

CHARACTERISTIC NUMBER ASSOCIATED TO MASS LINEAR PAIRS

ANDRÉS VIÑA

ABSTRACT. Let Δ be a Delzant polytope in \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let E denote the symplectic fibration over S^2 determined by the pair (Δ, \mathbf{b}) . Under certain hypotheses, we prove the equivalence between the fact that (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair (D. McDuff, S. Tolman, *Polytopes with mass linear functions. I.* Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 8 (2010) 1506-1574.) and the vanishing of a characteristic number of E . Denoting by $\text{Ham}(M_\Delta)$ the Hamiltonian group of the symplectic manifold defined by Δ , we determine loops in $\text{Ham}(M_\Delta)$ that define infinite cyclic subgroups in $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_\Delta))$, when Δ satisfies any of the following conditions: (i) it is the trapezium associated with a Hirzebruch surface, (ii) it is a Δ_p bundle over Δ_1 , (iii) Δ is the truncated simplex associated with the one point blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

MSC 2000: Primary: 53D50, Secondary: 57S05

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (N, Ω) be a closed connected symplectic $2n$ -manifold. By $\text{Ham}(N, \Omega)$, we denote the Hamiltonian group of (N, Ω) [6, 8]. Associated with a loop ψ in $\text{Ham}(N, \Omega)$, there exist characteristic numbers which are invariant under deformation of ψ . These invariants are defined in terms of characteristic classes of fibre bundles and their explicit values are not easy to calculate, in general. Here, we will consider a particular invariant I , whose definition we will recall below. By proving the non-vanishing of I for certain loops, we will deduce the existence of infinite cyclic subgroups of $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(N, \Omega))$, when N is a toric manifold. The vanishing of the invariant I on particular loops in $\text{Ham}(N, \Omega)$ is related with the concept of mass linear pair, which has been developed in [7]. In this introduction, we will state the main results of the paper and will give a schematic exposition of the concepts involved in these statements.

A loop ψ in $\text{Ham}(N, \Omega)$ determines a Hamiltonian fibre bundle $E \rightarrow S^2$ with standard fibre N , via the clutching construction. Various characteristic numbers for the fibre bundle E have been defined in [4]. These numbers give rise to topological invariants of the loop ψ . In this article, we will consider only the following characteristic number

$$(1.1) \quad I(\psi) := \int_E c_1(VTE) c^n,$$

where VTE is the vertical tangent bundle of E and $c \in H^2(E, \mathbb{R})$ is the coupling class of the fibration $E \rightarrow S^2$ [3, 6]. $I(\psi)$ depends only on the homotopy class of

Key words and phrases. Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, toric manifolds, symplectic fibrations.

This work has been partially supported by Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, grant MAT2007-65097-C02-02.

the loop ψ . Moreover, the map

$$(1.2) \quad I : \psi \in \pi_1(\text{Ham}(N, \Omega)) \mapsto I(\psi) \in \mathbb{R}$$

is an \mathbb{R} -valued group homomorphism [4].

Our purpose is to study this characteristic number when N is a toric manifold and ψ is a 1-parameter subgroup of $\text{Ham}(N)$ defined by the toric action. The referred 1-parameter subgroup is determined by an element \mathbf{b} in the integer lattice of the Lie algebra of the corresponding torus. On the other hand, a toric symplectic manifold is determined by its moment polytope. For a general polytope, a mass linear function on it is a linear function “whose value on the center of mass of the polytope depends linearly on the positions of the supporting hyperplanes” [7]. In this article, we will relate the vanishing of the number $I(\psi)$ with the fact that \mathbf{b} defines a mass linear function on the polytope associated with the toric manifold. In the following paragraphs, we provide a more detailed exposition of this relation.

Let T be the torus $(U(1))^n$ and $\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{n}, k)$ the polytope in \mathfrak{t}^* with m facets defined by

$$(1.3) \quad \Delta(\mathbf{n}, k) = \bigcap_{j=1}^m \{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* : \langle x, \mathbf{n}_j \rangle \leq k_j\},$$

where $k_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and the $\mathbf{n}_j \in \mathfrak{t}$ are the outward conormals to the facets. The facet defined by the equation $\langle x, \mathbf{n}_j \rangle = k_j$ will be denoted F_j , and we put $\text{Cm}(\Delta)$ for the mass center of the polytope Δ .

In [7] the chamber \mathcal{C}_Δ of Δ is defined as the set of $k' \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the polytope $\Delta' := \Delta(\mathbf{n}, k')$ is analogous to Δ ; that is, the intersection $\bigcap_{j \in J} F_j$ is nonempty iff $\bigcap_{j \in J} F'_j \neq \emptyset$ for any $J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$. When we consider only polytopes which belong to the chamber of a fixed polytope we delete the \mathbf{n} in the notation introduced in (1.3).

Further, McDuff and Tolman introduced the concept of mass linear pair: Given the polytope Δ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathfrak{t}$, the pair (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is mass linear if the map

$$(1.4) \quad k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta \mapsto \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$$

is linear. That is,

$$(1.5) \quad \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum_j R_j k_j + C,$$

where R_j and C are constant.

Let us assume that Δ is a Delzant polytope [1]. We shall denote by $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta, \mu_\Delta)$ the toric manifold determined by Δ ($\mu_\Delta : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ being the corresponding moment map). Given \mathbf{b} , an element in the integer lattice of \mathfrak{t} , we shall write $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ for the loop of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta)$ defined by \mathbf{b} through the toric action. We will let $I(\Delta; \mathbf{b})$ for the characteristic number $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}})$. When we consider only polytopes in the chamber of a given polytope, we will write $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ instead of $I(\Delta(k); \mathbf{b})$ for k in this chamber.

The group G of the translations defined by the elements of \mathfrak{t}^* acts freely on \mathcal{C}_Δ . We put $r := m - n$ for the dimension of the quotient \mathcal{C}_Δ/G . Thus, r is the number of effective parameters which characterize the polytopes in \mathcal{C}_Δ considered as “physical bodies”.

We will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let (Δ, \mathbf{b}) be a pair consisting of a Delzant polytope in \mathfrak{t}^* and an element in the integer lattice of \mathfrak{t} . If $r \leq 2$, the following statements are equivalent*

- (a) $I(k; \mathbf{b}) = 0$, for all $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$.
- (b) (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair as in (1.5), with $\sum_j R_j = 0$.

In [12], by direct computation, we proved the equivalence between the vanishing of $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ on \mathcal{C}_Δ and the fact that (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair, when Δ satisfies any of the following conditions:

- (i) it is the trapezium associated with a Hirzebruch surface,
- (ii) it is a Δ_p bundle over Δ_1 [7],
- (iii) Δ is the truncated simplex associated with the one point blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

On the other hand, when Δ is any of these polytopes (i)-(iii), the number r is equal to 2; thus, from Theorem 1 and the result of [12], it follows that condition $\sum_j R_j = 0$ is satisfied by all the mass linear pairs (Δ, \mathbf{b}) . This fact can also be proved by direct calculation (Propositions 14, 18 and 21). So, Theorem 1, together with these Propositions, generalize the result proved in [12].

Although the homotopy type of the Hamiltonian groups $\text{Ham}(N, \Omega)$ is known only for some symplectic manifolds [5], the invariant I allows us to identify non-trivial elements in $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(N, \Omega))$. As I is a *group homomorphism*, from Theorem 1, we deduce that a sufficient condition for $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ to generate an infinite cyclic subgroup in $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta))$ is that the above condition (b) does not hold for (Δ, \mathbf{b}) . More precisely, we have the following consequence of Theorem 1:

Theorem 2. *Given the Delzant polytope Δ and \mathbf{b} an element in the integer lattice of \mathfrak{t} . If $r \leq 2$ and (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is not mass linear, then $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ generates an infinite cyclic subgroup in $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_{\Delta(k)}, \omega_{\Delta(k)}))$, for all $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$.*

In the proof of Theorem 1, a formula for the characteristic number $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}})$ obtained in [11] plays a crucial role. This formula gives $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}})$ in terms of the integrals, on the facets of the polytope, of the normalized Hamiltonian function corresponding to the loop $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ (see (2.9)). From this expression for $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}})$, we will deduce a relation between the directional derivative of map (1.4) along the vector $(1, \dots, 1)$ of \mathbb{R}^m , the Euclidean volume of $\Delta(k)$ and $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ (see (3.1)). From this relation, it is easy to complete the proof of Theorem 1.

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we study the characteristic number $I(k; \mathbf{b})$, when (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a linear pair and k varies in the chamber of Δ ; we prove that $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ is a homogeneous polynomial of the k_j (Proposition 6).

In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1. In Proposition 11, a sufficient geometric condition for the Delzant polytope Δ to admit a mass linear pair (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is given. For a Delzant polytope Δ , Proposition 12 gives a necessary condition for the vanishing of $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ on \mathcal{C}_Δ . We also express $\sum_j R_j$ in terms of the displacement of the center of mass $\text{Cm}(\Delta(k))$ produced by the change $k_j \rightarrow k_j + 1$ (Proposition 13).

Section 4 concerns the form which Theorem 2 adopts, when Δ is a Delzant polytope of the particular types (i)-(iii) mentioned above (see Corollary 15, Theorems 17 and 20). We also prove that, in these particular cases, if (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair, then $\sum_j R_j = 0$.

2. A CHARACTERISTIC NUMBER

Let us suppose that the polytope Δ defined in (1.3) is a Delzant polytope in \mathfrak{t}^* . Following [2], we recall some points of the construction of $(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta, \mu_\Delta)$ from the

polytope Δ . We put $\tilde{T} := (S^1)^{m-n}$. The \mathbf{n}_i determine weights $w_j \in \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^*$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ for a \tilde{T} -action on \mathbb{C}^m . Then moment map for this action is

$$J : z \in \mathbb{C}^m \mapsto J(z) = \pi \sum_{j=1}^m |z_j|^2 w_j \in \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^*.$$

The k_i define a regular value σ for J , and the manifold M_Δ is the following orbit space

$$(2.1) \quad M_\Delta = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^m : \pi \sum_{j=1}^m |z_j|^2 w_j = \sigma \right\} / \tilde{T},$$

where the relation defined by \tilde{T} is

$$(2.2) \quad (z_j) \simeq (z'_j) \text{ iff there is } \mathbf{y} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{t}} \text{ such that } z'_j = z_j e^{2\pi i \langle w_j, \mathbf{y} \rangle} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Identifying $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}^*$ with \mathbb{R}^r , $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$ and each σ_a is a linear combination of the k_j .

Given a facet F of Δ , we choose a vertex p of F . After a possible change in numeration of the facets, we can assume that F_1, \dots, F_n intersect at p . In this numeration $F = F_j$, for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

If we write $z_a = \rho_a e^{i\theta_a}$, then the symplectic form can be written on $\{[z] \in M : z_a \neq 0, \forall a\}$

$$(2.3) \quad \omega_\Delta = (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^n d\rho_i^2 \wedge d\varphi_i,$$

with φ_i an angular variable, linear combination of the θ_a .

The action of $T = (S^1)^n$ on M_Δ

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)[z_1, \dots, z_m] := [\alpha_1 z_1, \dots, \alpha_n z_n, z_{n+1}, \dots, z_m]$$

endows M_Δ with a structure of toric manifold. Identifying \mathfrak{t}^* with \mathbb{R}^n , the moment map $\mu_\Delta : M_\Delta \rightarrow \mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \mu_\Delta([z]) = \pi(\rho_1^2, \dots, \rho_n^2) + (d_1, \dots, d_n),$$

where the constants d_i are linear combinations of the k_j and

$$(2.5) \quad \text{im } \mu_\Delta = \Delta.$$

The facet $F = F_j$ of Δ is the image by μ_Δ of the submanifold

$$D_j = \{[z_1, \dots, z_m] \in M_\Delta \mid z_j = 0\}.$$

We write $x_i := \pi\rho_i^2$, then

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{M_\Delta} (\omega_\Delta)^n = n! \int_{\Delta} dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

Let \mathbf{b} be an element in the integer lattice of \mathfrak{t} . The normalized Hamiltonian of the circle action generated by \mathbf{b} is the function f determined by,

$$f = \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle + \text{constant} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{M_\Delta} f (\omega_\Delta)^n = 0.$$

That is, $f = \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle - \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle$, where

$$(2.7) \quad \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \frac{\int_M \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^n}{\int_M (\omega_\Delta)^n}.$$

Moreover,

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{M_\Delta} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^n = n! \int_\Delta \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

An expression for the value of the invariant $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}})$ in terms of integrals of the Hamiltonian function has been obtained in Section 4 of [11] (see also [10] and [9])

$$(2.9) \quad I(\Delta; \mathbf{b}) := I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}}) = -n \sum_{F \text{ facet}} N(F),$$

where the contribution $N(F)$ of the above facet $F = F_j$ (with $j = 1, \dots, n$) is

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} N_j &:= N(F) = (n-1)! \int_{F_j} f dx_1 \dots d\hat{x}_j \dots dx_n \\ &= (n-1)! \left(\int_{F_j} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle dx_1 \dots d\hat{x}_j \dots dx_n - \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta), \mathbf{b} \rangle \int_{F_j} dx_1 \dots d\hat{x}_j \dots dx_n \right), \end{aligned}$$

with $dx_1 \dots d\hat{x}_j \dots dx_n := dx_1 \dots dx_{j-1} dx_{j+1} \dots dx_n$.

Given $\Delta = \Delta(\mathbf{n}, k)$, we consider the polytope $\Delta' = \Delta(\mathbf{n}, k')$ obtained from Δ by the translation defined by a vector a of \mathfrak{t}^* . As we said, we write $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ and $I(k'; \mathbf{b})$ for the corresponding characteristic numbers. According to the construction of the respective toric manifolds,

$$M_{\Delta'} = M_\Delta, \quad \omega_{\Delta'} = \omega_\Delta, \quad \mu_{\Delta'} = \mu_\Delta + a.$$

But the *normalized* Hamiltonians f and f' corresponding to the action of \mathbf{b} on M_Δ and $M_{\Delta'}$ are equal. Thus, it follows from (2.9) that $I(k; \mathbf{b}) = I(k'; \mathbf{b})$. More precisely, we have the evident proposition:

Proposition 3. *If a is an arbitrary vector of \mathfrak{t}^* , then $I(k; \mathbf{b}) = I(k'; \mathbf{b})$, for $k'_j = k_j + \langle a, \mathbf{n}_j \rangle$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.*

By Proposition 3, we can assume that all d_j in (2.4) are zero for the determination of $I(k; \mathbf{b})$.

The following lemma is elementary:

Lemma 4. *If*

$$S_n(\tau) := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \tau, \quad 0 \leq x_j, \forall j \right\},$$

then

$$\int_{S_n(\tau)} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau^n}{n!}, & \text{if } f = 1 \\ c \frac{\tau^{n+c}}{(n+c)!}, & \text{if } f = x_i^c, \quad c = 1, 2 \\ \frac{\tau^{n+2}}{(n+2)!}, & \text{if } f = x_i x_j, \quad i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

More general, if $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we put

$$S_n(c, \tau) := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i \leq \tau, \quad 0 \leq x_j, \forall j \right\},$$

then

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{S_n(c,\tau)} dx_1 \dots dx_n = \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\tau}{c_i}, \quad \int_{S_n(c,\tau)} x_j dx_1 \dots dx_n = \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \frac{\tau}{c_j} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\tau}{c_i}$$

Thus, in the particular case that $\Delta = S_n(c, \tau)$, the integral $\int_{M_\Delta} (\omega_\Delta)^n$ is a monomial of degree n in τ , and $\int_{M_\Delta} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^n$ is a monomial of degree $n+1$.

We return to the general case in which Δ is the polytope defined in (1.3). Its vertices are the solutions to

$$(2.12) \quad \langle x, \mathbf{n}_{j_a} \rangle = k_{j_a}, \quad a = 1, \dots, n;$$

hence, the coordinates of any vertex of Δ are linear combinations of the k_j .

A hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^n through a vertex (x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0) of Δ is given by an equation of the form

$$(2.13) \quad \langle x, \mathbf{n} \rangle = \langle x^0, \mathbf{n} \rangle =: \kappa.$$

Thus, the independent term κ is a linear combination (l. c.) of the k_j . Moreover, the coordinates of the common point of n hyperplanes

$$(2.14) \quad \langle x, \tilde{\mathbf{n}}_i \rangle = \kappa_i,$$

with κ_i l. c. of the k_j are also l. c. of the k_j .

By drawing hyperplanes through vertices of Δ (or more generally, through points which are the intersection of n hyperplanes as (2.14)), we can obtain a family $\{\beta S\}$ of subsets of Δ such that:

a) Each βS is the transformed of a simplex $S_n(b, \tau)$ by an element of the group of Euclidean motions in \mathbb{R}^n .

b) For $\alpha \neq \beta$, $\alpha S \cap \beta S$ is a subset of the border of αS .

c) $\bigcup_{\beta} \beta S = \Delta$.

Thus, by construction, each facet of βS is contained in a hyperplane π of the form $\langle x, \mathbf{n} \rangle = \kappa$, with κ l. c. of the k_j .

On the other hand, the hyperplane π is transformed by an element of $\text{SO}(n)$ in an hyperplane $\langle x, \mathbf{n}' \rangle = \kappa$. If \mathcal{T} is a translation in \mathbb{R}^n which applies $S_n(b, \tau)$ onto βS , then this transformation maps $(0, \dots, 0)$ in a vertex $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of βS . So, the translation \mathcal{T} transforms π in $\langle x, \mathbf{n} \rangle = \kappa + \langle a, \mathbf{n} \rangle =: \kappa'$. As each a_j is a l. c. of the k_j , so is κ' . Hence, any element of the group of Euclidean motions in \mathbb{R}^n which maps $S_n(b, \tau)$ onto βS transforms the hyperplane π

$$(2.15) \quad \langle x, \mathbf{n}' \rangle = \kappa',$$

with κ' a l. c. of the k_j .

Let assume that $(R\mathcal{T}_a)(S(b, \tau)) = \beta S$, with $R \in \text{SO}(n)$ and \mathcal{T}_a the translation defined by a . Then the oblique facet of $S(b, \tau)$, contained in the hyperplane $\sum b_i x_i = \tau$, is the image by $T_{-a}R^{-1}$ of a facet of βS , which in turn is contained in a hyperplane of equation (2.15) (κ' being a l. c. of the k_j). The argument of the preceding paragraph applied to R^{-1} and \mathcal{T}_{-a} proves that τ is a l. c. of the k_j . Hence, by (2.11) the integral

$$\int_{\beta S} dx_1 \dots dx_n = \int_{S_n(b,\tau)} dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

is a monomial of degree n of a l. c. of the k_j . Thus,

$$(2.16) \quad \int_M (\omega_\Delta)^n = \sum_\beta \int_{\beta S} dx_1 \dots dx_n,$$

is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n of the k_j .

Similarly,

$$(2.17) \quad \int_{M_\Delta} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_\Delta)^n$$

is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n + 1$ of the k_j . Analogous results hold for

$$\int_{F_j} dx_1 \dots d\hat{x}_j \dots dx_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{F_j} \langle \mu_\Delta, \mathbf{b} \rangle dx_1 \dots d\hat{x}_j \dots dx_n.$$

From formulas (2.6)-(2.10) together with the preceding argument, it follows the following proposition:

Proposition 5. *Given a Delzant polytope Δ , if \mathbf{b} belongs to the integer lattice of \mathfrak{t} , then $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ is a rational function of the k_j , for $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$.*

Analogously, we have

Proposition 6. *If (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is mass linear pair, then $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ is a homogeneous polynomial in the k_j of degree n , when $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$.*

We will use the following simple lemma in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 7. *If $\hat{k}_j = sk_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, with $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\text{Cm}(\Delta(\mathbf{n}, \hat{k})) = s \text{Cm}(\Delta(\mathbf{n}, k))$.*

Proof. The vertices of $\Delta(\mathbf{n}, k)$ are the solutions of (2.12) and the vertices of $\Delta(\mathbf{n}, \hat{k})$ are the solutions of $\langle x, \mathbf{n}_{j_a} \rangle = sk_{j_a}$, with $a = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, the vertices of $\Delta(\mathbf{n}, \hat{k})$ are those of $\Delta(\mathbf{n}, k)$ multiplied by s . \square

The Lemma also follows from the fact that (2.16) and (2.17) are homogeneous polynomials of degree n and $n + 1$, respectively.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let us assume that the polytope Δ defined by (1.3) is Delzant and let k be an element of \mathcal{C}_Δ . We denote by $M_{(k)}$, $\omega_{(k)}$ and $\mu_{(k)}$, the manifold, the symplectic structure and the moment map (resp.) determined by $\Delta(k)$. The facets of $\Delta(k)$ will be denoted by $F_{(k)j}$.

Let \mathbf{b} be an element in the integer lattice of \mathfrak{t} . We put

$$A_{(k)} := \int_{M_{(k)}} \langle \mu_{(k)}, \mathbf{b} \rangle (\omega_{(k)})^n, \quad B_{(k)} := \int_{M_{(k)}} (\omega_{(k)})^n.$$

By (2.6), $\frac{1}{n!} B_{(k)}$ is the Euclidean volume of the polytope $\Delta(k)$. Given a facet $F_{(k)j}$, we can assume that $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ (see third paragraph of Section 2). So, $F_{(k)j}$ is defined by the equation $x_j = 0$. If we make an infinitesimal variation of the facet $F_{(k)j}$, by means of the translation defined by $k_j \rightarrow k_j + \epsilon$ (keeping unchanged the other k_i), then the volume of $\Delta(k)$ changes according to

$$\frac{1}{n!} B_{(k)} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{n!} B_{(k)} + \epsilon \int_{F_{(k)j}} dx_1 \dots d\hat{x}_j \dots dx_n + O(\epsilon^2).$$

We write dX^j for $dx_1 \dots d\hat{x}_j \dots dx_n$. Thus,

$$\frac{\partial B_{(k)}}{\partial k_j} = n! \int_{F_{(k)j}} dX^j, \quad \frac{\partial A_{(k)}}{\partial k_j} = n! \int_{F_{(k)j}} \langle \mu_{(k)}, \mathbf{b} \rangle dX^j.$$

So, by (2.7),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial k_j} \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \frac{n!}{(B_{(k)})^2} \left(B_{(k)} \int_{F_{(k)j}} \langle \mu_{(k)}, \mathbf{b} \rangle dX^j - A_{(k)} \int_{F_{(k)j}} dX^j \right).$$

From (2.9) and (2.10), it follows

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial k_j} \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \frac{-1}{B_{(k)}} I(k; \mathbf{b}).$$

Thus, we have proved the following proposition:

Proposition 8. $I(k; \mathbf{b}) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$ iff $\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial k_j} \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = 0$, for all $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$.

Next, we will parametrize the quotient \mathcal{C}_Δ/G (of classes of polytopes in \mathcal{C}_Δ module translation) defined in the Introduction.

After a possible renumbering, we may assume that the intersection of facets F_1, \dots, F_n is a vertex of Δ . Thus, the conormals $\mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_n$ are linearly independent in \mathfrak{t} . So, given $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$, there is a unique $v \in \mathfrak{t}^*$, such that,

$$(3.2) \quad \langle v, \mathbf{n}_i \rangle + k_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

(Expressing the \mathbf{n}_i in terms of a basis of \mathfrak{t} and v in the dual basis, (3.2) is a compatible and determined system of linear equations for the coordinates of v .) Moreover $v = v(k)$ depends *linearly* of the k_i ; that is, $\langle v(k), \mathbf{c} \rangle$ is a linear function of k_1, \dots, k_n , for all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathfrak{t}$.

If $m - n = 2$, we write

$$\lambda = k_{n+1} + \langle v(k), \mathbf{n}_{n+1} \rangle, \quad \tau = k_m + \langle v(k), \mathbf{n}_m \rangle,$$

where $v(k)$ the element in \mathfrak{t}^* defined by (3.2). From the linearity of v with respect to the k_i , it follows that λ and τ are *linear combinations* of k_1, \dots, k_m .

The polytope in \mathcal{C}_Δ defined by $(k'_1 = 0, \dots, k'_n = 0, \lambda, \tau)$ will be denoted by $\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)$. It is the result of the translation of $\Delta(k)$ by the vector $v(k)$; i. e.,

$$(3.3) \quad \Delta_0(\lambda, \tau) = \Delta(k) + v(k).$$

Let \mathbf{b} an element in the integer lattice of \mathfrak{t} , we define the function g by

$$g(\lambda, \tau) := \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)), \mathbf{b} \rangle.$$

The function g is defined on the pairs (λ, τ) such that $(0, \dots, 0, \lambda, \tau) \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$. By Lemma 7, it follows

$$g(s\lambda, s\tau) = sg(\lambda, \tau),$$

for any real number s such that $(s\lambda, s\tau)$ belongs to the domain of g . This property implies that

$$(3.4) \quad g = \lambda \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} + \tau \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau}.$$

Theorem 9. If $I(k; \mathbf{b}) = 0$, for all $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$ and $r = 2$, then $\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum_j R_j k_j$, with R_j constant (that is, (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair) and $\sum_j R_j = 0$.

Proof. We set $f(k_1, \dots, k_m) := \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k), \mathbf{b}) \rangle$. It follows from (3.3) that

$$(3.5) \quad f(k) = g(\lambda, \tau) - \langle v(k), \mathbf{b} \rangle.$$

By the hypothesis and Proposition 8,

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial k_j} = 0.$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial f}{\partial k_j} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial k_j} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial k_j} - \left\langle \frac{\partial v}{\partial k_j}, \mathbf{b} \right\rangle,$$

from (3.6) we deduce

$$(3.7) \quad p \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} + q \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} - t = 0,$$

where p, q, t stand for the following constants

$$p = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial k_j}, \quad q = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial k_j}, \quad t = \left\langle \frac{\partial v}{\partial k_j}, \mathbf{b} \right\rangle.$$

Since $q\lambda - p\tau$ and $t\tau - qg$ are first integrals of (3.7), the general solution of this equation is

$$(3.8) \quad g(\lambda, \tau) = (t/q)\tau + \Phi(q\lambda - p\tau),$$

where Φ is a derivable function of one variable.

It follows from (3.4) and (3.8) that

$$(3.9) \quad \Phi(u) = u\Phi'(u).$$

Thus, $\Phi(u) = \alpha u$, with α constant. We have for f

$$f(k) = (b/q)\tau + \alpha(q\lambda - p\tau) - \langle v(k), \mathbf{b} \rangle.$$

In other words, f is a linear function of the k_j ; i.e., $f(k) = \sum_j R_j k_j$, with R_j constant. From (3.6), it follows $\sum_j R_j = 0$. \square

Remark. The proof of Theorem 9 can be adapted to the simpler case when $r = 1$. In this case, the function $g(\lambda) = \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta_0(\lambda), \mathbf{b}) \rangle$, satisfies $p \frac{dg}{d\lambda} - t = 0$ and $g(s\lambda) = sg(\lambda)$. So, $g(\lambda) = (t/p)\lambda$ and $f(k) = (t/p)\lambda + \langle v(k), \mathbf{b} \rangle$ is a linear map of the variables k_j .

On the other hand, the proof of this theorem does not admit an adaptation to the case $r > 2$. In fact, the corresponding function Φ would be a function of $r - 1$ variables $\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_{r-1})$. The equation which corresponds to (3.9) in this case would be

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} u_i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial u_i}.$$

But this condition does not implies the linearity of Φ .

When (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair as in (1.5), by (3.1)

$$(3.10) \quad I(k; \mathbf{b}) = -B_{(k)} \sum_j R_j,$$

for all $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$. From (3.10), we deduce the following proposition:

Proposition 10. *Let (Δ, \mathbf{b}) be a mass linear pair. $I(k; \mathbf{b}) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$ iff $\sum_j R_j = 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 1. It is a direct consequence of Proposition 10, Theorem 9 and the Remark above. \square

We will deduce a sufficient condition for a Delzant polytope Δ to admit mass linear functions. We write

$$\mathring{\text{Cm}}(\Delta(k)) := \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \text{Cm}(\Delta(k + \check{\epsilon})),$$

with $\check{\epsilon} = (\epsilon, \dots, \epsilon)$.

Proposition 11. *If all points $\mathring{\text{Cm}}(\Delta(k))$, for $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$, belong to a hyperplane of $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ with a conormal vector in \mathbb{Z}^n and $r \leq 2$, then Δ admits a mass linear function.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a conormal vector to the hyperplane, then

$$0 = \langle \mathring{\text{Cm}}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial k_j} \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \right\rangle.$$

By (3.1), $I(k, \mathbf{b}) = 0$; Theorem 9 applies and (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair. \square

Proposition 12. *Let Δ be a Delzant polytope, such that $k = 0$ belongs to the closure of \mathcal{C}_Δ . If $r \leq 2$, a necessary condition for the vanishing of $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ on \mathcal{C}_Δ is*

$$\left\langle \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \text{Cm}(\Delta(\check{\epsilon})), \mathbf{b} \right\rangle = 0.$$

Proof. If $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ vanishes on \mathcal{C}_Δ , then (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a linear pair, by Theorem 1. Thus, $\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum_j R_j k_j + C$, on \mathcal{C}_Δ . So, given $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$ and ϵ small enough

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k + \check{\epsilon})), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum_j R_j k_j + \epsilon \sum_j R_j + C.$$

By Theorem 1, $\sum_j R_j = 0$. Thus, for any $k \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$,

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k + \check{\epsilon})), \mathbf{b} \rangle = 0.$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow 0$,

$$0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k + \check{\epsilon})), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \left\langle \left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \text{Cm}(\Delta(\check{\epsilon})), \mathbf{b} \right\rangle.$$

\square

Next, we will describe a geometric interpretation of the number $\sum_j R_j$. Given an arbitrary Delzant polytope Δ . If a is a vector of \mathfrak{t}^* , then

$$(3.11) \quad \text{Cm}(\Delta(k')) = \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)) + a,$$

if $k'_j = k_j + \langle a, \mathbf{n}_j \rangle$.

We will denote by d the element of \mathfrak{t}^* defined by the following relation

$$(3.12) \quad \text{Cm}(\Delta(\tilde{k})) = \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)) + d,$$

with $\tilde{k}_j = k_j + 1$ for all j .

From (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$\text{Cm}(\Delta(k_j + \langle d, \mathbf{n}_j \rangle)) = \text{Cm}(\Delta(k_j)) + d = \text{Cm}(\Delta(\tilde{k}_j = k_j + 1)).$$

Now, we assume that (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair. From (1.5), it follows

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k_j + \langle d, \mathbf{n}_j \rangle)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum R_j k_j + \sum R_j \langle d, \mathbf{n}_j \rangle + C.$$

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k_j)) + d, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum R_j k_j + \langle d, \mathbf{b} \rangle + C, \quad \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(\tilde{k}_j)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum R_j k_j + \sum R_j + C.$$

These formulas allow us to state the following proposition, that gives an interpretation of the sum $\sum_j R_j$ in terms of the variation of $\text{Cm}(\Delta(k))$ with the k_j .

Proposition 13. *Let (Δ, \mathbf{b}) be a mass linear pair as in (1.5). Then,*

$$\sum_j R_j \langle d, \mathbf{n}_j \rangle = \langle d, \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum_j R_j,$$

d being the element of \mathfrak{t}^ defined by (3.12).*

4. EXAMPLES

In this Section, we will deduce the particular form which adopts Theorem 2, when Δ is a polytope of the types (i)-(iii) mentioned in the Introduction. For each case, we will determine the center of mass of the corresponding polytope $\Delta(k)$ and the condition for (Δ, \mathbf{b}) to be a mass linear pair. We will dedicate a subsection to each type.

4.1. Hirzebruch surfaces. Given $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\tau, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $\sigma := \tau - r\lambda > 0$, in [12] we considered the Hirzebruch surface N determined by these numbers. N is the quotient

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C}^4 : |z_1|^2 + r|z_2|^2 + |z_4|^2 = \tau/\pi, |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 = \lambda/\pi\} / \mathbb{T},$$

where the equivalence defined by $\mathbb{T} = (S^1)^2$ is given by

$$(a, b) \cdot (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (az_1, a^r b z_2, b z_3, az_4),$$

for $(a, b) \in (S^1)^2$.

The manifold N equipped with the following $(U(1))^2$ action

$$(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)[z_j] = [\epsilon_1 z_1, \epsilon_2 z_2, z_3, z_4],$$

is a toric manifold. The corresponding moment polytope Δ is the trapezium in \mathbb{R}^2 with vertices

$$(4.1) \quad P_1 = (0, 0), \quad P_2 = (0, \lambda), \quad P_3 = (\tau, 0), \quad P_4 = (\sigma, \lambda).$$

That is, N is the toric manifold M_Δ determined by the trapezium Δ .

As the conormals to the facets of Δ are the vectors $\mathbf{n}_1 = (-1, 0)$, $\mathbf{n}_2 = (0, -1)$, $\mathbf{n}_3 = (0, 1)$ and $\mathbf{n}_4 = (1, r)$, the facets of a generic polytope $\Delta(k)$ in \mathcal{C}_Δ are on the straights

$$-x = k_1, \quad -y = k_2, \quad y = k_3, \quad x + ry = k_4.$$

The vertices of $\Delta(k)$ are the points

$$(-k_1, -k_2), \quad (-k_1, k_3), \quad (k_4 - rk_3, k_3), \quad (k_4 + rk_2, -k_2).$$

Thus, the translation in the plane x, y defined by $(-k_1, -k_2)$ transforms the trapezium determined by the vertices (4.1) in $\Delta(k)$, if

$$(4.2) \quad \tau = k_4 + rk_2 + k_1, \quad \lambda = k_3 + k_2.$$

So,

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)) = \text{Cm}(\Delta) + (-k_1, -k_2).$$

Moreover, the mass center of Δ is

$$(4.4) \quad \text{Cm}(\Delta) = \left(\frac{3\tau^2 - 3r\tau\lambda + r^2\lambda^2}{3(2\tau - r\lambda)}, \frac{3\lambda\tau - 2r\lambda^2}{3(2\tau - r\lambda)} \right).$$

The chamber \mathcal{C}_Δ consists of the points (k_1, \dots, k_4) such that $\tau - r\lambda > 0$, with τ and λ given by (4.2). So, the point $k = 0$ belongs to the closure of \mathcal{C}_Δ . From (4.3), together with (4.2) and (4.4), it follows

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Cm}(\Delta(\check{\epsilon})) = \left(\frac{r^2\epsilon}{12}, \frac{-r\epsilon}{6} \right),$$

where $\check{\epsilon} = (\epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon, \epsilon)$. By Proposition 12, if $I(k; \mathbf{b})$ with $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ vanishes on the chamber \mathcal{C}_Δ , then $rb_1 - 2b_2 = 0$.

On the other hand, from (4.4) and (4.3), it follows

$$(4.6) \quad \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \frac{(3\tau^2 - 3r\tau\lambda + r^2\lambda^2)b_1 + (3\lambda\tau - 2r\lambda^2)b_2}{3(2\tau - r\lambda)} - k_1b_1 - k_2b_2.$$

By (4.2), expression (4.6) is linear in the k_i iff

$$\frac{(3\tau^2 - 3r\tau\lambda + r^2\lambda^2)b_1 + (3\lambda\tau - 2r\lambda^2)b_2}{3(2\tau - r\lambda)}$$

is linear in τ, λ . That is, iff there exist constants A, B such that for all τ, λ

$$(3\tau^2 - 3r\tau\lambda + r^2\lambda^2)b_1 + (3\lambda\tau - 2r\lambda^2)b_2 = 3(2\tau - r\lambda)(A\tau + B\lambda).$$

From this relation, it follows the above condition $rb_1 = 2b_2$. In this case (4.6) reduces to

$$(4.7) \quad \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \frac{-b_1}{2}k_1 + \frac{b_1}{2}k_4.$$

Comparing (1.5) with (4.7), we obtain, $R_1 = -R_4 = \frac{-b_1}{2}$, $R_2 = R_3 = 0$; so, $\sum_j R_j = 0$. That is, the condition $\sum_j R_j = 0$ holds for all the mass pairs (Δ, \mathbf{b}) when Δ is the polytope associated to a Hirzebruch surface. Hence,

Proposition 14. (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair iff $rb_1 = 2b_2$. Moreover, in this case $\sum_j R_j = 0$.

By Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 15. If $rb_1 \neq 2b_2$, then $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ generates an infinite cyclic subgroup in $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_\Delta, \omega_\Delta))$.

Remark.

We denote by ϕ_t the following isotopy of M_Δ

$$\phi_t[z] = [e^{2\pi it}z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4].$$

ϕ is a loop in the Hamiltonian group of M_Δ . By ϕ' we denote the Hamiltonian loop

$$\phi'_t[z] = [z_1, e^{2\pi it}z_2, z_3, z_4].$$

In Theorem 8 of [10] we proved that $I(\phi') = (-2/r)I(\phi)$. If $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, then

$$I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}}) = b_1I(\phi) + b_2I(\phi') = (b_1 - (2/r)b_2)I(\phi).$$

That is, $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}}) = 0$ iff $rb_1 = 2b_2$, which is in agreement with Proposition 14 and Theorem 1.

4.2. Δ_p **bundle over** Δ_1 . Given the integer $p > 1$, as McDuff and Tolman in [7], we consider the following vectors in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}

(4.8)

$$\mathbf{n}_i = -e_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, p, \quad \mathbf{n}_{p+1} = \sum_{i=1}^p e_i, \quad \mathbf{n}_{p+2} = -e_{p+1}, \quad \mathbf{n}_{p+3} = e_{p+1} - \sum_{i=1}^p a_i e_i,$$

where e_1, \dots, e_{p+1} is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^{p+1} and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We write

$$\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^p, \quad A := \sum_{i=1}^p a_i, \quad \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=1}^p a_i^2.$$

Let λ, τ be real positive numbers with $\lambda + a_i > 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, p$. In this subsection we will consider the polytope Δ in $(\mathbb{R}^{p+1})^*$ defined by the above conormals \mathbf{n}_j and the following k_j

$$(4.9) \quad k_1 = \dots = k_p = k_{p+2} = 0, \quad k_{p+1} = \tau, \quad k_{p+3} = \lambda.$$

This polytope will be also denote by $\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)$. It is a Δ_p bundle on Δ_1 (see [7]). When $p = 2$, $\Delta = \Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)$ is the prism whose base is the triangle of vertices $(0, 0, 0)$, $(\tau, 0, 0)$ and $(0, \tau, 0)$ and whose ceiling is the triangle determined by $(0, 0, \lambda)$, $(\tau, 0, \lambda + a_1\tau)$ and $(0, \tau, \lambda + a_2\tau)$.

We assume that the above polytope Δ is a Delzant polytope. The manifold (2.1) is in this case

$$M_\Delta = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}^{p+3} : \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} |z_i|^2 = \tau/\pi, \quad -\sum_{j=1}^p a_j |z_j|^2 + |z_{p+2}|^2 + |z_{p+3}|^2 = \lambda/\pi \right\} / \simeq,$$

where $(z_j) \simeq (z'_j)$ iff there are $\alpha, \beta \in U(1)$ such that

$$z'_j = \alpha \beta^{-a_j} z_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, p; \quad z'_{p+1} = \alpha z_{p+1}; \quad z'_k = \beta z_k, \quad k = p+2, p+3.$$

Thus, M_Δ is the total space of the fibre bundle $\mathbb{P}(L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_p \oplus \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$, where L_j is the holomorphic line bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with Chern number a_j .

The symplectic form (2.3) is

$$\omega_\Delta = (1/2)(\sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_p + \sigma_{p+2}),$$

where $\sigma_k = d\rho_k^2 \wedge d\varphi_k$.

And the moment map

$$(4.10) \quad \mu_\Delta([z]) = (x_1, \dots, x_p, x_{p+2}),$$

where $x_i := \pi \rho_i^2$.

Proposition 16. *The coordinates \bar{x}_j of $\text{Cm}(\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau))$ are given by:*

$$(4.11) \quad \bar{x}_k = \frac{\tau}{p+2} \frac{\lambda(p+2) + \tau(A + a_k)}{\lambda(p+1) + \tau A}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, p.$$

$$(4.12) \quad \bar{x}_{p+2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(p+1)(p+2)\lambda^2 + 2(p+2)A\lambda\tau + (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} + A^2)\tau^2}{(p+2)((p+1)\lambda + A\tau)}.$$

Proof. Since the points $[z] \in M_\Delta$ satisfy $|z_{p+2}|^2 \leq \lambda/\pi + \sum_{j=1}^p a_j |z_j|^2$, by (2.6) and Lemma 4 we have

$$(4.13) \quad \int_{M_\Delta} (\omega_\Delta)^{p+1} = (p+1)! \int_{S_p(\tau)} \left(\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^p a_j x_j \right) = (p+1)! \left(\frac{\lambda \tau^p}{p!} + \frac{\tau^{p+1} A}{(p+1)!} \right).$$

Similarly, for $k = 1, \dots, p$

$$(4.14) \quad \int_{M_\Delta} x_k (\omega_\Delta)^{p+1} = (p+1)! \left(\frac{\lambda \tau^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} + \frac{\tau^{p+2}}{(p+2)!} \sum_{j \neq k} a_j + \frac{2\tau^{p+2} a_k}{(p+2)!} \right).$$

The k -th coordinate of $\text{Cm}(\Delta)$, \bar{x}_k , is the quotient of (4.14) by (4.13); that is,

$$\bar{x}_k = \frac{\tau}{p+2} \frac{\lambda(p+2) + \tau(A + a_k)}{\lambda(p+1) + \tau A}.$$

For the $p+2$ -coordinate of $\text{Cm}(\Delta)$, we need to calculate $\int_M x_{p+2} (\omega_\Delta)^{p+1}$. By Lemma 4

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \int_M x_{p+2} (\omega_\Delta)^{p+1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_p(\tau)} \left(\lambda + \sum_{j=1}^p a_j x_j \right)^2 \\ = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda^2 \tau^p}{p!} + \frac{2A\lambda \tau^{p+1}}{(p+1)!} + \frac{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} + A^2) \tau^{p+2}}{(p+2)!} \right).$$

Formula (4.12) is a consequence of (4.13) together with (4.15). \square

The translation in $(\mathbb{R}^{p+1})^*$ defined by the vector $(-k_1, \dots, -k_p, -k_{p+2})$ transforms the hyperplanes $\langle x, \mathbf{n}_{p+3} \rangle = \lambda$ and $\langle x, \mathbf{n}_{p+1} \rangle = \tau$ in

$$(4.16) \quad \langle x, \mathbf{n}_{p+3} \rangle = \lambda - k_{p+2} + \sum_{j=1}^p a_j k_j, \quad \langle x, \mathbf{n}_{p+1} \rangle = \tau - \sum_{j=1}^p k_j,$$

respectively.

Let $\Delta(k)$ be a polytope with $k = (k_1, \dots, k_{p+3})$ generic in the chamber \mathcal{C}_Δ . From (4.16), it follows that $\Delta(k)$ is the image of the polytope $\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)$ by the translation determined by $(-k_1, \dots, -k_p, -k_{p+2})$, whenever

$$(4.17) \quad k_{p+2} - \sum_{j=1}^p a_j k_j + k_{p+3} = \lambda, \quad \sum_{j=1}^p k_j + k_{p+1} = \tau.$$

In this case,

$$(4.18) \quad \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)) = \text{Cm}(\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)) - (k_1, \dots, k_p, k_{p+2}).$$

According to (4.17), the coordinates of the mass center $\text{Cm}(\Delta(\check{\epsilon}))$, with $\check{\epsilon} = (\epsilon, \dots, \epsilon)$, can be obtained substituting in (4.11) and in (4.12) λ by

$$\epsilon - \sum_{j=1}^p a_j \epsilon + \epsilon = (2 - A)\epsilon$$

and τ by $(p+1)\epsilon$, and finally take into account (4.18). These operations give

$$\bar{x}_j(\Delta(\check{\epsilon})) = \frac{\epsilon}{2(p+2)} ((p+1)a_j - A), \quad j = 1, \dots, p \\ \bar{x}_{p+2}(\Delta(\check{\epsilon})) = \frac{\epsilon}{4(p+2)} (-A^2 + (p+1)(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a})).$$

Given $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_p, b) \equiv (\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \dot{\mathbf{b}})$, with $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = (b_1, \dots, b_p, 0)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{b}} = (0, \dots, 0, b)$.

$$\left\langle \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \text{Cm}(\Delta(\epsilon)), \mathbf{b} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{4(p+2)} \left((p+1)(2\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} - b\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}) - A(2B + bA) \right),$$

where $\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{j=1}^p a_j b_j$ and $B = \sum_{j=1}^p b_j$.

By Proposition 12, we have:

Theorem 17. *Let Δ be the Δ_p bundle over Δ_1 defined by (4.8) and (4.9). Given $\mathbf{b} = (\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \dot{\mathbf{b}}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$, if*

$$(p+1)(2\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} - b\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}) - A(2B + bA) \neq 0,$$

then $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ defines an infinite cyclic subgroup in the fundamental group $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_{\Delta}, \omega_{\Delta}))$.

It is straightforward to check that

$$(4.19) \quad (p+1)(2\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} - b\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}) - A(2B + bA) = 0$$

is also a sufficient condition for (Δ, \mathbf{b}) to be a mass linear pair.

Since

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)), \hat{\mathbf{b}} \rangle + \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)), \dot{\mathbf{b}} \rangle,$$

if (4.19) holds, using (4.11) and (4.12), one obtains

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \frac{b\lambda}{2} + \left(\frac{b}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} + A^2)}{(p+2)A} + \frac{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} + AB)}{(p+2)A} \right) \tau.$$

By (4.18), for $k \in \mathcal{C}_{\Delta}$,

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)), \mathbf{b} \rangle - \sum_{j=1}^p b_j k_j - b k_{p+2},$$

with λ and τ given by (4.17).

If $\mathbf{b} = \hat{\mathbf{b}}$, the condition (4.19) reduces to $(p+1)\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} = AB$ and

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \frac{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} + AB)}{(p+2)A} \left(\sum_{j=1}^p k_j + k_{p+1} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^p b_j k_j.$$

Hence, $\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum R_j k_j$, where

$$R_j = \frac{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} + AB)}{(p+2)A} - b_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, p; \quad R_{p+1} = \frac{(\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} + AB)}{(p+2)A}, \quad R_{p+2} = R_{p+3} = 0.$$

So,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p+3} R_j = \frac{(p+1)\mathbf{a} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} - AB}{(p+2)A} = 0.$$

A similar calculation for the case $\mathbf{b} = \dot{\mathbf{b}}$ shows that the corresponding $\sum_j R_j$ vanishes. That is,

Proposition 18. *Let Δ be a Δ_p bundle over Δ_1 . If (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair, then $\sum_j R_j = 0$.*

For $p = 2$, let \mathbf{b} be the following linear combination of the conormal vectors $\mathbf{b} = \gamma_1 \mathbf{n}_1 + \gamma_2 \mathbf{n}_2 + \gamma_3 \mathbf{n}_3$ with $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = 0$. By (4.8), $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, 0)$ with $b_1 = \gamma_3 - \gamma_1$, $b_2 = \gamma_3 - \gamma_2$. In this case condition (4.19) reduces to

$$3(a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2) = (a_1 + a_2)(b_1 + b_2).$$

Or in terms of the γ_i

$$(4.20) \quad a_1 \gamma_1 + a_2 \gamma_2 = 0.$$

This is a necessary and sufficient condition for (Δ, \mathbf{b}) to be mass linear. This result is the statement of Lemma 4.8 in [7].

4.3. One point blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^n$. In this subsection $\Delta \equiv \Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)$ will be

$$(4.21) \quad \Delta = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \tau, 0 \leq x_i, x_n \leq \lambda \right\},$$

where $\tau, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\sigma := \tau - \lambda > 0$. That is, Δ is the polytope obtained truncating the simplex $S_n(\tau)$, defined in Lemma 4, by a “horizontal” hyperplane through the point $(0, \dots, 0, \lambda)$. The manifold M_Δ associated with Δ is the one point blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

The mass center of the simplex $S_n(\tau)$ is the point

$$(4.22) \quad \text{Cm}(S_n(\tau)) = \frac{\tau}{n+1} w,$$

with $w = (1, \dots, 1)$.

As the volume of $S_n(\tau)$ is $\tau^n/n!$, it follows from (4.22)

$$(\tau^n - \sigma^n) \text{Cm}(\Delta) = \tau^n \frac{\tau}{n+1} w - \sigma^n \left(\frac{\sigma}{n+1} w + \lambda e_n \right).$$

That is,

$$(4.23) \quad \text{Cm}(\Delta) = \frac{1}{\tau^n - \sigma^n} \left(\left(\frac{\tau^{n+1} - \sigma^{n+1}}{n+1} \right) w - \lambda \sigma^n e_n \right).$$

Given $k = (k_1, \dots, k_{n+2}) \in \mathcal{C}_\Delta$, the facets of $\Delta(k)$ are in the following hyperplanes:

$$(4.24) \quad -x_j = k_j, j = 1, \dots, n; \quad \sum_{i=1}^p x_k = k_{n+1}; \quad x_{n+1} = k_{n+2}.$$

As in the preceding subsections,

$$(4.25) \quad \Delta(k) = \Delta_0(\lambda, \tau) - (k_1, \dots, k_n),$$

provided $\lambda = k_n + k_{n+2}$ and $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_i$.

The pair $(\Delta, \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n))$ is mass linear iff there exist $A, B, C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \frac{\tau^{n+1} - \sigma^{n+1}}{n+1} + b_n \left(\frac{\tau^{n+1} - \sigma^{n+1}}{n+1} - (\tau - \sigma) \sigma^n \right) = (A\tau + B\sigma + C)(\tau^n - \sigma^n),$$

for all τ, σ “admissible”. A simple calculation proves the following proposition:

Proposition 19. *The pair (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is mass linear iff*

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j.$$

From Theorem 2 together with Proposition 19, it follows the following theorem:

Theorem 20. *If $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \neq nb_n$, then $\psi_{\mathbf{b}}$ generates an infinite cyclic subgroup in $\pi_1(\text{Ham}(M_{\Delta}, \omega_{\Delta}))$.*

For $k \in \mathcal{C}_{\Delta}$, by (4.25)

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \langle \text{Cm}(\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)), \mathbf{b} \rangle - \sum_{j=1}^n b_j k_j.$$

If (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair, by (4.23) and Proposition 19, we have $\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta_0(\lambda, \tau)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = b_n \tau$. Thus,

$$\langle \text{Cm}(\Delta(k)), \mathbf{b} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} R_j k_j,$$

where $R_j = b_n - b_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $R_{n+1} = b_n$. Hence, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 21. *Let Δ be the polytope obtained by truncating the standard n -simplex $S_n(\tau)$ by a horizontal hyperplane. If (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair, then $\sum_j R_j = 0$.*

Remark. When $n = 3$ the toric manifold M_{Δ} is

$$M_{\Delta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^5 : |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 + |z_5|^2 = \tau/\pi, |z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2 = \lambda/\pi\}/\mathbb{T},$$

where the action of $\mathbb{T} = (U(1))^2$ is defined by

$$(4.26) \quad (a, b)(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5) = (az_1, az_2, abz_3, bz_4, az_5),$$

for $a, b \in U(1)$.

We consider the following loops in the Hamiltonian group of $(M_{\Delta}, \omega_{\Delta})$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t[z] &= [z_1 e^{2\pi i t}, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5], \quad \psi'_t[z] = [z_1, z_2 e^{2\pi i t}, z_3, z_4, z_5], \\ \tilde{\psi}_t[z] &= [z_1, z_2, z_3 e^{2\pi i t}, z_4, z_5]. \end{aligned}$$

In [11] (Remark in Section 4), we gave formulas that relate the characteristic numbers associated with these loops

$$I(\psi) = I(\psi') = (-1/3)I(\tilde{\psi}).$$

So, for $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$,

$$(4.27) \quad I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}}) = (b_1 + b_2 - 3b_3)I(\psi).$$

By Proposition 19, the vanishing of $I(\psi_{\mathbf{b}})$ in (4.27) is equivalent to the fact that (Δ, \mathbf{b}) is a mass linear pair. This equivalence is a new checking of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Delzant, *Hamiltoniens périodique et images convexes de l'application moment*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **116** (1988), 315-338.
- [2] V. Guillemin, *Moment maps and combinatorial invariants of Hamiltonian T^n -spaces*. Birkhäuser, Boston, (1994).
- [3] V. Guillemin, L. Lerman, S. Sternberg, *Symplectic fibrations and multiplicity diagrams*. Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, (1996).
- [4] F. Lalonde, D. McDuff, L. Polterovich, *Topological rigidity of Hamiltonian loops and quantum homology*. Invent. Math. **135** (1999), 369-385.

- [5] D. McDuff, *A survey of the topological properties of symplectomorphism groups*. Topology, geometry and quantum field theory, 173-193, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **308**. Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, (2004).
- [6] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1998).
- [7] D. McDuff, S. Tolman, *Polytopes with mass linear functions. I*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **8** (2010) 1506-1574.
- [8] L. Polterovich, *The geometry of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms*, Birkhäuser, Basel, (2001).
- [9] E. Shelukhin, *Remarks on invariants of Hamiltonian loops*. J. Topol. Anal. **2** (2010) 277-325.
- [10] A. Viña, *A characteristic number of Hamiltonian bundles over S^2* . J. Geom. Phys. **56** (2006), 2327-2343.
- [11] A. Viña, *Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of toric manifolds and flag manifolds*. J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2007), 943-965.
- [12] A. Viña, *A characteristic number of bundles determined by mass linear pairs*. [arXiv:0809.1506](https://arxiv.org/abs/0809.1506) [math.SG].

DEPARTAMENTO DE FÍSICA. UNIVERSIDAD DE OVIEDO. AVDA CALVO SOTELLO. 33007 OVIEDO. SPAIN.

E-mail address: vina@uniovi.es