18),  $|Y_0| = t-1$  and  $|Y_i| = t$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ . Note that  $Y_i$  is an independent set of vertices of  $F$  for all  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  since  $F$  contains no cycles.

Claim 3. For all  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ ,  $d_G(z, Y_i) \geq 2$  for all  $z \in Y_i$ .

Suppose, for a contradiction, that there exist  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  and a vertex  $z_i \in Y_i$  such that  $d_G(z_i, Y_i) \leq 1$ . Choose a vertex  $w_i \in Y_i$  such that  $w_i \neq z_i$  and if  $d_G(z_i, Y_i) = 1$  then  $w_i z_i \in E(G)$ .

We assume first that  $i \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality, say  $i = 1$ . It is clear that  $N_G(y_1, N_F(z_1)) = \emptyset$  and  $N_G(z_1, N_F(y_1)) \subseteq \{w_1\}$ . Hence  $F' = F_{(y_1, z_1)}$  has at most two edges  $x_0y_0$  and  $w_1z_1$  in common with  $G$ . Obviously,  $w_1y_1 \notin E(F')$  as  $w_1z_1 \notin E(F)$ . As above, it is easy to see that  $N_G(x_0, N_{F'}(w_1)) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $F'_{(x_0, w_1)}$  has no edges in common with  $G$ , a contradiction.

Next, we assume that  $i = 0$ . As in the above, it is easy to see that  $F^1 = F_{(y_0, z_0)}$  has at most one edge  $w_0z_0$  in common with  $G$ . As  $G$  and  $F$  are not packable,  $w_0z_0$  must be an edge of  $G$ . As before, since  $w_0y_0 \notin E(F^1)$ , we have that  $N_G(x_0, N_{F^1}(w_0)) = \emptyset$ . Then  $w_0z_0$  is still the only common edge of  $F^2 = F^1_{(w_0, x_0)}$  and  $G$ . But the degree of  $w_0$  in  $F^2$  is one. By the argument of Claim 1 and Claim 2, we may assume that  $G$  has a component  $G_2$  which is  $K_{k+1}$  and contains  $w_0z_0$ . As Claim 3 is true for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$  and  $\Delta(G) = k$ , we see that there exists  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$  such that  $Y_i \cap V(G_2) = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $F^2_{(w_0, y_i)}$  has no edges in common with  $G$ , a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Since  $F$  doesn't contain cycles, we see that there is at most one edge of  $F$  between  $Y_i$  and  $Y_j$  for any  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Construct a graph  $H$  such that  $V(H) = \{Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}\}$  and  $Y_i Y_j \in E(H)$  if and only if there is an edge of  $F$  between  $Y_i$  and  $Y_j$ . Then  $H$  is a forest as  $F$  is a forest. Hence there exist

$i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  with  $i \neq j$  such that  $d_H(Y_i) \leq 1$  and  $d_H(Y_j) \leq 1$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $d_H(Y_{k-1}) \leq 1$ . If  $d_H(Y_{k-1}) = 1$ , let  $Y_p$  denote the neighbor of  $Y_{k-1}$  in  $H$  and  $z_1 z_2$  denote the edge of  $F$  with  $z_1 \in Y_{k-1}$  and  $z_2 \in Y_p$ . Let

$$I = \{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq k-2 \text{ and } d_G(u, Y_i) = 0 \text{ for some } u \in Y_{k-1}\}. \quad (21)$$

Set  $S = \cup_{i \in I} Y_i$ . Clearly,  $|S| \geq |I|t - 1$ .

Claim 4. There exist  $i \in I$  and a vertex  $v \in Y_i$  such that  $d_G(v, Y_{k-1}) = 0$ . Furthermore, if  $Y_i = Y_p$ , then  $v \neq z_2$ .

Suppose, for a contradiction, that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $d_G(v, Y_{k-1}) \geq 1$  for all  $v \in Y_i - \{z_2\}$ . Then  $\sum_{u \in Y_{k-1}} d_G(u, Y_{k-1} \cup S) \geq 2|Y_{k-1}| + |S| - 1 = (|I| + 2)t - 2$ . Since  $t \geq 3$ , we have that  $\lceil [(|I| + 2)t - 2]/t \rceil = |I| + 2$ . Hence there exists  $u \in Y_{k-1}$  such that  $d_G(u, Y_{k-1} \cup S) \geq |I| + 2$ . On the other hand,  $d_G(u, Y_j) \geq 1$  for all  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-2\} - I$ . Therefore  $d_G(u, \cup_{i=0}^{k-1} Y_i) \geq k+1$ , a contradiction as  $\Delta(G) = k$ . This proves the claim.

By Claim 4, let  $i_0 \in I$  and  $u_{i_0} \in Y_{i_0}$  be such that  $d_G(u_{i_0}, Y_{k-1}) = 0$ . Furthermore, if  $i_0 = p$ , then  $u_{i_0} \neq z_2$ . Let  $u_{k-1} \in Y_{k-1}$  be such that  $d_G(u_{k-1}, Y_{i_0}) = 0$ . Note that  $u_{i_0} u_{k-1}$  is not an edge of  $F$  by the choice of  $Y_{k-1}$  and  $u_{i_0}$ . We conclude our proof of the theorem as follows.

First, we assume that  $i_0 = 0$ . Then it is easy to see that  $N_G(u_{k-1}, N_F(y_0)) = \emptyset$ ,  $N_G(y_{k-1}, N_F(u_{k-1})) = \emptyset$ ,  $N_G(u_0, N_F(y_{k-1})) = \emptyset$  and  $N_G(y_0, N_F(u_0)) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $F_{(y_0, u_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, u_0)}$  has no edges in common with  $G$  unless  $y_0 y_{k-1}$  is an edge of  $F_{(y_0, u_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, u_0)}$ . But in that case,  $u_0 u_{k-1}$  must be an edge of  $F$ , contradicting the choice of  $u_0$ .

Next, we assume that  $i_0 \neq 0$ . Then as in the above, it is easy to see that  $F^1 = F_{(y_{i_0}, u_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, u_{i_0})}$  has only the edge  $x_0 y_0$  in common with  $G$ . Since  $t \geq 3$  and by the choice of  $Y_{k-1}$ , we can choose a vertex  $v_{k-1} \in Y_{k-1} - \{u_{k-1}\}$  such that  $d_F(v_{k-1}) = 1$ . Obviously, both  $u_{i_0} x_0$  and  $v_{k-1} y_0$  are not edges of  $G$ . Hence  $F^1_{(v_{k-1}, x_0)}$  has no edges in common with  $G$ .

In summary, we have proved the theorem.

### 3 References

- [1] B. Bollobás, *Extremal Graph Theory*, Academic Press, London (1978).
- [2] B. Bollobás and S. Eldridge, Packings of graphs and application to computational complexity, *J. Combinatorial Theory (B)*, 25(1978), 105–124.
- [3] K. Corrádi and A. Hajnal, On the maximal number of independent circuits in a graph, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 14(1963), 423–439.
- [4] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi, Proof of a conjecture of Erdős, in “Combinatorial Theory and its Application”, Vol. II (P. Erdős, A. Renyi and V. Sós, eds), *Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai* 4, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970, pp. 601–623.
- [5] O. Ore, Note on Hamilton circuits, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 67(1960), 55.
- [6] N. Sauer and J. Spencer, Edge disjoint placement of graphs, *J. Combinatorial Theory (B)*, 25(1978), 295–302.

- [7] P. Slater, S. Teo and H. Yap, Packing a tree with a graph of the same size, *Graph Theory*, 9(1985), 213-216.
- [8] H. Wang and N. Sauer, Packing three copies of a tree into a complete graph, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 14(1993), 137-142.
- [9] H.P. Yap, Packing of graphs—a survey, *Discrete Mathematics*, 72 (1988), 395-404.

*(Received 28/2/94)*