

G -equivalence in group algebras and minimal abelian codes

Raul Antonio Ferraz, Marinês Guerreiro, and César Polcino Milies,

Abstract—Let G be a finite abelian group and \mathbb{F} a field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. Denote by $\mathbb{F}G$ the group algebra of G over \mathbb{F} . A (semisimple) abelian code is an ideal of $\mathbb{F}G$. Two codes \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 of $\mathbb{F}G$ are G -equivalent if there exists an automorphism ψ of G whose linear extension to $\mathbb{F}G$ maps \mathcal{I}_1 onto \mathcal{I}_2 .

In this paper we give a necessary and sufficient condition for minimal abelian codes to be G -equivalent and show how to correct some results in the literature.

Index Terms—group algebra, G -equivalence, primitive idempotent, abelian codes.

I. INTRODUCTION

LET G be a finite group and \mathbb{F} a finite field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. Two ideals \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 of the group algebra $\mathbb{F}G$ are said to be G -equivalent if there exists an automorphism ψ of G whose linear extension to $\mathbb{F}G$ maps \mathcal{I}_1 onto \mathcal{I}_2 .

This definition was introduced R.L. Miller [9] in the context of Coding Theory. S.D. Berman [1] and, independently, F.J. MacWilliams [8] defined abelian codes as ideals in finite abelian group algebras and R.L. Miller [9] used G -equivalence to compare codes with the same weight distribution.

In this paper, we address the problem of determining G -equivalence of minimal ideals in semisimple abelian group algebras and prove that the G -equivalence classes of minimal ideals depend on the structure of the lattice of the subgroups of G .

In Section II we prove preliminary results about idempotents and in Section III we establish a correspondence between G -equivalence classes of minimal abelian ideals in $\mathbb{F}G$ and certain isomorphism classes of subgroups of G . In Section IV, we use these facts to show that some of the results of [9] are not correct and, in the final section, we exhibit particular cases for which such results hold.

II. SUBGROUPS AND IDEMPOTENTS

The irreducible central idempotents of the rational group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$ were computed in [5, Theorem 1.4] in the case when G is abelian; in [7, Theorem 2.1] when G is nilpotent; in [10, Theorem 4.4] when G is abelian-by-supersolvable

R.A. Ferraz and C. Polcino Milies are with the Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 66281, CEP 05314-970 - São Paulo - SP (Brasil), supported by CNPq, Proc. 300243/79-0(RN) and FAPESP, Proc. 09/52665-0. E-mail: raul@ime.usp.br and polcino@ime.usp.br.

M. Guerreiro is with Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, CEP 36570-000 - Viçosa-MG (Brasil), supported by CAPES, PROCAD 915/2010 and FAPEMIG, APQ CEX 00438-08. E-mail: marines@ufv.br.

This work was presented in part at the 2011 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW), Paraty-RJ (Brasil) 16-20 October, 2011.

Manuscript received ???, 20012; revised ???, 2012.

and in [2, Theorem 7] an algorithm to write the primitive idempotents in given.

In what follows, we shall establish a correspondence between primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{F}G$ and certain subgroups of G .

Definition II.1. Let G be a group. A subgroup H of G is said a *co-cyclic subgroup* if the factor group $G/H \neq 1$ is cyclic.

We use the notation

$$\mathcal{S}_{cc}(G) = \{H \mid H \text{ is a co-cyclic subgroup of } G\}.$$

We recall the following results that are used throughout this paper.

Let G be a finite abelian p -group and \mathbb{F} a field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. Given a subgroup H of G , denote $\hat{H} = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} h$ and, for an element $x \in G$, set $\hat{x} = \langle x \rangle$. For each co-cyclic subgroup H of G , we can construct an idempotent of $\mathbb{F}G$. In fact, we remark that, since G/H is a cyclic p -group, there exists a unique subgroup H^\sharp of G containing H such that $|H^\sharp/H| = p$. Then $e_H = \hat{H} - \hat{H}^\sharp$ is an idempotent and we consider the set

$$\{\hat{G}\} \cup \{e_H = \hat{H} - \hat{H}^\sharp \mid H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G), G/H \neq \{1\}\}. \quad (1)$$

In the case of a rational abelian group algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$, the set above is the set of primitive central idempotents [5, Theorem 1.4]. Also, the following results holds.

Theorem II.2. [3, Lemma 5] Let p be a prime rational integer and G a finite abelian group of exponent p^n and \mathbb{F}_q a finite field such that $p \nmid q$. Then (1) is a set of pairwise orthogonal idempotents of $\mathbb{F}_q G$ whose sum is equal to 1.

Theorem II.3. [3, Theorem 4.1] Under the hypotheses above, the set (1) is the set of primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{F}_q G$ if and only if $o(\bar{q}) = \phi(p^n)$ in $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$, where ϕ denotes Euler's totient function.

We shall repeatedly use the following rather obvious fact.

Lemma II.4. Let G be a finite abelian p -group and $H \leq G$. Then G/H is a cyclic group if and only if there exists a unique subgroup L such that $H < L \leq G$ and $[L : H] = p$.

In the sequel, for a finite abelian group G , we write $G = G_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_t}$, where G_{p_i} denotes the p_i -Sylow subgroup of G , for the distinct positive prime numbers p_1, \dots, p_t .

Lemma II.5. Let $G = G_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_t}$ be a finite abelian group and $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$. Write $H = H_{p_1} \times \cdots \times H_{p_t}$, where H_{p_i} is the p_i -Sylow subgroup of H . Then each subgroup H_{p_i} is co-cyclic in G_{p_i} , $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Proof: For $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, the quotient $G/H \cong G_{p_1}/H_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_t}/H_{p_t}$ is cyclic, hence each factor G_{p_i}/H_{p_i} must be cyclic. Therefore, $H_{p_i} \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G_{p_i})$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. ■

With the notation above, for each $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, define an idempotent $e_H \in \mathbb{F}G$ as follows. For each $1 \leq i \leq t$, either $H_{p_i} = G_{p_i}$ or there exists a unique subgroup $H_{p_i}^\#$ such that $[H_{p_i}^\# : H_{p_i}] = p_i$. Thus, let $e_{H_{p_i}} = \widehat{G_{p_i}}$ or $e_{H_{p_i}} = \widehat{H_{p_i}} - \widehat{H_{p_i}^\#}$, respectively, and define

$$e_H = e_{H_{p_1}} e_{H_{p_2}} \cdots e_{H_{p_t}}. \quad (2)$$

For any other $K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, with $K \neq H$, we have $K_{p_i} \neq H_{p_i}$, for some $1 \leq i \leq t$, hence $e_{H_{p_i}} e_{K_{p_i}} = 0$ and so $e_H e_K = 0$. Thus, we have the following.

Proposition II.6. *Let G be a finite abelian group and \mathbb{F} a field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. Then*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{e_H \mid H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)\}$$

is a set of orthogonal idempotents of $\mathbb{F}G$.

A similar construction of idempotents for rational group algebras of abelian groups is given in [5, Section VII.1]. For the rational case, these idempotents are primitive while for finite fields this is usually not true.

To study the G -equivalence of ideals, we need to understand how the group of automorphisms $\text{Aut}(G)$ acts on the lattice of the subgroups of G and hence on the idempotents in the group algebra which arise from these subgroups. From now on, we use the same notation for an automorphism of the group G and its linear extension to the group algebra $\mathbb{F}G$.

Lemma II.7. *Let G be a finite abelian group, $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$ and e_H its corresponding idempotent defined as in (2). Then, for any $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, we have $\psi(e_H) = e_{\psi(H)}$.*

Proof: By Lemma II.5, $H = H_{p_1} \times H_{p_2} \times \cdots \times H_{p_t}$, where H_{p_i} is the p_i -Sylow subgroup of H which is co-cyclic in G_{p_i} (the p_i -Sylow subgroup of G), for each $1 \leq i \leq t$. Since $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, $\psi(H) = \psi(H_{p_1}) \times \psi(H_{p_2}) \times \cdots \times \psi(H_{p_t})$. Then each $\psi(H_{p_i})$ is the p_i -Sylow subgroup of $\psi(H)$ and is also co-cyclic in G_{p_i} . Hence $\psi(H_{p_i}^\#) = \psi(H)_{p_i}^\#$ and the result follows. ■

Lemma II.8. *Let G be a finite abelian group and \mathbb{F} a field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. Then, in the group algebra $\mathbb{F}G$, we have:*

$$1 = \widehat{G} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} e_H. \quad (3)$$

Proof: Let $G = G_{p_1} \times G_{p_2} \times \cdots \times G_{p_t}$, with G_{p_i} the p_i -Sylow subgroup of G , $1 \leq i \leq t$. By Theorem II.2, we have

$$1 = \widehat{G_{p_1}} + \sum_{H_{p_i} \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G_{p_i})} (\widehat{H_{p_i}} - \widehat{H_{p_i}^\#}). \quad (4)$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \prod_{i=1}^t \left(\widehat{G_{p_i}} + \sum_{H_{p_i} \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G_{p_i})} (\widehat{H_{p_i}} - \widehat{H_{p_i}^\#}) \right) \\ &= \sum e_{H_{p_1}} e_{H_{p_2}} \cdots e_{H_{p_t}}, \end{aligned}$$

where either $e_{H_{p_i}} = \widehat{G_{p_i}}$ or $e_{H_{p_i}} = \widehat{H_{p_i}} - \widehat{H_{p_i}^\#}$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. Therefore,

$$1 = \widehat{G} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} e_H. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma II.9. *Let G be a finite abelian group and \mathbb{F} a field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. For each primitive idempotent $e \in \mathbb{F}G$, there exists a unique $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$ such that $e \cdot e_H = e$ and $e \cdot e_K = 0$, for any other $K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$.*

Proof: By Lemma II.8, $1 = \widehat{G} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} e_H$. Multiplying by e , we have:

$$e = e \left(\widehat{G} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} e_H \right) = e \cdot \widehat{G} + \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} e \cdot e_H. \quad (5)$$

As $e_H \cdot e_K = 0$, for $H \neq K$ co-cyclic subgroups of G , the right hand side of (5) is a sum of orthogonal idempotents. Therefore, as e is a primitive idempotent, only one summand is non-zero and this proves the lemma. ■

Set:

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G) = \{e \in \mathbb{F}G \mid e \text{ is a primitive idempotent in } \mathbb{F}G\}.$$

Under the same hypotheses of Lemma II.9, the following map is well-defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G) \\ e &\longmapsto \Phi(e) = H_e, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where H_e is the unique co-cyclic subgroup of G such that $e \cdot e_{H_e} = e$.

Theorem II.10. *Let G be a finite abelian group, \mathbb{F} a field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$ and $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$. Then e_H is the sum of all primitive idempotents $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)$ such that $\Phi(e) = H$.*

Proof: Write $1 = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)} e$. Then

$$e_H = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)} e_H e = \sum_{\Phi(e) \neq H} e_H e + \sum_{\Phi(e) = H} e_H e = \sum_{\Phi(e) = H} e. \quad \blacksquare$$

Remark II.11. *For a primitive idempotent $e \in \mathbb{F}G$ and its correspondent subgroup $H = H_e$, as in (6), we have:*

$$e \widehat{K} = e \Leftrightarrow K \leq H \text{ and } e \widehat{K} = 0 \Leftrightarrow K \not\leq H.$$

Proof: By (2), $e_H = e_{H_1} e_{H_2} \cdots e_{H_t}$, where either $e_{H_i} = \widehat{G_{p_i}}$ or $e_{H_i} = \widehat{H_i} - \widehat{H_i^\#}$, where H_i denotes the p_i -Sylow of H , $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Let $K \leq H$. Then $K_i \leq H_i \leq H_i^\#$, where K_i is the p_i -Sylow of K . Hence $\widehat{K_i} \widehat{H_i} = \widehat{H_i}$ and $\widehat{K_i} \widehat{H_i^\#} = \widehat{H_i^\#}$. Thus either $\widehat{K_i} \widehat{G_{p_i}} = \widehat{G_{p_i}}$ or $\widehat{K_i} e_{H_i} = \widehat{K_i} (\widehat{H_i} - \widehat{H_i^\#}) = \widehat{H_i} - \widehat{H_i^\#} = e_{H_i}$. Therefore,

$$e \widehat{K} = e e_H \widehat{K} = e (e_{H_1} e_{H_2} \cdots e_{H_t}) (\widehat{K_1} \widehat{K_2} \cdots \widehat{K_t}) = e e_H = e.$$

If $K \not\leq H$, then there exists at least one $K_i \not\leq H_i$. In this case, $H_i^\# \subset K_i H_i$, hence $\widehat{K_i} \widehat{H_i^\#} = \widehat{K_i} \widehat{H_i}$ and $\widehat{K_i} e_{H_i} = \widehat{K_i} (\widehat{H_i} - \widehat{H_i^\#}) = \widehat{K_i} \widehat{H_i} - \widehat{K_i} \widehat{H_i} = 0$. This proves the remark. ■

Proposition II.12. *Let G be a finite abelian group and \mathbb{F} a field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. If $e \in \mathbb{F}G$ is a primitive idempotent, then $Ge \cong G/H_e$.*

Proof: It is clear that Ge is a group. Consider $\pi : G \rightarrow Ge$ given by $\pi(g) = ge$. Clearly $H_e \subset \ker(\pi)$. For $g \in \ker(\pi)$, $\pi(g) = e$ implies $ge = e$, hence $\langle g \rangle e = e$ and, by Remark II.11, $\langle g \rangle \subset H_e$. Therefore, $g \in H_e$ and $\ker(\pi) = H_e$, proving the lemma. ■

Let G be a finite abelian group. We recall that its **character group** is $G^* = \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$, with multiplication defined by $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x)$, for all $f, g \in G^*$ and $x \in G$. Also for a subgroup H of G , define

$$H^\perp = \{f \in G^* \mid f(h) = 1, \text{ for all } h \in H\}.$$

The following facts on character groups can be found in [12, Chapter 10] and will be used in the next sections.

Theorem II.13. *Let G be a finite abelian group. Then:*

- (1) $G^* \cong G$.
- (2) If $H \leq G$, then G contains a subgroup isomorphic to G/H .
- (3) H^\perp is a subgroup of G^* and $H^\perp \cong (G/H)^*$.
- (4) Let $\mathcal{S}(G)$ be the set of all subgroups of a group G . Then the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi : \mathcal{S}(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}(G^*) \\ H & \longmapsto & H^\perp \end{array}$$

is a bijection and satisfies:

- (a) If $H \subset K$ then $H^\perp \supset K^\perp$ (with proper inclusion preserved).
- (b) If H is a cyclic subgroup of order p^s in a finite abelian p -group G of exponent p^r , with $r \geq s$, then H^\perp is a co-cyclic subgroup of G such that $G^*/H^\perp \cong C_{p^s} \cong H$.
- (c) If H is a co-cyclic subgroup such that $G/H \cong C_{p^s}$ in a finite abelian p -group G of exponent p^r , with $r \geq s$, then H^\perp is a cyclic subgroup isomorphic to C_{p^s} .

III. G -ISOMORPHISMS OF SUBGROUPS

We say that two subgroups H and K of a group G are **G -isomorphic** if there exists an automorphism $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ such that $\psi(H) = K$.

Notice that isomorphic subgroups are not necessarily G -isomorphic. For example, for a prime p , if $G = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ with $o(a) = p^2$ and $o(b) = p$, then $\langle a^p \rangle$ and $\langle b \rangle$ are isomorphic but not G -isomorphic, since $\langle b \rangle$ is contained properly only in $\langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ and $\langle a^p \rangle$ is contained in $\langle a \rangle$ and in $\langle a^i b \rangle$, for all $1 \leq i \leq p-1$.

For finite abelian groups, Propositions III.1, III.6 and III.7 below establish a correspondence between G -equivalent minimal ideals and G -isomorphic subgroups.

Proposition III.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group and \mathbb{F} a field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. If $e, e_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)$ are such that $\psi(e) = e_1$, for some automorphism $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ linearly extended to $\mathbb{F}G$, then*

$$\psi(H_e) = H_{\psi(e)} = H_{e_1},$$

i.e., H_e and H_{e_1} are G -isomorphic.

Proof: Given $e, e_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)$, from Lemma II.9, there exist $H_e, H_{e_1} \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$ such that

$$e \cdot e_{H_e} = e \quad \text{and} \quad e_1 \cdot e_{H_{e_1}} = e_1.$$

Hence, by Lemma II.7,

$$\psi(e) = \psi(e \cdot e_{H_e}) = \psi(e)\psi(e_{H_e}) = \psi(e) \cdot e_{\psi(H_e)}. \quad (7)$$

Since $\psi(e)$ is also a primitive idempotent in $\mathbb{F}G$, Lemma II.9 shows that there exists a unique subgroup in G satisfying (7), hence $\psi(H_e) = H_{\psi(e)}$. As $\psi(e) = e_1$, we have $\psi(H_e) = H_{e_1}$. ■

The converse of the Proposition III.1 is also true and it will be proved in Proposition III.7 as we still need more information. We set

$$\mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G) = \{\psi \in \text{Aut}(G) \mid \psi(H) = H, \text{ for all } H \leq G\}.$$

Lemma III.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group, $g \in G$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\text{gcd}(r, o(g)) = 1$. Then there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G)$ such that $\psi(g) = g^r$.*

Proof: We can write $|G| = k \cdot s$ such that k and $o(g)$ have the same prime divisors and $\text{gcd}(s, o(g)) = \text{gcd}(k, s) = 1$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying

$$x \equiv r \pmod{k} \quad \text{and} \quad x \equiv 1 \pmod{s}.$$

This implies $\text{gcd}(x, k) = \text{gcd}(x, s) = 1$, hence $\text{gcd}(x, |G|) = 1$. Therefore, defining $\psi : G \rightarrow G$ by $\psi(h) = h^x$, we have $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G)$ and $\psi(g) = g^x = g^r$. ■

Lemma III.3. *Let G be a finite abelian group and $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$. Then $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G)$ if and only if there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{gcd}(r, |G|) = 1$ and $\psi(g) = g^r$, for all $g \in G$.*

Proof: Suppose $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G)$. For each $g \in G$, we have $\psi(\langle g \rangle) = \langle g \rangle$, hence $\psi(g) = g^{r_g}$, for some $r_g \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{gcd}(r_g, |G|) = 1$.

Write $G \cong C_{s_1} \times C_{s_2} \times \dots \times C_{s_t}$, with $C_{s_j} = \langle a_j \rangle$ of order $s_j \mid |G|$ and $s_{j+1} \mid s_j$, $1 \leq j \leq t$. Thus $\psi(a_j) = a_j^{r_j}$, with $\text{gcd}(r_j, |G|) = 1$, $1 \leq j \leq t$. As $s_j \mid s_1$, then $r_j \equiv r_1 \pmod{s_j}$, $1 \leq j \leq t$, and $r_g \equiv r_1 \pmod{s_j}$, $1 \leq j \leq t$. Therefore, we can take $r_g = r_1$. This proves the lemma, since the converse is clear. ■

Lemma III.4. *Let G be a finite abelian group and \mathbb{F} a field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. Then $\mathcal{B} = \{e_H \mid H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)\}$ is both a basis for the algebra*

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}G \mid \psi(\alpha) = \alpha, \text{ for all } \psi \in \mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G)\}$$

and the set of primitive idempotents of \mathcal{A} .

Proof: For an element $g \in G$, set

$$\gamma_g = \sum_{\substack{\text{gcd}(i, o(g))=1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq o(g)}} g^i.$$

We claim that $\gamma_g \in \mathcal{A}$, for all $g \in G$. Indeed, by Lemma III.3, for each $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G)$, there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{gcd}(r, |G|) = 1$ and $\psi(h) = h^r$, for all $h \in G$. Hence

$$\psi(\gamma_g) = \sum_{\substack{\text{gcd}(i, o(g))=1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq o(g)}} g^{ir} = \gamma_g.$$

It is easy to see that given two elements $g_1, g_2 \in G$, either $\gamma_{g_1} = \gamma_{g_2}$ or they have disjoint supports in $\mathbb{F}G$.

Let $\Gamma = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s\}$ be a complete set of elements in G such that $\gamma_{g_i} \neq \gamma_{g_j}$, for $i \neq j$.

We claim that $\{\gamma_g | g \in \Gamma\}$ is an \mathbb{F} -basis of \mathcal{A} . Indeed, by considering the respective supports, it is clear that the set $\{\gamma_g | g \in \Gamma\}$ is linearly independent. Now given $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $g \in G$, the coefficients of g and g^r in α , for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(r, o(g)) = 1$, must all be equal, by Lemma III.2. Thus, we may write:

$$\alpha = a_{g_1} \gamma_{g_1} + a_{g_2} \gamma_{g_2} + \dots + a_{g_s} \gamma_{g_s},$$

hence $\{\gamma_g | g \in \Gamma\}$ is a basis for \mathcal{A} .

Notice that there exists a bijection between the set of cyclic subgroups of G and the set $\{\gamma_g | g \in \Gamma\}$. By Theorem II.13, there exists also a bijection between the set of the cyclic subgroups of G and $\mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, hence $\dim \mathcal{A} = |\mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)|$.

By Lemma II.7, it is clear that $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$. In order to prove that \mathcal{B} is linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}G$, assume that

$$\sum_{K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} a_K e_K = 0, \quad (8)$$

with $a_K \in \mathbb{F}$. Multiplying (8) by e_H , for a fixed $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, we get $a_H e_H = 0$, implying $a_H = 0$, for all $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$. Therefore, \mathcal{B} is linearly independent in $\mathbb{F}G$ and thus a basis for \mathcal{A} .

For an element $e_H \in \mathcal{B}$, assume, by way of contradiction, that $e_H = e_1 + e_2$, with e_1 and e_2 orthogonal idempotents of \mathcal{A} . As \mathcal{B} is a basis for \mathcal{A} , we can write

$$e_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} b_{ji} e_{H_j}, \quad \text{with } e_{H_j} \in \mathcal{B}, b_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}, 1 \leq j \leq n, i = 1, 2.$$

By Proposition II.6 we have either $e_{H_{j_0}} e_H = e_H$ (when $H_{j_0} = H$) or $e_{H_j} e_H = 0$, for $j \neq j_0$. Hence $e_i e_H = b_{j_0 i} e_H$, if $H_{j_0} = H$, for some j_0 or $e_i e_H = 0$, otherwise, $i = 1, 2$. As $e_H = e_H(e_1 + e_2)$, we have that either $e_1 = 0$ or $e_2 = e_H$ or $e_1 = e_H$ and $e_2 = 0$. Therefore, e_H is primitive in \mathcal{A} .

To finish the proof, write $1 = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} a_H e_H$, with $a_H \in \mathbb{F}$. For $K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, multiply by e_K and get $e_K = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)} a_H e_K e_H = a_K e_K$ implying $a_K = 1$, for all $K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$. ■

Remark III.5. Notice that Lemma III.4 shows that

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{e_H \in \mathcal{B}} \mathbb{F} \cdot e_H.$$

Proposition III.6. Let G be a finite abelian group and \mathbb{F} a field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. If $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)$ and $H_{e_1} = H_{e_2}$, then there exists an automorphism $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G)$ whose linear extension to $\mathbb{F}G$ maps e_1 to e_2 .

Proof: Let $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$ be such that $e_1 e_H = e_1$, that is, $H_{e_1} = H$. By Theorem II.10 have

$$e_H = \sum_{H_f=H} f, \quad \text{where } f \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G). \quad (9)$$

By Proposition III.1, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G)$, we have

$$\psi(e_1) \psi(e_H) = \psi(e_1) e_{\psi(H)} = \psi(e_1) e_H = \psi(e_1). \quad (10)$$

Let

$$e_0 = \sum \psi(e_1), \quad (11)$$

where the sum runs over all distinct images of e_1 by $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G)$. Then e_0 is an idempotent and $\varphi(e_0) = e_0$, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G)$, that is, $e_0 \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, by Lemma III.4,

$$e_0 = \sum_{e_H \in \mathcal{B}} a_H e_H.$$

By construction of e_0 and (10), we have $e_0 e_H = e_0$ and $e_0 e_K = 0$, for all $K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$ with $K \neq H$. As e_H is a primitive idempotent of \mathcal{A} , it follows $e_0 = e_H$.

Now comparing the expression of e_H in (9) and (11), by the uniqueness of the sum of primitive idempotents, each f in the sum (9) is such that $f = \psi(e_1)$, for some $\psi \in \mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G)$. As e_2 is one of the idempotents in (9), the result follows. ■

Proposition III.7. Let G be a finite abelian group and \mathbb{F} a field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. If $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}G)$ are such that $\psi(H_{e_1}) = H_{e_2}$, for some $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, then there exists an automorphism $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$ whose linear extension to $\mathbb{F}G$ maps e_1 and e_2 , i.e., the ideals of $\mathbb{F}G$ generated by e_1 and e_2 are G -equivalent.

Proof: Since $\psi(H_{e_1}) = H_{e_2}$, for $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, by Lemma II.7, we have

$$\psi(e_1) e_{H_{e_2}} = \psi(e_1) \psi(e_{H_{e_1}}) = \psi(e e_{H_{e_1}}) = \psi(e_1).$$

Hence, by the uniqueness, we have $H_{\psi(e_1)} = H_{e_2}$. Now, by Proposition III.6, there exists a local automorphism $\delta \in \mathcal{L}\text{Aut}(G)$ such that $\delta(\psi(e_1)) = e_2$. Therefore, taking $\theta = \delta \psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, the result follows. ■

IV. APPLICATIONS TO CODING THEORY

We denote by C_n the cyclic group of order n . In the context of Coding Theory, the following results appear in [9].

Theorem A [9, Theorem 3.6] *Let G be a finite abelian group of odd order and exponent n and denote by $\tau(n)$ the number of divisors of n . Then there exist precisely $\tau(n)$ non G -equivalent minimal abelian codes in $\mathbb{F}_2 G$.*

Theorem B [9, Theorem 3.9] *Let G be a finite abelian group of odd order. Then two minimal abelian codes in $\mathbb{F}_2 G$ are G -equivalent if and only if they have the same weight distribution.*

Unfortunately these statements are not correct. The errors arise from the assumption, implicit in the last paragraph of [9, p. 167], that if e and f are primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{F}_2 C_m$ and $\mathbb{F}_2 C_n$, respectively, then ef is a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}_2[C_m \times C_n]$.

We exhibit below counterexamples to both Theorems A and B that were first communicated in [4]. However, Theorem A does hold under certain restrictive hypotheses, as we show in the next section.

Proposition IV.1. *Let p be an odd prime such that $\bar{2}$ generates $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ and $G = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ an abelian group, with $o(a) = p^2$ and $o(b) = p$. Then \mathbb{F}_2G has four inequivalent minimal codes, namely, the ones generated by the idempotents $e_0 = \widehat{G}$, $e_1 = \widehat{b} - \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$, $e_2 = \widehat{a} - \widehat{G}$ and $e_3 = \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle - \widehat{G}$.*

Also all minimal codes of \mathbb{F}_2G are described in the following table with their dimension and weight.

Code	Primitive Idempotent	Dimension	Weight
I_0	$e_0 = \widehat{ab} = \widehat{G}$	1	p^3
I_1	$e_1 = \widehat{b} - \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$	$p^2 - p$	$2p$
I_{1j}	$e_{1j} = \widehat{a^jpb} - \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ $j = 1, \dots, p-1$	$p^2 - p$	$2p$
I_2	$e_2 = \widehat{a} - \widehat{G}$	$p-1$	$2p^2$
I_{2i}	$e_{2i} = \widehat{ab^i} - \widehat{G}$ $i = 1, \dots, p-1$	$p-1$	$2p^2$
I_3	$e_3 = \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle - \widehat{G}$	$p-1$	$2p^2$

Proof: In order to use Theorem II.3, first we need to find all subgroups H of G such that G/H is cyclic. Notice that the $p+1$ distinct subgroups of order p^2 of G are $\langle ab^i \rangle$, for $i = 0, \dots, p-1$, and $\langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$. The $p+1$ distinct subgroups of order p of G are $\langle a^jpb \rangle$, for $j = 0, \dots, p-1$, and $\langle a^p \rangle$. The subgroups $\langle a^jpb \rangle$, for all $j = 0, \dots, p-1$, are contained only in $\langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ and $\langle a^p \rangle$ is contained in all subgroups of order p^2 . Besides, all quotients of G by these subgroups are cyclic, except $G/\langle a^p \rangle$ which is the unique noncyclic quotient of G . The quotient of G by $\langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ is also cyclic.

Now applying Theorem II.3, we have the following minimal codes generated by primitive idempotents.

The code $I_0 = \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot e_0$, where $e_0 = \widehat{G}$ and $\dim I_0 = 1$.

As $\langle b \rangle$ is uniquely contained in $\langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$, we have $I_1 = \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot e_1$, where $e_1 = \widehat{b} - \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$, and $\dim I_1 = \phi(p^2) = p^2 - p$. The codes $I_{1j} = \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot e_{1j}$, where $e_{1j} = \widehat{a^jpb} - \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$, for all $j = 1, \dots, p-1$, are all equivalent to I_1 , since the extension to the group algebra \mathbb{F}_2G of the isomorphism $\psi_j : G \rightarrow G$ given by $\psi(a) = a$ and $\psi(b) = a^jpb$, for each j , maps I_1 onto I_{1j} .

Let $I_2 = \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot e_2$, where $e_2 = \widehat{a} - \widehat{G}$, and $I_3 = \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot e_3$, where $e_3 = \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle - \widehat{G}$. We have $\dim I_3 = \dim I_2 = \phi(p) = p-1$. We also have the codes $I_{2i} = \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot e_{2i}$, where $e_{2i} = \widehat{ab^i} - \widehat{G}$, for $i = 1, \dots, p-1$, all equivalent to I_2 with corresponding isomorphism $\varphi_i : G \rightarrow G$ given by $\varphi(a) = ab^i$ and $\varphi(b) = b$.

We prove now that the codes I_k , with $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, are four inequivalent minimal codes in \mathbb{F}_2G .

It is obvious that I_0 is not equivalent to any of the other codes I_k , for $k \neq 0$, and also that I_1 is not equivalent to either I_2 or I_3 . Let us prove that I_2 and I_3 are inequivalent.

Notice that $\text{supp}(e_2) = G \setminus \langle a \rangle$, which contains elements of order p , and $\text{supp}(e_3) = G \setminus \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$, which only contains elements of order p^2 . Hence, if there is an isomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow G$ such that $\psi(e_2) = e_3$, we would have elements of

order p being mapped to elements of order p^2 , a contradiction. Therefore, I_2 is not equivalent to I_3 .

It is clear that the minimal code I_0 has weight p^3 , as all its nonzero elements have this weight.

For $1 \leq j \neq k \leq p-1$, as $\text{supp}(a^{jp}\widehat{b}) \cap \text{supp}(a^{kp}\widehat{b}) = \emptyset$, the element $(a^{jp} + a^{kp})e_1 = (a^{jp} + a^{kp})\widehat{b}$ is in I_1 and has weight $2p$. Notice that $I_1 \subset \mathbb{F}_2G \cdot \widehat{b}$, thus the weight of any element of I_1 must be a multiple of p . Hence, if there is an element in I_1 of weight p , it should be of the form $a^i\widehat{b}$. But $a^i\widehat{b} \cdot e_1 = a^i(a^p + a^{2p} + \dots + a^{(p-1)p})\widehat{b} \neq a^i\widehat{b}$ which implies $a^i\widehat{b} \notin I_1$, for any $1 \leq i$. Therefore, the weight of I_1 is $2p$.

The weights of I_2 and I_3 will follow from the proof of the next proposition. ■

Proposition IV.2. *The (inequivalent) minimal codes I_2 and I_3 of Proposition IV.1 have the same weight distribution.*

Proof: An \mathbb{F}_2 -basis for the code I_2 is

$$\beta = \{\mu_i = (\widehat{G} - \widehat{a})b^i = \widehat{G} - \widehat{ab^i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq p-1\}.$$

For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq p-1$, we have $\text{supp}(\widehat{ab^i}) \cap \text{supp}(\widehat{ab^j}) = \emptyset$. Hence, for an element $\alpha \in I_2$, we have:

Case 1. α is a sum of an even number of μ_i 's. Thus

$$\alpha = \mu_{i_1} + \dots + \mu_{i_{2k}} = 2k\widehat{G} - \widehat{a}(b^{i_1} + \dots + b^{i_{2k}}),$$

which is an element of weight $2kp^2$. Besides, in I_2 we have at least $\binom{p-1}{2k}$ distinct elements with weight $2kp^2$.

Case 2. α is a sum of an odd number of μ_i 's. Thus, for $k' \geq 1$,

$$\alpha = \mu_{i_1} + \dots + \mu_{i_{2k'-1}} = \widehat{G} - \widehat{a}(b^{i_1} + \dots + b^{i_{2k'-1}}),$$

which is an element of weight

$$p^3 - (2k'-1)p^2 = p^2(p - 2k' + 1) = 2kp^2$$

(where $k' = \frac{p+1-2k}{2}$). Hence, in I_2 , there are $\binom{p-1}{2k'-1} =$

$\binom{p-1}{p-2k}$ distinct elements with weight $2kp^2$.

Therefore, for each $k \geq 1$, there are $\binom{p-1}{2k} + \binom{p-1}{p-2k} = \binom{p}{2k}$ elements of weight $2kp^2$ in I_2 .

Similarly, an \mathbb{F}_2 -basis for the code I_3 is

$$\gamma = \{\delta_i = e_3a^i = \widehat{G} - \widehat{a^pba^i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq p-1\}.$$

For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq p-1$, $\text{supp}(\widehat{a^pba^i}) \cap \text{supp}(\widehat{a^pba^j}) = \emptyset$. Hence, for an element $\alpha \in I_3$, we have:

Case 1. α is a sum of an even number of δ_i 's. Thus

$$\alpha = \delta_{i_1} + \dots + \delta_{i_{2k}} = 2k\widehat{G} - \widehat{a^pba^i}(a^{i_1} + \dots + a^{i_{2k}}),$$

which is an element of weight $2kp^2$. Besides, in I_3 we have at least $\binom{p-1}{2k}$ distinct such elements with weight $2kp^2$.

Case 2. α is a sum of an odd number of δ_i 's. Thus, for $k' \geq 1$,

$$\alpha = \delta_{i_1} + \cdots + \delta_{i_{2k'-1}} = \widehat{G} - \widehat{a^p b}(a^{i_1} + \cdots + a^{i_{2k'-1}}),$$

which is an element of weight

$$p^3 - (2k' - 1)p^2 = p^2(p - 2k' + 1) = 2kp^2,$$

where $k' = \frac{p+1-2k}{2}$. Hence, in I_3 , there are $\binom{p-1}{2k'-1} = \binom{p-1}{p-2k}$ distinct elements with weight $2kp^2$.

Therefore, also in I_3 , for each $k \geq 1$, there are $\binom{p-1}{2k} + \binom{p-1}{p-2k} = \binom{p}{2k}$ elements of weight $2kp^2$.

As $\sum_{k=1}^{(p-1)/2} \binom{p}{2k} = 2^{p-1}$, this proves that the weight distribution of I_2 and I_3 are the same, but I_2 and I_3 are not equivalent. Besides, the weight of these codes is $2p^2$. ■

Observe that the group G of Proposition IV.1 has exponent p^2 and $\tau(p^2) = 3$, however, $\mathbb{F}_2 G$ has four inequivalent minimal codes. This is a counterexample to Theorem A.

Notice that Proposition IV.2 actually exhibits a counterexample also to Theorem B.

In the following proposition, we study the minimal codes in $\mathbb{F}_2(C_{p^n} \times C_p)$, for an odd prime p and $n \geq 3$. Its proof is similar to the proof of Proposition IV.1. This gives a whole family of counterexamples to Theorem A.

Proposition IV.3. *Let $n \geq 3$ be a positive integer and p an odd prime such that $\bar{2}$ generates $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$ and $G = \langle a \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$ be an abelian group, with $o(a) = p^n$ and $o(b) = p$. Then the minimal codes of $\mathbb{F}_2 G$ are described in the following table.*

Code	Dimension	Weight
$I_0 = \langle \widehat{ab} \rangle = \langle \widehat{G} \rangle$	1	p^{n+1}
$I_1 = \langle \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle - \widehat{G} \rangle$	$p-1$	$2p^n$
$I_{1i} = \langle \widehat{ab^i} - \widehat{G} \rangle$ $i = 0, \dots, p-1$	$p-1$	$2p^n$
$I_2 = \langle \langle a^{p^2} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle - \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \rangle$	$p(p-1)$	$2p^{n-1}$
$I_{2i} = \langle \widehat{a^p b^i} - \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \rangle$ $i = 1, \dots, p-1$	$p(p-1)$	$2p^{n-1}$
...
$I_k = \langle \langle a^{p^k} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle - \langle a^{p^{k-1}} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \rangle$	$p^{k-1}(p-1)$	$2p^{n-k+1}$
$I_{ki} = \langle \widehat{a^{p^{k-1}} b^i} - \langle a^{p^{k-1}} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \rangle$ $i = 1, \dots, p-1$	$p^{k-1}(p-1)$	$2p^{n-k+1}$
...
$I_{n-1} = \langle \widehat{b} - \langle a^{p^{n-2}} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \rangle$	$p^{(n-1)}(p-1)$	$2p$
$I_{n-1,i} = \langle \widehat{a^{p^{n-1}} b^i} - \langle a^{p^{n-2}} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \rangle$ $i = 1, \dots, p-1$	$p^{(n-1)}(p-1)$	$2p$

There are $2n$ inequivalent minimal codes in $\mathbb{F}_2(C_{p^n} \times C_p)$.

The following table presents the correspondence between the classes of G -isomorphisms of subgroups and the G -equivalence classes of minimal codes in $\mathbb{F}_2(C_{p^n} \times C_p)$, listing

representatives of these classes.

Subgroups	Codes
G	$I_0 = \langle \widehat{G} \rangle$
$\langle a \rangle$	$I_{11} = \langle \widehat{a} - \widehat{G} \rangle$
$\langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$	$I_1 = \langle \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle - \widehat{G} \rangle$
$\langle a^p b \rangle$	$I_{21} = \langle \widehat{a^p b} - \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \rangle$
$\langle a^{p^2} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$	$I_2 = \langle \langle a^{p^2} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle - \langle a^p \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \rangle$
...	...
$\langle a^{p^k} b \rangle$	$I_{k+1,1} = \langle \widehat{a^{p^k} b} - \langle a^{p^k} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \rangle$
$\langle a^{p^{k+1}} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle$	$I_{k+1} = \langle \langle a^{p^{k+1}} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle - \langle a^{p^k} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \rangle$
...	...
$\langle b \rangle$	$I_{n-1} = \langle \widehat{b} - \langle a^{p^{n-1}} \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \rangle$

V. A POSITIVE RESULT FOR CODES

In [4] we showed that Theorem A holds in the special case of minimal codes in $\mathbb{F}_2(C_{p^n} \times C_{p^n})$. We now prove this Theorem in a more general situation.

Lemma V.1. *If H is a cyclic subgroup of order p^s in a group $G \cong C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}$, with $s \leq r$, then there exists a cyclic subgroup of \widehat{G} , of order p^r , containing H .*

Proof: Write $G = \langle g_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle g_m \rangle$, with $o(g_i) = p^r$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since H is a cyclic subgroup of G , we have $H = \langle g_1^{j_1 p^{t_1}} g_2^{j_2 p^{t_2}} \cdots g_m^{j_m p^{t_m}} \rangle$, with $\gcd(j_i, p) = 1$ and $0 \leq t_i \leq r$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then one of the exponents t_k , $1 \leq k \leq m$, is minimal and, for such t_k , we consider the element $a = g_1^{j_1 p^{r-t_k}} \cdots g_k^{j_k p^0} \cdots g_m^{j_m p^{r-t_k}} \in G$. As $\gcd(j_k, p) = 1$, we have $o(a) = p^r$ and $\langle a^{p^{t_k}} \rangle = H$, as $G \cong \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_m$. ■

Theorem V.2. *Let m and r be positive integers. If $G = \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_m$ is a finite abelian p -group, then any cyclic subgroup of G contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_m$. Hence the subgroups of G isomorphic to $\underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{(m-1)}$ are precisely the minimal co-cyclic subgroups of G .*

Proof: Let $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$. Then, by Theorem II.13(3), H^\perp is a cyclic subgroup of G^* . By Theorem II.13(1) and Lemma V.1, there exists $K^\perp \cong C_{p^r}$ a subgroup of G^* such that $H^\perp \subset K^\perp$. Then $K = \Psi^{-1}(K^\perp)$ is a subgroup of G such that $K \cong \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{(m-1)}$ and $K \subset H$, proving the

theorem. ■

As a consequence of the results above, we get:

Proposition V.3. *Let m and r be positive integers. If $G = \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_m$ is a finite abelian p -group and \mathbb{F} is a field with $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq p$, then a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}G$ is of the form $\widehat{K} \cdot e_h$, where K is a subgroup of G isomorphic to*

$\underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{(m-1)}$ and e_h is a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}\langle h \rangle$, where $h \in G$ is such that $G = \langle h \rangle \times K$ and $\langle h \rangle \cong C_{p^r}$.

Proof: Let e be a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}G$ and H be the unique co-cyclic subgroup of G such that $e = \widehat{H} \cdot e$, by Theorem ???. By Theorem V.2, there exists $K \cong \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_{(m-1)}$

contained in H such that $e\widehat{K} = e$.

Let $h \in G$ be such that $G/K = \langle Kh \rangle$. Since $|G/K| = p^r$ and $\exp(G) = p^r$, it follows directly that $o(h) = p^r$. Hence, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} e &= \widehat{K} \cdot e \\ &= \widehat{K} \left[\left(\sum_{g \in K} \alpha_{0,g} g \right) 1 + \cdots + \left(\sum_{g \in K} \alpha_{p^r-1,g} g \right) h^{p^r-1} \right] \\ &= \widehat{K} \left(\beta_0 \cdot 1 + \beta_1 \cdot h + \cdots + \beta_{p^r-1} \cdot h^{p^r-1} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\beta_i = \sum_{g \in K} \alpha_{i,g} \in \mathbb{F}$ and $h^t \notin K$, $1 \leq t \leq p^r - 1$.

Let $\psi : G \rightarrow G/K$ be the natural homomorphism. Since $e = \widehat{K} \cdot e$ is an idempotent in $\mathbb{F}G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(e) &= \psi(\widehat{K} \cdot e) \\ &= \psi \left(\widehat{K} (\beta_0 \cdot 1 + \beta_1 \cdot h + \cdots + \beta_{p^r-1} \cdot h^{p^r-1}) \right) \\ &= \beta_0 \cdot 1 + \beta_1 \cdot h + \cdots + \beta_{p^r-1} \cdot h^{p^r-1} \end{aligned}$$

is also an idempotent.

Claim: $e_h = \psi(e) = \beta_0 \cdot 1 + \beta_1 \cdot h + \cdots + \beta_{p^r-1} \cdot h^{p^r-1}$ is a primitive idempotent in $\mathbb{F}\langle h \rangle \cong \mathbb{F}(G/K)$.

Indeed, if $e_h = e_1 + e_2$, with e_1 and e_2 orthogonal idempotents in $\mathbb{F}\langle h \rangle$, then

$$e = \widehat{K} \cdot e_h = \widehat{K} \cdot e_1 + \widehat{K} \cdot e_2,$$

with $(\widehat{K} \cdot e_i)^2 = \widehat{K} \cdot e_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, and $\widehat{K} \cdot e_1 \widehat{K} \cdot e_2 = 0$, as $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0$. Since e is a primitive idempotent, then either $\widehat{K} \cdot e_1 = 0$ or $\widehat{K} \cdot e_2 = 0$. Suppose $\widehat{K} \cdot e_1 = 0$ and write $e_1 = \gamma_0 \cdot 1 + \gamma_1 \cdot h + \gamma_2 \cdot h^2 + \cdots + \gamma_{p^r-1} \cdot h^{p^r-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \widehat{K} \cdot e_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{|K|} \left(\sum_{k \in K} k \right) (\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \cdot h + \cdots + \gamma_{p^r-1} \cdot h^{p^r-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{|K|} \left[\left(\sum_{k \in K} \gamma_0 k \right) + \cdots + \left(\sum_{k \in K} \gamma_{p^r-1} k \right) h^{p^r-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

implies $\gamma_i = 0$, $0 \leq i \leq p^r - 1$, as the summands in (13) have disjoint supports in $\mathbb{F}G$. This proves the proposition. ■

These results can be applied and extended as follows.

Corollary V.4. Let m and r be positive integers, a finite abelian p -group $G = \underbrace{C_{p^r} \times \cdots \times C_{p^r}}_m$

and \mathbb{F}_q a finite field with q elements such that $o(\bar{q}) = \phi(p^r)$ in $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^r})$. Then the minimal abelian

codes in $\mathbb{F}_q G$ are as follows:

Primitive Idempotent	Dimension	Weight
\widehat{G}	1	p^{rm}
$\widehat{K}(\widehat{h^p} - \widehat{h})$	$p - 1$	$2p^{r(m-1)+(r-1)}$
$\widehat{K}(\widehat{h^{p^2}} - \widehat{h^p})$	$p(p - 1)$	$2p^{r(m-1)+(r-2)}$
$\widehat{K}(\widehat{h^{p^3}} - \widehat{h^{p^2}})$	$p^2(p - 1)$	$2p^{r(m-1)-(r-3)}$
...	...	
$\widehat{K}(\widehat{h^{p^i}} - \widehat{h^{p^{i-1}}})$	$p^{i-1}(p - 1)$	$2p^{r(m-1)-(r-i)}$
...	...	
$\widehat{K}(1 - \widehat{h^{p^r-1}})$	$p^{r-1}(p - 1)$	$2p^{r(m-1)}$

where h is as in Proposition V.3. Consequently, the number of non G -equivalent minimal abelian codes is $r + 1 = \tau(p^r)$.

Corollary V.5. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $G = \underbrace{C_n \times \cdots \times C_n}_m$ an abelian group and \mathbb{F}_q a finite field such that $\gcd(q, n) = 1$. Then the primitive idempotents of $\mathbb{F}_q G$ are of the form $\widehat{K} \cdot e_h$, where K is a subgroup of G isomorphic to $\underbrace{C_n \times \cdots \times C_n}_{(m-1)}$ $h \in G$ is such that $G = K \times \langle h \rangle$ and e_h is a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}_q \langle h \rangle$.

Proof: Let $n = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_t^{n_t}$, with p_i rational primes, $1 \leq i \leq t$, and $p_i \neq p_j$, for $i \neq j$ and $n_i \geq 1$. Let $G_i = \underbrace{C_{p_i^{n_i}} \times \cdots \times C_{p_i^{n_i}}}_m$ be the p_i -Sylow subgroup of G .

Let $0 \neq e \in \mathbb{F}_q G$ be a primitive idempotent. By Lemma II.9, there exists a unique $H \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$ such that $e \cdot e_H = e$ and $e \cdot e_K = 0$, for any other $K \in \mathcal{S}_{cc}(G)$, with $K \neq H$. By (2), $e_H = e_{H_1} e_{H_2} \cdots e_{H_t}$, where $e_{H_i} = \widehat{G}_i$ or $e_{H_i} = \widehat{H}_i - \widehat{H}_i^\dagger$, for H_i the p_i -Sylow of H . Hence, as $0 \neq e$ is primitive, we have

$$e \cdot e_H = e \quad \Rightarrow \quad e \cdot e_{H_i} = e.$$

By Proposition ?? and Theorem V.2, there exists $K_i = \underbrace{C_{p_i^{r_i}} \times \cdots \times C_{p_i^{r_i}}}_{(m-1)}$ a subgroup of G_i such that $K_i \leq H_i$ and

$e = e \cdot \widehat{K}_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e &= e \cdot \widehat{K}_1 = e \cdot \widehat{K}_2 \widehat{K}_1 \\ &\cdots \\ &= e \cdot \widehat{K}_t \cdots \widehat{K}_2 \widehat{K}_1 = e K_t \cdots \widehat{K}_2 K_1 \\ &\cong e \underbrace{(C_n \times \cdots \times C_n)}_{(m-1) \text{ factors}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $K = \underbrace{C_n \times \cdots \times C_n}_{(m-1) \text{ factors}}$. Then $G/K \cong C_n$. Take $a \in G$ such

that $G/K = \langle Ka \rangle$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem V.3, we show that $o(a) = n$ and that $\psi(e)$ is a primitive idempotent of $\mathbb{F}_q \langle a \rangle$, where $\psi : \mathbb{F}_q G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q(G/K)$. ■

Using the results from this section and from Section III, we obtain the following.

Theorem V.6. Let G be a finite abelian group of exponent n and \mathbb{F} a finite field such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \nmid |G|$. Then the number

of non G -equivalent minimal abelian codes is precisely $\tau(n)$ if and only if G is a direct product of cyclic groups isomorphic to one another.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.D. Berman, *Semisimple cyclic and abelian codes, II*, *Kybernetika* **3** (1967) 21-30.
- [2] O. Broche and A. del Río, *Wedderburn decomposition of finite group algebras*, *Finite Fields and their Applications* **13** (2007) 71-79.
- [3] R.A. Ferraz and C. Polcino Milies, *Idempotents in group algebras and minimal abelian codes*, *Finite Fields and their Applications* **13** (2007) 382-393.
- [4] R.A. Ferraz, M. Guerreiro and C. Polcino Milies, *Minimal codes in binary abelian group algebras*, *Information Theory Workshop (ITW) IEEE* (2011) 225-228. (Digital Object Identifier: 10.1109/ITW.2011.6089383)
- [5] E.G. Goodaire, E. Jespers and C. Polcino Milies, *Alternative Loop Rings*, *North-Holland Mathematics Studies* **184**, Amsterdam, Holland: Elsevier, 1996.
- [6] C.J. Hillar and D.L. Rhea, *Automorphisms of finite abelian groups*, *American Math. Monthly* **114** N. 10 (2007) 917-923.
- [7] E. Jespers, G. Leal and A. Paques, *Central idempotents in the rational group algebra of a finite nilpotent group*, *Journal of Algebra and its Applications* **2** No. 1 (2003) 57-62.
- [8] F.J. MacWilliams, *Binary codes which are ideals in the group algebra of an abelian group*, *Bell System Tech. Journal* **44** (1970) 987-1011.
- [9] R.L. Miller, *Minimal codes in abelian group algebras*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **26** (1979) 166-178.
- [10] A. Olivieri, A. del Río and J.J. Simón, *On monomial characters and central idempotents of rational group algebras*, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (4) (2004) 1531-1550.
- [11] C. Polcino Milies and S.K. Sehgal, *An Introduction to Group Rings*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht???, 2002.
- [12] J.J. Rotman, *An Introduction to the Theory of Groups*, 4th Ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.