AN ALGORITHMIC CHARACTERIZATION OF POLYNOMIAL FUNCTIONS OVER $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$

ASHWIN GUHA AND AMBEDKAR DUKKIPATI

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider polynomial representability of functions defined over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, where p is a prime and n is a positive integer. Our aim is to provide an algorithmic characterization that (i) answers the decision problem: to determine whether a given function over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ is polynomially representable or not, and (ii) finds the polynomial if it is polynomially representable. The previous characterizations given by Kempner (1921) and Carlitz (1964) are existential in nature and only lead to an exhaustive search method, *i.e.*, algorithm with complexity exponential in size of the input. Our characterization leads to an algorithm whose running time is linear in size of input. We also extend our result to the multivariate case.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of polynomial representability of functions is central to many branches of mathematics. In literature, there have been attempts to represent various functions using polynomials and power series. With the advent of calculus various methods were developed to approximate analytic functions using polynomials. An important milestone in this regard is the Taylor series, put forth by Brook Taylor.

It is well known that if the underlying set is a finite field, every function from the field to itself can be represented as a polynomial. The fact that every function over finite fields of the form $\mathbb{Z}_p$, where p is prime, can be represented by a polynomial was noted by Hermite [5]. Dickson proved the above property for a general finite field in [4]. Dickson also showed that for a finite field of order q every function is uniquely determined by a polynomial of degree less than q. Polynomials over finite fields are also discussed in [3]. A comprehensive survey regarding finite fields can be found in [10].

In this paper we consider polynomial representability in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, where p is a prime and n is a positive integer. Such residue rings have an elegant structure and their study is the first step to understand polynomial representability in rings. This problem has been studied in literature and the two important results were given by Carlitz [2] and Kempner [8].

A necessary and sufficient condition for a function over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ to be polynomial using Taylor series is provided in [2]. Kempner [8] showed that the only residue class rings where all functions can be represented by polynomials are $\mathbb{Z}_p$, where p is prime. Kempner also provides a method to enumerate all polynomial functions over $\mathbb{Z}_t$ for any positive integer t.

A simpler formula to express the number of polynomial functions in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ is given in [7]. An alternative formula for the same is provided in [11], which is also extended to polynomials in several variables. The formula is generalized over a Galois ring in [1]. Some other related work can be found in [12].

Until now the problem of polynomial representability has been viewed from a traditional standpoint and its computational aspects have been ignored. In this paper we give an alternate characterization by considering the set of functions over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$-module. We provide a linear time algorithm that solves the problem of polynomial representability and identify the polynomial which corresponds to the given function. Further, we give the characterization in the multivariate case.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we provide the background and motivation for a new characterization. Section 3 contains our characterization of polynomial functions in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. In Section 4 we give an algorithm based on our characterization. We also discuss its correctness and complexity. In Section 5 we determine the polynomial that corresponds to the given function. In Section 6 we extend the characterization to functions in several variables. Concluding remarks are provided in Section 7.

2. BACKGROUND AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section we look at polynomials over finite rings, in particular, polynomials over residue class rings. Let t be a positive integer. One can easily verify that $\mathbb{Z}_t[x]$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_t$-module. Every polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}_t$ defines a function from $\mathbb{Z}_t$ to $\mathbb{Z}_t$ by the universal property of polynomial rings. In other words, if we allow the indeterminate x to vary over $\mathbb{Z}_t$, then each polynomial corresponds to a mapping from $\mathbb{Z}_t$ to $\mathbb{Z}_t$. Let $\mathfrak{F}_t$ denote the set of all functions from $\mathbb{Z}_t$ to $\mathbb{Z}_t$. $\mathfrak{F}_t \cong (\mathbb{Z}_t)^t$, therefore we represent each element of $\mathfrak{F}_t$ as a t-tuple $(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{t-1})$, which corresponds to the function f with $f(i) = a_i$. $\mathfrak{F}_t$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_t$-module of cardinality t^t.

It should be noted that there are infinitely many polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_t[x]$. Let $\mathfrak{P}_t$ denote the set of *distinct* functions produced by $\mathbb{Z}_t[x]$. $\mathfrak{P}_t$ is finite and a subset of $\mathfrak{F}_t$.

Definition 2.1. *A ring A is said to be **polynomially complete** if every function from A to itself can be represented as a polynomial.*

Examples of such rings are $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_5$. In general, $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is polynomially complete, if p is a prime. In other words, for prime p we have $\mathfrak{P}_p = \mathfrak{F}_p$. Given any function it is possible to construct a polynomial which corresponds to that function. This is achieved using Lagrange interpolation which is possible because $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a field. This does not hold for an arbitrary integer t. Polynomially complete structures are discussed extensively in [9].

Kempner discusses polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}_t$ for any positive integer t in [8]. Kempner gives a method to compute the cardinality of $\mathfrak{P}_t$. The conditions for two polynomials to be equal as functions *i.e.*, $f(x) \equiv g(x) \bmod t$ is described using the ideas of *signature* and *characteristic* of t. A method to enumerate all distinct polynomial functions is also provided.

Carlitz [2] proved a key result regarding polynomial representation of functions in residue class ring modulo prime power. The result is very similar to Taylor series. The result states that a function f from $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ to itself is polynomial if and only if there exist functions $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_{n-1}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ such that for all $x, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, we have

$$f(x + sp) \equiv \Phi_0(x) + (sp)\Phi_1(x) + \ldots + (sp)^{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(x) \bmod p^n. \qquad (1)$$

A key feature, and in a certain respect, a drawback, is that these results use existential proofs. The results hinge on the existence of some functions satisfying

certain properties. The previous works do not address the issue of finding the afore mentioned functions. Consequently these results do not lead to any constructive method to test whether a given function is polynomial representable, hence the results cannot be implemented in computation.

One can apply a brute-force algorithm using the result by Carlitz, by considering all possible functions in $\mathfrak{F}_{p^n}$ as shown below.

Input: $f = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p^n-1})$.
for all $x, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ **do**
    for all $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{F}_{p^n}$ **do**
        if $f(x+ps) = \Phi_0(x) + (sp)\Phi_1(x) + \ldots + (sp)^{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(x)$ **then**
            Output : f is polynomial
            exit
        else
            Output : f is not polynomial

The above algorithm is extremely inefficient. The cardinality of the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ is exponential in p. $|\mathfrak{F}_{p^n}| = p^{np^n}$ is doubly exponential in p making it infeasible to compute.

We can modify the method in [8] to suit our problem of testing whether a given function f is polynomial. We can evaluate all polynomials in $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$ and compare it with f. If f does not match any of the functions in $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$ we can infer that f is not polynomially representable. The algorithm is presented below.

Input: $f = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p^n-1})$.
for all $g \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$ **do**
    for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ **do**
        if $f(x) = g(x)$ **then**
            Output : f is polynomial
        else
            Output : f is not polynomial

This approach is better than the earlier one, still it is very inefficient. $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$, which is much smaller than $\mathfrak{F}_{p^n}$, is still extremely large. One can very well see that given an arbitrary function in $\mathfrak{F}_{p^n}$ it is less likely to be polynomially representable than otherwise. A simple example from [8] illustrates the magnitude of the sets involved.

Example 1. *Consider $p = 3, n = 11$. Then,*
$p^n = 3^{11} \approx 10^5$.
$|\mathfrak{F}_{p^n}| = 3^{11 \cdot 3^{11}} \approx 10^{1,000,000}$.
$|\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}| = 3^{3.54} \approx 10^{76}$.

We can see that even for small values of p and n, $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$ becomes unmanageably large.

In this paper we provide an algorithm that answers the question posed earlier. We present a new characterization to describe polynomial functions over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ using which we bring down the complexity of the algorithm from doubly exponential in p to exponential in p.

3. CHARACTERIZATION OF POLYNOMIAL FUNCTIONS OVER $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$

The question we wish to resolve can be stated as follows:

Given a prime p and a positive integer n, and a function $f : \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, is there an algorithm to test whether f is polynomially representable or not?

In order to answer the above question we make use of the module structure of $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$. One can easily verify that for any integer t, $\mathfrak{P}_t$, the set of polynomial functions, is a $\mathbb{Z}_t$-submodule of $\mathfrak{F}_t$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{t-1})$ and $(b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{t-1}) \in \mathfrak{P}_t$, then $(a_0 + b_0, a_1 + b_1, \ldots, a_{t-1} + b_{t-1}) \in \mathfrak{P}_t$.*

Proof. If f and g are the polynomials such that $f(x) = (a_0, \ldots, a_{t-1})$, and $g(x) = (b_0, \ldots, b_{t-1})$, for $x = 0, \ldots, t-1$, then $h(x)$ defined as $f(x) + g(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_t$ is also a polynomial.

Lemma 3.2. *If $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{t-1}) \in \mathfrak{P}_t$, then $(sa_0, sa_1, \ldots, sa_{t-1}) \in \mathfrak{P}_t$, where $s \in \mathbb{Z}_t$.*

Proof. If $f(x) = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{t-1})$, for $x = 0, \ldots, t-1$, then $sf(x)$, which is also polynomial corresponds to $(sa_0, sa_1, \ldots, sa_{t-1})$. Hence $(sa_0, sa_1, \ldots, sa_{t-1}) \in \mathfrak{P}_t$. $\square$

From these two lemmas we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. $\mathfrak{P}_t$ *is a $\mathbb{Z}_t$-submodule of $\mathfrak{F}_t$.*

We intend to find a 'suitable' generating set for $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$ thereby translating it to a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$-submodule membership problem.

3.1. **Paraphernalia.**

Definition 3.4. *Let $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{p^n}$. The jth cyclic shift of f, denoted by $f^{<j>}$, is defined as*

$$f^{<j>}(i) = f(i + j \bmod p^n)$$

for $i = 0, \ldots, p^n - 1$.

Definition 3.5. *Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m \in \mathfrak{F}_{p^n}$. The $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$-submodule generated by v_i for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and their cyclic shifts for $j = 0, \ldots, p^n - 1$ is denoted by $\langle\langle v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m \rangle\rangle$.*

We shall identify a set $G' \subset \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$ such that $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n} = \langle\langle G' \rangle\rangle$. The following lemma helps us describe such a set.

Lemma 3.6. *If $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p^n-1}) \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$, then its cyclic shift $(a_1, \ldots, a_{p^n-1}, a_0) \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$.*

Proof. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[x]$ be the polynomial that gives rise to the function $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p^n-1})$. Then $f(x+1)$, which is also a polynomial, gives rise to $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{p^n-1}, a_0)$. Hence the cyclic shift also belongs to $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$. $\square$

Clearly, shifting by j places is equivalent to replacing $f(x)$ by $f(x + j)$. Hence all cyclic shifts are polynomially representable. We now state and prove two lemmas which are crucial in establishing our main result.

Lemma 3.7. *The function $u_0 : \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ defined as*

$$u_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \nmid x \\ 1 & \text{if } p \mid x \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

belongs to $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$.

Proof. We show that u_0 satisfies (1). Let $\Phi_0(x) = u_0(x)$ and Φ_i be zero functions for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Now if $p \nmid x$, $p \nmid (x + sp)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_0(x + sp) &= 0 \\
&= u_0(x) + 0 \\
&= \Phi_0(x) + (sp)\Phi_1(x) + (sp)^2\Phi_2(x) + \ldots + (sp)^{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p \mid x$ then $u_0(x) = 1$ and $p \mid (x + sp)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_0(x + sp) &= 1 \\
&= u_0(x) + 0 \\
&= \Phi_0(x) + (sp)\Phi_1(x) + (sp)^2\Phi_2(x) + \ldots + (sp)^{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $u_0 \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$.

$\square$

Lemma 3.8. *The function $u_k : \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ defined as*

$$
u_k(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \nmid x, \\ x^k & \text{if } p \mid x. \end{cases} \tag{3}
$$

belongs to $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$.

Proof. We make use of (1) again. Define $\Phi_0 = u_k$, for a fixed $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ define Φ_i as

$$
\Phi_i(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \nmid x \\ \binom{k}{i} x^{k-i} & \text{if } p \mid x \end{cases}
$$

For $k < i \leq n-1$ define Φ_i as zero function.
If $p \nmid x$ then $u_k(x + ps) = u_k(x) = 0$ and it satisfies (1).
If $p \mid x$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_k(x + sp) &= (x + sp)^k \\
&= x^k + \binom{k}{1}x^{k-1}(sp) + \binom{k}{2}x^{k-2}(sp)^2 + \ldots + \binom{k}{k}x^0(sp)^k \\
&= u_k(x) + (sp)\Phi_1(x) + \ldots + (sp)^k\Phi_k(x) + 0 \\
&= \Phi_0(x) + (sp)\Phi_1(x) + (sp)^2\Phi_2(x) + \ldots + (sp)^{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it satisfies (1). Therefore $u_k \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$.

$\square$

Lemma 3.7 is in fact a special case of Lemma 3.8 when $k = 0$. Lemma 3.8 essentially means that the following vectors can be represented as polynomials.

$$u_0 = (1,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}},1,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}},1,\ldots,1,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}})$$

$$u_1 = (0,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}},p,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}},2p,\ldots,(p^n-p),\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}})$$

$$u_2 = (0,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}},p^2,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}},(2p)^2,\ldots,(p^n-p)^2,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$u_{n-1} = (0,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}},p^{n-1},\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}},(2p)^{n-1},\ldots,(p^n-p)^{n-1},\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{p-1 times}})$$

3.2. **The Characterization.** We now provide the main result of this paper. It asserts that a function is polynomial if and only if it belongs to the submodule generated by u_k for $k = 0,\ldots,n-1$ and their cyclic shifts.

Theorem 3.9. $f \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$ if and only if $f \in \langle\langle u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} \rangle\rangle$, where u_k for $k = 0,\ldots,n-1$ are defined as in (3) and $\langle\langle u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} \rangle\rangle$ denotes the set generated by the vectors u_k for $k = 0,\ldots,n-1$ and their cyclic shifts.

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$)To show that $f \in \langle\langle u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} \rangle\rangle$ implies $f \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$.
From Lemma 3.8 we know that $u_k \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$ for $k = 0,\ldots,n-1$. Let $u_k^{<j>}$ denote the jth cyclic shift of u_k. From Lemma 3.6 we know that $u_k^{<j>} \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$ for all $k = 0,1,\ldots,n-1$ and $j = 0,1,\ldots,p-1$. From Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we know that linear combinations of $u_k^{<j>} \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$.

Let $f \in \langle\langle u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1} \rangle\rangle$. Then there exist scalars $\alpha_{k,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, for $k = 0,1,\ldots,n-1$ and $j = 0,1,\ldots,p-1$ such that

$$f = \alpha_{0,0}u_0^{<0>} + \alpha_{0,1}u_0^{<1>} + \ldots + \alpha_{0,p-1}u_0^{<p-1>}$$
$$+ \alpha_{1,0}u_1^{<0>} + \alpha_{1,1}u_1^{<1>} + \ldots + \alpha_{1,p-1}u_1^{<p-1>}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$+ \alpha_{n-1,0}u_{n-1}^{<0>} + \alpha_{n-1,1}u_{n-1}^{<1>} + \ldots + \alpha_{n-1,p-1}u_{n-1}^{<p-1>}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \alpha_{k,j}u_k^{<j>}.$$

Clearly all terms in the summation belong to $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$. Hence $f \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$.

($\Longleftarrow$)To show that $f \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$ implies $f \in \langle\langle u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} \rangle\rangle$.
Let $f = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p^n-1})$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, for $i = 0, \ldots, p^n - 1$. We can write f as

$$f = v_0 + v_1 + \ldots + v_{p-1},$$

where v_j is the function defined as

$$v_j(i) = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } i \equiv j \bmod p \\ 0 & \text{if } i \not\equiv j \bmod p, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

for $j = 0, \ldots, p-1$. We now show that each $v_j \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$. From (1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{j+ps} &= f(j+ps) \\
&= \Phi_0(j) + (sp)\Phi_1(j) + \ldots + (sp)^{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(j).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $j = 0, \ldots, p-1$

$$
v_j(i) = \begin{cases} \Phi_0(j) + (sp)\Phi_1(j) + \ldots + (sp)^{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(j) & \text{if } i \equiv j \bmod p \\ 0 & \text{if } i \not\equiv j \bmod p, \end{cases}
$$

where $i = j + ps$. Each v_j can be written as

$$
v_j = \eta_0^{(j)} + \ldots + \eta_{n-1}^{(j)}, \tag{5}
$$

where $\eta_k^{(j)}$ denotes the function

$$
\eta_k^{(j)}(i) = \begin{cases} \Phi_k(j)(sp)^k & \text{if } i = j + sp \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

for $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$. From Lemma 3.8, we can see that

$$
\eta_k^{(j)} = \Phi_k(j)u_k^{<j>}.
$$

From (5)

$$
v_j = \Phi_0(j)u_0^{<j>} + \ldots + \Phi_{n-1}(j)u_{n-1}^{<j>}.
$$

Φ_k is well defined and $\Phi_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots n-1$. Hence v_j is a linear combination of u_k, for $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$ and their cyclic shifts. Since $v_j \in \langle\langle u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} \rangle\rangle$ for $j = 0, \ldots, p-1$, $f \in \langle\langle u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} \rangle\rangle$.

$\square$

Note that not all cyclic shifts of u_k are required, for $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$, but only the first p shifts of each u_k. This is because all the other cyclic shifts can be written as linear combination of the first p cyclic shifts. Hence each polynomial in $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$ can be represented as a scalar sum of at most np vectors.

This result is in fact a generalization of the generating set for vector space. The standard basis of the vector space $\mathfrak{F}_p$ corresponds to u_0 mentioned above and its cyclic shifts.

4. ALGORITHM BASED ON NEW CHARACTERIZATION

Using Theorem 3.9 we provide a method in Algorithm 1 which solves the decision problem mentioned earlier by reducing it to a system of linear equations. The advantage of this reduction is that it is much easier to check if a system has solutions rather than check for the existence of functions which is done in (1). The linear equations can be solved by standard computational methods. We now present the algorithm based on the characterization. In the algorithm the following notations are used.

A denotes the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix with elements from $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & p^2 & \ldots & p^{n-1} \\ 2p & (2p)^2 & \ldots & (2p)^{n-1} \\ 3p & (3p)^2 & \ldots & (3p)^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (n-1)p & ((n-1)p)^2 & \ldots & ((n-1)p)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

v_i represents a p^{n-1}-tuple which forms a subarray of input for $i = 0, \ldots, p-1$. w_i represents a p^{n-1}-tuple of the form $(p^i, (2p)^i, \ldots, (p^n - p)^i)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ *i.e.*, u_i without the extraneous zeroes.

One can see from (1) that $f(x+sp)$ depends on $f(x)$. In step 1 of Algorithm 1 we collect all the dependents in a single vector v_i of length p^{n-1}. Note that all the v_i, for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ are independent of each other.

Let $q = p^n$. Substituting $s = 0$, we get $\Phi_0(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_q$. In step 2 we subtract this first term from each v_i to get a new vector

$$(0, a_{i+p} - a_i, a_{i+2p} - a_i, \ldots, a_{i+\frac{q}{p}} - a_i)$$

which is written as $(0, b_1^{(i)}, b_2^{(i)}, \ldots, b_{\frac{q}{p}-1}^{(i)})$.

(1) implies that if the input function f is a polynomial then $a_{i+ps} - a_i$ must be divisible by p. Therefore all $b_j^{(i)}$s must be zeroes or multiples of p. With a single pass on v_i, for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$, we perform this check in step 3. If any of the v_i fails we conclude that f is not polynomial.

In step 4, we consider the following system of linear equations over $\mathbb{Z}_q$, with variables x_i.

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & p^2 & \ldots & p^{n-1} \\ 2p & (2p)^2 & \ldots & (2p)^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (n-1)p & ((n-1)p)^2 & \ldots & ((n-1)p)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(i)} \\ b_2^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

Here we make use of (1) to check if there exist functions Φ_j such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i+p} - a_i = \quad b_1^{(i)} = \quad & p\Phi_1 + p^2\Phi_2 + \ldots + p^{n-1}\Phi_{n-1} \\ a_{i+2p} - a_i = \quad b_2^{(i)} = \quad & 2p\Phi_1 + (2p)^2\Phi_2 + \ldots + (2p)^{n-1}\Phi_{n-1} \\ & \vdots \\ a_{i+(n-1)p} - a_i = \quad b_{n-1}^{(i)} = \quad & (n-1)p\Phi_1 + ((n-1)p)^2\Phi_2 + \ldots + ((n-1)p)^{n-1}\Phi_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

We remind ourselves that we are working with elements from the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, where division by p is not defined. However, if f happens to be a polynomial, then all multiples of p in $b_j^{(i)}$ evenly cancel out. If at any stage a division by p is encountered it immediately implies that f is not polynomial, since the system has no solution.

If solution exists for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$, we then proceed to check in step 5 if the solution satisfies the condition for remaining components of v_i, *i.e.*, we check if

$$v_i \in \langle u_0^{<i>}, u_1^{<i>}, \ldots, u_{n-1}^{<i>} \rangle,$$

Algorithm 1 Determination of Polynomial Functions

Input: $f = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p^n-1})$, where p is prime and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Split f into p subarrays v_i such that $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ ▷ Step 1
$v_i = (a_i, a_{i+p}, a_{i+2p}, \ldots, a_{i+p^n-p})$.

for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ **do** $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ ▷ Step 2
$\quad v_i = v_i - a_i w_0$

Let $v_i = (0, b_1^{(i)}, b_2^{(i)}, \ldots, b_{p^{(n-1)}-1}^{(i)})$.

for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ **do** $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ ▷ Step 3
$\quad$ **for** $j = 0, 1, \ldots, p^{(n-1)} - 1$ **do**
$\qquad$ **if** $p \nmid b_j^{(i)}$ **then**
$\qquad\qquad$ **Output:** f is not polynomial.
$\qquad\qquad$ exit

for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ **do** $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ ▷ Step 4

$\quad$ **if** $A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(i)} \\ b_2^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n-1}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix}$ has no solution **then** $\qquad$ ▷ A as in (6)

$\qquad$ **Output:** f is not polynomial.
$\qquad$ exit

Let $\Phi^{(i)} = (\Phi_1^{(i)}, \Phi_2^{(i)}, \ldots, \Phi_{n-1}^{(i)})$ be the solution.

for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ **do** $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ ▷ Step 5
$\quad$ **if** $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Phi_j^{(i)} w_i$ **then**

$\qquad$ **Output:** f is polynomial.
$\quad$ **else**
$\qquad$ **Output:** f is not polynomial.

---

where $u_j^{<i>}$ is the ith cyclic shift of u_j. If the above condition is true for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ we conclude that f is polynomial representable.

The reason we choose to check for the $(n-1)$ components first separately is because had we considered all the components together we would have arrived at an over-defined system of equations with $p^n - 1$ equations for $n-1$ variables. Computation of rank to check for solutions would take $O((p^n)^2)$ instead of $O(n^2)$ as in the case of our algorithm.

The Algorithm 1 can be fully understood with the help of an example.

Example 2. *Consider $p = 2, n = 3$. Then*

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
u_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 6 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
u_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let f over $\mathbb{Z}_8$ be defined as

$$
f = (2, 1, 6, 1, 2, 1, 6, 1).
$$

After Step 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 & 2 & 6 \end{pmatrix} \\
v_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}
$$

After Step 2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \\
v_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}
$$

After Step 3 we find that all the entries are divisible by 2.
In Step 4
For v_0:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

for which solution exists, namely $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 0$. Hence, $v_0 \in \mathfrak{P}_8$. We are misusing the notation slightly. We have avoided the extra zeroes for clarity.
Clearly $v_1 = (0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathfrak{P}_8$. Therefore f is a polynomial function.

Proposition 4.1. *Algorithm 1 computes whether input function is polynomially representable.*

Proof. The proof of termination of the algorithm is trivial because of the finite nature of the structures involved.

From Theorem 3.9 we have that a function f is polynomial if and only if $f \in \langle\langle u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} \rangle\rangle$. More specifically, f is polynomial if and only if $v_i \in \langle u_0^{<i>}, u_1^{<i>}, \ldots, u_{n-1}^{<i>} \rangle$, for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$, where $u_j^{<i>}$ denotes the ith cyclic shift of u_j.

In other words, there exist scalars $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ such that

$$
v_i = \alpha_0 u_0^{<i>} + \alpha_1 u_1^{<i>} + \ldots + \alpha_{n-1} u_{n-1}^{<i>}.
$$

Suppose for convenience we drop the implicit zeros and write vector v_i as $v_i = (b_1^{(i)}, b_2^{(i)}, \ldots, b_{p^{(n-1)}-1}^{(i)})$, where $b_j^{(i)}$ are as described in Algorithm 1. Then there exist scalars $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}$ such that

$$
\begin{pmatrix} b_1^{(i)} \\ b_2^{(i)} \\ \vdots \\ b_{p^{(n-1)}-1}^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} = \alpha_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha_1 \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 2p \\ \vdots \\ p^n - p \end{pmatrix} + \ldots + \alpha_{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} p^{n-1} \\ (2p)^{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ (p^n - p)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

After step 2 of Algorithm 1, we get the first component of v_i to be zero, *i.e.*, we eliminate the contribution of u_0. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be the vector $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1})$. We check

for solutions of

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
p & p^2 & \cdots & p^{n-1} \\
2p & (2p)^2 & \cdots & (2p)^{n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
(p^n - p) & (p^n - p)^2 & \cdots & (p^n - p)^{n-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{pmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
\vdots \\
x_{n-1}
\end{pmatrix}
=
\begin{pmatrix}
b_1^{(i)} \\
b_2^{(i)} \\
\vdots \\
b_{q/p}^{(i)}
\end{pmatrix}
\quad (8)
$$

Now each $v_i \in \langle \langle u_0^{<i>}, u_1^{<i>}, \ldots, u_{n-1}^{<i>} \rangle \rangle$ if (8) has a solution for x_i in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. Let A be the matrix defined in (6). Then, $v_i \in \langle u_0^{<i>}, u_1^{<i>}, \ldots, u_{n-1}^{<i>} \rangle$ if and only if $A \cdot \mathbf{x} = v_i$ in (7) has solution and for $j = n, n+1, \ldots, p^{n-1} - 1$

$$
b_j^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i (jp)^i.
$$

Step 3 checks if $A \cdot \mathbf{x}$ in (7) has a solution. Step 4 checks if the solution obtained in previous step satisfies for remaining components in (8).

□

We now give a brief analysis of space and time complexities of the algorithm. We assume that the input is given in an array of size p^n, which is a reasonable assumption. Also we assume that addition and scalar multiplication on vector of size p^n takes $O(p^n)$ time.

Time complexity: Step 1 takes constant time as no explicit computation is involved: $O(1)$.
Step 2 involves a vector addition: $O(p^{n-1})$.
Step 3 involves one array traversal: $O(p^{n-1})$.
Step 4 involves computing rank of $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix to check for solution. If solution exists it can be found using Gaussian elimination: $O(n^3)$.
Step 5 involves a comparison between two vectors: $O(p^{n-1})$.

Note that steps 2-5 can be performed in parallel as the v_i are independent of each other. Assuming a sequential model of computation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}(p,n) &= O(1) + O(p^n) + O(p^n) + O(pn^3) + O(p^n) \\
&= O(p^n + pn^3) \\
&= O(p^n + pn^3).
\end{aligned}
$$

For all practical purposes $n^3 \ll p^n$. Hence time complexity is linear in size of input.

Space complexity: The input takes $O(p^n)$, which is unavoidable. Apart from that the only space requirement is to store the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix which takes $O(n^2)$. Hence space complexity is $O(n^2)$.

5. Determination of the polynomial

A natural continuation of the problem is to find the polynomial which corresponds to the given function. This can accomplished by merely giving the polynomials that correspond to the elements in the generating set. Improving upon Algorithm 1 we can obtain a solution of the system of linear equations, if it exists. Since the solution corresponds to the scalars in the linear combination of the

generating elements, if we are equipped with the polynomials corresponding to the vectors u_i defined in Lemma 3.8 for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$, determining the polynomial of the given function becomes a trivial task. In this section we present the polynomials that correspond to the generating vectors.

Proposition 5.1. *The polynomial* $(1 - x^{\phi(p^n)})$ *corresponds to the function* u_0 *defined in Lemma 3.7 as*

$$u_0(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \nmid x \\ 1 & \text{if } p \mid x, \end{cases}$$

where $\phi(m)$ *refers to Euler's totient function.*

Proof. From Euler's totient theorem we have

$$x^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \bmod m,$$

for all x such that $\gcd(x, m) = 1$.

When $m = p^n$ we have

$$\phi(p^n) = p^n - p^{n-1},$$
$$x^{\phi(p^n)} \equiv 1 \bmod p^n$$

if and only if $\gcd(x, p^n) = 1$.

In other words we have $x^{\phi(p^n)} \equiv 1 \bmod p^n$ if $p \nmid x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. Also $\phi(p^n) > n$ for all $p \geq 2, n \geq 1$. Hence $(lp)^{\phi(p^n)} \equiv 0 \bmod p^n$, where $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, which means if $p \mid x$ then $x^{\phi(p^n)} \equiv 0$.

From these two observations we infer that the monomial $x^{\phi(p^n)}$ corresponds to the function

$$x^{\phi(p^n)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \nmid x \\ 0 & \text{if } p \mid x. \end{cases}$$

Then the polynomial $(1 - x^{\phi(p^n)}) \equiv (p^n - 1)x^{\phi(p^n)} + 1$ corresponds to the function

$$1 - x^{\phi(p^n)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \nmid x \\ 1 & \text{if } p \mid x \end{cases}$$

which is identical to the definition of u_0.

$\square$

It should be noted that many polynomials give rise to the function vector u_0. The polynomial mentioned above is just one of them. It is in fact possible to list all the polynomials which correspond to u_0 using the method given in [8].

Let $\mathfrak{u}_0$ denote the polynomial $1 - x^{\phi(p^n)}$. Using $\mathfrak{u}_0$ one can easily construct the polynomials for all the generators of $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}$. Each u_i defined in Lemma 3.8 as the function

$$u_i(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \nmid x, \\ x^i & \text{if } p \mid x \end{cases}$$

corresponds to the polynomial $\mathfrak{u}_i$ given as follows.

$$\mathfrak{u}_i = x^i \mathfrak{u}_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \nmid x \\ x^i & \text{if } p \mid x \end{cases} = u_i.$$

The cyclic shifts of u_i are obtained by replacing x by $x + j$ in each $\mathfrak{u}_i$. The polynomials corresponding to the generators are

$$u_0 \equiv 1 - x^{\phi(p^n)} \tag{9}$$

$$u_i \equiv x^i(1 - x^{\phi(p^n)}) \tag{10}$$

$$u_i^{<j>} \equiv (x+j)(1 - (x+j)^{\phi(p^n)}) \tag{11}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $j = 1, \ldots, p-1$.

Written explicitly the desired polynomials are

$1 - x^{\phi(p^n)}, 1 - (x+1)^{\phi(p^n)}, \ldots, 1 - (x+p-1)^{\phi(p^n)},$
$x(1 - x^{\phi(p^n)}), (x+1)(1 - (x+1)^{\phi(p^n)}), \ldots, (x+p-1)(1 - (x+p-1)^{\phi(p^n)}), \ldots,$
$x^{n-1}(1 - x^{\phi(p^n)}), (x+1)^{n-1}(1 - (x+1)^{\phi(p^n)}), \ldots, (x+p-1)^{n-1}(1 - (x+p-1)^{\phi(p^n)}).$

6. POLYNOMIALS IN SEVERAL VARIABLES

The problem of determining whether a given function is polynomial can be extended to functions over several variables as well, *i.e.*, given a function $f : (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, where m is a positive integer, can we determine whether f can be written as a polynomial in m variables? The characterization given in (1) is extended to multivariate functions in [2]. As in the case of single variable the characterization is existential in nature. Some related work can be found in [6]. We show that our characterization Theorem 3.9 can be extended to multivariate functions.

Let $\mathfrak{F}_{p^n}^{(m)}$ denote the set of all functions from $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^m$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. Let $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}^{(m)}$ denote those functions which are polynomially representable.

The definition of cyclic shift in mulitvariate case is non-trivial, but follows closely the univariate case given in Definition 3.4.

Definition 6.1. *Let $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{p^n}^{(m)}$. For $(j_1, \ldots, j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ we denote its cyclic shift by $f^{<j_1, \ldots, j_m>}$ and define it as*

$$f^{<j_1, \ldots, j_m>}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = f(x_1 + j_1 \bmod p^n, \ldots, x_m + j_m \bmod p^n)$$

for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^m$.

For functions involving several variables the result in [2] given in (1) takes the form: $f : (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ is polynomial if and only if there exists suitable functions $\Phi_{i_1, \ldots, i_m} : (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ such that

$$f(x_1 + ps_1, \ldots, x_m + ps_m) = \sum_{i_1 + \ldots + i_m < n} \Phi_{i_1, \ldots, i_m}(x_1, \ldots, x_m)(ps_1)^{i_1} \ldots (ps_m)^{i_m} \bmod p^n.$$

Using the above result we define a generating set similar to the one defined earlier in Lemma 3.8.

Lemma 6.2. *The function $u_{k_1, \ldots, k_m} : (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ defined as*

$$u_{k_1, \ldots, k_m}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \begin{cases} x_1^{k_1} \ldots x_m^{k_m} & \text{if } p \mid x_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, m \\ 0 & \text{if } p \nmid x_i \text{ for at least one } i = 1, \ldots, m \end{cases}$$

belongs to $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}^{(m)}$, where $0 \leq k_1, \ldots, k_m < n$.

Proof. Proof is by induction on m. For $m=1$, the above statement is true by Lemma 3.8. Assume that the function $u_{k_1, \ldots, k_{m-1}} : (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^{m-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ defined as

$$u_{k_1, \ldots, k_{m-1}}(x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1}) = \begin{cases} x_1^{k_1} \ldots x_{m-1}^{k_{m-1}} & \text{if } p \mid x_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, m-1. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is polynomially representable. Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1}]$ be the polynomial which when evaluated over $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^{m-1}$ gives the function $u_{k_1, \ldots, k_{m-1}}$.

Consider the function $u'_{k_m} : \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ defined as

$$u'_{k_m}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \nmid x, \\ x^{k_m} & \text{if } p \mid x. \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 3.8 we know that it is polynomially representable. Let $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[x_m]$ be the polynomial that corresponds to the function u'_{k_m}.

Consider h and g as polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$. Clearly $hg \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$. Let $f = gh$. As a function f is defined as

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \begin{cases} x_1^{k_1} \ldots x_{m-1}^{k_{m-1}} \cdot x_m^{k_m} & \text{if } p \mid x_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, m-1 \text{ and } p \mid x_m \\ x_1^{k_1} \ldots x_{m-1}^{k_{m-1}} \cdot 0 & \text{if } p \mid x_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, m-1 \text{ and } p \nmid x_m \\ 0 \cdot x_m^{k_m} & \text{if } p \nmid x_i \text{ for some } i = 1, \ldots, m-1 \text{ and } p \mid x_m \\ 0 \cdot 0 & \text{if } p \nmid x_i \text{ for some } i = 1, \ldots, m-1 \text{ and } p \nmid x_m. \end{cases}$$

That is we have

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \begin{cases} x_1^{k_1} \ldots x_m^{k_m} & \text{if } p \mid x_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, m, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \nmid x_i \text{ for some } i = 1, \ldots, m, \end{cases}$$

which is identical to $u_{k_1, \ldots, k_m}(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$. Hence $u_{k_1, \ldots, k_m}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}^{(m)}$. $\qquad \square$

Theorem 6.3. $f \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}^{(m)}$ if and only if $f \in \langle\langle u_{k_1, \ldots, k_m} : k_1 + \ldots + k_m < n \rangle\rangle$, where $(k_1, \ldots, k_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $u_{k_1, \ldots, k_m}$ is defined as above.

Proof. The proof is similar to one given in Theorem 3.9. One implication is trivial. To prove that f is polynomial implies $f \in \langle\langle u_{k_1, \ldots, k_m} : k_1 + \ldots + k_m < n \rangle\rangle$ write f as

$$f = \sum_{0 \le j_1, \ldots, j_m < p} v_{j_1, \ldots, j_m},$$

such that $v_{j_1, \ldots, j_m} : (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ defined as

$$v_{j_1, \ldots, j_m}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) = \begin{cases} f(a_1, \ldots, a_m) & \text{if } a_i \equiv j_i \bmod p \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

That is $f(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ is placed in exactly one of the p^m different $v_{j_1, \ldots, j_m}$ functions. We now show that each $v_{j_1, \ldots, j_m} \in \mathfrak{P}_{p^n}^{(m)}$ for all $(j_1, \ldots, j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$.

This can be written as

$$v_{j_1, \ldots, j_m}(a_1, \ldots, a_m) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{k_1 + \ldots + k_m < n} \Phi_{k_1, \ldots, k_m}(j_1, \ldots, j_m) \prod_{i=1}^{m} (ps_i)^{k_i} & \text{if } a_i = j_i + ps_i \\ & \text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\eta_{k_1, \ldots, k_m} : (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ such that

$$v_{j_1, \ldots, j_m} = \sum_{k_1 + \ldots + k_m < n} \eta_{k_1, \ldots, k_m},$$

where

$$\eta_{k_1,\ldots,k_m}(a_1,\ldots,a_m) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{k_1,\ldots,k_m}(j_1,\ldots,j_m)(ps_1)^{k_1}\ldots(ps_m)^{k_m} & \text{if } a_i = j_i + ps_i \\ & \text{for all } i = 1,\ldots,m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

for all $(a_1,\ldots,a_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})^m$. We have

$$\eta_{k_1,\ldots,k_m} = \Phi_{k_1,\ldots,k_m}(j_1,\ldots,j_m) \cdot u_{k_1,\ldots,k_m}^{<j_1,\ldots,j_m>},$$

where $u_{k_1,\ldots,k_m}^{<j_1,\ldots,j_m>}$ denotes the $(j_1,\ldots,j_m)$ cyclic shift of $u_{k_1,\ldots,k_m}$. Hence each $\eta_{k_1,\ldots,k_m} \in \langle u_{k_1,\ldots,k_m}^{<j_1,\ldots,j_m>} : k_1 + \ldots + k_m < n \rangle$. In other words, $\eta_{k_1,\ldots,k_m} \in \langle\langle u_{k_1,\ldots,k_m} : k_1 + \ldots + k_m < n \rangle\rangle$, which implies $v_{j_1,\ldots,j_m}$ and therefore $f \in \langle\langle u_{k_1,\ldots,k_m} : k_1 + \ldots + k_m < n \rangle\rangle$. $\qquad\square$

Using the above result we can obtain an algorithm similar to Algorithm 1 that determines whether the given function is polynomial or not. The complexity in multivariate case is $O((np)^m)$, which is linear in the size of the input.

Determination of the polynomial is extended to the multivariate case in a natural way. In the case of m variables we know that $\mathfrak{P}_{p^n}^{(m)}$ is generated by $\{u_{k_1,\ldots,k_m} \mid k_1 + \ldots + k_m < n\}$. The function $u_{0,\ldots,0}$ is given by the polynomial

$$(1 - x_1^{\phi(p^n)})(1 - x_2^{\phi(p^n)})\ldots(1 - x_m^{\phi(p^n)}).$$

In general the function vector

$$u_{k_1,\ldots,k_m} = \begin{cases} x_1^{k_1}\ldots x_m^{k_m} & \text{if } p \mid x_i \text{ for all } i = 1,\ldots,m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is given by the polynomial

$$x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \ldots x_m^{k_m}(1 - x_1^{\phi(p^n)})(1 - x_2^{\phi(p^n)})\ldots(1 - x_m^{\phi(p^n)}).$$

This way it is possible to determine the polynomial that corresponds to the function in multivariate case as well.

7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we considered the problem of polynomial representability of functions over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. A new characterization of polynomial functions is given that leads to a non-exhaustive algorithm which runs in linear time. We have also given a method to identify the polynomial that corresponds to the given function by providing the polynomials for the generating vectors. The results are extended to multivariate case as well.

REFERENCES

[1] J.V. Brawley and G.L. Mullen. Functions and polynomials over Galois rings. *Journal of Number Theory*, 41(2):156–166, 1992.

[2] L Carlitz. Functions and polynomials ($mod\, p^n$). *Acta arithmetica*, 9(1):67–78, 1964.

[3] L Carlitz. Functions and correspondences in a finite field. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 83(2):139–165, 1977.

[4] L.E. Dickson. The analytic representation of substitutions on a power of a prime number of letters with a discussion of the linear group. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 11(1/6):65–120, 1896.

[5] C. Hermite. Sur les fonctions de sept lettres. *Comptes rendus de l'Acadmie des Sciences Paris*, 57:750–757, 1863.

[6] HK Kaiser and W. Nöbauer. Permutation polynomials in several variables over residue class rings. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 43(2):171–175, 1987.

[7] G. Keller and FR Olson. Counting polynomial functions (mod p^n). *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 35(4):835–838, 1968.

[8] Aubrey J Kempner. Polynomials and their residue systems. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 22(2):240–266, 1921.

[9] H. Lausch and W. Nöbauer. *Algebra of polynomials*, volume 5. North-Holland, 1973.

[10] R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, and P.M. Cohn. *Finite fields*, volume 20. Cambridge Univ Pr, 1997.

[11] G. Mullen and H. Stevens. Polynomial functions (mod m). *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 44(3):237–241, 1984.

[12] Q. Zhang. Polynomial functions and permutation polynomials over some finite commutative rings. *Journal of Number Theory*, 105(1):192–202, 2004.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND AUTOMATION, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA.
E-mail address: guha_ashwin@csa.iisc.ernet.in

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND AUTOMATION, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, BANGALORE 560012, INDIA.
E-mail address: ambedkar@csa.iisc.ernet.in