

# An Analytical Design Optimization Method for Electric Propulsion Systems of Multicopter UAVs with Desired Hovering Endurance

Xunhua Dai, Quan Quan, Jinrui Ren and Kai-Yuan Cai

**Abstract**—Multicopters are becoming increasingly important in both civil and military fields. Currently, most multicopter propulsion systems are designed by experience and trial-and-error experiments, which are costly and ineffective. This paper proposes a simple and practical method to help designers find the optimal propulsion system according to the given design requirements. First, the modeling methods for four basic components of the propulsion system including propellers, motors, electric speed controls, and batteries are studied respectively. Secondly, the whole optimization design problem is simplified and decoupled into several sub-problems. By solving these sub-problems, the optimal parameters of each component can be obtained respectively. Finally, based on the obtained optimal component parameters, the optimal product of each component can be quickly located and determined from the corresponding database. Experiments and statistical analyses demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method. The proposed method is fast and practical that it has been successfully applied to a web server to provide online optimization design service for users.

**Index Terms**—Multicopter, Design optimization, Propulsion system, UAV.

## I. INTRODUCTION

During recent years, multicopter Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) are becoming increasingly popular in both civil and military fields [1], [2] including aerial photography, plant protection, package delivery and other fields. Limited by the battery technology, the flight time (hovering endurance) of multicopters is still too short for most applications. Since the performance and efficiency of a multicopter directly depend on the propulsion system, the design optimization for multicopter propulsion systems is urgently needed to increase the flight time.

The design optimization problem studied in this paper is to find the optimal combination of propulsion system components to satisfy the given hovering endurance requirement, and the obtained propulsion system should have smaller weight and higher efficiency as possible. A typical multicopter propulsion system usually consists of four basic components including the propeller, BrushLess Direct-Current (BLDC) motor, Electronic Speed Control (ESC) and Lithium Polymer (LiPo) battery [3]. Traditional methods to determine a propulsion system are usually based on the experience and trial-and-error experiments. Considering that there are thousands of component products on the market, it is a costly and time-consuming work for the traditional design methods. Meanwhile, in the

whole design process of a multicopter system, the propulsion system need to be repeatedly modified according to the actual controlled system until all the performance requirements and safety requirements are satisfied. According to [4], [5], a more efficient way is to simultaneously design the body system (including the propulsion system) and the control system subject to the optimal objective and additional constraints. Therefore, the automatic design and optimization technologies for propulsion systems will be beneficial for reducing the prototyping needs for the whole multicopter system, and minimizing development and manufacturing cost. For such reasons, this paper proposes a simple, practical and automatic design optimization method to help designers quickly find the optimal propulsion system according to the given design requirements.

In our previous work [6], based on the mathematical modeling methods for the components of propulsion systems, a practical method is proposed to estimate the flight performance of multicopters according to the given propulsion system parameters. In fact, the study in this paper is the reverse process of our previous work, namely estimating the optimal propulsion system parameters according to the given design requirements. This problem is more complicated and difficult because the number of design requirements is much less than the number (more than 15) of the propulsion system parameters.

There are many studies on the mathematical modeling [7], [8], [9], the efficiency analysis [10], [11], and the performance estimation [6], [12] of multicopter propulsion systems. To our best knowledge, there are few studies on the design optimization of multicopter propulsion systems. Most of them adopt numerical methods (fixed-wing aircraft [13] and multicopters [12]) to search and traverse all the possible propulsion system combinations in the database based on the proposed cost functions. In [14], [15], the multicopter optimization problem is described as a mixed integer linear program, and solved with the Cplex optimizer. However, these numerical methods have following problems: i) a large and well-covered product database is required for a better optimization effect; ii) the calculation speed is slow when there are large numbers of products in the database because the amount of product combinations is huge (the algorithm complexity is  $O(n^4)$ , where  $n$  is the number of the database products).

In order to solve the above problems, this paper proposes an analytical method to estimate the optimal parameters of the propulsion system components. First, the modeling

methods for each component of the propulsion system are studied respectively to describe the problem with mathematical expressions. Secondly, the whole problem is simplified and decoupled into several small problems. By solving these sub-problems, the optimal parameters of each component can be obtained respectively. Finally, based on the obtained parameters, selection algorithms are proposed to determine the optimal combination of the propeller, the motor, the ESC and the battery products from their corresponding databases.

The contributions of this paper are as follows: i) an analytical method to solve the design optimization problem of multicopter propulsion systems is proposed for the first time; ii) the conclusion obtained through the theoretical analysis has a guiding significance for the multicopter design; iii) compared to the numerical traversal methods, the proposed method reduces the algorithm complexity from  $O(n^4)$  to  $O(n)$ , which is faster and more efficient for practical applications.

The paper is organized as follows. *Section II* gives a comprehensive analysis of the design optimization problem to divide it into twelve sub-problems. In *Section III*, the modeling methods for each component of the propulsion system are studied to describe the sub-problems with mathematical expressions. In *Section IV*, the sub-problems are solved respectively to obtain the optimal components of the desired propulsion system. In *Section V*, statistical analyses and experiments are performed to verify the proposed method. In the end, *Section VI* presents the conclusions.

## II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

### A. Design Requirements of Propulsion Systems

The role of a propulsion system is to continuously generate the desired thrust within the desired time of endurance for a multicopter. The design requirements for a propulsion system are usually described by the following parameters: i) the number of the propulsion units  $n_p$ ; ii) the hovering thrust of a single propeller  $T_{\text{hover}}$  (unit: N) under the hovering mode when the multicopter stays fixed in the air; iii) the maximum thrust of a single propeller  $T_{\text{max}}$  (unit: N) under the full-throttle mode when the autopilot gives the maximum throttle signal; iv) the nominal flight altitude  $h_{\text{hover}}$  (unit: m); iv) the flight time  $t_{\text{hover}}$  (unit: min) under the hovering mode.

This paper only focuses on studying the design optimization of propulsion systems with assuming  $T_{\text{hover}}$  and  $T_{\text{max}}$  are known parameters. Although the propulsion system parameters  $T_{\text{hover}}$  and  $T_{\text{max}}$  are usually not directly available, according to our previous research [6],  $T_{\text{hover}}, T_{\text{max}}$  can be obtained by giving the aerodynamic coefficients, airframe parameters and the kinematic performance requirements. For example, for common multicopters, the hovering thrust  $T_{\text{hover}}$  can be obtained by the total weight of the multicopter  $G_{\text{total}}$  (unit: N) as

$$T_{\text{hover}} = \frac{G_{\text{total}}}{n_p}. \quad (1)$$

The kinematic performance of a multicopter is directly determined by the thrust ratio  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  which is defined as

$$\gamma \triangleq \frac{T_{\text{hover}}}{T_{\text{max}}}. \quad (2)$$

where thrust ratio  $\gamma$  describes the remaining thrust for the acceleration movement, which further determines the maximum forward speed and the wind resistance ability of a multicopter. Therefore, designers should estimate the desired  $\gamma$  (usually  $\gamma = 0.5$  is selected for common multicopters) according to the kinematic performance requirements of the multicopter. Then, the desired full-throttle thrust  $T_{\text{max}}$  of the propulsion system can be obtained through Eqs. (1)(2).

### B. Component Parameters

The ultimate goal of the design optimization problem is to select the optimal products from four component databases with component parameters listed in Table I, where  $\Theta_p$ ,  $\Theta_m$ ,  $\Theta_e$  and  $\Theta_b$  represent the parameter sets for propellers, motors, ESCs and batteries. In order to ensure commonality of the method, all component parameters in Table I are the basic parameters that can be easily found in the product description pages. The detailed introduction of each parameter in Table I can also be found in [3, pp. 31-46].

TABLE I  
PROPULSION SYSTEM PARAMETERS

Items	Parameters
Propeller	$\Theta_p \triangleq \{\text{Diameter } D_p \text{ (m), Pitch Angle } \varphi_p \text{ (rad), Blade Number } B_p\}$
Motor	$\Theta_m \triangleq \{\text{Nominal Maximum Voltage } U_{m\text{Max}} \text{ (V), Nominal Maximum Current } I_{m\text{Max}} \text{ (A), KV Value } K_V \text{ (RPM/V), No-load Current } I_{m0} \text{ (A), Resistance } R_m \text{ (}\Omega\text{)}\}$
ESC	$\Theta_e \triangleq \{\text{Nominal Maximum Voltage } U_{e\text{Max}} \text{ (V), Nominal Maximum Current } I_{e\text{Max}} \text{ (A), Resistance } R_e \text{ (}\Omega\text{)}\}$ ;
Battery	$\Theta_b \triangleq \{\text{Nominal Voltage } U_b \text{ (V), Maximum Discharge Rate } K_b \text{ (A), Capacity } C_b \text{ (mAh), Resistance } R_b \text{ (}\Omega\text{)}\}$ ;

The propeller pitch angle  $\varphi_p$  (unit: rad) in Table I is defined according to the propeller diameter  $D_p$  (unit: m) and the propeller pitch  $H_p$  (unit: m) as

$$\varphi_p \triangleq \arctan \frac{H_p}{\pi D_p} \quad (3)$$

where  $H_p$  and  $D_p$  are usually contained in the propeller model name.

The most commonly used unit for the battery voltage  $U_b$ , as well as the motor voltage  $U_{m\text{Max}}$  and the ESC voltage  $U_{e\text{Max}}$ , is ‘‘S’’, which denotes the number of battery cells in series. For LiPo batteries, the voltage changes from 4.2 V to 3.7 V as the battery capacity decreases from full to empty, and the average voltage 4.0 V is adopted for the unit conversion. For example,  $U_b = 12\text{S} = 48\text{ V}$ .

### C. Optimization Constraints

1) *Requirement Constraints*: According to [6],  $t_{\text{hover}}$  and  $T_{\text{max}}$  can be estimated by parameters  $n_p, T_{\text{hover}}, \Theta_p, \Theta_m, \Theta_e, \Theta_b$ . Therefore, two equality constraints can be obtained according to the design requirements in *Section II-A*.

2) *Safety Constraints*: The electric components should work within their allowed operating conditions to prevent from being burnt out. Therefore, a series of inequality constraints can be obtained for electric components including the battery, the ESC and the motor of the propulsion system. The detailed inequality expressions will be introduced in the later sections.

3) *Product Statistical Constraints*: In order to make sure that the obtained solution is meaningful and practical, the product statistical features should be considered. Otherwise, it is very possible that there is no product in reality matching with the obtained component parameters. The product features can be described by equality constraints based on the statistical models of the products in the database. In practice, products with different material, operating principle and processing technology may have different product features, for example, LiPo batteries and Ni-MH batteries. Therefore, different statistical models should be obtained for different types of products, and designers should select the required product type to the following optimization process to improve the precision and practicability of the obtained result.

#### D. Optimization Problem

In practice, there are two methods to increase the flight time of a multicopter: i) decrease the total weight of the multicopter to allocate more free weight for the battery capacity; ii) increase the efficiency of the multicopter propulsion system to decrease the required battery capacity. For a typical multicopter, according to the weight statistical model in [12], the propulsion system weight is the main source (usually more than 70%) of the multicopter weight, and the weight of the battery is further the main source (usually more than 60%) of the propulsion system weight. In fact, for a propulsion system, the maximum efficiency usually means the minimum battery weight (capacity). Therefore, the above two methods essentially share the same optimization objective, namely minimizing the weight of the propulsion system.

Assuming that the total weight of the propulsion system is defined as  $G_{\text{sys}}$  (unit: N), the optimization objective of the design optimization can be described as

$$\min_{\Theta_p, \Theta_m, \Theta_e, \Theta_b} G_{\text{sys}}. \quad (4)$$

Along with the constraints in *Section II-C*, the optimal solutions for the parameters of each component can be obtained from Eq. (4).

#### E. Problem Decomposition

According to [6], the detailed mathematical expressions for Eq. (4) are very complex because there are 15 parameters listed in Table I that need to be optimized through solving complex nonlinear equations. As a result, decomposition and simplification are required to solve this problem.

1) *Weight Decomposition*: The total weight of the propulsion system  $G_{\text{sys}}$  is determined by the weight of each component as

$$G_{\text{sys}} = n_p (G_p + G_m + G_e) + G_b \quad (5)$$

where  $G_p, G_m, G_e, G_b$  (unit: N) denote the weight of the propeller, the motor, the ESC and the battery respectively. Therefore, based on the idea of the greedy algorithm, the optimization objective of minimizing  $G_{\text{sys}}$  can be decomposed into four sub-problems of minimizing the weight of each component as

$$\min G_{\text{sys}} \Rightarrow \min G_p, \min G_m, \min G_e, \min G_b. \quad (6)$$

As mentioned above, the battery weight  $G_b$  is the most important factor for  $G_{\text{sys}}$ , and  $G_b$  directly depends on the battery capacity  $C_b$ . Since, according to the analysis in [3], the battery capacity  $C_b$  depends on the efficiency of each component, the optimization objective of minimizing  $G_b$  can also be decomposed into four sub-problems of maximizing the efficiency of each component as

$$\min G_b \Rightarrow \max \eta_p, \max \eta_m, \max \eta_e, \max \eta_b. \quad (7)$$

where  $\eta_p, \eta_m, \eta_e, \eta_b$  denote the efficiency of the propeller, the motor, the ESC and the battery respectively.




Fig. 1. Optimization objective decomposition diagram.

Thus, by solving the eight sub-problems in Eqs. (6)(7), the optimal solutions for the parameters in Table I can be obtained with the results presented in Fig. 1, where the obtained optimal solutions are marked with a subscript “Opt”. Then, the optimal parameter sets for the propeller, the motor, the ESC and the battery can be determined and represented by  $\Theta_{p\text{Opt}}, \Theta_{m\text{Opt}}, \Theta_{e\text{Opt}},$  and  $\Theta_{b\text{Opt}}$  respectively.

2) *Product Selection Decomposition*: The ultimate goal of the design optimization of the propulsion system is to determine the optimal propeller, motor, ESC and propeller products from their corresponding databases according to the obtained optimal parameter sets  $\Theta_{p\text{Opt}}, \Theta_{m\text{Opt}}, \Theta_{e\text{Opt}}, \Theta_{b\text{Opt}}$ . This problem can also be divided into four sub-problems. Through solving the four sub-problems, the parameter sets of the obtained products are represented by  $\Theta_{p\text{Opt}}^*, \Theta_{m\text{Opt}}^*, \Theta_{e\text{Opt}}^*$  and  $\Theta_{b\text{Opt}}^*$ .

#### F. Solving Procedures

Through the above decomposition procedures, the whole design optimization problem can finally be simplified, decoupled and divided into twelve sub-problems. However, since there are argument-dependent relationships among the sub-problems, the solving sequence should be well-arranged. For instance, the propeller parameters  $B_p, \varphi_p$  are required for the motor optimization, and the optimal propeller diameter  $D_p$  depends on the obtained motor parameters. As a result, the propeller and motor should be treated as a whole during the solving procedures.

In this paper, the twelve sub-problems will be solved separately by twelve steps with the solving sequence shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 2 also presents the inputs, outputs, and parameter dependency relationships of each sub-problem. The detailed solving methods of each step will be introduced in *Section IV* with twelve subsections.




Fig. 2. Solving procedures of the propulsion system optimization problem

### III. PROPULSION SYSTEM MODELING

The whole propulsion system can be modeled by the equivalent circuit [6] as shown in Fig. 3, with which the optimization sub-problems can be described by mathematical expressions.




Fig. 3. Equivalent circuit model of the whole propulsion system

#### A. Propeller Modeling

1) *Propeller Aerodynamic Model*: According to [16], the thrust force  $T$  (unit: N) and torque  $M$  (unit: N-m) of fixed-pitch propellers can be obtained through equations

$$\begin{cases} T = C_T \rho \left(\frac{N}{60}\right)^2 D_p^4 \\ M = C_M \rho \left(\frac{N}{60}\right)^2 D_p^5 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where  $N$  (unit: RPM) is the propeller revolving speed,  $\rho$  is the local air density (unit: kg/m<sup>3</sup>),  $C_T$  is the propeller thrust coefficient,  $C_M$  is the propeller torque coefficient, and  $D_p$  (unit: m, from  $\Theta_p$ ) is the propeller diameter.

The air density  $\rho$  is determined by the local temperature  $T_l$  (unit: °C) and the air pressure which is further determined by the altitude  $h_{\text{hover}}$  (unit: m). According to the international standard atmosphere model [17]

$$\rho = f_\rho(h_{\text{hover}}) \triangleq \frac{273}{(273 + T_l)} \left(1 - 0.0065 \frac{h_{\text{hover}}}{273 + T_l}\right)^{5.2561} \rho_0 \quad (9)$$

where the standard air density  $\rho_0 = 1.293 \text{ kg/m}^3$  (°C, 273K).

The propeller coefficients  $C_T$  and  $C_M$  can be modeled by using the blade element theory as presented in [6][9]. A simplified form is introduced here as

$$\begin{cases} C_T = f_{C_T}(B_p, D_p, \varphi_p) \triangleq k_{t0} B_p \varphi_p \\ C_M = f_{C_M}(B_p, D_p, \varphi_p) \triangleq k_{m0} B_p^2 (k_{m1} + k_{m2} \varphi_p^2) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where  $k_{t0}$ ,  $k_{m0}$ ,  $k_{m1}$ ,  $k_{m2}$  are constant parameters determined by the shapes and aerodynamic characteristics of the propeller blades, and they can be obtained through the propeller model in [6] as

$$\begin{aligned} k_{t0} &\triangleq \frac{0.25\pi^3 \lambda \zeta^2 K_0 \varepsilon}{\pi A + K_0}, & k_{m0} &\triangleq \frac{1}{8A} \pi^2 \lambda \zeta^2 \\ k_{m1} &\triangleq C_{fd}, & k_{m2} &\triangleq \frac{\pi A K_0^2 \varepsilon}{e(\pi A + K_0)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the detailed definitions of the internal parameters of Eq.(11) can be found in [6]. Note that  $k_{t0}$ ,  $k_{m0}$ ,  $k_{m1}$ ,  $k_{m2}$  may slightly vary with the difference of types, material, and technology of propellers. Based on the propeller data from T-MOTOR website [18], general parameters  $k_{t0}$ ,  $k_{m0}$ ,  $k_{m1}$ ,  $k_{m2}$  for the carbon fiber propellers are given by

$$\begin{aligned} k_{t0} &= 0.323, & k_{m0} &= 0.0432 \\ k_{m1} &= 0.01, & k_{m2} &= 0.9 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

2) *Propeller Efficiency Objective Function*: Similar to the lift-drag ratio for airfoils, a widely used efficiency index to describe the efficiency of propellers is  $\eta_{T/M}$ , which is defined as the ratio between the thrust coefficient  $C_T$  and the torque coefficient  $C_M$  as

$$\eta_{T/M} \triangleq \frac{C_T}{C_M} = \frac{k_{t0} \varphi_p}{k_{m0} B_p (k_{m1} + k_{m2} \varphi_p^2)} \quad (13)$$

where  $\eta_{T/M}$  only depends on the aerodynamic design of the blade shape, which is convenient for manufacturers to improve the aerodynamic efficiency. Moreover, a higher  $\eta_{T/M}$  means a smaller torque for generating the same thrust. Since  $\eta_{T/M}$  is adopted by most of the manufacturers, this paper will use  $\eta_{T/M}$  as the propeller efficiency objective function to obtain the optimal  $\varphi_{p\text{Opt}}$  and  $B_{p\text{Opt}}$ .

3) *Propeller Weight Objective Function*: Through analyzing the propeller products on the market, the propeller weight  $G_p$  can be described by a statistical model that depends on the diameter  $D_p$  and the blade number  $B_p$  as

$$G_p = f_{G_p}(B_p, D_p) \quad (14)$$

where  $f_{G_p}(\cdot)$  is an increasing function of  $D_p$  and  $B_p$ . Therefore, the minimum propeller weight  $G_p$  requires that both  $D_p$  and  $B_p$  should be chosen as small as possible, which is described as

$$\min G_p \Rightarrow \min B_p, \min D_p. \quad (15)$$

#### B. Motor Modeling

1) *Motor Circuit Model*: The equivalent circuit of a BLDC motor has been presented in Fig. 3, where  $U_m$  (unit: V) is the motor equivalent voltage and  $I_m$  (unit: A) is the motor

equivalent current. According to [6], [19],  $U_m$  and  $I_m$  can be obtained through

$$\begin{cases} I_m &= \frac{\pi MK_V U_{m0}}{30(U_{m0} - I_{m0} R_m)} + I_{m0} \\ U_m &= I_m R_m + \frac{U_{m0} - I_{m0} R_m}{K_V U_{m0}} N \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where  $M$  (unit: N·m) is the output torque of the motor which equals to the propeller torque in Eq.(8),  $N$  is the motor rotating speed which equals to the propeller rotating speed in Eq.(8). The current and voltage measured under no-load (no propeller) tests are called the no-load current  $I_{m0}$  (unit: A) and the no-load voltage  $U_{m0}$  (unit: V), where  $U_{m0}$  is a constant value defined by manufacturers (usually  $U_{m0} = 10$  V). Note that, the nominal motor resistance  $R_{m0}$  on the product description is usually not accurate enough, so it is recommended to obtain the actual resistance  $R_m$  according to the test data of the full-throttle current  $I_m^*$  and speed  $N^*$ , where a correction expression is derived from Eq.(16) as  $R_m \approx (U_b - N^*/K_V)/I_m^* \approx 2 \sim 3R_{m0}$  according to our experimental results.

2) *Motor Constraints*: The actual operation voltage of the motor depends on the battery voltage  $U_b$  instead of the motor nominal maximum voltage (NMV)  $U_{mMax}$ . The motor NMV  $U_{mMax}$  defines the range of the battery voltage  $U_b$  that can ensure the motor work safely, which is described as  $U_b \leq U_{mMax}$ . According to [6], to prevent the motor from burnout, the motor equivalent voltage  $U_m$  and current  $I_m$  satisfy the constraints that

$$\begin{cases} U_m \leq U_{m\sigma_{max}} = U_b \leq U_{mMax} \\ I_m \leq I_{m\sigma_{max}} \leq I_{mMax} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

where  $U_{m\sigma_{max}}$  and  $I_{m\sigma_{max}}$  are the motor voltage and current under the full-throttle mode ( $\sigma_{max} = 1$ ).

By letting the motor work under the maximum limit condition as

$$U_m = U_{mMax}, I_m = I_{mMax}. \quad (18)$$

Then, the maximum rotating speed  $N_{max}$  (unit: RPM) and torque  $M_{max}$  (unit: N·m) of the motor can be obtained by combining the motor model in Eq.(16)

$$\begin{cases} N_{max} = f_{N_{max}}(\Theta_m) \triangleq \frac{(U_{mMax} - R_m I_{mMax}) K_V U_{m0}}{(U_{m0} - I_{m0} R_m)} \\ M_{max} = f_{M_{max}}(\Theta_m) \triangleq \frac{30(I_{mMax} - I_{m0})(U_{m0} - I_{m0} R_m)}{\pi K_V U_{m0}} \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Moreover, according to the propeller model in Eq.(8), the theoretical maximum thrust  $T_{pMax}$  (unit: N) can be obtained as

$$T_{pMax} = \frac{C_T}{C_M} \frac{M_{max}^{4/5} \rho^{1/5} C_M^{1/5} N_{max}^{2/5}}{60^{2/5}}. \quad (20)$$

To satisfy the maneuverability requirement, the theoretical maximum thrust range  $[0, T_{pMax}]$  of the propulsion system should cover the required thrust range  $[0, T_{max}]$ , which means the following constraint should also be satisfied

$$T_{pMax} \geq T_{max}. \quad (21)$$

According to the statistical results in Fig.4, there is an equality constraint between the maximum input power




Fig. 4. Statistical relationship between the motor maximum input power  $U_{mMax} \cdot I_{mMax}$  and propeller maximum thrust  $T_{pMax}$ . The testing data come from the motor products website [18].

$U_{mMax} I_{mMax}$  and the theoretical maximum thrust  $T_{pMax}$  for motor products as

$$\frac{T_{pMax}}{U_{mMax} I_{mMax}} \approx G_{WConst} \quad (22)$$

where  $G_{WConst}$  (unit: N/W) is a constant coefficient that reflects the technological process and product quality of products. According to the curve fitting result in Fig.4, the coefficient for the tested motors is  $G_{WConst} \approx 0.0624$ .

3) *Motor Efficiency Objective Function*: The motor power efficiency  $\eta_m$  is defined as

$$\eta_m \triangleq \frac{P_p}{P_m} = \frac{M \frac{2\pi N}{60}}{U_m I_m}. \quad (23)$$

According to Eq.(16), the propeller torque  $M$  and rotating speed  $N$  can be described by  $U_m$  and  $I_m$ , which yields that

$$\eta_m = \left(1 - \frac{I_m R_m}{U_m}\right) \left(1 - \frac{I_m}{I_{m0}}\right). \quad (24)$$

It can be observed from Eq.(24) that the motor efficiency  $\eta_m$  has negative correlations with  $R_m$  and  $I_{m0}$ . Therefore,  $R_m$  and  $I_{m0}$  should be chosen as small as possible for the maximum motor efficiency, which is described as

$$\max \eta_m \Rightarrow \min R_m, \min I_{m0}. \quad (25)$$

4) *Weight Optimization Objective Function*: Through analyzing the motor products on the market, the motor weight  $G_m$  can be described by a statistical model depending on the motor nominal maximum voltage (NMV)  $U_{mMax}$ , the Nominal Maximum Current (NMC)  $I_{mMax}$ , and the KV value  $K_V$  as

$$G_m = f_{G_m}(U_{mMax}, I_{mMax}, K_V). \quad (26)$$

According to Eqs.(20)(22),  $I_{mMax}$  and  $K_V$  can be described by  $U_{mMax}$  and  $T_{pMax}$ . Therefore, Eq.(26) can be rewritten into the following form

$$G_m = f'_{G_m}(U_{mMax}, T_{pMax}). \quad (27)$$

Thus, by combining the constraints in Eqs.(17)(21), the motor weight optimization problem can be written into

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{U_{mMax}, T_{pMax}} f'_{G_m}(U_{mMax}, T_{pMax}) \\ & \text{s.t. } U_b \leq U_{mMax}, T_{max} \leq T_{pMax} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

In practice, the motor weight  $G_m$  has a positive correlation with  $U_{mMax}$  and  $T_{pMax}$ . Therefore, the minimum motor weight

$G_m$  requires that the  $U_{mMax}$  and  $T_{pMax}$  should both be chosen as small as possible, which is described as

$$\min G_m \Rightarrow \min U_{mMax}, \min T_{pMax}. \quad (29)$$

Thus, solving Eq. (28) gives that

$$U_b = U_{mMax}, T_{max} = T_{pMax} \quad (30)$$

where  $U_{mMax}$  should be chosen as small as possible.

### C. ESC Modeling

1) *ESC Circuit Model*: After receiving the throttle signal  $\sigma \in [0, 1]$  from the flight controller, the ESC converts the direct-current power of the battery to the PWM-modulated voltage  $\sigma U_e$  for the BLDC motor without speed feedback. Then, the motor rotating speed is determined by both the motor and propeller models. Since the propeller model is nonlinear, the motor speed is not in proportion to the input throttle signal. According to the ESC equivalent circuit in Fig. 3, the ESC current  $I_e$  (unit: A) and voltage  $U_e$  (unit: V) are given by

$$\begin{cases} \sigma U_e = U_m + I_m R_e \\ I_e = \sigma I_m \end{cases}. \quad (31)$$

2) *ESC Efficiency Objective Function*: According to Eq. (31), the power efficiency of the ESC can be obtained as

$$\eta_e \triangleq \frac{U_m I_m}{U_e I_e} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{I_m}{U_m} R_e} \quad (32)$$

which shows that the ESC efficiency  $\eta_e$  increases as the resistance  $R_e$  decreases, which yields that

$$\max \eta_e \Rightarrow \min R_e. \quad (33)$$

3) *ESC Weight Objective Function*: Through analyzing the ESC products on the market, the ESC weight  $G_e$  can be described by a statistical model depending on the ESC nominal maximum voltage (NMV)  $U_{eMax}$ , the Nominal Maximum Current (NMC)  $I_{eMax}$  as

$$G_e = f_{G_e}(U_{eMax}, I_{eMax}) \quad (34)$$

where  $f_{G_e}(\cdot)$  is in positive correlation with  $U_{eMax}$  and  $I_{eMax}$ . Therefore, to minimize  $G_e$ , the ESC parameters  $U_{eMax}$ ,  $I_{eMax}$  should be chosen as small as possible, which is described as

$$\min G_e \Rightarrow \min U_{eMax}, \min I_{eMax}. \quad (35)$$

### D. Battery Modeling

1) *Battery Circuit Model*: The battery is used to provide energy to drive the motor through ESC. The most commonly-used type battery is the LiPo battery because of the superior performance and low price. According to Fig. 3, the battery model is given by

$$U_b = U_e + I_b R_b \quad (36)$$

where  $U_b$  (unit: V) is the nominal battery voltage, and  $I_b$  (unit: A) is the output current.

Assuming that the number of the propulsion unit on a multicopter is  $n_p$ , the battery current is given by

$$I_b = n_p I_e + I_{other} \quad (37)$$

where  $I_{other}$  (unit: A) is the current from other devices on the multicopter such as the flight controller and the camera. Usually, according to [3], it can be assumed that  $I_{other} \approx 0.5 A$  if there is only a flight controller on the multicopter.

According to [6], the battery discharge time  $t_{discharge}$  (unit: min) is determined by the battery capacity  $C_b$  and the discharge current  $I_b$

$$t_{discharge} \approx \frac{0.85 C_b}{I_b} \cdot \frac{60}{1000} \quad (38)$$

where the coefficient 0.85 denotes a 15% remaining capacity to avoid over discharge. Note that the endurance computation equations in Eqs. (36)-(38) are simplified to reduce the computation time. They can be replaced by more accurate and nonlinear methods as presented in [20], [21] to increase the precision of the battery optimization result.

2) *Battery Constraints*: The Maximum Discharge Rate (MDR)  $K_b$  (unit: mA/mAh or marked with symbol "C") of the battery is defined as

$$K_b = \frac{1000 I_{bMax}}{C_b} \quad (39)$$

where  $I_{bMax}$  (unit: A) is the maximum discharge current that the battery can withstand. Since the battery should be able to work safely under the full-throttle mode of the motor, the maximum discharge current  $I_{bMax}$  should satisfy

$$I_{bMax} \geq n_p I_{e\sigma_{max}} + I_{other} = n_p I_{mMax} + I_{other} \quad (40)$$

which yields that

$$K_b \geq \frac{1000 (n_p I_{mMax} + I_{other})}{C_b}. \quad (41)$$

3) *Battery Efficiency Objective Function*: According to Eqs. (36)(37), the battery efficiency  $\eta_b$  can be written into

$$\eta_b \triangleq \frac{n_p U_e I_e}{U_b I_b} = \left(1 - \frac{I_b}{U_b} R_b\right) \left(1 - \frac{I_{other}}{I_b}\right) \quad (42)$$

which shows that the battery efficiency  $\eta_b$  increases as the resistance  $R_b$  decreases, which is described as

$$\max \eta_b \Rightarrow \min R_b. \quad (43)$$

4) *Battery Weight Objective Function*: According to the definition of the battery power density  $\rho_b$  (unit: Wh/kg), the battery weight can be described as

$$G_b = \frac{C_b U_b}{1000 g \rho_b} \quad (44)$$

where  $g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$  is the acceleration of gravity. Limited by the battery technology, the power density  $\rho_b$  for a specific battery type is statistically close to a constant value. For instance,  $\rho_b \approx 140 \text{ Wh/kg}$  for LiPo batteries. Moreover, according to the statistical results, the battery weight is positively correlated with  $K_b$ . Therefore, to minimize  $G_b$ , the battery parameters  $C_b$ ,  $U_b$  and  $K_b$  should be chosen as small as possible, which is described as

$$\min G_b \Rightarrow \min U_b, \min K_b, \min C_b. \quad (45)$$

#### IV. DESIGN OPTIMIZATION

##### A. Step 1: Propeller Efficiency Optimization

1) *Optimal Blade Number  $B_{pOpt}$* : According to Eq. (13), if only the blade number  $B_p$  is considered, the propeller thrust efficiency  $\eta_{T/M}$  can be simplified into the following form

$$\eta_{T/M} \propto \frac{1}{B_p} \quad (46)$$

where the symbol “ $\propto$ ” means “in proportion to”. Eq. (46) indicates that  $\eta_{T/M}$  monotonically decreases as  $B_p$  increases. Therefore, to maximize  $\eta_{T/M}$ , the blade number  $B_p$  should be chosen as small as possible. Moreover, according to the weight optimization principle in Eq. (15), the blade number should also be chosen as small as possible to minimize the propeller weight  $G_p$ . Considering that the blade number should satisfy the constraint that  $B_p \geq 2$ , the optimal blade number  $B_{pOpt}$  should be chosen as

$$B_{pOpt} = 2. \quad (47)$$

2) *Optimal Pitch Angle  $\varphi_{pOpt}$* : According to Eq. (13), if only the pitch angle  $\varphi_p$  is considered,  $\eta_{T/M}$  can be simplified into the form as

$$\eta_{T/M} \propto \frac{1}{k_{m1}\varphi_p^{-1} + k_{m2}\varphi_p}. \quad (48)$$

It is easy to obtain from Eq. (48) that  $\eta_{T/M}$  first increases then decreases as  $\varphi_p$  increases. Therefore, the optimal pitch angle  $\varphi_{pOpt}$  should be able to maximize  $\eta_{T/M}$ , which yields that

$$\varphi_{pOpt} = \sqrt{\frac{k_{m1}}{k_{m2}}}. \quad (49)$$

Noteworthy, if it happens in some cases that there are few propeller products in database with pitch angles close to the obtained  $\varphi_{pOpt}$ , then the mean pitch angle  $\bar{\varphi}_p$  can be chosen as the optimal pitch angle  $\varphi_{pOpt} = \bar{\varphi}_p$  to ensure the method can find a proper propeller product.

##### B. Step 2: Motor Weight Optimization




Fig. 5. Statistical relationship between the motor NMV  $U_{mMax}$  and the propeller maximum thrust  $T_{pMax}$ . The testing data come from the motor products website [18].

1) *Optimal Motor NMV  $U_{mMaxOpt}$* : According to statistical results in Fig. 5, the relationship between  $U_{mMax}$  and  $T_{pMax}$  can be described as a piecewise function

$$f_{U_{mMax}}(T_{pMax}) \triangleq \begin{cases} U_1, & 0 < T_{pMax} \leq T_1 \\ U_2, & T_1 < T_{pMax} \leq T_2 \\ \dots & \dots \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

where  $f_{U_{mMax}}(\cdot)$  is obtained with the principle of minimizing  $U_{mMax}$  for a given  $T_{pMax}$ .

Thus, by substituting  $T_{pMax} = T_{max}$  into Eq. (50), the optimal motor NMV  $U_{mMaxOpt}$  can be obtained as

$$U_{mMaxOpt} = f_{U_{mMax}}(T_{max}). \quad (51)$$

2) *Optimal NMC  $I_{mMaxOpt}$* : According to Eq. (22), there is a function relationship between  $T_{pMax}$ ,  $I_{mMax}$  and  $U_{mMax}$ . Therefore, by substituting  $U_{mMax} = U_{mMaxOpt}$  and  $T_{pMax} = T_{max}$  into Eq. (22), the optimal motor NMC  $I_{mMaxOpt}$  can be obtained as

$$I_{mMaxOpt} = \frac{T_{max}}{G_{WConst} U_{mMaxOpt}}. \quad (52)$$

3) *Optimal KV Value  $K_{VOpt}$* : Since the motor no-load current  $I_{m0}$  and resistance  $R_m$  are both very small in practice, it is reasonable to assume that

$$I_{m0} \approx 0 \text{ and } R_m \approx 0. \quad (53)$$

Substituting Eq. (53) into Eq. (19) gives

$$\begin{aligned} M_{max} &\approx \frac{30I_{mMax}}{\pi K_V} \\ N_{max} &\approx U_{mMax} K_V \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Thus, Eq. (20) can be simplified into the following form

$$T_{pMax} \approx k_{tm} \cdot \left( \frac{I_{mMax}^2 U_{mMax}}{K_V} \right)^{2/5} \quad (55)$$

where  $k_{tm}$  is defined as

$$k_{tm} = f_{k_{tm}}(B_p, \varphi_p, h_{hover}) \triangleq \sqrt[5]{k_c \frac{255\rho C_T^5}{\pi^4 C_M^4}} \quad (56)$$

where  $\rho = f_\rho(h_{hover})$ ,  $C_T = f_{C_T}(B_p, D_p, \varphi_p)$  and  $C_M = f_{C_M}(B_p, D_p, \varphi_p)$  are defined in Eqs. (9)(10), and  $k_c$  is a constant correction coefficient to compensate for the neglected factors including  $I_{m0}$ ,  $R_m$ . According to the statistical analysis, the correction coefficient can be set as  $k_c \approx 0.82$ .

Finally, by substituting  $U_{mMaxOpt}$ ,  $I_{mMaxOpt}$ ,  $T_{max}$ ,  $B_{pOpt}$ ,  $\varphi_{pOpt}$  and  $h_{hover}$  into Eqs. (55)(56), the expression for the optimal KV value  $K_{VOpt}$  is obtained as

$$K_{VOpt} = f_{k_{tm}}^{5/2}(B_{pOpt}, \varphi_{pOpt}, h_{hover}) \frac{I_{mMaxOpt}^2 U_{mMaxOpt}}{T_{max}^{5/2}}. \quad (57)$$

##### C. Step 3: Motor Efficiency Optimization

1) *Optimal Motor Resistance  $R_{mOpt}$  and No-load Current  $I_{m0Opt}$* : In practice,  $R_m$  and  $I_{m0}$  should satisfy the constraint that

$$R_m > 0 \text{ and } I_{m0} > 0. \quad (58)$$

By combining Eq. (25) and Eq. (58), the optimal motor resistance  $R_{mOpt}$  and no-load current  $I_{m0Opt}$  are marked with

$$R_{mOpt} = 0 \text{ and } I_{m0Opt} = 0 \quad (59)$$

which denote that the motor resistance and no-load current should be chosen as close to zero as possible.

Note that, in addition to parameters  $R_m, I_{m0}$ , the motor efficiency  $\eta_m$  in Eq. (24) is also determined by the motor working state  $(U_m, I_m)$  which further depends on factors including

the throttle, rotating speed, propeller parameters, other motor parameters, air density, etc. According to our experimental results, a larger motor with a larger propeller will have a higher efficiency for generating the same thrust. Therefore, only maximizing the motor efficiency  $\eta_m$  to solve all the motor parameters may not obtain the desired result because the size and weight will be very large. Thus, this paper finds the optimal propulsion system by considering both the efficiency and the weight to ensure the practicability of the obtained results.

#### D. Step 4: Optimal Motor Product

Although the optimal motor parameters  $\Theta_{mOpt} \triangleq \{U_{mMaxOpt}, I_{mMaxOpt}, K_{VOpt}, R_{mOpt}, I_{m0Opt}\}$  have been obtained through the above procedures, it is still difficult to determine a corresponding product from the database. For example,  $U_{mMax}$  of motor products are usually given by discrete form like 20A, 30A, 40A, while the obtained solutions are usually given with continuous form like  $I_{mMaxOpt} = 33.5$  A. To solve this problem, a method is proposed to determine the optimal motor product according to  $\Theta_{mOpt}$ . For simplicity, the parameter set of the obtained motor product is represented by  $\Theta_{mOpt}^* \triangleq \{U_{mMaxOpt}^*, I_{mMaxOpt}^*, K_{VOpt}^*, R_{mOpt}^*, I_{m0Opt}^*\}$ .

There are two selection principles for the optimal motor product:

(i) The product should be selected by comparing with every parameter of  $\Theta_{mOpt}$  in a proper sequence. Through the statistical analysis of the motor products on the market, a comparison sequence is given by considering the influence of each parameter on the motor weight as

$$U_{mMaxOpt}^*, K_{VOpt}^*, I_{mMaxOpt}^*, R_{mOpt}^*, I_{m0Opt}^*. \quad (60)$$

(ii) When comparing one parameter, on the premise of ensuring safety requirements, the product should be chosen equal to or close to the corresponding parameter in  $\Theta_{mOpt}$ . For example, if  $I_{mMaxOpt} = 33.5$  A and the available current options are 20A, 30A, 40A, then it should be chosen that  $I_{mMaxOpt}^* = 40$  A for some safety margin. The safety constraints for the selection of motor products are given by

$$U_{mMaxOpt}^* \geq U_{mMaxOpt}, I_{mMaxOpt}^* \geq I_{mMaxOpt}. \quad (61)$$

#### E. Step 5: Propeller Weight Optimization

1) *Optimal Diameter  $D_{pOpt}$* : According to Eq. (21), the following constraint equation should be satisfied

$$T_{pMax} = C_T \rho \left( \frac{N_{max}}{60} \right)^2 D_p^4 \geq T_{max} \quad (62)$$

which yields that

$$D_p \geq \sqrt[4]{\frac{60^2 T_{max}}{C_T \rho N_{max}^2}}. \quad (63)$$

According to the optimization objective in Eq. (15), the optimal diameter should be chosen as the minimum diameter under constraint in Eq. (63). Therefore, the optimal diameter

$D_{pOpt}$  can be obtained by combining Eqs. (9)(10)(19) with the parameters  $h_{hover}$ ,  $D_{pOpt}$ ,  $B_{pOpt}$  and  $\Theta_{mOpt}^*$  as

$$\begin{aligned} D_{pOpt} &= \sqrt[4]{\frac{60^2 T_{max}}{\rho C_T N_{max}^2}} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{60^2 T_{pMax}}{\rho C_T N_{max}^2}} = \sqrt[5]{\frac{M_{max}}{\rho C_M \left( \frac{N_{max}}{60} \right)^2}} \\ &= \sqrt[5]{\frac{3600 f_{M_{max}}(\Theta_{mOpt}^*)}{f_\rho(h_{hover}) f_{C_M}(B_{pOpt}, D_{pOpt}) f_{N_{max}}^2(\Theta_{mOpt}^*)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Since the propeller pitch  $H_p$  is more convenient to select a propeller product, according to the definition of the pitch angle  $\varphi_p$  in Eq. (3), the optimal propeller pitch  $H_{pOpt}$  is given by

$$H_{pOpt} = \pi \cdot D_{pOpt} \cdot \tan \varphi_{pOpt}.$$

#### F. Step 6: Optimal Propeller Product

With the obtained parameters  $\Theta_{pOpt} = \{B_{pOpt}, \varphi_p, D_{pOpt}\}$ , the optimal propeller product can be determined by searching the propeller product database. The parameter set of the obtained optimal propeller product is represented by  $\Theta_{pOpt}^* = \{B_{pOpt}^*, \varphi_p^*, D_{pOpt}^*\}$ .

Similar to the selection principles in *Section IV-D*, the optimal propeller product should be determined from the propeller database by comparing the parameters in the sequence  $B_{pOpt}^*, \varphi_p^*, D_{pOpt}^*$ , and each parameter in  $\Theta_{pOpt}^*$  should be chosen equal or close to the corresponding parameter in  $\Theta_{pOpt}$  with satisfying the safety constraints

$$B_{pOpt}^* = B_{pOpt}, D_{pOpt}^* \leq D_{pOpt}. \quad (65)$$

#### G. Step 7: ESC Weight Optimization

1) *Optimal ESC NMV  $U_{eMaxOpt}$  and NMI  $I_{eMaxOpt}$* : Since the ESC and the motor are connected in series, their voltage and current should match with each other to ensure proper operations. For the safety, the ESC NMV  $U_{eMax}$  and NMI  $I_{eMax}$  should be able to support the maximum voltage and current from the motor, which means

$$U_{eMax} \geq U_{mMaxOpt}, I_{eMax} \geq I_{mMaxOpt}. \quad (66)$$

By combining Eq. (35) and Eq. (66), the optimal  $U_{eMaxOpt}$  and  $I_{eMaxOpt}$  are given by

$$U_{eMaxOpt} = U_{mMaxOpt}, I_{eMaxOpt} = I_{mMaxOpt}. \quad (67)$$

#### H. Step 8: ESC Efficiency Optimization

1) *Optimal ESC Resistance  $R_{eOpt}$* : According to Eq. (33), the optimal ESC resistance  $R_{eOpt}$  should be chosen as small as possible for the maximum ESC efficiency  $\eta_e$ . Since  $R_e > 0$  in practice, the optimal ESC resistance  $R_{eOpt}$  is marked with

$$R_{eOpt} = 0 \quad (68)$$

which denotes that the ESC resistance should be chosen as close to zero as possible.

### I. Step 9: Optimal ESC Product

With the obtained parameters  $U_{e\text{MaxOpt}}, I_{e\text{MaxOpt}}, R_{e\text{Opt}}$ , the optimal ESC product can be determined by searching the ESC product database. The parameter set of the obtained optimal ESC product is represented by  $\Theta_{e\text{Opt}}^* = \{U_{e\text{MaxOpt}}^*, I_{e\text{MaxOpt}}^*, R_{e\text{Opt}}^*\}$ .

Similar to the selection principles in *Section IV-D*, the optimal ESC product should be determined from the ESC database by comparing the parameters in the sequence  $U_{e\text{MaxOpt}}^*, I_{e\text{MaxOpt}}^*, R_{e\text{Opt}}^*$ , and each parameter in  $\Theta_{e\text{Opt}}^*$  should be chosen equal or close to the corresponding parameter in  $\Theta_{e\text{Opt}}$  with satisfying the constraints

$$U_{e\text{MaxOpt}}^* \geq U_{e\text{MaxOpt}}, I_{e\text{MaxOpt}}^* \geq I_{e\text{MaxOpt}}. \quad (69)$$

### J. Step 10: Battery Efficiency Optimization

1) *Optimal Battery Resistance  $R_{b\text{Opt}}$* : According to Eq.(43),  $R_b$  should be chosen as small as possible for the maximum battery efficiency  $\eta_b$ . considering that  $R_b > 0$  in practice, the optimal battery resistance  $R_{b\text{Opt}}$  is marked with

$$R_{b\text{Opt}} = 0 \quad (70)$$

which denotes that the battery resistance should be chosen as close to zero as possible.

### K. Step 11: Battery Weight Optimization

1) *Optimal Battery Nominal Voltage  $U_{b\text{Opt}}$* : As analyzed in *Section IV-B*, the actual working voltage of the motor is determined by the battery voltage, and the constraint in Eq.(30) should be satisfied to make sure the motor has the minimum weight. Therefore, after the optimal motor NMV  $U_{m\text{MaxOpt}}$  is determined, the optimal battery voltage  $U_{b\text{Opt}}$  is also determined as

$$U_{b\text{Opt}} = U_{m\text{MaxOpt}}. \quad (71)$$

2) *Optimal Battery Capacity  $C_{b\text{Opt}}$* : After the above procedures, the propeller, motor, ESC and battery of the propulsion system both have the maximum efficiency, which means the battery has the minimum current  $I_{b0}$  under the hovering mode with propeller thrust  $T_{\text{hover}}$ . According to [6], with knowing the parameters  $\Theta_{p\text{Opt}}^*, \Theta_{m\text{Opt}}^*, \Theta_{e\text{Opt}}^*, U_{b\text{Opt}}, T_{\text{hover}}, n_p, h_{\text{hover}}$ , the battery current  $I_{b0}$  can be estimated through the propulsion system equivalent circuit in Fig.3. By substituting the desired propeller thrust  $T_{\text{hover}}$  into the propeller, motor, ESC and battery models in Eqs.(8)(16)(31)(36) successively, the battery discharge current  $I_{b0}$  can be obtained. Then, the optimal battery capacity  $C_{b\text{Opt}}$  can be obtained by substituting  $t_{\text{discharge}} = t_{\text{hover}}$  into Eq.(38), which yields that

$$C_{b\text{Opt}} = \frac{t_{\text{hover}} I_{b0}}{0.85} \cdot \frac{1000}{60} \quad (72)$$

3) *Optimal MDR  $K_{b\text{Opt}}$* : The battery MDR  $K_b$  should be chosen as small as possible within the constraint in Eq.(41). Therefore, by substituting the obtained  $U_{m\text{MaxOpt}}$  and  $C_{b\text{Opt}}$  into Eq.(41), the optimal battery MDR  $K_{b\text{Opt}}$  is given by

$$K_b = \frac{1000 (n_p I_{m\text{MaxOpt}} + I_{\text{other}})}{C_{b\text{Opt}}}. \quad (73)$$

### L. Step 12: Optimal Battery Product

With the obtained parameters  $\Theta_{b\text{Opt}} = \{U_{b\text{Opt}}, K_{b\text{Opt}}, C_{b\text{Opt}}, R_{b\text{Opt}}\}$ , the optimal battery product can be determined by searching the battery product database. The parameter set of the obtained optimal battery product is represented by  $\Theta_{b\text{Opt}}^* = \{U_{b\text{Opt}}^*, K_{b\text{Opt}}^*, C_{b\text{Opt}}^*, R_{b\text{Opt}}^*\}$ .

Similar to the selection principles in *Section IV-D*, the optimal battery product should be determined from the battery database by comparing the parameters in the sequence  $U_{b\text{Opt}}^*, K_{b\text{Opt}}^*, C_{b\text{Opt}}^*, R_{b\text{Opt}}^*$ , and each parameter in  $\Theta_{b\text{Opt}}^*$  should be chosen equal or close to the corresponding parameter in  $\Theta_{b\text{Opt}}$  with satisfying the constraints

$$U_{b\text{Opt}}^* = U_{b\text{Opt}}, K_{b\text{Opt}}^* \geq K_{b\text{Opt}}. \quad (74)$$

Noteworthy, the battery voltage  $U_{b\text{Opt}}^*$  should satisfy the constraint that  $U_{b\text{Opt}}^* = U_{b\text{Opt}} = U_{m\text{MaxOpt}}$  to ensure that the motor can work under the desired voltage.

In practice, designers have to build a battery pack to satisfy the above design requirements by connecting small battery cells in series or parallel. According to [3, pp. 46], by combining battery cells in series, a higher voltage can be obtained, with capacity unchanged. On the other hand, by combining battery cells in parallel, larger capacity and discharge current can be obtained, with voltage unchanged.

## V. EXPERIMENTS AND VERIFICATION

### A. Statistical Model Verification

Comprehensive statistical analyses for the products of propellers, motors, ESCs and batteries on the market are performed to verify the weight statistical functions  $f_{G_p}(\cdot)$ ,  $f_{G_m}(\cdot)$ ,  $f_{G_e}(\cdot)$  and  $f_{G_b}(\cdot)$  in Eqs.(14)(26)(34)(44) respectively. Some typical results are presented in Fig.6, where the products come from four most well-known manufacturers (APC, T-MOTOR, Hobbywing, Gens ACE). Fig.6 shows the relationship between the weight and the parameters of each component. The statistical results are consistent with the analysis results in *Section IV*.




Fig. 6. Weight statistical results for the propulsion system components.

## B. Optimization Method Verification

According to Eq.(49), the optimal pitch angle for T-MOTOR series propellers (parameters are listed in Eq.(12)) is obtained as  $\varphi_{pOpt} = 0.1054$ . For the comparative validation, a series of multicopter propellers from the website [18] are listed in Table II. It can be observed from Table II that the statistical diameter/pitch ratio result is  $D_p/H_p \approx 3$  ( $\varphi_p \approx 0.1065$ ), which is in good agreement with the theoretical result  $\varphi_{pOpt} = 0.1054$ . The comparison between the calculation value and the statistical value shows that the pitch angle optimization method is effective to find the optimal pitch angle adopted by manufacturers. Meanwhile, the propeller test data from the UIUC website [22] also show that the obtained pitch angle  $\varphi_{pOpt}$  can guarantee high efficient in increasing the thrust and decreasing the torque.

TABLE II  
DIAMETER/PITCH RATIO OF CARBON FIBER PROPELLERS FROM THE WEBSITE [18].

Propeller	P12x4	P14x4.8	P15x5	P16x5.4
$D_p/H_p$	3	2.92	3	2.963
Propeller	P18x6.1	...	G26x8.5	G28x9.2
$D_p/H_p$	2.951	...	3.06	3.04
Propeller	G30x10	G32x11	G34x11.5	G40x13.1
$D_p/H_p$	3	2.91	2.96	3.05

The motor T-MOTOR U11 KV90 is adopted as an example to verify the proposed optimization method. The calibrated motor parameters of U11 KV90 are listed below

$$\begin{aligned} K_V &= 90\text{RPM/V}, U_{mMax} = 48\text{V}, I_{mMax} = 36\text{A}, \\ U_{m0} &= 10\text{V}, I_{m0} = 0.7\text{A}, R_m = 0.3\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

and the corresponding experiment results from [18] are listed in Table III.

From the perspective of theoretical calculation, the optimal diameter of motor U11 KV90 can be obtained by substituting the motor parameters in Eq.(75) into Eq.(64), where the obtained result is  $D_{pOpt} = 29.7$  inches. Therefore, according to the constraint in Eq.(65), the propeller diameter should be chosen as  $D_{pOpt}^* = 29$  inches because the motor will overheat if the propeller diameter is larger than  $D_{pOpt}$ .

It can be observed from the experimental results in the last two rows of Table III that the motor temperature becomes overheated when the propeller diameter changes from 29 inches to 30 inches. Therefore, the optimal propeller diameter obtained from experiments should be 29 inches, which agrees with the theoretical optimal solution  $D_{pOpt}^* = 29$  inches.

TABLE III  
FULL-THROTTLE TEST DATA OF U11 KV90 WITH 12S LI-PO BATTERY (48V)

Prop.	Current (A)	Power (W)	Thrust (N)	RPM	Torque (N·m)	Tempe. (°C)
27x8.8CF	24.6	1180.8	81.4	3782	2.623	58.5
28x9.2CF	28.3	1358.4	91.3	3696	3.068	66.5
29x9.5CF	31.9	1531.2	98.8	3602	3.41	78.5
30x10.5CF	36.3	1742.4	106.8	3503	3.846	HOT!

## C. Design Optimization Example

As an example, assume that the given design task is to select an optimal propulsion system for a multicopter ( $n_p = 4$ ) whose total weight  $G_{total} = 196\text{N}$  (20 kg) and flight time is  $t_{hover} = 17\text{min}$  (flight altitude  $h_{hover} = 50\text{m}$ ). The component databases are composed of the ESC, BLDC motor, carbon fiber propeller products from T-MOTOR website [18], and the LiPo battery products from GENS ACE website [23].

The key calculation results of the design procedures are listed as follows.

i) The thrust requirements for the propulsion system are obtained from Eqs.(1)(2) as  $T_{hover} = 49\text{N}$  and  $T_{max} = 98\text{N}$ , where  $\gamma = 0.5$  is adopted here.

ii) The optimal propeller efficiency parameters are obtained from Eqs.(47)(49) as  $B_{pOpt} = 2$ ,  $\varphi_{pOpt} = 0.1065\text{rad}$ . Then, with statistical models in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, the optimal motor parameters are obtained from Eqs.(51)(52)(57) as  $U_{mMaxOpt} = 48\text{V}$ ,  $I_{mMaxOpt} = 34\text{A}$ ,  $K_{VOpt} = 91\text{RPM/V}$ . Therefore, by searching products from the T-MOTOR website according to principles in Eqs.(60)(61), the optimal motor is determined as U11 KV90.

iii) With the blade parameters in Eq.(12), the optimal propeller diameter can be obtained from Eq.(64) as  $D_{pOpt} = 0.7468\text{m}$ , and the optimal propeller product is selected as 29x9.5CF 2-blade. In the same way, the ESC parameters can also be obtained from Eq.(67) as  $U_{eMaxOpt} = 48\text{V}$  and  $I_{eMaxOpt} = 34\text{A}$ , and the optimal ESC product is selected as FLAME 60A HV.

iv) For the battery, the optimal parameters are obtained from Eqs.(71)(72)(73) as  $U_{bOpt} = 48\text{V}$ ,  $C_{bOpt} = 16000\text{mAh}$  and  $K_{bOpt} = 10\text{C}$ . The optimal battery selected from ACE website is TATTU LiPo 6S 15C 16000mAh  $\times 2$ .

The obtained result has been verified by several multicopter designers. Experiments show that a quadcopter with the designed propulsion system can efficiently meet the desired design requirements. If a larger motor (like T-MOTOR U13) is selected, then a smaller propeller has to be chosen for generating the same full-throttle thrust  $T_{pMax}$ , which reduces the motor efficiency because the optimal operating condition cannot be reached. As a result, the obtained propulsion system is heavier than the optimal result according to our experiments. If a smaller motor is selected, then a larger propeller has to be chosen, which results in exceeding the safety current of the motor. Therefore, the obtained propulsion system is optimal within the given database.

## D. Method Application

If the same optimization problem is solved by brute force searching methods to traverse all combinations and evaluate the performance of each combination, the time consumption will be far longer than the proposed method. For example, it takes about 100ms for our evaluation method in [6] to calculate the performance of each propulsion combination. Assuming that the numbers of products in the propeller, motor, ESC and battery databases all equal to  $n$ , then it will take about  $T(n) \approx C_n^4 = O(n^4)$  to traverse all products in the databases. By comparison, the computation amount of the

proposed method to traverse 4 component databases is  $4n$ . Since there are 15 parameters in Table I, it is easy to verify that the total computation amount of the proposed method is approximate to  $T(n) \approx 15 \cdot 4n = O(n)$ , which is much faster than the brute force searching methods.

The optimization algorithm proposed in this paper is adopted as a sub-function in our online toolbox (URL: [www.flyeval.com/recalc.html](http://www.flyeval.com/recalc.html)) to estimate the optimal propulsion system with giving the multicopter total weight. The program is fast enough to be finished within 30 ms by using a web server with low configuration (single-core CPU and 1GB of RAM). The feedback results from the users show that the optimization results are effective and practical for the multicopter design.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the precise modeling methods for the propeller, ESC, motor and battery are studied respectively to solve the optimization problem for the propulsion system of multicopters. Then, the key parameters of each component are estimated through mathematical derivations to make sure that the obtained propulsion system has the maximum efficiency. Experiments and feedback of the website demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method. The propulsion system is the most important part of a multicopter, and its design optimization method will be conducive to the fast, optimal and automatic design of the whole multicopter system or other types of aircraft systems. The theoretical analysis can be further used to directly maximize the endurance of all kinds of UAVs, which is interesting for future research.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. C. P. Santos, C. D. Rosales, M. Sarcinelli-Filho, and R. Carelli, "A novel null-space-based uav trajectory tracking controller with collision avoidance," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 2543–2553, Dec 2017.
- [2] M. Fanni and A. Khalifa, "A new 6-dof quadrotor manipulation system: Design, kinematics, dynamics, and control," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 1315–1326, June 2017.
- [3] Q. Quan, *Introduction to Multicopter Design and Control*. Springer, Singapore, 2017.
- [4] T. Oktay and C. Sultan, "Simultaneous helicopter and control-system design," *Journal of Aircraft*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 911–925, 2013.
- [5] T. Oktay, M. Konar, M. Onay, M. Aydin, and M. A. Mohamed, "Simultaneous small uav and autopilot system design," *Aircraft Engineering and Aerospace Technology*, vol. 88, no. 6, pp. 818–834, 2016.
- [6] D. Shi, X. Dai, X. Zhang, and Q. Quan, "A practical performance evaluation method for electric multicopters," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 1–10, 2017.
- [7] A. M. Harrington, "Optimal propulsion system design for a micro quad rotor," Master's thesis, University of Maryland, College Park, 2011.
- [8] G. Allaka, B. Anasuya, C. Yamini, N. Vaidehi, and Y. V. Ramana, "Modelling and analysis of multicopter frame and propeller," *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 481–483, 2013.
- [9] M. McCrink and J. W. Gregory, "Blade element momentum modeling of low-re small uav electric propulsion systems," in *33rd AIAA Applied Aerodynamics Conference*. AIAA Paper 2015-3296, June. 2015.
- [10] D. Lawrence and K. Mohseni, "Efficiency analysis for long duration electric mavs," in *Infotech@Aerospace Conferences*. AIAA Paper 2005-7090, Sept. 2005.
- [11] M. J. Stepaniak, F. V. Graas, and M. U. De Haag, "Design of an Electric Propulsion System for a Quadrotor Unmanned Aerial Vehicle," *Journal of Aircraft*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 1050–1058, 2009.
- [12] D. Bershadsky, S. Haviland, E. N. Johnson, A. Engineering, and F. Nw, "Electric Multirotor UAV Propulsion System Sizing for Performance Prediction and Design Optimization," in *57th AIAA/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference*. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2016, pp. 1–20.
- [13] D. Lundström, K. Amadori, and P. Krus, "Automation of design and prototyping of micro aerial vehicle," in *47th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting including The New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition*. AIAA Paper 2009-629, Jan. 2009.
- [14] Ø. Magnussen, G. Hovland, and M. Ottestad, "Multicopter UAV design optimization," in *Mechatronic and Embedded Systems and Applications (MESA), 2014 IEEE/ASME 10th International Conference on*, Sept. 2014, pp. 1–6.
- [15] Ø. Magnussen, M. Ottestad, and G. Hovland, "Multicopter design optimization and validation," *Modeling, Identification and Control*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 67–79, 2015.
- [16] M. Merchant and L. S. Miller, "Propeller performance measurement for low reynolds number uav applications," in *44th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit*. AIAA Paper 2006-1127, Jan. 2006.
- [17] M. Cavcar, "The international standard atmosphere (isa)," *Anadolu University, Turkey*, vol. 30, p. 9, 2000.
- [18] M. Wu, "T-motor official website," <http://store-en.tmotor.com/>, accessed September 28, 2018.
- [19] S. Chapman, *Electric machinery fundamentals*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education, 2005.
- [20] T. Donato, L. Spedicato, and D. P. Placentino, "Design and performance evaluation of a hybrid electric power system for multicopters," *Energy Procedia*, vol. 126, pp. 1035–1042, 2017.
- [21] T. Donato, A. Ficarella, L. Spedicato, A. Arista, and M. Ferraro, "A new approach to calculating endurance in electric flight and comparing fuel cells and batteries," *Applied energy*, vol. 187, pp. 807–819, 2017.
- [22] J. Brandt, R. Deters, G. Ananda, and M. Selig, "UIUC propeller data site," <http://m-selig.ae.illinois.edu/props/propDB.html>, accessed September 28, 2018.
- [23] R. Chen, "Gens ace official website," <http://www.ace-pow.com/>, accessed February 28, 2017.

**Xunhua Dai** received the B.S. and M.S. degrees from Beihang University, Beijing, China, in 2013, and 2016, respectively. Currently, he is a Ph.D. candidate of School of Automation Science and Electrical Engineering at Beihang University, Beijing, China. His main research interests are reliable flight control, model-based design and design optimization of UAVs.

**Quan Quan** received the B.S. and Ph.D. degrees from Beihang University, Beijing, China, in 2004, and 2010, respectively. He has been an Associate Professor with Beihang University since 2013, where he is currently with the School of Automation Science and Electrical Engineering. His research interest covers reliable flight control, vision-based navigation, repetitive learning control, and time-delay systems.

**Jinrui Ren** received the B.S. degree from Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, China, in 2014. Currently, She is a Ph.D. candidate of School of Automation Science and Electrical Engineering at Beihang University, Beijing, China. Her main research interests include nonlinear control, flight control, and aerial refueling.

**Kai-Yuan Cai** received the B.S., M.S., and Ph.D. degrees from Beihang University, Beijing, China, in 1984, 1987, and 1991, respectively. He has been a full professor at Beihang University since 1995. He is a Cheung Kong Scholar (chair professor), jointly appointed by the Ministry of Education of China and the Li Ka Shing Foundation of Hong Kong in 1999. His main research interests include software testing, software reliability, reliable flight control, and software cybernetics.