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#### Abstract

We study some properties of the 3 -dimensional manifold $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with a diagonal Riemannian metric as an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton from the following points of view: under certain assumptions, we determine the potential vector field if $\eta$ is given; we get obstructions on the metric when the potential vector field has a particular expression; we compute the defining functions of the soliton when both the potential vector field and the 1-form are prescribed. Moreover, we find conditions for the manifold to be flat or Ricci-flat. Based on the theoretical results, we provide examples.


## 1 Introduction

The solitons' theory has been lately intensively investigated. The stationary solutions of the Ricci flow, namely, the Ricci solitons, still represent a very actual topic to be studied from various points of view. Problems like linear stability of compact Ricci solitons, curvature estimates and rigidity results for gradient Ricci solitons, etc. have been recently treated by Huai-Dong Cao et. al. in [9, 8, 7]. As a generalization of the notion of Ricci soliton given by Hamilton in [12], an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton [4, 5, 10] is a complete Riemannian manifold $(M, \tilde{g})$ with a smooth vector field $V$ which satisfies the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} £_{V} \tilde{g}+\operatorname{Ric}+\lambda \tilde{g}+\mu \eta \otimes \eta=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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for $\lambda$ and $\mu$ two smooth functions on $M(\mu \neq 0)$, where Ric is the Ricci curvature tensor field, $£_{V} \tilde{g}$ is the Lie derivative of the metric $\tilde{g}$ in the direction of $V$ and $\eta$ is a 1-form on $M$. If $V$ is a Killing vector field, i.e., $£_{V} \tilde{g}=0$, then the soliton is called trivial, and in this case, $(M, \tilde{g})$ is just a quasi-Einstein manifold. On the other hand, if $\eta=0$, then ( $M, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda$ ) is called an almost Ricci soliton [13]. In this case, the soliton is said to be shrinking, steady or expanding according as $\lambda$ is negative, zero or positive, respectively [11]. In the above cases, if $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are real numbers, then we drop "almost".

The aim of the present paper is to describe the 3 -dimensional manifold $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ endowed with a diagonal Riemannian metric as an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton. More precisely, under certain assumptions, we determine the potential vector field $V$ when $\eta$ is given, we find the conditions that must be satisfied by the Riemannian metric when the potential vector field has a particular expression, and we compute the defining functions $\lambda$ and $\mu$ when both the potential vector field and the 1-form are prescribed. Based on the theoretical results, we construct examples, among which the 3 -dimensional $S_{o l}{ }_{3}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ Lie groups. An analogous study for the canonical metric has been previously done by the present author in [6]. More recently, some particular Riemannian manifolds, namely, the 3 -dimensional $S o l_{3}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ Lie groups, have been described as Ricci solitons by Belarbi, Atashpeykara and Haji-Badali in [3, 2, 1] from a similar point of view.

## 2 Flatness and Ricci-flatness conditions

We consider the 3-dimensional manifold $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ endowed with a diagonal Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}$ given by:

$$
\tilde{g}=\frac{1}{f_{1}^{2}} d x^{1} \otimes d x^{1}+\frac{1}{f_{2}^{2}} d x^{2} \otimes d x^{2}+d x^{3} \otimes d x^{3}
$$

where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are two smooth functions nowhere zero on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, and $x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}$ are the standard coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Let

$$
\left\{E_{1}:=f_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}, \quad E_{2}:=f_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2}}, \quad E_{3}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}\right\}
$$

be a local orthonormal frame field, and, for the sake of simplicity, we will make the following notations:

$$
\frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}=: a, \quad \frac{1}{f_{1}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x^{3}}=: b, \quad \frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x^{1}}=: c, \quad \frac{1}{f_{2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x^{3}}=: d
$$

Computing the Lie brackets $[X, Y]:=X \circ Y-Y \circ X$, we get:

$$
\left[E_{1}, E_{2}\right]=-a E_{1}+c E_{2}, \quad\left[E_{1}, E_{3}\right]=-b E_{1}, \quad\left[E_{2}, E_{3}\right]=-d E_{2} .
$$

The Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ of $\tilde{g}$ deduced from the Koszul's formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \tilde{g}\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)= & X(\tilde{g}(Y, Z))+Y(\tilde{g}(Z, X))-Z(\tilde{g}(X, Y)) \\
& -\tilde{g}(X,[Y, Z])+\tilde{g}(Y,[Z, X])+\tilde{g}(Z,[X, Y])
\end{aligned}
$$

is given by:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla_{E_{1}} E_{1}=a E_{2}+b E_{3}, \quad \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{2}=-a E_{1}, \quad \nabla_{E_{1}} E_{3}=-b E_{1}, \quad \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{1}=-c E_{2}, \\
\nabla_{E_{2}} E_{2}=c E_{1}+d E_{3}, \quad \nabla_{E_{2}} E_{3}=-d E_{2}, \quad \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{1}=0, \quad \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{2}=0, \quad \nabla_{E_{3}} E_{3}=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

and the Riemannian and Ricci curvature tensor fields

$$
R(X, Y) Z:=\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z-\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} Z-\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, \quad \operatorname{Ric}(Y, Z):=\sum_{k=1}^{3} \tilde{g}\left(R\left(E_{k}, Y\right) Z, E_{k}\right)
$$

are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right) E_{2} & =\left[E_{1}(c)+E_{2}(a)-a^{2}-c^{2}-b d\right] E_{1}+\left[E_{3}(c)-c d\right] E_{3} \\
R\left(E_{2}, E_{1}\right) E_{1} & =\left[E_{1}(c)+E_{2}(a)-a^{2}-c^{2}-b d\right] E_{2}+\left[E_{3}(a)-a b\right] E_{3} \\
R\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right) E_{3} & =\left[E_{3}(b)-b^{2}\right] E_{1}, \\
R\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right) E_{3} & =\left[E_{3}(d)-d^{2}\right] E_{2} \\
R\left(E_{3}, E_{1}\right) E_{1} & =\left[E_{3}(a)-a b\right] E_{2}+\left[E_{3}(b)-b^{2}\right] E_{3}, \\
R\left(E_{3}, E_{2}\right) E_{2} & =\left[E_{3}(c)-c d\right] E_{1}+\left[E_{3}(d)-d^{2}\right] E_{3}, \\
R\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right) E_{3} & =\tilde{g}\left(R\left(E_{2}, E_{1}\right) E_{1}, E_{3}\right) E_{1}-\tilde{g}\left(R\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right) E_{2}, E_{3}\right) E_{2} \\
& =\left[E_{3}(a)-a b\right] E_{1}-\left[E_{3}(c)-c d\right] E_{2}, \\
R\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right) E_{1} & =\tilde{g}\left(R\left(E_{3}, E_{2}\right) E_{2}, E_{1}\right) E_{2}-\tilde{g}\left(R\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right) E_{3}, E_{1}\right) E_{3} \\
& =\left[E_{3}(c)-c d\right] E_{2}, \\
R\left(E_{3}, E_{1}\right) E_{2} & =-\tilde{g}\left(R\left(E_{3}, E_{1}\right) E_{1}, E_{2}\right) E_{1}+\tilde{g}\left(R\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right) E_{3}, E_{2}\right) E_{3} \\
& =-\left[E_{3}(a)-a b\right] E_{1}, \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right) & =E_{1}(c)+E_{2}(a)+E_{3}(b)-a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2}-b d, \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right) & =E_{1}(c)+E_{2}(a)+E_{3}(d)-a^{2}-c^{2}-d^{2}-b d, \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right) & =E_{3}(b)+E_{3}(d)-b^{2}-d^{2}, \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right) & =0, \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=E_{3}(c)-c d, \quad \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=E_{3}(a)-a b .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall determine the conditions which the two functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ must satisfy for the manifold to be flat or Ricci-flat, exploring all the possible cases when each of the two functions depend on a single variable. In all the rest of this paper, if a function $h$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ depends only on some of its variables, then we will write in its argument only that variables in order to emphasize this fact. For example, $h\left(x^{j}\right), h\left(x^{i}, x^{j}\right)$.

Proposition 2.1. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{i}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, then $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a flat Riemannian manifold.

Proof. In this case, $a=b=c=d=0$ and $R\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right) E_{k}=0$ for any $i, j, k \in\{1,2,3\}$.

Proposition 2.2. If $f_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$ and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ an open interval, then the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times I,\left.\tilde{g}\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times I}\right)$ is a flat Riemannian manifold;
(2) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times I,\left.\tilde{g}\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times I}\right)$ is a Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold;
(3) $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are constant, or $f_{i}=k_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $f_{j}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{x^{3}-c_{2}}$ for $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, $c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I$ and $i \neq j$.

Proof. In this case, $a=0, b=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, c=0, d=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, and

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
R\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right) E_{2}=-b d E_{1}, & R\left(E_{2}, E_{1}\right) E_{1}=-b d E_{2}, \\
R\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right) E_{3}=\left(b^{\prime}-b^{2}\right) E_{1}, & R\left(E_{3}, E_{1}\right) E_{1}=\left(b^{\prime}-b^{2}\right) E_{3}, \\
R\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right) E_{3}=\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right) E_{2}, & R\left(E_{3}, E_{2}\right) E_{2}=\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right) E_{3},
\end{array}
$$

$\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=b^{\prime}-b^{2}-b d, \quad \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=d^{\prime}-d^{2}-b d, \quad \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=b^{\prime}+d^{\prime}-b^{2}-d^{2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=0
$$

Then, $R=0$ if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b d=0 \\
b^{\prime}=b^{2} \\
d^{\prime}=d^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Ric $=0$ if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b^{\prime}-b^{2}=b d=d^{\prime}-d^{2} \\
b^{\prime}-b^{2}=-\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right)
\end{array} ;\right.
$$

that is, $R=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ric}=0$, i.e.,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2} \\
\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore,

- $f_{1}^{\prime}=0$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}=0$, or
- $f_{1}^{\prime}=0$ and $-\frac{1}{\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right)}{f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)}}=x^{3}+c_{0}\left(\right.$ i.e., $f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{x^{3}-c_{2}}$ with $\left.c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I\right)$, or, similarly
- $f_{1}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{x^{3}-c_{2}}$ with $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}=0$.

Corollary 2.3. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, then the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a flat Riemannian manifold;
(2) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold;
(3) $f$ is constant.

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 2.2.
Proposition 2.4. If $f_{1}, f_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)$, $f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ an open interval, then the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times I,\left.\tilde{g}\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times I}\right)$ is a flat Riemannian manifold;
(2) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times I,\left.\tilde{g}\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times I}\right)$ is a Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold;
(3) $f_{2}$ is constant, or $f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{x^{3}-c_{2}}$ for $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I$.

Proof. In this case, $a=b=c=0, d=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
R\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right) E_{3}=\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right) E_{2}, \quad R\left(E_{3}, E_{2}\right) E_{2}=\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right) E_{3}, \\
R\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right) E_{2}=R\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right) E_{3}=R\left(E_{2}, E_{1}\right) E_{1}=R\left(E_{3}, E_{1}\right) E_{1}=0, \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=d^{\prime}-d^{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=0
$$

Then, $R=0$ if and only if Ric $=0$, i.e.,

$$
d^{\prime}=d^{2}
$$

that is,

$$
\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

Therefore,

- $f_{2}^{\prime}=0$, or
- $-\frac{1}{\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right)}{f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)}}=x^{3}+c_{0}\left(\right.$ i.e., $f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{x^{3}-c_{2}}$ with $\left.c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I\right)$.

COROLLARY 2.5. If $f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)$, $f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)$, then the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a flat Riemannian manifold;
(2) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold;
(3) $f_{2}$ is constant.

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 2.4.
Proposition 2.6. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{2}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, then the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a flat Riemannian manifold;
(2) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold;
(3) $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy the equation

$$
\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}+\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}=2\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}
$$

(4) $f_{1}$ is constant, or $f_{1}^{\prime}$ is nowhere zero and $f_{2}=c_{0} \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{1}^{\prime}}$ with $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof. In this case, $a=f_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, b=c=d=0$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
R\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right) E_{2}=\left[E_{2}(a)-a^{2}\right] E_{1}, \quad R\left(E_{2}, E_{1}\right) E_{1}=\left[E_{2}(a)-a^{2}\right] E_{2} \\
R\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right) E_{3}=R\left(E_{3}, E_{1}\right) E_{1}=R\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right) E_{3}=R\left(E_{3}, E_{2}\right) E_{2}=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=E_{2}(a)-a^{2} \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, $R=0$ if and only if Ric $=0$, i.e.,

$$
f_{2} a^{\prime}=a^{2}
$$

that is,

$$
f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}+f_{2}^{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{1}-\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}=f_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}+\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}=2\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}
$$

If $f_{1}^{\prime}=0$, then $f_{1}$ is a constant function. If $f_{1}^{\prime} \neq 0$ (hence, if it is nowhere zero), then the previous relation can be written as

$$
\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}=\frac{2\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}}{\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}}
$$

Let us notice that

$$
\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}=\frac{\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}}{\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}}=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}-\frac{\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}}{\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}}
$$

which, by integration, gives

$$
\ln \left|f_{2}\right|=\ln \left|f_{1}\right|-\ln \left|\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right|+k=\ln \left(e^{k} \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{\left|f_{1}^{\prime}\right|}\right)
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{R}$; therefore, $f_{2}=c_{0} \frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{1}^{\prime}}$ with $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
Corollary 2.7. If $f_{1}=f_{2}=: f\left(x^{2}\right)$, then the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a flat Riemannian manifold;
(2) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold;
(3) $f\left(x^{2}\right)=c_{1} e^{c_{2} x^{2}}$ for $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are equivalent to $f f^{\prime \prime}-\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0$, that is, $\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{\prime}=0$, with the solution $f\left(x^{2}\right)=c_{1} e^{c_{2} x^{2}}$ for $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, hence we get the conclusion.

Proposition 2.8. If $f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)$ and $f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{1}\right)$, then the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a flat Riemannian manifold;
(2) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold;
(3) $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy the equation

$$
\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{1}-2\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f_{1}^{4}}=-\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}-2\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f_{2}^{4}}=\text { constant. }
$$

Proof. In this case, $a=f_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, b=0, c=f_{1} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}, d=0$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
R\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right) E_{2}=\left[E_{1}(c)+E_{2}(a)-a^{2}-c^{2}\right] E_{1}, \quad R\left(E_{2}, E_{1}\right) E_{1}=\left[E_{1}(c)+E_{2}(a)-a^{2}-c^{2}\right] E_{2}, \\
R\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right) E_{3}=R\left(E_{3}, E_{1}\right) E_{1}=R\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right) E_{3}=R\left(E_{3}, E_{2}\right) E_{2}=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=E_{1}(c)+E_{2}(a)-a^{2}-c^{2} \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, $R=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ric}=0$, i.e.,

$$
f_{1} \frac{\partial c}{\partial x^{1}}+f_{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{2}}=a^{2}+c^{2}
$$

that is,

$$
f_{1}^{2} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}-\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f_{2}^{2}}+f_{2}^{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{1}-\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}=f_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{1}-2\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f_{1}^{4}}=-\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}-2\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f_{2}^{4}}
$$

Since $f_{1}$ depends only on $x^{2}$ and $f_{2}$ depends only on $x^{1}$, we deduce that the above ratio must be a constant.

Remark 2.9. We shall now look on the condition (3) from Proposition 2.8 satisfied by the two functions, namely:

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime} f-2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f^{4}}=k \in \mathbb{R}
$$

when $f$ is a real function defined on a real interval. Let us notice that

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime} f-2\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f^{4}}=-\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{f} .
$$

Denoting by $h:=\frac{1}{f}$, we have

$$
h^{\prime \prime} h=-k .
$$

Let $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval such that $0 \notin J$ and $-2 k \ln |y|+r>0$ for any $y \in J$. Let $F$ be an antiderivative on $J$ of the function

$$
y \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2 k \ln |y|+r}}
$$

Then, $F^{\prime}(y)>0$ for any $y \in J$; therefore, $F$ is strictly increasing on $J$, hence, it is invertible onto its image. Let $\varepsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}$ and let $I:=\varepsilon\left(F(J)-c_{0}\right)$, where $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$
h: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad h(x):=F^{-1}\left(\varepsilon x+c_{0}\right)
$$

satisfies $h^{\prime \prime}(x) h(x)=-k$ for any $x \in I$, and we get

$$
f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x)=\frac{1}{F^{-1}\left(\varepsilon x+c_{0}\right)}
$$

Proposition 2.10. If $f_{1}, f_{2}: \mathbb{R} \times I_{2} \times I_{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right), f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $I_{2}, I_{3} \subset \mathbb{R}$ two open intervals, then the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $\left(\mathbb{R} \times I_{2} \times I_{3},\left.\tilde{g}\right|_{\mathbb{R} \times I_{2} \times I_{3}}\right)$ is a flat Riemannian manifold;
(2) ( $\mathbb{R} \times I_{2} \times I_{3},\left.\tilde{g}\right|_{\mathbb{R} \times I_{2} \times I_{3}}$ ) is a Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold;
(3) $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are constant, or $f_{1}=k_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{x^{3}-c_{2}}$ for $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, $c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I_{3}$, or $f_{2}=k_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{x^{2}-c_{2}}$ for $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I_{2}$.

Proof. In this case, $a=f_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, b=c=0, d=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, and

$$
R\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right) E_{2}=\left[E_{2}(a)-a^{2}\right] E_{1}
$$

$$
R\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right) E_{3}=\left[E_{3}(d)-d^{2}\right] E_{2}, \quad R\left(E_{3}, E_{2}\right) E_{2}=\left[E_{3}(d)-d^{2}\right] E_{3}
$$

$$
R\left(E_{2}, E_{1}\right) E_{1}=\left[E_{2}(a)-a^{2}\right] E_{2}+E_{3}(a) E_{3}
$$

$$
R\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right) E_{3}=R\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right) E_{1}=0
$$

$$
R\left(E_{3}, E_{1}\right) E_{1}=E_{3}(a) E_{2}, \quad R\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right) E_{3}=E_{3}(a) E_{1}, \quad R\left(E_{3}, E_{1}\right) E_{2}=-E_{3}(a) E_{1}
$$

$\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=E_{2}(a)-a^{2}, \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=E_{2}(a)-a^{2}+E_{3}(d)-d^{2}, \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=E_{3}(d)-d^{2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=E_{3}(a)
$$

Then, $R=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ric}=0$, i.e.,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2} \\
\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore,

- $f_{1}^{\prime}=0$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}=0$, or
- $f_{1}^{\prime}=0$ and $-\frac{1}{\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right)}{f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)}}=x^{3}+c_{0}\left(\right.$ i.e., $f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{x^{3}-c_{2}}$ with $\left.c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I_{3}\right)$, or, similarly
- $f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{x^{2}-c_{2}}$ with $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I_{2}$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}=0$.

Corollary 2.11. If $f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right), f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)$, then the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a flat Riemannian manifold;
(2) $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold;
(3) $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are constant.

Proof. It follows immediately from Proposition 2.10,

## $3 \quad \mathbb{R}^{3}$ as an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton

Let $V=\sum_{k=1}^{3} V^{k} E_{k}$ and $\eta=\sum_{k=1}^{3} \eta^{k} e_{k}$, where $e_{k}$ is the dual 1-form of $E_{k}$ for $k \in\{1,2,3\}$. From (11) we get the equations that define an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{2}\left\{E_{i}\left(V^{j}\right)+E_{j}\left(V^{i}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{3} V^{k}\left[\tilde{g}\left(\nabla_{E_{i}} E_{k}, E_{j}\right)+\tilde{g}\left(E_{i}, \nabla_{E_{j}} E_{k}\right)\right]\right\} \\
+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)+\lambda \delta^{i j}+\mu \eta^{i} \eta^{j}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $(i, j) \in\{(1,1),(2,2),(3,3),(1,2),(1,3),(2,3)\}$, equivalent to:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{1}\left(V^{1}\right)-a V^{2}-b V^{3}+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{1}\right)^{2}=0  \tag{2}\\
E_{2}\left(V^{2}\right)-c V^{1}-d V^{3}+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{2}\right)^{2}=0 \\
E_{3}\left(V^{3}\right)+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{3}\right)^{2}=0 \\
\frac{1}{2}\left[E_{1}\left(V^{2}\right)+E_{2}\left(V^{1}\right)+a V^{1}+c V^{2}\right]+\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{2}=0 \\
\frac{1}{2}\left[E_{1}\left(V^{3}\right)+E_{3}\left(V^{1}\right)+b V^{1}\right]+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)+\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{3}=0 \\
\frac{1}{2}\left[E_{2}\left(V^{3}\right)+E_{3}\left(V^{2}\right)+d V^{2}\right]+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)+\mu \eta^{2} \eta^{3}=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

We shall further consider the cases when the potential vector field of the almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, \lambda, \mu\right)$ is $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$ or when $\eta=d x^{3}$.

### 3.1 Almost $\eta$-Ricci solitons with $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$

If $V^{1}=V^{2}=0$ and $V^{3}=1$, then the system (2) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-b+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{1}\right)^{2}=0  \tag{3}\\
-d+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{2}\right)^{2}=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{3}\right)^{2}=0 \\
\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{2}=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)+\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{3}=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)+\mu \eta^{2} \eta^{3}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proposition 3.1. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ be an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{i}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$ and $\mu$ is nowhere zero, then $\eta=0$ and $V$ is a Killing vector field.

Proof. In this case, we have $a=b=c=d=0$ and $\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)=0$ for any $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ from Proposition [2.1, and the system (3) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{1}\right)^{2}=0  \tag{4}\\
\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{2}\right)^{2}=0 \\
\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{3}\right)^{2}=0 \\
\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{2}=\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{3}=\mu \eta^{2} \eta^{3}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\mu$ is nowhere zero, we get $\left(\eta^{1}\right)^{2}=\left(\eta^{2}\right)^{2}=\left(\eta^{3}\right)^{2}$, hence, $\eta^{i}=0$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, and $\lambda=0$ from (4); therefore, $£_{V} \tilde{g}=0$ by means of (1).

Proposition 3.2. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ be an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}, \eta^{i}=: f$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, and $\mu$ is nowhere zero, then $\eta=0$. Moreover,
(i) if one of the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ is constant, then the other one is constant, too; in this case, $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$ is a flat Riemannian manifold, $\lambda=0$ and $V$ is a Killing vector field;
(ii) if $\frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}}$ is constant, then $f_{i}\left(x^{3}\right)=c_{i} e^{c_{0} e^{-x^{3}}}, i \in\{1,2\}$ for $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R}, c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.

Proof. In this case, we have $a=0, b=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, c=0, d=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, and $\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=b^{\prime}-b^{2}-b d, \quad \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=d^{\prime}-d^{2}-b d, \quad \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=b^{\prime}+d^{\prime}-b^{2}-d^{2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=0
$$

from Proposition 2.2, and the system (3) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-b+b^{\prime}-b^{2}-b d+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{1}\right)^{2}=0  \tag{5}\\
-d+d^{\prime}-d^{2}-b d+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{2}\right)^{2}=0 \\
b^{\prime}+d^{\prime}-b^{2}-d^{2}+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{3}\right)^{2}=0 \\
\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{2}=\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{3}=\mu \eta^{2} \eta^{3}=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Since $\mu$ is nowhere zero, (5) is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b(d+1)=d^{2}-d^{\prime}  \tag{6}\\
d(b+1)=b^{2}-b^{\prime} \\
\lambda=b^{2}-b^{\prime}+d^{2}-d^{\prime} \\
f^{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

which implies $\eta=0$.
(i) $f_{1}$ is constant if and only if $b=0$, and from (61) we deduce that $b=0$ if and only if $d=0$, i.e., if and only if $f_{2}$ is constant. In this case, $R=0, \lambda=0$ and $£_{V} \tilde{g}=0$.
(ii) If $\frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}}$ is constant, then $b=d$ and (6) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
b^{\prime}=-b  \tag{7}\\
\lambda=2\left(b^{2}-b^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $b=d=0$, then $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are constant and $\lambda=0$. If $b=d \neq 0$ (hence, if they are nowhere zero), then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{b^{\prime}}{b}=-1  \tag{8}\\
\lambda=2\left(b^{2}-b^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

from where we get

$$
\frac{f_{i}^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right)}{f_{i}\left(x^{3}\right)}=b\left(x^{3}\right)=c_{0} e^{-x^{3}}, \quad c_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, i \in\{1,2\}
$$

which, by integration, gives $f_{i}\left(x^{3}\right)=c_{i} e^{c_{0} e^{-x^{3}}}$ for $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, i \in\{1,2\}$.
Corollary 3.3. Under the hypotheses of Proposition 3.2. if $f_{1}=f_{2}=: f\left(x^{3}\right)$, then $f\left(x^{3}\right)=c_{1} e^{c_{2} e^{-x^{3}}}$ for $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.2 (ii).
Proposition 3.4. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ be an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$. If $f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right), f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right), \eta^{i}=: f$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, and $\mu$ is nowhere zero, then $\eta=0$. Moreover, $\lambda=0, f_{2}$ is constant and $V$ is a Killing vector field.

Proof. In this case, we have $a=b=c=0, d=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=d^{\prime}-d^{2} \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

from Proposition [2.4, and the system (3) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{1}\right)^{2}=0  \tag{9}\\
-d+d^{\prime}-d^{2}+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{2}\right)^{2}=0 \\
d^{\prime}-d^{2}+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{3}\right)^{2}=0 \\
\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{2}=\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{3}=\mu \eta^{2} \eta^{3}=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Since $\mu$ is nowhere zero, (9) is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d=d^{\prime}-d^{2}=0 \\
\lambda=-\mu f^{2} \\
f^{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows that $\eta=0, \lambda=0$ and $£_{V} \tilde{g}=0$.
Proposition 3.5. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ be an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{2}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}, \eta^{i}=: f$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, and $\mu$ is nowhere zero, then $\eta=0$. Moreover, $\lambda=0$ and

$$
\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}+\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}=2\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}
$$

Proof. In this case, we have $a=f_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, b=c=d=0$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=E_{2}(a)-a^{2} \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

from Proposition 2.10, and the system (3) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}+\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\left[f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{1}-2\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{1}\right)^{2}=0  \tag{10}\\
f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}+\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\left[f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{1}-2\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{2}\right)^{2}=0 \\
\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{3}\right)^{2}=0 \\
\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{2}=\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{3}=\mu \eta^{2} \eta^{3}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\mu$ is nowhere zero, (10) is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}+\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\left[f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{1}-2\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+\lambda+\mu f^{2}=0 \\
\lambda=-\mu f^{2} \\
f^{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows that $\eta=0, \lambda=0$, and, from the first equation of the previous system, we get the relation between $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$.

Corollary 3.6. Under the hypotheses of Proposition 3.5, if $f_{1}=f_{2}=: f\left(x^{2}\right)$, then $f\left(x^{2}\right)=c_{1} e^{c_{2} x^{2}}$ for $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.5 that $f f^{\prime \prime}-\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0$, that is, $\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{\prime}=0$, with the solution $f\left(x^{2}\right)=c_{1} e^{c_{2} x^{2}}$ for $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ be an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$. If $f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right), f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{1}\right), \eta^{i}=: f$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, and $\mu$ is nowhere zero, then $\eta=0$. Moreover, $\lambda=0$ and

$$
\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{1}-2\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f_{1}^{4}}=-\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}-2\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{f_{2}^{4}}=\text { constant }
$$

Proof. In this case, we have $a=f_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, b=0, c=f_{1} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}, d=0$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=E_{1}(c)+E_{2}(a)-a^{2}-c^{2}, \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

from Proposition 2.8, and the system (3) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1} \frac{\partial c}{\partial x^{1}}+f_{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{2}}-a^{2}-c^{2}+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{1}\right)^{2}=0  \tag{11}\\
f_{1} \frac{\partial c}{\partial x^{1}}+f_{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{2}}-a^{2}-c^{2}+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{2}\right)^{2}=0 \\
\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{3}\right)^{2}=0 \\
\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{2}=\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{3}=\mu \eta^{2} \eta^{3}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\mu$ is nowhere zero and $\eta^{i}=f$, (11) is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1} \frac{\partial c}{\partial x^{1}}+f_{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{2}}-a^{2}-c^{2}+\lambda+\mu f^{2}=0 \\
\lambda=-\mu f^{2} \\
f^{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows that $\eta=0, \lambda=0$, and, from the first equation of the previous system, we get the relation between $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$. Since $f_{1}$ depends only on $x^{2}$ and $f_{2}$ depends only on $x^{1}$, we deduce that the obtained ratio must be a constant.

Proposition 3.8. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ be an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$. If $f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right), f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right), \eta^{i}=: f$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, and $\mu$ is nowhere zero, then $\eta=0$. Moreover, $\lambda=0, V$ is a Killing vector field, and one of the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ is constant.
(i) If $f_{1}$ is constant, then $f_{2}$ is constant, too.
(ii) If $f_{2}$ is constant, then $f_{1}$ is constant, or $f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{x^{2}-c_{2}}$ on an open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ for $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I$.

Proof. In this case, we have $a=f_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, b=c=0, d=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, and $\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=E_{2}(a)-a^{2}, \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=E_{2}(a)-a^{2}+E_{3}(d)-d^{2}, \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=E_{3}(d)-d^{2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=E_{3}(a)
$$

from Proposition 2.10, and the system (3) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{2}}-a^{2}+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{1}\right)^{2}=0  \tag{12}\\
-d+f_{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{2}}-a^{2}+d^{\prime}-d^{2}+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{2}\right)^{2}=0 \\
d^{\prime}-d^{2}+\lambda+\mu\left(\eta^{3}\right)^{2}=0 \\
\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{2}=\mu \eta^{1} \eta^{3}=0 \\
\frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{3}}+\mu \eta^{2} \eta^{3}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\mu$ is nowhere zero and $\eta^{i}=f,(12)$ is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{2}}-a^{2}-d=0 \\
d=d^{\prime}-d^{2} \\
\lambda=-d \\
f^{2}=0 \\
\frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{3}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows that $\eta=0$ and $f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}=0$, which, together with the second equation of the system, implies that one of the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ must be a constant. If $f_{1}=k_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, then $a=0, d=0$ (hence, $f_{2}$ is constant), and $\lambda=0$. If $f_{2}=k_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, then $d=0, \lambda=0$, and

$$
\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}
$$

Then, either $f_{1}$ is a constant, too, or $f_{1}^{\prime} \neq 0$ everywhere, in which case, by integration, we obtain $-\frac{1}{\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)}{f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)}}=x^{2}+c_{0}$, i.e., $f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{x^{2}-c_{2}}$ on an open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash I$. Since $b=d=0$, we deduce that $£_{V} \tilde{g}=0$, i.e., $V$ is a Killing vector field.

### 3.2 Almost $\eta$-Ricci solitons with $\eta=d x^{3}$

If $\eta^{1}=\eta^{2}=0$ and $\eta^{3}=1$, then the system (2) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{1}\left(V^{1}\right)-a V^{2}-b V^{3}+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)+\lambda=0  \tag{13}\\
E_{2}\left(V^{2}\right)-c V^{1}-d V^{3}+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)+\lambda=0 \\
E_{3}\left(V^{3}\right)+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)+\lambda+\mu=0 \\
E_{1}\left(V^{2}\right)+E_{2}\left(V^{1}\right)+a V^{1}+c V^{2}=0 \\
\frac{1}{2}\left[E_{1}\left(V^{3}\right)+E_{3}\left(V^{1}\right)+b V^{1}\right]+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=0 \\
\frac{1}{2}\left[E_{2}\left(V^{3}\right)+E_{3}\left(V^{2}\right)+d V^{2}\right]+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

THEOREM 3.9. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ be an $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $\eta=d x^{3}$. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{i}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-\lambda F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)+c_{1} F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+c_{2} x^{3}+c_{3}  \tag{14}\\
V^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-c_{1} F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)-\lambda F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+c_{4} x^{3}+c_{5} \\
V^{3}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-(\lambda+\mu) x^{3}-c_{2} F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)-c_{4} F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+c_{6}
\end{array},\right.
$$

where $F_{i}$ is an antiderivative of $\frac{1}{f_{i}}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$ and $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \in\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$.
Proof. In this case, we have $a=b=c=d=0$ and $\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)=0$ for any $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ from Proposition 2.1, and the system (13) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{1}}=-\frac{\lambda}{f_{1}}  \tag{15}\\
\frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}=-\frac{\lambda}{f_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{3}}=-(\lambda+\mu) \\
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{1}}=-f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{2}} \\
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{1}}=-\frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{3}} \\
f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{2}}=-\frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{3}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $f_{i}$ depends only on $x^{i}$, from the first three equations of (15), we find that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-\lambda F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)+h_{1}\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \\
V^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-\lambda F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+h_{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{3}\right) \\
V^{3}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-(\lambda+\mu) x^{3}+h_{3}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $F_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{f_{i}}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$. From the last three equations of (15), we infer:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) \frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial x^{1}}\left(x^{1}, x^{3}\right) & =-f_{2}\left(x^{2}\right) \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right)  \tag{16}\\
f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) \frac{\partial h_{3}}{\partial x^{1}}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) & =-\frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x^{3}}\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right)  \tag{17}\\
f_{2}\left(x^{2}\right) \frac{\partial h_{3}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) & =-\frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial x^{3}}\left(x^{1}, x^{3}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Denoting $f_{2}\left(x^{2}\right) \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=: \bar{h}_{1}\left(x^{3}\right)$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=\frac{\bar{h}_{1}\left(x^{3}\right)}{f_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)}
$$

which, by integration, implies

$$
h_{1}\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=\bar{h}_{1}\left(x^{3}\right) F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+\hat{h}_{1}\left(x^{3}\right),
$$

and, by replacing it in (17), it gives

$$
-\bar{h}_{1}^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right) F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)-f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) \frac{\partial h_{3}}{\partial x^{1}}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)=\hat{h}_{1}^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right)
$$

Differentiating the previous relation with respect to $x^{2}$, it implies

$$
\bar{h}_{1}^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right)=-f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} h_{3}}{\partial x^{2} \partial x^{1}}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right),
$$

which must be a constant, let's say, $c_{1}$. Therefore,

$$
\bar{h}_{1}\left(x^{3}\right)=c_{1} x^{3}+c_{2}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} h_{3}}{\partial x^{2} \partial x^{1}}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)=-\frac{c_{1}}{f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) f_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)}
$$

which, by double integration, implies

$$
h_{3}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)=-c_{1} F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+l_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)+l_{2}\left(x^{2}\right) .
$$

Also, from (16), we have $\frac{\partial h_{2}}{\partial x^{1}}\left(x^{1}, x^{3}\right)=-\frac{\bar{h}_{1}\left(x^{3}\right)}{f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)}$, which gives

$$
h_{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{3}\right)=-\bar{h}_{1}\left(x^{3}\right) F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)+\hat{h}_{2}\left(x^{3}\right) .
$$

From (17), we find

$$
\hat{h}_{1}^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right)=-f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) l_{1}^{\prime}\left(x^{1}\right),
$$

which must be a constant, let's say, $c_{3}$, which gives

$$
\hat{h}_{1}\left(x^{3}\right)=c_{3} x^{3}+c_{4} .
$$

Since $f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right) l_{1}^{\prime}\left(x^{1}\right)=-c_{3}$, we get

$$
l_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)=-c_{3} F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)+c_{5} .
$$

From (18), we find

$$
\hat{h}_{2}^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right)=2 c_{1} F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)-f_{2}\left(x^{2}\right) l_{2}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right),
$$

which must be a constant, let's say, $c_{6}$, which gives

$$
\hat{h}_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=c_{6} x^{3}+c_{7} .
$$

Since $2 c_{1} F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)=f_{2}\left(x^{2}\right) l_{2}^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)+c_{6}$ and $F_{1}$ is not constant, we get

$$
c_{1}=0, \quad l_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)=-c_{6} F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+c_{8}
$$

We have obtained

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-\lambda F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)+c_{2} F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+c_{3} x^{3}+c_{4} \\
V^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-\lambda F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)-c_{2} F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)+c_{6} x^{3}+c_{7} \\
V^{3}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-(\lambda+\mu) x^{3}-c_{3} F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)+c_{5}-c_{6} F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+c_{8}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which, by changing the indices of some constants, gives (14).

Example 3.10. For $f_{i}=k_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, i \in\{1,2\}$, the vector field $V$ with $V^{1}, V^{2}$ and $V^{3}$ given by:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-\frac{\lambda}{k_{1}} x^{1}+\frac{c_{1}}{k_{2}} x^{2}+c_{2} x^{3}+c_{3} \\
V^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-\frac{c_{1}}{k_{1}} x^{1}-\frac{\lambda}{k_{2}} x^{2}+c_{4} x^{3}+c_{5} \\
V^{3}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-\frac{c_{2}}{k_{1}} x^{1}-\frac{c_{4}}{k_{2}} x^{2}-(\lambda+\mu) x^{3}+c_{6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \in\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$, is the potential vector field of the $\eta$-Ricci soliton $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, \lambda, \mu\right)$ for $\eta=d x^{3}$.

Remark 3.11. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{i}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, then $V$ with $V^{1}, V^{2}$ and $V^{3}$ given by:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=c_{1} F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+c_{2} x^{3}+c_{3} \\
V^{2}\left(x^{1}, x^{3}\right)=-c_{1} F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)+c_{4} x^{3}+c_{5} \\
V^{3}\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)=-c_{2} F_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)-c_{4} F_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)+c_{6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is a Killing vector field on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$, where $F_{i}$ is an antiderivative of $\frac{1}{f_{i}}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$ and $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \in\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$.

Theorem 3.12. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ be an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $\eta=d x^{3}$. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, and $V^{i}=V^{i}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, then:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}=\frac{c_{1}}{f_{1}} \\
V^{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{f_{2}} \\
(b-d) V^{3}=(b-d)^{\prime}-\left(b^{2}-d^{2}\right) \\
(b-d) \lambda=b^{\prime} d-b d^{\prime} \\
(b-d)^{2} \mu=-(b-d)\left[(b-d)^{\prime \prime}+b^{\prime} d-b d^{\prime}\right]+\left[(b-d)^{\prime}\right]^{2}+(b-d)^{2}\left(b^{2}+d^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
We have the following cases.
(i) On any open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ on which $\left(\frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime} \neq 0$ everywhere (equivalent to $b \neq d$ on I), we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{1}=\frac{c_{1}}{f_{1}}, \quad V^{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{f_{2}}, \quad V^{3}=\frac{(b-d)^{\prime}}{b-d}-(b+d) \\
\lambda=\frac{b^{\prime} d-b d^{\prime}}{b-d}, \quad \mu=-\frac{(b-d)^{\prime \prime}+b^{\prime} d-b d^{\prime}}{b-d}+\left(\frac{(b-d)^{\prime}}{b-d}\right)^{2}+b^{2}+d^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

with $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

On some 3-dimensional almost $\eta$-Ricci solitons with diagonal metrics

In particular:
(1) if $f_{1}$ is constant on an open interval $J \subset I$ (from which $f_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0$ everywhere on $J)$, we have on $J$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{1}=c_{1}, \quad V^{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{f_{2}}, \quad V^{3}=\frac{d^{\prime}-d^{2}}{d} \\
\lambda=0, \quad \mu=-\frac{d^{\prime \prime}}{d}+\left(\frac{d^{\prime}}{d}\right)^{2}+d^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

with $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$;
(2) if $f_{2}$ is constant on an open interval $J \subset I$ (from which $f_{1}^{\prime} \neq 0$ everywhere on $J)$, we have on $J$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{1} & =\frac{c_{1}}{f_{1}}, \quad V^{2}=c_{2}, \quad V^{3}=\frac{b^{\prime}-b^{2}}{b} \\
\lambda & =0, \quad \mu=-\frac{b^{\prime \prime}}{b}+\left(\frac{b^{\prime}}{b}\right)^{2}+b^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
(ii) On any open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ on which $\frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}}$ is constant (equivalent to $b=d$ on $I$ ), we have:

$$
V^{1}=\frac{c_{1}}{f_{1}}, \quad V^{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{f_{2}}, \quad b V^{3}=b^{\prime}-2 b^{2}+\lambda,
$$

with $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
In addition:
(1) if $J \subset I$ is an open interval with $f_{1}^{\prime} \neq 0$ (and $f_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0$ ) everywhere on $J$, we have on $J$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{1}=\frac{c_{1}}{f_{1}}, \quad V^{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{f_{2}}, \quad V^{3}=\frac{b^{\prime}-2 b^{2}+\lambda}{b}, \\
\mu=-\left(\frac{b^{\prime}+\lambda}{b}\right)^{\prime}+2 b^{2}-\lambda
\end{gathered}
$$

with $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$;
(2) if $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are constant on an open interval $K \subset I$, we have on $K$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{1}=c_{1}, \quad V^{2}=c_{2}, \quad V^{3}=F, \\
\lambda=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $F^{\prime}=-\mu$ and $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. In this case, we have $a=0, b=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, c=0, d=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, and $\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=b^{\prime}-b^{2}-b d, \quad \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=d^{\prime}-d^{2}-b d, \quad \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=b^{\prime}+d^{\prime}-b^{2}-d^{2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=0
$$

from Proposition 2.2, and the system (13) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{1}}-b V^{3}+b^{\prime}-b^{2}-b d+\lambda=0  \tag{19}\\
f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}-d V^{3}+d^{\prime}-d^{2}-b d+\lambda=0 \\
\frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{3}}+b^{\prime}-b^{2}+d^{\prime}-d^{2}+\lambda+\mu=0 \\
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{1}}+f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \\
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{1}}+\frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{3}}+b V^{1}=0 \\
f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{3}}+d V^{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}=-b V^{1} \\
\left(V^{2}\right)^{\prime}=-d V^{2} \\
\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}=-\left(b^{\prime}-b^{2}+d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right)-(\lambda+\mu) \\
b V^{3}=b^{\prime}-b^{2}-b d+\lambda \\
d V^{3}=d^{\prime}-d^{2}-b d+\lambda
\end{array}\right.
$$

From the first two equations we get either $V^{i}=0$ or $\frac{\left(V^{i}\right)^{\prime}}{V^{i}}=-\frac{f_{i}^{\prime}}{f_{i}}$, i.e., $V^{i}=\frac{c_{i}}{f_{i}}$ with $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$. From the last two equations, we obtain

$$
(b-d) V^{3}=(b-d)^{\prime}-\left(b^{2}-d^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
(b-d) \lambda=b^{\prime} d-b d^{\prime}
$$

Differentiating the second-last equality, multiplying the result with $b-d$ and substituting in it the expressions of $(b-d) V^{3}$ and $\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}$, we get

$$
(b-d)^{2} \mu=-(b-d)\left[(b-d)^{\prime \prime}+b^{\prime} d-b d^{\prime}\right]+\left[(b-d)^{\prime}\right]^{2}+(b-d)^{2}\left(b^{2}+d^{2}\right)
$$

(i) For $x \in \mathbb{R},\left(\frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ if and only if $b(x) \neq d(x)$.

From the last three equalities from above, on any open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left(\frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in I$ (equivalent to $b \neq d$ everywhere on $I$ ), we get the expressions of $V^{3}, \lambda$ and $\mu$.
(ii) $\frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}}$ is constant on $I$ if and only if $\left(\frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime}=0$ on $I$, equivalent to $b=d$ on $I$.

In this case, (23) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}=-b V^{1} \\
\left(V^{2}\right)^{\prime}=-b V^{2} \\
\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}=-2\left(b^{\prime}-b^{2}\right)-(\lambda+\mu) \\
b V^{3}=b^{\prime}-2 b^{2}+\lambda
\end{array}\right.
$$

On any open interval $J \subset I$ where $f_{1}^{\prime} \neq 0$ everywhere, we have $b \neq 0$ and

$$
V^{3}=\frac{b^{\prime}-2 b^{2}+\lambda}{b}
$$

which, by differentiation and using the third relation, gives the expression of $\mu$.
If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are constant on $K \subset I$, then $b=d=0$ on $K$ and (23) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda=0 \\
\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(V^{2}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}=-\mu
\end{array} \square\right.
$$

Example 3.13. The Riemannian $\operatorname{Sol}_{3}$ Lie group $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$, where $f_{1}\left(x^{3}\right)=e^{-x^{3}}$ and $f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=e^{x^{3}}$, is an $\eta$-Ricci soliton for $\eta=d x^{3}$, with

$$
V=c_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}+c_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2}}\left(c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}\right), \quad \lambda=0, \quad \mu=2 .
$$

REmARK 3.14. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, then $V$ with $V^{1}, V^{2}$ and $V^{3}$ given by:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{f_{1}\left(x^{3}\right)} \\
V^{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{2}}{f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)} \\
V^{3}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

is a Killing vector field on $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}\right)$, where $c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$.

Theorem 3.15. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ be an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $\eta=d x^{3}$. If $f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right), f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)$, and $V^{i}=V^{i}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, then:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}=c_{1} \\
V^{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{f_{2}} \\
d V^{3}=d^{\prime}-d^{2} \\
\lambda=0 \\
d^{2} \mu=\left(d^{\prime}\right)^{2}+d^{4}-d d^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
We have the following cases.
(i) On any open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ on which $f_{2}$ is constant, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{1}=c_{1}, \quad V^{2}=c_{2}, \quad V^{3}=F, \\
\lambda=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $F^{\prime}=-\mu$ and $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
(ii) On any open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ on which $f_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0$ everywhere (equivalent to $d \neq 0$ on I), we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{1}=c_{1}, \quad V^{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{f_{2}}, \quad V^{3}=\frac{d^{\prime}-d^{2}}{d} \\
\lambda=0, \quad \mu=-\frac{d^{\prime \prime}}{d}+\left(\frac{d^{\prime}}{d}\right)^{2}+d^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

with $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. In this case, we have $a=b=c=0, d=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=d^{\prime}-d^{2} \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

from Proposition 2.4, and the system (13) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{1}}+\lambda=0  \tag{20}\\
f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}-d V^{3}+d^{\prime}-d^{2}+\lambda=0 \\
\frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{3}}+d^{\prime}-d^{2}+\lambda+\mu=0 \\
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{1}}+f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \\
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{1}}+\frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{3}}=0 \\
f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{3}}+d V^{2}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda=0 \\
\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(V^{2}\right)^{\prime}=-d V^{2} \\
\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}=-d^{\prime}+d^{2}-\mu \\
d V^{3}=d^{\prime}-d^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

from which we get

$$
V^{1}=c_{1}, \quad V^{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{f_{2}}
$$

with $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Differentiating the last equality of the previous system, multiplying the result with $d$ and substituting in it the expressions of $d V^{3}$ and $\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}$, we get

$$
d^{2} \mu=\left(d^{\prime}\right)^{2}+d^{4}-d d^{\prime \prime}
$$

(i) $f_{2}$ is constant on $I$ if and only if $d=0$ on $I$, and (20) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda=0 \\
\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(V^{2}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}=-\mu
\end{array}\right.
$$

(ii) $f_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0$ on $I$ if and only if $d \neq 0$ on $I$. Dividing the relations for $d V^{3}$ and $d^{2} \mu$ by $d$ and $d^{2}$, respectively, we obtain the expressions of $V^{3}$ and $\mu$.

Example 3.16. For $f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)=e^{x^{1}}, f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=e^{x^{3}}$, the vector field

$$
V=c_{1} e^{x^{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}+c_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}\left(c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

is the potential vector field of the $\eta$-Ricci soliton $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, 0,1\right)$ for $\eta=d x^{3}$.
Theorem 3.17. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ be an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $\eta=d x^{3}$. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{2}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$ and $V^{i}=V^{i}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, then:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}=c_{1} \\
V^{2}=c_{2} \\
V^{3}=F \\
\lambda=2 f_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}-f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}-f_{2}^{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}} \\
\quad c_{1} f_{1}^{\prime}=0=c_{2} f_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, F^{\prime}=-(\lambda+\mu)$, that is, $\mu\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-\lambda\left(x^{2}\right)-G\left(x^{3}\right)$, with $G=G\left(x^{3}\right)$ a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$, and $F^{\prime}=G$.

We have the following cases.
(i) If $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a nontrivial interval on which $f_{1}$ is constant, then:

$$
\lambda=0, \quad F^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right)=-\mu\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \quad \text { for } x^{2} \in I, x^{3} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

(ii) If $f_{1}$ is constant, then:

$$
\lambda=0, \quad \mu=\mu\left(x^{3}\right), \quad F^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right)=-\mu\left(x^{3}\right) \quad \text { for } x^{3} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

(iii) If $f_{1}$ is not constant, then $V^{1}=V^{2}=0$.

Proof. In this case, we have $a=f_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, b=c=d=0$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=E_{2}(a)-a^{2} \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

from Proposition 2.10, and the system (13) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{1}}-a V^{2}+f_{2} a^{\prime}-a^{2}+\lambda=0 \\
f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+f_{2} a^{\prime}-a^{2}+\lambda=0 \\
\frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{3}}+\lambda+\mu=0 \\
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{1}}+f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}+a V^{1}=0 \\
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{1}}+\frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{3}}=0 \\
f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{3}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

that is,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a V^{1}=0 \\
a V^{2}=0 \\
\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(V^{2}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}=-(\lambda+\mu) \\
f_{2} a^{\prime}-a^{2}+\lambda=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

From the second-last equation, we deduce that $\lambda+\mu$ depends only on $x^{3}$; therefore,

$$
0=\frac{\partial(\lambda+\mu)}{\partial x^{2}}=\lambda^{\prime}+\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x^{2}},
$$

which, by integration, gives

$$
\mu\left(x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-\lambda\left(x^{2}\right)-G\left(x^{3}\right)
$$

with $G=G\left(x^{3}\right)$ a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$ and we will denote by $F$ an antiderivative of $G$, i.e., $F^{\prime}=G$. We obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}=c_{1}  \tag{21}\\
V^{2}=c_{2} \\
V^{3}=F \\
a V^{1}=0 \\
a V^{2}=0 \\
\lambda=-f_{2} a^{\prime}+a^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $F^{\prime}=-(\lambda+\mu)$ and $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
(i) $f_{1}$ is constant on $I$ if and only if $a=0$ on $I$; therefore, $a^{\prime}=0$ and $\lambda=0$ on $I$, hence $F^{\prime}=-\mu$.
(ii) It follows from (i) for $I=\mathbb{R}$.
(iii) For $f_{1}$ not constant, there exists $x_{0}^{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{2}\right) \neq 0$, i.e., $a\left(x_{0}^{2}\right) \neq 0$ and, from the second- and third-last equations of (21), it follows that $V_{1}=V_{2}=0$.

Example 3.18. The manifold $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}:=\left\{\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: x^{2}>0\right\}$ with

$$
\tilde{g}=\frac{1}{f^{2}} d x^{1} \otimes d x^{1}+\frac{1}{f^{2}} d x^{2} \otimes d x^{2}+d x^{3} \otimes d x^{3}
$$

where $f\left(x^{2}\right)=x^{2}$, is an $\eta$-Ricci soliton for $\eta=d x^{3}$, with

$$
V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}, \quad \lambda=1, \quad \mu=-1
$$

Theorem 3.19. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ be an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $\eta=d x^{3}$. If $f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right), f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{1}\right)$, and $V^{i}=V^{i}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, then:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}=c_{1} \\
V^{2}=c_{2} \\
V^{3}=F \\
\lambda=c_{1} f_{1} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}+f_{1}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime}\right]+f_{2}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}\right]
\end{array},\right.
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $F^{\prime}=-(\lambda+\mu)$.
For $c_{1} \neq 0$ or $c_{2} \neq 0$, we get $\lambda=0$ and $F^{\prime}=-\mu$.
Proof. In this case, we have $a=f_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, b=0, c=f_{1} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}, d=0$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=E_{1}(c)+E_{2}(a)-a^{2}-c^{2}, \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

from Proposition 2.8, and the system (13) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
E_{1}\left(V^{1}\right)-a V^{2}+E_{1}(c)+E_{2}(a)-a^{2}-c^{2}+\lambda=0  \tag{22}\\
E_{2}\left(V^{2}\right)-c V^{1}+E_{1}(c)+E_{2}(a)-a^{2}-c^{2}+\lambda=0 \\
E_{3}\left(V^{3}\right)+\lambda+\mu=0 \\
E_{1}\left(V^{2}\right)+E_{2}\left(V^{1}\right)+a V^{1}+c V^{2}=0 \\
E_{1}\left(V^{3}\right)+E_{3}\left(V^{1}\right)=0 \\
E_{2}\left(V^{3}\right)+E_{3}\left(V^{2}\right)=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Since $V^{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ depends only on $x^{3}$, (22) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a V^{2}=f_{1} \frac{\partial c}{\partial x^{1}}+f_{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{2}}-a^{2}-c^{2}+\lambda=c V^{1} \\
a V^{1}=-c V^{2} \\
\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(V^{2}\right)^{\prime}=0 \\
\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}=-(\lambda+\mu)
\end{array}\right.
$$

From the last three equations, we infer $V^{1}=c_{1}, V^{2}=c_{2}$ for $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $V^{3}=F$, where $F^{\prime}=-(\lambda+\mu)$, and the previous system is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}=c_{1} \\
V^{2}=c_{2} \\
\lambda=c_{1} f_{1} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}+f_{1}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime}\right]+f_{2}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}\right] \\
c_{2} a=c_{1} c \\
c_{1} a=-c_{2} c \\
V^{3}=F
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $c_{1} \neq 0$, then $\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\right) a=0$, hence $a=0$, i.e., $f_{1}$ is constant. It follows that $c=0$, i.e., $f_{2}$ is constant. In this case,

$$
\lambda=0, \quad V^{3}=F
$$

where $F^{\prime}=-\mu$. The same thing happens if $c_{2} \neq 0$. So, we get the conclusion.
Example 3.20. For $f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)=e^{x^{2}}$ and $f_{2}\left(x^{1}\right)=e^{x^{1}}$, the vector field

$$
V=e^{x^{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}
$$

is the potential vector field of the almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton

$$
\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, \lambda\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)=e^{2 x^{1}}+e^{2 x^{2}}, \mu\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)=-\left(e^{2 x^{1}}+e^{2 x^{2}}+e^{2 x^{3}}\right)\right)
$$

for $\eta=d x^{3}$.
Theorem 3.21. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ be an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $\eta=d x^{3}$. If $f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right), f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)$, and $V^{i}=V^{i}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, then:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}=c_{1} \\
a c_{1}=0 \\
\left(V^{2}\right)^{\prime}+d V^{2}=-2 \frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{1}} \\
a V^{2}=f_{2}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]+\lambda \\
\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}=-\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right)-(\lambda+\mu) \\
d V^{3}=f_{2}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]+\lambda+d^{\prime}-d^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$.
In addition:
(i) if $V^{1} \neq 0$, then $f_{1}$ is constant, and, in this case, we have:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}=c_{1} \\
V^{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{f_{2}} \\
\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}=-\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right)-\mu \\
d V^{3}=d^{\prime}-d^{2} \\
\lambda=0 \\
d^{2} \mu=d^{4}+\left(d^{\prime}\right)^{2}-d d^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$; moreover,
(1) on any open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ on which $f_{2}$ is constant and $V^{1} \neq 0$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{1}=c_{1}, \quad V^{2}=c_{2}, \quad V^{3}=F, \\
\lambda=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $F$ is an antiderivative of $-\mu$ and $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$;
(2) on any open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ on which $f_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0$ everywhere (equivalent to $d \neq 0$ on $I)$ and $V^{1} \neq 0$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V^{1}=c_{1}, \quad V^{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{f_{2}}, \quad V^{3}=\frac{d^{\prime}-d^{2}}{d} \\
& \lambda=0, \quad \mu=-\frac{d^{\prime \prime}}{d}+\left(\frac{d^{\prime}}{d}\right)^{2}+d^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$;
(ii) if $V^{1}=0$, we have:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}=0 \\
V^{2}=\frac{F}{c_{2}\left|f_{2}\right|} \\
\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}=-\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right)-(\lambda+\mu) \\
d V^{3}=f_{2}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]+\lambda+d^{\prime}-d^{2} \\
\lambda=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}}{c_{2} f_{1}\left|f_{2}\right|} F-f_{2}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}\right] \\
d^{2} \mu=d^{4}+\left(d^{\prime}\right)^{2}-d d^{\prime \prime}+\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right) \lambda-d \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^{3}}+\left[f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-3\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{array},\right.
$$

where $F$ is an antiderivative of $-2 c_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}\left|f_{2}\right|}{f_{1}}$ and $c_{2}>0$; moreover,
(1) on any open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ on which $f_{2}$ is constant and $V^{1}=0$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V^{1}=0, \quad V^{2}=c_{3}, \quad V^{3}=F \\
& \lambda=-f_{2}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$f_{1}$ is constant or $c_{3}=0$,
where $F$ is an antiderivative of $-(\lambda+\mu)$ and $c_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$;
(2) on any open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ on which $f_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0$ everywhere (equivalent to $d \neq 0$ on I) and $V^{1}=0$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{1}=0, \quad V^{2}=-c_{4} f_{2}+\frac{c_{6}}{c_{2}\left|f_{2}\right|}, \quad V^{3}=-c_{4}^{2} f_{2}^{2}+\frac{c_{4} c_{6}}{c_{2}} \frac{f_{2}}{\left|f_{2}\right|}+\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{f_{2}}{f_{2}^{\prime}}-\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}} \\
\lambda=\frac{c_{4} c_{6}}{c_{2}} \frac{f_{2}}{\left|f_{2}\right|}, \quad \mu=-\left[\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]-\frac{c_{4} c_{6}}{c_{2}} \frac{f_{2}}{\left|f_{2}\right|}-\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

with $c_{2}>0, c_{4}, c_{6} \in \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. In this case, we have $a=f_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, b=c=0, d=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, and $\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=E_{2}(a)-a^{2}, \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=E_{2}(a)-a^{2}+E_{3}(d)-d^{2}, \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=E_{3}(d)-d^{2}$,

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=0, \quad \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=E_{3}(a)
$$

from Proposition 2.10, and the system (13) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{1}}-a V^{2}+f_{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{2}}-a^{2}+\lambda=0  \tag{23}\\
f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}-d V^{3}+d^{\prime}-d^{2}+f_{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{2}}-a^{2}+\lambda=0 \\
\frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{3}}+d^{\prime}-d^{2}+\lambda+\mu=0 \\
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{1}}+f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{2}}+a V^{1}=0 \\
f_{1} \frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{1}}+\frac{\partial V^{1}}{\partial x^{3}}=0 \\
\frac{1}{2}\left[f_{2} \frac{\partial V^{3}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial V^{2}}{\partial x^{3}}+d V^{2}\right]+\frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{3}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(V^{1}\right)^{\prime}=0  \tag{24}\\
a V^{1}=0 \\
\left(V^{2}\right)^{\prime}+d V^{2}=-2 \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{3}} \\
a V^{2}=f_{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{2}}-a^{2}+\lambda \\
\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}=-\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right)-(\lambda+\mu) \\
d V^{3}=f_{2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{2}}-a^{2}+d^{\prime}-d^{2}+\lambda
\end{array}\right.
$$

From the first two equations, it follows that either $V^{1}=0$, or $V^{1}=c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $a=0$, i.e., $f_{1}$ is constant. Let $V^{1}=0$. We get $V^{2}=F e^{-G}$, where $F$ is an antiderivative of $-2 \frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{1}} e^{G}$ and $G$ is an antiderivative of $d$, i.e., $G=\ln \left(c_{2}\left|f_{2}\right|\right)$ with $c_{2}>0$, from which $F^{\prime}=-2 c_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}\left|f_{2}\right|}{f_{1}}$, hence, $V^{2}=\frac{F}{c_{2}\left|f_{2}\right|}$. From the fourth equation of the same system, we get the expression of $\lambda$. Differentiating the last equality of the previous system, multiplying the result with $d$ and substituting in it the expressions of $d V^{3}$ and $\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}$, we get

$$
d^{2} \mu=d^{4}+\left(d^{\prime}\right)^{2}-d d^{\prime \prime}+\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right) \lambda-d \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x^{3}}+\left[f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-3\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =-\lambda-\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right)-\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime} \\
d V^{3} & =\frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2} F}{c_{2} f_{1}\left|f_{2}\right|}+\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $f_{2}^{\prime} \neq 0$ everywhere on an open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ (equivalent to $d^{\prime} \neq 0$ everywhere on $I$ ), we have on $I$ :

$$
V^{3}=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}\left|f_{2}\right| F}{c_{2} f_{1} f_{2}^{\prime}}+\frac{d^{\prime}}{d}-d
$$

From

$$
\left(V^{2}\right)^{\prime}+d V^{2}=-2 \frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}
$$

we deduce that $\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}$ depends on $x^{3}$, but since it depends only on $x^{2}$, it must be a constant. Denoting $\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}=: c_{4}$, we get $f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)=c_{5} e^{c_{4} x^{2}}$ with $c_{4} \in \mathbb{R}, c_{5} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, and also that $F$ depends only on $x^{3}$ (on $I$ ). We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =-c_{2} c_{4} f_{2}\left|f_{2}\right|+c_{6}\left(c_{6} \in \mathbb{R}\right), \\
V^{2} & =-c_{4} f_{2}+\frac{c_{6}}{c_{2}\left|f_{2}\right|} \\
V^{3} & =-c_{4}^{2} f_{2}^{2}+\frac{c_{4} c_{6}}{c_{2}} \frac{f_{2}}{\left|f_{2}\right|}+\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{f_{2}}{f_{2}^{\prime}}-\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}, \\
\lambda & =\frac{c_{4} c_{6}}{c_{2}} \frac{f_{2}}{\left|f_{2}\right|}, \\
\mu & =-\left[\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]-\frac{c_{4} c_{6}}{c_{2}} \frac{f_{2}}{\left|f_{2}\right|}-\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let now $V^{1}=c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, which implies that $f_{1}$ is constant. System (24) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V^{1}=c_{1} \\
\left(V^{2}\right)^{\prime}=-d V^{2} \\
\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}=-\left(d^{\prime}-d^{2}\right)-\mu \\
d V^{3}=d^{\prime}-d^{2} \\
\lambda=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$. From the second equation, it follows that either $V^{2}=0$ or $V^{2} \neq 0$ everywhere, in which case $\frac{\left(V^{2}\right)^{\prime}}{V^{2}}=-\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, i.e., $V^{2}=\frac{c_{2}}{f_{2}}$ with $c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$. Differentiating the second-last equation, multiplying the result with $d$ and substituting in it the expressions of $d V^{3}$ and $\left(V^{3}\right)^{\prime}$, we get

$$
d^{2} \mu=\left(d^{\prime}\right)^{2}+d^{4}-d d^{\prime \prime}
$$

Example 3.22. For $f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)=e^{x^{2}}$ and $f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=e^{x^{3}}$, the vector field

$$
V=\left(1-e^{2 x^{3}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2}}-e^{2 x^{3}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}
$$

is a potential vector field of the almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton

$$
\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, \lambda=1, \mu\left(x^{3}\right)=2 e^{2 x^{3}}\right)
$$

for $\eta=d x^{3}$.

### 3.3 Almost $\eta$-Ricci solitons with $\eta=d x^{3}$ and $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$

If $V^{1}=V^{2}=\eta^{1}=\eta^{2}=0$ and $V^{3}=\eta^{3}=1$, then the system (2) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-b+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)+\lambda=0  \tag{25}\\
-d+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)+\lambda=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)+\lambda+\mu=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{3}\right)=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

ThEOREM 3.23. Let $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$ and $\eta=d x^{3}$. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, then $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ is an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton if and only if

$$
\lambda=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}+1\right)-\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}+2\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}, \quad \mu=-\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}+1\right)-\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}+2\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

and $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}-2\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}-2\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In this case, we have $a=0, b=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, c=0, d=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, and (25) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-b+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)+\lambda=0  \tag{27}\\
-d+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)+\lambda=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)+\lambda+\mu=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}-2\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}} \\
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}-2\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2}-\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}} \\
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}-2\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}+\frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}-2\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The two functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ must satisfy (26) due to the first two equations of (27), and we obtain the expressions of $\lambda$ and $\mu$ from the first and the last equation of the same system.

Example 3.24. For $f_{i}\left(x^{3}\right)=k_{i} e^{k x^{3}}, i \in\{1,2\}, k, k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}, \eta=d x^{3}$, $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ is an $\eta$-Ricci soliton if and only if

$$
\lambda=2 k^{2}+k, \quad \mu=-k
$$

Corollary 3.25. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.32, if $f_{1}=f_{2}=: f\left(x^{3}\right)$, then $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V\right)$ is an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $\lambda$ and $\mu$ as scalar functions if and only if they are given by

$$
\lambda=3\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}+\frac{f^{\prime}-f^{\prime \prime}}{f}, \quad \mu=\left(\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}\right)^{2}-\frac{f^{\prime}+f^{\prime \prime}}{f} .
$$

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 3.32,
THEOREM 3.26. Let $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$ and $\eta=d x^{3}$. If $f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{1}\right), f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)$, then $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times I,\left.\tilde{g}\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \times I}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ is an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton if and only if

$$
f_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=c_{0} e^{-x^{3}}, \quad \lambda=0, \quad \mu=1
$$

for $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, or

$$
f_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2} e^{x^{3}}+1}, \quad \lambda=0, \quad \mu\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{2} e^{x^{3}}}{c_{2} e^{x^{3}}+1}
$$

for $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $e^{x^{3}} \neq-\frac{1}{c_{2}}$ for all $x^{3} \in I$.
In particular, for $I=\mathbb{R}$, we additionally have the condition $c_{2}>0$.
Proof. In this case, we have $a=b=c=0, d=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, and (25) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda=0 \\
-d+d^{\prime}-d^{2}=0 \\
d^{\prime}-d^{2}+\mu=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $d=0$, then $f_{2}$ is constant and $\lambda=\mu=0$ (which contradicts $\mu \neq 0$ ). If $d=-1$, then $\ln \left|f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)\right|=-x^{3}+k_{0}$ with $k_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, and we get $f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)= \pm e^{-x^{3}+k_{0}}$ and $\mu=1$. If $d \neq-1$ and $d \neq 0$ (hence, $d$ is nowhere -1 neither 0 ), from the second equation of the above system we get

$$
1=\frac{d^{\prime}}{d^{2}+d}=\frac{d^{\prime}}{d}-\frac{d^{\prime}}{d+1},
$$

which, by integration, gives

$$
\ln \left(\left|\frac{d\left(x^{3}\right)}{d\left(x^{3}\right)+1}\right|\right)=x^{3}+k_{1}
$$

with $k_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$, from which

$$
\left(1-k_{2} e^{x^{3}}\right) d\left(x^{3}\right)=k_{2} e^{x^{3}}
$$

with $k_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and for all $x^{3}$ such that $e^{x^{3}} \neq \frac{1}{k_{2}}$. This infers

$$
\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right)}{f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)}=d\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{k_{2} e^{x^{3}}}{1-k_{2} e^{x^{3}}}
$$

and, by integrating, we get

$$
f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{k_{3}}{1-k_{2} e^{x^{3}}}
$$

with $k_{3} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and

$$
\mu\left(x^{3}\right)=d^{2}\left(x^{3}\right)-d^{\prime}\left(x^{3}\right)=-d\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{-k_{2} e^{x^{3}}}{1-k_{2} e^{x^{3}}}
$$

THEOREM 3.27. Let $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$ and $\eta=d x^{3}$. If $f_{i}=f_{i}\left(x^{2}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, then $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ is an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton if and only if

$$
\lambda=\frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}\left[2 \frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}\right], \quad \mu=-\frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}\left[2 \frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}\right] .
$$

Proof. In this case, we have $a=f_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, b=c=d=0$, and (25) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)+\lambda=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)+\lambda=0 \\
\lambda+\mu=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with:

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=f_{2} f_{2}^{\prime} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}+f_{2}^{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}-2 f_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}
$$

Example 3.28. For $f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)=k_{1} e^{-x^{2}}, f_{2}\left(x^{2}\right)=k_{2} e^{x^{2}}, k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$, $\eta=d x^{3},\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ is an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton if and only if

$$
\lambda\left(x^{2}\right)=2 k_{2}^{2} e^{2 x^{2}}, \quad \mu\left(x^{2}\right)=-2 k_{2}^{2} e^{2 x^{2}}
$$

Corollary 3.29. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.27, if $f_{1}=f_{2}=: f\left(x^{2}\right)$, then $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V\right)$ is an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton with $\lambda$ and $\mu$ as scalar functions if and only if they are given by

$$
\lambda=\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-f^{\prime \prime} f, \quad \mu=-\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+f^{\prime \prime} f
$$

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 3.27,
Theorem 3.30. Let $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}$ and $\eta=d x^{3}$. If $f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)$ and $f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{1}\right)$, then $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ is an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton if and only if

$$
\lambda=2\left[\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{2}^{2}}\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]-f_{1}^{2} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}-f_{2}^{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}, \quad \mu=-2\left[\frac{f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2}}\left(f_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{f_{1}^{2}}{f_{2}^{2}}\left(f_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]+f_{1}^{2} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}+f_{2}^{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}
$$

Proof. In this case, we have $a=f_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, b=0, c=f_{1} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}, d=0$, and (25) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)+\lambda=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)+\lambda=0 \\
\lambda+\mu=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with:

$$
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=f_{2}^{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}}+f_{1}^{2} \frac{f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}}-2\left[f_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}+f_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

Example 3.31. For $f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)=e^{x^{2}}, f_{2}\left(x^{1}\right)=e^{x^{1}}, V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}, \eta=d x^{3},\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ is an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton if and only if

$$
\lambda\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)=e^{2 x^{1}}+e^{2 x^{2}}, \quad \mu\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)=-e^{2 x^{1}}-e^{2 x^{2}}
$$

THEOREM 3.32. Let $V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}, \eta=d x^{3}$, and let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. If $f_{1}=f_{1}\left(x^{2}\right)$ for $x^{2} \in \mathbb{R}, f_{2}=f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)$ for $x^{3} \in I$, then $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times I, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ is an almost $\eta$-Ricci soliton if and only if one of the following cases is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=-f_{2}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}\right], \quad \mu=f_{2}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f_{2}$ constant;
(ii)

$$
\lambda=0, \quad \mu=-\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}
$$

for $f_{1}$ constant and $f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2} e^{x^{3}}+1}$ with $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $e^{x^{3}} \neq-\frac{1}{c_{2}}$ on $I$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=0, \quad \mu=1 \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f_{1}$ constant and $f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=c_{0} e^{-x^{3}}$ with $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
Proof. In this case, we have $a=f_{2} \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}, b=c=0, d=\frac{f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{2}}$, and (25) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)+\lambda=0  \tag{28}\\
-d+\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)+\lambda=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)+\lambda+\mu=0 \\
\operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)=f_{2}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{2}\right)=f_{2}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]+d^{\prime}-d^{2} \\
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{3}, E_{3}\right)=d^{\prime}-d^{2} \\
& \operatorname{Ric}\left(E_{2}, E_{3}\right)=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$f_{2}$ must satisfy $d^{\prime}=d(d+1)$ due to the first two equations of (28), and we obtain the cases:
(i) $f_{2}$ constant;
(ii) $f_{1}$ constant and $f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2} e^{x^{3}}+1}$ on $I$ with $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $e^{x^{3}} \neq-\frac{1}{c_{2}}$ on $I$;
(iii) $f_{1}$ constant and $f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=c_{0} e^{-x^{3}}$ with $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.

Example 3.33. For $f_{1}=1, f_{2}\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{e^{x^{3}}+1}, V=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{3}}, \eta=d x^{3},\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \tilde{g}, V, \lambda, \mu\right)$ is an $\eta$-Ricci soliton if and only if

$$
\lambda=0, \quad \mu\left(x^{3}\right)=\frac{e^{x^{3}}}{e^{x^{3}}+1} .
$$
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