

On α -resolvable directed cycle systems for cycle length 4^*

XIUWEN MA

*State Key Laboratory of Networking and Switching Technology
Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications
Beijing 100876
P.R. China*

ZIHONG TIAN[†]

*College of Mathematics and Information Science
Hebei Normal University
Shijiazhuang 050016
P.R. China*

Abstract

A directed m -cycle system of order v with index λ , denoted m -DCS(v, λ), is a collection of directed cycles of length m whose directed edges partition the directed edges of λDK_v . An m -DCS(v, λ) is α -resolvable if its directed cycles can be partitioned into classes such that each point of the design occurs in precisely α cycles in each class. The necessary conditions for the existence of such a design are $m \mid \alpha v$ and $\alpha \mid \lambda(v - 1)$. It is shown in this paper that these conditions are also sufficient when $m = 4$, except for the case $v = 4, \lambda \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

1 Introduction

Let m, v, λ be positive integers and let X be a v -set. An *edge* of X is an unordered pair $\{x, y\}$, and a *directed edge* of X is an ordered pair (x, y) , where x, y are distinct vertices of X . A *complete multigraph* of order v and index λ , denoted by λK_v , is a graph on X in which each pair of vertices x, y is joined by exactly λ edges $\{x, y\}$. A *directed complete multigraph* of order v and index λ , denoted by λDK_v , is a directed graph on X in which each pair of vertices x, y is joined by exactly λ directed edges (x, y) and λ directed edges (y, x) . A *cycle* of length m is a sequence

* Research supported by NSFC Grant No. 10971051

[†] Corresponding author: tianzh68@163.com (Zihong Tian).

of m distinct vertices u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m , denoted by (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) , and its edge set is $\{\{u_i, u_{i+1}\} : i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1\} \cup \{\{u_1, u_m\}\}$. A *directed cycle* of length m is a sequence of m distinct vertices u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m , denoted by $\langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \rangle$, and its directed edge set is $\{(u_i, u_{i+1}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1\} \cup \{(u_m, u_1)\}$.

If the (directed) edges of a λK_v (λDK_v) can be decomposed into (directed) cycles of length m , then these (directed) cycles are called a (*directed*) m -*cycle system*, and denoted by m - $CS(v, \lambda)$ (m - $DCS(v, \lambda)$). An m - $CS(v, \lambda)$ (m - $DCS(v, \lambda)$) is said to be α -*resolvable* if its (directed) cycles can be partitioned into classes (called α -*resolution classes*) such that each point of the design occurs in precisely α cycles in each class. A 1-resolvable m - $CS(v, \lambda)$ (m - $DCS(v, \lambda)$) is simply called a *resolvable* m - $CS(v, \lambda)$ (m - $DCS(v, \lambda)$). When $m = 3$ or 4 , the existence of α -resolvable m - $CS(v, \lambda)$ s has been solved completely.

Lemma 1.1 [2] *An α -resolvable 3- $CS(v, \lambda)$ exists if and only if*

$$\lambda(v - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \quad \lambda v(v - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \quad 3 \mid \alpha v, \quad \alpha \mid \lambda(v - 1)/2,$$

and $(v, \alpha, \lambda) \notin \{(6, 1, 4i + 2) : i \geq 0\}$.

Lemma 1.2 [3] *An α -resolvable 4- $CS(v, \lambda)$ exists if and only if*

$$4 \mid \lambda v(v - 1)/2, \quad 2 \mid \lambda(v - 1), \quad 4 \mid \alpha v, \quad \alpha \mid \lambda(v - 1)/2.$$

The necessary conditions for the existence of an α -resolvable m - $DCS(v, \lambda)$ are:

$$m \mid \alpha v, \quad \alpha \mid \lambda(v - 1) \tag{1}$$

The following result due to Adams and Bryant appears as Theorem 12.42 in [1].

Lemma 1.3 [1] *For $m \in \{3, 4\}$, there exists a resolvable m - $DCS(v, \lambda)$ if and only if m divides v , $(m, v, \lambda) \notin \{(3, 6, 2i + 1), (4, 4, 2i + 1) : i \geq 0\}$.*

In addition, the existence of α -resolvable 3- $DCS(v, \lambda)$ s has also been solved.

Lemma 1.4 [4] *Let v, λ, α be positive integers and $v \geq 3, \alpha \geq 1, \lambda \geq 1$. There exists an α -resolvable 3- $DCS(v, \lambda)$ if and only if $3 \mid \lambda v(v - 1), 3 \mid \alpha v, \alpha \mid \lambda(v - 1)$, and $(v, \alpha, \lambda) \notin \{(6, 5, 1)\} \cup \{(6, 1, 2i + 1) : i \geq 0\}$.*

In this paper, we investigate the existence of α -resolvable 4- $DCS(v, \lambda)$ s. From the conditions (1), we can derive minimum values for α and λ , and call them α_0 and λ_0 . Similar to Lemmas 2.1–2.3 in [5], we have some lemmas listed below.

Lemma 1.5 *If an α -resolvable m - $DCS(v, \lambda)$ exists, then $\alpha_0 \mid \alpha$, and $\lambda_0 \mid \lambda$.*

Lemma 1.6 *If an α -resolvable m - $DCS(v, \lambda)$ exists, then a $t\alpha$ -resolvable m - $DCS(v, n\lambda)$ exists for any positive integers n, t with $t \mid \lambda(v - 1)/\alpha$.*

Lemma 1.7 *If an α_0 -resolvable m -DCS(v, λ_0) exists, and α, λ satisfy conditions (1), then an α -resolvable m -DCS(v, λ) exists.*

Thus, in order to prove the necessary conditions (1) for the existence of α -resolvable m -DCS(v, λ)s are also sufficient, we only need to prove the existence of α_0 -resolvable m -DCS(v, λ_0)s. For the relationship between an α -resolvable m -CS(v, λ) and an α -resolvable m -DCS(v, λ), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.8 *If an α -resolvable m -CS(v, λ) exists, then an α -resolvable m -DCS(v, λ) exists.*

Proof. Let X be a v -set, and \mathcal{C} be the set of cycles of an α -resolvable m -CS(v, λ) on X . We define

$$\mathcal{C}' = \bigcup_{(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m) \in \mathcal{C}} \{ \langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \rangle, \langle u_1, u_m, \dots, u_2 \rangle \};$$

then \mathcal{C}' forms a set of directed cycles of an α -resolvable m -DCS(v, λ), and one α -resolution class of the α -resolvable m -CS(v, λ) generates two α -resolution classes of the α -resolvable m -DCS(v, λ). □

2 Direct constructions

In order to solve the existence of α -resolvable 4-DCS(v, λ)s, we need some definitions and remarks.

Let m, v be positive integers and ∞ an infinity point. Let Z_v be the residue ring of integers modulo v and let $Z_v^* = Z_v \setminus \{0\}$. Let \mathcal{C} be a set of directed cycles of length m which are constructed on Z_v or $Z_v \cup \{\infty\}$. For each directed cycle $C = \langle c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m \rangle$ and $j \in Z_v$, define $C + j$ to be $\langle c_1 + j, c_2 + j, \dots, c_m + j \rangle$ where $\infty + j = \infty$ if $\infty \in C$. Let $\mathcal{C} + j = \{C + j : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ for $j \in Z_v$. The differences of a directed cycle $C = \langle c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m \rangle$ mean $\{c_{i+1} - c_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1\} \cup \{c_1 - c_m\}$, where $\infty - j = \infty, j - \infty = -\infty$ for any $j \in Z_v$ and $\infty \in C$.

In what follows, we will get α -resolvable 4-DCS(v, λ)s through direct constructions. According to the conditions (1), α_0 and λ_0 are as follows.

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_0 = 1, \lambda_0 = 1, & v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \alpha_0 = 4, \lambda_0 = 1, & v \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \alpha_0 = 2, \lambda_0 = 2, & v \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \alpha_0 = 4, \lambda_0 = 2, & v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1 *If $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $v \geq 8$, there exists a resolvable 4-DCS($v, 1$). Furthermore, there exists a resolvable 4-DCS($4, \lambda$) for $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and there is no resolvable 4-DCS($4, \lambda$) for $\lambda \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.*

Proof. The result follows directly from Lemma 1.3. □

$$\langle \infty, 4k - 2, 4k, 4k - 1 \rangle.$$

The differences of all cycles of \mathcal{C} give every value of $Z_{4k+1}^* \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ exactly twice, so $\{\mathcal{C} + i : i \in Z_{4k+1}\}$ forms a 4-DCS($v, 2$). In addition, \mathcal{C} is a 2-resolution class of the 4-DCS($v, 2$) exactly, so $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C} + 1, \dots, \mathcal{C} + 4k$ are all 2-resolution classes of the 4-DCS($v, 2$). We get a 2-resolvable 4-DCS($v, 2$). □

Lemma 2.4 *There exists a 4-resolvable 4-DCS($v, 2$) for $v \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. (1) $v \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. Let the point set $X = Z_{8k+3}, k > 0$. A 4-resolvable 4-DCS($v, 2$) contains $(8k + 3)(4k + 1)$ directed cycles and $4k + 1$ 4-resolution classes. Let \mathcal{C} consist of the following $4k + 1$ directed cycles:

Part 1: Construct $2k$ directed cycles:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 1, 0, 2, 5 \rangle, \\ &\langle 5, 0, 6, 13 \rangle, \\ &\langle 9, 0, 10, 21 \rangle, \\ &\vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\ &\langle 8k - 7, 0, 8k - 6, 8k - 14 \rangle, \\ &\langle 8k - 3, 0, 8k - 2, 8k - 6 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Part 2: Construct $2k$ directed cycles:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 3, 0, 4, 9 \rangle, \\ &\langle 7, 0, 8, 17 \rangle, \\ &\langle 11, 0, 12, 25 \rangle, \\ &\vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\ &\langle 8k - 5, 0, 8k - 4, 8k - 10 \rangle, \\ &\langle 8k - 1, 0, 8k, 8k - 2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Part 3: Construct one directed cycle:

$$\langle 1, 0, 2, 3 \rangle.$$

It is easy to check that $\{\mathcal{C} + i : i \in Z_{8k+3}\}$ forms a 4-DCS($v, 2$), and for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$, $\{C + i : i \in Z_{8k+3}\}$ is a 4-resolution class of the 4-DCS($v, 2$) exactly. We get a 4-resolvable 4-DCS($v, 2$).

(2) $v \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Let the point set $X = Z_{8k+7}, k \geq 0$. A 4-resolvable 4-DCS($v, 2$) contains $(8k + 7)(4k + 3)$ directed cycles and $4k + 3$ 4-resolution classes. Let \mathcal{C} consist of the following $4k + 3$ directed cycles:

Part 1: Construct k directed cycles and repeat them twice:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle 1, 0, 2, 4k + 4 \rangle, \\ &\langle 3, 0, 4, 4k + 4 \rangle, \\ &\langle 5, 0, 6, 4k + 4 \rangle, \\ &\vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\ &\langle 2k - 3, 0, 2k - 2, 4k + 4 \rangle, \\ &\langle 2k - 1, 0, 2k, 4k + 4 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Part 2: Construct k directed cycles and repeat them twice:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle 2, 0, 1, 4k + 4 \rangle, \\
 &\langle 4, 0, 3, 4k + 4 \rangle, \\
 &\langle 6, 0, 5, 4k + 4 \rangle, \\
 &\vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\
 &\langle 2k - 2, 0, 2k - 3, 4k + 4 \rangle, \\
 &\langle 2k, 0, 2k - 1, 4k + 4 \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Part 3: Construct 3 directed cycles:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle 2k + 1, 0, 2k + 2, 4k + 4 \rangle, \\
 &\langle 2k + 1, 0, 2k + 3, 4k + 4 \rangle, \\
 &\langle 2k + 2, 0, 2k + 1, 4k + 4 \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $\{C + i : i \in Z_{8k+7}\}$ forms a 4-DCS($v, 2$). Furthermore, for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$, $\{C + i : i \in Z_{8k+7}\}$ is a 4-resolution class of the 4-DCS($v, 2$). We derive a 4-resolvable 4-DCS($v, 2$). □

3 Main result

Combining Lemmas 2.1–2.4, we obtain the main result:

Theorem 3.1 *There exists an α -resolvable 4-DCS(v, λ) if and only if*

$$4 \mid \alpha v, \quad \alpha \mid \lambda(v - 1),$$
except for $v = 4, \lambda \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

References

- [1] C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz (eds.), *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996; 2nd ed. 2007.
- [2] D. Jungnickel, R. C. Mullin and S. A. Vanstone, The spectrum of α -resolvable designs with block size 3, *Discrete Math.* 97 (1991), 269–271.
- [3] X. Ma and Z. Tian, α -resolvable cycle systems for cycle length 4, *J. Math. Res. Exposition* (to appear).
- [4] Z. Tian and X. Ma, α -resolvable oriented triple systems, *Util. Math.* (to appear).
- [5] T. M. J. Vasiga, S. Furino and A. C. H. Ling, The spectrum of α -resolvable designs with block size four, *J. Combin. Des.* 9 (2001), 1–16.