

Distributions on symmetric cones I: Riesz distribution

José A. Díaz-García *

Department of Statistics and Computation
25350 Buenavista, Saltillo, Coahuila, Mexico
E-mail: jadiaz@uaaan.mx

Abstract

The Riesz distribution for real normed division algebras is derived in this work. Then two versions of these distributions are proposed and some of their properties are studied.

1 Introduction

In the context of the Statistics and related fields, the analysis on symmetric cones has been developed in depth through the last seven decades. As usual, the studies were focused on the real case, later the complex case appeared and in the recent years, the quaternionic and octonionic numbers were included in the analysis. This analysis has been notorious in the development of certain statistical areas as random matrix distributions, but also in some mathematical topics including special polynomials matrix arguments as zonal, Jack, Davis' invariants, Laguerre, Hermite, Hayakawa; and the associated hypergeometric type series. These mathematical tools have been implemented for example in the characterisation of non-central distributions, statistical theory of shape, etc. Among many authors, we can highlight the works of Herz (1955), James (1961), James (1964), Constantine (1963), Davis (1980), Muirhead (1982), Díaz-García (2011), and the references therein. Of course, the interest in the analysis of symmetric cones does not belong exclusively to statistics; the harmonic analysis, for example, is a special case of the real symmetric cone and this cone play a fundamental role in number theory. Another particular case that has been studied in detail, specially from the point of view of the wave equation, is the Lorentz cone, Faraut and Korányi (1994) and the references therein.

Although during the 60's and 70's were obtained important results in the general analysis of the symmetric cones, the past 20 years have reached a substantial development. Essentially, these advances have been archived through two approaches based on the theory of Jordan algebra and the real normed division algebras. A basic source of the general theory of symmetric cones under Jordan algebras can be found in Faraut and Korányi (1994); and specifically, some works in the context of distribution theory in symmetric cones based on Jordan algebras are provided in Massam (1994), Casalis and Letac (1996), Hassairi and Lajmi (2001), and Hassairi *et al.* (2005), and the references therein. In the field of spherical functions (Jack polynomials including James' zonal polynomials) we can mention the work of Sawyer (1997). Parallel results on distribution theory based on real normed division algebras have been also developed in statistics and random matrix theory, see Forrester

*Corresponding author

Key words. Wishart distribution; Riesz distribution, gamma distribution, real, complex, quaternion and octonion random matrices.

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification. Primary 60E05, 62E15; secondary 15A52

(2009), Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2011), Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2012a), among others. A detailed study of functions with matrix arguments on symmetric cones, such as Jack and Davis' invariants polynomials and hypergeometric types series, among others, appear in Gross and Richards (1987), Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2012b) and Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2009), for real normed division algebras.

From the standpoint of multivariate statistics, the main focus of statistical studies has been developed for real random matrices. However, many works have proposed extending some of these tests to the case of complex random matrices, see for example Wooding (1956), Goodman (1963), James (1964), and Micheas *et al.* (2006), among many others. Studies examining the case of quaternionic random matrices are much less common, see Bhavsar (2000), Li and Xue (2009) and Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2013). From an applied point of view, the relevance of *the octonions* remains unclear. An excellent review of the history, construction and many other properties of octonions is given in Baez (2002), where it is stated that:

*“Their relevance to geometry was quite obscure until 1925, when Élie Cartan described ‘triality’ – the symmetry between vector and spinors in 8-dimensional Euclidian space. Their potential relevance to physics was noticed in a 1934 paper by Jordan, von Neumann and Wigner on the foundations of quantum mechanics... Work along these lines continued quite slowly until the 1980s, when it was realized that the octonions explain some curious features of string theory... **However, there is still no proof that the octonions are useful for understanding the real world.** We can only hope that eventually this question will be settled one way or another.”*

For the sake of completeness, in the present article the case of octonions is considered, but the veracity of the results obtained for this case can only be conjectured. Nonetheless, Forrester (2009, Section 1.4.5, pp. 22-24) it is proved that the bi-dimensional density function of the eigenvalue, for a Gaussian ensemble of a 2×2 octonionic matrix, is obtained from the general joint density function of the eigenvalues for the Gaussian ensemble, assuming $m = 2$ and $\beta = 8$, see Section 2.

Under the approach based on the theory of Jordan algebras, a family of distributions on symmetric cones, termed the Riesz distributions, was first introduced by Hassairi and Lajmi (2001) under the name of Riesz natural exponential family (Riesz NEF); it was based on a special case of the so-called Riesz measure from Faraut and Korányi (1994, p.137), going back to Riesz (1949). This Riesz distribution generalises the matrix multivariate gamma and Wishart distributions, containing them as particular cases.

This article introduces the Riesz distribution for real normed division algebras. Section 2 revises some definitions and notations on real normed division algebras, also, two definitions of the generalised gamma function on symmetric cones are given; in addition, several Jacobians with respect to Lebesgue measure for real normed division algebras are proposed. Finally, two Riesz distributions are obtained and under both definitions, the corresponding characteristic function and the eigenvalue distribution are derived in section 3.

2 Preliminary results

A detailed discussion of real normed division algebras may be found in Baez (2002) and Gross and Richards (1987). For convenience, we shall introduce some notation, although in general we adhere to standard notation forms.

For our purposes, a **vector space** is always a finite-dimensional module over the field of real numbers. An **algebra** \mathfrak{F} is a vector space that is equipped with a bilinear map $m : \mathfrak{F} \times \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ termed *multiplication* and a nonzero element $1 \in \mathfrak{F}$ termed the *unit* such that $m(1, a) = m(a, 1) = a$. As usual, we abbreviate $m(a, b) = ab$ as ab . We do not assume \mathfrak{F} associative. Given an algebra, we freely think of real numbers as elements of this algebra via the map $\omega \mapsto \omega 1$.

An algebra \mathfrak{F} is a **division algebra** if given $a, b \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $ab = 0$, then either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. Equivalently, \mathfrak{F} is a division algebra if the operation of left and right multiplications by any nonzero element is invertible. A **normed division algebra** is an algebra \mathfrak{F} that is also a normed vector space with $\|ab\| = \|a\|\|b\|$. This implies that \mathfrak{F} is a division algebra and that $\|1\| = 1$.

There are exactly four normed division algebras: real numbers (\mathfrak{R}), complex numbers (\mathfrak{C}), quaternions (\mathfrak{H}) and octonions (\mathfrak{O}), see Baez (2002). We take into account that \mathfrak{R} , \mathfrak{C} , \mathfrak{H} and \mathfrak{O} are the only normed division algebras; moreover, they are the only alternative division algebras, and all division algebras have a real dimension of 1, 2, 4 or 8, which is denoted by β , see Baez (2002, Theorems 1, 2 and 3). In other branches of mathematics, the parameters $\alpha = 2/\beta$ and $t = \beta/4$ are used, see Sawyer (1997) and Kabe (1984), respectively.

Let $\mathcal{L}_{m,n}^\beta$ be the set of all $n \times m$ matrices of rank $m \leq n$ over \mathfrak{F} with m distinct positive singular values, where \mathfrak{F} denotes a *real finite-dimensional normed division algebra*. Let $\mathfrak{F}^{m \times n}$ be the set of all $m \times n$ matrices over \mathfrak{F} . The dimension of $\mathfrak{F}^{m \times n}$ over \mathfrak{R} is βmn . Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{F}^{n \times m}$, then $\mathbf{A}^* = \overline{\mathbf{A}}^T$ denotes the usual conjugate transpose. The set of matrices $\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathfrak{F}^{n \times m}$ such that $\mathbf{H}_1^* \mathbf{H}_1 = \mathbf{I}_m$ is a manifold denoted $\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta$, termed the *Stiefel manifold* (\mathbf{H}_1 are also known as *semi-orthogonal* ($\beta = 1$), *semi-unitary* ($\beta = 2$), *semi-symplectic* ($\beta = 4$) and *semi-exceptional type* ($\beta = 8$) matrices, see ?). The dimension of $\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta$ over \mathfrak{R} is $[\beta mn - m(m-1)\beta/2 - m]$. In particular, $\mathcal{V}_{m,m}^\beta$ with dimension over \mathfrak{R} , $[m(m+1)\beta/2 - m]$, is the maximal compact subgroup $\mathcal{U}^\beta(m)$ of $\mathcal{L}_{m,m}^\beta$ and consists of all matrices $\mathbf{H} \in \mathfrak{F}^{m \times m}$ such that $\mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I}_m$. Therefore, $\mathcal{U}^\beta(m)$ is the *real orthogonal group* $\mathcal{O}(m)$ ($\beta = 1$), the *unitary group* $\mathcal{U}(m)$ ($\beta = 2$), the *compact symplectic group* $Sp(m)$ ($\beta = 4$) or *exceptional type matrices* $Oo(m)$ ($\beta = 8$), for $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{H}$ or \mathfrak{O} , respectively. Denote by \mathfrak{S}_m^β the real vector space of all $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{F}^{m \times m}$ such that $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^*$. Let \mathfrak{P}_m^β be the *cone of positive definite matrices* $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{F}^{m \times m}$. Thus, \mathfrak{P}_m^β consist of all matrices $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{X}$, with $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{L}_{m,n}^\beta$; then \mathfrak{P}_m^β is an open subset of \mathfrak{S}_m^β . Over \mathfrak{R} , \mathfrak{S}_m^β consist of *symmetric* matrices; over \mathfrak{C} , *Hermitian* matrices; over \mathfrak{H} , *quaternionic Hermitian* matrices (also termed *self-dual matrices*) and over \mathfrak{O} , *octonionic Hermitian* matrices. Generically, the elements of \mathfrak{S}_m^β are termed as **Hermitian matrices**, irrespective of the nature of \mathfrak{F} . The dimension of \mathfrak{S}_m^β over \mathfrak{R} is $[m(m-1)\beta + 2m]/2$. Let \mathcal{D}_m^β be the *diagonal subgroup* of $\mathcal{L}_{m,m}^\beta$ consisting of all $\mathbf{D} \in \mathfrak{F}^{m \times m}$, $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_m)$.

For any matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{F}^{n \times m}$, $d\mathbf{X}$ denotes the *matrix of differentials* (dx_{ij}) . Finally, we define the *measure* or volume element $(d\mathbf{X})$ when $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{F}^{m \times n}, \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta, \mathcal{D}_m^\beta$ or $\mathcal{V}_{m,n}^\beta$, see Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2011).

If $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{F}^{m \times n}$ then $(d\mathbf{X})$ (the Lebesgue measure in $\mathfrak{F}^{m \times n}$) denotes the exterior product of the βmn functionally independent variables

$$(d\mathbf{X}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bigwedge_{j=1}^n dx_{ij} \quad \text{where} \quad dx_{ij} = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} dx_{ij}^{(k)}.$$

If $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ (or $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ is a upper triangular matrix) then $(d\mathbf{S})$ (the Lebesgue measure in \mathfrak{S}_m^β or in $\mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$) denotes the exterior product of the exterior product of the

$m(m-1)\beta/2 + m$ functionally independent variables,

$$(d\mathbf{S}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^m ds_{ii} \bigwedge_{i < j}^m \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} ds_{ij}^{(k)}.$$

Observe, that for the Lebesgue measure $(d\mathbf{S})$ defined thus, it is required that $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^{\beta}$, that is, \mathbf{S} must be a non singular Hermitian matrix (Hermitian definite positive matrix).

If $\mathbf{\Lambda} \in \mathfrak{D}_m^{\beta}$ then $(d\mathbf{\Lambda})$ (the Lebesgue measure in \mathfrak{D}_m^{β}) denotes the exterior product of the βm functionally independent variables

$$(d\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\beta} d\lambda_i^{(k)}.$$

If $\mathbf{H}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{m,n}^{\beta}$ is such that $\mathbf{H}_1 = (\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_m)$, where \mathbf{h}_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$ are their columns, then

$$(\mathbf{H}_1^* d\mathbf{H}_1) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^m \bigwedge_{j=i+1}^n \mathbf{h}_j^* d\mathbf{h}_i.$$

where the partitioned matrix $\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{H}_1 | \mathbf{H}_2) = (\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_m | \mathbf{h}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_n) \in \mathcal{U}^{\beta}(n)$, with $\mathbf{H}_2 = (\mathbf{h}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_n)$. It can be proved that this differential form does not depend on the choice of the \mathbf{H}_2 matrix. When $n = 1$; $\mathcal{V}_{m,1}^{\beta}$ defines the unit sphere in \mathfrak{F}^m . This is, of course, an $(m-1)\beta$ - dimensional surface in \mathfrak{F}^m . When $n = m$ and denoting \mathbf{H}_1 by \mathbf{H} , $(\mathbf{H}d\mathbf{H}^*)$ is termed the *Haar measure* on $\mathcal{U}^{\beta}(m)$.

The multivariate *Gamma function* for the space \mathfrak{S}_m^{β} denotes as $\Gamma_m^{\beta}[a]$, is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_m^{\beta}[a] &= \int_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^{\beta}} \text{etr}\{-\mathbf{A}\} |\mathbf{A}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} (d\mathbf{A}) \\ &= \pi^{m(m-1)\beta/4} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma[a - (i-1)\beta/2], \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{etr}(\cdot) = \exp(\text{tr}(\cdot))$, $|\cdot|$ denotes the determinant and $\text{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2$, see Gross and Richards (1987). This can be obtained as a particular case of the *generalised gamma function of weight κ* for the space \mathfrak{S}_m^{β} with $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$, $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 0$, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m are nonnegative integers, taking $\kappa = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and which for $\text{Re}(a) \geq (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$ is defined by, see Gross and Richards (1987),

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_m^{\beta}[a, \kappa] &= \int_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^{\beta}} \text{etr}\{-\mathbf{A}\} |\mathbf{A}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_{\kappa}(\mathbf{A}) (d\mathbf{A}) \\ &= \pi^{m(m-1)\beta/4} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma[a + k_i - (i-1)\beta/2] \\ &= [a]_{\kappa}^{\beta} \Gamma_m^{\beta}[a], \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta}$

$$q_{\kappa}(\mathbf{A}) = |\mathbf{A}_m|^{k_m} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} |\mathbf{A}_i|^{k_i - k_{i+1}} \tag{3}$$

with $\mathbf{A}_p = (a_{rs})$, $r, s = 1, 2, \dots, p$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, m$ is termed the *highest weight vector*, see Gross and Richards (1987). In other branches of mathematics the *highest weight vector* $q_{\kappa}(\mathbf{A})$ is also termed the *generalised power* of \mathbf{A} and is denoted as $\Delta_{\kappa}(\mathbf{A})$, see Faraut and Korányi (1994) and Hassairi and Lajmi (2001).

Some additional properties of $q_{\kappa}(\mathbf{A})$, which are immediate consequences of the definition of $q_{\kappa}(\mathbf{A})$ and the following property 1, are:

1. Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}^* \mathbf{D} \mathbf{L}$ be the L'DL decomposition of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$, where $\mathbf{L} \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ with $l_{ii} = 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$, $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then

$$q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{k_i}. \quad (4)$$

2.

$$q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}^{-1}) = q_{-\kappa^*}^*(\mathbf{A}), \quad (5)$$

where $\kappa^* = (k_m, k_{m-1}, \dots, k_1)$, $-\kappa^* = (-k_m, -k_{m-1}, \dots, -k_1)$,

$$q_\kappa^*(\mathbf{A}) = |\mathbf{A}_m|^{k_m} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} |\mathbf{A}_i|^{k_i - k_{i+1}} \quad (6)$$

and

$$q_\kappa^*(\mathbf{A}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{k_{m-i+1}}, \quad (7)$$

see Faraut and Korányi (1994, pp. 126-127 and Proposition VII.1.5).

Alternatively, let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{T}^* \mathbf{T}$ the Cholesky's decomposition of matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$, with $\mathbf{T} = (t_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$, then $\lambda_i = t_{ii}^2$, $t_{ii} \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. See Hassairi and Lajmi (2001, p. 931, first paragraph), Hassairi *et al.* (2005, p. 390, lines -11 to -16) and Kołodziejek (2014, p.5, lines 1-6).

3. if $\kappa = (p, \dots, p)$, then

$$q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = |\mathbf{A}|^p, \quad (8)$$

in particular if $p = 0$, then $q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = 1$.

4. if $\tau = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m)$, $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_m \geq 0$, then

$$q_{\kappa+\tau}(\mathbf{A}) = q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}) q_\tau(\mathbf{A}), \quad (9)$$

in particular if $\tau = (p, p, \dots, p)$, then

$$q_{\kappa+\tau}(\mathbf{A}) \equiv q_{\kappa+p}(\mathbf{A}) = |\mathbf{A}|^p q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}). \quad (10)$$

5. Finally, for $\mathbf{B} \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ in such a manner that $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{B} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$,

$$q_\kappa(\mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}) = q_\kappa(\mathbf{C}) q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}), \quad (11)$$

see Hassairi *et al.* (2008, p. 776, eq. (2.1)).

Remark 2.1. Formally the determinant is defined in terms of function $q_\kappa(\mathbf{A})$, more precisely in terms of the function $q(\mathbf{A})$ which is defined as:

$$q(\mathbf{A}) = |\mathbf{A}|^\eta$$

where

$$\eta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{R} \text{ or } \mathfrak{C} \\ 1/2 & \text{if } \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{H} \\ 1/4 & \text{if } \mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{C}. \end{cases}$$

Alternatively, by (4) the determinant of a matrix \mathbf{A} is defined in terms of their eigenvalues, see Gross and Richards (1987), Faraut and Korányi (1994) and Forrester (2009).

Remark 2.2. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta)$ denote the algebra of all polynomial functions on \mathfrak{S}_m^β , and $\mathcal{P}_k(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta)$ the subspace of homogeneous polynomials of degree k and let $\mathcal{P}^\kappa(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta)$ be an irreducible subspace of $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta)$ such that

$$\mathcal{P}_k(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta) = \sum_{\kappa} \bigoplus \mathcal{P}^\kappa(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta).$$

Note that q_κ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k , moreover $q_\kappa \in \mathcal{P}^\kappa(\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta)$, see Gross and Richards (1987).

In (2), $[a]_\kappa^\beta$ denotes the generalised Pochhammer symbol of weight κ , defined as

$$[a]_\kappa^\beta = \prod_{i=1}^m (a - (i-1)\beta/2)_{k_i} = \frac{\Gamma_m^\beta[a, \kappa]}{\Gamma_m^\beta[a]},$$

where $\text{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$ and $(a)_i = a(a+1)\cdots(a+i-1)$, is the standard Pochhammer symbol.

A variant of the generalised gamma function of weight κ is obtained from Khatri (1966) and is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_m^\beta[a, -\kappa] &= \int_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} \text{etr}\{-\mathbf{A}\} |\mathbf{A}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}^{-1}) (d\mathbf{A}) & (12) \\ &= \pi^{m(m-1)\beta/4} \prod_{i=1}^m \Gamma[a - k_i - (i-1)\beta/2] \\ &= \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma_m^\beta[a]}{[-a + (m-1)\beta/2 + 1]_\kappa^\beta}, & (13) \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 + k_1$.

Now, we show three Jacobians in terms of the β parameter, which are proposed as extensions of real, complex or quaternion cases, see Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2011).

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathbf{X} and $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ matrices of functionally independent variables, and let $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}^* + \mathbf{C}$, where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L}_{m,m}^\beta$ and $\mathbf{C} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ are matrices of constants. Then*

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = |\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}|^{\beta(m-1)/2+1} (d\mathbf{X}). \quad (14)$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{S} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$. Then ignoring the sign, if $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{S}^{-1}$*

$$(d\mathbf{Y}) = |\mathbf{S}|^{-\beta(m-1)-2} (d\mathbf{S}). \quad (15)$$

We end this section with a some general results, which are useful in a variety of situations, which enable us to transform the density function of a matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ to the density function of its eigenvalues, see Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2009).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ be a random matrix with density function $f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X})$. Then the joint density function of the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ of \mathbf{X} is*

$$\frac{\pi^{m^2\beta/2+\varrho}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[m\beta/2]} \prod_{i<j}^m (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^\beta \int_{\mathbf{H} \in \mathfrak{U}^{\beta(m)}} f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}^*) (d\mathbf{H}) \quad (16)$$

where $\mathbf{L} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$, $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_m > 0$, $(d\mathbf{H})$ is the normalised Haar measure and

$$\varrho = \begin{cases} 0, & \beta = 1; \\ -m, & \beta = 2; \\ -2m, & \beta = 4; \\ -4m, & \beta = 8. \end{cases}$$

3 Riesz distributions

Alternatively to find the Riesz distribution from the Riesz measure, Hassairi and Lajmi (2001), in this section we establish the two versions of the Riesz distribution via the next result. For this purpose, we utilise the complexification $\mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta, \mathfrak{C}} = \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta + i\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ of \mathfrak{S}_m^β . That is, $\mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta, \mathfrak{C}}$ consist of all matrices $\mathbf{Z} \in (\mathfrak{F}^{\mathfrak{C}})^{m \times m}$ of the form $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X} + i\mathbf{Y}$, with $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$. We refer to $\mathbf{X} = \text{Re}(\mathbf{Z})$ and $\mathbf{Y} = \text{Im}(\mathbf{Z})$ as the *real and imaginary parts* of \mathbf{Z} , respectively. The *generalised right half-plane* $\Phi_m^\beta = \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta + i\mathfrak{S}_m^\beta$ in $\mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta, \mathfrak{C}}$ consists of all $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathfrak{S}_m^{\beta, \mathfrak{C}}$ such that $\text{Re}(\mathbf{Z}) \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$, see (Gross and Richards, 1987, p. 801).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Sigma \in \Phi_m^\beta$ and $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$, $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 0$, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m are nonnegative integers. Then*

1. for $\text{Re}(a) \geq (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} \text{etr}\{-\beta \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{A}\} |\mathbf{A}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{A})(d\mathbf{A}) \\ = \frac{\Gamma_m^\beta[a, \kappa] |\Sigma|^a q_\kappa(\Sigma)}{\beta^{am + \sum_{i=1}^m k_i}} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

2. for $\text{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 + k_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} \text{etr}\{-\beta \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{A}\} |\mathbf{A}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{A}^{-1})(d\mathbf{A}) \\ = \frac{\Gamma_m^\beta[a, -\kappa] |\Sigma|^a q_\kappa(\Sigma^{-1})}{\beta^{am - \sum_{i=1}^m k_i}} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Proof. Let $\Sigma \in \Phi_m^\beta$ and in integrals (17) and (18) make the change of variable $\mathbf{A} = (\Sigma^{1/2})^* \mathbf{W} \Sigma^{1/2} / \beta$, where $\Sigma^{1/2} \in \mathfrak{T}_U^\beta(m)$ denotes the Cholesky decomposition of Σ , such that $(\Sigma^{1/2})^* \Sigma^{1/2} = \Sigma$, see Gross and Richards (1987, Subsection 1.7, p. 788) and Faraut and Korányi (1994, Chapter VI, p. 100). By Lemma 2.1,

$$(d\mathbf{A}) = \beta^{-m(m-1)\beta/2-m} |\Sigma|^{\beta(m-1)/2+1} (d\mathbf{W}),$$

then integrals becomes

- 1.

$$\beta^{-ma} \int_{\mathbf{W} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} \text{etr}\{-\mathbf{W}\} |\mathbf{W}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa\left(\frac{1}{\beta} (\Sigma^{1/2})^* \mathbf{W} \Sigma^{1/2}\right) |\Sigma|^a (d\mathbf{W}).$$

2. and

$$\beta^{-ma} \int_{\mathbf{W} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} \text{etr}\{-\mathbf{W}\} |\mathbf{W}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\beta \Sigma^{-1/2} \mathbf{W}^{-1} (\Sigma^{-1/2})^*) |\Sigma|^a (d\mathbf{W}).$$

The desired results now follows from (1) and (11), and (12), respectively. \square

Hence, as consequence of Lemma 3.1, now we can propose the two definitions of Riesz distribution.

Definition 3.1. Let $\Sigma \in \Phi_m^\beta$ and $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$, $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 0$, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m are nonnegative integers.

1. Then it said that \mathbf{X} has a Riesz distribution of type I if its density function is

$$\frac{\beta^{am+\sum_{i=1}^m k_i}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[a, \kappa] |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^a q_\kappa(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})} \text{etr}\{-\beta \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\} |\mathbf{X}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{X})(d\mathbf{X}) \quad (19)$$

for $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ and $\text{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$.

2. Then it said that \mathbf{X} has a Riesz distribution of type II if its density function is

$$\frac{\beta^{am-\sum_{i=1}^m k_i}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[a, -\kappa] |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^a q_\kappa(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})} \text{etr}\{-\beta \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{X}\} |\mathbf{X}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{X}^{-1})(d\mathbf{X}) \quad (20)$$

for $\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta$ and $\text{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 + k_1$.

Note that, the matrix multivariate gamma distribution is a particular example of the Riesz distribution. Furthermore, if $\kappa = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in two densities in Definition 3.1 the matrix multivariate gamma distribution is obtained. In addition observe that, in this last case $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = 2\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and $a = \beta n/2$, the Wishart distribution is gotten.

In next result is established their characteristic functions of Riesz distributions.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \in \Phi_m^\beta$ and $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$, $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 0$, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m are nonnegative integers.*

1. Then if \mathbf{X} has a Riesz distribution of type I its characteristic function is

$$|\mathbf{I}_m - i\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{T}/\beta|^{-a} q_\kappa \left(\left(\mathbf{I}_m - i\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{T} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} \right)^* / \beta \right)^{-1} \right) \quad (21)$$

for $\text{Re}(a) \geq (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$.

2. Then if \mathbf{X} has a Riesz distribution of type II its characteristic function is

$$|\mathbf{I}_m - i\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{T}/\beta|^{-a} q_\kappa \left(\left(\mathbf{I}_m - i\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{T} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} \right)^* / \beta \right) \right) \quad (22)$$

for $\text{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 + k_1$.

Proof. 1. The characteristic function is

$$\frac{\beta^{am+\sum_{i=1}^m k_i}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[a, \kappa] |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^a q_\kappa(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})} \int_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathfrak{P}_m^\beta} \text{etr}\{-\beta(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} - i\mathbf{T}/\beta)\mathbf{X}\} |\mathbf{X}|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{X})(d\mathbf{X}).$$

From (17) the characteristic function is

$$\frac{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} - i\mathbf{T}/\beta|^{-a} q_\kappa((\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} - i\mathbf{T}/\beta)^{-1})}{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^a q_\kappa(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})} = \frac{|\mathbf{I}_m - i\mathbf{T}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}/\beta|^{-a} q_\kappa((\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} - i\mathbf{T}/\beta)^{-1})}{q_\kappa(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})}$$

The final expression is obtained from (11). The results stated in 2 is obtained in analogously. \square

Finally, it is found the joint distributions of the eigenvalues for random matrices Riesz type I and II.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \in \Phi_m^\beta$ and $\kappa = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$, $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_m \geq 0$, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m are nonnegative integers.*

1. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$, $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_m > 0$ be the eigenvalues of \mathbf{X} . Then if \mathbf{X} has a Riesz distribution of type I, the joint density of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ is

$$\frac{\beta^{am+\sum_{i=1}^m k_i} \pi^{m^2\beta/2+\varrho}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[m\beta/2]\Gamma_m^\beta[a, \kappa]|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^a q_\kappa(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})} \prod_{i<j}^m (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^\beta \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} G_\kappa^{(m),\beta}(-\beta\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}, \mathbf{L}). \quad (23)$$

where $\mathbf{L} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$, $\text{Re}(a) \geq (m-1)\beta/2 - k_m$ and

$$G_\kappa^{(m),\beta}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) = \int_{\mathbf{H} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(m)} \text{etr}\{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^*\} q_\kappa(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^*)(d\mathbf{H}),$$

2. Let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m$, $\delta_1 > \dots > \delta_m > 0$ be the eigenvalues of \mathbf{X} . Then if \mathbf{X} has a Riesz distribution of type II, the joint density of their eigenvalues is

$$\frac{\beta^{am-\sum_{i=1}^m k_i} \pi^{m^2\beta/2+\varrho}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[m\beta/2]\Gamma_m^\beta[a, \kappa]|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^a q_\kappa(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})} \prod_{i<j}^m (\delta_i - \delta_j)^\beta \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^m \delta_i^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} Q_\kappa^{(m),\beta}(-\beta\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}, \mathbf{D}). \quad (24)$$

where $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m)$, $\text{Re}(a) > (m-1)\beta/2 + k_1$ and

$$Q_\kappa^{(m),\beta}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}) = \int_{\mathbf{H} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(m)} \text{etr}\{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^*\} q_\kappa(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{H}^*)(d\mathbf{H}).$$

And ϱ is defined in Lemma 3.

Proof. 1. From Lemma 3

$$\frac{\beta^{am+\sum_{i=1}^m k_i} \pi^{m^2\beta/2+\varrho}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[m\beta/2]\Gamma_m^\beta[a, \kappa]|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^a q_\kappa(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})} \prod_{i<j}^m (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^\beta \\ \int_{\mathbf{H} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(m)} \text{etr}\{-\beta\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}^*\} |\mathbf{H}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}^*|^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} q_\kappa(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}^*)(d\mathbf{H}).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\beta^{am+\sum_{i=1}^m k_i} \pi^{m^2\beta/2+\varrho}}{\Gamma_m^\beta[m\beta/2]\Gamma_m^\beta[a, \kappa]|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^a q_\kappa(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})} \prod_{i<j}^m (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^\beta \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^{a-(m-1)\beta/2-1} \\ \int_{\mathbf{H} \in \mathfrak{U}^\beta(m)} \text{etr}\{-\beta\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}^*\} q_\kappa(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{H}^*)(d\mathbf{H}).$$

2. is proved similarly. \square

Again, observe that when $\kappa = (0, \dots, 0)$, the corresponding joint densities of the eigenvalues of the matrix multivariate gamma and Wishart distributions are obtained as particular cases of (23) and (24), indistinctly.

4 Conclusions

Potentially, the Riesz distribution can replace the Wishart distribution throughout the multivariate statistical analysis, however still needs to find the sampling distribution associated Riesz distribution, i.e. the distribution of the matrix \mathbf{X} such that the random matrix $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{X}^*\mathbf{X}$ has a distribution of Riesz and, thus appears naturally the Riesz distribution instead of the Wishart distribution. This topic is currently being studied by the author. But the Wishart distribution occurs naturally in other fields of knowledge without being associated with the matrix multivariate normal distribution, as in the case of the joint element densities of generalised Laguerre ensembles. Thus, if the densities (19) and (20) are considered as the joint element densities of generalised Laguerre ensembles, the distributions (23) and (24) are the distributions of the Laguerre ensembles under a Riesz distributions, see Díaz-García and Gutiérrez-Jáimez (2013).

Any topic in statistical literature, is usually first studied in the real case, later in the complex case, later for the quaternion case and exceptionally for the octonion case. From the results presented in this paper, the real, complex, quaternion and octonion cases are obtained by simply replacing β with 1, 2, 4 or 8, respectively.

Finally, note that the real dimension of real normed division algebras can be expressed as powers of 2, $\beta = 2^n$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$. On the other hand, as it can be check in Kabe (1984), the results obtained in this work can be extended to the hypercomplex cases; that is, for complex, bicomplex, biquaternion and bioctonion (or sedenionic) algebras, which of course are not division algebras (except the complex algebra). Note, also, that hypercomplex algebras are obtained by replacing the real numbers with complex numbers in the construction of real normed division algebras. Thus, the results for hypercomplex algebras are obtained by simply replacing β with 2β in our results. Alternatively, following Kabe (1984), we can conclude that, our results are true for ‘ 2^n -ions’, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, emphasising that only for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ the corresponding algebras are real normed division algebras.

Here we prefer our notation used unlike the proposal in Hassairi and Lajmi (2001), because we think that is clearer to a statistician. Note, however, that any of the results obtained can be written easily as proposed by Hassairi and Lajmi (2001) in the context of Euclidean simple Jordan algebras, simply applying (10). For example in this case (21) can be written equivalently as:

$$q_{\kappa+a} \left(\left(\mathbf{I}_m - i\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2}\mathbf{T} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} \right)^* / \beta \right)^{-1} \right).$$

References

- J. C. Baez, The octonions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 39 (2002) 145–205.
- C. D. Bhavsar, Asymptotic distributions of likelihood ratio criteria for two testing problems. *Kybernetes* 29(4)(2002) 510–517.
- M. Casalis, G. Letac, The Lukascz-Olkin-Rubin characterization of Wishart distributions on symmetric cones, *Ann. Statist.* 24 (1996) 768–786.
- A. C. Constantine, Noncentral distribution problems in multivariate analysis. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 34 (1963) 1270–1285.
- A. W. Davis, Invariant polynomials with two matrix arguments, extending the zonal polynomials, In: Krishnaiah P R (ed.) *Multivariate Analysis V*. North-Holland Publishing Company, pp. 287–299, 1980.

- J. A. Díaz-García, Generalizations of some properties of invariant polynomials with matrix arguments, *Appl. Math. (Warsaw)* 38(4)(2011), 469-475.
- J. A. Díaz-García, R. Gutiérrez-Jáimez, Special functions: Integral properties of Jack polynomials, hypergeometric functions and Invariant polynomials, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.1988>, 2009. Also submitted.
- J. A. Díaz-García, and R. Gutiérrez-Jáimez, On Wishart distribution: some extensions, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 435 (2011) 1296-1310.
- J. A. Díaz-García, and R. Gutiérrez-Jáimez, Matricvariate and matrix multivariate T distributions and associated distributions, *Metrika*, 75(7)(2012a) 963-976.
- J. A. Díaz-García, and R. Gutiérrez-Jáimez, An identity of Jack polynomials, *J. Iranian Statist. Soc.* 11(1)(2012b) 87-92.
- J. A. Díaz-García, R. Gutiérrez-Jáimez, Spherical ensembles. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (2013) 3174-3201.
- J. Faraut, A. Korányi, *Analysis on symmetric cones*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1994.
- P. J. Forrester, Log-gases and random matrices, To appear. (Available in: <http://www.ms.unimelb.edu.au/~matpjf/matpjf.html>).
- N. R. Goodman, Statistical analysis based on a certain multivariate complex Gaussian distribution (an introduction). *Ann. Math. Statist.* 34(1)(1963) 152-177.
- K. I. Gross, D. ST. P. Richards, Special functions of matrix argument I: Algebraic induction zonal polynomials and hypergeometric functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 301(2) (1987) 475-501.
- A. Hassairi, S. Lajmi, Riesz exponential families on symmetric cones, *J. Theoret. Probab.* 14 (2001) 927-948.
- A. Hassairi, S. Lajmi, R. Zine, Beta-Riesz distributions on symmetric cones, *J. Statist. Plann. Inf.* 133 (2005) 387-404.
- A. Hassairi, S. Lajmi, R. Zine, A characterization of the Riesz probability distribution, *J. Theoret. Probab.* 21 (2008) 773-790.
- C. S. Herz, Bessel functions of matrix argument. *Ann. of Math.* 61(3)(1955) 474-523.
- A. T. James, Zonal polynomials of the real positive definite symmetric matrices, *Ann. Math.* 35 (1961) 456-469.
- A. T. James, Distribution of matrix variate and latent roots derived from normal samples, *Ann. Math. Statist.* 35 (1964) 475-501.
- D. G. Kabe, Classical statistical analysis based on a certain hypercomplex multivariate normal distribution, *Metrika* 31(1984) 63-76.
- C. G. Khatri, On certain distribution problems based on positive definite quadratic functions in normal vector, *Ann. Math. Statist.* 37 (1966) 468-479.
- B. Kołodziejek The Lukacs-Olkin-Rubin theorem on symmetric cones without invariance of the "Quotient". *J. Theoret. Probab.* (2014), DOI 10.1007/s10959-014-0587-3.

- F. Li, Y. Xue, Zonal polynomials and hypergeometric functions of quaternion matrix argument. *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods* 38(8) (2009) 1184-1206.
- H. Massam, An exact decomposition theorem and unified view of some related distributions for a class of exponential transformation models on symmetric cones, *Ann. Statist.* 22(1)(1994) 369–394.
- A. C. Micheas, D. K. Dey, K. V. Mardia Complex elliptical distribution with application to shape theory. *J. Statist. Plann. Infer.* 136 (2006) 2961-2982.
- R. J. Muirhead, *Aspects of Multivariate Statistical Theory*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1982.
- M. Riesz, L'intégrale de Riemann-Liouville et le problème de Cauchy. *Acta Math.* 81 (1949) 1–223.
- P. Sawyer, Spherical Functions on Symmetric Cones, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 349 (1997) 3569–3584.
- R. A. Wooding The multivariate distribution of complex normal variables. *Biometrika* 43(1) (1956) 212–215.