

A short review and primer on electroencephalography in human computer interaction applications

Lauri Ahonen¹ and Benjamin Cowley^{1,2}

¹ Quantitative Employee unit, Finnish Institute of Occupational Health,
benjamin.cowley@ttl.fi,
POBox 40, Helsinki, 00250, Finland

² Cognitive Brain Research Unit, Institute of Behavioural Sciences, University of
Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Abstract. The application of psychophysiology in human-computer interaction is a growing field with significant potential for future smart personalised systems. Working in this emerging field requires comprehension of an array of physiological signals and analysis techniques.

Methods to study central nervous system (CNS) are usually expensive and laborious. However, electroencephalography (EEG) is one of the most affordable and ambulatory methodologies for CNS research. It is in use in various clinical studies and have been broadly studied over decades. Despite that the recorded EEG signals are quite prone to noise and environmental factors it is the most widely used method in study of brain-computer interaction (BCI). Here we discuss briefly on various aspects of the recorded signals, their interpretation, and usage in the field of interaction studies.

This paper aims to serve as a primer for the novice, enabling rapid familiarisation with the latest core concepts. We put special emphasis on everyday human-computer interface applications to distinguish from the more common clinical or sports uses of psychophysiology.

This paper is an extract from a comprehensive review of the entire field of ambulatory psychophysiology, including 12 similar chapters, plus application guidelines and systematic review. Thus any citation should be made using the following reference:

B. Cowley, M. Filetti, K. Lukander, J. Torniaainen, A. Henelius, L. Ahonen, O. Barral, I. Kosunen, T. Valtonen, M. Huotilainen, N. Ravaja, G. Jacucci. *The Psychophysiology Primer: a guide to methods and a broad review with a focus on human-computer interaction*. Foundations and Trends in Human-Computer Interaction, vol. 9, no. 3-4, pp. 150–307, 2016.

Keywords: electrodermal activity, psychophysiology, human-computer interaction, primer, review

1 Background

Recording electrical brain activity is useful in studies of human cognition. One of the techniques available, electroencephalography (EEG), provides this measurement in an affordable and non-invasive way. In addition, when compared to other functional brain activation recording techniques, it is relatively easy to set up, mobile, and suitable for recording outside a laboratory setting. The technique measures the summated activity in local populations of oriented neurons. This synchronous activation often elicits rhythmic oscillations with distinct frequencies. The subsequent volume conduction of these oscillations can be detected at the scalp. In the strictest terms, a neural oscillation is defined as an increase – i.e., a spectral peak – in the power of the EEG signal in a specific frequency band [Lopes da Silva, 2013]. Therefore, although the word ‘oscillation’ is used rather loosely in the context of EEG, a peak in the power spectrum should be present when one is seeking to identify an oscillation. A mere increase in power over a wider frequency domain does not constitute an oscillation in the frequency band of interest, however; on account of the weakness of neural currents, environmental electrical fields can cause significant interference in the signals measured, even for frequencies with detectable peaks. This section of the paper presents the possibilities that EEG affords for assessing cognitive and affective states in individuals. Here, we consider continuous EEG signals. Continuous signals encompass all EEG measurements of interest for our purposes apart from the event-related potentials (ERPs) that are discussed in Cowley et al. [2016]

Table 1: One explanation for the functions in which various oscillatory systems are involved

Band	Origin	Properties
δ	Thalamus	In the study of event-related potentials (see Article [Cowley et al., 2016] for details), the P300 is a delta- and theta-band-related component [Başar et al., 2001]. P300s are linked to attention and focus [van Dinteren et al., 2014]. Delta waves are seen mainly in deep sleep [Knyazev, 2012].
θ	Hippocampus	Frontal theta rhythms are considered to be ‘limbic fingerprints’ on cortices [Lopes da Silva, 1992]. Gruber and Müller [2006] suggests that there is familiarity-dependency in theta oscillations.
α	Thalamus	Among the strongest oscillations seen in EEG signals, this carries information on working memory, alertness, and ability to focus [Noonan et al., 2016]. It is characteristic of a relaxed but alert state of wakefulness. The α amplitude increases when the eyes are closed.
μ -rhythm	Parietal lobe	Sensimotor rhythm, also known as μ -rhythm, whose frequency range covers the α band and parts of the β band. It is associated with maintaining or witnessing physical stillness [Tiihonen et al., 1989].
β	Parietal lobe	Low-frequency β waves are often linked to busy thinking / anxiousness and active concentration. Furthermore, β activity is related to the salience of a given stimulus and variance across emotionally charged tasks [Ray and Cole, 1985].
γ	Hippocampus	This band is linked to memory processes, problem-solving, fear, and consciousness [Merker, 2013].

2 Background

The oscillatory analysis of an EEG signal consists of using spatial-, temporal-, and frequency-domain information for analysis of the volume conducted – i.e., electrical currents outside neurons’ axons (explained in more detail in the next paragraph). These currents are measured as voltage differences between electrodes distributed over the scalp. Work with the frequency domain was the historical foundation of EEG analysis. Ever since the discovery of alpha waves, by Berger [1929], EEG has been considered a mixture of signals, with different frequencies, a perspective that has had strong implications for EEG analysis. Originally, four major types of sinusoidal EEG waves were recognised. These rhythms are presented, among other standard brain rhythms, in Table 1. In addition to temporal and frequency-domain features, one can analyse higher-order features such as synchrony and spatial distributions in EEG signals.

All non-invasive techniques employed for brain state evaluation require highly synchronised activity across neighbouring neuronal populations that results in signals measurable outside the scalp. The oscillations measurable on the surface of the scalp are rhythmic patterns caused by simultaneous pyramidal neuron action potentials in the area of interest. These patterns manifest as amplitude modulations via event-related synchronisation (ERS) or event-related desynchronisation (ERD) [Pfurtscheller and Lopes da Silva, 1999, Güntekin and Başar, 2014, Horschig et al., 2014]. Figure 1 illustrates this phenomenon. In a concrete example, imagining a movement of the left hand leads to a contralateral ERD in the motor cortex (the right motor cortex for a movement of the left hand) in the μ and β bands during imagining of the movement and to an ERS in the β band (sometimes termed β rebound) just after the imagined movement ends [Pfurtscheller and Lopes da Silva, 1999].

One example of application is brain–computer interfaces (BCIs) [Vidal, 1973] utilising information provided by various frequency bands in EEG signals. A basic design for a motor-imagery BCI – i.e., a set-up in which a computer is controlled via imagined movements – would also exploit the spatial information by extracting features only from EEG channels localised over the motor areas of the brain, typically the channels C3 (lateral parietal) for the right hand’s movements, Cz (medial parietal) for foot movements, and C4 (opposite lateral) for movements of the left hand. The frequency bands extracted in motor-imagery design are EEG-MU (referred to as ‘motoric μ ’ herein) (8–12 Hz) and EEG-BETA (referred to as ‘ β ’ herein) (16–24 Hz). The design focuses on temporal information in event-dependent amplitude variations in these features (spatial and spectral extractions). Some generalisations pertaining to frequency-domain information that are used in experiment designs of various types are listed in Table 1.

Finding a correlation between EEG features and mental states is far from a simple task, and caution should be employed in generalisation from the examples presented below. They are descriptions of results from single studies and previous reviews. Researchers utilise diverse techniques to extract features from the measured signals. In addition to the features introduced above, these techniques




Fig. 1. Illustration of the volume conduction of neuronal firings recorded by EEG. Physically aligned and synchronously firing neuron populations (shown superimposed on the grey arrow) induce an electrical field (represented by concentric rings). This field propagates through the layers of dura, skull, and dermis (shown as horizontal lines) and can be measured at the scalp by means of distributed electrodes. Electrical fields that are strong enough to be measured are registered as a potential difference between distinct parts of the scalp, hence the need for a reference electrode.

include statistical connectivity measures, complexity measures for evaluating the predictability of EEG signal features, and chaos-theory-inspired measures that consider signals' fractal dimensions. 'Connectivity' denotes interplay of the spatially or spectrally separated signals. It is examined by assessment of basic correlation or, in more detail, by means of causality analysis (e.g., common spatial pattern (CSP) analysis), a direct transfer function (DTF), or similar techniques.

With ERD variations used as a feature, angry expressions elicit stronger beta and gamma oscillatory responses than happy and neutral expressions do when subjects view emotion-expressing faces [Güntekin and Başar, 2007, Keil et al., 2001]. In addition, higher-power parietal beta activity has been found with emotionally loaded stimuli in comparison with non-emotional examples [Güntekin and Başar, 2010]. The utility of simple power-based analysis techniques is limited and prone to session-to-session variations, inter-subject differences, and measurement inaccuracy. Analysing more than one EEG parameter improves the reliability of classification in respect of distinct brain functions and mental states. Results have been inconsistent between studies examining EEG band power changes elicited by emotional stimuli; hence, there is a need for in-depth analysis for all oscillatory responses, including phase-locked activity, evoked and/or induced power, time-frequency compositions, and connectivity measures. In ad-

dition, brain waves of various types are confounded by, for example, microsaccade potentials [Lopes da Silva, 2013].

According to Güntekin and Başar [2014], future studies should examine the synchronisation of alpha oscillatory responses when subjects are presented with an emotional stimulus, to reveal the dynamics of alpha activity in full. At the same time, it must be remembered that differences in age, gender, and phenotype greatly affect EEG dynamics, and that oscillatory systems are equally important in cognitive functions. For example, alpha oscillations in the occipital areas are directly linked to cognitive performance, showing a linear relationship with attentional processes in cognitive tasks. These findings suggest that human cognition is modulated by the phase of α oscillations [Ergenoglu et al., 2004, de Graaf et al., 2013]. Further studies support this claim, implying that even though this robust brain wave is linked to inhibitory processes, it does not represent an ‘idling’ rhythm of the brain but, rather, expresses ‘windows of excitability’ [Dugué et al., 2011].

3 Methods

Instrumentation EEG devices take many forms, from consumer-grade one-channel ‘game controllers’ to MRI-compatible medical instruments. These devices vary greatly, even at medical grade, in both their quality and properties such as number of channels, electrode type, and amplification techniques. For field experiments, EEG instrument development has produced a range of ambulatory research-grade devices. These are portable, utilise the latest innovations in electrode development, and measure a reasonable quantity of channels while remaining relatively easy to set up and use. At the time of writing, these include the LiveAmp system, from BrainProducts GmbH (Munich, Germany)³; the Quasar DSI 10/20, from Quasar, Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA)⁴; Enobio, by Neuroelectronics SLU (Barcelona, Spain)⁵; and g.MOBILab+, from g.tec medical engineering GmbH (Schiedlberg, Austria)⁶.

Processing There are many ways to compute band power features from EEG signals [Herman et al., 2008]. The canonical process flow for a basic temporal analysis of EEG data is as follows: Raw EEG → Preprocessing → Feature Extraction → (Feature Selection) → Classification (feature selection is an optional step used in subject-specified analysis).

A simple, popular, and efficient method is to band-pass filter the EEG signal from a given channel into the frequency band of interest, then square the resulting signal to compute the signal power and finally average it over time (e.g., over a time window of 1 s).

³ See <http://pressrelease.brainproducts.com/liveamp/>.

⁴ See http://www.quasarusa.com/products_dsi.htm.

⁵ See <http://www.neuroelectronics.com/products/enobio/>.

⁶ See <http://www.gtec.at/Products/Hardware-and-Accessories/g.MOBILab-Specs-Features>.

Using more channels means extracting more features, which, in turn, increases the dimensionality of the data. High dimensionality leads to problems with classification and other mathematical analysis techniques. Accordingly, adding channels may even decrease performance if too few training data are available. Three main approaches can be applied in practice to exploit multiple EEG channels efficiently, all of which contribute to reducing dimensionality:

- **Feature selection algorithms** automatically select a subset of relevant features from among all the features extracted. These algorithms utilise machine learning techniques to perform the selection.
- **Channel selection algorithms** are similar to feature selection methods. They utilise mathematical routines for automatic selection of a subset of relevant channels from all available channels.
- **Spatial filtering algorithms** combine several channels into a single one, generally using weighted linear combinations. Features are extracted from the synthesised signal. Another kind of fixed spatial filter [Baillet et al., 2001] is represented by an inverse solution: an algorithm that enables one to estimate signals originating from sources within the brain on the basis of measurements taken at the scalp.

Alternatives for EEG feature representations can be divided into the following four categories: temporal, connectivity-, complexity-, and chaos-theory-related methods. Each class of methods extracts distinct attributes from EEG signals.

Temporal representations Temporal features quantify how signals vary over time. In contrast to the more basic features used for ERP, which consist simply of EEG amplitude samples over a short time window, some measures have been developed for characterising and quantifying variations in the signals measured. The corresponding features include Hjorth parameters [Hjorth, 1970] and time-domain parameters (TDPs) [Vidaurre et al., 2009]. Some research results have even suggested that TDPs could be more efficient than the gold-standard band power features [Vidaurre et al., 2009].

Connectivity measures Connectivity measures indicate how signals from two channels (or signals from two anatomical locations, obtained, for instance, via spatial filtering) are correlated and synchronised, or even whether one signal influences another one. In other words, connectivity features measure how the signals of two locations in spatial or spectral space are related. This is particularly useful for BCIs and mental state assessment, since it is known that, in the brain, there are long-distance communications between distant areas [Varela et al., 2001] and that the individual frequency bands are interconnected [Palva et al., 2005]. Therefore, connectivity features are put to increasing use in neuroscience and seem to be a very valuable complement to what are classed as more ‘traditional’ features. Among connectivity features are coherence, phase-locking values, and the directed transfer function (DFT) [Varela et al., 2001, Caramia et al., 2014, Krusienski et al., 2012].

Complexity measures Complexity measures are used for ascertaining the complexity in EEG signals. The class of complexity measures quantify regularity or predictability of a signal. This has been shown to provide information about the cognitive state of a subject and, additionally, to provide information complementary to classical features such as band power features. Some of the features in this category are approximate entropy, a measurement adapted from anaesthesiology [Klockars et al., 2006]; predictive complexity [Brodu et al., 2012]; and waveform length [Lotte, 2012].

Chaos-theory-inspired measures The fourth category of features consists of chaos-related measures, used to estimate how chaotic an EEG signal is or which chaotic properties it might possess. Among the examples one could cite of corresponding features are the fractal dimension [Wang and Sourina, 2013] and multi-fractal cumulants [Brodu et al., 2012].

4 Applications

The complexity of human cognition does not map well to simplicity of analyses for low-spatial-accuracy signals such as EEG output, especially when the features extracted are rather simplified, as in the case of the five established frequency bands (EEG-DELTA, EEG-THETA, EEG-ALPHA, EEG-BETA, and EEG-GAMMA, referred to as δ , θ , α , β , and γ). With such a small amount of information, it is obvious that direct mapping between cognitive processes and EEG oscillations is not realistic. That said, it is likely that EEG oscillations contribute to different cognitive functions, depending on their parameters (amplitude, frequency, phase, and coherence) and their spatial location within the brain. The related functions, together with corresponding references, are listed in Table 1 and summarised below:

- δ : Attention and functional inhibition of executive functions [Harmony, 2013]
- θ : Hippocampal communications and the functional inhibition of executive functions [Colgin, 2013]
- α : Pacemaker, timing and suppressing attention [Başar, 2012]
- β : Integrating aspects of motor and cognitive processes and affection [Kilavik et al., 2013]
- γ : Conscious perception, updating of active memory, etc. [Merker, 2013]

Besides the functional linkage – i.e., the causality between distant cortex areas – the interplay between frequency bands has received renewed attention. For instance, one hypothesis suggests that the capacity of working memory is represented by the ratio of brain activity involved at the theta and gamma frequencies [Lisman and Idiart, 1995]. The nesting behaviour in different EEG oscillation bands itself was found long ago by Berger [1929]. More recently, the power of alpha waves has been demonstrated to modulate gamma oscillations, while it has been noted in addition that cross-frequency phase synchrony among α , β , and γ is related to cognitive functions [Palva et al., 2005]. It has been shown

also that dysfunctions in these interplays are linked to disorders such as autism [Khan et al., 2013]. For a review on cross-frequency couplings, see Canolty and Knight [2010] or Herrmann et al. [2015].

In general, the information on cognitive functions conveyed by EEG signals has been found significant in more recent studies. For instance, the EEG traces of attention, motivation, and vigilance can be utilised in the context of learning through analysis of α power balance on the cortices. Besides the α frequency range, there is evidence of a δ power linkage on learning curves in ‘complex video-game’ environments [Mathewson et al., 2012].

5 Conclusion

These more sophisticated analysis methods render it possible to gain information supplementary to, for instance, basic band power features, and they may increase classification accuracy in certain conditions.

The sophisticated methods presented here provide information that can be complementary to classical EEG analysis and thereby improve, for example, the classification performance of various machine learning algorithms [Lotte, 2014].

The EEG signal processing methods presented here can be of great assistance in evaluating subject cognition, affection, and mental state. At this juncture, we must reiterate that classifying EEG signals is a tremendously complex task, on account of the non-stationary conditions, high dimensionality, artefacts, and the limited nature of the learning data. Current methods are far from perfect, but research is lively; for instance, methods are becoming more robust to noise, artefacts due to movement, session-to-session variations, etcetera. In turn, these advances are expected to lead towards more standardised analysis techniques for assessing human cognition. It is conceivable that they may even ultimately enable fully functional Brain-Computer Interfacing.

Bibliography

- S. Baillet, J.C. Mosher, and R.M. Leahy. Electromagnetic brain mapping. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 18(6):14–30, Nov. 2001. ISSN 1053-5888.
- Erol Başar. A review of alpha activity in integrative brain function: Fundamental physiology, sensory coding, cognition and pathology. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 86(1):1–24, Oct. 2012. ISSN 0167-8760.
- Erol Başar, Canan Başar-Eroglu, Sirel Karakaş, and Martin Schürmann. Gamma, alpha, delta, and theta oscillations govern cognitive processes. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 39(2–3):241–248, Jan. 2001. ISSN 0167-8760.
- Hans Berger. Über das Elektrenkephalogramm des Menschen. *Archiv für Psychiatrie und Nervenkrankheiten*, 87(1):527–570, Dec. 1929. ISSN 0003-9373, 1433-8491.
- Nicolas Brodu, Fabien Lotte, and Anatole Lécuyer. Exploring two novel features for EEG-based brain–computer interfaces: Multifractal cumulants and predictive complexity. *Neurocomputing*, 79:87–94, Mar. 2012. ISSN 0925-2312.
- Ryan T. Canolty and Robert T. Knight. The functional role of cross-frequency coupling. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 14(11):506–515, Nov. 2010. ISSN 1364-6613.
- N. Caramia, F. Lotte, and S. Ramat. Optimizing spatial filter pairs for EEG classification based on phase-synchronization. In *2014 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 2049–2053, May 2014.
- Laura Lee Colgin. Mechanisms and Functions of Theta Rhythms. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 36(1):295–312, 2013.
- Benjamin Cowley, Marco Filetti, Kristian Lukander, Jari Torniaainen, Andreas Henelius, Lauri Ahonen, Oswald Barral, Ilkka Kosunen, Teppo Valtonen, Minna Huotilainen, Niklas Ravaja, and Giulio Jaccuci. The Psychophysiology Primer: a guide to methods and a broad review with a focus on human computer interaction. *Foundations and Trends in HCI*, 9(3-4):150–307, 2016.
- Tom A. de Graaf, Joachim Gross, Gavin Paterson, Tessa Rusch, Alexander T. Sack, and Gregor Thut. Alpha-Band Rhythms in Visual Task Performance: Phase-Locking by Rhythmic Sensory Stimulation. *PLoS ONE*, 8(3):e60035, Mar. 2013.
- Laura Dugué, Philippe Marque, and Rufin VanRullen. The Phase of Ongoing Oscillations Mediates the Causal Relation between Brain Excitation and Visual Perception. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 31(33):11889–11893, Aug. 2011. ISSN 0270-6474, 1529-2401.
- Tolgay Ergenoglu, Tamer Demiralp, Zubeyir Bayraktaroglu, Mehmet Ergen, Huseyin Beydagi, and Yagiz Uresin. Alpha rhythm of the EEG modulates visual detection performance in humans. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 20(3): 376–383, Aug. 2004. ISSN 0926-6410.

- Thomas Gruber and Matthias M. Müller. Oscillatory brain activity in the human EEG during indirect and direct memory tasks. *Brain Research*, 1097(1):194–204, June 2006. ISSN 0006-8993.
- Bahar Güntekin and Erol Başar. Gender differences influence brain’s beta oscillatory responses in recognition of facial expressions. *Neuroscience Letters*, 424(2):94–99, Sep. 2007. ISSN 0304-3940.
- Bahar Güntekin and Erol Başar. Event-related beta oscillations are affected by emotional eliciting stimuli. *Neuroscience Letters*, 483(3):173–178, Oct. 2010. ISSN 0304-3940.
- Bahar Güntekin and Erol Başar. A review of brain oscillations in perception of faces and emotional pictures. *Neuropsychologia*, 58:33–51, May 2014. ISSN 0028-3932.
- Thalia Harmony. The functional significance of delta oscillations in cognitive processing. *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience*, 7:83, 2013.
- P. Herman, G. Prasad, T.M. McGinnity, and D. Coyle. Comparative analysis of spectral approaches to feature extraction for EEG-based motor imagery classification. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 16(4):317–326, Aug. 2008. ISSN 1534-4320. bibtex: Herman:2008.
- Christoph S. Herrmann, Daniel Strüber, Randolph F. Helfrich, and Andreas K. Engel. EEG oscillations: From correlation to causality. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 2015. ISSN 0167-8760.
- B. Hjorth. EEG analysis based on time domain properties. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, 29(3):306–310, 1970. ISSN 0013-4694.
- Jörn M. Horschig, Johanna M. Zumer, and Ali Bahramisharif. Hypothesis-driven methods to augment human cognition by optimizing cortical oscillations. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, 8:119, 2014.
- Andreas Keil, Matthias M Müller, Thomas Gruber, Christian Wienbruch, Margarita Stolarova, and Thomas Elbert. Effects of emotional arousal in the cerebral hemispheres: A study of oscillatory brain activity and event-related potentials. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 112(11):2057–2068, Nov. 2001. ISSN 1388-2457.
- Sheraz Khan, Alexandre Gramfort, Nandita R. Shetty, Manfred G. Kitzbichler, Santosh Ganesan, Joseph M. Moran, Su Mei Lee, John D. E. Gabrieli, Helen B. Tager-Flusberg, Robert M. Joseph, Martha R. Herbert, Matti S. Hämäläinen, and Tal Kenet. Local and long-range functional connectivity is reduced in concert in autism spectrum disorders. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(8):3107–3112, Feb. 2013. ISSN 0027-8424, 1091-6490.
- Björg Elisabeth Kilavik, Manuel Zaepffel, Andrea Brovelli, William A. MacKay, and Alexa Riehle. The ups and downs of beta oscillations in sensorimotor cortex. *Experimental Neurology*, 245:15–26, July 2013. ISSN 0014-4886.
- Jaakko G. M. Klockars, Arja Hiller, Seppo Ranta, Pia Talja, Mark J. van Gils, and Tomi Taivainen. Spectral Entropy as a Measure of Hypnosis in Children. *The Journal of the American Society of Anesthesiologists*, 104(4):708–717, Apr. 2006. ISSN 0003-3022.
- Gennady G. Knyazev. EEG delta oscillations as a correlate of basic homeostatic and motivational processes. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 36(1): 677–695, Jan. 2012. ISSN 0149-7634.

- Dean J. Krusienski, Dennis J. McFarland, and Jonathan R. Wolpaw. Value of amplitude, phase, and coherence features for a sensorimotor rhythm-based brain–computer interface. *Brain Research Bulletin*, 87(1):130–134, Jan. 2012. ISSN 0361-9230.
- J. E. Lisman and M. A. Idiart. Storage of 7 +/- 2 short-term memories in oscillatory subcycles. *Science (New York, N. Y.)*, 267(5203):1512–1515, Mar. 1995. ISSN 0036-8075.
- Fernando Lopes da Silva. The Rhythmic Slow Activity (Theta) of the Limbic Cortex: An Oscillation in Search of a Function. In Erol Başar and Theodore H. Bullock, editors, *Induced Rhythms in the Brain*, Brain Dynamics, pages 83–102. Birkhäuser Boston, 1992. ISBN 978-1-4757-1283-4 978-1-4757-1281-0.
- Fernando Lopes da Silva. EEG and MEG: Relevance to neuroscience. *Neuron*, 80(5):1112–1128, Dec. 2013. ISSN 0896-6273.
- F. Lotte. A new feature and associated optimal spatial filter for EEG signal classification: Waveform Length. In *2012 21st International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR)*, pages 1302–1305, Nov. 2012.
- Fabien Lotte. A tutorial on EEG signal-processing techniques for mental-state recognition in Brain–Computer Interfaces. In Eduardo Reck Miranda and Julien Castet, editors, *Guide to Brain-Computer Music Interfacing*, pages 133–161. Springer London, 2014. ISBN 978-1-4471-6583-5 978-1-4471-6584-2.
- Kyle E. Mathewson, Chandramallika Basak, Edward L. Maclin, Kathy A. Low, Walter R. Boot, Arthur F. Kramer, Monica Fabiani, and Gabriele Gratton. Different slopes for different folks: Alpha and delta EEG power predict subsequent video game learning rate and improvements in cognitive control tasks. *Psychophysiology*, 49(12):1558–1570, Dec. 2012. ISSN 1469-8986.
- Bjorn Merker. Cortical gamma oscillations: The functional key is activation, not cognition. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 37(3):401–417, Mar. 2013. ISSN 0149-7634.
- MaryAnn P. Noonan, Nika Adamian, Alexandra Pike, Frida Printzlaun, Ben M. Crittenden, and Mark G. Stokes. Distinct Mechanisms for Distractor Suppression and Target Facilitation. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 36(6):1797–1807, Feb. 2016. ISSN 0270-6474, 1529-2401.
- J. Matias Palva, Satu Palva, and Kai Kaila. Phase Synchrony among Neuronal Oscillations in the Human Cortex. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 25(15):3962–3972, Apr. 2005. ISSN 0270-6474, 1529-2401.
- G. Pfurtscheller and F. H. Lopes da Silva. Event-related EEG/MEG synchronization and desynchronization: Basic principles. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 110(11):1842–1857, Nov. 1999. ISSN 1388-2457.
- W. J. Ray and H. W. Cole. EEG alpha activity reflects attentional demands, and beta activity reflects emotional and cognitive processes. *Science*, 228(4700):750–752, May 1985. ISSN 0036-8075, 1095-9203.
- J. Tiihonen, M. Kajola, and R. Hari. Magnetic mu rhythm in man. *Neuroscience*, 32(3):793–800, 1989. ISSN 0306-4522.
- Rik van Dinteren, Martijn Arns, Marijtje L. A. Jongasma, and Roy P. C. Kessels. P300 Development across the Lifespan: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS ONE*, 9(2), Feb. 2014. ISSN 1932-6203.

- Francisco Varela, Jean-Philippe Lachaux, Eugenio Rodriguez, and Jacques Martinerie. The brainweb Phase synchronization and large-scale integration. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 2(4):229–239, Apr. 2001. ISSN 1471-003X.
- J. J. Vidal. Toward Direct Brain-Computer Communication. *Annual Review of Biophysics and Bioengineering*, 2(1):157–180, 1973.
- Carmen Vidaurre, Nicole Krämer, Benjamin Blankertz, and Alois Schlögl. Time domain parameters as a feature for EEG-based Brain-Computer Interfaces. *Neural Networks*, 22(9):1313–1319, Nov. 2009. ISSN 0893-6080.
- Qiang Wang and O. Sourina. Real-time mental arithmetic task recognition from EEG signals. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 21(2):225–232, Mar. 2013. ISSN 1534-4320.