Another Approach to Consensus of Multi-agents

Tianping Chen, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—In this short note, we recommend another approach to deal with the topic Consensus of Multi-agents, which was proposed in [\[2\]](#page-1-0).

Index Terms—Consensus, Synchronization, Synchronization Manifold.

In [\[1\]](#page-1-1), following model was discussed

$$
\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = f(x_i(t), t) + c \sum_{j=1}^{m} l_{ij} \Gamma x_j(t), \quad i = 1, \cdots, m \quad (1)
$$

where $x_i(t) \in R^n$ is the state variable of the $i-th$ node, $t \in [0, +\infty)$ is a continuous time, $f: R \times [0, +\infty) \to R^n$ is continuous map, $L = (l_{ij}) \in R^{m \times m}$ is the coupling matrix with zero-sum rows and $l_{ij} \geq 0$, for $i \neq j$, which is determined by the topological structure of the LCODEs, and $\Gamma \in R^{n \times n}$ is an inner coupling matrix. Some time, picking $\Gamma = diag\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_n\}$ with $\gamma_i \geq 0$, for $i = 1, \cdots, n$.

$$
\frac{dx^{i}(t)}{dt} = Ax^{i}(t) + c \sum_{j=1}^{m} l_{ij} \Gamma x^{j}(t), \quad i = 1, \cdots, m
$$
 (2)

where $A \in R^{n \times n}$.

In case that the state variables $x_i(t)$ are not observed. Then, instead of coupling $x_i(t)$ (because they are not available), in [\[3\]](#page-1-2) and some other papers, the authors coupled the measured output

$$
\dot{\zeta}_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N l_{ij} y_i(t)
$$

and following observer based synchronization model

$$
\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = Ax_i(t) + c \sum_{j=1}^{m} l_{ij} F C x_j(t), \quad i = 1, \cdots, m \quad (3)
$$

is proposed, where $y(t) = Cx(t)$ is observer measurement $C \in R^{q \times n}$, and $C \in R^{n \times q}$, was discussed.

It is clear that all these models are special cases of the most general and universal model [\(1\)](#page-0-0).

In the following, we investigate the model

$$
\frac{dx_i(t)}{dt} = Ax_i(t) + c \sum_{j=1}^{m} l_{ij} \Gamma x_j(t), \quad i = 1, \cdots, N \quad (4)
$$

with another approach proposed in [\[2\]](#page-1-0).

Firstly, we recall the results given in [\[2\]](#page-1-0).

This work is supported by the National Natural Sciences Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 61273211.

T. Chen was with the School of Computer Sciences/Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China (tchen@fudan.edu.cn) .

Denote $y_i(t) = x_i(t) - x_1(t)$, $i = 2, ..., m$. Then, $y_i(t) =$ $Rx_i(t)$, where

$$
R = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ddots & \ldots \\ -1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(m-1)\times m}
$$

It is easy to see that the Moore-Penrose inverse of R can be written as

$$
R^{\dagger} = \n\begin{bmatrix}\n-1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 \\
(m-1) & -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-1 & -1 & \cdots & (m-1) & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 & (m-1)\n\end{bmatrix}_{m \times (m-1)}
$$

Denote $RLR^{\dagger} = L^*$. Since L is a zero-row-sum matrix, we have

$$
L^* = \begin{bmatrix} l_{22} - l_{12} & \cdots & l_{2m} - l_{1m} \\ \cdots & \ddots & \cdots \\ l_{m2} - l_{12} & \cdots & l_{mm} - l_{1m} \end{bmatrix}_{(m-1)\times(m-1)}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\dot{y}_i(t) = Ay_i(t) + c \sum_{j=2}^m L_{ij}^* \Gamma y_j(t), \ i = 2, \cdots, N \quad (5)
$$

Furthermore, let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ be the eigenvalues of L with $\lambda_1 = 0$. Then $\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ be the eigenvalue decomposition of the matrix L^* .

Based on these observations given in [\[2\]](#page-1-0), we can easily deal with consensus of multi-agents

Let $L^* = Q^{-1} \Lambda_1 Q$ be its eigen-decomposition, where $\Lambda_1 =$ $diag\{\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m\}$, and $z_k(t) = Qy_k(t)$.

$$
\dot{z}_i(t) = Az_i(t) + c\lambda_i \Gamma z_i(t) \tag{6}
$$

It is clear that $x_i(t)$ reaches consensus is equivalent to all $z_i(t)$, $i = 2, \dots, m$ converge to zero.

Therefore, we have

Theorem 1. $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \cdots, \lambda_m$ be the non-zero eigenval*ues of the coupling matrix* L*. If all variational equations*

$$
\dot{u}(t) = [A + c\lambda_i \Gamma] u(t), \quad k = 2, 3, \cdots, m \tag{7}
$$

are exponentially stable, then the consensus of model [\(2\)](#page-0-1) ca n be reached exponentially for the coupled system.

Theorem 2. $\lambda_k = \alpha_k + j\beta_k$, $k = 2, \cdots, m$, where j is *the imaginary unit, be the eigenvalues of the coupling matrix. If there exist a positive definite matrix* P *and* $\epsilon > 0$ *such that*

$$
\left\{ P(A + c\lambda_k \Gamma) \right\}^s < -\epsilon E_n, \quad k = 2, 3, \cdots, m \tag{8}
$$

where $H^s = (H^* + H)/2$, H^* *is Hermite conjugate of H, and* $E_n \in r^{n \times n}$ is identity matrix, then the consensus of model [\(2\)](#page-0-1) *can be reached exponentially for the coupled system.*

Additionally, if PΓ *is symmetric and positive definite, then condition [\(8\)](#page-0-2) can be replaced by following condition*

$$
PA + ATP + cRe{\lambda_2}PT < -\epsilon E_n,
$$
\n(9)

where $Re\{\lambda_2\} < 0$ *is the real part of* λ_2 *.*

As direct consequences, we have

Corollary 1. Let $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \cdots, \lambda_m$ be the non-zero eigenvalues *of the coupling matrix* L*. If all variational equations*

$$
\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = [A + c\lambda_k FC]z(t), \quad k = 2, 3, \cdots, m \tag{10}
$$

are exponentially stable, then the model [\(3\)](#page-0-3) can reach consensus exponentially.

Corollary 2. Let $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \cdots, \lambda_m$ be the non-zero eigenvalues *of the coupling matrix* L*. If there exist a positive definite matrix P* and $\epsilon > 0$ *such that*

$$
\left\{ P(A + c\lambda_k FC) \right\}^s < -\epsilon E_n, \quad k = 2, 3, \cdots, m \quad (11)
$$

are exponentially stable, then the model [\(3\)](#page-0-3) can reach consensus exponentially.

In case (A, C) *is detectable, then by [\[3\]](#page-1-2) or [\[4\]](#page-1-3), we have*

$$
PA + A^T P^T - C^T C < -\epsilon E_n
$$

In this case, pick $F = P^{-1}C^T$ *, and* $cRe\{\lambda_2\} < -1$ *, then condition [\(11\)](#page-1-4) is satisfied.*

Remark 1. *In [\[1\]](#page-1-1), the reference state in synchronization manifold is* $\bar{X}(t) = [\bar{x}^T(t), \cdots, \bar{x}^T(t)]^T$ \sum $\begin{array}{rcl} \textit{unfold} & \textit{is} & X(t) & = & [\bar{x}^T(t),\cdots,\bar{x}^T(t)]^T, \textit{ where } \bar{x}(t) & = & N \ i = 1 \, \xi_i x_i(t). & \textit{Any} & x & = & (x_1^\top,\cdots,x_m^\top)^\top \in \ R^{mn}, \textit{ can be} \end{array}$ *written as* $x = \overline{X} + \delta x$, and it holds that $\overline{X} \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\delta x \in \mathcal{L}$. *Instead, here, the reference state in synchronization mani-*

fold is $X_1(t) = [x_1^T(t)(t), \cdots, x_1^T(t)(t)]^T$. *Of course,* $x_1(t)$ *can be replaced by any* $x_i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$.

It must be noted that in some papers, by letting $x_1(t) = s(t)$ *with* $\dot{s}(t) = f(s(t))$ *for the model* [\(1\)](#page-0-0)*. It is incorrect. In this case the model becomes a master-slave system.*

Now, based on previous reasoning, we also can use Lyapunov function approach.

Because all eigenvalues of L^* are negative, there exists a positive definite matrix Q , such that $(QL^*)^s$ is negative definite.

Define Lyapunov function

$$
V(t) = yT(t)\{Q \otimes P\}y(t)
$$
 (12)

$$
\dot{V}(t) = y^T(t)\{Q \otimes (PA)^s\}y(t) \n+ y^T(t)\{c(QL^*)^s \otimes P\Gamma\}y(t)
$$
\n(13)

Let $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots$, $\mu_m > 0$ are eigenvalues of the matrix Q. $\nu_1 \geq \nu_2 \geq \cdots$, ν_n are eigenvalues of the matrix $(PA)^s$. Then

$$
y^T(t)\{Q\otimes (PA)^s\}y(t) \le c_1y^T(t)y(t) \tag{14}
$$

where

where

$$
c_1 = \max_{k=1,\cdots,m,j=1,\cdots,n} \{ \mu_k \nu_j \}
$$

Let $0 > \gamma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_m$ be the eigenvalues of the matrix $(QL^*)^s$. $\theta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \theta_n > 0$ are eigenvalues of PT. Then

$$
y^T(t)\{c(QL^*)^s \otimes P\Gamma\}y(t) \le c c_2 y^T(t)y(t) \qquad (15)
$$

$$
c_2 = \gamma_2 \theta_n < 0
$$

Pick c sufficient large such that $cc_2 < c_1$. Then, we have

$$
\dot{V}(t) < (cc_2 + c_1)y^T(t)y(t) \tag{16}
$$

Theorem 3. *Suppose that* P *and* PΓ *are positive definite matrices, then for sufficient large coupling strength* c*, then the consensus of model [\(2\)](#page-0-1) can be reached exponentially for the coupled system.*

Conclusions In this short note, by using the results given in [\[2\]](#page-1-0), we provide an effective approach to deal with consensus of multi-agents.

REFERENCES

- [1] Wenlian Lu, Tianping Chen, "New Approach to Synchronization Analysis of Linearly Coupled Ordinary Differential Systems", Physica D, 213, 2006, 214-230
- [2] Tianping Chen, Wei Wu, and Wenjuan Zhou, Global μ -Synchronization of Linearly Coupled Unbounded Time-Varying Delayed Neural Networks With Unbounded Delayed Coupling, IEEE Transactions on neural networks 19(10), 2008, 1809-1816
- [3] Zhongkui Li, Zhisheng Duan, Guanrong Chen, and Lin Huang Consensus of Multiagent Systems and Synchronization of Complex Networks: A Unified Viewpoint, *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I*, vol. 57, pp. 213-224, 2010.
- [4] Tianping Chen, Synchronization, Consensus of Complex Networks and their relationships, Arxiv: 2240 0762, 2018