

Dilaton emission and absorption from far-from-equilibrium non-abelian plasma

Paul M. Chesler¹ and Derek Teaney²

¹*Department of Physics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

²*Department of Physics & Astronomy, SUNY at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA*

(Dated: October 31, 2018)

Using gauge/gravity duality, we study emission and absorption rates of scalar quanta from far-from-equilibrium $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma. By comparing the emission and absorption rates to expectations from the Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem, we study how the spectrum thermalizes and how the thermalization time depends on the four momentum of the emitted quanta.

Introduction.—Gauge/gravity duality [1], or holography, is a powerful tool for studying real-time dynamics in strongly coupled quantum field theories. Through holography the creation and thermalization of non-abelian plasma maps onto the process of gravitational collapse and black hole thermalization, which can be studied numerically. The equilibration of holographic plasma can yield valuable insight into the thermalization of Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) produced in heavy ion collisions. In addition, precise questions about thermalization in the quantum field theory can clarify the statistical properties of non-equilibrium black holes. The simplest theory to study with a gravitational dual is $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory (SYM) at large N_c and 't Hooft coupling λ .

As analog problem of photon production in the QGP, we study the emission of weakly coupled ($4d$) dilatons from strongly coupled non-equilibrium SYM plasma. Dilaton emission and absorption rates are proportional to the Fourier transform of the Wightman functions, $g_-(x|x') = \langle \hat{O}(x')\hat{O}(x) \rangle$ and $g_+(x|x') = \langle \hat{O}(x)\hat{O}(x') \rangle$ respectively, where $O(x)$ is the SYM Lagrange density. In thermal equilibrium at temperature T these rates are related by the Fluctuation Dissipation Theorem (FDT)

$$g_-(q) = e^{-\omega/T} g_+(q), \quad (1)$$

where $q \equiv (\omega, \mathbf{q})$ is the four-momentum conjugate to $x - x'$. The FDT is a direct consequence of the fact that the density matrix of the system takes its equilibrium form $e^{-H/T}$. Therefore, the degree to which the FDT is satisfied in non-equilibrium plasmas provides an unequivocal measure of the equilibration a particular Fourier mode q .

Through holography, the emission and absorption of weakly coupled quanta from non-equilibrium SYM plasma is dual to the emission and absorption of Hawking radiation from a non-equilibrium $5d$ black brane. More precisely, the $4d$ SYM Wightman functions g_{\pm} are encoded in $5d$ Wightman functions G_{\pm} computed in the black brane geometry. The Wightman functions satisfy classical equations of motion which can be solved numerically in non-equilibrium geometries after the appropriate quantum mechanical initial conditions are specified [2]. Only the absorption rate of a classical $4d$ dilaton field,

$g_+ - g_-$, is determined by the classical response of the black brane [3, 4].

For definiteness, we will study the thermalization of homogeneous but anisotropic SYM plasma. To create this non-equilibrium state, we consider the response of an initially equilibrium SYM plasma at temperature T_i to a time-dependent gravitational field [5]. The homogeneous $4d$ metric of the external field is

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + e^{b(t)} d\mathbf{x}_{\perp}^2 + e^{-2b(t)} dx_{\parallel}^2, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{\perp} \equiv \{x_1, x_2\}$, and the time dependence of the field is Gaussian $b(t) = c/(\sqrt{2\pi\mathcal{T}^2})e^{-t^2/2\mathcal{T}^2}$. The time-dependent geometry does work on the SYM plasma and creates a non-equilibrium state with an anisotropic stress $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle = \text{diag}[\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P}_{\perp}, \mathcal{P}_{\perp}, \mathcal{P}_{\parallel}]$, which subsequently equilibrates at late times. The amplitude and width of $b(t)$, $c = 2.11$, $\mathcal{T} = 1/\pi T_{\bar{t}}$, are adjusted using the simulations described below so that the energy density changes by a factor of fifty, and the final temperature is $T_f = 50^{1/4} T_i$.

We will quantify and analyze the equilibration of system by monitoring the emission and absorption rates in a given frequency band as a function of time. Clearly, the frequency and time resolutions of this analysis are limited by the uncertainty principle, $\Delta\omega\Delta t \geq \frac{1}{2}$. To achieve the best possible resolution in both frequency and time we will compute Wigner transforms of $g_{\pm}(x, x')$ averaged over phase-space with a minimal uncertainty wave packet. The Wigner transforms are

$$g_{\pm}(\bar{t}, \omega, \mathbf{q}) \equiv \int d(\Delta x) g_{\pm}(x|x') e^{-iq \cdot \Delta x}, \quad (3)$$

with $\Delta x = x - x'$ and $\bar{t} = \frac{1}{2}(t + t')$, and the minimally averaged transforms, known as Gabor transforms, are

$$\bar{g}_{\pm}(\bar{t}, \omega, \mathbf{q}) \equiv \int \frac{d\bar{t}' d\omega'}{2\pi} e^{-\Delta\omega^2 \sigma^2} e^{-\Delta\bar{t}'^2 / \sigma^2} g_{\pm}(\bar{t}', \omega', \mathbf{q}), \quad (4)$$

where $\Delta\omega = \omega' - \omega$, $\Delta\bar{t} = \bar{t}' - \bar{t}$, and σ is a temporal resolution parameter which we choose to be $\sigma = 1/\pi T_f$. For the homogeneous system under study, the energy density is constant once the external metric is static, and an effective temperature can be defined via the energy density

$$\frac{1}{\beta_{\text{eff}}(\bar{t})} \equiv T_{\text{eff}}(\bar{t}) \equiv (8|\mathcal{E}(\bar{t})|/(3\pi^2 N_c^2))^{1/4}. \quad (5)$$

If $g_{\pm}(q)$ satisfies the FDT at late times, then the Gabor transforms satisfy

$$\bar{g}_{-}(\bar{t}, \omega, \mathbf{q}) = e^{-\omega\beta_{\text{eff}}(\bar{t}) + \beta_{\text{eff}}^2(\bar{t})/4\sigma^2} \bar{g}_{+}(\bar{t}, \omega - \beta_{\text{eff}}(\bar{t})/2\sigma^2, \mathbf{q}). \quad (6)$$

The degree to which (6) is satisfied provides an excellent definition of equilibration.

Gravitational description.—The 5d gravitational dual to an anisotropic SYM plasma created by a time dependent 4d geometry was studied in [5]. We follow the analysis of [5] and outline some of the salient features.

Following [5], using translation invariance we may write the 5d metric as

$$ds^2 = -A dt^2 + \Sigma^2 [e^B dx_{\perp}^2 + e^{-2B} dx_{\parallel}^2] + 2dr dt, \quad (7)$$

where A , B , and Σ are functions of r and t , only. The coordinates t and r are generalized Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates. Infalling radial null geodesics have constant values of t (as well as x_{\perp} and x_{\parallel}). The 4d boundary of the 5d geometry is located at $r = \infty$, and is proportional to the geometry of the SYM plasma in eq. (2).

The evolution of the 5d metric (7) is determined by solving numerically Einstein's equations with negative cosmological constant [6]. Demanding that the boundary metric is that of (2), equates to imposing the boundary conditions, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} B(t, r) = b(t)$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma(t, r)/r = 1$ [5]. The near-boundary behavior of the metric determines the expectation value of the SYM stress $\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle$ [7].

In the infinite past, when $b(t) = 0$, we take the initial geometry to be an equilibrium black brane of temperature T_i . When the boundary source turns on, $b'(t) \neq 0$, the changing boundary geometry creates gravitational radiation that propagates into the bulk creating a non-equilibrium black brane. When the boundary geometry is static, $b'(t) = 0$, the 5d geometry relaxes to an equilibrium black brane with temperature T_f . After the solving the Einstein equations, the event horizon $r_h(t)$ can be found by tracing null radial geodesics backwards in time from the far future.

As the black brane evolves and equilibrates, it continuously emits and absorbs Hawking radiation. The radiation propagates up from the horizon to the boundary, where it is reflected back towards the horizon and is absorbed. The process continues until the 5d dilaton modes are in equilibrium with the black brane and are thermally occupied with distribution function $n(\omega) = 1/(e^{\omega/T_f} - 1)$. This competitive evolution between emission and absorption is the gravitational dual of thermalization in SYM plasmas.

The emission of 5d dilatons is encoded in the 5d Wightman function $G_{-}(x_1, r_1|x_2, r_2) = \langle \hat{\varphi}(x_2, r_2)\hat{\varphi}(x_1, r_1) \rangle$ where φ is the dilaton field. Similarly, the absorption of 5d dilatons is encoded in $G_{+}(x_1, r_1|x_2, r_2) = \langle \hat{\varphi}(x_1, r_1)\hat{\varphi}(x_2, r_2) \rangle$. Both G_{\pm} satisfy the classical equa-

tions of motion

$$-D_{(1)}^2 G_{\pm}(x_1, r_1|x_2, r_2) = -D_{(2)}^2 G_{\pm}(x_1, r_1|x_2, r_2) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where $D_{(n)}^2$ is the covariant wave operator under the background geometry with respect to coordinates $\{x_n, r_n\}$.

Previously, we (together with S. Caron-Huot) formulated a tractable numerical recipe for solving eqs. (8) [2]. Our prescription is based on the following logic. First, we note that G_{\pm} satisfies the homogeneous equations of motion (8) and is not a Green function. Thus, after specifying the initial conditions in infinite past, forward evolution determines G_{\pm} in the future. In the equilibrium black brane geometry of the distant past, most of the initial data specified for G_{\pm} falls through the black brane horizon in a finite time and thus becomes irrelevant for future evolution. Examining the geodesics of the equilibrium black hole geometry, one concludes that the only initial data of relevance to the evolution of G_{\pm} in the future is determined by the coincident point singularities of the two point functions arbitrarily close to the event horizon, *i.e.* ultraviolet vacuum fluctuations. These UV vacuum fluctuations propagate along horizon skimming geodesics and eventually emerge (redshifted) from the stretched horizon at $r_* = r_h(t) + \epsilon$. The flux of data passing through the stretched horizon can be traded for effective sources defined on the stretched horizon and the solution to (8) can be written in terms of the convolution integral

$$G_{\pm}(x_1, r_1|x_2, r_2) = \int_{r'_n=r_*} \left\{ G_{\text{R}}(x_1, r_1|x'_1, r'_1) G_{\text{R}}(x_2, r_2|x'_2, r'_2) \times \mathcal{G}_{\pm}^{\text{h}}(x'_1|x'_2) \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where the *horizon correlators* $\mathcal{G}_{\pm}^{\text{h}}$ are the effective sources and the retarded Green's function G_{R} satisfies

$$-D_{(1)}^2 G_{\text{R}}(x_1, r_1|x_2, r_2) = \frac{\delta^4(x_1 - x_2)\delta(r_1 - r_2)}{\sqrt{-g(x_1, r_1)}}, \quad (10)$$

with g the determinant of the metric.

The horizon correlators can be computed analytically [2]. Specifically, the propagation of the UV vacuum fluctuations from arbitrarily close to the event horizon to the stretched horizon can be treated using geometric optics. Furthermore, the UV vacuum fluctuations generated by coincident point singularities are universal and independent of initial conditions for G_{\pm} . Following the procedure of [2], in the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit we find

$$\mathcal{G}_{\pm}^{\text{h}}(x|x') = \frac{K\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')}{[g(t, r_h)g(t', r_h)]^{1/4}} \left\{ \pm i\delta'(t - t') - \frac{1}{4\pi}\kappa(t)\kappa(t')\text{csch}^2 \frac{\tau(t') - \tau(t)}{2} \right\}, \quad (11)$$

where $\kappa(t) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \partial_r A(t, r)|_{r=r_h}$, $\tau(t) \equiv \int^t dt' \kappa(t')$, and K is the normalization of the dilaton action, $S = -\frac{K}{2} \int \sqrt{-g} (\partial\phi)^2$. Once the bulk correlators are determined, the corresponding SYM correlators are given by [2]

$$g_{\pm}(x|x') = \lim_{r, r' \rightarrow \infty} 16 r^4 r'^4 G_{\pm}(x, r|x', r'). \quad (12)$$

The numerical calculation of G_{\pm} and hence g_{\pm} can be greatly simplified by noting that the horizon correlators can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\pm}^h(x|x') &= K \frac{\kappa(t)\kappa(t')\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')}{[g(t, r_h)g(t', r_h)]^{1/4}} \\ &\times \int \frac{2\nu d\nu}{2\pi} n_{\pm}(2\pi\nu) e^{-i\nu(\tau(t)-\tau(t'))}, \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

where $n_{-}(x) = 1/(\exp(x) - 1)$ and $n_{+} = 1 + n_{-}$ are the Bose occupation and stimulation factors respectively. From eqs. (9) and (10) it follows that the bulk correlators are

$$G_{\pm}(x, r|x', r') = K \int \frac{2\nu d\nu}{2\pi} n_{\pm}(2\pi\nu) F_{\nu}(x, r) F_{\nu}^*(x', r'), \quad (14)$$

where $F_{\nu}(x, r)$ satisfies

$$-D^2 F_{\nu}(x, r) = \frac{\kappa(t) e^{-i\nu\tau(t)}}{[-g(t, r_h)]^{1/4}} \delta(r - r_*) \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'). \quad (15)$$

Using translation invariance in the spatial directions, we introduce a spatial Fourier transform and solve eq. (15) for the mode functions $F_{\nu}(t, \mathbf{q}, r)$. The required boundary conditions needed to solve eq. (15) are that $F_{\nu}(t, \mathbf{q}, r) \rightarrow 0$ at the boundary and that $F_{\nu}(t, \mathbf{q}, r)$ is regular at the horizon. The initial conditions for $F_{\nu}(t, \mathbf{q}, r)$ in the infinite past can be computed from an equilibrium analysis of eq. (15) for a static black brane at temperature T_i . Finally, we note that using field redefinitions it is possible to take the $r_* \rightarrow r_h$ limit in our numerical analysis. Further numerical details will be presented elsewhere.

Discussion.—Fig. 1(a) shows a plot of the SYM stress $T_{\nu}^{\mu} = \text{diag}(-\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{P}_{\perp}, \mathcal{P}_{\perp}, \mathcal{P}_{\parallel})$ as a function of time. In the distant past the stress is static and corresponds to a low temperature equilibrium plasma of temperature T_i . When the boundary geometry (2) starts to change, work is done on the system, the energy density generally grows and the pressures oscillate. In Fig. 1 the shaded region indicates that the energy density is still changing, and work is being done on the system by the external boundary source. After the energy density reaches its final value, the transverse and longitudinal pressures \mathcal{P}_{\perp} and \mathcal{P}_{\parallel} equilibrate near time, $t \simeq 3/\pi T_f$.

Fig. 1(b) shows the emission and absorption rates from non-equilibrium plasmas for timelike and lightlike dilatons with $\omega = 8\pi T_f$. More precisely, the solid lines indicate Gabor transforms of the emission rate \bar{g}_{-} (eqs. (3)




FIG. 1: (a) The SYM stress tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ relative to the final energy density ϵ_f as a function of time. The shaded band indicates when the energy density is changing due to the work done by the external gravitational field in the gauge theory. (b) A non-equilibrium emission rate, $\bar{g}_{-}(\bar{t}, q)/\bar{g}_{-}^{\text{final}}(q)$ (see eq. (4)). The emission rate is exhibited for time-like momenta, with $\omega = 8\pi T_f$ and $\mathbf{q} = 0$, and for light-like momenta, with $q_{\perp} = q_{\parallel} = \omega/\sqrt{2}$ and $\omega = 8\pi T_f$. The dashed lines show the FDT-expectation for the emission rate, *i.e.* the rate derived using the absorption rate $\bar{g}_{+}(\bar{t}, q)$ and the FDT result (eq. (6)). (c) The emission rate for time-like momenta (as in (b)) and various values of the frequency. (d) The emission rate for light-like momenta (as in (b)) at various values of the frequency.

and (4)), while the dashed lines indicate an estimate for the emission rate, \bar{g}_-^{FDT} , which uses the absorption rate \bar{g}_+ and the coarse-grained FDT (eq. (6)). From Fig. 1(b) we see that the emission rates equilibrate only after the stress tensor and the absorption rates have equilibrated.

The frequency dependence of the emission rates is studied in Fig. 1(c) and (d). The timelike emission rates in Fig. 1(c) approach a universal curve at large frequency, indicating that the emission of timelike dilatons equilibrates in a finite time as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. By contrast, the lightlike emission rates in Fig. 1(d) take an increasingly long time to equilibrate as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$.

The qualitative features of the equilibration process can be understood by examining the physics of non-equilibrium Hawking radiation recorded in eq. (9). The Hawking flux from the black hole membrane can be considered equilibrated when the horizon sources recorded by \mathcal{G}_\pm^h satisfy the horizon FDT [2–4]. In general, membrane equilibrium (as defined by the FDT) is reached after the background geometry and boundary stress have stopped changing. At this point, an equilibrated Hawking flux is transmitted to the boundary through the retarded horizon-to-boundary Green functions in eq. (9). Consequently, the equilibration of the boundary two point functions is causally delayed relative to the boundary stress by the horizon-to-boundary transit time, $\Delta t \sim 2/\pi T$. This explains the slight time shift between Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b).

Similarly, the different equilibration patterns of timelike and lightlike modes can be understood with the dual physics of Hawking radiation. The emission from the black hole membrane is the same for lightlike and timelike modes, since eq. (11) is independent of \mathbf{q} . Thus, the timelike-spacelike difference in the boundary theory is due to the horizon-to-boundary Green functions. For large frequencies, the salient features of these Green functions can be understood with geometric optics. In addition, for coordinate time $\bar{t} \gtrsim 2.5\pi T_f$ the metric is relatively close to its equilibrium form, and equilibrium geodesics provide qualitative insight to the geometric optics of the full geometry. Fig. 2 shows a sample of 5d equilibrium geodesics that describe the transport of Hawking radiation from the stretched horizon to the boundary for a specified $|\mathbf{q}|/\omega$ in the boundary theory [8, 9].

Examining Fig. 2, we see that the Hawking radiation which first arrives at the boundary is characterized by high frequency, $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ with \mathbf{q} fixed. These modes are transported along null radial geodesics with a minimal transit time of order $\Delta t \sim 2/\pi T$, and thus we expect timelike modes to thermalize first. Similar conclusions were also reached in [10]. By contrast, the geodesics that determine the Green functions of hard lightlike modes have $\omega \simeq |\mathbf{q}|$ large, and small virtuality $(\omega^2 - |\mathbf{q}|^2)/\omega^2 \sim 1/(\omega\sigma)$, where $\sigma = 1/\pi T_f$ is the smearing width in eq. (4). These geodesics (which




FIG. 2: The geodesics in equilibrium which are relevant to the transport of Hawking radiation from the horizon to the boundary for a specified $|\mathbf{q}|/\omega$ [8, 9]. (The horizon is at $r = \pi T = 1$ in the coordinates of eq. (7).) Motivated by Fig. 1, the grey band indicates where the non-equilibrium geometry can not be reasonably modelled by equilibrium.

are well known from computations of jet-energy loss in strongly coupled plasmas [8, 9]) propagate up from the horizon and skim just below the boundary for a parametrically long time compared to the final temperature, $t \sim (\omega\sigma)^{1/4}/\pi T_f$. (This behavior is shown in Fig. 2 for the equilibrium geometry.) Thus, lightlike boundary correlators at late times, $t \lesssim (\omega\sigma)^{1/4}/\pi T_f$, reflect the emission of non-equilibrium Hawking radiation at earlier times $t \lesssim 1/\pi T_f$. The delayed equilibration seen in Fig. 1(d) is consistent with a $(\omega\sigma)^{1/4}$ expectation.

Finally, we note two marked differences between the evolution of quantum fluctuations at weak and strong coupling. First, note that the back-reaction between the fluctuations and the metric was neglected in this study. At weak coupling this approximation is of limited use, since quantum fluctuations seed instabilities which grow exponentially, and invalidate the approximation scheme before the plasma thermalizes [11–15]. In the gravitational theory, however, the timescale for growth is the same as the equilibration timescale of the background metric. Thus, in the SYM plasma a nascent instability can grow by at most one e -folding before being damped by the equilibrium black hole metric. Second, note that the fluctuations equilibrate even in the collisionless (or linearized) approximation that we are using. In weakly coupled (but strong field) plasmas the analogous Hartree approximations fail satisfy the FDT at late times [15, 16]. These marked differences with weakly coupled plasmas provide a useful foil to perturbative analyses of the thermalization in heavy-ion collisions.

In summary, we have computed the Hawking flux from non-equilibrium black holes. From a gravitational perspective, the computation is both conceptually and technically challenging. The physics of this novel emission process determines the qualitative features of the thermalization of fluctuations in strongly coupled plasmas.

Acknowledgments.—PMC is supported by a Papalardo Fellowship in physics at MIT. DT is supported in part by the Sloan Foundation and by the Department of Energy through the Outstanding Junior Investigator program, DE-FG-02-08ER4154.

-
- [1] J. M. Maldacena, *Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.* **2**, 231 (1998), hep-th/9711200.
- [2] S. Caron-Huot, P. M. Chesler, and D. Teaney, *Phys.Rev.* **D84**, 026012 (2011), 1102.1073.
- [3] J. de Boer, V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani, and M. Shigemori, *JHEP* **07**, 094 (2009), 0812.5112.
- [4] D. T. Son and D. Teaney, *JHEP* **07**, 021 (2009), 0901.2338.
- [5] P. M. Chesler and L. G. Yaffe, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **102**, 211601 (2009), 0812.2053.
- [6] P. M. Chesler and L. G. Yaffe, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **106**, 021601 (2011), 1011.3562.
- [7] S. de Haro, S. N. Solodukhin, and K. Skenderis, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **217**, 595 (2001), hep-th/0002230.
- [8] P. M. Chesler, K. Jensen, A. Karch, and L. G. Yaffe, *Phys.Rev.* **D79**, 125015 (2009), 0810.1985.
- [9] P. Arnold and D. Vaman, *JHEP* **1104**, 027 (2011), 1101.2689.
- [10] V. Balasubramanian, A. Bernamonti, J. de Boer, N. Copland, B. Craps, et al., *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **106**, 191601 (2011), 1012.4753.
- [11] S. Mrowczynski, *Phys.Lett.* **B314**, 118 (1993).
- [12] P. B. Arnold, J. Lenaghan, and G. D. Moore, *JHEP* **0308**, 002 (2003), hep-ph/0307325.
- [13] A. Rebhan, P. Romatschke, and M. Strickland, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **94**, 102303 (2005), hep-ph/0412016.
- [14] P. Romatschke and R. Venugopalan, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **96**, 062302 (2006), hep-ph/0510121.
- [15] K. Dusling, T. Epelbaum, F. Gelis, and R. Venugopalan, *Nuclear Physics A* **850**, 69 (2011).
- [16] J. Berges, *AIP Conf.Proc.* **739**, 3 (2005), hep-ph/0409233.