

Embedding grayscale halftone pictures in QR Codes using Correction Trees

Jarek Duda

*Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland,
email: dudaj@interia.pl*

Abstract

Quick Response Codes have made that encoded messages have entered our everyday life, what allows to embed a second layer of information to them: directly available to human receiver for informational or marketing purposes. There will be discussed a general problem of using codes with chosen statistical constrains, for example reproducing given grayscale picture in halftone technique. If both sender and receiver know these constrains, the optimal capacity for this purpose can be easily approached by entropy coder. The problem is that this time only the sender knows the constrain - we will refer to these scenarios as constrained coding. Kuznetsov and Tsybakov problem in which only the sender knows which bits are fixed can be seen as a special case, surprisingly approaching the same capacity as both sides would know the constrains - we will analyze Correction Trees approach to do it in the general case, still combining with error correction capabilities. Finding satisfying coding is similar to finding the proper correction in error correction problem, but instead of single ensured possibility, there are now statistically expected some. While in steganography we hide information in the least important bits, this time we would like to create codes resembling given picture - hide information in the freedom of producing grayness in black and white picture using halftone technique.

Keywords: QR codes, steganography, defective cells memory, error correction

1 Introduction

The lack of knowledge of damage positions makes that the Binary Symmetric Channel (BSC, each bit has p_b probability of being flipped) has relatively low rates: limited by very difficult to approach $1 - h(p_b)$ rate of Shannon's Noisy Channel Coding Theorem ($h(p) := -p \lg(p) - (1 - p) \lg(1 - p)$, $\lg \equiv \log_2$). In contrast, if both sides would know which p_e of bits are e.g. erased, they could easily use the remaining bits to achieve the maximal: $1 - p_e$ rate. In Erasure Channel only the receiver knows the positions erased bits, but still we can relatively easily get close to the $1 - p_e$ rate of using only the remaining bits.

Kuznetsov and Tsybakov [1] have asked kind of opposite question: what if the only side knowing the locations of damages is the sender? This time by damages we mean arbitrarily

fixing some bits, like fixing some picture in a region of QR code. The first answer is that we could use error correction method for this purpose - encode the message with redundancy, then eventually modify (damage) the fixed bits - we will refer to this approach as *damaged ECC*. The receiver does not know the positions of the fixed bits, so it is BSC scenario from his perspective. Half of these bits are expected to accidentally have already the required values, so this way we could get maximally $1 - h(p_f/2)$ rate if random p_f of bits are fixed. For example for $p_f = 0.5$, $1 - h(p_f/2) \approx 0.1887$, while $1 - p_f = 0.5$ is 2.65 times larger.

Surprisingly, the limit turns out to be as for the Erasure Channel - we can use nearly all undamaged bits, for example getting 2.65 larger rate in the $p_f = 0.5$ case (because of difficulty of approaching BSC limit, in practice even more). To understand that this improvement is possible, observe that in damaged ECC approach the receiver additionally obtains (half of) positions of fixed bits while performing the correction - intuitively we unintentionally attach this unnecessary information.

So how to remove this "damage locations information"? We can use the freedom of choosing the exact encoding messages, such that it just "accidentally" agrees with the constrains - thanks of it the receiver is not able to distinguish the intentional bits from the fixed ones. We will do it by using *freedom bit(s)* in each data block of a few bits: which will be just ignored while decoding, but gives a freedom while choosing the exact encoding sequence. For example we try to use only zeros for these freedom bits, but when produced block does not satisfy the constrains, we try out different values for these bits. So intuitively we search for a satisfying path in tree potentially having 2^k leaves: different ways to encode the message, where k is the total number of freedom bits up to current position - if this number is essentially larger than the number of fixed bits, there is large probability that an encoding fulfilling these constrains is included there. After that, decoding is straightforward - most of the computational cost is paid by the encoder, what is very convenient e.g. for QR codes application.

The original motivation of the problem was Write-Once-Memory which fixes to the value after being used. Relatively recent QR codes [2] rapidly entering everyday life, bring another direct application - while standard codes are usually designed to be processed only by specialized algorithms and so usually use the most efficient $P(0) = P(1) = 1/2$ bit distribution, the fact that our brains also try to directly process QR codes suggests to construct these codes to simultaneously deliver also some information in visual: human-friendly layer.

And so we can find QR codes with embedded some simple picture, usually by just switching some bits (damaged ECC approach). As the current standards are not optimized for this purpose, the design process is relatively costly and most importantly: uses included redundancy - greatly reducing correction capability of such already damaged code and uses capacity in ineffective way by wasting it to unintentionally attach damage locations as discussed above. So one evolution direction of QR codes might be to optimize them also for embedding additional e.g. visual information. We will discuss and analyze how to use Correction Trees [3] for this purpose, which can be seen as extended concept of sequential decoding for Convolutional Codes - some major improvements has made it an alternative to the best available error correction methods. It is also very convenient to allow for freedom to efficiently search for encoding message satisfying required constrains. Finally, while the decoder searches a tree of possibilities to find the proper correction of the received

message for the error correction capabilities in the original method, now additionally the encoder searches the tree of possibilities to find encoding message fulfilling the constraints - connecting both features in nearly optimal way.

This application to QR codes also suggests a generalization of the original problem: allow not only to fix some bits, but also to choose statistics of some bits, for example to simulate grayscale like in the halftone technique - using large enough resolution we could get QR codes which look like a chosen grayscale picture, in which bits: black and white pixels emerge while getting closer.

Communication through messages of chosen bit statistics can be easily achieved by using an entropy coder: treating 0/1 as symbols of chosen probability. However, it requires that both sides know these statistical constraints, which can vary locally - it corresponds to situation that both sides know locations of damaged bits. We will see that if only the sender knows the desired local statistics, the channel capacity can remain nearly the same. It might be useful if for some reasons the channel prefers e.g. some varying bit statistics, which is known only to the sender.

Example of such purposes can be cryptographic - the use of the original defective memory problem was already considered for steganographic applications ([4]). Presented generalization additionally allows for example to transmit information in faking a noise of some process/device - in situations that it varies in time and only the sender can effectively predict it.

2 Constrained coding limits for homogeneous contrast

Thanks to some pixel ordering (discussed later), we can represent a bit sequence as black ("1") and white("0") rectangular picture of relatively low resolution: QR code. Let us define *grayness* $g \in [0, 1]$ of a pixel as probability of using black color ("1") for this position in our coding - pixels fixed to white or black have grayness 0 or 1 correspondingly. Standard optimal coding techniques should usually produce typical bit sequences: with equal probabilities of digits and without correlations - corresponding to 1/2 grayness.

The final goal is to generate codes for halftone a given gray-scale picture, like in Fig. 1: encode such that probability of "1" for each pixel is defined by grayness of corresponding pixel of the chosen picture. So for a given message and a grayscale picture (GP) we want to encode a message as a halftone picture (HP). If both encoder and decoder know the GP, we could just use entropy coder - treating the pixels as symbols of the chosen probability distribution (grayness). In such case, pixel of g grayness carries $h(p)$ bits of information, where

$$h(p) := -p \lg(p) - (1 - p) \lg(1 - p) \quad \text{is Shannon's entropy, } \lg \equiv \log_2 \quad (1)$$

So accordingly to the the source coding theorem, the total amount of information we should be able to store in such halftone picture is a bit smaller than the sum of $h(g)$ over all pixels. The problem is that decoder usually do not know the GP - surprisingly we can still store nearly the same amount of information in this case. This practical possibility is suggested by the Kuznetsov and Tsybakov problem which can be seen as a special case in

this language: as choosing grayness of some pixels to 0 or 1 (fixing their values) and to $1/2$ for the rest of pixels for maximal informational content.

This possibility can be obtained by using the huge freedom of choosing the exact code for our message. So imagine we attach to the original message some *freedom bits* - the encoder can choose them freely, while the decoder will just ignore/remove them - we can assume that for each choice of these freedom bits, we get a different typical 0/1 sequence ($\Pr(0)=\Pr(1)=1/2$, no correlations) corresponding to the same message. Specifically, if q (*freedom level*) of N bits of the message are freedom bits, we have 2^{Nq} possibilities to choose the exact encoding sequence - the trick is that this huge space of possibilities allows to choose sequences of extremely small probability - fulfilling assumed statistics (GP). In practice these freedom bits will be distributed uniformly between blocks of data (for example 1 freedom bit per 8 bit block corresponding to $7/8$ rate coding). Developing the tree of possibilities we will find the most appropriate codings.

Let us start our considerations with kind of opposite side to the Kuznetsov and Tsybakov case: in which we want all bits to contain the same amount of information. The freedom allows to shift the maximal information $1/2$ grayness case symmetrically to both directions: dark areas of grayness $g > 1/2$ and light areas of grayness $g < 1/2$. So in this case the GP is in fact black and white picture and we encode information in added noise - it can be seen as a typical steganographic scenario, in which the GP are for example the least important bits and we replace them with the HP, encoding information in a small disturbance. We will call it *homogeneous contrast* case here of $g > 1/2$ contrast - examples of possibilities of such codes can be seen in Fig. 2.



Figure 1: *Lena*-like looking code of 512×512 (left) and 256×256 (right) resolution - rate limit is about 0.822 (average $h(g)$ over all pixels).

The question is: what is the largest contrast we can obtain with given freedom level (q)? For $q = 0$ all pixels have $g = 1/2$, while for $q = 1$ we do not attach additional information, so HP should be just the original GP: the contrast is 1.

Imagine first we would like to obtain g greyness level for all N bits - make that about gN of them are "1". Probability of accidentally obtaining it with typical sequences is

$$\frac{1}{2^N} \binom{N}{gN} \approx \frac{1}{2^N} 2^{N h(g)} = \frac{1}{2^{N(1-h(g))}} \quad (2)$$

so checking randomly more than $2^{N(1-h(g))}$ sequences, with large probability we will accidentally get this greyness level - using freedom level $q > 1 - h(g)$ makes that asymptotically almost surely we can find such sequence. The remaining $(1 - q)N$ bits are used to store the information (payload bits), so in this case the rate is $1 - q < h(g)$, what is exactly the Shannon limit for storing information in symbols of $\{g, 1 - g\}$ probability distribution - we would get it simply using entropy coder, but it would require that decoder also knows the




Figure 2: Examples of homogeneous contrast codes of the same symbol for three different resolutions and three different rates with maximal possible contrast. For example the central halftone picture stores $800 \cdot 3/4 = 600$ bytes - encoding the visual structure has costed us 200 bytes.

probability distribution (g). This time only the sender knows it and the receiver decode them as these would be standard $\Pr(0) = \Pr(1) = 1/2$ bits. The cost is the search through size $2^{N(1-h(g))}$ space of possibilities, what is completely impractical. However, we will see that relatively cheap approximations allow to get very close to this limit, like considering some fixed number of possibilities up to some position and successfully moving this position.

To move from this constant grayness to homogeneous contrast case (with chosen light and dark regions), instead of requiring that the number of black pixels is approximately gN , we would like that "the number of black pixels in light area + the number of white pixels in dark area" is the smallest possible or equivalently: approximately gN . For more greyness levels we can treat them separately, so finally we see that the limit of information we can store in such halftone picture is the sum of $h(g)$ over all pixels, getting version of Shannon's source coding theorem in which the receiver does not need to know symbol probability distribution.

Before going to practical approaches, let us compare this limit with standard ones. The current way to obtain chosen patterns embedded in QR code is mainly using build in redundancy for error correction - by damaging the code in a convenient way. One problem of such way (we will call *damaged ECC*) is reduction of correction capabilities. Apart from this issue, let us ask how effective this approach can be for discussed purpose only. To obtain g grayness/contrast from the initial $1/2$, we would need to change (damage) at average $|1/2 - g|$ of bits. Shannon's limit to handle this level of damage of unknown by receiver bit positions (BSC) is rate $1 - h(|1/2 - g|)$. From Fig. 3 we see it is much worse than the optimum, for example providing about 16 times smaller channel capacity for 0.9 contrast - such application is extreme waste of channel capacity.

Let us also compare it with boundary for systematic codes: in which we directly store the message as some transmitted bits. These bits have grayness $1/2$ and we can manipulate the rest of them to obtain the required statistics. As previously, to get g greyness/contrast we would need to manipulate $|1/2 - g|$ of bits, so the rate is $1 - |1/2 - g|$. While it is much better than damaged ECC, it is still far from the optimum. Observe that if the receiver would know positions of damages in damaged ECC case (Erasure Channel), we would also get this $1 - |1/2 - g|$ limit.

3 Correction Trees for homogeneous contrast case

We will now consider practical way to get close to the limit for homogeneous contrast case by splitting the process of choosing suitable freedom bits into single choices.

We will construct the code from fixed length (n) bit blocks in which we place some fixed number ($qn = f < n$) of freedom bits which can be freely chosen and will be removed while decoding - the rest $k := n - f$ bits will be called payload bits as containing the message we would like to store, so the rate is k/n . We will use as example $n = 8$, $f = 1$ (the rate is $7/8$): the code is built of bytes containing 7 bits of the original message, which can be chosen in $2^f = 2$ ways. Building the code directly from such blocks would easily allow to reach the systematic code limit ($1 - |1/2 - g|$). To get nearer the optimum, we just have to use nonsystematic codes - somehow process such blocks to make payload bits no longer

directly accessible.

Let us consider *independent block* case first - that block transformation is applied independently to each block, to see that it is essential to intuitively connect their freedom. The transformation should be a bijection in the space of possible block values ($t : \{0, 2^n - 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 2^n - 1\}$) for unique decoding - it can be chosen randomly, for example using a pseudorandom number generator initialized with a cryptographic key. The question is what is the lowest grayness we can achieve this way, what naturally translates into the highest possible contrast in homogeneous contrast case by reversing the condition in dark areas.

The freedom allows us to choose the best of 2^f length n sequences - having the smallest number of "1"s. So the question is the expected value of minimum of 2^f independent $\binom{n}{i}/2^n$ binomial distributions. The minimum is larger than a value if all variables are larger - can be simply expressed by cumulative distribution function (CDF):

$$CDF_{\text{minimum of } m \text{ variables } x} = 1 - (1 - CDF_x)^m$$

Finally the expected minimal number of "1" for example for $n = 4$ case is $(5^m + 11^m + 15^m + 1)/16^m$ and we can get analogous formulas with CDF coefficients for different n . Substituting $m = 2^f$, this formula provides the maximal contrast for given $q = f/n$ freedom level for independent block case. It allows to draw continuous plots, but it is difficult to interpret it for not natural m . Plots for $n = 4, 8, 16$ are drawn in Fig. 3 - for $n \geq 4$ it can be better than for systematic coding, but is still far from the optimum.

Generally, as for error correction, the longer blocks the better - like Correction Trees connects redundancy of blocks, we will now connect their freedom to treat the whole message as single data sequence to be able to approach the optimum. For this purpose we can use a state connecting freedom and redundancy. So let us call x the preprocessed length n bit block: k payload bits, f freedom bits and we would like to encode it as y bit block. For independent blocks we have used $y = t(x)$ for some random bijection t . Including the internal state s , we would like a transmission function to produce y and a new state: $(x, s) \rightarrow (y, s')$. While




Figure 3: Left: limits for maximal homogeneous contrast for given rates and different approaches. Three points are from evolving ensemble for $n = 8$, $M = 1000$. Right: approaching the optimum by evolving ensemble approach.

decoding, knowing s and y we should be able to determine x in unique way. We can obtain it in simple and quick way by modifying the systematic coding from [3] to nonsystematic one, by the way simultaneously allowing to include redundancy bits for error correction as we will discuss later: y as XOR of $t(x)$ and the youngest bits of s , s' as cyclically shifted (s XOR $t(x)$).

The question is what size of s should we use? We could use short one like in Convolutional Codes. One of reasons for long state like 64 bits in [3] is for combining with error correction - providing much better performance. For purposes we focus here, short state should definitely not be used for strong constrains of Kuznetsov and Tsybakov case: the small space of states would have essential probability to not include a state fulfilling these constrains.

So let us imagine we produce a sequence of y data blocks this way - the current situation is connected with the previous ones through the state. It makes the natural way to think of the space of possible codes as a tree: in which each node has potentially 2^f children.

We would like to elongate the looking promising paths - the question is how to do it? A natural way is to consider some number (M) of the most promising up to given position, then elongate all of them by a single state and choose only the most promising M of these $2^f M$ to the next step - we will refer to this approach as *evolving ensemble*. Intuitively, increasing M we should approach the optimum. For homogeneous contrast case the best are having the smallest "number of "1" in light areas + number of "0" in dark areas" - the best possible contrast is the same as for the lowest achievable grayness.

Finally the problem is: we have an ensemble of M values (the amount of "1"s) so far, to all of them we add 2^f independent variables from $\binom{n}{i}/2^n$ binomial distribution, then only M minimal of these $2^f M$ values survive and so on. The question is the minimal grayness: how fast the minimum in the ensemble grows this way? The dependence of M seems difficult to find analytically, but can be easily find in simulations - from Fig. 3 we see that for any f to get 2% from the optimal contrast we should use $M \approx 30$, or $M \approx 300$ for 1% difference. This M is linear coefficient of time and memory complexity of encoding - is paid only once for given code and QR codes are relatively small, so even for very large M this cost is practically negligible.

4 Kuznetsov and Tsybakov case

The Correction Trees approach to error correction works perfectly if errors are distributed uniformly - in other cases rarely there can appear extremely costly to correct critical error concentrations. Situation in currently discussed purpose is much better: there is not a single satisfying path (the proper correction), but there should be statistically essential population of them. However, rare local concentrations of constrains still require essentially larger number of steps - we will now try to understand these issues from constrained coding point of view.

Let us start with the Kuznetsov and Tsybakov case: some bits are fixed and the rest of them can be freely chosen. While searching for constrained code in homogeneous contrast case is kind of similar to correcting black and white GP for Binary Symmetric Channel, the

current scenario corresponds to Erasure Channel: searching for the constrained code can be seen as searching for a correction where the fixed bits are known and the rest of them are erased.

This time evolving ensemble approach is ineffective: instead of some order among the most promising possibilities, now possibilities just satisfy the constrains or not. So it is enough to develop a single path at a time, returning to an earlier branch if needed - exactly like in the sequential decoding discussed in [3]. The situation looks like in Fig. 4 - the freedom allows us to increase the number of possibilities 2^f times per block, while every fixed bit reduces twice the expected number of survived possibilities. So the expected number of possibilities considered in given position is the exponent of the difference between drawn lines - some rare local constrain concentrations may be very costly. It is the lesson to wisely choose the pixel ordering to reduce probability of such concentrations. Creating such plot allows to quickly estimate the expected total number of required steps by summing these exponents - allowing to try to reduce this number before the search, e.g. by a more convenient pattern positioning or using different ordering.

Even if we properly choose pixel ordering to make constrains nearly uniformly distributed in obtained bit sequence, still rarely there can accidentally appear very costly concentrations. Using sequential decoding this time makes we get analogous considerations as for Erasure Code from appendix of [3]: defining p_c as independent probability that given bit will be fixed, it corresponds to $1 - p_e$ of Erasure Codes. Looking at Fig. 4, we see this time the current expected number of possibilities (exponent of difference between solid and dashed




Figure 4: Top: the dashed line corresponds to constant growth of freedom of possibilities and should be above the solid line representing probability of fulfilling the constrains. Center: minus logarithm of probability of fulfilling the constrains grows linearly in homogeneous case. Bottom: it grows per block by the number of fixed bits in this block for Kuznetsov and Tsybakov case. This kind of plot for specific constrains (grayscale picture) allows to initially estimate the number of required steps to find satisfying code.

line) depends on the further situation - to find probability of requiring large number of steps, it is more convenient to make steps backward in contrast to Erasure Codes considerations. As in that analysis, let us start with imagining a single bit blocks: containing f/n freedom bits - in analysis it means that the number of possibilities grows $2^{n/f}$ times per block. Such fractional amount of bits is technically difficult to realize here, but allows to understand the general case.

So let us define $T(s)$ as probability that the expected number of possibilities should be smaller than 2^s and express it using situation in the succeeding position:

$$T(s) = \begin{cases} p_c T(s - f/n + 1) + (1 - p_c) T(s - f/n) & \text{for } s \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } s < 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Assuming Pareto distribution as asymptotic behavior and substituting:

$$1 - T(s) \propto 2^{c_c s} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{c_c s} &= p_c 2^{c_c(s-f/n+1)} + (1 - p_c) 2^{c_c(s-f/n)} \\ 2^{c_c f/n} &= 2^{c_c} p_c + 1 - p_c \quad \quad \quad 2^{c_c f} = (2^{c_c} p_c + (1 - p_c))^n \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In the general block size case, the functional equation (3) contains 2^n terms, which leads exactly to power expansion of the right formula (5). We can use this final implicit formula to find the Pareto exponent c_c for given p_c and f/n , which allows to find the probability that given limit of steps is not sufficient to find coding fulfilling the constrains. For example for $f/n = 1/2$:

p_c	0.4	0.42	0.44	0.46	0.47	0.48	0.49	0.5
c_c	-1.17	-0.93	-0.7	-0.46	-0.34	-0.23	-0.11	0

5 The general case (AWGN analogue))

Combining the previous two section we can use 5 different grayness: some bits fixed(grayness 0 or 1), some without constrains (grayness 1/2) and finally the rest have maximal possible contrast toward lightness or darkness. The last group kind of takes the remaining freedom - the larger it is, the better the contrast. This combination rather requires the evolving ensemble approach, but for any fixed size of ensemble (M), the randomly distributed fixed bits can rarely make that the whole ensemble will die out ((4) Pareto distribution). To prevent going back in such cases, it should be enough to vary M accordingly to expected number of possibilities to consider from plot constructed like in Fig. 4: use M as some M_0 times exponent of the difference between solid and dashed lines from this figure. The analysis of situation becomes even more complicated than for the homogeneous contrast case, but the fact that the right plot from fig. 3 is nearly independent from f , suggests to use this plot to choose satisfying M_0 , what is confirmed by simulations.

Let us get to the main question: how to generalize this approach to allow for any varying grayness to get codes like in Fig. 1? We would initially want some pixels to be rather black

(if $g > 1/2$) or white (if $g < 1/2$), but the grayness determines the probability of allowing this color to be changed:

$$\epsilon := 1/2 - |1/2 - g| \quad (= g \text{ for } g \leq 1/2, \quad 1 - g \text{ for } g > 1/2) \quad (6)$$

making it similar to the Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) correction: grayness corresponds to soft information. The survival in the ensemble was determined by having the least bits changed from given black and white GP - now we have to use weights to make that some changed bits are more acceptable. Changing a fixed bit is completely unacceptable: can be imagined that this possibility gets $+\infty$ weight, what automatically takes it out of the ensemble. In the correction problem we use bayessian analysis to find the most probable possibility(leaf) accordingly to already created tree - for constant length paths this maximized weight (Fano metric [5]) says we should use weight of a single bit/pixel as

$$\Delta w = \begin{cases} -\lg(1 - \epsilon) & \text{if color is as expected: white for } g < 1/2, \text{ black for } g \geq 1/2 \\ -\lg(\epsilon) & \text{if color is not as expected} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Which is summed cumulatively over all bits of given path. The second possibility should statistically happen in ϵ cases, so the average growth of weight in this position is $-\epsilon \lg(\epsilon) - (1 - \epsilon) \lg(1 - \epsilon) = h(\epsilon) = h(g)$ as expected - to initially estimate the behavior by creating plot like in Fig. 4, the solid line should grow by $h(g)$ per bit of grayness g .

Finally the algorithm is considering ensemble (e.g. of varying size like in the beginning of this section): expand all paths a single step in all possible ways, updating their weights accordingly to (7), then choose some number of those having the smallest weight among them and so on.

Some generated codes might be not visually satisfactory - we can use some other from the final ensemble instead.

6 Combining with error correction

The initial purpose of Correction Trees was error correction as enhanced concept of Convolutional Codes, among others by using much larger states (64 bits instead of about 8) requiring replacing the convolution with carefully designed coding procedure, finally making it alternative to modern state of arts methods. It has also essential advantages, like that up to some medium noise level the correction is nearly cost free. Another advantage is that in comparison to Turbo Codes and Low Density Parity Check, this time we have much better control of the correction process, allowing for much larger flexibility of considered damage space, what is extremely important while complex correction of information contained in pictures.

Before discussing the use of this flexibility, let us adapt/modify the original coding from [3] to split error correction (currently used also to modify the code in extremely inefficient - damaged ECC way), into two optimized separate purposes: error correction and constrained coding. It can be easily obtained by constructing the preprocessed block (x) from all three types of bits: k payload bits, f freedom bits and $R = n - k - f$ redundancy bits (the final rate is k/n). Now the freedom bits can be freely chosen while encoding and are removed/ignored

while decoding. The redundancy bits are e.g. fixed to "0" while encoding and are used only while correction - obtaining "1" there denotes that we are on a wrong path. Probability that wrong correction will survive by accidentally generating the proper checksum is $p_d = 2^{-R}$. Finally the encoder builds one tree using freedom to fulfill the constrains, while the decoder builds a different tree using the redundancy if correction is required.

Another required modification of the original coding is that this time we rather require nonsystematic one: for this purpose the transition table from the original coding should transform the whole symbol ($t : [0, 2^n - 1] \rightarrow [0, 2^n - 1]$ bijection) and the cyclic rotation of state is by at least n (should not divide the size of the state).

This nonsystematic case with blocks connected by hidden state is also perfect for cryptographic applications to hide encrypted information in halftone grayness of a picture. For this purposes t can be chosen using pseudorandom number generator initialized with cryptographic key.

Let us now briefly discuss the correction process in case of QR codes. We can simultaneously try to develop different possible ways to decode, for example applying different corrections - the weight of only one of these corrections will statistically grow (the proper one), the rest of possibilities will be quickly dominated. It makes it unnecessary to directly store basic information like block size or freedom/redundancy level - we can try correcting for all possibilities and only single one will survive. Characteristic redundant squares in current QR codes to determine direction and orientation are also unnecessary while using Correction Trees - again we can start with all 8 possibilities and only the proper one will survive.

Another issue is the pixel ordering which should be chosen to statistically distribute constrains/damages in uniform way in the bit sequence. It can be made by using shifts modulo the dimensions of the code, with carefully chosen shifts - to make plots like in Fig. 4 relatively flat for more probable shapes. If this plot accidentally occurs to be inconvenient for the specific constrains, we could try to modify them e.g. by shifting the pattern. Another approach is using a few different bit orderings - the encoder chooses the most convenient one, while decoder try out all of them and only one survives.

Going to the proper correction, besides considering the standard BSC damages, the correcting algorithm can for example online observe that errors are concentrated somewhere, using this information to localize further damages. It can also consider much more complex errors, like shifted or glued pixels, or even try out a space of possible local deformations caused by e.g. folding the medium. We could also try to get out of restriction to black and white codes - the problem with different illumination/perception of colors can be again handled by trying out many different ones, from which only one should survive.

To improve the initial search for the proper parameters and damage map, there can be used more redundancy (R) at the beginning of our sequence. However, there is some additional information this approach rather require: the final state. It is absolutely necessary for improving efficiency bidirectional correction, while for unidirectional it can be omitted at cost of possibility of remaining damaged some last bits. This state can be extracted after determining basic information and most of damages - can be stored e.g. as the last bits in our pixel ordering.

7 Conclusions

There was discussed constrained coding as generalization of Kuznetsov and Tsybakov problem of optimally using a channel with constrains known only to the sender, to include also statistical constrains, like making the code resemble chosen grayscale picture. Another applications could be optimally using a channel with varying preferences or sending information in faking some varying noise - especially if only the sender can effectively model these variations.

The direct application from which perspective this paper was written - QR codes, besides providing natural intuitions for presented considerations, can lead to the next generation of these codes - optimized to contain also direct visual information for human receiver. For example code looking like a singer could contain a music sample, some attractively looking code could contain additional information about an item in store or museum, code looking like a logo of operating system could contain a small application (or a virus)...

From Fig. 1 we see that codes looking like grayness pictures requires rather large resolution - it would allow for larger capacity, but is also much more demanding from error correction point of view. As discussed in the previous section, Correction Trees allows for large flexibility of the correction process, what allows for larger capacity codes and to omit visible redundancy. We could also construct multi-level codes - for example poor quality photography would allow to decode some most essential part of the information stored e.g. in grayness of larger blocks, while good quality photography would provide the whole contents.

This approach can be also directly used for steganographic purposes: hiding information in freedom of choosing grayness when standard techniques are unavailable like for black and white pictures. It still may contain surprisingly large amount of information, like such 512x512 pixel picture directly contains 32kB, while making it look like *Lena* reduces this capacity only to about 26kB.

References

- [1] A. V. Kuznetsov and B. S. Tsybakov, *Coding in a memory with defective cells*, Probl. Peredachi Inf., 10:52–60 (1974),
- [2] ISO 18004:2000, Information technology – automatic identification and data capture techniques – bar code symbology – QR code, ISO, Geneva, Switzerland,
- [3] J. Duda, P. Korus, *Correction Trees as an Alternative to Turbo Codes and Low Density Parity Check Codes*, arXiv: 1204.5317 (2012),
- [4] J. Fridrich, M. Goljan and D. Soukal, *Steganography via codes for memory with defective cells*, Proceedings of the Forty-Third Annual Allerton Conference On Communication, Control and Computing (2005),
- [5] R. M. Fano, *A heuristic discussion of probabilistic decoding*, IEEE Transaction on Information Theory, 9:64–73 (1963).