

# Core partitions with $d$ -distinct parts

MURAT SAHIN

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences  
 Ankara University  
 Tandogan, Ankara, 06100  
 Turkey  
 msahin@ankara.edu.tr*

## Abstract

In this paper, we study  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct parts. We obtain results on the number and the largest size of such partitions, so we extend Xiong’s paper in which results are obtained about  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions with distinct parts. Also we propose a conjecture about  $(s, s + r)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct parts for  $1 \leq r \leq d$ .

## 1 Introduction

A *partition* of  $n$  is a finite nonincreasing sequence  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$  such that  $n = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_l$ . A summand in a partition is called *part*. We say that  $n$  is the size of  $\lambda$  and  $l$  is the length of  $\lambda$ . For example,  $\lambda = (6, 3, 3, 2, 1)$  is a partition of  $n = 15$ . The parts of the partition  $\lambda$  are 6, 3, 3, 2 and 1. The size of  $\lambda$  is 15 and the length of  $\lambda$  is 5. A partition  $\lambda$  is called a *partition with  $d$ -distinct parts* if and only if  $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \geq di$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$ .

Partitions can be visualized with a *Young diagram*, which is a finite collection of boxes arranged in left-justified rows, with  $\lambda_i$  boxes in the  $i$ -th row. The pair  $(i, j)$  shows the coordinates of the boxes in the Young diagram. The Young diagram of  $\lambda = (6, 3, 3, 2, 1)$  is as follows:

(1, 1)	(1, 2)	(1, 3)	(1, 4)	(1, 5)	(1, 6)
(2, 1)	(2, 2)	(2, 3)			
(3, 1)	(3, 2)	(3, 3)			
(4, 1)	(4, 2)				
(5, 1)					

For each box in the Young diagram in coordinates  $(i, j)$ , the *hook length* is defined as the sum of the number of boxes exactly to the right, exactly below, and the box itself. So the hook lengths of the partition  $\lambda = (6, 3, 3, 2, 1)$  can be given as follows:

10	8	6	3	2	1
6	4	2			
5	2	1			
2	1				
1					

(1.1)

Here  $h(i, j)$  will show the entry in coordinate  $(i, j)$  of the box, that is, the hook length of the box. If  $\lambda = (6, 3, 3, 2, 1)$ , then  $h(1, 1) = 10$ ,  $h(2, 3) = 2$  and  $h(6, 1) = 1$ , as you can see from (1.1).

A partition  $\lambda$  is called an *s-core* partition if  $\lambda$  has no boxes of hook length  $s$ . For example, the partition  $\lambda = (6, 3, 3, 2, 1)$  is a 7-core but it is not a 5-core, since  $\lambda$  has no boxes of hook length 7, but it has a box of hook length 5 (see Diagram (1.1)).

A more general definition: a partition  $\lambda$  is called an  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t)$ -core partition if  $\lambda$  has no boxes of hook length  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t$ . So for example the partition  $\lambda = (6, 3, 3, 2, 1)$  is a (7, 9)-core partition.

There are many studies about core partitions, and such partitions are closely related to posets, cranks, Raney numbers, Catalan numbers, Fibonacci numbers, etc.; see [1, 6, 15, 16].

Anderson [3] shows that the number of  $(s, t)$ -core partitions is finite if and only if  $s$  and  $t$  are coprime. In this case, this number is

$$\frac{1}{s+t} \binom{s+t}{s}.$$

Olsson and Stanton [9] give the largest size of such partitions. Some results on the number, the largest size and the average size of such partitions are provided in [2, 4, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 15]. In particular, the number of  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions is the Catalan number

$$C_s = \frac{1}{s+1} \binom{2s}{s}.$$

Amdeberhan [1] conjectures that the number of  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions with distinct parts equals the Fibonacci numbers. This conjecture is proved independently by Xiong [12] and Straub [11]. More generally, Straub [11] characterizes the number  $N_d(s)$  of  $(s, ds - 1)$ -core partitions with distinct parts by  $N_d(1) = 1, N_d(2) = d$  and, for  $s \geq 3$ ,

$$N_d(s) = N_d(s - 1) + dN_d(s - 2).$$

Xiong [12] also obtain results on the number, the largest size and the average size of  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions with distinct parts.

In this paper, we consider the problem of counting the number of special partitions which are  $s$ -core for certain values of  $s$ . More precisely, we focus on  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct parts. We obtain results on the number and the largest size of such partitions, and so we extend Xiong’s paper in which the results are obtained about  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions with distinct parts. Also, we propose the following conjecture about  $(s, s + r)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct parts for  $1 \leq r \leq d$ . That is, we conjecture that the number  $N_{d,r}(s)$  of  $(s, s + r)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct parts is characterized by  $N_{d,r}(s) = s$  for  $1 \leq s \leq d$ ,  $N_{d,r}(d + 1) = d + r$ , and for  $s \geq d + 2$ ,

$$N_{d,r}(s) = N_{d,r}(s - 1) + N_{d,r}(s - (d + 1))$$

for  $1 \leq r \leq d$ .

## 2 $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions with $d$ -distinct parts

Suppose that  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$  is a partition whose corresponding Young diagram has  $l$  rows. The set  $\beta(\lambda)$  of  $\lambda$  is defined to be the set of first column hook length in the Young diagram of  $\lambda$ , i.e.,  $\beta(\lambda) = \{h(i, 1) : 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ . For example, if  $\lambda = (6, 3, 3, 2, 1)$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\lambda) &= \{h(1, 1), h(2, 1), h(3, 1), h(4, 1), h(5, 1)\} \\ &= \{10, 6, 5, 2, 1\} \end{aligned}$$

by using Diagram (1.1).

Now we generalize the definition of the twin-free set in [11].

**Definition 2.1** *Suppose that  $d$  is a positive integer such that  $d \geq 2$ . A set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is called a  $d$ -th order twin-free set if there is no  $x \in X$  such that*

$$\{x, x + k\} \subseteq X, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq d.$$

If we take  $d = 1$  in Definition 2.1, then we obtain the twin-free set in [11], that is, we obtain the first order twin-free set.

**Example 2.1** Let us take  $X = \{10, 5, 2\}$ . For  $d = 2$ ,  $X$  is a second order twin-free set, since the sets

$$\{2, 3\}, \{5, 6\}, \{10, 11\}, \{2, 4\}, \{5, 7\}, \{10, 12\}$$

are not a subset of  $X$ . But the set  $\{2, 5\}$  is a subset of  $X$ , so  $X$  is not a third order twin-free set.

**Theorem 2.1** (i) Suppose  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$  is a partition. Then

$$\lambda_i = h(i, 1) - l + 1, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq l.$$

Thus

$$|\lambda| = \sum_{x \in \beta(\lambda)} x - \binom{l}{2}.$$

(ii) A partition  $\lambda$  is an  $s$ -core partition if and only if for any  $x \in \beta(\lambda)$  with  $x > s$ , we always have  $x - s \in \beta(\lambda)$ .

**Proof.** See [3, 5]. □

**Lemma 2.1** The partition  $\lambda$  is a partition with  $d$ -distinct parts if and only if  $\beta(\lambda)$  is a  $d$ -th order twin free set.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$  is a partition. Now  $\lambda$  is a partition with  $d$ -distinct parts if and only if  $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \geq d$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l - 1$ . Then by Theorem 2.1(i),

$$\begin{aligned} h(i, 1) - h(i + 1, 1) &= (\lambda_i + l - 1) - (\lambda_{i+1} + l - 1) \\ &= \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} \\ &\geq d. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$h(i, 1) - h(i + 1, 1) \geq d \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \beta(\lambda) \text{ is a } d\text{-th order twin-free set.}$$
□

**Lemma 2.2** Suppose  $\lambda$  is an  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partition with  $d$ -distinct parts. Then

$$\beta(\lambda) \subset \{1, 2, \dots, s - 1\}.$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\lambda$  is a partition with  $d$ -distinct parts. Then,  $\beta(\lambda)$  is a  $d$ -th order twin-free set by Lemma 2.1. Since  $\lambda$  is an  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partition, we have  $s, s + 1 \notin \beta(\lambda)$ . If  $x \geq s + 2$  and  $x \in \beta(\lambda)$  then, by Theorem 2.1(ii), we know that  $x - s, x - (s + 1) \in \beta(\lambda)$ . But this is a contradiction since  $\beta(\lambda)$  is a  $d$ -th order twin-free set. That is,  $x \notin \beta(\lambda)$  and so we get the required result  $\beta(\lambda) \subset \{1, 2, \dots, s - 1\}$ . □

**Lemma 2.3** A partition  $\lambda$  is an  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partition with  $d$ -distinct parts if and only if  $\beta(\lambda)$  is a  $d$ -th order twin-free subset of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, s - 1\}$ .

**Proof.** If a partition  $\lambda$  is an  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partition with  $d$ -distinct parts then by Lemma 2.2,  $\beta(\lambda)$  must be a subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, s - 1\}$ . Also, By Lemma 2.1,  $\beta(\lambda)$  must be a  $d$ -th order twin-free set.

Conversely, suppose that  $\beta(\lambda)$  is a  $d$ -th order twin-free subset of  $\{1, 2, \dots, s - 1\}$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $\lambda$  is a partition with  $d$ -distinct parts. Also, since  $\beta(\lambda)$  is a subset of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, s - 1\}$ , all the hook lengths of the corresponding partition are smaller than  $s$  and  $s + 1$ . This means that  $\lambda$  is an  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partition. □

**Theorem 2.2** *The number  $N_d(s)$  of  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct parts is characterized by  $N_d(s) = s$  for  $1 \leq s \leq (d + 1)$ , and for  $s \geq d + 2$ ,*

$$N_d(s) = N_d(s - 1) + N_d(s - (d + 1)).$$

**Proof.** Let  $X_k$  denote the set of all  $d$ -th order twin-free subsets of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$ . A partition  $\lambda$  is an  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partition with  $d$ -distinct parts if and only if  $\beta(\lambda)$  is a  $d$ -th order twin-free subset of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, s - 1\}$  by Lemma 2.3. That is,  $N_d(s) = |X_s|$ . Suppose that  $X \in X_s$ . If  $s - 1 \in X$ , then  $s - 2, s - 3, \dots, s - (d + 1) \notin X$ , since  $X$  is a  $d$ -th order twin-free set. So

$$|\{X \in X_s : (s - 1) \in X\}| = |X_{s-(d+1)}|,$$

and

$$|\{X \in X_s : (s - 1) \notin X\}| = |X_{s-1}|.$$

Thus  $|X_s| = |X_{s-1}| + |X_{s-(d+1)}|$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} N_d(1) &= |X_1| = 1 \\ N_d(2) &= |X_2| = 2 \\ &\vdots \\ N_d(d) &= |X_d| = d \\ N_d(d + 1) &= |X_{d+1}| = d + 1. \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain the required result. □

If we take the value  $d = 1$  in Theorem 2.2, we find that the number of  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions with distinct parts is the Fibonacci number  $F_{s+1}$  in [11, 12].

**Example 2.2** For  $d = 2$ ,  $N_2(6) = 9$ . The seven  $(6, 7)$ -core partitions with 2-distinct parts are

$$\{\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{3, 1\}, \{4\}, \{4, 1\}, \{5\}, \{4, 2\}.$$

We can see in Table 1 the number  $N_2(s)$  of  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions with 2-distinct parts for  $1 \leq s \leq 8$ .

$s$		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$N_2(s)$		1	2	3	4	6	9	13	19

Table 1: The number  $N_2(s)$  of  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions with 2-distinct parts

The generating function of the sequence  $N_2(s)$  is

$$\frac{x^2 + x + 1}{x^3 + x - 1}.$$

Also, the sequence  $N_2(s)$  satisfies the recurrence relation

$$N_2(s) = N_2(s - 1) + N_2(s - 3).$$

**Theorem 2.3** *If  $s \equiv 0, 1$  or  $2 \pmod{d+2}$  then the largest size of  $(s, s+1)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct parts is*

$$\left[ \frac{1}{d+2} \binom{s+1}{2} + \frac{s(d-1)}{2(d+2)} \right],$$

or otherwise

$$\left[ \frac{1}{d+2} \binom{s+1}{2} + \frac{s(d-1)}{2(d+2)} + 1 \right]$$

where  $[x]$  is the largest integer not greater than  $x$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda$  be an  $(s, s+1)$ -core partition with  $d$ -distinct parts. Suppose that  $\beta(\lambda) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ . We need to maximize  $\lambda$  and since  $\beta(\lambda)$  is a  $d$ -th order twin-free set, we need  $x_1 = s-1$ ,  $x_2 = s-1-(d-1)$ , and generally  $x_i = s-d(i-1)-i$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda| &= \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - \binom{k}{2} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k (s-d(i-1)-i) - \binom{k}{2} \\ &= sk + \frac{dk-dk^2}{2} - k^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, to maximize  $\lambda$ , we want to take  $k$  as large as possible; however we also have to subtract the  $\binom{k}{2}$  term. So if  $x_k < (k-1) = \binom{k}{2} - \binom{k-1}{2}$ , the gain we have made by including  $x_k$  is offset by the loss of the second term. So there are sometimes two  $(s, s+1)$  cores with  $d$ -distinct parts and maximal size: this is when we have  $x_k = k-1$ , and so it makes no difference whether we include this term or not.

When  $s = (d+2)n$  for some integer  $n$ , we obtain

$$|\lambda| \leq sk + \frac{dk-dk^2}{2} - k^2 \leq \frac{(d+2)n^2}{2} + \frac{dn}{2}.$$

When  $s = (d+2)n+r$ , where  $1 \leq r \leq d+1$ , for some integer  $n$ , we obtain

$$|\lambda| \leq sk + \frac{dk-dk^2}{2} - k^2 \leq \frac{(d+2)n^2}{2} + \frac{dn}{2} + rn + (r-1).$$

So we can get the desired result for each case. □

If we take the value  $d = 1$  in Theorem 2.3, we find that the largest size of the  $(s, s+1)$ -core partitions with distinct parts is  $\left\lceil \frac{1}{3} \binom{s+1}{2} \right\rceil$  in [12].

**Example 2.3** For  $s = 6$  and  $d = 2$ , since  $s \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , the largest size of  $(6, 7)$ -core partitions with 2-distinct parts is

$$\left[ \frac{1}{2+2} \binom{6+1}{2} + \frac{6(2-1)}{2(2+2)} \right] = 6,$$

by Theorem 2.3. Indeed, (6, 7)-core partitions with 2-distinct parts are

$$\{\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{3, 1\}, \{4\}, \{4, 1\}, \{5\}, \{4, 2\}.$$

So the largest size of (6, 7)-core partitions with 2-distinct parts is  $4 + 2 = 6$ .

For  $s = 7$  and  $d = 2$ , since  $s \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , the largest size of (7, 8)-core partitions with 2-distinct parts is

$$\left[ \frac{1}{2+2} \binom{7+1}{2} + \frac{7(2-1)}{2(2+2)} + 1 \right] = 8,$$

by Theorem 2.3. Indeed, (7, 8)-core partitions with 2-distinct parts are

$$\{\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{3, 1\}, \{4\}, \{4, 1\}, \{5\}, \{4, 2\}, \{5, 1\}, \{6\}, \{5, 2\}, \{5, 3\}.$$

So the largest size of (7, 8)-core partitions with 2-distinct parts is  $5 + 3 = 8$ .

**Theorem 2.4** *If  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{(d+2)}$  then there are two  $(s, s+1)$ -core partitions of largest size with  $d$ -distinct parts; otherwise there is only one such partition of largest size.*

**Proof.** Note that if  $\lambda$  is an  $(s, s+1)$ -core partition with  $d$ -distinct parts which has the largest size, then  $\beta(\lambda) = \{s-1, s-(d+2), \dots, s-((k-1)d+k)\}$  for some integer  $k$ . When  $t = (d+2)n$  for some integer  $n$ , we see that  $\lambda$  has the largest size if and only if  $k = n$ . When  $t = (d+2)n+1$  for some integer  $n$ , then  $\lambda$  has the largest size if and only if  $k = n$  or  $k = n+1$ . For all other cases  $t = (d+2)n+r$ , where  $2 \leq r \leq d+1$ , we have that  $\lambda$  has the largest size if and only if  $k = n+1$ . So we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

If we take the value  $d = 1$  in Theorem 2.4, we get the number of the largest size of the  $(s, s+1)$ -core partitions with distinct parts is  $\frac{3 - (-1)^{s \pmod{3}}}{2}$  in [12].

**Example 2.4** For  $s = 5$  and  $d = 2$ , since  $s \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , there are only two  $(s, s+1)$ -core partitions of largest size with 2-distinct parts by Theorem 2.4. Actually, (5, 6)-core partitions with 2-distinct parts are

$$\{\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{3, 1\}, \{4\}.$$

So there are two partitions of the largest size of (6, 7)-core partitions with 2-distinct parts. These partitions are  $\{3, 1\}$  and  $\{4\}$ .

For  $s = 8$  and  $d = 3$ , since  $s \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ , there is only one  $(s, s+1)$ -core partition of the largest size with 3-distinct parts by Theorem 2.4. Indeed, (8, 9)-core partitions with 3-distinct parts are

$$\{\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{4, 1\}, \{5\}, \{5, 1\}, \{6\}, \{5, 2\}, \{6, 1\}, \{7\}, \{6, 2\}, \{6, 3\}.$$

So there is only one partition of the largest size of (8, 9)-core partitions with 3-distinct parts. This partition is  $\{6, 3\}$ .

### 3 $(s, s + r)$ -core partitions with $d$ -distinct parts

More generally, we propose a conjecture about the number of  $(s, s + r)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct parts for  $1 \leq r \leq d$ . This conjecture is based on experimental evidence and has been verified for  $s < 10$  after listing all relevant partitions. We will present some of our experimental results in Tables 2 and 3.

Table 2 shows  $(s, s + 2)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct partitions for  $2 \leq d \leq 7$ .

$d \backslash (s, s + 2)$	(1,3)	(2,4)	(3,5)	(4,6)	(5,7)	(6,8)	(7,9)	(8,10)
2	1	2	4	5	7	11	16	23
3	1	2	3	5	6	8	11	16
4	1	2	3	4	6	7	9	12
5	1	2	3	4	5	7	8	10
6	1	2	3	4	5	6	8	9
7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	9

Table 2: The number of  $(s, s + 2)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct parts

Table 3 shows  $(s, s + 3)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct partitions for  $3 \leq d \leq 7$ . According to our experiments, we present the following conjecture.

$d \backslash (s, s + 3)$	(1,4)	(2,5)	(3,6)	(4,7)	(5,8)	(6,9)	(7,10)	(8,11)
3	1	2	3	6	7	9	12	18
4	1	2	3	4	7	8	10	13
5	1	2	3	4	5	8	9	11
6	1	2	3	4	5	6	9	10
7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	10

Table 3:  $(s, s + 3)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct parts

**Conjecture 1** For  $1 \leq r \leq d$ , the number  $N_{d,r}(s)$  of  $(s, s + r)$ -core partitions with  $d$ -distinct parts is characterized by  $N_{d,r}(s) = s$  for  $1 \leq s \leq d$ ,  $N_{d,r}(d + 1) = d + r$ , and for  $s \geq d + 2$ ,

$$N_{d,r}(s) = N_{d,r}(s - 1) + N_{d,r}(s - (d + 1)).$$

**Example 3.1** For  $s = 6, d = 3$  and  $r = 2$ , the eight  $(s, s + r)$ -core, i.e. the  $(6, 8)$ -core, partitions with 3-distinct parts are

$$\{\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}, \{5\}, \{1, 4\}, \{1, 6\}.$$

$s$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$N_{3,2}(s)$	1	2	3	5	6	8	11	16	22

Table 4: The number  $N_{3,2}(s)$  of  $(s, s + 2)$ -core partitions with 3-distinct parts

We can see in Table 4 the number  $N_{3,2}(s)$  of  $(s, s + 2)$ -core partitions with 3-distinct parts for  $1 \leq s \leq 9$ . The generating function of the sequence  $N_{3,2}(s)$  is

$$-\frac{2x^3 + x^2 + x + 1}{x^4 + x - 1}.$$

Also, the sequence  $N_{3,2}(s)$  satisfies the recurrence relation

$$N_{3,2}(s) = N_{3,2}(s - 1) + N_{3,2}(s - 4).$$

□

### References

- [1] T. Amdeberhan, Theorems, problems and conjectures, (preprint), July 2015. arXiv:1207.4045 (updated version available at: <http://math.tulane.edu/~tamdeberhan/conjectures.html>).
- [2] T. Amdeberhan and E. Leven, Multi-cores, posets, and lattice paths, (preprint). arXiv: 1406.2250v3.
- [3] J. Anderson, Partitions which are simultaneously  $t_1$ - and  $t_2$ -core, *Disc. Math.* 248 (2002), 237-243.
- [4] D. Armstrong, C. R. H. Hanusa and B. Jones, Results and conjectures on simultaneous core partitions, *European J. Combin.* 41 (2014), 205–220.
- [5] C. Berge, *Principles of Combinatorics*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering Vol. 72, Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [6] F. Garvan, D. Kim and D. Stanton, Cranks and  $t$ -cores, *Invent. Math.* 101 (1), 1–18.
- [7] P. Johnson, Lattice points and simultaneous core partitions, (preprint); arXiv: 1502.07934v2.
- [8] R. Nath and J. A. Sellers, A combinatorial proof of a relationship between maximal  $(2k - 1, 2k + 1)$  and  $(2k - 1, 2k, 2k + 1)$ -cores, (preprint); arXiv:1506.06186.
- [9] J. Olsson and D. Stanton, Block inclusions and cores of partitions, *Aequationes Math.* 110 (2007), 74–90.

- [10] R. P. Stanley and F. Zanello, The Catalan case of Armstrongs conjectures on simultaneous core partitions, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 29 (1) (2015), 658–666.
- [11] A. Straub, Core partitions into distinct parts and analog of Euler’s theorem, *European J. Combin.* 57 (2016), 40–49.
- [12] H. Xiong, Core partitions with distinct parts, (preprint), 2015. arXiv: 1508.07918v1.
- [13] H. Xiong, On the largest size of  $(t, t + 1, \dots, t + p)$ -core partitions, (preprint); arXiv:1410.2061v2.
- [14] H. Xiong, The number of simultaneous core partitions, (preprint); arXiv: 1408.7038v2.
- [15] J. Y. X. Yang, M. X. X. Zhong and R. D. P. Zhou, On the enumeration of  $(s, s + 1, s + 2)$ -core partitions, *European J. Combin.* 49 (2015), 203–217.
- [16] R. D. P. Zhou and S. H. F. Yan, The Raney numbers and  $(s, s + 1)$ -core partitions, *European J. Combin.* 59 (2017), 114–121.

(Received 4 Aug 2017; revised 5 and 28 Mar 2018)