Calculation of orthant probabilities by the holonomic gradient method

Tamio Koyama* and Akimichi Takemura^{†‡}

December 2, 2018

Abstract

We apply the holonomic gradient method (HGM) introduced by [1] to the calculation of orthant probabilities of multivariate normal distribution. The holonomic gradient method applied to orthant probabilities is found to be a variant of Plackett's recurrence relation ([9]). However a straightforward implementation of the method yields recurrence relations more suitable for numerical computation. We derive some theoretical results on the holonomic system for the orthant probabilities and then show that numerical performance of our method is comparable or superior compared to existing methods.

1 Introduction

The holonomic gradient method (HGM) introduced by Nakayama et al.[1] is a method of numerical calculation which utilizes differential equations. This method has many applications in statistics. For example, an application to the evaluation of the exact distribution function of the largest root of a Wishart matrix is introduced in [3], and an application to the maximum likelihood estimation for the Fisher-Bingham distribution on the d-dimensional sphere introduced in [2].

In this paper, we utilize the holonomic gradient method for an accurate evaluation of an orthant probability

$$\Phi(\Sigma, \mu) = \mathbf{P}(X_1 \ge 0, \dots, X_d \ge 0) \tag{1}$$

where the random vector $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)$ is d-variate normally distributed with mean μ and covariance matrix Σ , i.e., $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$. Since evaluating the orthant probability has important applications in statistical practice, there are many studies about it. Genz

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Kobe University

[†]Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, University of Tokyo

[‡]JST CREST

introduced a method to calculate the orthant probability utilizing the quasi Monte-Carlo method in [6]. Miwa, Hayter and Kuriki proposed a recursive integration algorithm to evaluate the orthant probability in [7].

When the mean vector μ equals to zero, the orthant probability can be interpreted as the area of a d-1 dimensional spherical simplex. In [8], Schläfli gave a differential recurrence formula for $\Phi(\Sigma,0)$. Plackett generalized Schläfli's result and gave a recurrence formula for $\Phi(\Sigma,\mu)$ in [9]. He evaluate orthant probabilities by a recursive integration utilizing his formula. Gassmann implemented the Plackett's method in the case of higher dimensions in [10]. Their method is a recursive integration based on a differential reduction formula. However, Plackett's reduction formula is not suitable for the holonomic gradient method. We give a new reduction formula in this paper.

Let $y = \Sigma^{-1}\mu$ and $x = -\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1}$. We denote the *i*-th element of y by y_i and the (i, j) element of x by x_{ij} . By this transformation of the parameters, the orthant probability (1) can be written as

$$(-\pi)^{-d/2} |x|^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}y^t x^{-1}y\right) g(x,y) \tag{2}$$

where

$$g(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \exp\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d x_{ij} t_i t_j + \sum_{i=1}^d y_i t_i\right) dt.$$
 (3)

In order to evaluate the orthant probability, it is enough to evaluate g(x, y).

To apply the holonomic gradient method, we need an explicit form of a Pfaffian system associated with g(x, y). The Pfaffian system can be obtained from a holonomic system for g(x, y) (see [1]). In this paper, we give a holonomic system for the function g(x, y) and construct a Pfaffian system from the holonomic system. This Pfaffian system is written as a reduction formula.

In section 2, we describe a holonomic system for an integral

$$g(x,y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(x) \exp\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d x_{ij} t_i t_j + \sum_{i=1}^d y_i t_i\right) dx. \tag{4}$$

where f(x) is a holonomic function or a holonomic distribution. In section 3, we construct a holonomic system for the function in (3) by utilizing the result in section 2. Then we construct a Pfaffian system from the holonomic system. In section 4, we describe numerical experiments of the holonomic gradient method.

2 Holonomic system associated with an expectation

In this section, we consider the holonomic ideal which annihilates the integral (4).

We denote the ring of differential operators in x with polynomial coefficient by $D = \mathbf{C}(x_1, \ldots, x_n, \partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n})$. The operator $\partial/\partial x_i$ is denoted by ∂_{x_i} . we frequently use the

following rings.

$$D_{xyt} := \mathbf{C}\langle x_{ij}, y_k, t_k, \partial_{x_{ij}}, \partial_{y_k}, \partial_{t_k} : 1 \le i \le j \le d, 1 \le k \le d \rangle$$

$$D_{xy} := \mathbf{C}\langle x_{ij}, y_k, \partial_{x_{ij}}, \partial_{y_k} : 1 \le i \le j \le d, 1 \le k \le d \rangle$$

$$D_x := \mathbf{C}\langle x_{ij}, \partial_{x_{ij}} : 1 \le i \le j \le d \rangle$$

we assume the following relations.

$$y = \Sigma^{-1}\mu, \quad x = -\frac{1}{2}\Sigma^{-1}$$

$$h(x, y, t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} x_{ij}t_{i}t_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} y_{i}t_{i}$$

2.1 the case of a smooth function

We first consider the case when f(x) is a smooth function. In this case, the integral (4) converges if the matrix -x is positive definite and f(x) is of exponential growth, i.e., there exists $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$|f(x)| < c_1 \exp(c_2|x||), \quad \forall x.$$

To state main theorem in this section, we prepare a lemma.

Lemma 1. Let D_x (resp. $D_{x,y}$) be a ring of differential operators with polynomial coefficient $\mathbf{C}\langle x_i, \partial_{x_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle$ (resp. $\mathbf{C}\langle x_i, y_j, \partial_{x_i}, \partial_{y_j} : 1 \leq i \rangle$). Suppose that a holonomic ideal I annihilates a function f(x,y) on $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^m$ and the function f(x,y) is rapidly decreasing with respect to the variable y for any x in an open set $O \subset \mathbf{R}^m$. Then, we have a function g on the open set O as

$$g(x) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} f(x, y) dy, \tag{5}$$

and the integration ideal of I with respect to the variable y annihilates g(x).

Proof. Since the function f(x,y) is rapidly decreasing, the integral of (5) is a finite value. Let P be in the integration ideal $J = \left(I + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \partial_{y_j} D_{x,y}\right) \cap D_x$, then we have $P \bullet g = \int P \bullet f dy$ by the Lebesgue convergence theorem.

Since the differential operator P can be written as

$$P = P_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \partial_{y_i} P_i \in D_x \quad (P_0 \in I, P_i \in D_{x,y}),$$

we have $\int P \bullet f dy = \sum \int \partial_{y_i} P_i \bullet f dy$. Since $P_i \bullet f$ is rapidly decreasing, we have $\int \partial_{y_i} P_i \bullet f dy = 0$.

Consider a C-algebra morphism φ from a Weyl algebra $D_t := \mathbb{C}\langle t_i, \partial_{t_i} : 1 \leq i \leq d \rangle$ to a weyl algebra $D_{x,y} := \mathbf{C}\langle x_{ij}, y_i, \partial_{x_{ij}}, \partial_{y_i} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq d \rangle$ defined by

$$\varphi: D_t \to D_{x,y} \quad \left(t_i \mapsto \partial_{y_i}, \ \partial_{t_i} \mapsto -y_i - 2 \sum_{k=1}^d x_{ik} \partial_{y_k} \right).$$

Here, we assume $x_{ij}=x_{ji},\,\partial_{x_{ij}}=\partial_{x_{ji}}.$ Since we have $[\varphi(\partial_{t_i}),\varphi(\partial_{t_j})]:=\varphi(\partial_{t_i})\varphi(t_j)$ $\varphi(t_j)\varphi(\partial_{t_i})=\delta_{ij}, \varphi$ is well-defined as a morphism of C-algebra.

Now we state the main theorem in this section.

Theorem 2. Suppose a function f on \mathbb{R}^d is smooth and of exponential growth. If differential operators $P_1, \ldots, P_s \in D_t$ annihilate f, then the following differential operators annihilate the integral g(x,y) in (4).

$$\varphi(P_k), \qquad (1 \le k \le s) \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(T_k), & (1 \le k \le s) \\
\partial_{x_{ij}} - 2\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j}, & (1 \le i < j \le d) \\
\partial_{x_{ii}} - \partial_{y_i}^2, & (1 \le i \le d)
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$\partial_{x_{ii}} - \partial_{y_i}^2, \qquad (1 \le i \le d)$$
 (8)

Moreover, the differential operators (6), (7), (8) generate a holonomic ideal in $D_{x,y}$ if the differential operators P_1, \ldots, P_s generate a holonomic ideal in D_t .

Proof. Let

$$h(x, y, t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} x_{ij} t_i t_j + \sum_{i=1}^{d} y_i t_i = \sum_{i=1}^{d} y_i t_i + \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_{ii} t_i^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \le i \le j \le d} x_{ij} t_i t_j,$$

and $D_{t,x,y} = \mathbf{C}\langle t_i, x_{ij}, y_i, \partial_{t_i}, \partial_{x_{ij}}, \partial_{y_i} \rangle$. In order to write expressions shortly, we use the following notation. For a differential operator

$$P = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\beta} t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_d^{\alpha_d} \partial_{t_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \partial_{t_d}^{\beta_d} \in D_t$$

and $p_i, q_i \in D_{x,y,t}$ (i = 1, ..., d), we denote

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\beta} p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_d^{\alpha_d} q_1^{\beta_1} \cdots q_d^{\beta_d}$$

by $P(p_i; q_i)$. By the assumption, the differential operators

$$P_{\ell} (\ell = 1, \dots, s),$$

 $\partial_{x_{ij}} (1 \le i \le j \le d), \quad \partial_{y_i} (1 \le i \le d)$

annihilate f, and generate a holonomic ideal in $D_{t,x,y}$. By the lemma introduced in [17, Section 3.3, the differential operators

$$P_{\ell}(t_i; \partial_{t_i} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial t_i}) (\ell = 1, \dots, s),$$

$$\partial_{x_{ij}} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{ij}} (1 \le i \le j \le d), \quad \partial_{y_i} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_i} (1 \le i \le d)$$

annihilate $\exp(h)f$ and generate holonomic ideal I in $D_{t,x,y}$. The differential operators (6), (7), (8) generate the integration ideal J of I with respect to the variables t_i ($i = 1, \ldots, d$). We will prove this later.

Since the function $\exp(h) f$ is rapidly decreasing, the integration ideal J annihilates the integral g(x, y) by lemma 1. Hence, the differential operators (6), (7), (8) annihilate g(x, y).

Since the integration ideal of a holonomic ideal is also holonomic (see, e.g., [13, Chap 1]), the ideal J is holonomic when the ideal I is holonomic.

Now, we show that the integration ideal J is generated by the differential operators (6), (7), (8). We denote $P \equiv Q$ when $P - Q \in D_{t,x,y}\{\partial_{y_i} - t_i : 1 \le i \le d\}$. To prove the statement, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 3. We put $p_i = \partial_{t_i} - y_i - 2\sum_{k=i}^d x_{ik}t_i$ and $q_i = \partial_{t_i} - y_i - 2\sum_{k=i}^d x_{ik}\partial_{y_k}$ to write shortly.

For a differential operator $P(t_i; \partial_{t_i}) \in D_t$ and a multi index $\alpha \in \mathbf{N_0}^d$, the following equivalence relations hold.

$$P(t_i; p_i) \equiv P(\partial_{u_i}; q_i), \tag{9}$$

$$t^{\alpha}P(\partial_{y_i};q_i) \equiv \partial_y^{\alpha}P(\partial_{y_i};q_i). \tag{10}$$

Proof. By the strait forward calculation, we have the following relations for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$.

$$[p_i, q_i] = 0 (11)$$

$$[p_i, p_j] = [q_i, q_j] = 0 (12)$$

$$[q_i, t_j] = [q_i, \partial_{y_j}] = \delta_{ij} \tag{13}$$

Here, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker's delta and blanket means [p,q] = pq - qp.

In order to prove (9), it is sufficient to show the relation

$$t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_d^{\alpha_d} p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_d^{\beta_d} \equiv \partial_{y_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{y_d}^{\alpha_d} q_1^{\beta_1} \cdots q_d^{\beta_d} \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_0^d).$$
 (14)

By the induction on β_i , we have a relation

$$t_j q_i^{\beta_i} \equiv \partial_{y_j} q_i^{\beta_i} \quad (1 \le i, j \le d, \quad \beta_i \in \mathbf{N}_0)$$
 (15)

When $\beta_i = 0$, the relation (15) holds clearly. Suppose the relation (15) holds for β_i , then we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} t_j q_i^{\beta_i+1} & = & t_j q_i q_i^{\beta_i} = (q_i t_j - \delta_{ij}) q_i^{\beta_i} \\ & \equiv & (q_i \partial_{y_j} - \delta_{ij}) q_i^{\beta_i} = \partial_{y_j} q_i q_i^{\beta_i} = \partial_{y_j} q_i^{\beta_i+1}. \end{array}$$

By induction, the relation (15) holds for any β_i .

By the relations (11) and (15), we have

$$p_1^{\beta_1} \cdots p_d^{\beta_d} \equiv q_1^{\beta_1} \cdots q_d^{\beta_d} \quad (\beta \in \mathbf{N}_0). \tag{16}$$

Now we prove the relation (14) by induction on the multi index $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_0^d$. When $\alpha = 0$, the relation (14) holds because of (16). Suppose the relation (14) holds for α , then we have

$$t_{i}t_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\cdots t_{d}^{\alpha_{d}}p_{1}^{\beta_{1}}\cdots p_{d}^{\beta_{d}} \equiv t_{i}\partial_{y_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\cdots \partial_{y_{d}}^{\alpha_{d}}q_{1}^{\beta_{1}}\cdots q_{d}^{\beta_{d}}$$

$$=\partial_{y_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\cdots\partial_{y_{d}}^{\alpha_{d}}(\prod_{j\neq i}q_{j}^{\beta_{j}})t_{i}q_{i}^{\beta_{i}} \quad \text{(by (12) and (13))}$$

$$\equiv \partial_{y_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\cdots\partial_{y_{d}}^{\alpha_{d}}(\prod_{j\neq i}q_{j}^{\beta_{j}})\partial_{y_{i}}q_{i}^{\beta_{i}} \quad \text{(by (15))}$$

$$\equiv \partial_{y_{i}}\partial_{y_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\cdots\partial_{y_{d}}^{\alpha_{d}}q_{1}^{\beta_{1}}\cdots q_{d}^{\beta_{d}} \quad \text{(by (12) and (13))}.$$

By induction, the relation (9) holds for any α .

The relation (10) hold by (12), (13), and (15).

Lemma 4. The integration ideal J is generated by the differential operators (6), (7), (8).

Proof. Note that the ideal I is generated by differential operators

$$P_{\ell}(t_i; \partial_{t_i} - y_i - 2\sum_{k=i}^{d} x_{ik}t_i) \quad (\ell = 1, \dots, s),$$

$$\partial_{x_{ij}} - 2t_it_j \quad (1 \le i < j \le d),$$

$$\partial_{x_{ii}} - t_i^2, \ \partial_{y_i} - t_i \quad (1 \le i \le d).$$

By the relation (9), we have that the ideal I is generated by

$$P_{\ell}(\partial_{y_i}; \partial_{t_i} - y_i - 2\sum_{k=i}^d x_{ik}\partial_{y_k}) \quad (\ell = 1, \dots, s),$$
(17)

$$\partial_{x_{ij}} - 2\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j} \quad (1 \le i < j \le d), \tag{18}$$

$$\partial_{x_{ii}} - \partial_{y_i}^2 \quad (1 \le i \le d), \tag{19}$$

$$\partial_{u_i} - t_i \quad (1 \le i \le d). \tag{20}$$

We denote by \tilde{J} the left ideal generated by (6), (7), (8). Clearly, we have $\tilde{J} \subset J$. If P is a differential operator in J, then P can be written as

$$P = Q + \sum \partial_{t_i} R_i + \sum S_i (\partial_{y_i} - t_i) \quad (Q \in I, R_i, S_i \in D_{x,y,t})$$
(21)

by the definition of integration ideal. The differential operator Q is written as the linear combination of the differential operators (17)-(19) with $D_{x,y,t}$ coefficients. By the second term of the right hand side of (21), we can assume that the variables ∂_{t_i} do not appear in these coefficients without loss of generality. By the relation (10) in lemma 3, we can assume that the coefficient in Q is the element in $D_{x,y}$. Since any differential operator

in (17) – (19) is a element in $\tilde{J} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \partial_{t_i} \cdot D_{x,y,t}$, we can assume $Q \in J$. Consider the equation,

$$P - Q - \sum S_i(\partial_{y_i} - t_i) = \sum \partial_{t_i} R_i.$$
 (22)

We can assume that the variables ∂_{t_i} do not appear in all S_i . Expanding both sides and comparing the coefficients of ∂_{t_i} , we have

$$P - Q = \sum S_i(\partial_{y_i} - t_i). \tag{23}$$

The right hand side of this equation is an element in the left ideal $J' := \sum_{i=1}^{d} D_{x,y,t} \cdot (\partial_{y_i} - t_i)$. Let the weight of t_i be 1 and that of other variables 0, and consider a term order \prec with this weight. The set $\{t_i - \partial_{y_i} | 1 \le i \le d\}$ is a Gröbner basis of J' with the order, so that the initial term of P - Q must divide some t_i . Since P - Q is in $D_{x,y}$, we have P - Q = 0. Thus $P \in J$.

2.2 the case of a non-smooth function

Next, we consider the case when f(t) is not smooth. In this case, we consider f(t) as a distribution in the sense of Schwartz([18]).

Let Ω be a domain defined by

$$\{(x,y)|-x \text{ is positive definite}\}.$$

For a tempered distribution f on \mathbb{R}^d , we can define a function on Ω as

$$g(x,y) = \langle f, \exp(h(t,y,x)) \rangle. \tag{24}$$

Since $\exp(h(t, y, x))$ is rapidly decreasing with respect to the variable t when -x is positive definite, the right hand side of (24) is a finite value.

A holonomic system for (24) is given as follows.

Theorem 5. If differential operators $P_1, \ldots, P_s \in D_t$ annihilate a tempered distribution f on \mathbf{R}^d , then the differential operators (6), (7), (8) annihilate the function g(x, y) in (24). Moreover, the differential operators (6), (7), (8) generate a holonomic ideal in $D_{x,y}$ if the differential operators P_1, \ldots, P_s generate a holonomic ideal in D_t .

Proof. We only need to proof that the differential operators (6), (7), (8) annihilate g(x, y). We have

$$P_{\ell}(\partial_{y_i}; -y_i - 2\sum_{k=i}^d x_{ik}\partial_{y_k})\langle f, \exp(h(t, y, x))\rangle$$

$$= \langle f, P_{\ell}(\partial_{y_i}; -y_i - 2\sum_{k=i}^d x_{ik}\partial_{y_k}) \exp(h(t, y, x))\rangle$$

$$= \langle f, P_{\ell}(\partial_{y_i}; -\partial_{t_i}) \exp(h(t, y, x))\rangle$$

$$= \langle f, P_{\ell}(-\partial_{t_i}; \partial_{y_i}) \exp(h(t, y, x)) \rangle$$

= $\langle f, P_{\ell}(-\partial_{t_i}; t_i) \exp(h(t, y, x)) \rangle$
= $\langle P_{\ell}(t_i; \partial_{t_i}) f, \exp(h(t, y, x)) \rangle$
= 0

3 Holonomic system associated with the orthant probability

In this section, we will construct a Pfaffian system associated with the integral (3).

3.1 Generators of the Holonomic ideal

At first, we obtain generators of a holonomic ideal which annihilates (3) by the theorem 5. Let E be the orthant in \mathbf{R}^d defined by

$$\{t = (t_1, \dots, t_d) \in \mathbf{R}^d | t_i \ge 0, (i = 1, \dots, d) \},\$$

and $\mathbf{1}_E$ be the indicator function of E. A holonomic ideal which annihilates $\mathbf{1}_E$ is as follows.

Lemma 6. The indicator function $\mathbf{1}_E$ is annihilated by the following differential operators as a distribution.

$$t_1 \partial_{t_1}, \dots, t_d \partial_{t_d}$$
 (25)

The differential operators (25) generate a holonomic ideal J in the ring D_t .

Proof. At first, we show that the differential operators $t_i \partial_{t_i}$ annihilates the function $\mathbf{1}_E$. It is sufficient to prove when i = 1. Let $\varphi(t)$ be a rapidly decreasing function on \mathbf{R}^d . Then, we have

$$-\int_{0}^{\infty} \partial_{t_1}(t_1\varphi(t))dt_1 = 0$$

for any $t_j \in \mathbf{R}$, $2 \leq j \leq d$. Integrating both side by the variables t_2, \ldots, t_d , we have $\langle t_1 \partial_{t_1} \mathbf{1}_{E}, \varphi \rangle = 0$. Therefore, the distribution $t_1 \partial_{t_1} \mathbf{1}_{E}$ is equal to 0.

Next, we show that the left ideal J is holonomic. By the Buchberger's criterion, we can show that a set of the differential operators in (25) is a Gröbner basis of J with the weight w = (0,1). The characteristic variety of J is $\operatorname{ch}(J) = \{(t,\xi) \in \mathbf{C}^{2d} | t_i \xi_i = 0, 1 \le i \le d\}$. The variety $\operatorname{ch}(J)$ can be decomposed as follows,

$$\bigcup_{J \subset \{1,\dots,d\}} \{(t,\xi) | t_i = 0, \xi_j = 0, i \in J, j \notin J\}.$$

Since the Krull dimension of the each component is d, we have $\dim(\operatorname{ch}(J)) = d$. The ideal J is holonomic.

The function g(x, y) in (3) can be written as $g(x, y) = \langle \mathbf{1}_E(t), \exp(h(x, y, t)) \rangle$ This is a case of theorem 5 in which the distribution f is $\mathbf{1}_E$. A holonomic ideal which annihilates $\mathbf{1}_E$ is given in lemma 6. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 7. Differential operators

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{d} x_{ik}\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_k} + y_i\partial_{y_i} + 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, d, \quad x_{ij} = x_{ji})$$

$$(26)$$

$$\partial_{x_{ij}} - 2\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j} \quad (1 \le i < j \le d) \tag{27}$$

$$\partial_{x_{ii}} - \partial_{y_i}^2 \quad (1 \le i \le d) \tag{28}$$

annihilate the function g(x,y) in (3), and generate a holonomic ideal I in the ring D_{xy} .

3.2 Differential recursion formula

Next, we give a Pfaffian system associated with (3). In order to write the Pfaffian system, we define new notations. For $J \subset [d]$, We put

$$h_J(x, y, x) = \sum_{i \in J} \sum_{j \in J} x_{ij} t_i t_j + \sum_{k \in J} y_k t_k,$$
 (29)

$$g_J(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty \exp(h_J(x,y,t)) dx_J.$$
 (30)

Here, [d] is the set $\{1,\ldots,d\}$ and $dt_J=\prod_{j\in J}dt_j$. When the set J is empty, we set $g_\emptyset=1$.

Example 8. when d = 2, the functions are written as follows.

$$g_{\{1,2\}}(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \exp\left(+t_1^2x_{11} + 2t_1t_2x_{12} + t_2^2x_{22} + y_1t_1 + y_2t_2\right) dt_1 dt_2$$

$$= g(x,y)$$

$$g_{\{1\}}(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \exp\left(t_1^2x_{11} + y_1t_1\right) dt_1$$

$$g_{\{2\}}(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \exp\left(t_2^2x_{22} + y_2t_2\right) dt_2$$

$$g_{\emptyset} = 1$$

Let J be a subset of [d], and put

$$Q_j = -\left(y_j + 2\sum_{k=1}^d x_{jk}\partial_{y_k}\right) \quad (j = 1, \dots, d),$$
 (31)

$$Q_{j,J} = -\left(y_j + 2\sum_{k \in J} x_{jk} \partial_{y_k}\right) \quad (j \in J). \tag{32}$$

Lemma 9. The following equation holds.

$$Q_j g_J = Q_{j,J} g_J = g_{J \setminus \{j\}} \quad (j \in J). \tag{33}$$

Proof. Since g_J is constant with respect to $\ell \notin J$, we have $Q_j g_J = Q_{j,J} g_J$. We assume that J = [d] without loss of generalities. Applying Q_j the integrand of $g_{[d]}$, we have

$$Q_{j}g_{[d]} = -\left(y_{j} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{d} x_{jk}\partial_{y_{k}}\right) \exp\left(h(x, y, t)\right)$$
$$= -\left(y_{j} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{d} x_{jk}t_{k}\right) \exp\left(h(x, y, t)\right)$$
$$= -\partial_{t_{j}} \exp\left(h(x, y, t)\right)$$

Integrating both side of the equation from 0 to ∞ with respect to t_j , we have $\int_0^\infty Q_j g_{[d]} dt_j = \exp\left(h_{[d]\setminus\{j\}}(x,y,t)\right)$. Integrating both side of the equation with respect to the rest variables, we have the equation (33).

Remark. By the lemma 9, we have $Q_iQ_jg = g_{[d]\setminus\{i,j\}}$ for $i \neq j$ When the vector y equals to zero, this equation can be written as

$$\left(2x_{ij} + 4\sum_{k=1}^{d} x_{ik}x_{jk}\partial_{x_{kk}} + 2\sum_{1 \le k \ne \ell \le d} x_{ik}x_{j\ell}\partial_{x_{k\ell}}\right)g = g_{[d]\setminus\{i,j\}}.$$
(34)

By the transformation of parameters with $\Sigma = (\sigma_{k\ell}) = -\frac{1}{2}x^{-1}$, we have $\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_{k\ell}^J} (|\sigma|^{-1/2}g) = |\sigma|^{-1/2}g_{[d]\setminus\{i,j\}}$. This equation corresponds to the classical Schaläfli's formula.

For
$$J = \{j_1, \dots, j_s\} \subset [d], (j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_s), \text{ we put}$$

$$x_J = (x_{j_k j_\ell})_{1 \le k, \ell \le s}, \quad y_J = (y_{j_1}, \dots, y_{j_s})^T$$

$$\Sigma_J = -\frac{1}{2} x_J^{-1} = (\sigma_{ij}^J), \quad \mu_J = \Sigma_J y_J = (\mu_{j_1}^J, \dots, \mu_{j_s}^J).$$

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 10. The following relations hold for any $J \subset [d]$.

$$\partial_{y_i} g_J = \begin{cases} \mu_i^J g_J + \sum_{j \in J} \sigma_{ij}^J g_{J \setminus \{j\}} & i \in J \\ 0 & i \notin J \end{cases}$$
 (35)

$$\partial_{x_{ij}}g_{J} = \begin{cases} 2\partial_{y_{i}}\partial_{y_{j}}g_{J} & \{i,j\} \subset J, i < j \\ \partial_{y_{i}}^{2}g_{J} & \{i\} \subset J, i = j \\ 0 & else. \end{cases}$$

$$(36)$$

Proof. When $i \notin J$, we have $\partial_{y_i} g_J = 0$ since the g_J is constant with respect to y_i . When $i \in J$, by lemma 9, we have

$$\mu_i^J g_J + \sum_{j \in J} \sigma_{ij}^J g_{J \setminus \{j\}} = \mu_i^J g_J - \sum_{j \in J} \sigma_{ij}^J \left(y_j^J + 2 \sum_{k \in J} x_{jk}^J \partial_{y_k} \right) g_J$$
$$= \left(\mu_i^J - \sum_{j \in J} \sigma_{ij}^J y_j^J - 2 \sum_{k,j \in J} \sigma_{ij}^J x_{jk}^J \partial_{y_k} \right) g_J.$$

We have $\sum_{j\in J} \sigma_{ij}^J y_j^J = \mu_i^J$ by the relation $\mu^J = \Sigma^J y^J$. And we have

$$-2\sum_{k,j\in J}\sigma_{ij}^J x_{jk}^J \partial_{y_k} = \sum_{k\in J}\delta_{ik}\partial_{y_k} = \partial_{y_i}$$

since $-2\Sigma^J x^J$ is the identity matrix of size |J|. Hence, the right hand side of (35) equals to $\partial_{y_i} g_J$

When $\{i,j\} \not\subset J$, we have $\partial_{x_{ij}}g_J = 0$ since the g_J is constant with respect to x_{ij} . When $\{i,j\} \subset J$, we have

$$\partial_{x_{i,j}} \exp (h_J(x,y,t)) = (2 - \delta_{ij}) \partial_{y_i} \partial_{y_j} \exp (h_J(x,y,t)).$$

Integrating both side and apply the Fubini's theorem, we have the relation (36)

Remark. The set of the singular points of the Pfaffian (35), (36) is

$$\{(x,y)|-x \text{ is positive definite }\}$$
 (37)

3.3 Pfaffian system and Holonomic rank

In this section, we give the holonomic rank of the ideal I generated by (26) - (28), and show that equations (35) and (36) give the integrable connection.

In the first, we give an lower bound of the holonomic rank. We can get an lower bound of the holonomic rank by constructing linear independent functions annihilated by I.

Lemma 11. The holonomic rank of the ideal I in the theorem 7 is not less than 2^d , i.e., rank $I \geq 2^d$.

Proof. For a vector $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_d) \in \{\pm 1\}^d$, let E_{ε} be a set

$$\{t=(t_1,\ldots,t_d)\in\mathbf{R}^d|\varepsilon_it_i>0\ (i=1,\ldots,d)\}.$$

It is enough to show that the following 2^d functions are linear independent and annihilated by I;

$$g_{\varepsilon}(x,y) = \int_{E_{\varepsilon}} \exp(h(x,y,t)) dt.$$

By the analogous way in the proof of the lemma 6, we can show that the indicator function of E_{ε} is annihilated by the differential operators in (25) for any $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^d$. Analogously to the proof of the theorem 5, we can show that the differential operators (26) – (28) annihilate $g_{\varepsilon}(x,y)$.

Let c_{ε} be a real number for $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^d$, and suppose $\sum_{\varepsilon} c_{\varepsilon} g_{\varepsilon} = 0$. Multiplying $\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}|\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\mu^t \Sigma^{-1}\mu)$ both sides of the equation, we have

$$\sum_{\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^d} c_{\varepsilon} \mathbf{P}(E_{\varepsilon} | \mu, \Sigma) = 0.$$

Here, $\mathbf{P}(E_{\varepsilon}|\mu,\Sigma)$ is the probability of the event E_{ε} with the multivariate normal distribution $N(\mu,\Sigma)$. Substituting $\mu = t\varepsilon, (\varepsilon \in \{1,-1\}^d)$ and taking a limit $t \to +\infty$, we have

$$c_{\varepsilon} = 0$$

Hence, the functions $g_{\varepsilon}(x,y)$ are linearly independent.

In order to get an upper bound of the holonomic rank of I, we construct bases of R/RI as a linear space over $\mathbf{C}(x,y)$. The bases correspond to the functions g_J .

For $J \subset [d]$, we put a differential operator

$$P_J = \prod_{j' \in [d] \setminus J} Q_{j'}. \tag{38}$$

Here, Q_j is the differential operator in (31). Note that the differential operators Q_j are commute each other. By lemma 9, we have

$$P_J g = g_J. (39)$$

The equation (39) means that the differential operator P_J corresponds to the function g_J .

Example 12. When d = 2 and $J = \emptyset$, the equation (39) is written as follows.

$$(y_1 + 2x_{11}\partial_{y_1} + 2x_{12}\partial_{y_2}) (y_2 + 2x_{21}\partial_{y_1} + 2x_{22}\partial_{y_2}) g_{\{1,2\}} = 1.$$

Since the differential operator Q_j is commute with $\partial_{x_{ij}} - 2\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j}$ $(1 \le i < j \le d)$ and $\partial_{x_{ii}} - \partial_{y_i}^2$ $(1 \le i \le d)$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 13. The following formulas hold in R/RI.

$$\partial_{x_{ij}} P_J = 2\partial_{y_i} \partial_{y_i} P_J \quad (1 \le i < j \le d, J \subset [d]) \tag{40}$$

$$\partial_{x_{ii}} P_J = \partial_{y_i}^2 P_J \quad (1 \le i \le d, J \subset [d]) \tag{41}$$

Proof. For $1 \le i < j \le d$ and $1 \le k \le d$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial_{x_{ij}}Q_k & = & -\partial_{x_{ij}}\left(y_k+2\sum_{\ell=1}^d x_{k\ell}\partial_{y_\ell}\right) \\ & = & Q_k\partial_{x_{ij}}-2\delta_{ik}\partial_{y_j}-2\delta_{jk}\partial_{y_i} \\ \\ 2\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j}Q_k & = & -2\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j}\left(y_k+2\sum_{\ell=1}^d x_{k\ell}\partial_{y_\ell}\right) \\ & = & Q_k2\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j}-2\delta_{ik}\partial_{y_j}-2\delta_{jk}\partial_{y_i}. \end{array}$$

For $1 \le i \le d$ and $1 \le k \le d$, we have

$$\partial_{x_{ii}} Q_k = -\partial_{x_{ii}} \left(y_k + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^d x_{k\ell} \partial_{y_\ell} \right)$$

$$= Q_k \partial_{x_{ii}} - 2\delta_{ik} \partial_{y_i}$$

$$\partial_{y_i}^2 Q_k = -\partial_{y_i}^2 \left(y_k + 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^d x_{k\ell} \partial_{y_\ell} \right)$$

$$= Q_k \partial_{y_i}^2 - 2\delta_{ik} \partial_{y_i}.$$

Therefore, the differential operator Q_j is commute with $\partial_{x_{ij}} - 2\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j}$ $(1 \le i < j \le d)$ and $\partial_{x_{ii}} - \partial_{y_i}^2$ $(1 \le i \le d)$. We have

$$\partial_{x_{ij}} - 2\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j}P_J = P_J\partial_{x_{ij}} - 2\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j} = 0.$$

Analogously, we have $\partial_{x_{ii}} - \partial_{y_i}^2 P_J = 0$.

The following lemma corresponds to the lemma 9.

Lemma 14. With the same notations in the lemma 9, The equation

$$Q_j P_J = Q_{j,J} P_J = P_{J \setminus \{j\}} \quad (j \in J, J \subset [d]).$$
 (42)

holds in R/RI.

Proof. By definition of P_J , it is clear that $Q_j P_J = P_{J \setminus \{j\}}$. Since $\partial_{y_\ell} Q_\ell \in I$, $\partial_\ell P_J$ is in I if $\ell \notin J$. Hence, we have

$$Q_j P_J = -\left(y_j + 2\sum_{k=1}^d x_{jk} \partial_{y_k}\right) \prod_{\ell \in [d] \setminus J} Q_\ell = Q_{j,J} P_J.$$

Note that Q_j and ∂_{y_k} are commute if $j \neq k$.

Theorem 15. The following relations hold in R/RI for any $J \subset [d]$.

$$\partial_{y_i} P_J \equiv \begin{cases} \mu_i^J P_J + \sum_{j \in J} \sigma_{ij}^J P_{J \setminus \{j\}} & i \in J \\ 0 & i \notin J \end{cases}$$
 (43)

$$\partial_{y_{i}}P_{J} \equiv \begin{cases} \mu_{i}^{J}P_{J} + \sum_{j \in J} \sigma_{ij}^{J}P_{J \setminus \{j\}} & i \in J \\ 0 & i \notin J \end{cases}$$

$$\partial_{x_{ij}}P_{J} \equiv \begin{cases} 2\partial_{y_{i}}\partial_{y_{j}}P_{J} & \{i,j\} \subset J, i < j \\ \partial_{y_{i}}^{2}P_{J} & \{i\} \subset J, i = j \\ 0 & else. \end{cases}$$

$$(43)$$

Proof. When $i \in J$, we can show the equation (43) by analogous calculation in the proof of (35). When $i \notin J$, the equation (43) is shown in the proof of the lemma 14.

By lemma 13, we have the equation
$$(44)$$
.

Corollary 16. The set of the differential operators $P_J(J \subset [d])$ in (38) spans the quotient space R/RI as a vector space over $\mathbf{C}(x,y)$.

Theorem 17.

rank
$$I = 2^d$$

Numerical experiments 4

The updating step of the holonomic gradient method was implemented using the relations (35), (36) for a general dimension. When y=0 and x is diagonal, the integral $g_J(x,y)$ can be written as

$$g^{J}(x,y) = \prod_{j \in J} \left(-\frac{\pi}{4} x_{jj} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{45}$$

We use the value (45) for initial values.

The accuracy of the holonomic gradient method can be checked by looking at the summation $\sum_{\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^d} \mathbf{P}(X \in E_{\varepsilon}) = 1$. The table 1 shows errors $|1 - \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}^d} \mathbf{P}(X \in E_{\varepsilon})|$ for sample data.

For a correlation matrix $R = \{\rho_{ij}\}$ with $\rho_{ij} = \rho, i \neq j$, the orthant probability can be written by a one-dimensional integral. The table 2 shows the values of the orthant probability calculated by the one-dimensional integral and HGM for certain values of ρ . The differences of the result of HGM and the one-dimensional integral are less than 10^{-06} .

References

[1] H. Nakayama, K. Nishiyama, M. Noro, K. Ohara, T. Sei, N. Takayama, A. Takemura: Holonomic Gradient Descent and its Application to the Fisher-Bingham Integral, Advances in Applied Mathematics, 2011

Table 1: Errors

No.	dim	error
1	2	1.760124e-08
2	3	5.473549e-08
3	4	3.373671e-08
4	5	2.265284e-09
5	6	1.120033e-08
6	7	7.330036e-09
7	8	8.705609e-09
8	9	2.288549e-09
9	10	5.024879e-10

Table 2: Comparing of one-dimensional integral

rho	dunnett	HGM	error
0.0	0.00097656249807343551	0.000976562500000	1.926564e-12
0.1	0.0065864743711607976	0.006586475124405	7.532442e-10
0.25	0.026603192349344017	0.026603192572268	2.229240e-10
0.5	0.090909089922689604	0.090909086078297	3.844393e-09

- [2] T. Koyama, H. Nakayama, K. Nishiyama, N. Takayama, Holonomic Gradient Descent for the Fisher-Bingham Distribution on the *n*-dimensional Sphere, arXiv1201.3239, 2012
- [3] Hiroki Hashiguchi, Yasuhide Numata, Nobuki Takayama, Akimichi Takemura, Holonomic gradient method for the distribution function of the largest root of a Wishart matrix, arXiv:1201.0472
- [4] Koyama, T., A Holonomic Ideal Annihilating the Fisher-Bingham Integral, http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.1411
- [5] Genz, A.(1992), Numerical computation of multivariate normal probabilities. Journal of Computational Graphical Statistics, 1, 141-150.
- [6] Genz, A. and Bretz, F.(1999), Numerical computation of multivariate t-probabilities with application to power calculation of multiple contrasts. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation 63, 361-378.
- [7] Miwa, A., Hayter J. and Kuriki, S. (2003). The evaluation of general non-centered orthant probabilities. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Ser.B, 65, 223-234.

- [8] Schläfli,L.(1858). On the multiple integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy \dots dz$ whose limits are $p_1 = a_1 x + b_1 y + \dots + b_1 z > 0$, $p_2 > 0, \dots, p_n > 0$ and $x^2 + y^2 + \dots + z^2 < 1$. Quart.J.Pure Appl. Math.2,269-301;3, 54-68, 97-108.
- [9] R.L.Plackett, A Reduction Formula for Normal Multivariate Integrals, Biometrika, Vol. 41, No.3/4(Dec., 1954), pp.351-360
- [10] H.I.Gassmann, Multivariate Normal Probabilities: Implementing an Old Idea of Plackett's, Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, Vol.12, No.3(Sep., 2003), pp. 731-752
- [11] K.Aomoto, Analytic structure of schläfli function, Nagoya Math.J., Vol.68(1977),1-16
- [12] K.Nishiyama, N.Takayama, Incomplete A-Hypergeometric Systems, Harmony of gröbner Bases and the Modern Industrial Society,pp.193–212,2012
- [13] J.E. Björk: Rings of differential operators. North-Holland, New York, 1979
- [14] D. Cox, J. Little, D. O'Shea: Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms, Springer, 1992.
- [15] T. Oaku: Computation of the characteristic variety and the singular locus of a system of differential equations with polynomial coefficients, Japan Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics 11 (1994),485-497.
- [16] T. Oaku: Algorithms for integrals of holonomic functions over domains defined by polynomial inequalities. arXiv:1108.4853v1
- [17] T. Oaku, Y. Shiraki, N. Takayama: Algorithms for D-modules and Numerical Analysis, Z.M.Li, W.Sit(editors), Computer Mathematics, World scientific, 2003, 23-39.
- [18] L.Schwartz, Théorie des distributions, 1966