

Galilean Conformal Algebra in Two Dimensions and Cosmological Topologically Massive Gravity

Kyosuke Hotta, Takahiro Kubota and Takahiro Nishinaka

*Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science,
Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan*

Abstract

We consider a realization of the Galilean conformal algebra (GCA) in two dimensional space-time on the AdS boundary of a particular three dimensional gravity theory, the so-called cosmological topologically massive gravity (CTMG), which includes the gravitational Chern-Simons term and the negative cosmological constant. The infinite dimensional GCA in two dimensions is obtained from the Virasoro algebra for the relativistic CFT by taking a scaling limit $t \rightarrow t$, $x \rightarrow \epsilon x$ with $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The parent relativistic CFT should have left and right central charges of order $\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon)$ but opposite in sign in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, by Brown-Henneaux's analysis the Virasoro algebra is realized on the boundary of AdS₃, but the left and right central charges are asymmetric only by the factor of the gravitational Chern-Simons coupling $1/\mu$. If μ behaves as of order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ under the corresponding limit, we have the GCA with non-trivial centers on AdS boundary of the bulk CTMG. Then we present a new entropy formula for the Galilean field theory from the bulk black hole entropy, which is a non-relativistic counterpart of the Cardy formula. It is also discussed whether it can be reproduced by the microstate counting.

1 Introduction

We are now facing a new stage for the application of the duality between bulk gravity theory and boundary quantum field theory. Above all, the AdS/CFT correspondence, on which much attention has been focused in the past decade, enables us not only to understand still enigmatic quantum aspects of gravity but to give a powerful tool to investigate regions unexplored in the usual field theory approach. It is certain that the generalization of this bulk/boundary connection to non-relativistic settings, some of which may be realized closely to our laboratory, or possibly to our real life, would provide a more useful dictionary for us to quest for the complete quantum field theory.

The Schrödinger symmetry group is one of the non-relativistic conformal symmetry, and can be seen in systems, such as cold atoms [1]. This has $SO(d, 2)$ conformal symmetry in d dimensions with dynamical exponent $z = 2$. The gravity dual for the non-relativistic CFT with the Schrödinger symmetry was proposed in refs. [2, 3], and then the non-relativistic version of the AdS/CFT correspondence has recently been explored to some extent. In this paper, however, we focus on another non-relativistic realization of the conformal symmetry, the so-called Galilean conformal algebra (GCA), and consider its gravity dual in the bulk. For the recent developments on the GCA, see the papers [4]-[16].

Unlike the Schrödinger case, the Galilean conformal symmetry has dynamical exponent $z = 1$ and allows the infinite dimensional algebra in any space-time dimensions, which contains local conformal transformations, rotations and boosts. Especially, an important thing in two dimensions is that the infinite dimensional GCA can actually be obtained from the Virasoro algebra for the relativistic CFT_2 by taking a non-relativistic limit $t \rightarrow t$, $x \rightarrow \epsilon x$ with $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ [13, 14]. By the GCA construction defined below, it turns out that for finite GCA central charges, C_1 and C_2 , the Virasoro central charges c^\pm must behave as $c^+ + c^- = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $c^+ - c^- = \mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon)$ under the non-relativistic limit. In addition, for finite scaling dimensions Δ and rapidity ξ , which are labels specifying a primary state of the GCA, the conformal weight of the parent Virasoro algebra h^\pm must also behave as $h^+ + h^- = \mathcal{O}(1)$, and $h^+ - h^- = \mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon)$. The main subject of this paper is to reconstruct the GCA in two dimensions with these properties, at least, the finite central charges (C_1, C_2) and the labels (Δ, ξ) from bulk gravity theory in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence.

According to ref. [4], the GCA in d dimensions is realized on the boundary of the AdS_{d+1} gravity, but this dual theory must be reduced by a certain limit just like the usual non-relativistic gravity theory. In the asymptotically flat space-time, the non-relativistic limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ reduces the Einstein equation to the Poisson equation which determines the Newtonian potential. It is known that this space-time is described by the Newton-Cartan geometry.¹ On the analogy of this case, in the bulk AdS_{d+1} gravity dual to the GCA, one has to take the scaling limit $t \rightarrow t$, $r \rightarrow r$ and $x^i \rightarrow \epsilon x^i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, d-1$) with $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, where r is the radial coordinate in the bulk and can be interpreted as a measure of the energy scale in the boundary theory. The resulting space-time is a surviving AdS_2 base times the degenerate x^i direction, but nevertheless it can be also described by the Newton-Cartan-like geometry, as discussed in ref. [4]. On the basis of this proposal, we want to realize the GCA in two dimensions on the degenerate AdS_3 gravity under the scaling limit $t \rightarrow t$, $r \rightarrow r$ and $x \rightarrow \epsilon x$.

We have already known that the usual AdS_3/CFT_2 correspondence is confirmed by Brown and Henneaux's analysis [18]. Namely, the Virasoro algebra is obtained from the algebra of the Hamiltonian with respect to the allowed deformation around the asymptotic infinity

¹For example, see the textbook [17] for the Newton-Cartan geometry.

$r \rightarrow \infty$ in three dimensional gravity with the negative cosmological constant $-2/\ell^2$ [19]. Its central charges are then expressed by $c^\pm = \frac{3\ell}{2G}$, where G is the Newton constant in three dimensions. This setup of the left-right symmetric central charges for the parent CFT is not enough to realize the full GCA in two dimensions even if we take the above corresponding limit on both boundary and bulk sides.

In order to construct the asymmetry of the central charges, we have to begin with the three dimensional gravity including the gravitational Chern-Simons term, called topologically massive gravity [20]. We add to it the negative cosmological constant and refer to this three dimensional gravity as cosmological topologically massive gravity (CTMG).² By the direct Brown-Henneaux approach to CTMG, it was shown in ref. [30] that the Virasoro algebra is again observed on the AdS₃ boundary and that the central charges then become asymmetric, i.e., $c^\pm = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left(1 \pm \frac{1}{\mu\ell}\right)$, where $1/\mu$ is the coupling of the gravitational Chern-Simons term. Since the entropy for the Bañados-Teitelboim-Zanelli (BTZ) black hole [41] in CTMG again agrees with the Cardy formula [42], one can confirm the AdS₃/CFT₂ correspondence even in this higher derivative gravity.³

We now argue that this asymmetry of c^\pm is compatible with the GCA features, and in fact the additional limit $\mu \rightarrow \epsilon\mu$ gives rise to the central charges C_1 and C_2 . By taking appropriate limits on both sides between the AdS₃ gravity in CTMG and the Virasoro algebra for the parent relativistic CFT₂, we verify the correspondence between the Newton-Cartan-like geometry in the bulk CTMG and the GCA in two dimensions on the $r \rightarrow \infty$ boundary. Our proposal is that the scaling limit $\mu \rightarrow \epsilon\mu$ together with $t \rightarrow t$, $r \rightarrow r$ and $x \rightarrow \epsilon x$ leads to a consistent realization of the GCA with the non-trivial C_1 , C_2 , Δ and ξ . These parameters are again expressed by those of gravity.

Now that we have the realization of the GCA in the bulk CTMG, we can present a new entropy formula of the GCA from the bulk black hole entropy. Under our limit, the entropy for the BTZ black hole is still finite and can be rewritten by the parameters of the GCA. Our new entropy formula is

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{GCA}} = \pi \left(C_1 \sqrt{\frac{2\xi}{C_2}} + \Delta \sqrt{\frac{2C_2}{\xi}} \right). \quad (1)$$

We also discuss whether it can be reproduced by counting the degeneracy of microstates of the GCA in two dimensions. Then we have to use the modular transformation, which is now degenerated by the scaling limit, even after the reduction to the non-relativistic geometry. This entropy expression is expected to give quantum degeneracy for the GCA states with the scaling dimensions Δ and the rapidity ξ , and is an analog of the Cardy formula for the relativistic CFT₂.

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we first review the infinite dimensional GCA in two dimensions and how it can be reduced from the Virasoro algebra. In section 3 we recall the usual AdS₃/CFT₂ correspondence in CTMG and show that the scaling limit surely yields the GCA and its finite parameters. In section 4 we present the new entropy formula from the BTZ black hole entropy in the bulk and try to demonstrate the microscopic counting of Galilean field theory in order to reconstruct it. In section 5 we summarize our results.

²See ref. [21]-[40] for the recent discussions on three dimensional gravity with the gravitational Chern-Simons term.

³The equivalent expressions of c^\pm or the entropy in CTMG were obtained in various ways [21]-[27], [43], [37].

2 Galilean Conformal Algebra in Two Dimensions

In two dimensional space-time (t, x) , the generators

$$\mathcal{L}_n^\pm = ie^{inx^\pm} \partial_\pm, \quad (2)$$

where $x^\pm = t \pm x$ and $\partial_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t \pm \partial_x)$, obey the (center-less) Virasoro algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_m^\pm, \mathcal{L}_n^\pm] &= (m - n)\mathcal{L}_{m+n}^\pm, \\ [\mathcal{L}_m^+, \mathcal{L}_n^-] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

From this, one obtains the infinite dimensional (center-less) GCA in two dimensions

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (m - n)L_{m+n}, \\ [L_m, M_n] &= (m - n)M_{m+n}, \\ [M_m, M_n] &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

by taking a non-relativistic limit

$$t \rightarrow t, \quad x \rightarrow \epsilon x, \quad \text{with} \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (5)$$

The GCA generators L_n and M_n are constructed from the Virasoro generators \mathcal{L}_n^+ and \mathcal{L}_n^- by

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (\mathcal{L}_n^+ + \mathcal{L}_n^-), \\ M_n &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon (\mathcal{L}_n^+ - \mathcal{L}_n^-), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

or their explicit dependence on the coordinates is

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= ie^{int} (\partial_t + inx\partial_x), \\ M_n &= ie^{int} \partial_x. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

At the quantum level the GCA is centrally extended

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (m - n)L_{m+n} + C_1 m(m^2 - 1)\delta_{m+n,0}, \\ [L_m, M_n] &= (m - n)M_{m+n} + C_2 m(m^2 - 1)\delta_{m+n,0}, \\ [M_m, M_n] &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for the central charges C_1 and C_2 . This is in fact obtained from the Virasoro algebra for the relativistic CFT₂

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_m^\pm, \mathcal{L}_n^\pm] &= (m - n)\mathcal{L}_{m+n}^\pm + \frac{c^\pm}{12} m(m^2 - 1)\delta_{m+n,0}, \\ [\mathcal{L}_m^+, \mathcal{L}_n^-] &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

by the non-relativistic limit (5). Accordingly, the central charges C_1 and C_2 are related to c^\pm of the Virasoro algebra by

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{c^+ + c^-}{12}, \\ C_2 &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\epsilon \frac{c^+ - c^-}{12} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Eq. (10) tells us that $c^+ + c^-$ is of order $\mathcal{O}(1)$ while $c^+ - c^-$ must be $\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon)$ for the non-trivial C_1 and C_2 . Namely, c^+ and c^- should behave as $\mathcal{O}(1) \pm \mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon)$.⁴

Similarly, scaling dimensions Δ and rapidity ξ , which are the eigenvalues of L_0 and M_0 respectively, are made by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (h^+ + h^-), \\ \xi &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon (h^+ - h^-),\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

where h^+ and h^- are the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L}_0^+ and \mathcal{L}_0^- . In other words, they have to come from the conformal weight $h^\pm = \mathcal{O}(1) \pm \mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon)$. From the behaviours of the central charges and conformal weights in the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit we find that the parent CFT breaks unitarity. But this may not cause a problem for us since such non-unitary CFTs often arise in some cases. A primary state $|\Delta, \xi\rangle$, which obeys $L_n|\Delta, \xi\rangle = 0$ and $M_n|\Delta, \xi\rangle = 0$ ($n > 0$), and its descendants built up by L_{-n} and M_{-n} ($n > 0$) give us a representation of the GCA. Although the Hilbert space of the GCA is again non-unitary, this nature is inherited from the parent relativistic CFT [14].

3 GCA Realization on Cosmological Topologically Massive Gravity

We would like to propose a gravity dual of this GCA in two dimensions in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence. To realize the properties of the GCA from three dimensional gravity, it is especially notable for us that the dual theory has to yield the finite (Δ, ξ) and (C_1, C_2) . To do this we first try to realize the parent CFT₂ which has the above ϵ dependence. Actually, left-right asymmetry between the central charges of the Virasoro algebra is seen in the Brown-Henneaux analysis for the particular three dimensional gravity, called CTMG. The gravity action of CTMG is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I} &= \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x (\mathcal{L}_{\text{EH}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}}), \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{EH}} &= \sqrt{-g} \left(R + \frac{2}{\ell^2} \right), \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}} &= \frac{1}{2\mu} \sqrt{-g} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \left(\Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\sigma \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\lambda + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\sigma \Gamma_{\nu\theta}^\lambda \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\theta \right).\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

Here \mathcal{L}_{CS} is the gravitational Chern-Simons term and $1/\mu$ is its coupling constant.

The gravitational Chern-Simons term contains the third derivative, but nevertheless, this gravity theory with the higher derivative correction still allows the AdS₃ geometry as a solution. The vacuum state is the globally AdS₃

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} \right) dt^2 + \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2,\tag{13}$$

and its excited state is the BTZ black hole

$$ds^2 = - \left(\frac{r^2}{\ell^2} - 8Gm + \frac{16G^2 j^2}{r^2} \right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\frac{r^2}{\ell^2} - 8Gm + \frac{16G^2 j^2}{r^2}} + r^2 \left(d\phi + \frac{4Gj}{r^2} dt \right)^2\tag{14}$$

⁴The modular invariance of the CFT₂ requires that $c^+ - c^- = 0 \pmod{24}$. We implicitly impose this condition.

with $\phi \sim \phi + 2\pi$. The definitions of mass M and angular momentum J of the BTZ black hole are affected by the presence of the Chern-Simons term and are related to the parameters m and j by

$$\begin{aligned} M &= m + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{j}{\ell^2}, \\ J &= j + \frac{1}{\mu} m. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

according to refs. [44]-[49]. The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is also obliged to be modified as

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{BH}} = \frac{\pi r_+}{2G} + \frac{1}{\mu \ell} \frac{\pi r_-}{2G}. \quad (16)$$

Here r_+ and r_- are outer and inner horizon radii defined by

$$r_{\pm} = \sqrt{2G\ell(\ell m + j)} \pm \sqrt{2G\ell(\ell m - j)}. \quad (17)$$

Since the AdS₃ solutions are not changed despite the modification of the equations of motion, one can apply the Brown-Henneaux analysis for the AdS₃/CFT₂ correspondence. First, let us allow the deformation of the global AdS₃ solution at the spatial infinity ($r \rightarrow \infty$) such as $\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{D}_\mu \xi_\nu + \mathcal{D}_\nu \xi_\mu$. The choice

$$\xi_n^\pm = e^{inx^\pm} \left(\partial_\pm - \frac{n^2 \ell^2}{2r^2} \partial_\mp - \frac{inr}{2} \partial_r \right), \quad (18)$$

where $x^\pm = t/\ell \pm \phi$ and $\partial_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\ell \partial_t \pm \partial_\phi)$, means that the allowed deformation is

$$\begin{aligned} g_{tt} &= -\frac{r^2}{\ell^2} + \mathcal{O}(1) \quad , \quad g_{tr} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}) \quad , \quad g_{t\phi} = \mathcal{O}(1), \\ g_{rr} &= \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}) \quad , \quad g_{r\phi} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}) \quad , \quad g_{\phi\phi} = r^2 + \mathcal{O}(1). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The BTZ black hole satisfies this behaviour.

The algebraic structure of symmetric transformation group is given by the Poisson bracket algebra of the Hamiltonian generator $H[\xi]$. But the *asymptotic isometry* such as (18) leads to the infinite dimensional Lie algebra with central extension. It was shown that the Poisson bracket algebra of $H[\xi]$ in three dimensional gravity with the negative cosmological constant corresponds to the centrally extended Virasoro algebra (9). This is also true in the case of CTMG (12), but the central charges of the Virasoro algebra are left-right asymmetric [30]

$$\begin{aligned} c^+ &= \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \right), \\ c^- &= \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

due to the coupling of the gravitational Chern-Simons term $1/\mu$.⁵ For the BTZ black hole solution in CTMG, h^+ and h^- are calculated as⁶

$$h^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\ell M + J) + \frac{c^+}{24}, \quad h^- = \frac{1}{2}(\ell M - J) + \frac{c^-}{24}. \quad (21)$$

⁵In order to guarantee the modular invariance of the CFT₂, CTMG is required to hold $3/\mu G = 0 \pmod{24}$. Here we treat μ as a continuous parameter by choosing the Newton constant G small enough.

⁶In our notation, h^\pm contain the factor $c^\pm/24$. The vacuum (13) has $M = -1/8G$ and $J = -1/8G\mu$ relative to the zero-mass BTZ, so h^\pm are normalized so that it vanishes for the vacuum. But as long as we consider large BTZ black holes ($mG \gg 1$), these terms are neglected.

Then the microscopic state counting is expressed by the Cardy formula

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{CFT}} = 2\pi \left(\sqrt{\frac{c^+ h^+}{6}} + \sqrt{\frac{c^- h^-}{6}} \right). \quad (22)$$

Even if we include the higher derivative term \mathcal{L}_{CS} , this microscopic entropy still agrees with the black hole entropy (16) in $1 \leq |\mu|\ell$ case.

Now let us consider a limit corresponding to (5) in three dimensional gravity. Here we take the scaling limit

$$t \rightarrow t, \quad r \rightarrow r, \quad \phi \rightarrow \epsilon\phi, \quad \text{with} \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (23)$$

Accordingly, the parameters m and j in the BTZ solution (14) must scale like

$$m \rightarrow m, \quad j \rightarrow \epsilon j. \quad (24)$$

The limits (23) and (24) make the Riemannian metric degenerate in the solution (14), and then the geometry seems to be ill-defined. But this is similar to the usual Newtonian approximation $c \rightarrow \infty$. In ref. [4], it was discussed that this situation in bulk gravity is described by the Newton-Cartan-like geometry for the geometry with the AdS_2 base. The dynamical variables are affine connections, not metric. And the Einstein equation⁷ reduces to equation for the curvature of these non-metric connections, just as it does to the Poisson equation for determining the Newtonian gravitational potential in the non-relativistic limit of the asymptotically flat space-time. The difference is only the point that in our case t and r have the same scaling on ϵ . We have the geometric structure of a fibre bundle with the surviving AdS_2 base times the degenerate ϕ direction. In other words, the gravity theory is not ill-defined under the scaling limit but still gives the meaningful physical quantities, for example, the entropy as mentioned below.

Since the Virasoro generators correspond to $\mathcal{L}_n^\pm = i\xi_n^\pm$, from the gravity side we define the GCA generators L_n and M_n as

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= ie^{int/\ell} \left[\ell \left(1 - \frac{n^2 \ell^2}{2r^2} \right) \partial_t + in\phi \left(1 + \frac{n^2 \ell^2}{2r^2} \right) \partial_\phi - inr\partial_r \right], \\ M_n &= ie^{int/\ell} \left(1 + \frac{n^2 \ell^2}{2r^2} \right) \partial_\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

using (18), (23) and (6). One can easily check that they certainly satisfy the center-less GCA algebra (4). Recalling that the central charges of the Virasoro must behave as $c^\pm = \mathcal{O}(1) \pm \mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon)$, we assume that μ should scale as

$$\mu \rightarrow \epsilon\mu. \quad (26)$$

Under this limit, we obtain the central charges of the GCA

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \frac{\ell}{4G}, \\ C_2 &= \frac{1}{4G\mu} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

⁷In the case of CTMG the field equation is affected by the contribution of the gravitational Chern-Simons term, but the correction term vanishes as long as we consider the AdS_3 solution.

from their definitions (10) and the Virasoro central charges (20). We have not known yet the corresponding *canonical formalism for the Newton-Cartan-like geometry*, but we have got around it and have obtained the centrally extended GCA on the $r \rightarrow \infty$ boundary by the scaling limit (23), (24) and (26) from the Virasoro algebra of the Brown-Henneaux analysis in AdS₃ gravity. The scaling of μ is also consistent with the finite Δ and ξ , and they are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(\ell M + \frac{c^+ + c^-}{24} \right) = \ell m + \frac{1}{\mu \ell} j + \frac{C_1}{2}, \\ \xi &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \left(J + \frac{c^+ - c^-}{24} \right) = \frac{1}{\mu} m + \frac{C_2}{2}\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

for the parameters of gravity. When m and j are large enough, the last terms $C_1/2$ and $C_2/2$ can be neglected as usual. In conclusion, the limits (23), (24) and (26) define the consistent GCA on the $r \rightarrow \infty$ boundary of the bulk gravity. Eq. (15) tells us that the mass and angular momentum of the BTZ black hole behave in the scaling limit (24) and (26) as

$$M \rightarrow M, \quad J \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right).\tag{29}$$

The scaling $j \rightarrow \epsilon j$ in (24) has been motivated so that the black hole mass M should remain finite under the scaling. Note that the behavior of J in (29) is consistent with (23) since $J \sim \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$.

4 Entropy Formula of GCA

Finally, we would like to mention the entropy of the GCA. The scaling limit (24) requires that the event horizon of the BTZ black hole (17) should scale as

$$r_+ \rightarrow 2\ell\sqrt{2Gm}, \quad r_- \rightarrow \epsilon\sqrt{\frac{2G}{m}}j.\tag{30}$$

Since the radius of the inner horizon behaves as $r_- \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ while the scaling of μ is (26), the black hole entropy (16) is still finite

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{BH}} \rightarrow \pi \left(\ell \sqrt{\frac{2m}{G}} + \frac{j}{\mu \ell} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2Gm}} \right).\tag{31}$$

Here we have implicitly used the fact that the periodicity of ϕ now becomes $\phi \sim \phi + \frac{2\pi}{\epsilon}$. From the expressions of the central charges (C_1, C_2), the scaling dimensions Δ and the rapidity ξ , one can rewrite the entropy as

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{GCA}} = \pi \left(C_1 \sqrt{\frac{2\xi}{C_2}} + \Delta \sqrt{\frac{2C_2}{\xi}} \right)\tag{32}$$

for $m \gg 1/G$ and $j \gg \mu \ell^2/G$. We here propose that this expression is the entropy for the GCA in two dimensions, which is an analog of the Cardy formula for the relativistic CFT₂. Eq. (32) is the most important formula in the present work.

There are some comments on the entropy formula (32). The black hole entropy (16) is derived in the Noether charge formulation, and one can show that it obeys the first law of

thermodynamics [50, 51, 52, 25]. However, it is in general not trivial to prove the second law in the higher derivative gravity like CTMG, except for the Bekenstein-Hawking piece, the first term in (16). Furthermore, when we naively take $1 \gg \mu\ell$, the correction piece to the Bekenstein-Hawking, for which the second law is not manifestly guaranteed, becomes dominant.⁸ However, as long as we take the limit (24) and (26) at the same time instead of the naive limit $1 \gg \mu\ell$, both the first and second terms of the entropy (16) are of the same order, as seen above. It is an important task for us to confirm the second law, but we here adopted the usual entropy formula derived by the Noether charge method.

It is also notable that the form (22) would become divergent under the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit, unlike the black hole entropy (16). In $1 > \mu\ell$ region both c^- and \tilde{h}^- are negative, so we might consider the new representation of the Virasoro algebra with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_n^- \equiv -\mathcal{L}_{-n}^-$, $\tilde{c}^- \equiv -c^-$ and the highest-weight state $|\tilde{h}^- \rangle$ satisfying $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_n^- |\tilde{h}^- \rangle = 0$ ($n > 0$). Counting the relativistic primary states $|h^+, \tilde{h}^- \rangle$ and their descendants, one again arrives at the expression (22), and it becomes divergent in $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. However, we alternatively know the representation of the GCA in our limit, and now want to count the degeneracy of the GCA primary states $|\Delta, \xi \rangle$ and their descendants. Then the entropy actually becomes finite, although they include the negative norm states. But remarkably, the GCA states $|\Delta, \xi \rangle$ are completely different from the states $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} |h^+, \tilde{h}^- \rangle$ since they come from $|h^+, h^- \rangle$ satisfying $\mathcal{L}_n^\pm |h^+, h^- \rangle = 0$ ($n > 0$), not $|\tilde{h}^+, \tilde{h}^- \rangle$. It is, therefore, natural that the expression (22) does not give the same answer as ours (32). Here we discuss whether the direct state counting of the GCA representation can confirm the entropy formula (32).

From now on, we take the Euclidean signature for convenience. The partition function for the relativistic CFT₂ on the cylinder is written in the usual form

$$Z_0(\beta, \theta) = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-\beta(\mathcal{L}_0^+ + \mathcal{L}_0^- - \frac{c^+}{24} - \frac{c^-}{24})} e^{i\theta(\mathcal{L}_0^+ - \mathcal{L}_0^- - \frac{c^+}{24} + \frac{c^-}{24})} \right], \quad (33)$$

where β is the inverse temperature and θ the ‘‘angular potential’’. We here want to consider the non-relativistic limit of this parent CFT₂. Then, with the help of eqs. (5), (6) and (10), it is reduced to the form

$$Z_0(\beta, \theta) = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-\beta(L_0 - \frac{C_1}{2}) + i\theta(M_0 - \frac{C_2}{2})} \right]. \quad (34)$$

When the partition function on the torus is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\beta, \theta) &= e^{-\beta\frac{C_1}{2} + i\theta\frac{C_2}{2}} Z_0(\beta, \theta) \\ &= \int_{\Delta_0}^{\infty} d\Delta e^{-\beta\Delta} \int_{\xi_0}^{\infty} d\xi e^{i\theta\xi} \Omega(\Delta, \xi), \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

the inverse Laplace transformation gives us

$$\Omega(\Delta, \xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 i} \int_{\beta' - i\infty}^{\beta' + i\infty} d\beta e^{\beta\Delta - \beta\frac{C_1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\theta e^{-i\theta\xi + i\theta\frac{C_2}{2}} Z_0(\beta, \theta). \quad (36)$$

⁸ In such cases, if we have only the first law at our hands, we may consider the *exotic black holes*, for which the roles of the outer and inner horizon are interchanged, with the entropy

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{ex}} = \frac{\pi r_-}{2G} + \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \frac{\pi r_+}{2G}.$$

If we could evaluate the first law at the inner horizon, the exotic black hole entropy would satisfy the re-defined first law [53, 24].

This quantity is an analog of the the degeneracy for the state with (Δ, ξ) , but further details on microscopic interpretation will be discussed later.

To derive the Cardy formula (22), one had to use the modular invariance of the torus for the CFT_2 . However, the non-relativistic limit is now taken on the two dimensional space-time. The modular transformation for the torus is translated as

$$\beta \leftrightarrow \frac{4\pi^2}{\beta}, \quad \theta \leftrightarrow -\frac{4\pi^2}{\beta^2}\theta \quad (37)$$

in our limit. The invariance of the partition function under this transformation has to be tested from various viewpoints, but now we simply assume this remnant of the modular invariance for the parent CFT. Imposing this invariance of $Z_0(\beta, \theta)$, which amounts to the relation

$$Z_0(\beta, \theta) = Z_0(4\pi^2/\beta, -4\pi^2\theta/\beta^2) = e^{\frac{2\pi^2 C_1}{\beta} + i\theta \frac{2\pi^2 C_2}{\beta^2}} Z(4\pi^2/\beta, -4\pi^2\theta/\beta^2), \quad (38)$$

then one finds

$$\Omega(\Delta, \xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 i} \int d\beta \int d\theta e^{-i\theta \left(\xi - \frac{C_2}{2} - \frac{2\pi^2 C_2}{\beta^2} \right)} e^{-\frac{\beta C_1}{2} + \frac{2\pi^2 C_1}{\beta} + \beta \Delta} Z(4\pi^2/\beta, -4\pi^2\theta/\beta^2). \quad (39)$$

Without detailed knowledge on the partition function, we can approximately evaluate this integral for large charges Δ and ξ (equivalently for large m and j on the gravity side). By performing the θ -integration first, we obtain a sharp Gaussian contribution around the point

$$\beta = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi^2 C_2}{\xi - C_2/2}} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{2\pi^2 C_2}{\xi}}, \quad (40)$$

where $Z(4\pi^2/\beta, -4\pi^2\theta/\beta^2)$ varies slowly as a function of θ . If we move the path of β -integration in advance so that it passes through this point (40), the integral over β is dominated by the contribution from (40). Then the logarithm of (39) can be approximately written as

$$\begin{aligned} \log \Omega(\Delta, \xi) &\simeq 2\pi^2 C_1 \sqrt{\frac{\xi}{2\pi^2 C_2}} + \Delta \sqrt{\frac{2\pi^2 C_2}{\xi}} \\ &= \pi \left(C_1 \sqrt{\frac{2\xi}{C_2}} + \Delta \sqrt{\frac{2C_2}{\xi}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

which coincides with the entropy formula (32) proposed from the gravity-side analysis. This is the non-relativistic counterpart of the Cardy formula.

5 Summary and Discussions

In this paper, we have considered the realization of the infinite dimensional GCA in two dimensions on the boundary of the three dimensional gravity, CTMG. The scaling limit on the $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ correspondence by Brown-Henneaux's method enables us to confirm the consistent correspondence between the Newton-Cartan-like geometry with AdS_2 base and the GCA with the non-zero centers. The compatible limit on the bulk side is given by (23), (24) and (26). The central charges C_1 and C_2 , the scaling dimensions Δ and the rapidity

ξ are expressed as (27) and (28) in terms of the parameters of gravity. Moreover, we have proposed the entropy formula of the GCA (32) from both the bulk and boundary analyses. To justify this, we have used the transformation (37) inherited from the modular invariance of the parent CFT. The meaning of this transformation should be clarified further without referring to the parent CFT.

We give some additional comments on the non-relativistic analog of the Cardy formula (32). Since there are states with negative norms in the Galilean field theory, one might think that $\Omega(\Delta, \xi)$ is the number of positive norm states minus that of negative norm states with the given charges Δ and ξ . But the situation is more complicated. In the two dimensional Galilean field theory, the rapidity operator M_0 is non-diagonalizable, because the representation matrix of M_0 has a Jordan cell structure when it is restricted to the subspace spanned by the GCA descendants with the same scaling dimensions in a GCA module [14]. This means that the partition function $Z(\beta, \theta)$ cannot be written as a sum of the (plus or minus of) Boltzmann factors for all the states, such as

$$\sum_{n \in \text{states}} (\pm) e^{-\beta \Delta_n + i\theta \xi_n}. \quad (42)$$

It actually has logarithmic contributions like⁹

$$i\theta e^{-\beta \Delta + i\theta \xi}. \quad (43)$$

This situation is rather similar to the case of the logarithmic CFT [54]. It has the Jordan cell structure with respect to \mathcal{L}_0 , and the correlation functions and the partition function have the logarithmic contributions. Nevertheless, modular invariant partition functions of logarithmic CFTs are known to exist [55]. Considering the existence of the logarithmic terms (43), we have to learn more about the interpretation of the inverse Laplace transform $\Omega(\Delta, \xi)$ as the degeneracy of the states. We would like to emphasize, however, that $\log \Omega(\Delta, \xi)$ certainly agrees with the black hole entropy (32) for large Δ and ξ .

Also, the correlation functions of the GCA are calculated in ref. [14], and they are consistent with those obtained from the Virasoro algebra by taking the corresponding limit. As a next step for strengthening the relation between GCA and CTMG, it is a non-trivial check whether they can be re-derived by the holographic principle based on the GKPW relation. In refs. [38, 40] the correlation functions for the logarithmic CFT were computed in the AdS₃ bulk of CTMG at the chiral point [28]. It is a quite intriguing and significant problem for the future works to investigate the connection between the GCA and the logarithmic CFT.¹⁰

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Yoshifumi Hyakutake, Hiroaki Tanida and Satoshi Yamaguchi for many useful discussions. K.H. and T.N. are supported in part by JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists.

⁹For example, suppose the subspace spanned by the level-one descendants $L_{-1}|\Delta-1, \xi\rangle$ and $M_{-1}|\Delta-1, \xi\rangle$, where $|\Delta-1, \xi\rangle$ is a GCA primary that has the scaling dimensions $\Delta-1$ and the rapidity ξ . The representation matrix of $e^{i\theta M_0}$ restricted to this subspace can be written as $\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta \xi} & i\theta e^{i\theta \xi} \\ 0 & e^{i\theta \xi} \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\langle \Delta-1, \xi | L_1 M_{-1} | \Delta-1, \xi \rangle \neq 0$, the trace of $e^{-\beta L_0 + i\theta M_0}$ over this subspace leads to the logarithmic contribution such as (43).

¹⁰The logarithmic correlators in the two dimensional Galilean field theory were argued in ref. [15].

References

- [1] Y. Nishida and D.T. Son, “*Nonrelativistic conformal field theories*,” Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 086004, arXiv:0706.3746 [hep-th].
- [2] D.T. Son, “*Toward an AdS/cold atoms correspondence: A Geometric realization of the Schrodinger symmetry*,” Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 046003, arXiv:0804.3972 [hep-th].
- [3] K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, “*Gravity duals for non-relativistic CFTs*,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 061601, arXiv:0804.4053 [hep-th].
- [4] A. Bagchi and R. Gopakumar, “*Galilean Conformal Algebras and AdS/CFT*,” JHEP **0907** (2009) 037, arXiv:0902.1385 [hep-th].
- [5] M. Alishahiha, A. Davody and A. Vahedi, “*On AdS/CFT of Galilean Conformal Field Theories*,” JHEP **0908** (2009) 022, arXiv:0903.3953 [hep-th].
- [6] A. Bagchi and I. Mandal, “*On Representations and Correlation Functions of Galilean Conformal Algebras*,” Phys. Lett. B **675** (2009) 393, arXiv:0903.4524 [hep-th].
- [7] D. Martelli and Y. Tachikawa, “*Comments on Galilean conformal field theories and their geometric realization*,” arXiv:0903.5184 [hep-th].
- [8] C. Duval and P.A. Horvathy, “*Non-relativistic conformal symmetries and Newton-Cartan structures*,” J. Phys. A **42** (2009) 465206, arXiv:0904.0531 [math-ph].
- [9] J.A. de Azcarraga and J. Lukierski, “*Galilean Superconformal Symmetries*,” Phys. Lett. B **678** (2009) 411, arXiv:0905.0141 [math-ph].
- [10] M. Sakaguchi, “*Super Galilean conformal algebra in AdS/CFT*,” arXiv:0905.0188 [hep-th].
- [11] A. Bagchi and I. Mandal, “*Supersymmetric Extension of Galilean Conformal Algebras*,” Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 086011, arXiv:0905.0580 [hep-th].
- [12] A. Mukhopadhyay, “*A Covariant Form of the Navier-Stokes Equation for the Galilean Conformal Algebra*,” JHEP **1001** (2010) 100, arXiv:0908.0797 [hep-th].
- [13] A. Hosseiny and S. Rouhani, “*Affine Extension of Galilean Conformal Algebra in 2+1 Dimensions*,” arXiv:0909.1203 [hep-th].
- [14] A. Bagchi, R. Gopakumar, I. Mandal and A. Miwa, “*GCA in 2d*,” arXiv:0912.1090 [hep-th].
- [15] A. Hosseiny and S. Rouhani, “*Logarithmic Correlators in Non-relativistic Conformal Field Theory*,” arXiv:1001.1036 [hep-th].
- [16] I. Mandal, “*Supersymmetric Extension of GCA in 2d*,” arXiv:1003.0209 [hep-th].
- [17] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler, “*Gravitation*,” San Francisco 1973, 1279p.
- [18] J.D. Brown and M. Henneaux, “*Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity*,” Commun. Math. Phys. **104** (1986) 207.

- [19] S. Deser and R. Jackiw, “*Three-Dimensional Cosmological Gravity: Dynamics of Constant Curvature*,” Ann. Phys. **153** (1984) 405.
- [20] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, “*Three-Dimensional Massive Gauge Theories*,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **48** (1982) 975; “*Topologically Massive Gauge Theories*,” Ann. Phys. **140** (1982) 372 [Erratum-ibid. **185** (1988) 406]; Ann. Phys. **281** (2000) 409.
- [21] P. Kraus and F. Larsen, “*Microscopic Black Hole Entropy in Theories with Higher Derivatives*,” JHEP **0509** (2005) 034, hep-th/0506176; “*Holographic Gravitational Anomalies*,” JHEP **0601** (2006) 022, hep-th/0508218.
- [22] S.N. Solodukhin, “*Holography with Gravitational Chern-Simons*,” Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 024015, hep-th/0509148.
- [23] B. Sahoo and A. Sen, “*BTZ Black Hole with Chern-Simons and Higher Derivative Terms*,” JHEP **0607** (2006) 008, hep-th/0601228.
- [24] M.-I. Park, “*BTZ Black Hole with Gravitational Chern-Simons: Thermodynamics and Statistical Entropy*,” Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 026011, hep-th/0608165; “*BTZ Black Hole with Higher Derivatives, the Second Law of Thermodynamics, and Statistical Entropy: A New Proposal*,” Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 126012, hep-th/0609027.
- [25] Y. Tachikawa, “*Black Hole Entropy in the Presence of Chern-Simons Terms*,” Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) 737, hep-th/0611141.
- [26] M.-I. Park, “*Holography in Three-dimensional Kerr-de Sitter Space with a Gravitational Chern-Simons Term*,” Class. Quant. Grav. **25** (2008) 135003, arXiv:0705.4381 [hep-th].
- [27] R.K. Gupta and A. Sen, “*Consistent Truncation to Three Dimensional (Super-) gravity*,” JHEP **0803** (2008) 015, arXiv:0710.4177 [hep-th].
- [28] W. Li, W. Song and A. Strominger, “*Chiral Gravity in Three Dimensions*,” JHEP **0804** (2008) 082, arXiv:0801.4566 [hep-th].
- [29] S. Carlip, S. Deser, A. Waldron and D.K. Wise, “*Cosmological Topologically Massive Gravitons and Photons*,” Class. Quant. Grav. **26** (2009) 075008, arXiv:0803.3998 [hep-th]; “*Topologically Massive AdS Gravity*,” Phys. Lett. B **666** (2008) 272, arXiv:0807.0486 [hep-th].
- [30] K. Hotta, Y. Hyakutake, T. Kubota, and H. Tanida, “*Brown-Henneaux’s Canonical Approach to Topologically Massive Gravity*,” JHEP **0807** (2008) 066, arXiv:0805.2005 [hep-th].
- [31] D. Grumiller and N. Johansson, “*Instability in Cosmological Topologically Massive Gravity at the Chiral Point*,” JHEP **0807** (2008) 134, arXiv:0805.2610 [hep-th].
- [32] S. Carlip, “*The Constraint Algebra of Topologically Massive AdS Gravity*,” JHEP **0810** (2008) 078, arXiv:0807.4152 [hep-th]; “*Chiral Topologically Massive Gravity and Extremal B-F Scalars*,” JHEP **0909** (2009) 083, arXiv:0906.2384 [hep-th].
- [33] G. Giribet, M. Kleban and M. Porrati, “*Topologically Massive Gravity at the Chiral Point is Not Chiral*,” JHEP **0810** (2008) 045, arXiv:0807.4703 [hep-th].

- [34] Y.-S. Myung, “*Logarithmic Conformal Field Theory Approach to Topologically Massive Gravity*,” Phys. Lett. B **670** (2008) 220, arXiv:0808.1942[hep-th].
- [35] M. Henneaux, C. Martinez and R. Troncoso, “*Asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes in topologically massive gravity*,” Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 081502, arXiv:0901.2874 [hep-th].
- [36] A. Maloney, W. Song and A. Strominger, “*Chiral Gravity, Log Gravity and Extremal CFT*,” arXiv:0903.4573 [hep-th].
- [37] K. Hotta, Y. Hyakutake, T. Kubota, T. Nishinaka and H. Tanida, “*Left-Right Asymmetric Holographic RG Flow with Gravitational Chern-Simons Term*,” Phys. Lett. B **680** (2009) 279, arXiv:0906.1255 [hep-th].
- [38] K. Skenderis, M. Taylor and B.C. van Rees, “*Topologically Massive Gravity and the AdS/CFT Correspondence*,” JHEP **0909** (2009) 045, arXiv:0906.4926 [hep-th].
- [39] O. Miskovic and R. Olea, “*Background-independent charges in Topologically Massive Gravity*,” JHEP **0912** (2009) 046, arXiv:0909.2275 [hep-th].
- [40] D. Grumiller and I. Sachs, “*AdS₃/LCFT₂ – Correlators in Cosmological Topologically Massive Gravity*,” arXiv:0910.5241 [hep-th].
- [41] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, “*The Black Hole in Three-dimensional Spacetime*,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 1849, hep-th/9204099.
- [42] J.L. Cardy, “*Operator Content of Two-Dimensional Conformally Invariant Theories*,” Nucl. Phys. B **270** (1986) 186.
- [43] M. Blagojevic and B. Cvetkovic, “*Canonical structure of 3-D gravity with torsion*,” ‘Trends in General Relativity and Quantum Cosmology’. Volume 2. Edited by Charles V. Benton. N.Y., Nova Science Publishers, 2006. pp.85 gr-qc/0412134.
- [44] A.A. Garcia, F.W. Hehl, C. Heinicke and A. Macias, “*Exact Vacuum Solution of a (1+2)-dimensional Poincare Gauge Theory: BTZ Solution with Torsion*,” Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 124016, gr-qc/0302097.
- [45] K.A. Moussa, G. Clement and C. Leygnac, “*The Black Holes of Topologically Massive Gravity*,” Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) L277, gr-qc/0303042.
- [46] S. Deser and B. Tekin, “*Energy in Topologically Massive Gravity*,” Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) L259, gr-qc/0307073.
- [47] S. Deser, I. Kanik and B. Tekin, “*Conserved Charges of Higher D Kerr-AdS Spacetimes*,” Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 3383, gr-qc/0506057.
- [48] S. Olmez, O. Sarioglu and B. Tekin, “*Mass and Angular Momentum of Asymptotically AdS or Flat Solutions in the Topologically Massive Gravity*,” Class. Quant. Grav. **22** (2005) 4355, gr-qc/0507003.
- [49] A. Bouchareb and G. Clement, “*Black Hole Mass and Angular Momentum in Topologically Massive Gravity*,” Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) 5581, arXiv:0706.0263 [gr-qc].

- [50] R.M. Wald, “*Black Hole Entropy is the Noether Charge*,” Phys. Rev. D **48** (1993) R3427, gr-qc/9307038.
- [51] T. Jacobson, G. Kang and R.C. Myers, “*On Black Hole Entropy*,” Phys. Rev. D **49** (1994) 6587, gr-qc/9312023; “*Increase of Black Hole Entropy in Higher Curvature Gravity*,” Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 3518, gr-qc/9503020.
- [52] V. Iyer and R.M. Wald, “*Some Properties of Noether Charge and a Proposal for Dynamical Black Hole Entropy*,” Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 846, gr-qc/9403028.
- [53] M.-I. Park, “*Thermodynamics of exotic black holes, negative temperature, and Bekenstein-Hawking entropy*,” Phys. Lett. B **647** (2007) 472, hep-th/0602114; “*Can Hawking temperatures be negative?*,” Phys. Lett. B **663** (2008) 259, hep-th/0610140.
- [54] V. Gurarie, “*Logarithmic operators in conformal field theory*,” Nucl. Phys. B **410** (1993) 535, hep-th/9303160.
- [55] M.A.I. Flohr, “*On Modular Invariant Partition Functions of Conformal Field Theories with Logarithmic Operators*,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **11** (1996) 4147, hep-th/9509166.