

A note on the edge partition of graphs containing either a light edge or an alternating 2-cycle*

Xin Zhang, Bei Niu

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xidian University, Xi'an, 710071, China

March 14, 2022

Abstract

Let \mathcal{G}_α be a hereditary graph class (i.e, every subgraph of $G_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ belongs to \mathcal{G}_α) such that every graph G_α in \mathcal{G}_α has minimum degree at most 1, or contains either an edge uv such that $d_{G_\alpha}(u) + d_{G_\alpha}(v) \leq \alpha$ or a 2-alternating cycle. It is proved that every graph in \mathcal{G}_α ($\alpha \geq 5$) with maximum degree Δ can be edge-partitioned into two forests F_1, F_2 and a subgraph H such that $\Delta(F_i) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{\Delta-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\Delta(H) \leq \alpha - 5$.

An edge partition of an undirected graph G is a partition of its set of edges into some disjoint subsets so that their union is the set of edges of G . For example, an edge k -coloring of a graph G is an edge partition $E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \dots \cup E(G_k)$ such that each $E(G_i)$ with $1 \leq i \leq k$ induces a match.

Sometimes, when considering the edge partition problem of a graph G , we are to search disjoint subsets $E(G_1), E(G_2), \dots, E(G_k)$ such that $E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \dots \cup E(G_k)$, but $E(G_i)$ and $E(G_j)$ with some $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ may induce different subgraphs. For example, Wang, Hu and Wang [4] showed that

Theorem 1. [4, Wang, Hu, Wang] Every planar graph G with maximum degree Δ can be edge-partitioned into two forests F_1, F_2 and a subgraph H such that $\Delta(F_i) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{\Delta-9}{2} \rceil\}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\Delta(H) \leq 10$.

Similar result was established by Wang [3] for planar graph with more restrictions.

Theorem 2. [3, Wang] Every planar graph without 3-cycle normally adjacent to a 4-cycle and with maximum degree Δ can be edge-partitioned into two forests F_1, F_2 and a subgraph H such that $\Delta(F_i) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{\Delta-4}{2} \rceil\}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\Delta(H) \leq 5$.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are both based on the structure of the considered graph. Precisely, the proof of Theorem 1 uses the fact that every planar graph G with minimum degree at least 2 contains either an edge uv such that $d_G(u) + d_G(v) \leq 15$ or a 2-alternating cycle, that is, a cycle $v_0v_1 \dots v_{2n-1}v_0$ such that $d_G(v_0) = d_G(v_2) = \dots = d_G(v_{2n-2}) = 2$, and the proof of Theorem 2 involves the fact that every planar graph G without 3-cycle normally adjacent to a 4-cycle and with minimum degree at least 2 contains either an edge uv such that $d_G(u) + d_G(v) \leq 10$ or a 2-alternating cycle. Clearly, we can feel at this stage that the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 may be similar, but they are full-length-proved in different papers.

The aim of this note is to establish a common tool that can be used to prove similar theorems as Theorems 1 and 2.

Let \mathcal{G}_α be a hereditary graph class (i.e, every subgraph of $G_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ belongs to \mathcal{G}_α) such that every graph $G_\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_\alpha$ has minimum degree at most 1, or contains either an edge uv such that $d_{G_\alpha}(u) + d_{G_\alpha}(v) \leq \alpha$ or a 2-alternating cycle. What can we say about the graph class \mathcal{G}_α ? In the following, we conclude the following theorem that implies Theorems 1 and 2 (taking $\alpha = 15$ and $\alpha = 10$, respectively).

*This is a very preliminary version! If you find any typos or mistakes, please feel free to let us now (contact at xzhang@xidian.edu.cn or beiniu@stu.xidian.edu.cn). This paper is used for communication, and will not be published as it is in a journal.

Theorem 3. Every graph in \mathcal{G}_α ($\alpha \geq 5$) with maximum degree Δ can be edge-partitioned into two forests F_1, F_2 and a subgraph H such that $\Delta(F_i) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{\Delta-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\Delta(H) \leq \alpha - 5$.

In the following, we prove a slightly stronger result than Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. Every graph G in \mathcal{G}_α ($\alpha \geq 5$) can be edge-partitioned into two forests F_1, F_2 and a subgraph H such that $d_{F_i}(v) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$ for every $v \in F_i$ with $i = 1, 2$ and $\Delta(H) \leq \alpha - 5$.

Proof. Let G be a minimum counterexample to Theorem 4. Clearly, G is connected. If $\Delta(G) \leq \alpha - 5$, then take $H = G$ and $F_1 = F_2 = \emptyset$ and we are done. Hence we assume $\Delta(G) \geq \alpha + 2$.

Case 1. G contains a vertex u of degree at most 1.

Since G is connected, $d_G(u) = 1$. Let $uv \in E(G)$ and let $G' = G - u$. By the minimality of G , G' has an edge-partition into two forests F'_1, F'_2 and a subgraph H' such that $\Delta(H') \leq \alpha - 5$ and $d_{F'_i}(v) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$ for any $v \in V(F_i)$ with $i = 1, 2$.

If $d_{H'}(v) \leq \alpha - 6$, then let $H = H' + uv, F_1 = F'_1$ and $F_2 = F'_2$. Since $d_H(u) = 1, d_H(v) = d_{H'}(v) + 1 \leq \alpha - 5$, and $d_H(w) = d_{H'}(w) \leq \Delta(H') \leq \alpha - 5$ for any $w \in V(H') \setminus \{v\}$, we have $\Delta(H) \leq \alpha - 5$. On the other hand, it is clear that for any $v \in F_i$ with $i = 1, 2, d_{F_i}(v) = d_{F'_i}(v) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\} \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$.

If $d_{H'}(v) \geq \alpha - 5$, then $d_{H'}(v) = \alpha - 5$ because $\Delta(H') \leq \alpha - 5$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $d_{F'_1}(v) \leq d_{F'_2}(v)$. Since $d_G(v) - 1 = d_{G'}(v) = d_{F'_1}(v) + d_{F'_2}(v) + d_{H'}(v) = d_{F'_1}(v) + d_{F'_2}(v) + \alpha - 5 \geq 2d_{F'_1}(v) + \alpha - 5$, $d_{F'_1}(v) \leq \lfloor \frac{d_G(v)-\alpha+4}{2} \rfloor$.

Let $H = H', F_1 = F'_1 + uv$ and $F_2 = F'_2$. Clearly, $\Delta(H) = \Delta(H') \leq \alpha - 5$ and for any $v \in F_2, d_{F_2}(v) = d_{F'_2}(v) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\} \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$. Moreover, F_1 is a forest so that $d_{F_1}(u) = 1, d_{F_1}(v) \leq d_{F'_1}(v) + 1 \leq \lfloor \frac{d_G(v)-\alpha+4}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$ and $d_{F_1}(w) = d_{F'_1}(w) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(w)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\} \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(w)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$ for any $w \in V(F'_1) \setminus \{v\}$.

Case 2. G contains an edge $uv \in E(G)$ with $d_G(u) + d_G(v) \leq \alpha$.

Let $G' = G - uv$. By the minimality of G , G' has an edge-partition into two forests F'_1, F'_2 and a subgraph H' such that $\Delta(H') \leq \alpha - 5$ and $d_{F'_i}(v) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$ for any $v \in V(F_i)$ with $i = 1, 2$.

If $\max\{d_{H'}(u), d_{H'}(v)\} \leq \alpha - 6$, then let $H = H' + uv, F_1 = F'_1$ and $F_2 = F'_2$. It is easy to see that $\Delta(H) \leq \alpha - 6 + 1 = \alpha - 5$ and for any $v \in F_i$ with $i = 1, 2, d_{F_i}(v) = d_{F'_i}(v) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\} \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$.

If $\max\{d_{H'}(u), d_{H'}(v)\} \geq \alpha - 5$, then assume, without loss of generality, that $d_{H'}(u) \leq d_{H'}(v) = \alpha - 5$, since $\Delta(H') \leq \alpha - 5$. By (a), we assume that $d_G(u) \geq 2$.

If $d_G(u) = 2$, then u cannot be incident with both F'_1 and F'_2 . Without loss of generality, assume that $d_{F'_1}(u) = 0$ and $d_{F'_2}(u) \leq 1$. Since $d_G(v) \leq \alpha - 2, \alpha - 3 \geq d_G(v) - 1 = d_{G'}(v) = d_{F'_1}(v) + d_{F'_2}(v) + d_{H'}(v) = d_{F'_1}(v) + d_{F'_2}(v) + \alpha - 5$, which implies $d_{F'_1}(v) + d_{F'_2}(v) \leq 2$.

- If $d_{F'_1}(v) \leq 1$, then let $H = H', F_1 = F'_1 + uv$ and $F_2 = F'_2$. Clearly, $\Delta(H) = \Delta(H') \leq \alpha - 5$ and for any $v \in V(F_2), d_{F_2}(v) = d_{F'_2}(v) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\} \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$. Moreover, F_1 is a forest so that $d_{F_1}(u) = 1, d_{F_1}(v) \leq 2$ and $d_{F_1}(w) = d_{F'_1}(w) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(w)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\} \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(w)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$ for any $w \in V(F'_1) \setminus \{v\}$.
- If $d_{F'_1}(v) = 2$, then $d_{F'_2}(v) = 0$. Let $H = H', F_1 = F'_1$ and $F_2 = F'_2 + uv$. It is easy to see that $\Delta(H) = \Delta(H') \leq \alpha - 6$ and $d_{F_1}(v) = d_{F'_1}(v) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\} \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(v)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$ for any $v \in V(F_1)$. Moreover, F_2 is a forest so that $d_{F_2}(v) = 1, d_{F_2}(u) \leq 2$ and $d_{F_2}(w) = d_{F'_2}(w) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(w)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\} \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(w)-\alpha+6}{2} \rceil\}$.

If $d_G(u) = 3$, then $d_G(v) \leq \alpha - 3$, which implies $\alpha - 4 \geq d_G(v) - 1 = d_{G'}(v) = d_{F'_1}(v) + d_{F'_2}(v) + d_{H'}(v) = d_{F'_1}(v) + d_{F'_2}(v) + \alpha - 5$. Hence $d_{F'_1}(v) + d_{F'_2}(v) \leq 1$. Without loss of generality, assume that $d_{F'_1}(v) = 0$ and $d_{F'_2}(v) \leq 1$. Since $d_G(u) = 3, d_{F'_1}(u) + d_{F'_2}(u) \leq 2$. By similar arguments as the above two paragraphs (just exchanging u and v among those words), we get the desired edge-partition.

If $d_G(u) \geq 4$, then $\alpha - 5 \geq \alpha - 1 - d_G(u) \geq d_G(v) - 1 = d_{G'}(v) = d_{F'_1}(v) + d_{F'_2}(v) + d_{H'}(v) = d_{F'_1}(v) + d_{F'_2}(v) + \alpha - 5$, which implies $d_{F'_1}(v) = d_{F'_2}(v) = 0$ and $d_G(u) = 4$. Hence $d_{F'_1}(u) + d_{F'_2}(u) \leq 3$ and we assume, without loss

of generality, that $d_{F'_1}(u) \leq 1$. Let $H = H'$, $F_1 = F'_1 + uv$ and $F_2 = F'_2$. Clearly, $\Delta(H) = \Delta(H') \leq \alpha - 5$ and for any $v \in V(F_2)$, $d_{F_2}(v) = d_{F'_2}(v) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil\} \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(v) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil\}$. Moreover, F_1 is a forest so that $d_{F_1}(v) = 1$, $d_{F_1}(u) \leq 2$ and $d_{F_1}(w) = d_{F'_1}(w) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(w) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil\} \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(w) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil\}$ for any $w \in V(F'_1) \setminus \{v\}$.

Case 3. G contains a 2-alternating cycle $C = v_0v_1 \cdots v_{2n-1}v_0$, where $n \geq 2$, such that $d_G(v_0) = d_G(v_2) = \cdots = d_G(v_{2n-2}) = 2$.

Let $G' = G - E(C)$. By the minimality of G , G' has an edge-partition into two forests F'_1, F'_2 and a subgraph H' such that $\Delta(H') \leq \alpha - 5$ and $d_{F'_i}(v) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil\}$ for any $v \in V(F_i)$ with $i = 1, 2$.

Let $H = H'$, $F_1 = F'_1 \cup \{v_1v_2, v_3v_4, \dots, v_{2n-1}v_0\}$ and $F_2 = F'_2 \cup \{v_0v_1, v_2v_3, \dots, v_{2n-2}v_{2n-1}\}$. Clearly, $\Delta(H) = \Delta(H') \leq \alpha - 5$ and F_1, F_2 are forests. For each integer $i \in \{1, 3, \dots, 2n - 1\}$, $d_G(v_i) + d_G(v_{i+1}) = d_{G'}(v_i) + 2 \geq \alpha + 1$ (otherwise we come back to Case 2), which implies that $d_{G'}(v_i) = d_G(v_i) - 2 \geq \alpha - 3$. Therefore, for integers $i \in \{1, 3, \dots, 2n - 1\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$, $d_{F'_j}(v_i) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v_i) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil\} = \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v_i) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil$, implying that $d_{F_j}(v_i) \leq d_{F'_j}(v_i) + 1 \leq \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(v_i) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil + 1 = \lceil \frac{(d_{G'}(v_i) + 2) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil = \lceil \frac{d_G(v_i) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $d_{F_j}(v_i) \leq 1$ for integers $i \in \{0, 2, \dots, 2n - 2\}$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$, and $d_{F_j}(w) = d_{F'_j}(w) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_{G'}(w) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil\} \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(w) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil\}$ for any $w \in V(F_j) \setminus V(C)$. Therefore, $d_{F_i}(v) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{d_G(v) - \alpha + 6}{2} \rceil\}$ for any $v \in V(F_i)$ with $i = 1, 2$ and $H \cup F_1 \cup F_2$ is a desired edge-partition of G . \square

Remark. Wang, Li, Hu and Wang [2] gave the proof of Theorem 4 for $\alpha \geq 7$. Here we improve it to the case $\alpha \geq 5$. Actually, the idea of the proof of Theorem 4 comes from the proofs of [2, Theorem 1], [3, Theorem 2] and [4, Theorem 5], but here we involve a simplification, especially when we consider the third case. Therefore, we believe that it is an easier proof.

It is well-known that every K_4 -minor-free graph G has a vertex of degree at most 1, or contains either an edge uv such that $d_G(u) + d_G(v) \leq 6$ or a 2-alternating cycle of length 4, see [1, Lemma 2.1]. Therefore, every K_4 -minor-free graph belongs to the graph class \mathcal{G}_6 , and thus the following theorem is an immediate corollary from Theorem 3.

Theorem 5. Every K_4 -minor-free graph with maximum degree Δ can be edge-partitioned into two forests F_1, F_2 and a subgraph H such that $\Delta(F_i) \leq \max\{2, \lceil \frac{\Delta}{2} \rceil\}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\Delta(H) \leq 1$ (i.e., H is a matching).

Theorem 5 is in accordance with [5, Theorem 18], which was proved by Wang, Wang and Wang using similar arguments as proving Theorems 1 and 2 in the references [4] and [3], respectively.

References

- [1] M. Juvan, B. Mohar, R. Thomas, List edge-colorings of series-parallel graphs, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 6 (1999) #R42.
- [2] W. Wang, Y. Li, X. Hu, Y. Wang, Linear 2-arboricity of toroidal graphs, Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society (2016) DOI 10.1007/s40840-016-0434-z.
- [3] Y. Wang, On the linear 2-arboricity of planar graph without normally adjacent 3-cycles and 4-cycles, International Journal of Computer Mathematics 94(5) (2017) 981–988.
- [4] Y. Wang, X. Hu, W. Wang, A note on the linear 2-arboricity of planar graphs, Discrete Mathematics 340 (2017) 1449–1455.
- [5] Y. Wang, W. Wang, Y. Wang, Edge-partition and star chromatic index, Applied Mathematics and Computation 333 (2018) 480–489.