

Port-based teleportation in arbitrary dimension

Michał Studziński¹, Sergii Strelchuk¹, Marek Mozrzykmas², and Michał Horodecki³

¹DAMTP, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB30WA, UK

²Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław 50-204 Wrocław, Poland

³Institute of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, National Quantum Information Centre, Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, University of Gdańsk, Wita Stwosza 57, 80-308 Gdańsk, Poland

Abstract

Port-based teleportation (PBT), introduced in 2008, is a type of quantum teleportation protocol which transmits the state to the receiver without requiring any corrections on the receiver's side. Evaluating the performance of PBT was computationally intractable and previous attempts succeeded only with small systems. We study PBT protocols and fully characterize their performance for arbitrary dimensions and number of ports. We develop new mathematical tools to study the symmetries of the measurement operators that arise in these protocols and belong to the algebra of partially transposed permutation operators. First, we develop the representation theory of the mentioned algebra which provides an elegant way of understanding the properties of subsystems of a large system with general symmetries. In particular, we introduce the theory of the partially reduced irreducible representations which we use to obtain a simpler representation of the algebra of partially transposed permutation operators and thus explicitly determine the properties of any port-based teleportation scheme for fixed dimension in polynomial time.

1 Introduction

Quantum teleportation is one of the most important primitives in the Quantum Information Processing [1]. This technique allows to transfer the state of an unknown quantum system from the sender to the receiver without having to exchange the physical system. It has led to a large number of theoretical advances in quantum information theory and quantum computing [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

The first teleportation protocol involved two parties, Alice and Bob, each sharing a half of the maximally entangled state [1]. We will further refer to it as a ‘resource state’. Alice wants to send an (unknown) state of a subsystem in her possession to Bob. She performs a projective measurement on her subsystem and the half of the maximally entangled state and communicates its classical outcome to Bob. He then reliably recovers the state which Alice communicated by applying a unitary correction operation conditioned on Alice’s message.

In 2008, a breakthrough result from Ishizaka and Hiroshima introduced a novel port-based teleportation protocol (PBT) which does not require the last step in the sequence [11]. In this setup, parties share a large resource state consisting of N copies of the maximally entangled states $|\Psi^-\rangle^{\otimes N}$, where each singlet is a two-qubit state, termed *port*. Alice performs a joint measurement \mathcal{X} on the unknown state θ which she wishes to teleport and her half of the resource state, communicating the outcome to Bob. The outcome of the measurement points to the subsystem where the state

has been teleported to. To obtain the teleported state Bob discards all ports except for the one indicated by Alice's outcome. There are two versions of the PBT protocol, depending on the exact set of measurements used by Alice. The first type, so-called *deterministic* teleportation, is described by the set of N POVM elements $\mathcal{X} = \{\Pi_a\}_{a=1}^N$. Upon measuring a -th element the teleported state ends up in the a -th port on Bob's side. He then traces out all but a -th subsystem which contains the teleported state. The second type, *probabilistic* PBT, consists of a measurement with $N + 1$ POVM elements $\{\Pi_a\}_{a=0}^N$, where Π_0 indicates a failure of the teleportation. In this protocol, when Alice obtains the input $a \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, the parties proceed as above. When she obtains 0, then they abort the protocol.

In the probabilistic PBT the state of a qubit always gets teleported to Bob, but it decoheres during the process. The lower bound on the fidelity of the teleported state tends to 1 as the number of ports $N \rightarrow \infty$. In the deterministic case protocol, the state always gets teleported to Bob with perfect fidelity, but with some probability (which vanishes in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$) Alice aborts.

PBT schemes found novel applications in the areas where the existing teleportation schemes fell short of. They provided new architecture for the universal programmable quantum processor performing computation by teleportation with the property of it being composable. [12]. In position-based cryptography, PBT schemes were used to engineer efficient protocols for instantaneous implementation of measurement and computation. It resulted in new attacks on the cryptographic primitives, reducing the amount of consumable entanglement from doubly exponential to exponential [13].

Recently, the composable nature of the qubit PBT schemes made it possible to connect the field of communication complexity and a Bell inequality violation [14]. It allowed to show that any quantum advantage obtained by a protocol for an arbitrary communication complexity problem resulted in the violation of a Bell inequality, certifying the quantum nature of the advantage.

The full characterization of the qubit PBT schemes was used to obtain the performance of the square-root measurements for mixed states obtaining explicit probabilities of success when the set of states to be discriminated has certain symmetries and POVMs are of nearly maximal rank [13].

Evaluating the performance of the PBT is tantamount to determining the spectral properties of the measurement operators \mathcal{X} . To determine them, authors in [11] viewed $N + 1$ qubits (with one extra qubit representing the teleported state) as spins, recursively building a basis for constituents of \mathcal{X} making the use of the Clebsch-Gordan (CG) coefficients and with the painstaking amount of effort determined their eigenvalues. This approach has been successful for studying systems of $N + 1$ qubits and relied on the existence of the closed form for the CG coefficients and therefore was limited to $SU(2)^{\otimes N}$. In the case of $SU(d)^{\otimes N}$, with $d > 2$ there exists no closed form of the CG coefficients and thus it is impossible to obtain the spectrum of \mathcal{X} without incurring an exponential overhead in d and N . It is however possible to obtain a closed-form lower bound on the performance of deterministic PBT, but it only works in the regime $N \gg d$. Moreover, no bound is known for the probabilistic PBT.

By using graphical variant of Temperley-Lieb algebra, authors obtained an explicit closed-form expressions for the fidelity and success probability of PBT for an arbitrary d and $N \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ [15]. Here too the mathematical formulae contain the number of different terms which grows exponentially in N .

In our work we develop new mathematical tools to study the symmetries of \mathcal{X} which enable us to efficiently evaluate the performance of *any* PBT scheme for arbitrary N and d . Our first contribution is the theory of the partially reduced irreducible representations (PRIR). They pro-

vide an elegant way of understanding the properties of subsystems of a large system which has general symmetries. We further use these techniques to provide a simple way to approach to the representation of the algebra of the partially transposed permutation operators. Remarkably, the operators describing measurements in any PBT scheme possess the exact symmetries of an element of this algebra. By exploring these symmetries in a principled way we are able to fully analyze all teleportation schemes.

We thus characterize the performance of the main PBT schemes and find exact expressions for the fidelity of the teleportation and the probability of success in the deterministic and probabilistic schemes respectively. Moreover, we describe the spectral properties of the POVMs and exhibit polynomial algorithms to efficiently calculate the properties of quantum systems with similar symmetries using our framework.

2 Setting and main results

In this section we introduce the setting and outline the results obtained by new mathematical techniques developed in our work.

Consider a probabilistic protocol defined by a d -dimensional maximally entangled resource state $\bigotimes_{i=1}^N |\Phi_d^+\rangle_{A_i B_i}$, set of POVMs $\mathcal{X} = \{\Pi_a\}_{a=0}^N$, where each $\Pi_a = \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varrho_a \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $a \geq 1$ and $\Pi_0 = \mathbf{1} - \sum_{a=1}^N \Pi_a$ with

$$\rho = \sum_{a=1}^N \varrho_a, \quad (1)$$

and $\varrho_a = \frac{1}{d^N} P_{CA_a}^+ \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\overline{A_a}}$, for $a = 1, \dots, N$.




Figure 1: Schematic description of PBT in the arbitrary dimension.

The operator $P_{CA_a}^+$ denotes an unnormalised projection onto the state $|\Phi^+\rangle_{CA_a} = \sum_{i=1}^d |ii\rangle_{CA_a}$ between systems C and A_a , and $\mathbf{1}_{\overline{A_a}}$ is identity operator on all subsystems A except A_a . We will henceforth refer to ρ as the PBT operator. Alice wishes to teleport a qudit θ_C to Bob. After she

measures \mathcal{X} and communicates the classical outcome i to Bob, he performs one of the following actions: (a) if $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ he traces out all but the i -th port which contains the teleported state with perfect fidelity; (b) if $i = 0$ he aborts. The schematic representation is shown in Fig 1.

2.1 Eigenvalues of the PBT operator

We develop new mathematical tools and find that the PBT operator has the following spectrum:

Proposition 2. *[Short version] The eigenvalues of the PBT operator ρ in Eqn.(1) are given by $\lambda_\mu(\alpha) = \frac{N}{d^N} \frac{m_\mu d_\alpha}{m_\alpha d_\mu}$. Quantities m_α, m_μ denote multiplicities in the natural representation, d_α, d_μ denote the respective dimensions of the irreps. By μ we denote Young diagrams obtained from Young diagrams α of $n - 2$ boxes by adding a single box in a proper way. We take Young diagrams α, μ whose height is not greater than dimension d of the local Hilbert space.*

Further in this manuscript by symbol $\nu \vdash m$ we denote Young diagram of m boxes, by $\mu \in \alpha$ we denote all Young diagrams μ which can be obtained from the Young diagram α by adding a single box in a proper way, $\alpha \in \mu$ denotes all Young diagrams α which can be obtained from the Young diagram μ by removing a single box. By 'proper way' we understand a situation when the height of final Young diagram is less or equal than dimension d of the local Hilbert space. For the Young diagram μ its height (number of rows) is denoted as $h(\mu)$. Since there is one-to-one correspondence between Young diagrams made up of n boxes and inequivalent irreps of the symmetric group $S(n)$ we use symbols α, μ etc. interchangeably for Young diagrams and irreps whenever it is clear from the context.

2.2 Probabilistic PBT

In this scheme the teleported state reaches the recipient with high probability and one distinguishes two different protocols each with perfect teleportation fidelity. In the first type we consider a resource state which consists of a number of maximally entangled states, and in the second type we obtain the resource state as well set of POVMs as a result of the optimization procedure. In the former case, the probability of success is given by

Theorem 3. *The maximal average success probability in the probabilistic PBT with a resource state consisting of maximally entangled pairs is given by $p = \frac{1}{d^N} \sum_\alpha m_\alpha^2 \min_{\mu \in \alpha} \frac{d_\mu}{m_\mu}$, where μ denotes Young diagram obtained from Young diagrams $\alpha \vdash n - 2$ by adding a single box in a proper way and $\gamma_\mu(\alpha)$ is given in Proposition 2.*

In the latter case, the probability of success is given by

Theorem 4. *The optimal state in the probabilistic PBT is given by $X_A = \sum_\mu c_\mu P_\mu$ with $c_\mu = \frac{d^N g(N) m_\mu}{d_\mu}$ where $g(N) = 1 / \sum_\nu m_\nu^2$, and ν labels irreps of $S(n - 1)$. Operators P_μ are Young projectors onto irreps of $S(n - 1)$. The corresponding optimal probability is of the form $p = 1 - \frac{d^2 - 1}{N + d^2 - 1}$, where N is the number of ports, and d is the dimension of the local Hilbert space.*

Figure 2 depicts the success probability computed by our algorithm for both cases.




Figure 2: Exact performance of the probabilistic PBT protocol. Dotted lines correspond to the average success probability when we only optimize the measurements using maximally entangled resource state. Solid lines correspond to the average success probability when we optimize both the measurement and the resource state.

2.3 Deterministic PBT

The deterministic version of the PBT guarantees that the teleported state always reaches the recipient, but at a cost of being distorted. It is described by N POVM elements $\{\Pi_a\}_{a=1}^N$ with each $\Pi_a = \rho^{-1/2} \varrho_a \rho^{-1/2} + \Delta$, where the term $\Delta = (1/N)(\mathbf{1} - \sum_{a=1}^N \Pi_a)$ with $\text{Tr} \varrho_a \Delta = 0$ is required to ensure that $\sum_{a=1}^N \Pi_a = \mathbf{1}$. For simplicity, we take θ_C to be a half of the maximally entangled state. As described in the introduction, the sender, Alice, performs a joint measurement $\{\Pi_a\}_{a=1}^N$ on θ_C and her share of the resource state. She then communicates the classical outcome $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ to Bob, who then traces out all but the i -th port which contains the teleported state.

Theorem 12. *The fidelity for Port-Based Teleportation is given by $F = \frac{1}{d^{N+2}} \sum_{\alpha \vdash n-2} \left(\sum_{\mu \in \alpha} \sqrt{d_\mu m_\mu} \right)^2$, where sums over α and μ are taken, whenever number of rows in corresponding Young diagrams is not greater than the dimension of the local Hilbert space d .*

From the entanglement fidelity computed in Theorem 12 one can easily obtain the average fidelity using $f = (Fd + 1)/(d + 1)$. Figure 3 shows the performance of the deterministic PBT when Alice wishes to teleport higher-dimensional states.

Discussion and open questions

We found explicit expressions for the performance of all variations of the PBT in arbitrary dimension with any number of ports. We expect the tools and techniques introduced here to find a number of applications ranging from the study of quantum states with restricted symmetries and calculating properties of the antiferromagnetic systems to problems in the quantum measurement theory.

Our successful approach to studying properties of the port-based teleportation may be replicated for the study of arbitrary systems with partial symmetries. First, one needs to classify the symmetries of the system ($S(n-1)$ and $S(n-2)$ in the case of the PBT). Next, to identify and study the structure and the natural representation of the algebra corresponding to the elements with these symmetries ($\mathcal{A}_n^t(d)$ in the case of the PBT). Finally, to compute various tracial quan-




Figure 3: Performance of the deterministic PBT protocol for $d \in \{2, 3, 4, 10\}$. Dotted line denotes explicit values for the entanglement fidelity computed by our algorithm. Solid line denotes the best lower bound for $d = 5$ derived in [11].

ties of interest efficiently one needs to adjust the theory PRIRs to account for the symmetries of the system in question.

We now mention some open questions. Firstly, how to characterize entanglement content in the resource state after one run of any PBT protocol for $d > 2$. We know that in the qubit case the residual entanglement may be recycled to teleport more states [16]. In addition, when probabilistic PBT fails, Alice can nevertheless make use of the standard teleportation protocol reliably [17]. One might wonder if it is possible to similarly utilize the residual entanglement of the resource state in the qudit case. In particular, to show that such higher-dimensional teleportation scheme works one needs to extend our analysis to the properties of the left ideal \mathcal{S} depicted in Fig 4.

Second, for the probabilistic PBT protocol we have shown that the measurement operators are optimal for a fixed resource state of the form $|\Phi^+\rangle^{\otimes N}$. We do not know whether this holds for the deterministic PBT in our case. From [10, 11, 12] we know that for both the KLM scheme and the PBT protocols teleporting qubits, the resource state and the corresponding measurement differ when optimized simultaneously.

Another important problem is to determine the asymptotic performance of the PBT in arbitrary dimension. This presents a challenging task in particular because the asymptotic representation theory for the regime $d/N \rightarrow 0$ is still in its infancy.

Methods

2.4 Structure of the port-based teleportation operator

In order to quantify the effect of measurement \mathcal{X} one has to find the spectral properties of ρ . To simplify the analysis, we represent ρ in a different form. First, observe that every unnormalised projector $P_{CA_a}^+$ can be written as $P_{CA_a}^+ = V_{(CA_a)}^{t_C}$, where $V_{(CA_a)}$ denotes a permutation operator between systems C and A_a , and t_C denotes a transposition with respect to subsystem C . Therefore, ρ in Eqn. (1) may be written in terms of partially transposed permutation operators:

$$\rho = \frac{1}{d^N} \sum_{a=1}^N V_{(CA_a)}^{t_C} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A_a}. \quad (2)$$

Every element $V_{(CA_a)}^{t_C} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A_a}$ acts as a permutation operator on a full $n = N + 1$ -particle (we will use $n - 1$ and N interchangeably) Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$. When it is clear from the context, we denote every operator $V_{(CA_a)}^{t_C} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A_a}$ just by $V_{(CA_a)}^{t_C}$. The above form enables us to identify ρ as the element of a recently studied algebra of partially transposed permutation operators $\mathcal{A}_n^{t_n}(d)$ acting in the space $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$, where $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \geq 2$ [18, 19]. It turns out that $\mathcal{A}_n^{t_n}(d)$ decomposes into a direct sum of two types of left ideals (A left ideal of an algebra \mathcal{A} is a subalgebra $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $ax \in \mathcal{I}$ whenever $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in \mathcal{I}$) $\mathcal{A}_n^{t_n}(d) = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{S}$. To describe the functioning of the PBT protocols it suffices to only consider \mathcal{M} . The latter includes the irreducible representations of $\mathcal{A}_n^{t_n}(d)$ indexed by the irreducible representations of the group $S(n-2)$ which are strictly connected with the representations of the group $S(n-1)$ [18, 19] (see Fig. 4). To keep the notation consistent




Figure 4: The structure of $\mathcal{A}_n^{t_n}(d)$. It splits into direct sum of two ideals \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{S} . The irreps of \mathcal{M} are labelled by the irreps of $S(n-2)$ and they are strictly connected with the representations of the group $S(n-1)$ induced from the irreps of $S(n-2)$ [18].

with the previous analysis of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{t_n}(d)$, we consider operator ρ without factor $1/d^{n-1}$ and we change the numbering of the subsystems rewriting the general form of equation (2) as:

$$\eta = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} V^{t_n}(a, n), \quad (3)$$

where t_n denotes a partial transposition on the n^{th} subsystem, and (a, n) is a permutation between subsystems a and n . Here, the subsystem C is labelled by n . To evaluate the performance of the PBT scheme explicitly, we need to characterize the spectral properties of the above operator given in (3). For $d = 2$ and $N \geq 2$ this was done in [11] using the CG coefficients. The constraint for the dimension cannot be improved because the CG formalism does not admit closed-form solutions beyond $d = 2$. Our first contribution is the operator decomposition of η which leads to a universal decomposition method which works for all $d \geq 2$ and $N \geq 2$.

Theorem 1. *The operator $\eta = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} V^{tn}(a, n)$ has the form:*

$$\eta = \bigoplus_{\alpha \vdash n-2} \bigoplus_{\substack{\mu \vdash n-1 \\ \mu \in \alpha}} \eta_{\mu}(\alpha) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \vdash n-2} \bigoplus_{\substack{\mu \vdash n-1 \\ \mu \in \alpha}} P_{\mu} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} V(a, n-1) P_{\alpha} V^{tn}(n-1, n) V(a, n-1), \quad (4)$$

where α, μ run over Young diagrams whose heights are not greater than the dimension d of a single system, $\mu \in \alpha$ denotes a valid Young diagram μ obtained from α by adding one box in a proper way, P_{μ}, P_{α} denote Young projectors onto irreps of $S(n-1), S(n-2)$ labelled by $\mu \vdash n-1, \alpha \vdash n-2$ respectively. Each $\eta_{\mu}(\alpha)$ is proportional to a projector, i.e. $\eta_{\mu}(\alpha) = \gamma_{\mu}(\alpha) F_{\mu}(\alpha)$, $\gamma_{\mu}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}$, $F_{\mu}(\alpha)$ is a projector of the dimension $\dim F_{\mu}(\alpha) = d_{\mu} \tilde{m}_{\alpha}$, where \tilde{m}_{α} is the multiplicity of the irrep of the algebra labelled by α in $\mathcal{A}_n^{tn}(d)$, and d_{μ} is the dimension of the irrep of $S(n-1)$ labelled by μ . The projectors $F_{\mu}(\alpha)$ satisfy $F_{\mu}(\alpha) = M_{\alpha} P_{\mu}$, where M_{α} is projector including multiplicities onto α -th irrep of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{tn}(d)$.

The structure and relation of projectors that appear in the theorem are depicted in Figure 5.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary representation of algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{tn}(d)$. Let M_{α} be projector (including multiplicities) onto irrep labelled by $\alpha \vdash n-2$; denote the corresponding subspace $S(M_{\alpha})$, and set P_{μ} to be a projector onto irrep of $S(n-1)$ in the same representation (including the multiplicities). Our first goal is to determine the restriction of the operator η to the irrep labelled by α . We express η in terms of operators $\{v_{ij}^{ab}(\alpha)\}$, where $1 \leq a, b \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq d_{\alpha}$ (see Definition 5 in [19])

$$v_{ij}^{ab}(\alpha) = V(a, n-1) E_{ij}^{\alpha} V^{tn}(n-1, n) V(b, n-1), \quad (5)$$

since they span irrep labelled by α . The operators $\{E_{ij}^{\alpha}\}_{i,j=1}^{d_{\alpha}}$ form an operator basis in the irrep $\alpha \vdash n-2$ of $S(n-2)$ (see Appendix F for the details). Using (5) we can decompose η :

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} V(a, n-1) V^{tn}(n-1, n) V(a, n-1) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} V(a, n-1) P_{\alpha} V^{tn}(n-1, n) V(a, n-1) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\alpha}} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} V(a, n-1) E_{ii}^{\alpha} V^{tn}(n-1, n) V(a, n-1) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\alpha}} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} v_{ii}^{aa}(\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha} \eta(\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\eta(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_{\alpha}} v_{ii}^{aa}(\alpha) = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} V(a, n-1) P_{\alpha} V^{tn}(n-1, n) V(a, n-1). \quad (7)$$

Thus the support of $\eta(\alpha)$ is precisely the space $S(M_{\alpha})$ which is invariant under the action of $S(n-1)$, hence its eigenprojectors are $F_{\mu}(\alpha) = M_{\alpha} P_{\mu}$, and this results in the following decomposition:

$$\eta(\alpha) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mu \vdash n-1 \\ \mu \in \alpha}} \gamma_{\mu}(\alpha) M_{\alpha} P_{\mu} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \alpha} \eta_{\mu}(\alpha), \quad \gamma_{\mu}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (8)$$

with $\eta_{\mu}(\alpha) = P_{\mu} \eta(\alpha) P_{\mu}$. This immediately implies that

$$F_{\mu}(\alpha) = \gamma_{\mu}^{-1}(\alpha) P_{\mu} \eta(\alpha) P_{\mu}. \quad (9)$$

All of the structural properties above are derived solely from the properties of the underlying algebra, and are thus independent of representation. It is known that for any representation $\text{Tr}[P_{\mu} M_{\alpha}] = d_{\mu} \tilde{m}_{\alpha}$, where \tilde{m}_{α} is the multiplicity of the projector M_{α} [20]. \square




Figure 5: Graphical illustration of the action of the projector $F_\mu(\alpha)$ in Theorem 1.

To simplify the presentation, we may occasionally switch to the natural representation (for instance when we want to compute the partial trace). A number of fundamental results about the structure of the above algebra was obtained by [18, 19] who in particular showed that $\tilde{m}_\alpha = m_\alpha$, where m_α is the multiplicity of irrep labelled by α in the natural representation of the group $S(n-2)$. Keeping all the notation introduced in the previous theorem we now find the formula for the eigenvalues of the operator η as well as port-based teleportation operator ρ .

Proposition 2. [Extended version] *The numbers $\gamma_\mu(\alpha)$ given by Eqn. (8) are the eigenvalues of the operator η given by*

$$\gamma_\mu(\alpha) = (n-1) \frac{m_\mu d_\alpha}{m_\alpha d_\mu} \quad (10)$$

or equivalently:

$$\gamma_\mu(\alpha) = d + \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2) \frac{\chi^\mu(12)}{d_\mu} - \frac{1}{2}(n-2)(n-3) \frac{\chi^\alpha(12)}{d_\alpha}. \quad (11)$$

By m_α, m_μ we denote multiplicities of α, μ of $S(n-2), S(n-1)$ respectively in the natural representation, by d_α, d_μ the respective dimensions, and by $\chi^\mu(12), \chi^\alpha(12)$ the characters calculated on the transposition (12) of the corresponding irreps. By μ we denote Young diagrams obtained from Young diagrams α of $n-2$ boxes by adding a single box in a proper way. We take Young diagrams α, μ whose height is not greater than dimension d of the local Hilbert space.

Proof. From Theorem 1 we know that $\eta = \sum_{\substack{\mu \vdash n-1 \\ \mu \in \alpha}} \gamma_\mu(\alpha) F_\mu(\alpha)$, and $\eta_\mu(\alpha) = \gamma_\mu(\alpha) F_\mu(\alpha)$. Thus $\gamma_\mu(\alpha)$ can be expressed as

$$\gamma_\mu(\alpha) = \frac{\text{Tr } \eta_\mu(\alpha)}{\text{Tr } F_\mu(\alpha)} = \frac{\text{Tr } \eta_\mu(\alpha)}{d_\mu m_\alpha}. \quad (12)$$

In the last step we need to compute $\text{Tr } \eta_\mu(\alpha)$. Using the decomposition given in equation (4) and Fact 20 from Appendix C we can simplify:

$$\text{Tr } \eta_\mu(\alpha) = (n-1) \text{Tr} [P_\mu P_\alpha V^{tn}(n-1, n)] = \text{Tr} [P_\mu (P_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1})] = (n-1) m_\mu d_\alpha. \quad (13)$$

Plugging (13) into (12) we obtain first statement of the proposition given in equation (10). To prove (11) we use (12) and (13) express $\gamma_\mu(\alpha)$ as:

$$\gamma_\mu(\alpha) = \frac{n-1}{d_\mu m_\alpha} \text{Tr} [P_\mu (P_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1})]. \quad (14)$$

Using Fact 19 from Appendix C to obtain the decomposition of P_μ and simplifying it further we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\mu(\alpha) &= \frac{n-1}{m_\alpha(n-1)!} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\mu} \varphi_{ij}^\mu(a, n-1) \text{Tr} \left[V(a, n-1) F_{ij}^\mu (P_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}) \right] \\ &= \frac{n-1}{m_\alpha(n-1)!} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\mu} d^{\delta_{a,n-1}} \varphi_{ij}^\mu(a, n-1) \text{Tr} \left[F_{ij}^\mu P_\alpha \right], \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$F_{ij}^\mu = \sum_{\pi \in S(n-2)} \varphi_{ji}^\mu(\pi^{-1}) V(\pi). \quad (16)$$

From Eqn. (67) in Fact 19 in Appendix C and the orthogonality property that $\text{Tr} [E_{ij}^\beta P_\alpha] = \sum_k \text{Tr} [E_{ij}^\beta E_{kk}^\alpha] = \text{Tr} [E_{ij}^\alpha] = \delta_{ij} m_\alpha$, we write (15) in the PRIR notation defined in Appendix B

$$\gamma_\mu(\alpha) = \frac{1}{d_\alpha} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} d^{\delta_{a,n-1}} \left(\sum_{i_\alpha=1}^{d_\alpha} (\varphi_R^\mu)_{i_\alpha i_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(a, n-1) \right). \quad (17)$$

Finally, using Corollary 18 from Appendix B we obtain the second statement of the proposition. \square

Thus, the eigenvalues of the PBT operator ρ given in Eqn. (1) are the rescaled version of the above:

$$\lambda_\mu(\alpha) = (1/d^N) \gamma_\mu(\alpha) = (N/d^N) \frac{m_\mu d_\alpha}{m_\alpha d_\mu}. \quad (18)$$

2.5 Probabilistic version of the protocol

In the following two subsections we find maximal average success probability when a resource state is the maximally entangled state and then show how to find optimal resource state and POVMs simultaneously.

To prove the optimality in the above cases, we formulate the question as a semidefinite program. We prove the main theorems by presenting feasible solutions for a primal and a dual semidefinite problem. By establishing the solution to a primal problem we obtain an achievable lower bound for the success probability; the corresponding solution to the dual yields the upper bound. Observing that the respective bounds coincide we arrive at the optimal probability of success p_{opt} .

2.5.1 Maximally entangled state as a resource state

From [13] it follows that the optimal POVMs for the probabilistic PBT coincides with the ones for distinguishing the set of states $\{(1/N; \varrho_a)\}_{a=1}^N$. We thus look for a set of POVMs $\{\Pi_a = P_{a,n}^+ \otimes \Theta_{\bar{a}}\}_{a=1}^N$ which would maximize the average success probability

$$p^* = \frac{1}{d^{N+1}} \sum_{a=1}^N \text{Tr} \Pi_a = \frac{1}{d^{N+1}} \sum_{a=1}^N \text{Tr} \Theta_{\bar{a}} \quad (19)$$

subject to:

$$(1) \Theta_{\bar{a}} \geq 0, \quad (2) \sum_{a=1}^N P_{a,n}^+ \otimes \Theta_{\bar{a}} \leq \mathbf{1}_{AB} \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (20)$$

Since our resource state is maximally entangled, the RHS of the second constraint in (20) reduces to identity on AB (see [11]). Our main contribution here is the explicit expression for the probability of success of PBT:

Theorem 3. *The maximal average success probability in the probabilistic PBT with a resource state consisting of maximally entangled pairs is given by $p_{opt} = \frac{1}{d^N} \sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha}^2 \min_{\mu \in \alpha} \frac{d_{\mu}}{m_{\mu}}$, where μ denotes Young diagram obtained from $\alpha \vdash n - 2$ by adding a single box in a proper way and $\gamma_{\mu}(\alpha)$ is given in Proposition 2.*

Lemma 4. *The primal is feasible with $p^* = \frac{1}{d^N} \sum_{\alpha} \min_{\mu \in \alpha} \frac{m_{\alpha} d_{\alpha}}{\gamma_{\mu}(\alpha)} \leq p_{opt}$.*

The proof is located in Appendix G.1. The dual problem is to minimize

$$p_{\star} = \frac{1}{d^{N+1}} \text{Tr} \Omega \quad (21)$$

subject to:

$$(1) \Omega \geq 0, \quad (2) \text{Tr}_{a,n} [P_{a,n}^+ \Omega] \geq \mathbf{1}, \quad (22)$$

where $a = 1, \dots, n - 1$, Ω acts on n systems the identity $\mathbf{1}$ is defined on $n - 2$ systems, and $P_{a,n}^+$ is projector onto maximally entangled state between respective subsystems. We choose the operator Ω by a linear combination of the projectors $F_{\mu}(\alpha)$ defined in Theorem 1

$$\Omega = \sum_{\alpha} x_{\mu^*}(\alpha) F_{\mu^*}(\alpha), \quad x_{\mu^*}(\alpha) \geq 0, \quad (23)$$

where $\mu^* \vdash n - 1$ denotes the Young diagram obtained from $\alpha \vdash n - 2$ by adding one box in a proper way in such a way that $\gamma_{\mu^*}(\alpha)$ is possible maximal. From the definition of the constraints (21) and the symmetries of the projectors $F_{\mu}(\alpha)$ we need the following fact

Fact 5. *Let $F_{\mu}(\alpha)$ be the operators given in Theorem 1, and let $V^{tn}(n - 1, n)$ be a permutation operator acting between $(n - 1)$ -th and n -th subsystems partially transposed with respect to n -th subsystem, then $\text{Tr}_{n-1,n} [V^{tn}(n - 1, n) F_{\mu}(\alpha)] = \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{\alpha}} P_{\alpha}$, where numbers m_{α}, m_{μ} are the multiplicities of the respective irreps and P_{α} is the Young projector onto a irreducible subspace labelled by the partition $\alpha \vdash n - 2$.*

The proof of Fact 5 and the lemma below are located in Appendix G.2 and G.3 respectively.

Lemma 6. *The dual is feasible with $p_\star = \frac{1}{d^N} \sum_\alpha m_\alpha^2 \frac{d_\mu^\star}{m_\mu^\star} \geq p_{opt}$.*

Combining Lemma 4 with Lemma 6 we formulate the following proposition:

Proposition 7. *From $p^\star = p_\star$ we conclude that $\Theta_{\bar{a}} = d \sum_\alpha \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mu^\star(\alpha)}} P_\alpha = d \sum_\alpha P_\alpha \min_{\mu \in \alpha} \frac{1}{\gamma_\mu(\alpha)}$ for $a = 1, 2, \dots, N$ are the optimal POVMs for the maximally entangled state as a resource state.*

2.5.2 Optimisation over a resource state

We now turn to the case when both the optimal POVMs and a resource state are optimized simultaneously. We thus look for a set of POVMs $\{\Pi_a = P_{a,n}^+ \otimes \Theta_{\bar{a}}\}_{a=1}^N$ which would maximize the average success probability

$$p^\star = \frac{1}{d^{N+1}} \sum_{a=1}^N \text{Tr} \Pi_a = \frac{1}{d^{N+1}} \sum_{a=1}^N \text{Tr} \Theta_{\bar{a}} \quad (24)$$

subject to

$$(1) \Theta_{\bar{a}} \geq 0, \quad (2) \sum_{a=1}^N P_{a,n}^+ \otimes \Theta_{\bar{a}} \leq X_A \otimes \mathbf{1}_B \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (25)$$

In the above $X_A = O_A^\dagger O_A \geq 0$, where O_A is an operation applied by Alice on her half of the resource state satisfying $\text{Tr} X_A = d^N$ (see [11]). Using our formalism, we derive the optimal state for the probabilistic PBT:

Theorem 8. *The optimal state in the probabilistic PBT is given by, $|\zeta_{opt}\rangle = (O_A \otimes \mathbf{1})|\psi^+\rangle^{\otimes N}$, where $O_A = X_A^{1/2}$ with*

$$X_A = \sum_\mu c_\mu P_\mu \quad \text{with} \quad c_\mu = \frac{d^N g(N) m_\mu}{d_\mu}, \quad (26)$$

where $g(N) = 1/\sum_\nu m_\nu^2$, and ν labels irreps of $S(n-1)$. Operators P_μ are Young projectors onto irreps of $S(n-1)$. The optimal set of POVMs in the probabilistic PBT are given by $\{\Pi_a = P_{a,n}^+ \otimes \Theta_{\bar{a}}\}_{a=1}^N$, where

$$\forall a = 1, \dots, N \quad \Theta_{\bar{a}} = \sum_\alpha u(\alpha) P_{\alpha, \bar{a}}, \quad \text{with} \quad u(\alpha) = \frac{d^{N+1} g(N) m_\alpha}{N d_\alpha}. \quad (27)$$

Above sum runs over all allowed irreps of $S(n-2)$, $g(N) = 1/\sum_\nu m_\nu^2$ for all $\nu \vdash n-1$. By $P_{\alpha, \bar{a}}$ we denote Young projectors onto irreps of $S(n-2)$ defined on every subsystem except n -th and a -th. The corresponding optimal probability is of the form $p_{opt} = 1 - \frac{d^2-1}{N+d^2-1}$, where N is the number of ports, and d is the dimension of the local Hilbert space.

We prove the above theorem by presenting feasible solutions to a primal (Lemma 9), auxiliary lemma (Lemma 10) and dual semidefinite problem (Lemma 11). Proofs can be found in Appendix G.4, G.5 and G.6 respectively. Defining again a feasible value of the primal problem as in (19), but with respect to constraints (25) we have

Lemma 9. *The primal is feasible with $p^\star = 1 - \frac{d^2-1}{N+d^2-1}$, where N is the number of ports, and d is the dimension of the local Hilbert space.*

The dual problem is of minimizing $p_\star = d^N b$, where $b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ subject to

$$(1) \Omega \geq 0, \quad (2) \text{Tr}_{a,n} [P_{a,n}^+ \Omega] \geq \mathbf{1}_{1\dots n-2}, \quad (3) b \mathbf{1}_{1\dots n-1} - \frac{1}{d^{N+1}} \text{Tr}_a \Omega \geq 0, \quad (28)$$

where $a = 1, \dots, n-1$, Ω acts on n systems, identities $\mathbf{1}_{1\dots n-2}, \mathbf{1}_{1\dots n-1}$ are defined on $n-2$ and $n-1$ systems respectively, and $P_{a,n}^+$ is a projector onto maximally entangled state between respective subsystems. As in the case of the maximally entangled state we assume the general form of Ω to be given as a linear combination of the projectors $F_\mu(\alpha)$ defined in Theorem 1

$$\Omega = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\mu \in \alpha} x_\mu(\alpha) F_\mu(\alpha), \quad x_\mu(\alpha) \geq 0, \quad (29)$$

where $\mu \vdash n-1$ denotes the Young diagram obtained from the Young diagram $\alpha \vdash n-2$ by adding one box in a proper way. From the definition of the constraints (28) and the symmetries of the projectors $F_\mu(\alpha)$ we calculate its partial trace in terms of P_μ :

Lemma 10. *Let $F_\mu(\alpha)$ be the operators given in Theorem 1, then $\text{Tr}_n F_\mu(\alpha) = \frac{m_\alpha}{m_\mu} P_\mu$, where numbers m_α, m_μ are multiplicities of the respective irreps and P_μ is the Young projector onto irreducible subspace labelled by the partition $\mu \vdash n-1$.*

Having Lemma 10 we are ready to formulate the following:

Lemma 11. *The dual is feasible with $p_\star = 1 - \frac{d^2-1}{N+d^2-1}$, where N is the number of ports, and d is the dimension of the local Hilbert space.*

By combining Lemma 9 and Lemma 11 we find that $p^\star = p_\star$, so we conclude that $\Theta_{\bar{a}}$ for $a = 1, 2, \dots, N$ given in (108) are the optimal POVMs with the optimal state given by (109), concluding the proof of Theorem 8.

One important consequence of Theorem 8 is that the optimal probability of success in probabilistic performance of port-based teleportation is given only in terms of ‘global’ parameters such as the number of ports N and the dimension of a local Hilbert space d , $p \equiv p(d, N)$. Thus, for any fixed dimension d we get $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} p(d, N) = 1$, which shows that in the asymptotic limit our protocol achieves a unit success probability. Moreover, for fixed number of ports N we have $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} p(d, N) = 0$ as we expected. Since the probability of success given in Theorem 8 is optimal and upper bounds the probability of success in Theorem 3 in the case of maximally entangled state for any value of d and N .

2.6 Deterministic version of the protocol

The deterministic version of the PBT is described by N POVM elements $\{\Pi_a\}_{a=1}^N$ with each $\Pi_a = \rho^{-1/2} \varrho_a \rho^{-1/2} + \Delta$, where the term $\Delta = (1/N)(\mathbf{1} - \sum_{a=1}^N \Pi_a)$ with $\text{Tr} \varrho_a \Delta = 0$ is required to ensure that $\sum_{a=1}^N \Pi_a = \mathbf{1}$. For simplicity, we take θ_C to be a half of the maximally entangled state. As described in the introduction, the sender, Alice, performs a joint measurement $\{\Pi_a\}_{a=1}^N$ on θ_C and her share of the resource state. The entanglement fidelity of the protocol for any $d \geq 2$, $N \geq 2$ is given by:

Theorem 12. *The fidelity for Port-Based Teleportation is given by the following formula $F = \frac{1}{d^{N+2}} \sum_{\alpha \vdash n-2} \left(\sum_{\mu \in \alpha} \sqrt{d_\mu m_\mu} \right)^2$, where sums over α and μ are taken, whenever number of rows in corresponding Young diagrams is not greater than the dimension of the local Hilbert space d .*

Proof. From [11] we know that the fidelity in PBT is given by

$$F = \frac{1}{d^2} \sum_{a=1}^N \text{Tr} \left[\varrho_a \rho^{-1/2} \varrho_a \rho^{-1/2} \right] = \frac{N}{d^{N+2}} \text{Tr} \left[V^{tn}(n-1, n) \eta^{-1/2} V^{tn}(n-1, n) \eta^{-1/2} \right], \quad (30)$$

where the right-hand side is recast using our notation. We use fact that values $\text{Tr} [\varrho_a \rho^{-1/2} \varrho_a \rho^{-1/2}]$ do not depend on a , so it suffices to evaluate (30) for the simplest case, when $a = N$, then $V^{tn}(n-1, n) = \mathbf{1} \otimes P_{n-1, n}^+ \equiv \mathbf{1} \otimes P_+$. In this proof P_+ is an unnormalized projector onto a maximally entangled state between $(n-1)$ -th and n -th subsystem - we will use notation P_+ and $V^{tn}(n-1, n)$ interchangeably. Using Theorem 1 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{N}{d^{N+2}} \text{Tr} \left[(\mathbf{1} \otimes P_+) \eta^{-1/2} (\mathbf{1} \otimes P_+) \eta^{-1/2} \right] \\ &= \frac{N}{d^{N+2}} \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \alpha \\ \mu' \in \alpha'}} \frac{\zeta_{\mu, \mu'}(\alpha, \alpha')}{\sqrt{\gamma_\mu(\alpha)} \sqrt{\gamma_{\mu'}(\alpha')}}, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $\zeta_{\mu, \mu'}(\alpha, \alpha') = \text{Tr} [V^{tn}(n-1, n) F_\mu(\alpha) V^{tn}(n-1, n) F_{\mu'}(\alpha')]$. Using Fact 13 from Appendix A we rewrite the trace as follows:

$$\zeta_{\mu, \mu'}(\alpha, \alpha') = \text{Tr} [V^{tn}(n-1, n) P_\mu M_\alpha V^{tn}(n-1, n) P_{\mu'} M_{\alpha'}] \quad (32)$$

$$= \text{Tr} [P_{\alpha'} V^{tn}(n-1, n) P_\mu P_\alpha V^{tn}(n-1, n) P_{\mu'}]. \quad (33)$$

After further simplification (see Appendix D for details), we further reduce the expression inside of the trace to get:

$$\zeta_{\mu, \mu'}(\alpha, \alpha') = \sum_{a, b=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d_\mu} \frac{d_\mu d_{\mu'} d^{\delta_{a, n-1}} d^{\delta_{b, n-1}}}{[(n-1)!]^2} \varphi_{ij}^\mu(a, n-1) \varphi_{kl}^{\mu'}(b, n-1) \text{Tr} \left[\left(P_\alpha F_{ij}^\mu \right) \left(P_{\alpha'} F_{kl}^{\mu'} \right) \right], \quad (34)$$

where F_{ij}^μ are defined in Fact 19 of Appendix C. The operators $F_{ij}^\mu, F_{kl}^{\mu'}$ can be expressed as direct sum of operators E_{st}^β as in Eqn. (67) of Appendix C, where $\beta \vdash n-2$, so

$$\text{Tr} \left[\left(P_\alpha F_{ij}^\mu \right) \left(P_{\alpha'} F_{kl}^{\mu'} \right) \right] = \frac{[(n-2)!]^2}{d_\alpha^2} m_\alpha \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \delta_{li} \delta_{jk}. \quad (35)$$

Substituting (35) into (34) and collecting the terms we obtain the following equation:

$$F = \frac{1}{N d^{N+2}} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{m_\alpha}{d_\alpha^2} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \alpha \\ \mu' \in \alpha'}} \frac{d_\mu d_{\mu'}}{\sqrt{\gamma_\mu(\alpha)} \sqrt{\gamma_{\mu'}(\alpha)}} g_{\mu, \mu'}(\alpha), \quad (36)$$

where the term $g_{\mu, \mu'}(\alpha) = \sum_{a, b=1}^{n-1} d^{\delta_{a, n-1}} d^{\delta_{b, n-1}} \sum_{i_\alpha, j_\alpha=1}^{d_\alpha} (\phi_R^\mu)_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(a, n-1) (\phi_R^{\mu'})_{j_\alpha i_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(b, n-1)$, evaluated in Appendix D.2, yields the following tractable expression for the fidelity:

$$F = \frac{1}{N d^{N+2}} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{m_\alpha}{d_\alpha} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \alpha \\ \mu' \in \alpha'}} d_\mu d_{\mu'} \sqrt{\gamma_\mu(\alpha) \gamma_{\mu'}(\alpha)}. \quad (37)$$

We get the final result by substituting the expression for the eigenvalues $\gamma_\mu(\alpha), \gamma_{\mu'}(\alpha)$ from Eqn. (10) of Theorem 2. \square

Acknowledgments

MS is supported by the grant "Mobilność Plus IV", 1271/MOB/IV/2015/0 from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education. MH and MM are supported by National Science Centre, Poland, grant OPUS 9. 2015/17/B/ST2/01945.

References

- [1] Bennett, C. H. *et al.* Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen channels. *Physical Review Letters* **70**, 1895–1899; DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.1895 (1993).
- [2] Boschi, D., Branca, S., De Martini, F., Hardy, L. & Popescu, S. Experimental Realization of Teleporting an Unknown Pure Quantum State via Dual Classical and Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen Channels. *Physical Review Letters* **80**, 1121–1125; DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.1121 (1998).
- [3] Gottesman, D. & Chuang, I. L. Demonstrating the viability of universal quantum computation using teleportation and single-qubit operations. *Nature* **402**, 390–393; DOI 10.1038/46503 (1999).
- [4] Gross, D. & Eisert, J. Novel Schemes for Measurement-Based Quantum Computation. *Physical Review Letters* **98**, 220503; DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.220503 (2007).
- [5] Jozsa, R. An introduction to measurement based quantum computation. ArXiv: quant-ph/0508124 (2005).
- [6] Knill, E., Laflamme, R. & Milburn, G. J. A scheme for efficient quantum computation with linear optics. *Nature* **409**, 46–52; DOI 10.1038/35051009 (2001).
- [7] Pirandola, S., Eisert, J., Weedbrook, C., Furusawa, A. & Braunstein, S. L. Advances in quantum teleportation. *Nature Photonics* **9**, 641–652; DOI 10.1038/nphoton.2015.154 (2015).
- [8] Raussendorf, R. & Briegel, H. J. A One-Way Quantum Computer. *Physical Review Letters* **86**, 5188–5191; DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.5188 (2015).
- [9] Żukowski, M., Zeilinger, A., Horne, M. A. & Ekert, A. K. "Event-ready-detectors" Bell experiment via entanglement swapping. *Physical Review Letters* **71**, 4287–4290; DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.71.4287 (1993).
- [10] Grudka, A. & Modlowska, J. Optimal state in the Knill-Laflamme-Milburn scheme of linear optical teleportation. *Physical Review A* **77**, 014301; DOI 10.1103/PhysRevA.77.014301 (2008).
- [11] Ishizaka, S. & Hiroshima, T. Asymptotic Teleportation Scheme as a Universal Programmable Quantum Processor. *Physical Review Letters* **101**, 240501; DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.240501 (2008).
- [12] Ishizaka, S. & Hiroshima, T. Quantum teleportation scheme by selecting one of multiple output ports. *Physical Review A* **79**, 042306; DOI 10.1103/PhysRevA.79.042306 (2009).

- [13] Beigi, S. & König, R. Simplified instantaneous non-local quantum computation with applications to position-based cryptography. *New Journal of Physics* **13**, 093036; DOI 10.1088/1367-2630/13/9/093036 (2011).
- [14] Buhrman, H. *et al.* Quantum communication complexity advantage implies violation of a Bell inequality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 3191–3196; DOI 10.1073/pnas.1507647113 (2016).
- [15] Wang, Z.-W. & Braunstein, S. L. Higher-dimensional performance of port-based teleportation. *Scientific Reports* **6**, 33004; DOI 10.1038/srep33004 (2016).
- [16] Strelchuk, S., Horodecki, M. & Oppenheim, J. Generalized Teleportation and Entanglement Recycling. *Physical Review Letters* **110**, 010505; DOI 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.010505 (2013).
- [17] Ishizaka, S. Some remarks on port-based teleportation. ArXiv: 1506.01555 (2015).
- [18] Mozrzykas, M., Horodecki, M. & Studziński, M. Structure and properties of the algebra of partially transposed permutation operators. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **55**, 032202; DOI 10.1063/1.4869027 (2014).
- [19] Studziński, M., Horodecki, M. & Mozrzykas, M. Commutant structure of $U_x \dots x U_x U^*$ transformations. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** 395303; DOI 10.1063/1751-8113/46/39/395303 (2013).
- [20] Curtis, C. W. & Reiner, I. *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*. Wiley; DOI 10.1090/chel/356.H (1998)

Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Port-based teleportation in arbitrary dimension

Supplementary Information

Michał Studziński, Sergii Strelchuk, Marek Mozrzykmas, Michał Horodecki

A Auxilliary fact about operator $V^{tn}(n-1, n)$

Fact 13. *Let M_α be projector including multiplicities onto α -th irrep of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{tn}(d)$, let P_α, P_β where $\alpha, \beta \vdash n-2$ be a Young projectors, and let $V^{tn}(n-1, n)$ be a permutation operator acting between $(n-1)$ -th and n -th subsystems partially transposed with respect to n -th subsystem, then*

$$M_\alpha V^{tn}(n-1, n) = P_\alpha V^{tn}(n-1, n), \quad (38)$$

Proof. The proof is based on the results presented in [19]. Namely we know that operators $V^{tn}(\sigma)$, where $\sigma \in S(n)$ can be decomposed in every irrep labelled by α in operator basis $\{v_{ij}^{ab}(\alpha)\}$, where $1 \leq a, b \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq d_\alpha$. In particular, when $\sigma = (n-1, n)$ we have:

$$V^{tn}(n-1, n) = \sum_{\alpha \vdash n-2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d_\alpha} \varphi_{ij}^\alpha(e) v_{ij}^{n-1, n-1}(\alpha), \quad (39)$$

where e denotes the identity element of $S(n-2)$. We see that $\sum_{i, j=1}^{d_\alpha} \varphi_{ij}^\alpha(e) v_{ij}^{n-1, n-1}(\alpha) = M_\alpha V^{tn}(n-1, n)$ is a restriction of $V^{tn}(\sigma)$ to irrep labelled by α , so rewriting equation (39)

$$M_\alpha V^{tn}(n-1, n) = \sum_{i, j=1}^{d_\alpha} \varphi_{ij}^\alpha(e) v_{ij}^{n-1, n-1}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} v_{ii}^{n-1, n-1}(\alpha), \quad (40)$$

since $\varphi_{ij}^\alpha(e) = \delta_{ij}$. Using equation (5) from Section 2.4 we write:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} v_{ii}^{n-1, n-1}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} E_{ii}^\alpha V^{tn}(n-1, n) = P_\alpha V^{tn}(n-1, n), \quad (41)$$

since $P_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\alpha} E_{ii}^\alpha$. This finishes the proof. \square

B Partially reduced irreducible representations (PRIR)

In this section we derive some properties of the PRIR. This concept plays a crucial role in the simplification of the representation of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{tn}(d)$.

Let us consider an arbitrary unitary irrep ϕ^μ of $S(n)$. It can be always unitarily transformed to PRIR ϕ_R^μ such that

$$\forall \pi \in S(n-1) \quad \phi_R^\mu(\pi) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mu} \varphi^\alpha(\pi), \quad (42)$$

where φ^α are irreps of $S(n-1)$. By $\alpha \in \mu$ we denote Young diagrams α which can be obtained from μ by removing one box in the proper way. We see that the restriction of the irrep ϕ^μ of $S(n)$ to the subgroup $S(n-1)$ has a block-diagonal form of completely reduced representation, which in matrix notation takes the form

$$\forall \pi \in S(n-1) \quad \phi_R^\mu(\pi) = \left(\delta^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}^\alpha \right). \quad (43)$$

The block structure of this reduced representation allows us to introduce such a block indexation for PRIR ϕ_R^μ of $S(n)$, which gives

$$\forall \sigma \in S(n) \quad \phi_R^\mu(\sigma) = \left(\phi_{i_\alpha j_\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(\sigma) \right), \quad (44)$$

where the matrices on the diagonal $(\phi_R^\mu)^{\alpha\alpha}(\sigma) = \left(\phi_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(\sigma) \right)$ are of dimension of corresponding irrep φ^α of $S(n-1)$. The off diagonal blocks need not to be square. The matrices $(\phi_R^\mu)^{\alpha\alpha}(\sigma) = \left(\phi_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(\sigma) \right)$ on the diagonal of the matrix $\phi_R^\mu(\sigma)$ have the following important properties:

Proposition 14. *Let $(\phi_R^\mu)^{\alpha\alpha}(\sigma) = \left(\phi_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(\sigma) \right)$ be the matrices on the diagonal of the PRIR matrix $\phi_R^\mu(\sigma)$, then*

$$\forall \alpha \in \mu \quad \varphi^\alpha(\pi) \left(\phi_R^\mu \right)^{\alpha\alpha}(an) \varphi^\alpha(\pi^{-1}) = \left(\phi_R^\mu \right)^{\alpha\alpha}(\pi(a)n), \quad (45)$$

and from this it follows

$$\forall \alpha \in \mu \quad \forall \pi \in S(n-1) \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad \text{Tr} \left[\left(\phi_R^\mu \right)^{\alpha\alpha}(an) \right] = \text{Tr} \left[\left(\phi_R^\mu \right)^{\alpha\alpha}(\pi(a)n) \right], \quad (46)$$

so the trace is constant on the transpositions which naturally indexed the coset $S(n)/S(n-1)$.

Proof. From the composition rule in $S(n)$ we have

$$\forall \pi \in S(n-1) \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad \pi \circ (an) \circ \pi^{-1} = (\pi(a)n), \quad (47)$$

which implies

$$\forall \pi \in S(n-1) \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad \phi_R^\mu(\pi) \phi_R^\mu(an) \phi_R^\mu(\pi^{-1}) = \phi_R^\mu(\pi(a)n), \quad (48)$$

where the matrices $\phi_R^\mu(\pi)$, $\phi_R^\mu(\pi^{-1})$ are block-diagonal (see expression (43)). From multiplication rule of block diagonal matrices we get that the equation (48) in the irrep ϕ_R^μ yields the following equations for its diagonal blocks determined by the irrep's φ^α of $S(n-1)$

$$\forall \alpha \in \mu \quad \varphi^\alpha(\pi) \left(\phi_R^\mu \right)^{\alpha\alpha}(an) \varphi^\alpha(\pi^{-1}) = \left(\phi_R^\mu \right)^{\alpha\alpha}(\pi(a)n). \quad (49)$$

Taking the trace, in $\mathbb{M}(d_\alpha, \mathbb{C})$, on this equation we get the second statement of the Proposition. \square

Further we have the following sum rules

Proposition 15. *The PRIR ϕ_R^μ of $S(n)$ satisfies the following sum rules*

$$\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \left(\phi_R^\mu \right)^{\alpha\alpha}(an) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{\chi^\mu(12)}{d_\mu} \mathbf{1}_{\phi^\mu} - \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mu} \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \frac{\chi^\alpha(12)}{d_\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{\varphi^\alpha}, \quad (50)$$

which implies that for the diagonal blocks we have

$$\forall \alpha \in \mu \quad \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \left(\phi_R^\mu \right)^{\alpha\alpha}(an) = \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{\chi^\mu(12)}{d_\mu} - \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \frac{\chi^\alpha(12)}{d_\alpha} \right] \mathbf{1}_{\varphi^\alpha}. \quad (51)$$

Proof. The starting point is the classical equation

$$\sum_{(ab) \in S(n)} (\phi_R^\mu)(ab) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{\chi^\mu(12)}{d_\mu} \mathbf{1}_{\phi^\mu}, \quad (52)$$

which holds for any irrep of $S(n)$. We rewrite *LHS* of equation (52) separating the terms in $S(n-1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(ab) \in S(n)} (\phi_R^\mu)(ab) &= \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (\phi_R^\mu)(an) + \sum_{(cd) \in S(n-1)} (\phi_R^\mu)(cd) \\ &= \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (\phi_R^\mu)(an) + \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mu} \sum_{(cd) \in S(n-1)} \varphi^\alpha(cd). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Now we use one more equation (52) to each irrep φ^α in the direct sum of *RHS* in equation (53) we get

$$\sum_{(ab) \in S(n)} (\phi_R^\mu)(ab) = \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (\phi_R^\mu)(an) + \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mu} \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \frac{\chi^\alpha(12)}{d_\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{\varphi^\alpha}. \quad (54)$$

This equation together with expression (52) gives the first statement of the proposition. \square

Remark 16. Equation (50) in Proposition 15 may be written in a more explicit form as follows:

$$\forall \alpha \in \mu \quad \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} (\phi_R^\mu)_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(an) = \left[\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \frac{\chi^\mu(12)}{d_\mu} - \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \frac{\chi^\alpha(12)}{d_\alpha} \right] \delta_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}, \quad (55)$$

where $i_\alpha, j_\alpha = 1, \dots, d_\alpha$.

We have one more summation rule, which plays a role of the standard orthogonality relation for irreps. Namely we have the following:

Proposition 17. The PRIR ϕ_R^μ of $S(n)$ satisfies the following bilinear sum rule

$$\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mu \quad \sum_{a=1}^n \sum_{k_\beta=1}^{d_\beta} (\phi_R^\mu)_{i_\alpha k_\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(an) (\phi_R^\mu)_{k_\beta j_\gamma}^{\beta\gamma}(an) = n \frac{d_\beta}{d_\mu} \delta^{\alpha\gamma} \delta_{i_\alpha j_\gamma}, \quad (56)$$

where α, β, γ are irreps of $S(n-1)$ contained in the irrep μ of $S(n)$.

Proof. The proof is based on the standard orthogonality relations for irreps, which in PRIR notation take the following form

$$\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mu \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} (\phi_R^\mu)_{i_\alpha k_\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^{-1}) (\phi_R^\mu)_{k_\beta j_\gamma}^{\beta\gamma}(\sigma) = \frac{n!}{d_\mu} \delta^{\alpha\gamma} \delta_{i_\alpha j_\gamma}, \quad (57)$$

for any irreps α, β, γ of the group $S(n-1)$ which are contained in the irrep μ of $S(n)$. On the other hand we may rewrite the *LHS* of the above equation as follows

$$LHS = \sum_{a=1}^n \sum_{\pi \in S(n-1)} \sum_{\xi, \theta \in \mu} \sum_{p_\xi, q_\theta} (\phi_R^\mu)_{i_\alpha p_\xi}^{\alpha\xi}(an) (\phi_R^\mu)_{p_\xi k_\beta}^{\xi\beta}(\pi^{-1}) (\phi_R^\mu)_{k_\beta q_\theta}^{\beta\theta}(\pi) (\phi_R^\mu)_{q_\theta j_\gamma}^{\theta\gamma}(an). \quad (58)$$

Taking into account equation (43) we obtain

$$LHS = \sum_{a=1}^n \sum_{\pi \in S(n-1)} \sum_{p\beta, q\beta} (\phi_R^\mu)_{i_{\alpha p\beta}}^{\alpha\beta}(an) \varphi_{p\beta k\beta}^\beta(\pi^{-1}) \varphi_{k\beta q\beta}^\beta(\pi) (\phi_R^\mu)_{q\beta j\gamma}^{\beta\gamma}(an), \quad (59)$$

next applying the orthogonality relations for irreps φ^β of $S(n-1)$ we get

$$LHS = \frac{(n-1)!}{d_\beta} \sum_{a=1}^n \sum_{p\beta}^{d_\beta} (\phi_R^\mu)_{i_{\alpha p\beta}}^{\alpha\beta}(an) (\phi_R^\mu)_{p\beta j\gamma}^{\beta\gamma}(an). \quad (60)$$

Now comparing this with the *RHS* of the equation (57), we obtain the statement of the proposition. \square

As a corollary from Propositions 14 and Proposition 15 we get

Corollary 18.

$$\forall \alpha \in \mu \quad \forall a = 1, \dots, n-1 \quad \text{Tr} [(\phi_R^\mu)^{\alpha\alpha}(an)] = \frac{n d_\alpha}{2 d_\beta} \chi^\mu(12) - \frac{n-2}{2} \chi^\alpha(12). \quad (61)$$

C Auxiliary facts concerning Young projectors

Let us define the following set of permutations

$$\Sigma_a = \{\sigma \in S(n-1) : \sigma(a) = n-1\}, \quad \text{then we have} \quad S(n-1) = \bigcup_{a=1}^{n-1} \Sigma_a. \quad (62)$$

Now we see that for every $\sigma \in \Sigma_a$ permutation $\sigma \circ (a, n-1)$ belongs to $S(n-2)$, since $(\sigma \circ (a, n-1))(n-1) = n-1$. Such property allows us to rewrite Young projectors P_μ , where $\mu \vdash n-1$ in a more convenient form, namely we have the following:

Fact 19. *Young projector P_μ , where $\mu \vdash n-1$ can be written as*

$$P_\mu = \frac{d_\mu}{(n-1)!} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\mu} \varphi_{ij}^\mu(a, n-1) V(a, n-1) F_{ij}^\mu, \quad (63)$$

where

$$F_{ij}^\mu = \sum_{\pi \in S(n-2)} \varphi_{ji}^\mu(\pi^{-1}) V(\pi). \quad (64)$$

By $\varphi_{ij}^\mu(a, n-1), \varphi_{ji}^\mu(\pi^{-1})$ we denote matrix elements of irreducible representations labelled by partition μ for the permutations $(a, n-1), \pi^{-1}$ respectively. Note that in the equation (64) we compute matrix elements of irreducible representations for partition $\mu \vdash n-1$, but over subgroup $S(n-2) \subset S(n-1)$.

Proof. Proof is based on straightforward calculations and observations summarized in the formula (62). We have the following chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\mu &= \frac{d_\mu}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S(n-1)} \chi^\mu(\sigma^{-1}) V(\sigma) = \frac{d_\mu}{(n-1)!} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\pi \in S(n-2)} \chi^\mu((a, n-1) \circ \pi^{-1}) V((a, n-1) \circ \pi) \\
&= d_\mu \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\mu} \varphi_{ij}^\mu(a, n-1) V(a, n-1) \left(\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\pi \in S(n-2)} \varphi_{ji}^\mu(\pi^{-1}) V(\pi) \right) \\
&= d_\mu \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\mu} \varphi_{ij}^\mu(a, n-1) V(a, n-1) F_{ij}^\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

□

Every irreducible block labelled by $\mu \vdash n-1$ can be decomposed as a direct sum of smaller irreducible blocks labelled by partitions $\beta \vdash n-2$. Every such partition β is obtained by removing a single box from μ in the proper way. This together with the notion of PRIRs defined in Appendix B allows us to decompose every F_{ij}^μ from Fact 19 as

$$F_{ij}^\mu = \bigoplus_{\beta = \mu - \square} \sum_{\pi \in S(n-2)} (\varphi_R^\mu)_{i_\beta j_\beta}^{\beta\beta} (\pi^{-1}) V(\pi). \tag{66}$$

Moreover, every operator F_{ij}^μ can be expressed in terms of the projectors E_{ij}^β as

$$F_{ij}^\mu = \bigoplus_{\beta = \mu - \square} \frac{(n-2)!}{d_\beta} E_{i_\beta j_\beta}^\beta. \tag{67}$$

Fact 20. *Suppose that we are given an irreducible representation labelled by $\mu \vdash n-1$, then for every swap operator $V(k, n-1)$ between k^{th} and $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ subsystem, and Young projector P_μ we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} V(k, n-1) P_\mu V(k, n-1) = (n-1) P_\mu. \tag{68}$$

Proof. We know that every Young projector associated with irreducible representation μ can be written as

$$P_\mu = \frac{d_\mu}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S(n-1)} \chi^\mu(\sigma^{-1}) V(\sigma), \tag{69}$$

where $\chi^\mu(\sigma^{-1})$ is the character of irreducible representation μ calculated on the element $\sigma^{-1} \in S(n-1)$, and $V(\sigma)$ is the permutation operator acting on $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes(n-1)}$. Since operator P_μ belongs to the centre of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[S(n-1)]$ it commutes with all elements $V(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}[S(n-1)]$, where $\sigma \in S(n-1)$ in particular with $V(k, n-1) \in \mathbb{C}[S(n-1)]$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. This finishes the proof. □

Fact 21. Let us denote by P_+ projector onto unnormalized maximally entangled state $|\psi^+\rangle = \sum_i |ii\rangle$ between $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$ and n^{th} subsystem, then:

$$(\mathbf{1} \otimes P_+) V(k, n-1) (\mathbf{1} \otimes P_+) = \begin{cases} d(\mathbf{1} \otimes P_+) & \text{if } k = n-1, \\ \mathbf{1} \otimes P_+ & \text{if } k = 1, \dots, n-2. \end{cases} \quad (70)$$

In the above, by $V(k, n-1)$ we denote swap operator between k -th and $(n-1)$ -th subsystem respectively, and by d dimension of the local Hilbert space.

Proof. For $k = n-1$ we have simply $(\mathbf{1} \otimes P_+)^2 = d(\mathbf{1} \otimes P_+)$, since P_+ is unnormalized. Now we have to prove the second case from the formula (70):

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{1} \otimes P_+) V(k, n-1) (\mathbf{1} \otimes P_+) &= \left(\sum_{j_n, j_{n-1}=1}^d \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \otimes \dots \otimes |j_n\rangle\langle j_{n-1}| \otimes |j_n\rangle\langle j_{n-1}| \right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{i_k, i_{n-1}=1}^d \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k-1} \otimes |i_{n-1}\rangle\langle i_k| \otimes \dots \otimes |i_k\rangle\langle i_{n-1}| \otimes \mathbf{1}_n \right) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{l_{n-1}, l_n=1}^d \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \otimes \dots \otimes |l_n\rangle\langle l_{n-1}| \otimes |l_n\rangle\langle l_{n-1}| \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j_n, j_{n-1}=1 \\ i_k, i_{n-1}=1 \\ l_{n-1}, l_n=1}}^d \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k-1} \otimes |i_{n-1}\rangle\langle i_k| \otimes \dots \otimes |j_n\rangle\langle j_{n-1}| i_k\rangle\langle i_{n-1}| l_n\rangle\langle l_{n-1}| \otimes |j_n\rangle\langle j_{n-1}| l_n\rangle\langle l_{n-1}| \\ &= \sum_{i_k, j_n, l_{n-1}=1}^d \mathbf{1}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{k-1} \otimes |i_k\rangle\langle i_k| \otimes \dots \otimes |j_n\rangle\langle l_{n-1}| \otimes |j_n\rangle\langle l_{n-1}| = \mathbf{1} \otimes P_+. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

(72)

□

Fact 22. For an arbitrary element X of algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{t_n}(d)$, $\text{Tr}_n X \in \mathbb{C}[S(n-1)]$.

Proof. From [18, 19] we know that algebra $\mathcal{A}_n^{t_n}(d)$ is spanned by the partially transposed permutation operators $V^{t_n}(\sigma)$, where $\sigma \in S(n)$. Let us take an arbitrary operator A defined on $n-1$ subsystems, then we can write

$$\text{Tr} [V^{t_n}(\sigma)A \otimes \mathbf{1}_n] = \text{Tr} [V(\sigma)A \otimes \mathbf{1}_n^{t_n}], \quad (73)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_n$ is the identity operator on last system, and t_n denotes standard transposition operation on last n -th system. We can now express the trace $\text{Tr}_n [V^{t_n}(\sigma)] = \text{Tr} [V(\sigma)]$, but $\text{Tr} [V(\sigma)]$ for $\sigma \in S(n)$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}[S(n-1)]$, so we have $\text{Tr}_n [V^{t_n}(\sigma)] \in \mathbb{C}[S(n-1)]$. □

D Proof of the auxiliary statements in Theorem 3

D.1 Proof of Eqn. (34)

Using the expression for P_μ from Fact 19 and applying Fact 21 we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{\mu,\mu'}(\alpha, \alpha') &= \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{ij=1}^{d_\mu} \frac{d_\mu}{(n-1)!} \varphi_{ij}^\mu(a, n-1) \text{Tr} \left[\left(P_\alpha F_{ij}^\mu \otimes \mathbf{1} \right) (\mathbf{1} \otimes P_+) V(a, n-1) (\mathbf{1} \otimes P_+) (P_{\alpha'} \otimes \mathbf{1}) P_{\mu'} \right] \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\mu} \frac{d_\mu d^{\delta_{a,n-1}}}{(n-1)!} \varphi_{ij}^\mu(a, n-1) \text{Tr} \left[\left(P_\alpha F_{ij}^\mu P_{\alpha'} \otimes P_+ \right) P_{\mu'} \right] \\
&= \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\mu} \frac{d_\mu d^{\delta_{a,n-1}}}{(n-1)!} \varphi_{ij}^\mu(a, n-1) \text{Tr} \left[\left(P_\alpha F_{ij}^\mu P_{\alpha'} \otimes \mathbf{1} \right) P_{\mu'} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

In the last equality we have used the fact that $\text{Tr}_n P^+ = \mathbf{1}$, where identity acts on $(n-1)$ -th subsystem. Applying Fact 19 to operator $P_{\mu'}$ and calculating a partial trace over $(n-1)$ -th subsystem we get:

$$\zeta_{\mu,\mu'}(\alpha, \alpha') = \sum_{a,b=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d_\mu} \frac{d_\mu d_{\mu'} d^{\delta_{a,n-1}} d^{\delta_{b,n-1}}}{[(n-1)!]^2} \varphi_{ij}^\mu(a, n-1) \varphi_{kl}^{\mu'}(b, n-1) \text{Tr} \left[\left(P_\alpha F_{ij}^\mu \right) \left(P_{\alpha'} F_{kl}^{\mu'} \right) \right]. \tag{75}$$

D.2 Evaluating $g_{\mu,\mu'}(\alpha)$

$$g_{\mu,\mu'}(\alpha) = \sum_{a,b=1}^{n-1} d^{\delta_{a,n-1}} d^{\delta_{b,n-1}} \sum_{i_\alpha, j_\alpha=1}^{d_\alpha} (\phi_R^\mu)_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(a, n-1) (\phi_R^{\mu'})_{j_\alpha i_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(b, n-1), \tag{76}$$

with all irreps in the PRIR's form defined in Appendix B. Remarkably, this form allows us to directly evaluate these sums. First we partition the sums as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a,b=1}^{n-1} d^{\delta_{a,n-1}} d^{\delta_{b,n-1}} \sum_{i_\alpha, j_\alpha=1}^{d_\alpha} (\phi_R^\mu)_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(an-1) (\phi_R^{\mu'})_{j_\alpha i_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(bn-1) \\
&= \sum_{i_\alpha, j_\alpha=1}^{d_\alpha} \left\{ \left[\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} d^{\delta_{a,n-1}} (\phi_R^\mu)_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(a, n-1) \right] \left[\sum_{b=1}^{n-1} d^{\delta_{b,n-1}} (\phi_R^{\mu'})_{j_\alpha i_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(b, n-1) \right] \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i_\alpha, j_\alpha=1}^{d_\alpha} \left\{ \left[\sum_{a=1}^{n-2} (\phi_R^\mu)_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(a, n-1) + d\delta_{i_\alpha j_\alpha} \right] \left[\sum_{b=1}^{n-2} (\phi_R^{\mu'})_{j_\alpha i_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(b, n-1) + d\delta_{j_\alpha i_\alpha} \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

Now we use the second statement of Proposition 15 for $S(n-1)$, for the sums over a and b , which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i_\alpha, j_\alpha=1}^{d_\alpha} \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \frac{\chi^\mu(12)}{d_\mu} - \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2} \frac{\chi^\alpha(12)}{d_\alpha} \right) \delta_{i_\alpha j_\alpha} + d\delta_{i_\alpha j_\alpha} \right] \times \right. \\
&\times \left. \left[\left(\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \frac{\chi^{\mu'}(12)}{d_{\mu'}} - \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2} \frac{\chi^\alpha(12)}{d_\alpha} \right) \delta_{j_\alpha i_\alpha} + d\delta_{j_\alpha i_\alpha} \right] \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

and after simple reordering we get

$$\left[\left(\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \frac{\chi^\mu(12)}{d_\mu} - \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2} \frac{\chi^\alpha(12)}{d_\alpha} \right) + d \right] \times \left[\left(\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} \frac{\chi^{\mu'}(12)}{d_{\mu'}} - \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2} \frac{\chi^\alpha(12)}{d_\alpha} \right) + d \right] \sum_{i_\alpha, j_\alpha=1}^{d_\alpha} \delta_{i_\alpha j_\alpha} \delta_{j_\alpha i_\alpha}. \quad (79)$$

In equation (79) we recognize inside the square brackets the expression for eigenvalues $\gamma_\mu(\alpha)$ and $\gamma_{\mu'}(\alpha)$, this yields

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^{n-1} d^{\delta_{a,n-1}} d^{\delta_{b,n-1}} \sum_{i_\alpha, j_\alpha=1}^{d_\alpha} (\phi_R^\mu)_{i_\alpha j_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(a, n-1) (\phi_R^{\mu'})_{j_\alpha i_\alpha}^{\alpha\alpha}(b, n-1) = \gamma_\mu(\alpha) \gamma_{\mu'}(\alpha) d_\alpha. \quad (80)$$

Substituting equation (80) into equation (36) we reduce expression for the fidelity F to:

$$F = \frac{1}{Nd^{N+2}} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{m_\alpha}{d_\alpha} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \alpha \\ \mu' \in \alpha'}} d_\mu d_{\mu'} \sqrt{\gamma_\mu(\alpha) \gamma_{\mu'}(\alpha)}. \quad (81)$$

E Auxiliary facts concerning multiplicities of symmetric group

Let us consider the standard swap representation

$$V_n^d : S(n) \rightarrow \text{Hom} \left[(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n} \right]. \quad (82)$$

It is well-known that it reduces to the form

$$V_n^d \cong \bigoplus_{\nu: h(\nu) \leq d} m_\nu \psi^\nu, \quad (83)$$

where ψ^ν are irreps of $S(n)$, m_ν their multiplicities, and by $h(\nu)$ we denote height of Young diagram ν . From theory of group characters we get

Proposition 23.

$$\sum_{\nu: h(\nu) \leq d} m_\nu^2 = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} d^{2l_n(\sigma)}, \quad (84)$$

where $l_n(\sigma)$ is the number of cycles in the permutation σ as a permutation of $S(n)$.

Now using the following

Lemma 24. *Let*

$$\sigma \in S(n) \wedge \sigma = (an)\rho : \rho \in S(n-1), \quad a = 1, \dots, n, \quad (85)$$

then

$$l_n(\sigma) = \begin{cases} l_{n-1}(\rho) & : a \neq n, \\ l_{n-1}(\rho) + 1 & : a = n \end{cases} \quad (86)$$

so for the non trivial cosets $S(n)/S(n-1)$ the number of cycles is the same.

We can now express the ratio of the multiplicities in closed form:

Proposition 25. *Let*

$$V_n^d \cong \bigoplus_{\nu: h(\nu) \leq d} m_\nu \psi^\nu, \quad V_{n-1}^d \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha: h(\alpha) \leq d} m_\alpha \varphi^\alpha, \quad (87)$$

then

$$\frac{\sum_{\nu: h(\nu) \leq d} m_\nu^2}{\sum_{\alpha: h(\alpha) \leq d} m_\alpha^2} = \frac{d^2 + n - 1}{n}. \quad (88)$$

Lemma 26. *For any Young diagram $\mu : h(\mu) \leq d$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{m_\mu} \sum_{\alpha \in \mu} \gamma_\mu(\alpha) m_\alpha = n - 1, \quad (89)$$

where $\alpha \in \mu$ denotes Young diagrams of $n - 2$ which are obtained from Young diagrams of $n - 1$ by removing one box in a proper way, and numbers $\gamma_\mu(\alpha)$ are given in Proposition 2.

Proof. Using the explicit form of the numbers $\gamma_\mu(\alpha)$ we have

$$\frac{1}{m_\mu} \sum_{\alpha \in \mu} \gamma_\mu(\alpha) m_\alpha = \frac{n - 1}{m_\mu} \sum_{\alpha \in \mu} \frac{m_\mu d_\alpha}{m_\alpha d_\mu} m_\alpha = (n - 1) \frac{1}{d_\mu} \sum_{\alpha \in \mu} d_\alpha. \quad (90)$$

The dimensions d_α corresponding to $\alpha \in \mu$ are precisely the dimensions of irreps of $S(n - 2)$ appearing in the restriction of irreps of $S(n - 1)$ to $S(n - 2)$, so

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mu} d_\alpha = d_\mu. \quad (91)$$

This finishes the proof. □

F Description of the operators E_{ij}^α

In this section we will briefly recall some properties of the algebra generated by a given complex finite dimensional representation of the finite group G . The content of this section can be found in standard textbooks on representation theory of finite groups and algebras, for example in [20].

Any complex finite-dimensional representation $D : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V)$ of the finite group G , where V is a complex linear space, generates an algebra $A_V[G] \subset \text{Hom}(V)$ which is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ if the representation D is faithful:

$$A_V[G] = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{D(g), \quad g \in G\}. \quad (92)$$

If the operators $D(g)$ are linearly independent, then they form a basis of the algebra $A_V[G]$ and $\dim A_V[G] = |G|$. It is also possible, using matrix irreducible representations, to construct a new basis which has remarkable properties, very useful in applications of representation theory. Below we describe this construction.

Notation 27. Let G be a finite group of order $|G|$ which has r classes of conjugated elements. Then G has exactly r inequivalent, irreducible representations, in particular G has exactly r inequivalent, irreducible matrix representations. Let

$$D^\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V^\alpha), \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, r, \quad \dim V^\alpha = d_\alpha \quad (93)$$

be all inequivalent, irreducible representations of G and let us assume that these representations are all unitary (always possible) i.e.

$$D^\alpha(g) = (D_{ij}^\alpha(g)), \quad \text{and} \quad (D_{ij}^\alpha(g))^\dagger = (D_{ij}^\alpha(g))^{-1}, \quad (94)$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d_\alpha$.

The matrix elements $D_{ij}^\alpha(g)$ will play a crucial role in the following.

Definition 28. Let $D : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V)$ be an unitary representation of a finite group G such that the operators $D(g)$, $g \in G$ are linearly independent i.e. $\dim A_V[G] = |G|$ and let $D^\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V^\alpha)$ be all inequivalent, irreducible representations of G described in Notation 27 above. Define

$$E_{ij}^\alpha = \frac{d_\alpha}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} D_{ji}^\alpha(g^{-1})D(g), \quad (95)$$

where $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, r$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d_\alpha$, $E_{ij}^\alpha \in A_V[G] \subset \text{Hom}(V)$.

The operators have the following properties:

Theorem 29. 1. There are exactly $|G|$ nonzero operators E_{ij}^α and

$$D(g) = \sum_{ij\alpha} D_{ij}^\alpha(g)E_{ij}^\alpha. \quad (96)$$

2. The operators E_{ij}^α are orthogonal with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt scalar product in the space $\text{Hom}(V)$.

$$(E_{ij}^\alpha, E_{kl}^\beta) = \text{Tr} \left[(E_{ij}^\alpha)^\dagger E_{kl}^\beta \right] = m_\alpha \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}, \quad m_\alpha \geq 1, \quad (97)$$

where m_α is equal to the multiplicity of the irreducible representation D^α in D and it does not depend on $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d_\alpha$.

3. The operators E_{ij}^α satisfy the following composition rule

$$E_{ij}^\alpha E_{kl}^\beta = \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{jk} E_{il}^\alpha, \quad (98)$$

in particular E_{ii}^α are orthogonal projections.

Remark 30. From item 2 of above theorem it follows that the expressions

$$E_{ij}^\alpha = \frac{d_\alpha}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} D_{ji}^\alpha(g^{-1})D(g) \quad (99)$$

describe the transformation of orthogonalization of operators $D(g)$, $g \in G$ in the space $\text{Hom}(V)$ with the Hilbert-Schmidt scalar product.

The operators E_{ii}^α are not only orthogonal projections onto their proper subspaces in V but they are also orthogonal with respect to the Hilbert-Schmidt scalar product in the space $\text{Hom}(V)$. The basis $\{E_{ij}^\alpha\}$ plays essential role when $D : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G]$ is the regular representation. In this case the properties of the basis $\{E_{ij}^\alpha\}$ expresses the well-known fact that the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a direct sum of simple matrix algebras generated by the irreducible representations of the group G . It is always possible to construct the operators E_{ij}^α even if the operators $D(g)$ are not linearly independent but in this case some of them will be zero.

G Proof of the SDP-related lemmas

G.1 Proof of Lemma 4:

Proof. The symmetries in our problem suggest that we may take $\Theta_{\bar{\alpha}}$ as an element of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[S(n-2)]$. Thus, $\Theta_{\bar{\alpha}} = \sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}$, where P_{α} are Young projectors and $x_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ which ensures that first constraint from (20) is automatically satisfied. Using this argumentation we can rewrite the second constraint from (20) restricted to an irrep labelled by $\alpha \vdash n-2$ as

$$\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} P_{a,n}^+ \otimes \Theta_{\bar{\alpha}}(\alpha) = x_{\alpha} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} V(a, n-1) P_{n-1,n}^+ \otimes P_{\alpha} V(a, n-1) = \frac{x_{\alpha}}{d} \eta(\alpha), \quad (100)$$

where $\eta(\alpha)$ are introduced in Theorem 1. Eigenvalues of the operator $\frac{1}{d} \eta(\alpha)$ are equal to $\frac{1}{d} \gamma_{\mu}(\alpha)$, where numbers $\gamma_{\mu}(\alpha)$ are eigenvalues of $\eta(\alpha)$ given in Theorem 2. To ensure that $\forall \alpha \frac{x_{\alpha}}{d} \eta(\alpha) \leq \mathbf{1}_{\alpha}$ we take

$$x_{\alpha} = \min_{\mu \in \alpha} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{d} \gamma_{\mu}(\alpha)} = d \min_{\mu \in \alpha} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mu}(\alpha)}. \quad (101)$$

To obtain the minimum it suffices to insert $\gamma_{\mu^*}(\alpha)$, which is the maximal possible eigenvalue of the operator $\eta(\alpha)$ for some particular Young frame $\mu \vdash n-1$ obtained from $\alpha \vdash n-2$ by adding one box in the proper way. Inserting the optimal form of operators $\Theta_{\bar{\alpha}}$ into equation (19), we get

$$p^* = \frac{1}{d^{N+1}} \sum_{a=1}^N \text{Tr} \left(\sum_{\alpha} x_{\alpha} P_{\alpha} \right) = \frac{N}{d^N} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mu^*}(\alpha)} \text{Tr} P_{\alpha} = \frac{N}{d^N} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{m_{\alpha} d_{\alpha}}{\gamma_{\mu^*}(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{d^N} \sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha} d_{\alpha} \min_{\mu \in \alpha} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mu}(\alpha)}. \quad (102)$$

□

G.2 Proof of Fact 5:

Proof. The operators $F_{\mu}(\alpha)$ are invariant under the action of $S(n-1)$ and thus under $S(n-2)$. The operator $V^{tn}(n-1, n)$ is invariant under the action of $S(n-2)$. It follows that the composition $V^{tn}(n-1, n) F_{\mu}(\alpha)$ is invariant under the action of $S(n-2)$. Moreover, using Fact 22 from Appendix C we have $\text{Tr}_{n-1, n} [V^{tn}(n-1, n) F_{\mu}(\alpha)] \in \mathbb{C}[S(n-2)]$, and since it is invariant under the action of $S(n-2)$, it must be of the form $\bigoplus_{\beta \vdash n-2} y_{\beta} P_{\beta}$, where $y_{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$. However, by Theorem 1 and Fact 13 from Appendix A we get

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\beta} V^{tn}(n-1, n) F_{\mu}(\alpha) &= P_{\beta} V^{tn}(n-1, n) M_{\alpha} P_{\mu} = P_{\beta} V^{tn}(n-1, n) P_{\alpha} P_{\mu} \\ &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} P_{\alpha} V^{tn}(n-1, n) F_{\mu}(\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

This implies that $\text{Tr}_{n-1,n} [V^{tn}(n-1,n)F_\mu(\alpha)] = y_\mu(\alpha)P_\alpha$, and thus

$$y_\mu(\alpha) = \frac{\text{Tr} [V^{tn}(n-1,n)F_\mu(\alpha)]}{d_\alpha m_\alpha} = \frac{\text{Tr} [V^{tn}(n-1,n)M_\alpha P_\mu]}{d_\alpha m_\alpha}. \quad (104)$$

To get the final result, we apply Fact 13 once more

$$y_\mu(\alpha) = \frac{\text{Tr} [V^{tn}(n-1,n)P_\alpha P_\mu]}{d_\alpha m_\alpha} = \frac{\text{Tr} [P_\mu (P_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1})]}{d_\alpha m_\alpha} = \frac{m_\mu}{m_\alpha}. \quad (105)$$

□

G.3 Proof of Lemma 6:

Proof. Let us assume that the coefficients $x_{\mu^*}(\alpha)$ given in definition of the operator Ω in equation (23) are of the form $x_{\mu^*}(\alpha) = d \frac{m_\alpha}{m_{\mu^*}}$. One can easily see that $\Omega \geq 0$, and using Fact 5 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{n-1,n} [P_{n-1,n}^+ \Omega] &= \frac{1}{d} \text{Tr} [V^{tn}(n-1,n)\Omega] = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{m_\alpha}{m_{\mu^*}} \text{Tr} [V^{tn}(n-1,n)F_{\mu^*}(\alpha)] \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} P_\alpha = \mathbf{1}_{1,\dots,n-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{1,\dots,n-2}$ denotes the identity operator defined on first $n-2$ subsystems. We thus see that the second constraint from expression (22) is also fulfilled. Finally we can calculate quantity p_\star given in equation (21):

$$p_\star = \frac{1}{d^{N+1}} \text{Tr} \Omega = \frac{1}{d^N} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{m_\alpha}{m_{\mu^*}} \text{Tr} F_{\mu^*}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{d^N} \sum_{\alpha} m_\alpha^2 \frac{d_{\mu^*}}{m_{\mu^*}} = \frac{1}{d^N} \sum_{\alpha} m_\alpha d_\alpha \min_{\mu \in \alpha} \frac{1}{\gamma_\mu(\alpha)}, \quad (107)$$

since $\text{Tr} F_{\mu^*}(\alpha) = d_{\mu^*} m_\alpha$ by Theorem 1. □

G.4 Proof of Lemma 9

Proof. The symmetry of the problem suggests that optimal POVMs should be elements of the algebra $\mathbb{C}[S(n-2)]$. We represent them in the following form:

$$\forall a = 1, \dots, N \quad \Theta_{\bar{a}} = \sum_{\alpha} u(\alpha) P_{\alpha, \bar{a}}, \quad \text{with} \quad u(\alpha) = \frac{d^{N+1} g(N) m_\alpha}{N d_\alpha}, \quad (108)$$

where $g(N) = 1/\sum_{\nu} m_\nu^2$ for all $\nu \vdash n-1$, and the above sum runs over all allowed irreps of $S(n-2)$. By $P_{\alpha, \bar{a}}$ we denote Young projectors onto irreps of $S(n-2)$, but defined on every subsystem except n -th and a -th. Since all coefficients $u(\alpha) \geq 0$, then the first constraint from (25) is satisfied. We choose the optimal state defined through X_A from (25) is of the form:

$$X_A = \sum_{\mu} c_\mu P_\mu, \quad \text{where} \quad c_\mu = \frac{d^N g(N) m_\mu}{d_\mu}, \quad (109)$$

where sum runs over all allowed irreps of $S(n-1)$. We see that

$$\mathrm{Tr} X_A = \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} \mathrm{Tr} P_{\mu} = d^N \frac{\sum_{\mu} m_{\mu}^2}{\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu}^2} = d^N, \quad (110)$$

so the constraint on the trace of (25) is fulfilled. Moreover, we have that

$$\forall \mu \in \alpha \quad u(\alpha) = \frac{d}{\gamma_{\mu}(\alpha)} c_{\mu}, \quad (111)$$

where numbers $\gamma_{\mu}(\alpha)$ are eigenvalues given by Proposition 2. Thus, the second constraint from (25) is satisfied with the equality. For the above choices we compute the probability of success given in the statement of this Lemma plugging the choice of POVMs given in (108) into (24):

$$p^* = \frac{1}{d^{N+1}} \sum_{a=1}^N \mathrm{Tr} \Theta_a = \frac{N}{d^{N+1}} \sum_{\alpha} u(\alpha) \mathrm{Tr} P_{\alpha} = \frac{\sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha}^2}{\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu}^2} = \frac{N}{N + d^2 - 1} = 1 - \frac{d^2 - 1}{N + d^2 - 1}, \quad (112)$$

where we used the fact that $\mathrm{Tr} P_{\alpha} = m_{\alpha} d_{\alpha}$, and plugged the ratio from Proposition 25 in Appendix E. \square

G.5 Proof of Lemma 10

Proof. Since the operators $F_{\mu}(\alpha)$ are invariant under the action of $S(n-1)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_n F_{\mu}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}[S(n-1)]$, the partial trace decomposes as $\mathrm{Tr}_n F_{\mu}(\alpha) = \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash n-1} a_{\nu} P_{\nu}$, where $a_{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, by Theorem 1 we have

$$P_{\nu} F_{\mu}(\alpha) = P_{\nu} M_{\alpha} P_{\mu} = \delta_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu}(\alpha), \quad (113)$$

hence

$$\mathrm{Tr}_n F_{\mu}(\alpha) = a_{\mu} P_{\mu}, \quad (114)$$

and

$$a_{\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{Tr} F_{\mu}(\alpha)}{\mathrm{Tr} P_{\mu}} = \frac{m_{\alpha} d_{\mu}}{m_{\mu} d_{\mu}} = \frac{m_{\alpha}}{m_{\mu}}, \quad (115)$$

where we use that $\mathrm{Tr} F_{\mu}(\alpha) = m_{\alpha} d_{\mu}$ from Theorem 1. \square

G.6 Proof of Lemma 11

Proof. We represent the coefficients $x_{\mu}(\alpha)$ of Ω from (29) as follows:

$$x_{\mu}(\alpha) = \frac{d}{d^2 + N - 1} \gamma_{\mu}(\alpha), \quad (116)$$

where numbers $\gamma_{\mu}(\alpha)$ are eigenvalues of the PBT operator η given in Proposition 2. Making use of Theorem 1 we get

$$\Omega = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\mu \in \alpha} x_{\mu}(\alpha) F_{\mu}(\alpha) = \frac{d}{N + d^2 - 1} \eta \geq 0 \quad (117)$$

for any value d and N , so the first constraint from (28) is fulfilled, since $\eta \geq 0$. For the second constraint, making use of the symmetry of the problem it suffices to estimate it for $a = n - 1$:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{n-1,n} \left[P_{n-1,n}^+ \Omega \right] = \frac{1}{N + d^2 - 1} \mathrm{Tr}_{n-1,n} \left[V^{t_n}(n-1, n) \eta \right] = \mathbf{1}_{1\dots n-2}, \quad (118)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{1\dots n-2}$ denotes the identity operator acting on $n - 2$ subsystems. Finally we need $\mathrm{Tr}_n \Omega$:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_n \Omega = \frac{d}{N + d^2 - 1} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\mu \in \alpha} \gamma_{\mu}(\alpha) \mathrm{Tr}_n F_{\mu}(\alpha). \quad (119)$$

Using Lemma 10 we reduce equation (119) to

$$\mathrm{Tr}_n \Omega = \frac{d}{N + d^2 - 1} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\mu \in \alpha} \gamma_{\mu}(\alpha) \frac{m_{\alpha}}{m_{\mu}} P_{\mu} = \frac{d}{N + d^2 - 1} \sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{m_{\mu}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mu} \gamma_{\mu}(\alpha) m_{\alpha} P_{\mu}, \quad (120)$$

where by $\alpha \in \mu$ we denote Young diagrams α of $n - 2$ which can be obtained from Young diagrams μ of $n - 1$ by removing one box in a proper way. Now using the explicit form of $\gamma_{\mu}(\alpha)$ given in Proposition 2 and Lemma 26 from Appendix E we can simplify Eqn. (120)

$$\mathrm{Tr}_n \Omega = \frac{dN}{N + d^2 - 1} \sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{d_{\mu}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mu} d_{\alpha} P_{\mu} = \frac{dN}{N + d^2 - 1} \sum_{\mu} P_{\mu} = \frac{dN}{N + d^2 - 1} \mathbf{1}_{1\dots n-1}, \quad (121)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{1\dots n-1}$ is identity operator defined on $n - 1$ subsystems. Now taking $b = \frac{1}{d^N} \frac{N}{N + d^2 - 1}$, we satisfy the third constraint from (28)

$$p_{\star} = d^N b = 1 - \frac{d^2 - 1}{N + d^2 - 1} = p^{\star}. \quad (122)$$

□