

# Adversarial Soft-detection-based Aggregation Network for Image Retrieval

Jian Xu, Chunheng Wang, Cunzhao Shi, and Baihua Xiao  
Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CASIA)

## Abstract

In recent year, the compact representations based on activations of Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) achieve remarkable performance in image retrieval. However, retrieval of some interested object that only takes up a small part of the whole image is still a challenging problem. Therefore, it is significant to extract the discriminative representations that contain regional information of the pivotal small object. In this paper, we propose a novel adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation (ASDA) method free from bounding box annotations for image retrieval, based on adversarial detector and soft region proposal layer. Our trainable adversarial detector generates semantic maps based on adversarial erasing strategy to preserve more discriminative and detailed information. Computed based on semantic maps corresponding to various discriminative patterns and semantic contents, our soft region proposal is arbitrary shape rather than only rectangle and it reflects the significance of objects. The aggregation based on trainable soft region proposal highlights discriminative semantic contents and suppresses the noise of background.

We conduct comprehensive experiments on standard image retrieval datasets. Our weakly supervised ASDA method achieves state-of-the-art performance on most datasets. The results demonstrate that the proposed ASDA method is effective for image retrieval.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, image retrieval has been researched widely. Image representation is the pivotal modules in image retrieval, and it has a considerable impact on retrieval performance. The image representation methods based on Scale-Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) [23] and Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) [20] have received sustained attention over the past decades.

After Lowe et al. [23] propose SIFT descriptor, SIFT-based image representation [47, 37, 16, 26, 12, 6, 15] achieves remarkable performance in image retrieval. As a hand-crafted descriptor based on the histogram of oriented gradients, SIFT has the significant semantic gap with high-




Figure 1. Some examples of images that only contain partial interested objects in Paris dataset [28]. As marked by orange box, the interested object only occupies a small fraction of the area and is easy to be interfered by the background in image retrieval.

level semantic content of image. With the development of deep learning, CNN-based image retrieval methods [35, 9, 3, 32, 2, 39, 17, 42, 43, 44, 1, 30, 10, 18, 11, 31, 25] become the research focus gradually.

Fully connected layer features of CNN are utilized to generate the compact global representation for image retrieval in some recent works [35, 9, 3]. After that, feature maps of convolutional layers are employed to obtain global representation [32, 2, 39, 17, 42, 43, 44] and achieve better performance. Recently, many methods seek to fine-tune the image representations [1, 30, 10, 18, 11, 31, 25] for image retrieval. Fine-tuning significantly improves the adaptation ability of the pre-trained network.

Most of the previous image representations aggregation methods for image retrieval are based on the whole image and ignore the discriminative regional information. However, a lot of images only contain partial interested objects, as shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, it is important to capture the interested region and extract pivotal regional representation in image retrieval. R-MAC [39] utilizes regional information based on the sliding window. DIR [10, 11] employs region proposal network (RPN) in faster-rcnn [34] to re-




Figure 2. The framework of our weakly supervised adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation (ASDA) method. Firstly, we extract feature maps of deep convolutional layers and generate some soft region proposals on the feature maps by soft region proposal layer (SRPL). Then, we extract regional representations based on generated soft region proposals. Finally, we combine regional representations to obtain global representation and concatenate global representations corresponding to various semantic maps to obtain concatenated global representation.

place the rigid grid. Its RPN is trained using the annotated bounding box of the Landmarks dataset, similar to the proposal mechanism in [34]. The regional representation is calculated based on rectangle proposal (named as hard region proposal in this paper), and the significance of each regional representation is uniform in R-MAC [39] and DIR [10, 11]. Recently, SBA [43, 44] proposes the soft region proposals generated by the empirical strategy to highlight the certain discriminative pattern. In order to gain pivotal regional representations, we propose the trainable soft region proposal that can be interpreted as regional attention-based significance in aggregation stage to highlight discriminative semantic contents and patterns.

In recent years, many methods achieve remarkable performance in object detection, such as Region-based Convolutional Neural Networks (R-CNN) [8], Fast R-CNN [7], Faster R-CNN [34], Mask R-CNN [13], You Look Only Once (YOLO) [33], Single Shot Detector (SSD) [22], and RetinaNet [21]. However, these methods are trained based on the annotated bounding box and it is very laborious and expensive to collect bounding box annotations. By contrast, the image-level annotation is much easier to acquire. Many weakly supervised object detection and localization methods [4, 38, 45, 48] trained without annotated bounding box are proposed recently. In order to over tackle the shrinkage problem, some weakly supervised object localization methods [41, 46] obtain complementary object regions based on adversarial erasing strategy.

Inspired by above previous researches, we propose a weakly supervised adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation (ASDA) method based on trainable soft region proposals for image retrieval in this paper. We generate semantic maps corresponding to some special discriminative patterns by the proposed adversarial detector. The soft region proposals based on trainable semantic maps highlight the discriminative pattern of objects and suppress the noise of background. Different from previous object detection methods [40, 49, 8, 7, 34, 33, 22] that use rectangular boxes to represent interested objects, we utilize arbitrarily shaped soft region proposals that indicate the probability of object as the foreground to reflect significance information. Note,

our weakly supervised adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation method is free from annotated bounding box. We holistically train the detection and aggregation network only based on image-level annotations.

The diagram of the proposed method is illustrated in Fig. 2. Firstly, we extract feature maps of deep convolutional layers and generate some semantic maps corresponding to various patterns and semantic contents by trainable adversarial detectors. Then, we generate some initial candidate regions on the feature maps and compute the soft region proposals by soft region proposal layer (SRPL) based on the semantic maps and candidate regions. Finally, we combine regional representations as global representation and concatenate global representations corresponding to various semantic maps to obtain concatenated global representation. As far as we know, our adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation method is the first work that introduces weakly supervised adversarial detection into feature aggregation for image retrieval.

The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

- We propose a novel trainable adversarial detector to generate semantic maps corresponding to various discriminative patterns and semantic contents. Benefiting from the adversarial erasing strategy, generated semantic maps preserve more discriminative and detailed information.
- Our trainable soft region proposal is arbitrary shape rather than only rectangle and it reflects the significance of objects and various parts of it. The aggregation based on soft region proposal highlights discriminative semantic contents and suppresses the noise of background.

## 2. Method

In this section, we introduce our adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation (ASDA) method in detail. The framework of the proposed ASDA method is illustrated in Fig. 2. Firstly, generate some semantic maps  $m_k$  ( $k =$




Figure 3. Some examples of the semantic map without adversarial learning. Left: semantic map highlights pivotal objects (buildings) and suppresses the noise background (such as people and trees). (a)(b) are Eiffel Tower and (c)(d) are Notre Dame. Right: Some representative channels of the feature map. The important channel is emphasized by larger weight such as 155th channel corresponding to building.




Figure 4. Adversarial detector. Our adversarial detector generates some semantic maps ( $m_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, K$ )) corresponding to special patterns based on adversarial strategy. The  $k_{th}$  semantic map  $m_k$  pays more attention to the semantic content different from previous  $k - 1$  semantic maps because the activation in previous  $k - 1$  semantic maps is erased step by step.

$1, 2, \dots, K$ , where  $K$  is the number of semantic maps) corresponding to special patterns by adversarial detectors. Then, we obtain initial candidate regions  $r_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, I$ , where  $I$  is the number of candidate regions) based on traditional or CNN-based detection methods, and generate soft region proposals  $srp_{i,k}$  based on candidate regions and semantic maps by soft region proposal layer (SRPL). In the next step, we extract regional representations based on generated soft region proposals which indicate the significance of whole candidate regions and various parts of them. Finally, we combine regional representations  $rep_{i,k}$  to obtain global representation  $g_k$  and concatenate global representations corresponding to various semantic maps to obtain concatenated global representation  $g$ . We employ weakly supervised loss that only need annotated tuples  $(q, p, n)$  containing query image, positive image that matches the query, and negative image that do not match the query. It is worth noting that our method is trained without bounding boxes annotations.

## 2.1. Adversarial Soft-detection-based Aggregation

### 2.1.1 Adversarial detector

**Semantic map.** The detector  $D()$  generates a semantic map  $m = D(f)$  based on feature map  $f$  of deep convolutional layer. The semantic map represents the significance of each position on the feature map. In order to explain the semantic map in detail, we illustrate how it works in Fig. 3. The semantic map highlights pivotal objects and suppresses the noise background such as people and trees. The value of positions of buildings such as Eiffel Tower and Notre Dame on the feature map is large, which means the features of these positions are important for discriminability. By contrast, the trees and people are distractions in this image retrieval task, and they can be suppressed by our semantic map which can be interpreted as a spatial selection. The effectiveness and validity of semantic map benefit by  $1 \times 1$  convolutional layer, which weights and combines various channels of feature map corresponding to different semantic content as the semantic map. The important channel is emphasized by a larger weight of  $1 \times 1$  convolutional layer and noise is suppressed. For example, the 155th channel of feature map

represent building and its weight is 0.062. The weights of the channels corresponding to the tree (0.038) and people (0.039) are smaller. As a result, we select some important channels corresponding to discriminative semantic content to generate a semantic map, which reflects the significance of various position. The impact of our semantic map is similar to the attention-based keypoint selection in DELF [25]. In order to capture more discriminative and detailed semantic contents, we introduce the adversarial erasing strategy into the detector.

**Adversarial erasing.** The semantic map can be simply generated by a  $1 \times 1$  convolutional layer, but only one semantic map can not express enough rich semantic information. In order to learn the semantic maps that contain different semantic contents, we introduce the adversarial erasing strategy into our adversarial detector. The overview of the proposed adversarial detector is shown in Fig. 4. Our adversarial detector generates a semantic map in each step. In order to force the  $k_{th}$  detector to capture the different semantic contents from previous  $k - 1$  semantic maps, we erase the input data stream  $f_{k-1}$  of  $k_{th}$  detector by the  $k - 1_{th}$  semantic map. The semantic map  $m_k$  in step  $k$  is calculated by

$$m_k = D_k(f_k) \quad (1)$$

Where we define the detector in step  $k$  as  $D_k$  and the input data stream in step  $k$  as  $f_k$ . The input data stream  $f_k$  is calculated based on adversarial erasing strategy.

$$f_k = r_k f_{k-1} \quad (2)$$

Where  $r_k = m_{k-1} < \theta$  is the residual region from step  $k - 1$  to step  $k$ .  $\theta$  define the adversarial threshold to control adversarial intensity. The special semantic contents in the data stream are erased step by step, and  $\ell_2$ -normalized in Formulation. 4 balances the contribution of various semantic maps for final representation. Different from previous adversarial erasing approach [41, 46], our adversarial detector is based on holistic representation rather than multiple classifiers.

As shown in Fig. 4, the adversarial semantic maps indicate different patterns respectively. The semantic map  $m_1$  highlights the bottom of Eiffel Tower. The semantic map  $m_2$  focuses on the body of buildings. The semantic map  $m_K$  highlights the outer contour of Eiffel Tower. Compared with the semantic map generated without adversarial learning as shown in Fig. 3(b), the adversarial detectors capture more discriminative and detailed patterns.

### 2.1.2 Soft region proposal layer (SRPL)

We generate soft region proposals by soft region proposal layer (SRPL). The overview of the soft region proposals layer is illustrated in Fig. 5. The semantic map  $m_k$  is generated by trainable adversarial detectors, which corresponding to various patterns and semantic contents. The




Figure 5. Soft region proposal layer (SRPL). We generate soft region proposals based on candidate regions and semantic maps by soft region proposals layer. The initial candidate regions can be obtained by general detection methods, e.g. Multi-scale Sliding Window employed in R-MAC [39]. This architecture is naturally implemented with cropping operation on semantic maps generated by adversarial detectors.

value of the semantic map reflects the significance of each position on the feature map. We crop semantic map  $m_k$  by candidate regions  $r_i$  to generate soft region proposals  $srp_{i,k} = Crop(m_k, r_i)$ .

We can generate some initial candidate regions based on general detection methods, such as Multi-scale Sliding Window used in R-MAC [39]. Different from previous general object detection methods, we pay more attention to the position of candidate regions on the feature maps of deep convolutional layers rather than the precise location on original images. The candidate regions work as an initial candidate, then we generate semantic map and soft region proposals to represent the detailed significance of regions. Therefore, the dependency of the detection precision of initial detection methods is low. Even simple Sliding Window method can meet the requirements.

Semantic map  $m_k$  and initial candidate regions  $r_i$  are employed to generate soft region proposals  $srp_{i,k}$ . We crop semantic map based on the coordinates of candidate boxes, and the regional cropped semantic maps are defined as soft region proposals. The soft characteristic is mainly embodied in value and shape. The “soft” value is the fractional probability (the probability represents the significance of interested object) rather than binary (it is the interested object or not). The “soft” shape is arbitrary shape rather than only rectangle, which benefits by “soft” value for each position. Different from previous hard region proposal methods [39, 10, 11], our trainable soft region proposal is more flexible and effective for image retrieval. Compared to SBA [43, 44] that extract soft region proposals by empirical strategy, our adversarial detectors generate learning-based soft region proposal based on adversarial erasing strategy. Benefited from adversarial strategy, our soft region proposals are discriminative and low-redundancy. Therefore, our

method just needs a few numbers of soft region proposals compared to SBA [43, 44] ( $K_{ASDA} = 4$  in our ASDA and  $K_{SBA} = 25$  in SBA).

### 2.1.3 Aggregation

The soft region proposals play an important role in aggregation stage, working as weakly supervised spatial and channel selection. We extract regional representations from feature maps by employing the candidate boxes  $r_i$  on the feature maps as pooling window and weighting the  $H_i \times W_i \times C$  cropped feature maps  $f_i$  by corresponding  $H_i \times W_i$  soft region proposals  $srp_{i,k}$  for semantic map  $m_k$ . The C-dimensional regional representations  $rep_{i,k}$  for semantic map  $m_k$  and candidate boxes  $r_i$  are computed by Max Pooling (MAC) [39] of weighted cropped feature maps as follows:

$$rep_{i,k} = MAC(sr p_{i,k} * f_i) \quad (3)$$

Spatially weighted by soft region proposal, the significance of each regional representation for final global representation is adjusted by its intensity implicitly. Various other pooling methods (such as Average Pooling (AVG) [2] and Generalized-Mean Pooling (GeM) [31]) can be employed as a candidate to replace Max Pooling (MAC) in our framework.

Then, we combine regional representations  $rep_{i,k}$  to generate global representations  $g_k$  for semantic map  $m_k$ . Regional representations are weighted by corresponding soft region proposals  $srp_{i,k}$  to highlight important regions before. The value of regional representation that mainly contains background and noise is small. By contrast, the value of regional representation that contains interested objects is large. Therefore, we directly sum the weighted regional representations  $rep_i$  and  $\ell_2$ -normalized as C-dimensional global representations  $g_k$  for semantic map  $m_k$ :

$$g_k = \ell_2 \left( \sum_i rep_{i,k} \right) \quad (4)$$

Where  $\ell_2()$  is the  $\ell_2$ -normalized function to normalize representation vector. The global representation  $g_k$  for each semantic map is  $\ell_2$ -normalized to balance the contribution of various semantic maps. The C-dimensional global representations  $g_k$  for semantic map  $m_k$  are concatenated as  $K \times C$ -dimensional concatenated global representation  $g$ :

$$g = [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_K] \quad (5)$$

Finally, we reduce the dimensionality of concatenated global representation  $g$  by a fully connected layer and obtain the final D-dimensional compact global representation  $rep$ , which is  $\ell_2$ -normalized again after dimensionality reduction.  $\ell_2$ -normalized is often employed as standard post-process [43, 11, 31] in image retrieval.

$$rep = \ell_2(RD(g)) \quad (6)$$

Where our dimensionality reduction function based on fully connected layer is defined as  $RD()$ . This dimensionality reduction strategy is embedded in the network and is trained end-to-end by our weakly supervised loss. The dimensionality of final representation can be chosen according to the tradeoff between performance and efficiency in practice.

## 2.2. Global Contrastive Loss

The global representation is aggregated based on regional significance information. We train the network based on global representation and optimize the significance of regional representation and significance of spatial position and channels end-to-end by contrastive loss [5]. The training data consist of image tuple  $(q, p, n)$  without candidate boxes annotation, that define query image, positive image that matches the query, and negative image that do not match the query respectively. We employ the contrastive loss [5] to force the distance of matching pairs closer than no-matching pairs.

$$L_{con}(q, p, n) = \|rep(q) - rep(p)\|^2 + \max(0, \tau - \|rep(q) - rep(n)\|)^2 \quad (7)$$

Where  $\tau$  is a margin parameter defining when non-matching pairs  $(q, n)$  have large enough distance in order not to be taken into account in the loss. The  $\ell_2$ -normalized vectors  $rep(q)$ ,  $rep(p)$  and  $rep(n)$  define D-dimensional global representation for images of tuple  $(q, p, n)$  respectively.

## 3. Experiments

### 3.1. Datasets

In this paper, we evaluate the performance of our method on four image retrieval datasets. The mean average precision (mAP) [3, 32, 2, 39, 17, 43, 44, 1, 30, 10, 18, 11, 31] over the query images is employed to evaluate the performance.

Oxford [27] and Paris [28] datasets contain photographs collected from Flickr associated with Oxford and Paris landmarks respectively. They consist of 5063 and 6392 images respectively and each dataset contains 55 queries coming from 11 landmarks.

Revisited Oxford (ROxford) and Revisited Paris (RParis) [29] alleviate some inaccuracies in the original annotation of Oxford [27] and Paris [28] datasets, and introduce new and more challenging 15 queries from 5 out of the original 11 landmarks. Along with the 55 original queries from Oxford [27] and Paris [28], they consist of a total of 70 queries per dataset. Three evaluation setups of different difficulty are defined by treating labels (easy, hard, unclear) as positive or negative, or ignoring them. Easy (E): easy images are treated as positive, while hard and unclear are ignored. Medium (M): easy and Hard images are treated as

positive, while unclear are ignored. Hard (H): hard images are treated as positive, while easy and unclear are ignored. The old setup of Oxford [27] and Paris [28] appears to be close to the new Easy setup, while Medium and Hard appear to be more challenging. Because the performance of the Easy setup is similar to old setup and nearly saturated, we do not use it but only evaluate Medium and Hard setups in the subsequent experiments.

### 3.2. Implementation Details

**CNN architectures.** We employ VGG16 [36] which are highly influential in image retrieval task. VGG16 network has compromised complexity and performance. We do not employ ResNet101 [14] in this paper, due to its insignificant performance improvement with large memory cost of GPU and high computational complexity. We use PyTorch to implement our proposed method and use Adam [19] as the optimizer. The initial learning rate is  $10^{-6}$ , an exponential decay is  $e^{-0.1i}$  over epoch  $i$ , momentum is 0.9, and weight decay is  $5 \times 10^{-4}$ .

**Improve efficiency.** In order to improve computational efficiency, we spatially weight feature map by semantic map and crop the weighted feature map based on candidate regions  $r_i$  directly in practice. The cropped weighted feature maps are aggregated by Max Pooling (MAC) to generate C-dimensional regional representations. In this way, we only weight once for all candidate regions rather than once for each candidate region, and only crop once for each candidate region on spatially weighted feature map rather than twice for each candidate region on feature map and semantic map respectively. Our practical method can be interpreted as the attention-based strategy, which consists of channel selection and spatial selection. Different from previous region-based methods [39, 10, 11], our practical method predicts the significance of each region and embed it in corresponding regional representation without  $\ell_2$ -normalized operation.

**Multi-scale (MS).** During test time we adopt a multi-scale procedure similar to the recent works [11, 31], which improves the performance in image retrieval. We resize the input image to different sizes and feed multiple input images of various scales ( $1, 1/\sqrt{2}$ , and  $1/2$ ) to the network. Then, the global representations from multiple scales are combined into a single representation.

**Learned whitening (LW).** Previous unsupervised aggregation methods [2, 43] generally employ PCA of an independent set for whitening and dimensionality reduction as post-processing. Based on labeled data, we employ linear discriminant projections [24] used in recent works [30, 31] to project the representations.

**Training data.** The training dataset is collected and used in [31]. It is labeled based on Structure-from-Motion (SfM) with no human annotation. There are around 133k images

for training and around 30k images for validation. Each training and validation tuple contains 1 query, 1 positive and 5 negative images. We set the margin for contrastive loss as  $\tau = 0.75$  and batch size of training tuples as 5.

**Adversarial detector.** We use a simple object detection method Sliding Window [39] to generate candidate regions. We slide windows of  $L$  scales on feature maps and the overlap of neighboring regions is small than 0.4. The possible region number for the long dimension is (2, 3, 4, 5, 6) at scale (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) respectively. The aspect ratio is simply set as 1:1. The number of semantic maps is set as  $K = 4$  and the adversarial threshold is set as  $\theta = 0.7$ . Query objects are not cropped based on the boxes provided by the datasets in this paper because our adversarial detector can capture the pivotal object.

### 3.3. Impact of the Parameters

We evaluate the main parameters and processes that affect the performance of the proposed adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation (ASDA) method in this section.

#### 3.3.1 Scale number

We employ the simple initial detection method Sliding Window in our method to generate initial candidate boxes. The scale number ( $L$ ) of slide windows are evaluated as shown in Table. 1. We only use full-sized representation when  $L = 0$ . Employing slide windows of 4 scales, we achieve the best performance. The small-sized initial candidate boxes are beneficial for small object retrieval. Therefore, the improvement of image retrieval performance is more significant in Paris dataset which contains many small query objects as shown in Fig. 1.

Table 1. Performance (mAP) of varying scale number ( $L$ ) of slide windows. Only full-sized representation is utilized when  $L = 0$ .

$L$	Datasets	
	Oxford	Paris
0	81.5	81.1
1	82.4	81.9
2	83.1	83.4
3	83.1	84.8
4	<b>83.5</b>	<b>85.4</b>
5	83.1	85.1

#### 3.3.2 Dimensionality

In order to get shorter representations, we compress the C-dimensional ( $C=512$ ) global representation  $g$  by fully connected layer. The dimensionality ( $D$ ) of final compact  $\ell_2$ -

normalized representation changes from 512 to 32, and the performance of representations with varying dimensionality is reported in Table 2. The results show that the performance declines gradually with a decrease of dimensionality. The dimensionality of final representation can be chosen according to the tradeoff between performance and efficiency in practice.

Table 2. Performance (mAP) of varying dimensionality ( $D$ ) of final compact  $\ell_2$ -normalized representation.

Dim	Datasets	
	Oxford	Paris
32	65.5	69.7
64	74.2	78.1
128	79.1	83.1
256	82.4	84.3
512	<b>83.5</b>	<b>85.4</b>

### 3.3.3 Adversarial soft region proposal

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of our adversarial detector and soft region proposal, we compare hard region proposal(HDA), soft region proposal(SDA) and adversarial soft region proposal(ASDA) in Table. 3. The result shows that the approach based on the adversarial detector and soft region proposal achieves better performance. Our adversarial detector captures various semantic contents and soft region proposal preserves more discriminative information for representation.

Table 3. Performance (mAP) with different region proposal strategies.

Methods	Datasets	
	Oxford	Paris
HDA	82.1	85.4
SDA	83.5	85.4
ASDA	<b>84.2</b>	<b>86.2</b>

### 3.3.4 Pooling strategy

In our framework, we can employ various pooling strategies to aggregate weighted cropped feature maps and obtain regional representations. We show the results of different pooling strategies such as Max Pooling (MAC) [39], Average Pooling (AVG) [2] and Generalized-Mean Pooling (GeM) [31] in Table 4. The experimental results show that the performance of Max Pooling (MAC) [39] in our adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation method is better than other pooling strategies.

Table 4. Performance (mAP) with different pooling strategies.

Pooling strategy	Datasets	
	Oxford	Paris
AVG	81.3	84.0
GEM	83.3	85.4
MAC	<b>84.2</b>	<b>86.2</b>

### 3.3.5 Post-process

We report the performance of original representation without post-process (single-scale, SS) and representation with multi-scale (MS) and learned whitening (LW) post-process in Table. 5. Similarly to the conclusion of recent researches [11, 31], the results show that post-process such as multi-scale (MS) and learned whitening (LW) can boost the performance of image retrieval. Therefore, multi-scale (MS) and learned whitening (LW) are generally employed as post-process for image retrieval recently.

Table 5. Performance (mAP) with post-process.

Post-process	Datasets	
	Oxford	Paris
ASDA(SS)	84.2	86.2
ASDA(MS+LW)	<b>87.7</b>	<b>89.0</b>

## 3.4. Comparison With the State-of-the-art

In the Table. 6, we extensively compare our adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation (ASDA) method with the state-of-the-art image retrieval methods based on compact image representations. The proposed ASDA method based on VGG [36] network outperforms the state-of-the-art on most datasets and achieves comparable performance (only 0.3%, and its result based on Pytorch is 87.2% on Oxford dataset which is lower than our result (87.7%) also based on Pytorch) on Oxford dataset. Especially on the difficult image retrieval datasets ROxford and RParis [29] that released recently, we achieve significantly boost (3% ~ 5%). Because our adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation method exploits the discriminative detailed information by adversarial detector and soft region proposals, which highlight the regional interested objects and suppress the disturb of complex background as illustrated in Fig. 3, 4. Adversarial detector captures several semantic maps corresponding to various patterns of object, which preserves more discriminative detail in final compact representations. Especially for the difficult small query object which is easily interfered by noise of background, our soft region proposals can detect small objects under complex background to promote the discrimination of representation. The suppressing

Table 6. Performance (mAP) comparison with the state-of-the-art image retrieval methods. Our weakly supervised adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation (ASDA) method outperforms the state-of-the-art aggregation methods on most datasets. Especially on the difficult image retrieval datasets ROxford and RParis [29] that released recently, we achieve significantly boost (3% ~ 5%). The experimental results demonstrate that our ASDA method is effective for image retrieval.

Methods	Fine-tuned	Datasets					
		Oxford	Paris	ROxford		RParis	
				M	H	M	H
MAC [32]	No	56.4	72.3	37.8	14.6	59.2	35.9
SPoC [2]	No	68.1	78.2	38.0	11.4	59.8	32.4
CroW [17]	No	70.8	79.7	41.4	13.9	62.9	36.9
R-MAC [39]	No	66.9	83.0	42.5	12.0	66.2	40.9
NetVLAD [1]	Yes	67.6	74.9	37.1	13.8	59.8	35.0
R-MAC [10]	Yes	83.1	87.1	—	—	—	—
GeM [31]	Yes	<b>87.9</b>	87.7	61.9	33.7	69.3	44.3
ASDA	Yes	87.7	<b>89.0</b>	<b>66.4</b>	<b>38.5</b>	<b>71.6</b>	<b>47.9</b>




Figure 6. Some typical results on Paris dataset. The query images are marked by green boxes. The top 9 retrieval results are marked by blue or orange boxes. Some hard retrieval results are marked by orange boxes, which contains the occlusion, disturb of people and plants, small objects. Our adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation (ASDA) method solves above problems to some extent by trainable channel and spatial selection.

effect of noise from our trainable soft region proposals is beneficial for representation to overcome the occlusion and disturb of background (such as people and plants). The experimental results demonstrate that our ASDA method is effective for image retrieval.

In order to analyze the results of our method clearly, we show some typical retrieval results in Fig. 6. The query images are marked by green boxes. The top 9 retrieval results are marked by blue or orange boxes. The orange boxes mark hard candidates, which contains the occlusion, disturb of people and plants, small objects. The pivotal interested objects that are significant for distinction are highlighted by soft region proposals. Meanwhile, the noise of background

which is harmful for discriminability is suppressed by soft region proposals. Our adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation method tackles above issue by capturing discriminative regional representations based on soft region proposals and combines them based on predicted significance. As a result, the final global representation is discriminative and robust.

#### 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a novel weakly supervised adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation (ASDA) method for image retrieval. The key characteristic of our method is that our adversarial detector preserves more discriminative and low-redundancy information for semantic maps and soft region proposals highlight the regional interested objects and suppress the noise of background. The results show that our method can capture the discriminative information of pivotal small objects without bounding box annotations and overcome the occlusion and disturb of background.

Experiments on some standard retrieval datasets show that our weakly supervised approach outperforms the previous state-of-the-art aggregation methods. The experimental results demonstrate that the proposed method is effective for image retrieval. It is worth noting that our weakly supervised method is trained without bounding box annotations and our soft-detection and aggregation stages are holistically trained end-to-end. For the future researches of image retrieval, person re-identification and place recognition task, it is worth paying attention to our adversarial soft-detection-based aggregation method that introduces adversarial detector and trainable soft region proposal into image representation learning.



## References

- [1] R. Arandjelovic, P. Gronat, A. Torii, T. Pajdla, and J. Sivic. Netvlad: Cnn architecture for weakly supervised place recognition. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5297–5307, 2016.
- [2] A. Babenko and V. Lempitsky. Aggregating local deep features for image retrieval. In *IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 1269–1277, 2015.
- [3] A. Babenko, A. Slesarev, A. Chigorin, and V. Lempitsky. Neural codes for image retrieval. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 584–599. Springer, 2014.
- [4] H. Bilen and A. Vedaldi. Weakly supervised deep detection networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2846–2854, 2016.
- [5] S. Chopra, R. Hadsell, and Y. LeCun. Learning a similarity metric discriminatively, with application to face verification. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2005. CVPR 2005. IEEE Computer Society Conference on*, volume 1, pages 539–546. IEEE, 2005.
- [6] T.-T. Do, Q. D. Tran, and N.-M. Cheung. Faemb: a function approximation-based embedding method for image retrieval. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3556–3564, 2015.
- [7] R. Girshick. Fast r-cnn. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 1440–1448, 2015.
- [8] R. Girshick, J. Donahue, T. Darrell, and J. Malik. Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 580–587, 2014.
- [9] Y. Gong, L. Wang, R. Guo, and S. Lazebnik. Multi-scale orderless pooling of deep convolutional activation features. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 392–407. Springer, 2014.
- [10] A. Gordo, J. Almazan, J. Revaud, and D. Larlus. Deep image retrieval: Learning global representations for image search. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 241–257. Springer, 2016.
- [11] A. Gordo, J. Almazan, J. Revaud, and D. Larlus. End-to-end learning of deep visual representations for image retrieval. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, pages 1–18, 2016.
- [12] H. Gou and A. Zisserman. Triangulation embedding and democratic aggregation for image search. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3310–3317, 2014.
- [13] K. He, G. Gkioxari, P. Dollár, and R. Girshick. Mask r-cnn. In *Computer Vision (ICCV), 2017 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 2980–2988. IEEE, 2017.
- [14] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. pages 770–778, 2016.
- [15] S. S. Husain and M. Bober. Improving large-scale image retrieval through robust aggregation of local descriptors. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2016.
- [16] H. Jegou, F. Perronnin, M. Douze, J. Sanchez, P. Perez, and C. Schmid. Aggregating local image descriptors into compact codes. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 34(9):1704–1716, 2012.
- [17] Y. Kalantidis, C. Mellina, and S. Osindero. Cross-dimensional weighting for aggregated deep convolutional features. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 685–701. Springer, 2016.
- [18] H. J. Kim, E. Dunn, and J.-M. Frahm. Learned contextual feature reweighting for image geo-localization. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2017.
- [19] D. P. Kingma and J. L. Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In *Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Learn. Representations*, 2014.
- [20] Y. LeCun, B. Boser, J. S. Denker, D. Henderson, R. E. Howard, W. Hubbard, and L. D. Jackel. Backpropagation applied to handwritten zip code recognition. *Neural computation*, 1(4):541–551, 1989.
- [21] T.-Y. Lin, P. Goyal, R. Girshick, K. He, and P. Dollár. Focal loss for dense object detection. *Computer Vision (ICCV), 2017 IEEE International Conference on*, 2017.
- [22] W. Liu, D. Anguelov, D. Erhan, C. Szegedy, S. Reed, C.-Y. Fu, and A. C. Berg. Ssd: Single shot multibox detector. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 21–37. Springer, 2016.
- [23] D. G. Lowe. Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 60(60):91–110, 2004.
- [24] K. Mikolajczyk and J. Matas. Improving descriptors for fast tree matching by optimal linear projection. In *Computer Vision, 2007. ICCV 2007. IEEE 11th International Conference on*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2007.
- [25] H. Noh, A. Araujo, J. Sim, T. Weyand, and B. Han. Largescale image retrieval with attentive deep local features. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3456–3465, 2017.
- [26] F. Perronnin and C. Dance. Fisher kernels on visual vocabularies for image categorization. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1–8, 2007.
- [27] J. Philbin, O. Chum, M. Isard, J. Sivic, and A. Zisserman. Object retrieval with large vocabularies and fast spatial matching. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2007.
- [28] J. Philbin, O. Chum, M. Isard, J. Sivic, and A. Zisserman. Lost in quantization: Improving particular object retrieval in large scale image databases. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1–8. IEEE, 2008.
- [29] F. Radenovic, A. Iscen, G. Tolias, Y. Avrithis, and O. Chum. Revisiting oxford and paris: Large-scale image retrieval benchmarking. In *IEEE Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Conference*, 2018.
- [30] F. Radenovic, G. Tolias, and O. Chum. Cnn image retrieval learns from bow: Unsupervised fine-tuning with hard examples. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3–20. Springer, 2016.

- [31] F. Radenović, G. Tolias, and O. Chum. Fine-tuning CNN image retrieval with no human annotation. *TPAMI*, 2018.
- [32] A. S. Razavian, J. Sullivan, S. Carlsson, and A. Maki. Visual instance retrieval with deep convolutional networks. *ITE Transactions on Media Technology and Applications*, 4(3):251–258, 2016.
- [33] J. Redmon, S. Divvala, R. Girshick, and A. Farhadi. You only look once: Unified, real-time object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 779–788, 2016.
- [34] S. Ren, K. He, R. Girshick, and J. Sun. Faster r-cnn: towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. In *International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 91–99, 2015.
- [35] A. Sharif Razavian, H. Azizpour, J. Sullivan, and S. Carlsson. Cnn features off-the-shelf: an astounding baseline for recognition. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, pages 806–813, 2014.
- [36] K. Simonyan and A. Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556*, 2014.
- [37] J. Sivic and A. Zisserman. Video google: A text retrieval approach to object matching in videos. In *IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, page 1470, 2003.
- [38] P. Tang, X. Wang, A. Wang, Y. Yan, W. Liu, J. Huang, and A. Yuille. Weakly supervised region proposal network and object detection. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pages 352–368, 2018.
- [39] G. Tolias, R. Sivic, and H. Jégou. Particular object retrieval with integral max-pooling of cnn activations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.05879*, 2015.
- [40] K. E. Van de Sande, J. R. Uijlings, T. Gevers, and A. W. Smeulders. Segmentation as selective search for object recognition. In *Computer Vision (ICCV), 2011 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 1879–1886. IEEE, 2011.
- [41] Y. Wei, J. Feng, X. Liang, M.-M. Cheng, Y. Zhao, and S. Yan. Object region mining with adversarial erasing: A simple classification to semantic segmentation approach. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1568–1576, 2017.
- [42] L. Xie, L. Zheng, J. Wang, A. L. Yuille, and Q. Tian. Interactive: Inter-layer activeness propagation. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 270–279, 2016.
- [43] J. Xu, C. Shi, C. Qi, C. Wang, and B. Xiao. Unsupervised part-based weighting aggregation of deep convolutional features for image retrieval. In *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 2018.
- [44] J. Xu, C. Wang, C. Qi, C. Shi, and B. Xiao. Unsupervised semantic-based aggregation of deep convolutional features. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 28(2):601–611, Feb 2019.
- [45] J. Zhang, S. A. Bargal, Z. Lin, J. Brandt, X. Shen, and S. Sclaroff. Top-down neural attention by excitation backprop. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 126(10):1084–1102, 2018.
- [46] X. Zhang, Y. Wei, J. Feng, Y. Yang, and T. S. Huang. Adversarial complementary learning for weakly supervised object localization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1325–1334, 2018.
- [47] L. Zheng, S. Wang, and Q. Tian. Coupled binary embedding for large-scale image retrieval. *IEEE transactions on image processing*, 23(8):3368–3380, 2014.
- [48] B. Zhou, A. Khosla, A. Lapedriza, A. Oliva, and A. Torralba. Learning deep features for discriminative localization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2921–2929, 2016.
- [49] C. L. Zitnick and P. Dollár. Edge boxes: Locating object proposals from edges. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 391–405. Springer, 2014.