

WavShadow: Wavelet Based Shadow Segmentation and Removal

Shreyans Jain[†] Viraj Vekaria[†] Karan Gandhi[†] Aadya Arora[†]
Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

ABSTRACT

Shadow removal and segmentation remain challenging tasks in computer vision, particularly in complex real-world scenarios. This study presents a novel approach that enhances the ShadowFormer model by incorporating Masked Autoencoder (MAE) priors and Fast Fourier Convolution (FFC) blocks, leading to significantly faster convergence and improved performance. We introduce key innovations: (1) integration of MAE priors trained on Places2 dataset for better context understanding, (2) adoption of Haar wavelet features for enhanced edge detection and multi-scale analysis, and (3) implementation of a modified SAM Adapter for robust shadow segmentation. Extensive experiments on the challenging DESOBA dataset demonstrate that our approach achieves state-of-the-art results, with notable improvements in both convergence speed and shadow removal quality.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computing methodologies → Image segmentation.

KEYWORDS

Image Segmentation, Wavelet, Masked Auto Encoding

ACM Reference Format:

Shreyans Jain[†] Viraj Vekaria[†] Karan Gandhi[†] Aadya Arora[†], *Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India*, . 2024. WavShadow: Wavelet Based Shadow Segmentation and Removal. In *Proceedings of 15th Indian Conference on Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing (ICVGIP'24)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. https://doi.org/10.475/123_4

1 INTRODUCTION

Shadow removal and segmentation are essential in computer vision applications like image enhancement and object detection. These tasks are challenging in complex real-world scenarios where shadows intricately interact with objects. In this paper, we present a novel approach that combines Masked Autoencoder (MAE) priors and advanced segmentation techniques to improve shadow removal and segmentation. Our method builds upon the ShadowFormer model, integrating MAE priors to achieve faster convergence and enhanced performance. We evaluate our approach using the DESOBA dataset, which offers a more realistic challenge compared to simpler datasets by including both object and shadow information. A key innovation in our work is the integration of a state-of-the-art SAM (Segment Anything Model) adapter for shadow segmentation. This adapter generates masks that are used in the shadow removal process, offering better generalization than ground truth masks. Additionally, we incorporate 'haar' wavelet features into our approach, further improving the accuracy of shadow segmentation.

[†] All authors contributed equally to this work.




Figure 1: Qualitative Results. The figure shows shadow removal results using the proposed approach.

Our research demonstrates the potential of combining advanced priors and segmentation techniques to significantly enhance the effectiveness of shadow removal and segmentation in complex, real-world environments. The findings presented here open new avenues for improving various computer vision tasks that rely on accurate shadow handling.

2 RELATED WORKS

Traditional Shadow Detection. Early methods used physical models and heuristics based on chromacity, intensity, and texture, such as Salvador et al.'s spectral and geometrical properties [10] and Tian et al.'s spectral power distribution differences [11].

Machine Learning Approaches. Machine learning advanced shadow detection by using manually crafted features (texture, color, edge) with classifiers like SVM and decision trees. While innovative, these methods were limited in fully characterizing shadows in general scenarios [3, 14, 15].

Deep Learning Approaches. Deep learning transformed shadow detection through automatic feature extraction. Key developments include Khan et al.'s pioneering use of CNNs [7], Hu et al.'s direction-aware spatial context learning [4, 6], Wang et al.'s iterative shadow detection and removal using GANs [16], Recent advancements in bidirectional feature pyramid networks [19] and distraction-aware shadow detection [18].

Segment Anything Model and Adaptations. The Segment Anything Model (SAM) [8] brought versatility to image segmentation. Chen et al.'s SAM-Adapter [1] enhanced its performance in shadow detection by incorporating domain-specific information.

Instance Shadow Detection and Removal. Instance shadow detection aims to identify individual shadows and their corresponding objects. Recent developments include Deep learning techniques for

Table 1: Comparison of various methods across different regions

	Dataset	Shadow Region			Non-Shadow Region			All		
		PSNR	SSIM	RMSE	PSNR	SSIM	RMSE	PSNR	SSIM	RMSE
ShadowFormer(L)	ISTD	37.065	0.985	4.815	32.244	0.952	4.418	30.581	0.934	4.815
	DESOBA	37.456	0.982	13.004	44.788	0.997	0.311	36.291	0.972	0.963
MAE+FFC+SF	ISTD	36.777	0.986	7.598	32.078	0.951	4.446	30.328	0.932	4.897
	DESOBA	37.961	0.983	10.904	44.943	0.997	0.208	36.671	0.972	0.753
MAE+SF	ISTD	32.222	0.951	4.361	36.694	0.985	7.649	30.450	0.932	4.814
	DESOBA	37.135	0.982	13.550	44.665	0.997	0.208	36.670	0.971	0.886

shadow elimination in various contexts [2, 5, 9], CondInst architecture for instance segmentation [13], Wang et al.’s bidirectional relation learning for shadow-object interactions [17].

3 METHODOLOGY

Our proposed methodology integrates advanced feature extraction techniques with state-of-the-art models to enhance shadow removal and segmentation performance. The approach comprises the following key components:

Feature Extraction with Wavelet Transforms. To effectively capture the intricate patterns and high-frequency details associated with shadows, we employ the Haar wavelet transform on the input images. We chose the Haar wavelet transform for its computational efficiency, ability to capture edge information at shadow boundaries, and multi-resolution analysis capability that helps distinguish between soft and hard shadow regions. By decomposing images into multiple frequency components, the Haar transform enables extraction of both spatial and frequency information while preserving important discontinuities in shadow regions. By integrating these Haar wavelet features into the Segment Anything Model (SAM) Adapter network, we enhance the network’s ability to discern subtle shadow nuances, thereby improving mask generation accuracy.

Adapter Network Modification. We apply the Haar wavelet transform to input images by decomposing images into multiple frequency components, enabling the extraction of both spatial and frequency information. Integrating these wavelet features into the SAM Adapter enhances its ability to generate accurate shadow masks by leveraging multi-scale information.

Shadow Removal Pipeline Using Masked Autoencoder (MAE). For the shadow removal task, we utilize a pre-trained Masked Autoencoder (MAE) trained on the Places2 dataset. The pipeline operates as follows:

- (1) **Input Processing:** The shadowed image I and the corresponding shadow mask M generated by the modified SAM Adapter are fed into the MAE.
- (2) **Prior Generation:** The MAE processes these inputs to produce an intermediate output I' , which serves as a contextual prior. This prior encapsulates essential information about the scene, aiding in the reconstruction of a shadow-free image. We simply concatenate the Image priors in the input.
- (3) **Integration with ShadowFormer:** The prior I' and the shadow mask M are then provided to the ShadowFormer model. ShadowFormer leverages these inputs to generate

the final shadow-free image I_{free} , ensuring both the removal of shadows and the preservation of image fidelity.

- (4) **Using FFC Blocks to better encapsulate Global Context:** We used Fast Fourier Convolution blocks introduced in the LaMa Model [12] in the Shadow Interaction Module of the ShadowFormer to better encapsulate Global Context.

Training and Optimization. The Sam Adapter and the ShadowFormer with MAE prior are trained separately using the DESOBA and ISTD datasets, which offers complex real-world scenarios with intricate shadow-object interactions. Key aspects of the training process include:

- **Loss Functions and Optimizers:** We used the same losses and optimizers to train the MAE, ShadowFormer and the SAM-Adapter.
- **Evaluation Metrics:** Performance is assessed using Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR), Structural Similarity Index Measure (SSIM), and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE).

Implementation Details. The MAE is pre-trained on the Places2 dataset, providing robust feature representations that enhance the shadow removal process. The DESOBA dataset is selected for its realistic and challenging shadow scenarios, ensuring that the model generalizes well to real-world applications. We have trained the network on NVIDIA V100 GPUs.

4 RESULTS

Integrating wavelet features with the SAM-adapter resulted in faster convergence and improved performance. Similar enhancements were observed on more complex datasets, such as DESOBA. Likewise, when incorporating MAE priors and FFC features into ShadowFormer, the model converged in approximately 250 epochs, significantly earlier than the original model, which required around 450 epochs. Our modified version consistently achieved comparable, and in some cases superior, performance compared to the vanilla ShadowFormer.

5 CONCLUSION

Our approach of integrating MAE priors, SAM-Adapter, and wavelet features significantly improves shadow removal and segmentation performance, particularly in complex real-world scenarios. The proposed method demonstrates faster convergence and enhanced accuracy, opening new possibilities for advanced shadow handling in computer vision tasks.

REFERENCES

- [1] Tianrun Chen, Lanyun Zhu, Chaotao Deng, Runlong Cao, Yan Wang, Shangzhan Zhang, Zejian Li, Lingyun Sun, Ying Zang, and Papa Mao. 2023. Sam-adapter: Adapting segment anything in underperformed scenes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 3367–3375.
- [2] Bin Ding, Chengjiang Long, Ling Zhang, and Chunxia Xiao. 2019. Argan: Attention recurrent generative adversarial network for shadow detection and removal. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*. 10213–10222.
- [3] Ruiqi Guo, Qieyun Dai, and Derek Hoiem. 2011. Single-image shadow detection and removal using paired regions. In *CVPR 2011*. IEEE, 2033–2040.
- [4] Xiaowei Hu, Chi-Wing Fu, Lei Zhu, Jing Qin, and Pheng-Ann Heng. 2019. Direction-aware spatial context features for shadow detection and removal. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 42, 11 (2019), 2795–2808.
- [5] Xiaowei Hu, Yitong Jiang, Chi-Wing Fu, and Pheng-Ann Heng. 2019. Mask-shadowgan: Learning to remove shadows from unpaired data. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*. 2472–2481.
- [6] Xiaowei Hu, Lei Zhu, Chi-Wing Fu, Jing Qin, and Pheng-Ann Heng. 2018. Direction-aware spatial context features for shadow detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 7454–7462.
- [7] Salman H Khan, Mohammed Bennamoun, Ferdous Sohel, and Roberto Togneri. 2015. Automatic shadow detection and removal from a single image. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence* 38, 3 (2015), 431–446.
- [8] Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, et al. 2023. Segment anything. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 4015–4026.
- [9] Liangqiong Qu, Jiandong Tian, Shengfeng He, Yandong Tang, and Rynson WH Lau. 2017. Dshadownet: A multi-context embedding deep network for shadow removal. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 4067–4075.
- [10] Elena Salvador, Andrea Cavallaro, and Touradj Ebrahimi. 2004. Cast shadow segmentation using invariant color features. *Computer vision and image understanding* 95, 2 (2004), 238–259.
- [11] Wenxuan Shi and Jie Li. 2012. Shadow detection in color aerial images based on HSI space and color attenuation relationship. *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing* 2012 (2012), 1–13.
- [12] Roman Suvorov, Elizaveta Logacheva, Anton Mashikhin, Anastasia Remizova, Arsenii Ashukha, Aleksei Silvestrov, Naejin Kong, Harshith Goka, Kiwoong Park, and Victor Lempitsky. 2021. Resolution-robust Large Mask Inpainting with Fourier Convolutions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.07161* (2021).
- [13] Zhi Tian, Chunhua Shen, and Hao Chen. 2020. Conditional convolutions for instance segmentation. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part I 16*. Springer, 282–298.
- [14] Tomás F Yago Vicente, Minh Hoai, and Dimitris Samaras. 2015. Leave-one-out kernel optimization for shadow detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*. 3388–3396.
- [15] Tomás F Yago Vicente, Le Hou, Chen-Ping Yu, Minh Hoai, and Dimitris Samaras. 2016. Large-scale training of shadow detectors with noisily-annotated shadow examples. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part VI 14*. Springer, 816–832.
- [16] Jifeng Wang, Xiang Li, and Jian Yang. 2018. Stacked conditional generative adversarial networks for jointly learning shadow detection and shadow removal. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 1788–1797.
- [17] Tianyu Wang, Xiaowei Hu, Qiong Wang, Pheng-Ann Heng, and Chi-Wing Fu. 2020. Instance shadow detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 1880–1889.
- [18] Quanlong Zheng, Xiaotian Qiao, Ying Cao, and Rynson WH Lau. 2019. Distraction-aware shadow detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 5167–5176.
- [19] Lei Zhu, Zijun Deng, Xiaowei Hu, Chi-Wing Fu, Xuemiao Xu, Jing Qin, and Pheng-Ann Heng. 2018. Bidirectional feature pyramid network with recurrent attention residual modules for shadow detection. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*. 121–136.