

# Upper bounds for dynamic chromatic number of graphs in terms of the independent number

A. DEHGHAN and A. AHADI

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology.*

a\_dehghan@mehr.sharif.edu      a\_ahadi@mehr.sharif.edu

## Abstract

A dynamic coloring of a graph  $G$  is a proper coloring such that for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$  of degree at least 2, the neighbors of  $v$  receive at least 2 colors. In this paper we present some upper bounds for the dynamic chromatic number of graphs. We shall show that if  $G$  is an  $r$ -regular graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 2\lceil \log_2^{\alpha(G)} \rceil + 3$  and if  $G$  is a graph and  $\Delta(G) \leq 2^{\frac{\delta(G)-3}{2}}$ , then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 2\lceil \log_{\frac{2\Delta(G)}{2\Delta(G)-\delta(G)}}^{\alpha(G)} \rceil + 3$  and in general case if  $G$  is a graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + \alpha(G) + 1$ .

**Key words:** Dynamic chromatic number.

**Subject classification:** 05C.

## 1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite, undirected and simple. We follow the notation and terminology of [6]. A *proper vertex coloring* of  $G$  by  $k$  colors is a function  $c : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ , with this property: if  $u, v \in V(G)$  are adjacent, then  $c(u)$  and  $c(v)$  are different. A *vertex  $k$ -coloring* is a proper vertex coloring by  $k$  colors. A proper vertex  $k$ -coloring of a graph  $G$  is called *dynamic* if for every vertex  $v$  with degree at least 2, the neighbors of  $v$  receive at least two different colors. The smallest integer  $k$  such that  $G$  has a dynamic  $k$ -coloring is called the *dynamic chromatic number* of  $G$  and denoted by  $\chi_2(G)$ . We denote a bipartite graph  $G$  with bipartition  $(X, Y)$  by  $G[X, Y]$ . Let  $G$  is a graph with a proper vertex coloring  $c$ . For every  $v \in V(G)$ , we denote the degree of  $v$  in  $G$ , the neighbor set of  $v$  and the color of  $v$  by  $d(v)$ ,  $N(v)$ , and  $c(v)$ , respectively. For any  $S \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $N(S)$  denote the set of vertices of  $G$ , such that each of them has at least one neighbour in  $S$ . If  $c$  be a proper vertex coloring of a graph  $G$ ,  $B_c$  is the set of vertices such that for each vertex  $v \in B_c$ ,  $d(v) \geq 2$  and the neighbors of  $v$  receive same color. Let  $A_c = V(G) \setminus B_c$ .

The following interesting conjecture was posed on the dynamic chromatic number of regular graphs.

**Conjecture 1.** [5] *For any  $r$ -regular graph  $G$ ,  $\chi_2(G) - \chi(G) \leq 2$ .*

It was shown [1] that, if  $G$  is an regular graph, then  $\chi_2 \leq \chi(G) + \lceil \frac{\alpha(G)}{2} \rceil + 1$ , we prove that if  $G$  is an  $r$ -regular graph, then  $\chi_2 \leq \chi(G) + 2\lceil \log_2^{\alpha(G)} \rceil + 3$  and if  $G$  is a graph and  $\Delta(G) \leq 2^{\frac{\delta(G)-3}{2}}$ , then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 2\lceil \log_{\frac{2\Delta(G)}{2\Delta(G)-\delta(G)}}^{\alpha(G)} \rceil + 3$  and in general case if  $G$  is a simple graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + \alpha(G) + 1$ .

It was shown [3] that, if  $G$  is a claw-free graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 2$ . For every graph  $H$  on exactly four vertices, if  $G$  is an  $H$ -free graph, we study the upper bound of  $\chi_2(G) - \chi(G)$ .

Now we state some lemmas and theorem without proof.

**Theorem 1.** [4] *For a connected graph  $G$  if  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ , then  $\chi_2(G) \leq 4$  unless  $G = C_5$ , in which case  $\chi_2(C_5) = 5$  and if  $\Delta(G) \geq 4$ , then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ .*

So if  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ , then  $\chi_2(G) \leq 5$ .

**Lemma 1. [The Lovasz Local Lemma [2]]** *Suppose  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be a set of random events such that for each  $i$ ,  $Pr(A_i) \leq p$  and  $A_i$  is mutually independent of the set of all but at most  $d$  other events. If  $4pd \leq 1$ , then with positive probability, none of the events occur.*

**Lemma 2.** [1] *Let  $r \geq 4$  be a natural number. Suppose that  $G[A, B]$  is a bipartite graph such that all vertices of Part  $A$  have degree  $r$  and all vertices of Part  $B$  have degree at most  $r$ . Then one can color the vertices of Part  $B$  with two colors such that the dynamic property holds for all vertices of Part  $A$ .*

**Lemma 3.** [6] *Any maximal independent set in a graph  $G$  is a dominating set.*

So if  $G$  is a graph, then  $G$  has an independent dominating set  $T$ .

## 2 Results

Before proving theorems we need to prove some lemmas.

**Lemma 4.** *If  $G$  is a graph,  $G \neq \overline{K_n}$  and  $T_1$  is an independent set of  $G$ , then there exists  $T_2$  such that,  $T_2$  is an independent dominating set for  $T_1$  and  $|T_1 \cap T_2| \leq \frac{2\Delta(G)-\delta(G)}{2\Delta(G)}|T_1|$ .*

**Proof.** For each  $u \in N(T_1)$ , define  $f(u)$  as the number of vertices which are adjacent to  $u$  and are in  $T_1$ .  $\sum_{u \in N(T_1)} f(u)$  is the number of edges of  $G[T_1, N(T_1)]$ , so  $\sum_{u \in N(T_1)} f(u) \geq |T_1|\delta(G)$ .

Let  $T_3 = T_1$ ,  $T_4 = \emptyset$ ,  $s = 0$ ,  $i = 1$  and repeat the following procedure until  $\sum_{u \in N(T_1)} f(u) = 0$ .

**Step 1.** Select a vertex  $u$  such that  $f(u)$  is maximum among  $\{f(v)|v \in N(T_1)\}$  and add  $u$  to the set  $T_4$  and let  $t_i = f(u)$ .

**Step 2.** For each  $v \in N(T_1)$  that is adjacent to  $u$ , change the value of  $f(v)$  to 0. Change the value of  $f(u)$  to 0.

**Step 3.** For each  $v \in N(T_1)$  that is adjacent to at least one vertex of  $N(u) \cap T_3$  and it is not adjacent to  $u$ , decrease  $f(v)$  by the number of common neighbours of  $v$  and  $u$  in  $T_3$ .

**Step 4.** Remove the elements of  $N(u)$  from  $T_3$ . Increase  $s$  by  $t_i$  and  $i$  by 1.

When the above procedure terminates, because of the steps 1 and 2,  $T_4$  is an independent set and because of the steps 1 and 4,  $T_4$  is a dominating set for  $T_1 \setminus T_3$ . Now let  $T_2 = T_4 \cup T_3$ , because of the step 4,  $T_2$  is an independent dominating set for  $T_1$ .

Assume that the above procedure has  $l$  iterations. Because of the step 4, we have  $s = \sum_{i=1}^l t_i$ . Each vertex in  $N(T_1)$  has at most  $\Delta(G) - 1$  neighbours in  $N(T_1)$ , so in the step 2 of the  $i$ th iteration,  $\sum_{u \in N(T_1)} f(u)$  is decreased at most  $t_i \Delta(G)$  and in the step 3 of the  $i$ th iteration,  $\sum_{u \in N(T_1)} f(u)$  is decreased at most  $t_i \Delta(G)$ , so in the  $i$ th iteration,  $\sum_{u \in N(T_1)} f(u)$  is decreased at most  $2t_i \Delta(G)$ . When the procedure terminates,  $\sum_{u \in N(T_1)} f(u) = 0$ , so:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(G)|T_1| - \sum_{i=1}^{i=l} (2t_i \Delta(G)) &\leq 0, \\ \delta(G)|T_1| - 2s\Delta(G) &\leq 0, \\ s &\geq \frac{\delta(G)}{2\Delta(G)}|T_1|, \\ |T_1 \cap T_2| = |T_3| = |T_1| - s &\leq \frac{2\Delta(G) - \delta(G)}{2\Delta(G)}|T_1|. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 5.** *If  $G$  is a graph,  $\Delta(G) \leq 2^{\frac{\delta(G)-3}{2}}$  and  $T$  is an independent set of  $G$ , then we can color the vertices of  $T$  with two colors such that for each  $u \in \{v | v \in V(G), N(v) \subseteq T\}$ ,  $u$  has two different colors in  $N(u)$ .*

**Proof.** Color every vertex of  $T$  randomly and independently by color *red* with a probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  and by color *blue* with a probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ . For each  $u \in \{v | v \in V(G), N(v) \subseteq T\}$ , let  $A_u$  be the event that,  $u$  has not two different colors in  $N(u)$ .

Each  $A_u$  is mutually independent of a set of all  $A_v$  events but at most  $\Delta^2$  of them. Clearly,  $Pr(A_u) \leq \frac{1}{2^{\delta-1}}$ . By Local Lemma we have:

$$4pd = 4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\delta-1} \Delta^2 \leq 1.$$

So there exists a coloring with our condition for  $T$  with positive probability.

□

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $c$  be a vertex  $k$ -coloring of a graph  $G$ , then there exists a dynamic coloring of  $G$  with at most  $k + |B_c|$  colors.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $B_c = \{v_1, \dots, v_{|B_c|}\}$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq |B_c|$  select  $u_i \in N(v_i)$  and recolor  $u_i$  by color  $k + i$ , respectively. The result is a dynamic coloring of  $G$  with at most  $k + |B_c|$  colors.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *If  $G$  is a graph and  $\Delta(G) \leq 2^{\frac{\delta(G)-3}{2}}$ , then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 2\lceil \log_{\frac{2\Delta(G)}{2\Delta(G)-\delta(G)}}^{\alpha(G)} \rceil + 3$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $k = \lceil \log_{\frac{2\Delta(G)}{2\Delta(G)-\delta(G)}}^{\alpha(G)} \rceil + 1$ . By Lemma 3, let  $T_1$  be an independent dominating set for  $G$ . Consider the vertex  $\chi(G)$ -coloring of  $G$ , by Lemma 5, recolor the vertices of  $T_1$  by colors  $\chi + 1$ ,  $\chi + 2$  and obtain a coloring  $c_1$  such that  $B_{c_1} \subseteq T_1$ .

Let  $T'_1 = T_1$ . For  $i = 2$  to  $i = k$  repeat the following procedure:

**Step 1.** By Lemma 4, find an independent set  $T_i$  such that,  $T_i$  is an independent dominating set for  $T'_{i-1}$  and  $|T_i \cap T'_{i-1}| \leq \frac{2\Delta(G)-\delta(G)}{2\Delta(G)}|T'_{i-1}|$ .

**Step 2.** By Lemma 5, recolor the vertices of  $T_i$  with colors  $\chi + 2i - 1$  and  $\chi + 2i$  such that for each  $u \in \{v|v \in V(G), N(v) \subseteq T_i\}$ ,  $u$  has two different colors in  $N(u)$ .

**Step 3.** Let  $T'_i = T_i \cap T'_{i-1}$ .

After each iteration of above procedure we obtain a proper coloring  $c_i$ , such that  $B_{c_i} \subseteq T'_i$ , so when the procedure terminates, we have a coloring  $c_k$  with at most  $\chi(G) + 2\lceil \log_{\frac{2\Delta(G)}{2\Delta(G)-\delta(G)}}^{\alpha(G)} \rceil + 2$  colors, such that  $B_{c_k} \subseteq T'_k$  and  $|T'_k| \leq 1$ , so by Lemma 6, we have a dynamic coloring with at most  $\chi(G) + 2\lceil \log_{\frac{2\Delta(G)}{2\Delta(G)-\delta(G)}}^{\alpha(G)} \rceil + 3$  colors.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *If  $G$  is an  $r$ -regular graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 2\lceil \log_2^{\alpha(G)} \rceil + 3$ .*

**Proof.** If  $r = 0$ , then the theorem is obvious. For  $1 \leq r \leq 3$ , we have  $\chi(G) \geq 2$ , by Theorem 1,  $\chi_2(G) \leq 5$  so  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 3$ .

So assume that  $r \geq 4$ , we use a proof similar to the proof of Theorem 2, in the proof of Theorem 2, for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we used Lemma 5, to recolor the vertices of  $T_i$  with colors  $\chi + 2i - 1$  and  $\chi + 2i$  such that for each  $u \in \{v|v \in V(G), N(v) \subseteq T_i\}$ ,  $u$  has two different colors in  $N(u)$ . In the new proof, for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $A = \{v|v \in V(G), N(v) \subseteq T_i\}$  and  $B = T_i$  and by Lemma 2, recolor the vertices of  $T_i$  with colors  $\chi + 2i - 1$  and  $\chi + 2i$  such that for each  $u \in \{v|v \in V(G), N(v) \subseteq T_i\}$ ,  $u$  has two different colors in  $N(u)$ . The other parts of the proof are similar. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Before proving next theorem we need to define some notations. Suppose  $c$  is a proper vertex coloring of  $G$ , let  $H_c = G[B_c]$ ,  $X_c$  is the set of isolated vertices in  $H_c$ ,  $Y_c = G[B_c \setminus X_c]$ .

**Lemma 7.** *If  $c$  is a proper vertex coloring of  $G$ , then  $H_c$  is a bipartite graph.*

**Proof.** Since the vertices of  $H_c$  are in  $B_c$ , so  $H_c$  has at most 2 different colors in each of its connectivity components, so  $H_c$  is a bipartite graph. □

**Theorem 4.** *If  $G$  is a simple graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + \alpha(G) + 1$ .*

**Proof.** For  $\chi(G) = 1$  the theorem is obvious and if  $\chi(G) = 2$ , then  $\Delta(G) \leq \alpha(G)$  so by Theorem 1,  $\chi_2(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2 \leq \alpha(G) + \chi(G)$ . If  $\alpha(G) = 1$ , then  $\chi_2(G) = \chi(G)$ .

Suppose that  $G$  is the connected graph, otherwise we apply the following proof for each of its connectivity components and also suppose  $\chi(G) \geq 3$  and  $\alpha(G) \geq 2$ . Let  $c$  be a vertex  $\chi(G)$ -coloring of  $G$ , such that  $|B_c|$  is minimum. Every vertex in  $B_c$  has a neighbour in  $A_c$ , otherwise there exists  $v \in B_c$  such that  $N(v) \subseteq B_c$ , then because  $\chi(G) \geq 3$ , by changing the color of  $v$  decrease the size of  $B_c$ .

Now, two cases can be considered:

Case 1.  $Y_c = \emptyset$ . In this case we have  $B_c = X_c$ . Since the vertices of  $X_c$  is an independent set, so  $|X_c| \leq \alpha(G)$ . By Lemma 6, there exists a dynamic coloring with  $\chi(G) + \alpha(G)$  colors.

Case 2.  $Y_c \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $T_c = \{v \in A_c \mid N(v) \subseteq Y_c\}$ . Clearly  $T_c \cup X_c$  is an independent set. Since  $Y_c \subseteq H_c$  and by Lemma 7,  $Y_c$  is a bipartite graph. Properly color the vertices of  $Y_c$  by colors  $\chi + 1$ ,  $\chi + 2$  and retain the color of other vertices and name this coloring  $c'$ . Clearly  $Y_{c'} \subseteq Y_c$ . Since every vertex of  $Y_c$  has at least one neighbour in  $A_c$  and one neighbour in  $Y_c$ , so  $Y_c$  are the subset of  $A_{c'}$ . So  $Y_{c'} = \emptyset$  and  $X_{c'} \subseteq T_c \cup X_c$ . If  $|X_{c'}| = 1$ , then the theorem is obvious, so assume  $|X_{c'}| \geq 1$ . Let  $v \in X_{c'}$ . Now we have two situations:

Case 2A.  $N(v) \cap N(X_{c'} \setminus \{v\}) = \emptyset$ . So  $N(v) \cup X_{c'} \setminus \{v\}$  is the independent set. So  $|N(v) \cup X_{c'} \setminus \{v\}| \leq \alpha(G)$ . Since  $|N(v)| \geq 2$ ,  $|X_{c'}| \leq \alpha(G) - 1$ . By Lemma 6, there exists a dynamic coloring with  $\chi(G) + 2 + (\alpha(G) - 1)$  colors.

Case 2B.  $N(v) \cap N(X_{c'} \setminus \{v\}) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore there exists a vertex  $u \in X_{c'}$  such that  $N(v) \cap N(u) \neq \emptyset$ . Color one of the common neighbours of  $v$  and  $u$ , with color  $\chi + 3$  and retain the color of other vertices and name this coloring  $c''$ . Clearly  $B_{c''} \subseteq X_{c'} \setminus \{v, u\}$ .  $|B_{c''}| \leq |X_{c'} \setminus \{v, u\}| \leq \alpha(G) - 2$ . By Lemma 6, there exists a dynamic coloring with  $\chi(G) + 3 + (\alpha(G) - 2)$  colors. □

**Proposition 1** *There exist an infinite number of graphs such that  $\chi_2(G) = \chi(G) + \alpha(G) - 1$ .*

**Proof.** For each  $n$ , consider the graph  $G_n$  derived from  $K_{2n}$  by replacing the edges of a perfect matching with  $P_3$ . We have:  $\alpha(G_n) = n + 1$ ,  $\chi(G_n) = n$ ,  $\chi_2(G_n) = 2n$ , so  $\chi_2(G_n) = \chi(G_n) + \alpha(G_n) - 1$ . □




Figure 1: The graphs of  $H_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 6$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $G$  is a connected graph. Each of the following holds (Figure 1).*

- (i) *If  $G$  is an  $H_1$ -free graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 4$ .*
- (ii) *If  $G$  is an  $H_2$ -free graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 6$ .*
- (iii) *If  $G$  is an  $H_3$ -free graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 3$ .*
- (iv) *If  $G$  is an  $H_4$ -free graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 2$ .*
- (v) *If  $G$  is an  $H_5$ -free graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 2$ .*
- (vi) *If  $H$  is a graph,  $|V(H)| = 4$  and  $H \notin \{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5\}$ , then for each constant  $c$ , there exists a graph  $G$  that is an  $H$ -free and  $\chi_2(G) - \chi(G) \geq c$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Since  $G$  is  $H_1$ -free, we have  $\alpha(G) \leq 3$ , by Theorem 4,  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + 4$ .

(ii) If  $G$  has no edge, then the theorem is obvious. Assume  $G$  has at least one edge and  $T$  is a minimum independent dominating set. We have  $|T| \leq 3$ , otherwise assume that  $|T| \geq 4$ , we have  $G \setminus T \neq \emptyset$  because  $G$  has at least one edge and for each  $v \in G \setminus T$ ,  $v$  is adjacent to at least  $|T| - 1$  members of  $T$ , because  $G$  is an  $H_2$ -free, now assume that  $u, w \in T$  and  $v \in G \setminus T$ , and  $vu, vw \in E(G)$  define  $T' = \{v\} \cup T \setminus \{u, w\}$ ,  $T'$  is an independent dominating set and  $|T'| = |T| - 1$ . Properly color the vertices of  $G \setminus T$  by  $\chi(G)$  colors and color the vertices of  $T$  with 3 different new colors. Now we have the coloring  $c$  with  $\chi(G) + 3$  colors such that  $B_c \subseteq T$ . By Lemma 6, we have  $\chi_2(G) \leq (\chi(G) + 3) + 3$ .

(iii) If each vertices  $v \in V(G)$  is in a triangle or  $d(v) \leq 1$ , then  $\chi_2(G) = \chi(G)$ , so assume that  $v \in V(G)$  and  $N(v)$  is the independent set and  $u, w \in N(v)$ . The distance of any vertex of  $G$  from  $v$  is at most 2, otherwise the vertex with distance more than 2 from  $v$  and  $\{v, u, w\}$  form an  $H_3$ . So we have  $G = \{v\} \cup N(v) \cup N(N(v))$ . Since  $G$  is an  $H_3$ -free, for each  $x \in N(N(v))$  we have  $xu \in E(G)$  or  $xw \in E(G)$ .  $T = \{v, u, w\}$  is the dominating set. Let  $c$  be a vertex  $\chi(G)$ -coloring of  $G$ . Define the vertex coloring  $c'$ :

$$c' : V(G) \longrightarrow \{1, \dots, \chi + 3\},$$

$$c'(z) = \begin{cases} c(z) & \text{if } z \notin T, \\ \chi + 1 & \text{if } z = v, \\ \chi + 2 & \text{if } z = u, \\ \chi + 3 & \text{if } z = w. \end{cases}$$

$c'$  is the dynamic coloring of  $G$ .

(iv) If each vertices  $v \in V(G)$  is in a triangle or  $d(v) \leq 1$ , then  $\chi_2(G) = \chi(G)$ , so assume that  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $N(v)$  is the independent set and  $u, w \in N(v)$ . The diameter of  $G$  is at most 2 and for each  $x \in N(N(v))$  we have  $xu \in E(G)$ , otherwise  $G$  has an  $H_4$  as an induced subgraph. So  $T = \{v, u\}$  is the dominating set. Let  $c$  be a vertex  $\chi(G)$ -coloring of  $G$ . Define the vertex coloring  $c'$ :

$$c' : V(G) \longrightarrow \{1, \dots, \chi + 2\},$$

$$c'(z) = \begin{cases} c(z) & \text{if } z \notin T, \\ \chi + 1 & \text{if } z = v, \\ \chi + 2 & \text{if } z = u. \end{cases}$$

$c'$  is the dynamic coloring of  $G$ .

(v) Proved in [3].

(vi) If  $H = H_6$ , for each  $n$ , consider the connected graph  $G_n$  derived from  $K_{2n}$  by replacing the edges of a perfect matching with  $P_3$ .  $G_n$  is  $H_6$ -free,  $\chi(G_n) = n$  and  $\chi_2(G_n) = 2n$ .

If  $H \neq H_6$ , let  $G_n[X, Y]$  be a bipartite graph such that:

$$V(X) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}, V(Y) = \{u_{i,j} | 1 \leq i < j \leq n\},$$

$$E(G) = \{v_i u_{p,q} | i = p \text{ or } i = q\}.$$

$G_n[X, Y]$  is an  $H$ -free, connected and we have:  $\chi(G) = 2$  and  $\chi_2(G) \geq n$ .

□

### 3 Concluding remarks and open problems

In Lemma 4 we proved if  $T_1$  is an independent set for a graph  $G$ , then there exists  $T_2$  such that,  $T_2$  is an independent dominating set for  $T_1$  and  $|T_1 \cap T_2| \leq \frac{2\Delta(G) - \delta(G)}{2\Delta(G)} |T_1|$ . Finding the optimal upper bound for  $|T_1 \cap T_2|$  seems to be an intriguing open problem, we do conjecture the following:

**Conjecture 2.** *There is a constant  $C$  such that for every  $r$ -regular graph with  $r \neq 0$ , if  $T_1$  is an independent set, then there exists an independent dominating set  $T_2$  for  $T_1$  such that  $|T_1 \cap T_2| \leq C$ .*

**Theorem 6.** *If Conjecture 2 is true, then there is a constant  $C_1$  such that for every  $r$ -regular graph  $G$ ,  $\chi_2(G) - \chi(G) \leq C_1$ .*

**Proof.** If  $r \leq 3$ , then by Theorem 1,  $\chi_2(G) - \chi(G) \leq \chi_2(G) - 1 \leq 4$ .

So, we can assume that  $r \geq 4$ . Consider a vertex  $\chi(G)$ -coloring of  $G$ , by Lemma 3, let  $T_1$  be an independent dominating set for  $G$ . By Lemma 2, recolor the vertices of  $T_1$  by colors  $\chi + 1$ ,  $\chi + 2$  and retain the color of other vertices, name this coloring  $c$ .  $B_c$  is the independent set, by Conjecture 2, there is a constant  $C$  such that there exists an independent dominating set  $T_2$  for  $T_1$  and  $|T_1 \cap T_2| \leq C$ , by Lemma 2, recolor the vertices of  $T_2$  by colors  $\chi + 3$ ,  $\chi + 4$  and retain the color of other vertices and name it  $c'$ .  $|B_{c'}| \leq C$ , so by Lemma 6, there exists a dynamic coloring with at most  $\chi(G) + 4 + C$  colors. Let  $C_1 = C + 4$ , this completes the proof. □

In Theorem 4 we proved if  $G$  is a simple graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + \alpha(G) + 1$  and in Proposition 1 we present an infinite number of graphs such that  $\chi_2(G) = \chi(G) + \alpha(G) - 1$ . For  $G = C_4, C_5$ , we have  $\chi_2(G) = \chi(G) + \alpha(G)$ . Are there an infinite number of graphs such that  $\chi_2(G) = \chi(G) + \alpha(G)$ ?

**Conjecture 3.** *If  $G$  is a simple graph, then  $\chi_2(G) \leq \chi(G) + \alpha(G)$ .*

### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Saieed Akbari for his invaluable comments.

### References

- [1] S. Akbari, M. Ghanbari and S. Jahanbekam. Some Upper Bounds for Dynamic and List Dynamic Chromatic Numbers of Graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, in press.
- [2] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer. *The Probabilistic Method*, Second Edition. Wiley, New York, 2000.
- [3] H.J. Lai, J. Lin, B. Montgomery, T. Shui and S. Fan. Conditional colorings of graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 306(2006), 1997–2004.
- [4] H.J. Lai, B. Montgomery and H. Poon. Upper bounds of dynamic chromatic number. *Ars Combin.*, 68(2003), 193–201.
- [5] B. Montgomery. *Dynamic Coloring of Graphs*. Ph.D. Dissertation, West Virginia University, 2001.
- [6] D. West. *Introduction to Graph Theory*. Prentice–Hall, Inc, 2001. 2nd Edition.