

Developing a cardiovascular disease risk-factors annotated corpus of Chinese electronic medical records

Jia Su¹, Bin He¹, Yi Guan^{1,*}, Jingchi Jiang¹, Jinfeng Yang²

¹ School of Computer Science and Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China

² School of Software, Harbin University of Science and Technology, Harbin, China

*Corresponding author

Keywords: Cardiovascular disease risk-factors, Chinese electronic medical records, annotation, corpus construction, natural language processing

ABSTRACT

Objective The goal of this study was to build a corpus of cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk-factor annotations based on Chinese electronic medical records (CEMRs). This corpus is intended to be used to develop a risk-factor information extraction system that, in turn, can be applied as a foundation for the further study of the progress of risk-factors and CVD.

Materials and Methods We designed a light-annotation-task to capture CVD-risk-factors with indicators, temporal attributes and assertions explicitly displayed in the records. The task included: 1) preparing data; 2) creating guidelines for capturing annotations (these were created with the help of clinicians); 3) proposing annotation method including building the guidelines draft, training the annotators and updating the guidelines, and corpus construction.

Results The outcome of this study was a risk-factor-annotated corpus based on de-identified discharge summaries and progress notes from 600 patients. Built with the help of specialists, this corpus has an inter-annotator agreement (IAA) F_1 -measure of 0.968, indicating a high reliability.

Discussion Our annotations included 12 CVD-risk-factors such as Hypertension and Diabetes. The

annotations can be applied as a powerful tool to the management of these chronic diseases and the prediction of CVD.

Conclusion Guidelines for capturing CVD-risk-factor annotations from CEMRs were proposed and an annotated corpus was established. The obtained document-level annotations can be applied in future studies to monitor risk-factors and CVD over the long term.

BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANCE

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) has become the leading cause of death throughout the world; there were about 17.5 million deaths from CVD in 2012, most of which occurred in low- and middle-income countries.[1] In China, CVD occupies the leading position among causes of death and is responsible for 2 out of every 5 deaths.[2] This situation deeply impairs the health of the Chinese people and is a heavy burden on society. Fortunately, most CVD can be prevented by controlling the malleable risk-factors such as specific medical conditions and the adoption of unhealthy life-styles at early stages.[3] A risk-factor is a pattern of behavior or physical characteristic of a group of individuals that increases the probability of the future occurrence of one or more diseases in that group relative to comparable groups without or with different levels of the behavior or characteristic.[4] Risk-factors, including specific medical conditions such as hypertension and hyperglycemia/diabetes, unhealthy life-style choices such as smoking and alcohol abuse, and other factors such as age and family history, can have prominent effects on the progress of CVD.[3,5] Therefore, monitoring these risk-factors constitutes an important approach in avoiding CVD.

CEMR is an utilizable medium for the CVD-risk-factors extraction and supervision. Actually, electronic medical record (EMR) is the storage of all health care data and information in electronic formats, along with the associated information processing and knowledge support tools necessary for the managing the health enterprise system.[6] A large amount of individuals' health narratives in CEMRs make this resource available for study by natural language processing (NLP) techniques especially information extraction (IE) techniques.[7] Furthermore, in 2010, the ministry of health in China published the basic norms of medical records writing[8] and the basic norms of electronic

medical records[9] made this data more normative. All these made CEMR an available medium for the CVD-risk-factor extraction researches. Some related works[7,10—21] have been done, but no studies have been conducted on the CVD-risk-factors based on CEMRs. For this, we designed a task to extract CVD-risk-factors from CEMRs.

For the extraction, we developed a CVD-risk-factor-annotation-corpus based on CEMRs because in biomedical field the utilized corpora are far less than other open fields and a specific corpus is so important for building an IE-system. The application of this corpus is to act as the basis for developing an automatic risk-factor extraction system and then a monitoring-platform could be established that can supervise CVD-risk-factors over time. Furthermore, based on these risk-factors comprehensively stored over long terms along with other health information, a function that could predict the trend of each risk-factor, manage these chronic diseases (such as hypertension and diabetes) and estimate the progress of CVD could be also included in the platform. To build the corpus, a light-annotation-task[22] is proposed, and we annotated 600 patients' de-identified discharge summaries and progress notes from CEMRs.

Our work is similar to the 2014 i2b2/UTHealth risk-factor annotation shared task.[40] We adopted some technologies such as the formulation for annotation guidelines, workflow and the format for annotation results. However, compared to that task, there are four main differences: (1) the biggest difference is that the concerned text is Chinese EMRs; (2) we added additional risk-factors such as A2 and CKD based on the characteristics of CEMRs; (3) not all the risk-factors are explicitly described in the records, some indicators are also adopted in the 2014 i2b2 shared task. However, negative or below-threshold indicators also form a part of one's health condition and can be used to develop a long-term supervision system, so this information was appended for our annotations; (4) an

exhaustive-annotation-strategy was applied. We found this strategy caused fewer difficulties for annotators and also resulted in a higher level of IAA despite consuming slightly more time.

Related work

Related works based on English EMRs

The 2006 Informatics for Integrating Biology and the Bedside (i2b2) shared task focused on identifying smoking status from medical discharge records; 928 records covering five categories were annotated by two pulmonologists.[23] The 2008 i2b2 Obesity Challenge was an organized competition to find ways to recognize obesity and comorbidities from discharge summaries, and classify them into four classes: Present, Absent, Questionable, and Unmentioned; at the same time an annotated data set was provided.[24] In 2009, the challenge focused on extracting medication information from medical records, including the names of medications, their dosages, modes of administration, frequencies of administration, durations, and reasons for administration, and released a set of annotated discharge summaries.[25,26] In 2010 the challenge was a concepts, assertions, and relations identification task, and they gave an annotated gold-standard corpus for system training.[27] The Sixth i2b2 Natural Language Processing Challenge was concerned with the issues involved in recognizing temporal relations in clinical records, and offered a corpus of annotated discharge summaries with temporal information.[28,29] Subsequently, the 2014 i2b2/UTHealth NLP project focused on identifying risk-factors for Cardiac Artery Disease (CAD) in the narrative texts of EMRs, a set of 1304 medical records were annotated.[30,31] In 2016, follows were asked to classify psychotic patients into four severities based on their neuropsychiatric clinical records, and 433 records were annotated for training.[32]

Other works, such as the ShARe/CLEF eHealth Evaluation Lab 2013, have been devoted to

solving the difficulties involved in understanding the professional expressions (such as non-standard abbreviations, and ward-specific idioms) that clinicians use when describing their patients, and annotated corpora were provided for system building.[33,34] Another important project was undertaken during SemEval 2015. Its clinical TempEval sub-task was similar to the i2b2 2012 NLP shared task in that participants were asked to find ways to recognize time information, clinical events, and their relations in clinical narratives; manually annotated corpus based on 600 clinical notes and pathology reports was built[35]. Another sub-task of SemEval 2015 involved analyzing clinical text, it attracted the attention to named entity recognition and template slot filling, and the ShARe corpus of annotated clinical text was applied.[34,36] Meystre et al.[37] proposed a new information extraction system for congestive heart failure performance measure based on clinical notes from 1083 Veterans Health Administration patients, and domain experts annotated notes were created for gold standard. Ford et al.[38] studied that extracted information from EMRs text does improve case detection when combined with codes.

Related works based on CEMRs

Wang et al.[16] focused on recognizing and normalizing the names of symptoms in traditional Chinese medicine EMRs; for the judgement, a set of clinical symptom names were manually annotated. Jiang et al.[14] proposed a complete annotation scheme for building a corpus of word segmentation and part-of-speech (POS) from CEMRs. Yang et al.[11] focused on designing an annotation scheme and constructing a corpus of named entities and entity relationships from CEMRs; they formulated an annotation specification and built a corpus based on 992 medical discharge summaries and progress notes. Lei[17] and Lei et al.[18] focused on the recognition of named entities in Chinese medical discharge summaries and classified the entities into four categories: clinical problems, procedures, labs,

and medications; a entities corpus was annotated based on CEMRs. Xu et al.[19] studied a joint model that performed segmentation and named entity recognition in Chinese discharge summaries and built a set of 336 annotated Chinese discharge summaries. Wang et al.[20] researched the extraction of tumor-related information from the Chinese-language operation notes of patients with hepatic carcinomas, and annotated a corpus contains 961 entities. He et al.[21] first proposed a comprehensive corpus of syntactic and semantic annotations from Chinese clinical texts.

To sum up these works, research of CVD-risk-factor extraction from CEMRs has not been studied yet. Meanwhile, for the IE tasks studied for the biomedical field, accessible corpora are far less than those for more general extractions but are important for IE-system building. Thus, constructing a CVD-risk-factor annotated corpus is both necessary and fundamental. Moreover, compared with annotation tasks for texts that require little professional knowledge, linguists require the help of medical experts to perform annotations in the biomedical field.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A light-annotation-task

Annotating CVD-risk-factors from CEMRs, compared with traditional NLP tasks such as segmentation, POS tagging, parsing, and semantic analysis, is a task that is both distinctive and light. As Stubbs says,[22] we need only create a light-annotation-task for risk-factor annotation rather than implementing all the NLP tasks. Therefore, based on the annotation trials conducted by Stubbs and Uzuner,[31] a light-annotation-task in which we only focused on annotations of CVD-risk-factor with indicators, temporal attributes and assertions but no other NLP tasks was built. Meanwhile, an exhaustive-annotation-strategy—we annotated all the occurrences of a CVD-risk-factor in the narrative of CEMRs, no matter how many times it appears—was applied. Notably, in the annotation

trials, the increased time consumption caused by exhaustive-annotation-strategy was compensated for by a higher level of IAA and fewer difficulties for the annotators.

Data

We obtained a snapshot of medical records from the Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University (a large general hospital that offers clinical services, medical education, scientific research, disease prevention, healthcare and rehabilitation) for all of 2012. The data included images of the medical records for approximately 140,000 patients from 35 departments and 87 sub-departments, ranging from pediatrics to the ICU. To function as annotation tasks for CVD-risk-factors, we selected a subset of CEMRs from 600 patients composed of 344 randomly selected medical records of cardiovascular patients, 190 from cardiovascular surgery patients, and 66 from other departments. Each patient's medical records contained a series of documents consisting of their discharge summary, progress notes, medical examination reports, electrocardiograms and so on. The discharge summary and progress notes were regarded as the most important free-text of these.[7] A discharge summary is used to summarize the entire therapeutic process and treatment outcome, while the progress notes record the clinical manifestations, medical examinations and treatment periodicity. Therefore, discharge summaries and progress notes for the 600 patients mentioned above were suitable for annotation.

Thereafter, preprocessing was conducted for these records as follows: (1) we used an optical character recognition (OCR) tool "Tesseract"[39] to convert the original record images into text; (2) we manually fixed mistakes after the OCR process was complete, and removed identifying information such as patient names, addresses, hospital IDs and doctor names; (3) we encoded the text into Extensible Markup Language (XML) format and added a title section using an XML node. Figure 1 shows

an example of a progress note in XML format after preprocessing which is prepared for annotation.

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<progress>
  首次病程记录
  <主诉> → chief complaints
    女，55岁，主因“发作性胸闷气短4年，加重20天”，门诊以“冠心病 不稳定型心绞痛”收入院。
  </主诉>
  <病例特点> → case characteristics
    1、55岁女患，否认高血压、糖尿病、肝炎结核病史，否认吸烟饮酒史。阑尾炎手术史。
    2、患者入院前4年无诱因出现胸闷气短症状，无心前区及左肩部放射性疼痛和咽部紧缩感，伴出汗、乏力，无恶心、呕吐、头晕、咳嗽、咳痰。症状持续约10余分钟，休息后逐渐自行缓解。此后上述症状偶有发作，病程中服用速效救心丸、稳心颗粒、拜阿司匹灵（具体用量不详）。入院前20天，患者爬楼梯后出现上述症状，性质较前剧烈，持续时间延长，自服硝酸甘油1片后逐渐缓解。为求明确诊治于今日来我院就诊。患者患病以来，饮食二便正常，睡眠良好。
    3、查体：脉搏：73次/分，呼吸：18次/分，Bp：135/90mmHg，步入病室，发育正常，神清语明，自主体位，查体合作。眼睑无浮肿，口唇无发绀，颈静脉无怒张。双肺呼吸音清，未闻及干湿啰音。HR：73次/分，心律齐，未闻及早搏，各瓣膜区未闻及杂音。腹平软，无压痛及反跳痛，肝脾未及。双下肢无浮肿。
    4、辅助检查：ECG示：窦性心律，III、aVR及V1v4导联ST段水平型下移，T波倒置
  </病例特点>
  <临床初步诊断> → preliminary clinical diagnosis
    冠心病
    不稳定型心绞痛
  </临床初步诊断>
  <诊断依据> → diagnosis basis
    1、55岁女患，发作性胸闷气短病史；
    2、否认高血压、糖尿病、肝炎结核病史，否认吸烟饮酒史；
    3、查体：Bp：135/90mmHg 双肺呼吸音清，HR：73次/分，心律齐，未闻及早搏及杂音，腹平软，无压痛及反跳痛，肝脾未及，双下肢无浮肿；
    4、心电图：窦性心律，III、aVR及V1V4导联ST段水平型下移，T波倒置。
  </诊断依据>
  <鉴别诊断> → differential diagnosis
    1、冠心病心肌梗死：疼痛性质剧烈，时程长，硝酸甘油不易缓解，心肌酶学和心电图有助鉴别
    2、主动脉夹层：患者多有常年高血压病史，发病时疼痛呈撕裂样，持续不缓解，超声及主动脉CTA有助鉴别。
  </鉴别诊断>
  <诊疗计划> → assessment and plan
    1、抗凝，抗血小板；
    2、扩张冠脉，改善心肌供血；
    3、调节血脂，稳定冠脉粥样斑块；
    4、完善辅助检查：血、尿常规、凝血像、生化系列、肝炎系列、胸部正侧位片、心脏超声、holter；
    6、建议择期行cAG+PCI以进一步明确诊治。
  </诊疗计划>
</progress>
```

Figure 1. A sample progress note after preprocessing

Annotation guidelines

As the light-annotation-task says, our goal was to annotate the CVD-risk-factors with indicators, temporal attributes and assertions from the narrative of the CEMRs. According to the characteristics of CEMRs and suggestions of clinicians, the guidelines for annotating these information are presented as follows.

CVD-risk-factors and indicators

An indicator is used to indicate the existence of a risk-factor that may not be explicitly recorded in the narratives of CEMRs but in a cryptic form (e.g. “最高血压达 150/100 mmHg” (the highest blood pressure (Bp) is 150/100 mmHg) also manifests a hypertensive patient). Explicitly mentioned risk-

factor and indirect expressions such as tests or treatments that can indicate the existence of risk-factor are given equal status, even indirect information such as quantitative values from medical examinations is more meaningful because it can capture additional details about a patient's condition. With the assistance of medical experts, we selected a set of risk-factors contains Overweight/Obesity (O2), Hypertension, Diabetes, Dyslipidemia, Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD), Atherosclerosis, Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome (OSAS), Smoking, Alcohol Abuse (A2), Family History of CVD (FHCVD), Age and Gender, and exploited the indicators of these risk-factors. Table 1 lists all 12 types of risk-factors with the risk-factors in the left column and the indicators on the right.

Table 1 CVD-risk-factors and their indicators.

Risk-factors	Indicators
O2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention: A diagnosis of patient overweight or obesity, e.g. “肥胖” (obesity)
Hypertension	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention: A diagnosis or history of hypertension, e.g. “高血压病史 20 年” (a history of hypertension for 20 years) • High Bp: A measurement of Bp or a description of the patient's high Bp, e.g. Bp 150/100 mmHg • Regulate Bp: A description of Bp regulation or unsuccessful regulation, e.g. “控制血压” (regulating Bp) • Blood pressure drug: Patient takes medicine which is confirmed to control Bp, e.g. “口服降压药” (patient is taking hypotensor)
Diabetes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention: A diagnosis or a history of diabetes, e.g. “糖尿病” (diabetes) • High blood glucose: A measurement of blood glucose or a description of the patient's high blood glucose, e.g. blood glucose: “随机血糖: 14.5mmol/L” (RBG (Random Blood Glucose): 14.5mmol/L) • Regulate blood glucose: A description of blood glucose regulation or unsuccessful regulation, e.g. “调节血糖” (regulating the glucose)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hypoglycemic drug: Patient takes medications confirmed to control blood glucose, e.g. “规律用胰岛素” (patient takes insulin regularly)
Dyslipidemia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention: A diagnosis of dyslipidemia, hyperlipidemia or a history of hyperlipidemia, e.g. “高血脂史” (a history of hyperlipidemia) • High blood lipids: A measurement of blood lipids or a description of the patient’s high blood lipids, e.g. TG (triglyceride): 1.96mmol/L • Regulate blood lipids: A description of blood lipids regulation or unsuccessful regulation, e.g. “降脂” (to lower serum lipids) • Lipid-lowering drug: Patient takes medicine that is confirmed to control blood lipids, e.g. “治疗计划: 立普妥 20 mg Qn po” (Treatment plan: Lipitor (atorvastatin calcium) 20 mg, take orally, once per night)
CKD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention: A diagnosis of CKD, e.g. “慢性肾炎” (chronic nephritis)
Atherosclerosis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention: A diagnosis of atherosclerosis or atherosclerotic plaque, e.g. “冠脉粥样斑块” (atherosclerotic plaque)
OSAS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention: A diagnosis of OSAS, e.g. “阻塞型睡眠呼吸暂停综合症” (OSAS)
Smoking	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention: Smoking or a patient history of smoking, e.g. “吸烟 40 余年” (smoking over 40 years) • Smoking cessation: A description of smoking cessation or that the patient has not yet quit smoking, e.g. “未戒烟” (the patient has not yet quit smoking) • Smoking amount: A description of how much the patient smokes, e.g. “每天 20 支” (20 cigarettes per day)
A2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention: Alcohol abuse, e.g. “长期大量饮酒史” (a long history of heavy drinking) • Drinking amount: A description of how much the patient drinks, e.g. “2 两/日” (数值要大于 1) (100 grams of white spirit consumption per day (the number should be over 50 g))
FHCVD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention: Patient has a family history of CVD or has a first-degree relative (parents, siblings, or children) who has a history of CVD, e.g. “哥哥有冠心病病史” (the patient’s brother has a history of CVD)

Age	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention: The age of the patient, e.g. “66 岁” (66 years old) • Age group: The age group of the patient, e.g. “老年”(elderly)
Gender	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mention: The gender of patient, e.g. “女性” (female)

Notably, given to construct a CVD-risk-factors timeline, we annotated all the quantitative values from medical examinations regardless of whether they were over the threshold (e.g., a patient whose Bp is 120/80 mmHg is also annotated for hypertension, even though the measurement is below the 140/90 mmHg criterion[5]). So that all the test values would be extracted and we can build a clear picture of risk-factors changes over time, and this is one of the big difference between the i2b2 2014 risk-factor task and ours.

Temporal attributes

To construct a health condition timeline, collecting temporal annotations is essential. Considering the time at which the indicators occurred, we divided the risk-factors into four time-dependent categories: before the duration of hospital stay (DHS) (the risk-factor occurred before the DHS), during the DHS, after the DHS, and continuing (the risk-factor is continuous). For instance, “平时血压 130/90 mmHg” (a patient whose ordinary Bp is 130/90 mmHg) is regarded as the high Bp indicator of hypertension with time before the DHS; “查体: Bp 130/80 mmHg” (physical examination: Bp 130/80 mmHg) indicates that the high Bp of Hypertension was made during the DHS; “出院医嘱: 调节血糖” (doctor advice to a patient after discharge: to regulate blood glucose) indicates that the diabetes indicator of regulate blood glucose occurred after the DHS; and “身材肥胖” (a fat body) which is usually unchangeable over the short term would be annotated as a mention of O2 with time continuing. In this way, changes of risk-factors can be clearly presented. For example, no indicators of diabetes were presented during the previous DHS, but evidence shows that the patient exhibited

diabetic indicators before the next DHS; therefore, the diabetes occurred between the two DHSs.

Specially, age and gender are not included in temporal annotations.

Assertions

Different from the works of Stubbs and Uzuner,[30] we first proposed assertions of risk-factors in CEMRs. For example, “无糖尿病病史” (patient does not have a history of diabetes) also needs to be considered as such text can certainly show that the patient did not previously have diabetes. In detail, based on whether the risk-factor actually occurred on the patient, we created two modifiers: associated or not associated with the patient. Further, risk-factors associated with the patient are divided into three categories: present, absent and possible. Overall, assertions can be summarized as follows:

- Present: the risk-factor definitely occurred on the patient, e.g. “平时血压 130/90 mmHg” (the patient’s ordinary Bp is 130/90 mmHg)
- Absent: the risk-factor was considered for the patient, but was negative, e.g. “无糖尿病病史” (the patient does not have a history of diabetes)
- Possible: the risk-factor may possibly have occurred on the patient, e.g. “临床初步诊断：糖尿病” (Primary diagnosis: diabetes)
- Not associated with the patient: the risk-factor occurred on others, e.g. “弟患糖尿病” (the patient’s brother has diabetes)

Annotation method

The annotation method involves three major tasks: building the guidelines draft, training the annotators and updating the guidelines, and corpus construction. These tasks can be seen in Figure 2.




Figure 2. The flowchart for CVD-risk-factor annotation method

Building the guidelines draft

Based on annotation guidelines above, the linguists created a preliminary implementation of an annotation guidelines draft that included all 12 CVD-risk-factors, indicators, temporal attributes, and assertions along with their definitions, some positive annotations (expressions which should be marked) and negative annotations (expressions which should not be marked) by referencing the 2014

i2b2/UTHealth risk-factor annotation guideline.[40] Some annotation attempts were conducted under this draft using an annotation tool which was developed specifically for this task. Figure 3 shows a sample annotation.

The screenshot shows a software window titled "WU研究室中文电子病历心血管病风险因素标注工具". The interface includes buttons for "打开文件", "导入风险因素", "添加风险因素 A", "删除风险因素 D", and "导出结果". The main text area contains a medical record for a patient, with risk factors highlighted in color (e.g., "55岁", "高血压", "糖尿病", "吸烟"). Below the text is a table summarizing these risk factors.

行号	风险因素	类型	特征	时间属性	修饰	不确定
1	女【84-85】	性别	病历提到			<input type="checkbox"/>
2	55岁【86-89】	年龄	病历提到			<input type="checkbox"/>
3	55岁【168-171】	年龄	病历提到			<input type="checkbox"/>
4	女【171-172】	性别	病历提到			<input type="checkbox"/>
5	高血压【176-179】	高血压	病历提到	一直持续	否认的	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	糖尿病【180-183】	糖尿病	病历提到	一直持续	否认的	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	吸烟【193-195】	吸烟	病历提到	一直持续	否认的	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	Bp: 135/90mmHg【445-...	高血压	血压高	住院期间	当前的	<input type="checkbox"/>

Figure 3. A sample annotation for CVD-risk-factors

Using sample annotations, errors and inappropriate rules in the preliminary draft were corrected and both positive and negative examples were added to the draft. This process continued until no more modifications were needed; at that point, the specification were considered to be suitable for the next workflow.

Training the annotators and updating the guidelines

For domain annotation, annotators with specific knowledge backgrounds are desirable. Consequently, two Masters students in medicine were employed and trained as annotators. The training process follows an iterative method, each repetition can be summed up as follows:

Phase 1: A set of discharge summaries and progress notes for 15 randomly selected patients were provided to both annotators for labeling.

Phase 2: After completing the annotation, the IAA of the two annotated corpus was calculated to evaluate the degree to which the annotators were in agreement. For the IAA calculation, one annotated database is used as the gold standard, and the other is compared to the standard to compute the precision, recall, and F_1 -measure. Here, standard precision, recall, and F_1 -measure equations were adopted, the calculation equations were described as follows:

$$precision = \frac{Agreement(A1, A2)}{Annotation(A2)}, \quad (1)$$

$$recall = \frac{Agreement(A1, A2)}{Annotation(A1)}, \quad (2)$$

$$F_1 = \frac{2 \times precision \times recall}{precision + recall}. \quad (3)$$

Here, we regarded the annotations of annotator $A1$ as gold standard and evaluated the quality of $A2$ annotations. The $Agreement(A1, A2)$ refers to the same annotations of the two annotators. More calculation details can be found in Hripcsak et al.[41]

Phase 3: The two annotations were compared. Moreover, any uncertainties would be discussed by both the linguists and the annotators. A voting method was used to obtain a final agreement.

Phase 4: Annotation guidelines were updated. In particular, errors found during phase 3 were added to the positive or negative examples and, when necessary, the guidelines were modified.

This procedure should be iteratively conducted until the IAA calculated in Phase 2 achieves a high value continuously. In total, five repetitions were carried out; the resulting IAA-values are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. IAA-values achieved during the iterative training process

	Iteration 1	Iteration 2	Iteration 3	Iteration 4	Iteration 5
Precision	0.810	0.977	0.967	0.986	0.988
Recall	0.815	0.977	0.962	0.986	0.988
F ₁ -measure	0.812	0.977	0.964	0.986	0.988

As Table 2 shows, the iterations obtained very high IAAs. All the F₁-measures values were above 0.964 except for Iteration 1 in which the low score was probably caused by the initial unfamiliarity of annotators with the annotation guidelines and tools. Meanwhile, the subsequent iterations obtained surprisingly high scores, indicating that the annotators and guidelines were truly ready for the corpus annotation.

Corpus construction

The annotators were asked to capture annotations from CEMRs for the 600 patients using the updated annotation guidelines. Moreover, to create a high quality annotated corpus, three measures were taken. One was that, during the development of annotation tool, a button indicating that the labeling is uncertain was for annotators to press when they were unsure of the accuracy of a current annotation. Those uncertainties could be collected and discussed later. Another measure involved the use of overlapped documents (discharge summaries and progress notes of 25 patients), which were distributed to both annotators. These twice-annotated records can be used to calculate IAA and to monitor the quality of the entire annotation evaluation. The last measure was a random sampling

check on the annotations (at least one third were selected) by the linguists. When problems were found, a discussion would be held and the guidelines were updated.

RESULTS

In total, in the CVD-risk-factor-annotation-corpus comprising the discharge summaries and progress notes for all the 600 patients, there are 9678 annotations associated with the 12 CVD-risk-factors. Of these, “mention” garners 63.5%, while “drug” is rare (due to our restriction in the annotation guidelines that medication must be confirmed to be treated as a risk-factor). Among the risk-factors, hypertension is prominent in CEMR, with 3729 annotations; age and gender occur at the same rate as Bp because they are basic patient attributes that are routinely recorded before diagnosis. The distributions of the four assertions (present, absent, possible, and not associated with patient) were 69.7, 19.2, 11.0, and 0.1 percent, respectively. The “present” assertions occur most often, possibly because positive descriptions may have more significance when creating the medical records.

Annotation quality and analysis

Reasonably, the IAA-values for the final corpus should be as high as the IAA-values obtained during the training process due to the work performed before and during the formal annotation to guarantee sufficient quality. The final IAA calculations resulted in 0.971 for precision, 0.965 for recall and 0.968 for the F_1 -measure; these values demonstrate the high quality of the corpus.

Table 3 shows the distribution of risk-factors, indicators, temporal attributes and assertions. Each row in the table shows the distribution of a single indicator over the entire corpus in different time and assertion partitions.

Table 3. Distribution of CVD-risk-factors, indicators, their occurrence times, and assertions

Risk-factors	Indicators	Before DHS					During DHS					After DHS					Continuing					Total	
		P	A	Pb	N	Total	P	A	Pb	N	Total	P	A	Pb	N	Total	P	A	Pb	N	Total		
O2	Mention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	18	18
Hypertension	Mention	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	526	401	471	0	1398	1400	
	High Bp	304	0	0	0	304	1647	0	0	0	1647	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	4	1955	
	Regulate Bp	56	0	0	0	56	244	0	0	0	244	10	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	310	
	Blood pressure drug	43	1	0	0	44	17	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	64	
Diabetes	Mention	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	172	558	138	3	871	874	
	High blood glucose	19	0	0	0	19	20	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	43	
	Regulate blood glucose	8	0	0	0	8	28	0	0	0	28	6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	42	
	Hypoglycemic drug	31	0	0	0	31	8	0	0	0	8	7	0	0	0	7	2	0	0	0	2	48	
Dyslipidemia	Mention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	4	24	0	73	73	
	High blood lipids	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	6	
	Regulate blood lipids	2	0	0	0	2	249	0	0	0	249	5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	256	
	Lip-lowering drug	2	0	0	0	2	34	0	0	0	34	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	37	
CKD	Mention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	17	0	26	26		
Atherosclerosis	Mention	3	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	136	0	1	0	137	144	

OSAS	Mention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Smoking	Mention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	231	149	0	0	380	380
	Smoking cessation	5	2	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	8
	Smoking amount	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	119	0	0	0	119	120
A2	Mention	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	15	0	0	67	76	
	Drinking amount	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	19	19	
FHCVD	Mention	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	10	10	
Age	Mention	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1233
	Age group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	626
Gender	Mention	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1909

P: Present, A: Absent, Pb: Possible, N: Not associated with the patient. "-" denotes not considered.

For hypertension, “high Bp” is the most common indicator and “mention” is second. Approximately 2 “mention” and 3 “high Bp” indicators appear in each patient’s records. Mostly, “mention” annotations are continuing and usually fixed over a short term, while “high Bp” annotations occur during DHSs because Bp value is mainly measured during physical examinations. Meanwhile, 78.7 percent of “regulate Bp” annotations occur during DHSs because controlling Bp is a standard treatment for hypertensive individuals.

Compared with “high Bp”, annotations identifying “high blood glucose” are far less common because of the complicated testing technique for blood glucose. In Table 3, the diabetes “mention” annotations comprise 86.7% of all the annotations for this risk-factor, and 64.1% of these “mention” are “continuing, absent.” From discoveries, we knew that “否认高血压、糖尿病病史” (denying a history of hypertension and diabetes) occurs frequently in the records.

The spotlight indicator of dyslipidemia annotations is “regulate blood lipids”, because “调节血脂” (to regulate blood lipids) is a representative narrative in the assessment and plan section of dyslipidemia records. Moreover, for the same reason, the timing of this indicator is clustered around “during DHS, present”.

When considering CKD, OSAS and FHCVD, the only indicator “mention” occurs infrequently with CKD occurs the most but fewer than 26 times. Meanwhile, there is no “absent” assertion for any of these annotations.

Atherosclerosis has a relative high number of mentions in our corpus for “稳定冠脉粥样斑块” (stabilizing coronary atheromatous plaque) is repeatedly occurred in the assessment and plan section of CEMRs.

The number of smoking annotations are relatively high (508 annotations among the records

of 600 patients). “Mention” and “smoking amount” account for almost all of the occurrences, and 380 “mention” and 119 “smoking amount” annotations include the “continuing” assertion because tobacco use is generally a habit and quitting rarely occurs for a short period.

There are a large number of references to alcohol in the narratives of CEMRs such as “否认吸烟、饮酒史” (denying the history of smoking and drinking) and “间断少量饮酒史” (a history of intermittent small amounts of alcohol), but these were not tagged as alcohol abuse for the patient’s intake is none or a slight. In contrast, serious usage has only 76 “mention” and 19 “drinking amount” annotations in our corpus and nearly all those are continuing.

Age and gender as basic information are rich attributes. As with actual discoveries in the narratives of CEMRs, most “mention” annotations for age and gender occur in the hospitalization information section of discharge summaries and in the complaint, case characteristics and diagnosis basis sections of progress notes. Occasionally, “age group” occurs in the case characteristics and diagnosis basis sections.

DISCUSSION

We developed a corpus of CVD-risk-factor annotations that include indicators, temporal attributes and assertion. Linguists and clinicians cooperated throughout the entire corpus construction from drafting annotation guidelines to discussing disagreements. The final IAA-values achieved for this corpus reflects its high level.

In our corpus, a test value was annotated whether the test outcome is above or below a standard threshold, which was designed to build a complete risk-factor variation in long term. For hypertension, we annotated all the Bp values regardless of whether it is above the standard 140/90 mmHg. Based on these annotations, if supervise individual’s condition in long term, the trained IE-

system can extract all the Bp conditions with no omissions and a picture of Bp variations will be appeared. Appropriate warning or intervention treatment would be applied at a critical variation point.

The annotated therapeutic method such as regulate blood glucose and hypoglycemic drug provides optional treatment recommendation. The trained IE-system, after extracting plenty of CEMRs, can provide clinician referable treatments while retrieving a similar condition. Along time dimension, these extractions can provide an exhibition of treatment effect, such as a regulating glucose was recognized but no more glucose treatments were happened after would show this regulating had a positive effect. This has a significance for clinician to treat a similar patient and decide which treatment would be better.

The annotations of O2, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, CKD, Atherosclerosis can play a role of management of these chronic diseases. An IE-system can extract individual's conditions such as examination values and medications; a long-term monitoring-platform can monitor these variations, feedback the effects of treatments, and predict the tendencies of these diseases. Globally, nearly one billion people have high blood pressure; in 2008, diabetes was responsible for 1.3 billion deaths; raised cholesterol was estimated to cause 2.6 million deaths; at least 2.8 million people die each year as a result of being overweight or obese.[5] So a monitoring-platform including managing these chronic disease has a significance for reducing the sufferings of such a lot of patients and the occurrences of CVD. By the way, the annotation of smoking and alcohol abuse can be used to the studying of directing people's lifestyle.

CONCLUSION

This paper constructs an annotated corpus of CVD-risk-factors. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first Chinese corpus concerning risk-factors for CVD. We engaged both clinicians and

annotators during the process of drafting guidelines and annotating the medical records. We proposed the annotation method to create high quality annotations; the final IAA-values indicate the high quality of the resulting corpus. These document-level risk-factor annotations, along with the included temporal attributes and assertion, can be utilized in future studies of the progress of risk-factors and CVD over time. This corpus can play a significant role in developing a future IE-system that can extract CVD-risk-factors from CEMRs to build a clear picture of individuals' CVD-risk-factors conditions, and it makes developing a monitoring-platform to supervise the progression of risk-factors and CVD possible. The related annotation resources are publicly available at <https://github.com/WILAB-HIT/RiskFactor>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the medical records department of the 2nd Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University for providing the electronic medical records. We would also like to thank the clinicians, Qiubin YU and Yongjie Zhao, and the annotators, Hao Wu and Na Feng, for their excellent work.

CONTRIBUTORS

JS, BH and JY designed the tasks and conducted the entire project. JS, BH and JJ developed the annotation guidelines and collaborated in constructing the corpus. JS and BH distributed the data, collected the annotations, analyzed the corpus quality and communicated with the doctors. All the authors contributed to the final manuscript.

FUNDING

None.

COMPETING INTERESTS

None.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

The ethical approval is available at https://github.com/WILAB-HIT/RiskFactor/tree/master/statement_of_the_ethics.

REFERENCES

- 1 World Health Organization. Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs317/en/>. Accessed 25 August 2016.
- 2 Hu S, Gao R, Liu L, et al. Report on cardiovascular disease in China 2014. Beijing: Encyclopedia of China Publishing House 2015:1-184.
- 3 Armen YG. Cardiovascular risk-factors. In: Melek ZU, eds. Cardiovascular Risk-factors in the Elderly. Rijeka: InTech 2012:81-102.
- 4 Rothstein WG. Public Health and the Risk-factor: a History of an Uneven Medical Revolution. Rochester: University of Rochester Press 2003.
- 5 World Heart Federation. Cardiovascular disease risk-factors. <http://www.world-heart-federation.org/cardiovascular-health/cardiovascular-disease-risk-factors/>. Accessed 25 August 2016.
- 6 Hannan TJ. Electronic medical records. In: Hovenga EJS, Kidd MR, Garde S, et al., eds. Health Informatics: an Overview. Amsterdam: IOS Press 1996:133-48.
- 7 Yang J, Qiubin Y, Guan Y, et al. An overview of research on electronic medical record oriented named entity recognition and entity relation extraction. Acta Automat Sin 2014;40:1537-62.
- 8 The basic norms of medical records writing. <http://www.moh.gov.cn/mohbgt/s10696/201002/45871.shtml>. Accessed 17 October 2016.

- 9 The basic norms of electronic medical records. <http://www.nhfpc.gov.cn/yzygj/s3585u/201003/95ab07b5a7bb4a9f8ad455c863d68322.shtml>. Accessed 17 October 2016.
- 10 Feng Y, Yingying C, Gengui Z, et al. Intelligent recognition of named entity in electronic medical records. *Chin J Biomed Eng* 2011;30:256-62.
- 11 Yang J, Guan Y, He B, et al. Annotation scheme and corpus construction for named entities and entity relations on Chinese electronic medical records. *J Softw* 2016;27:1-22.
- 12 Qu C, Guan Y, Yang J, et al. The construction of annotated corpora of named entities for Chinese electronic medical records. *Chinese High Technol Lett* 2015;25:143-50.
- 13 Jiang Z, Zhao F, Guan Y. Developing a linguistically annotated corpus of Chinese electronic medical record. In: *IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine*. 2-5 November 2014, Belfast:307-10.
- 14 Jiang Z, Zhao F, Guan Y, et al. Research on Chinese electronic medical record oriented lexical corpus annotation. *Chinese High Technol Lett* 2014;24:609-15.
- 15 Wang Y, Yu Z, Chen L, et al. Supervised methods for symptom name recognition in free-text clinical records of traditional Chinese medicine: an empirical study. *J Biomed Inform* 2014;47:91-104.
- 16 Wang Y, Yu Z, Jiang Y, et al. Automatic symptom name normalization in clinical records of traditional Chinese medicine. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010;11:40.
- 17 Lei J. Named entity recognition in Chinese clinical text. *UT SBMI Dissertations (Open Access)* 2014 Paper 31. http://digitalcommons.library.tmc.edu/uthshis_dissertations/31. Accessed 25 August 2016.
- 18 Lei J, Tang B, Lu X, et al. A comprehensive study of named entity recognition in Chinese clinical

- text. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2014;21:808-14.
- 19 Xu Y, Wang Y, Liu T, et al. Joint segmentation and named entity recognition using dual decomposition in Chinese discharge summaries. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2014;21:e84–92.
- 20 Wang H, Zhang W, Zeng Q, et al. Extracting important information from Chinese operation notes with natural language processing methods. *J Biomed Inform* 2014;48:130-6.
- 21 He B, Dong B, Guan Y, et al. Building a comprehensive syntactic and semantic corpus of Chinese clinical texts. arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.02091, 2016.
- 22 Stubbs A. A methodology for using professional knowledge in corpus annotation. Dissertation, Brandeis University, 2013.
- 23 Uzuner Ö, Goldstein I, Luo Y, et al. Identifying patient smoking status from medical discharge records. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2008;15:14-24.
- 24 Uzuner Ö. Recognizing obesity and comorbidities in sparse data. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2009;16:561-70.
- 25 Uzuner Ö, Solti I, Cadag E. Extracting medication information from clinical text. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2010;17:514–8.
- 26 Uzuner Ö, Solti I, Xia F, et al. Community annotation experiment for ground truth generation for the i2b2 medication challenge. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2010;17:519-23.
- 27 Uzuner Ö, South BR, Shen S, et al. I2b2/VA challenge on concepts, assertions, and relations in clinical text. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2010;2011:552–6.
- 28 Sun W, Rumshisky A, Uzuner Ö. Evaluating temporal relations in clinical text: 2012 i2b2 challenge. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2013;20:806-13.
- 29 Sun W, Rumshisky A, Uzuner Ö. Annotating temporal information in clinical narratives. *J*

- Biomed Inform 2013;46 (Suppl):S5–S12.
- 30 Stubbs A, Kotfila C, Xu H, et al. Identifying risk-factors for heart disease over time: overview of 2014 i2b2/UTHealth shared task track 2. *J Biomed Inform* 2015;58 (Suppl):S67-77.
- 31 Stubbs A, Uzuner Ö. Annotating risk-factors for heart disease in clinical narratives for diabetic patients. *J Biomed Inform* 2015;58 (Suppl):S78-91.
- 32 i2b2 2016 CEGS N-GRID Shared Tasks and Workshop on Challenges in Natural Language Processing for Clinical Data. <https://www.i2b2.org/NLP/RDoCforPsychiatry/>. Accessed 28 October 2015.
- 33 Suominen H, Salanterä S, Velupillai S, et al. Overview of the ShARe/CLEF eHealth Evaluation Lab 2013. In: *International Conference of the Cross-Language Evaluation Forum for European Languages*. 23 Sep 2013, 212-31.
- 34 Pradhan S, Elhadad N, South BR, et al. Evaluating the state of the art in disorder recognition and normalization of the clinical narrative. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2015;22:143-54.
- 35 Styler IVWF, Bethard S, Finan S, et al. Temporal annotation in the clinical domain. *Trans Assoc Comput Linguist* 2014;2:143-54.
- 36 Elhadad N, Pradhan S, Gorman S, et al. SemEval-2015 Task 14: analysis of clinical text. In: *Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation*. 4-5 June 2015, Denver: 303-10.
- 37 Meystre S M, Kim Y, Gobbel G T, et al. Congestive heart failure information extraction framework for automated treatment performance measures assessment. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2016;ocw097.
- 38 Ford E, Carroll J A, Smith H E, et al. Extracting information from the text of electronic medical

records to improve case detection: a systematic review. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2016;ocv180.

39 Tesseract. <https://code.google.com/p/tesseract-ocr/>. Accessed 28 October 2015.

40 Stubbs A, Uzuner Ö, Kumar V, et al. Annotation guidelines: risk-factors for heart disease in diabetic patients. *I2b2/UTHealth NLP Challenge*, 2014;1-9.

41 Hripcsak G, Rothschild AS. Agreement, the f-measure, and reliability in information retrieval. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2005;12:296-8.