

L^q ESTIMATES ON THE RESTRICTION OF SCHRÖDINGER EIGENFUNCTIONS WITH SINGULAR POTENTIALS

MATTHEW D. BLAIR AND CHAMSOL PARK

ABSTRACT. We consider eigenfunction estimates in L^p for Schrödinger operators, $H_V = -\Delta_g + V(x)$, on compact Riemannian manifolds (M, g) . Eigenfunction estimates over the full manifolds were already obtained by Sogge [Sog88] for $V \equiv 0$ and the first author, Sire, and Sogge [BSS21], and the first author, Huang, Sire, and Sogge [BHSS22] for critically singular potentials V . For the corresponding restriction estimates for submanifolds, the case $V \equiv 0$ was considered in Burq, Gérard, and Tzvetkov [BGT07], and Hu [Hu09]. In this article, we will handle eigenfunction restriction estimates for some submanifolds Σ on compact Riemannian manifolds (M, g) with $n := \dim M \geq 2$, where V is a singular potential.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. The main purpose of this paper is to find estimates on restrictions of eigenfunctions to submanifolds, associated with the Schrödinger operator H_V

$$H_V = -\Delta_g + V(x),$$

where Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator associated with the metric g , and V is a real-valued potential.

We shall focus mostly on critically singular potentials $V(x)$, and so, mostly we shall assume that

$$V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M).$$

We know from [BHSS22, Appendix] that if $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$, the Schrödinger operator H_V is bounded from below and a semi-bounded self-adjoint operator on L^2 (see [BHSS22, Proposition A.1]), and thus, adding a positive constant if needed, we may assume that the spectrum of H_V is positive and its eigenfunctions e_λ^V 's are satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad H_V e_\lambda^V = \lambda^2 e_\lambda^V \text{ for some } \lambda > 0, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \sqrt{H_V} e_\lambda^V = \lambda e_\lambda^V.$$

The Kato class potential is also known as a critically singular potential with the same scaling properties as the $L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$ potentials. We recall that a potential V is said to be in the Kato class, denoted by $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, if

$$\limsup_{r \searrow 0} \int_x \int_{B_r(x)} h_n(d_g(x, y)) |V(y)| dy = 0,$$

where $d_g(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes geodesic distance, $B_r(x)$ denotes the geodesic ball of radius r centered with x , dy denotes the volume element on (M, g) , and, for $r > 0$,

$$(1.2) \quad h_n(r) = \begin{cases} |\log r|, & \text{if } n = 2, \\ r^{2-n}, & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

By definition, we note that

$$\mathcal{K}(M) \subset L^1(M),$$

and thus, we will make use of this whenever we need in the calculation with the Kato class potentials.

As explained in the work [BSS21] of the first author, Sire, and Sogge, there are some advantages of assuming the Kato condition on the potential V . First, it helps us to make use of a heat kernel bound and to obtain quasimode estimates for $p = \infty$. Second, if $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, then the e_λ^V are continuous on M . In

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 58J40; Secondary 35S30, 42B37.

Key words and phrases. Eigenfunction, Schrödinger operator, Real-valued singular potentials.

addition, the Schrödinger operator H_V is self-adjoint when V is a Kato potential or $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$, i.e., we may assume that the spectrum of H_V is positive and its eigenfunctions e_λ^V 's are satisfying (1.1).

Throughout this work, let $\lambda \geq 1$. There are substantial results when $V \equiv 0$. We denote by $\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+h(\lambda)]}(\sqrt{H_V})$ the spectral projection operator associated with the operator $\sqrt{H_V}$ where the eigenvalues lie in the interval $[\lambda, \lambda+h(\lambda)]$, for some $h(\lambda) > 0$. If $V \equiv 0$, we write H_V as

$$H_0 = -\Delta_g.$$

For $h(\lambda) \equiv 1$, Sogge [Sog88] showed that, for all $n \geq 2$, there exists a uniform constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]}(\sqrt{H_0})\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(M)} \leq C\lambda^{\sigma(q)}, \quad \text{when } V \equiv 0,$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad \sigma(q) = \begin{cases} n\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right) - \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1} \leq q \leq \infty, \\ \frac{n-1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}\right), & 2 \leq q \leq \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, if e_λ^0 is the eigenfunction of $\sqrt{H_0}$ in that $\sqrt{H_0}e_\lambda^0 = \lambda e_\lambda^0$, we have

$$(1.5) \quad \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C\lambda^{\sigma(p,n)} \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

If (M, g) has nonpositive sectional curvatures, there are logarithmically improved estimates of the case $h(\lambda) = (\log \lambda)^{-1}$ of the form

$$(1.6) \quad \|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+(\log \lambda)^{-1}]}(\sqrt{H_0})\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(M)} \leq C_q \frac{\lambda^{\sigma(q)}}{(\log \lambda)^{\alpha(q,n)}}, \quad \text{when } q > 2,$$

for some constant $\alpha(q, n) > 0$. It then follows that

$$\|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C_p \frac{\lambda^{\sigma(q)}}{(\log \lambda)^{\alpha(q,n)}} \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \text{when } q > 2.$$

If $q \geq q_c = \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$, then it has been known from [HT15] and [BHS22] that

$$(1.7) \quad \alpha(q, n) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{(n+1)q_c}, & \text{if } n \geq 2 \text{ and } q = q_c = \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } n \geq 2 \text{ and } q > \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

There are many prior results on these estimates. We refer the reader to Bérard [Bér77], Hassell and Tacy [HT15], Canzani and Galkowski [CG20], the first author and Sogge [BS17, BS18, BS19], Sogge [Sog11], Sogge and Zelditch [SZ14], and the first author, Huang, and Sogge [BHS22].

On the other hand, Burq, Gérard, and Tzvetkov [BGT07], and Hu [Hu09] proved the following restriction versions of (1.3)

$$(1.8) \quad \|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]}(\sqrt{H_0})\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C\lambda^{\delta(q,k)} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)},$$

where Σ is a k -dimensional embedded submanifold of M , and

$$(1.9) \quad \delta(q, k) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{q}, & \text{if } \frac{2n}{n-1} < q \leq \infty, \quad k = n-1, \\ \frac{n-1}{4} - \frac{n-2}{2q}, & \text{if } 2 \leq q < \frac{2n}{n-1}, \quad k = n-1, \\ \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{k}{q}, & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq n-2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad \nu(q, k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } (q, k) = (2, n-2), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This gives us automatically that

$$\|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C\lambda^{\delta(q,k)} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \text{when } V \equiv 0.$$

We note that, if $\dim M = 2$ and γ is any curve, then this estimate is translated into

$$(1.11) \quad \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C\lambda^{\delta(q,1)} \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

If the potential V is non-zero, we may need different arguments to find eigenfunction estimates. Finding analogues of ‘‘uniform Sobolev estimates’’ of Kenig, Ruiz, and Sogge [KRS87] and Dos Santos Ferreira,

Kenig and Salo [DSFKS14], the first author, Huang, Sire, and Sogge [BHSS22, Theorem 1.1] proved that if $V \in L^{n/2}(M)$, $u \in \text{Dom}(H_V)$, and

$$2 < q \leq \frac{2n}{n-4} \text{ for } n \geq 5, \quad \text{or} \quad 2 < q < \infty \text{ for } n = 3, 4,$$

then

$$(1.12) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\sigma(q)-1} \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \text{when } \lambda \geq 1.$$

See also the work of [BSS21, Theorem 1.3] for similar estimates with $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M) \cap \mathcal{K}(M)$. Our first result is a restriction analogue of the estimate (1.12) with $n = 2$ and $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$ for curve segments.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\dim M = 2$, $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, and $\lambda \geq 1$. Suppose the curve γ is any curve segment. If $\delta(q, 1)$ is as in (1.9), then*

$$(1.13) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,1)-1} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } 2 \leq q < \infty \text{ and } u \in \text{Dom}(H_V), \\ \text{if } q = \infty \text{ and } u \in \text{Dom}(H_V) \cap C(M), \end{cases}$$

where $C(M)$ denotes the space of continuous functions on M .

As a consequence, for any eigenfunction as in (1.1) we have

$$(1.14) \quad \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,1)} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \text{when } 2 \leq q \leq \infty.$$

In what follows, we focus mostly on the estimates of the form (1.13), since estimates of the form (1.13) automatically imply the estimates of the form (1.14) and the estimates for quasimodes as well.

Burq, Gérard, and Tzvetkov [BGT07], and Hu [Hu09] also showed that, if $\dim M = 2$, γ is a curve with nonvanishing geodesic curvature, and

$$(1.15) \quad \tilde{\delta}(q) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3q}, \quad 2 \leq q \leq 4,$$

then

$$(1.16) \quad \|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]}(\sqrt{H_V})\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma)} \leq C \lambda^{\tilde{\delta}(q)}, \quad \text{when } V \equiv 0 \text{ and } 2 \leq q \leq 4,$$

and thus,

$$(1.17) \quad \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C \lambda^{\tilde{\delta}(q)} \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \text{when } 2 \leq q \leq 4.$$

Our next result is a restriction analogue of (1.12) with $n = 2$ and $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$ for curve segments with nonvanishing geodesic curvature.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\dim M = 2$, $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, and $\lambda \geq 1$. Suppose γ is a curve segment with nonvanishing geodesic curvatures, i.e.,*

$$g(D_t \dot{\gamma}, D_t \dot{\gamma}) \neq 0.$$

If $\tilde{\delta}(q)$ is as in (1.15), then

$$(1.18) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\tilde{\delta}(q)-1} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \text{if } u \in \text{Dom}(H_V).$$

As a consequence, for any eigenfunction as in (1.1) we have

$$(1.19) \quad \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\tilde{\delta}(q)} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \text{when } 2 \leq q \leq 4.$$

We note that (1.14) and (1.19) are analogous to (1.11) and (1.17), respectively. The work of [BGT07, §5] showed that the estimates (1.14) are sharp when $V \equiv 0$ and $M = \mathbb{S}^2$, in that, there exists a set of eigenfunctions on \mathbb{S}^2 such that

$$\|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \geq c \lambda^{\delta(q,1)} \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}, \quad \text{for some uniform } c > 0, \text{ and } 2 \leq q \leq \infty.$$

The work of [BGT07, §5] also showed that the estimates (1.19) are also sharp when $V \equiv 0$, $M = \mathbb{S}^2$, and γ is any curve with nonvanishing geodesic curvatures. For constructing examples of exact eigenfunctions and quasimodes, we also refer the reader to Tacy [Tacy18].

The next two results are the restriction analogues of (1.12) to obtain the analogue of (1.8) when $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$ for hypersurfaces and $(n - 2)$ -dimensional submanifolds.

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and Σ be a hypersurface of M . Suppose $\lambda \geq 1$, $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$, and $u \in \text{Dom}(H_V)$. Let Σ be a hypersurface.*

(1) *We have*

$$(1.20) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,n-1)-1} \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)},$$

provided that

$$(a) \quad n \in \{3, 4, 5\} \text{ and } \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4} \leq q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}, \text{ or}$$

$$(b) \quad n \in \{6, 7\} \text{ and } \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} \leq q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}, \text{ or}$$

$$(c) \quad n \geq 8 \text{ and } \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} < q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}.$$

(2) *If either $q = \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}$ and $n \geq 4$, or $q = \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8}$ and $n \geq 8$, then*

$$(1.21) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,n-1)-1} (\log \lambda) \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

We note that even if the Sobolev trace formula holds for $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$, i.e.,

$$\|f_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C'_V \lambda^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(M)}, \quad \text{for } f_\lambda^V = \mathbf{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]}(\sqrt{H_V})f_\lambda^V,$$

then by this and [BHSS22, Theorem 1.1], we have that, for $q \geq \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$,

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\leq C'_V \lambda^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(M)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n}{q}} \|f_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)} \right) \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{q}} \|f_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &= C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,n-1)} \|f_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad q \geq \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the condition $q \geq \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$ is essential in this computation, since $\sigma(q) = \frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n}{q}$ when $q \geq \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$. This means that even if the Sobolev trace formula holds, we have the quasimode estimates only for $q \geq \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$, and thus, the advantage of Theorem 1.3 is to consider the quasimode estimates for the q 's less than $\frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and Σ be a submanifold of dimension $k = n - 2$. Suppose $\lambda \geq 1$, $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$, and $u \in \text{Dom}(H_V)$.*

(1) *If $n \geq 3$ and $\max\left(2, \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8}\right) < q < \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}$, then*

$$(1.23) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,n-2)-1} \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

(2) *If $(n, q) = (3, 2)$, then*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(2,1)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

(3) *If $n \geq 4$ and $q \in \left\{\frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8}, \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}\right\}$, then*

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,n-2)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\frac{3n-7}{2(n-2)}} \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

(4) *If $n = 3$, suppose Σ is either a geodesic or a curve with nonvanishing geodesic curvatures. Then*

$$(1.24) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(2,1)-1} \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

Since we know that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8} = 1, & \text{when } n = 3, \\ \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8} = 2, & \text{when } n = 4, \\ 2 < \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8} < \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}, & \text{when } n \geq 5, \end{cases}$$

the estimate (1.23) holds for $2 < q < \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}$ when $n = 3, 4$. If $n = 3$, we interpret $\frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}$ as ∞ .

We also note that as above, even if the Sobolev trace formula holds for $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$, then as in the computation in (1.22), we would have that, for the quasimode f_λ^V ,

$$\|f_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, n-2)} \|f_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \dim \Sigma = n-2, \quad q \geq \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1},$$

and again, the advantage of Theorem 1.4 is to consider the exponents q 's less than $\frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}$ for quasimode estimates.

If we further assume $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M) \cap \mathcal{K}(M)$, by using [BSS21, Corollary 1.4], we have eigenfunction estimates in Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 with larger ranges of exponents q 's.

Corollary 1.5. *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and Σ be a submanifold of dimension $k \in \{n-1, n-2\}$. If $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M) \cap \mathcal{K}(M)$ and the e_λ are eigenfunctions as in (1.1), then*

$$(1.25) \quad \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, k)} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)},$$

when one of the following holds.

- (1) $k = n-1$, $n \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, and $\frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4} \leq q \leq \infty$.
- (2) $k = n-1$, $n \in \{6, 7\}$, and $\frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} \leq q \leq \infty$.
- (3) $k = n-1$, $n \geq 8$, and $\frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} < q \leq \infty$.
- (4) $(n, k) = (3, 1)$, and $2 < q \leq \infty$.
- (5) $k = n-2$, $n \geq 4$, and $\frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8} < q \leq \infty$.

Proof. In the proof, the constants C_V may be different on different lines, but they are independent on λ . We recall a basic L^p norm property:

$$(1.26) \quad \text{If } 0 < a < b < c \leq \infty, \theta = \frac{b^{-1} - c^{-1}}{a^{-1} - c^{-1}}, \text{ and } f \in L^a \cap L^c, \text{ then } f \in L^b \text{ and } \|f\|_b \leq \|f\|_a^\theta \|f\|_c^{1-\theta}.$$

We note that since $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, the e_λ^V are continuous on M (see e.g., [Gün12, Theorem 2.21] and [Stu93]), and so, by compactness of M , we can freely apply (1.26) to the e_λ^V . We first show that

$$(1.27) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{If we have } \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^{q_0}(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q_0, n-1)} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)} \text{ for some } q_0 \geq \frac{2n}{n-1}, \text{ then} \\ &\|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, n-1)} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)} \text{ for all } q_0 \leq q \leq \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The assumption in (1.27) is

$$(1.28) \quad \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^{q_0}(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q_0, n-1)} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

We recall that by [BSS21, Corollary 1.4]

$$(1.29) \quad \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M) \cap \mathcal{K}(M), \quad n \geq 3.$$

Since $\frac{2n}{n-1} \leq q_0 \leq q \leq \infty$, if we set $\theta = \frac{q^{-1}-0}{q_0^{-1}-0} = \frac{q_0}{q}$, by (1.26), (1.28), and (1.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\leq \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^{q_0}(\Sigma)}^\theta \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)}^{1-\theta} \\ &\leq (C_V \lambda^{\delta(q_0, n-1)} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)})^\theta (C_V \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)})^{1-\theta} \\ &= C_V \lambda^{\delta(q_0, n-1)\theta + \frac{n-1}{2}(1-\theta)} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (1.27).

We first consider the case where $k = n-1$, $n \geq 3$, and $\frac{2n}{n-1} \leq q \leq \infty$. It follows from Theorem 1.3 that

$$\|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-1}}(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2n}} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M), \quad n \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}.$$

By this and (1.27), we have (1.25) where $k = n-1$, $n \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$, and $\frac{2n}{n-1} \leq q \leq \infty$, i.e.,

$$(1.30) \quad \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, n-1)} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \text{where } n \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\} \text{ and } \frac{2n}{n-1} \leq q \leq \infty.$$

If $k = n - 1$, $n \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ and $\frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4} \leq q \leq \frac{2n}{n-1}$, then by Theorem 1.3, we have that

$$\|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, n-1)} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4} \leq q \leq \frac{2n}{n-1}, \quad V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M), \quad n \in \{3, 4, 5\}.$$

Combining this with (1.30), we have (1.25) when the first condition holds, i.e., $k = n - 1$, $n \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, and $\frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4} \leq q \leq \infty$. The second case, i.e., $n \in \{6, 7\}$ and $\frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} \leq q \leq \frac{2n}{n-1}$, follows similarly. By Theorem 1.3,

$$\|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, n-1)} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M), \quad \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} \leq q \leq \frac{2n}{n-1}, \quad n = 6, 7.$$

By this and (1.30), (1.25) holds for the case where $k = n - 1$, $n \in \{6, 7\}$, and $\frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} \leq q \leq \frac{2n}{n-1}$. When $n \geq 8$ for $k = n - 1$, the results follow similarly from (1.30) and (1.20) for $n \geq 8$.

The codimension 2 cases follow if we apply Theorem 1.4 instead of applying Theorem 1.3. Indeed, if we set

$$0 < 2 < q_1 < q < \infty,$$

then the above argument gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\leq \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^{q_1}(\Sigma)}^{\frac{q_1}{q}} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)}^{1-\frac{q_1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\lambda^{\delta(q_1, n-2)} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)} \right)^{\frac{q_1}{q}} \left(\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)} \right)^{1-\frac{q_1}{q}} \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n-2}{q}} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $(n, k) = (3, 1)$, then we choose $2 < q_1 < q$. If $k = n - 2$ and $n \geq 4$, then we choose $\frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8} < q_1 < q$. This completes the proof. \square

As in (1.6), if we assume nonpositive sectional curves in (M, g) , we have logarithmic improved estimates of the form

$$(1.31) \quad \|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + (\log \lambda)^{-1}]}(\sqrt{H_0})\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C \frac{\lambda^{\delta(q, k)}}{(\log \lambda)^{\kappa(q, k)}},$$

for some constant $\kappa(q, k) > 0$ with the same $\delta(q, k)$ as in (1.9). For details, we refer the reader to Chen [Che15], the first author and Sogge [BS18], the first author [Bla18], Xi and Zhang [XZ17], Zhang [Zha17], and so on.

Assuming nonpositive curvatures on M , the work of [BHSS22, Theorem 1.3] also proved estimates analogous to (1.6) with $V \in L^{n/2}(M)$ (see also [BHSS22, Theorem 5.1] for $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$) in that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(M)} \leq C \lambda^{\sigma(q)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1+\alpha(q, n)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)},$$

for $\alpha(q, n) > 0$ as in (1.7), where $\epsilon(\lambda) = (\log(2 + \lambda))^{-1}$, and

$$\frac{2(n+1)}{n-1} \leq q \leq \frac{2n}{n-4} \text{ if } n \geq 5, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1} \leq q < \infty \text{ if } n = 3, 4.$$

As a consequence, this gives an analogue of (1.6) for $V \in L^{n/2}(M)$.

Motivated by [BHSS22], our next result is analogous to (1.31) when $2 \leq n \leq 4$, and $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$ or $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$. Suppose the curves γ_i denote that, for $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$(1.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &\text{ is any curve segment,} \\ \gamma_2 &\text{ is a geodesic segment,} \\ \gamma_3 &\text{ is a curve segment with nonvanishing geodesic curvatures.} \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(1.33) \quad \delta(q, \gamma_i) = \begin{cases} \delta(q, 1) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}, & \text{if } \gamma_i = \gamma_1 \text{ and } q > 4, \\ \delta(q, 1) = \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \gamma_i = \gamma_2 \text{ and } 2 \leq q \leq 4, \\ \tilde{\delta}(q) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3q}, & \text{if } \gamma_i = \gamma_3 \text{ and } 2 \leq q \leq 4, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(1.34) \quad \kappa(q, \gamma_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \gamma_i = \gamma_1 \text{ and } q > 4, \\ \frac{1}{4}, & \text{if } \gamma_i = \gamma_2 \text{ and } 2 \leq q \leq 4, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } \gamma_i = \gamma_3 \text{ and } 2 \leq q < 4, \\ \frac{1}{8}, & \text{if } \gamma_i = \gamma_3 \text{ and } q = 4. \end{cases}$$

By the work of [Che15], [BS18], [Bla18], [XZ17], and [Par23], if $n = 2$, then (1.31) is translated into

$$\|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + (\log \lambda)^{-1}]}(\sqrt{H_0})\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma_i)} \leq C \frac{\lambda^{\delta(q, \gamma_i)}}{(\log \lambda)^{\kappa(q, \gamma_i)}}, \quad \text{when } \dim M = 2.$$

We also recall that the first author [Bla18] and Zhang [Zha17] showed $\kappa(q, k) = \frac{1}{2}$ in (1.31) when $(n, k) = (3, 1)$, where M has constant negative sectional curvatures and the submanifold is a geodesic segment. For higher dimensional cases, Chen [Che15] showed $\kappa(q, k) = \frac{1}{2}$ when $k = n - 1$ with $q > \frac{2n}{n-1}$, and $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$ with $q > 2$. We have the following analogues of $n = 2$ with $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, or $n \in \{3, 4\}$ with $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose (M, g) is an n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with nonpositive sectional curvatures, $u \in \text{Dom}(H_V)$, $\lambda \geq 1$, and*

$$\epsilon(\lambda) = (\log(2 + \lambda))^{-1}.$$

- (1) *Let $n = 2$ and $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$. For the curves γ_i as in (1.32), if the exponents $\delta(q, \gamma_i)$ and $\kappa(p, i)$ are as in (1.33) and (1.34), we have*

$$(1.35) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\gamma_i)} \leq C_{V, \gamma_i} \lambda^{\delta(q, \gamma_i) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1 + \kappa(q, \gamma_i)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)},$$

where if $q = \infty$ in (1.33) and (1.34), we further assume $u \in \text{Dom}(H_V) \cap C(M)$, where as usual, $C(M)$ is the space of continuous functions on M .

- (2) *Let $n = 3$ or $n = 4$. We assume that Σ is a k -dimensional submanifold.*
 (a) *Suppose*

$$(1.36) \quad V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M), \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} (n, k) = (3, 2), & \text{and } 3 < q < \infty, \text{ or} \\ (n, k) = (3, 1), & \text{and } 2 < q < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$(1.37) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, k) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

- (b) *Suppose*

$$(1.38) \quad V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M), \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} (n, k) = (3, 2), & \text{and } 4 < q < \infty, \text{ or} \\ (n, k) = (3, 1), & \text{and } 4 < q < \infty, \text{ or} \\ (n, k) = (4, 3), & \text{and } 3 < q < 6, \text{ or} \\ (n, k) = (4, 2), & \text{and } 2 < q < 4. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$(1.39) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, k) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

The estimates so far may be improved when M is a torus.

Theorem 1.7. *Let \mathbb{T}^n be an n -dimensional torus, and $u \in \text{Dom}(H_V)$.*

- (1) *If $n = 2$, $V \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $q > 4$, γ is a segment of any curve, and*

$$(1.40) \quad \epsilon(\lambda) = \lambda^{\frac{4-q}{3q}}, \quad q > 4,$$

then

$$(1.41) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq \begin{cases} C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, 1) - 1} \left[(\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \right. \\ \quad \left. + \lambda^{\frac{1}{6}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \right], & \text{if } 4 < q < \infty, \\ C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, & \text{if } q = \infty \text{ and } u \in \text{Dom}(H_V) \cap C(M), \end{cases}$$

where $C(M)$ is the space of continuous functions on M .

(2) If $n = 2$, $V \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{T}^2)$, γ is a geodesic segment, and

$$(1.42) \quad \epsilon(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\frac{5}{21}}, \quad \text{if } 2 \leq q < \frac{8}{3},$$

then

$$(1.43) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_V \left[\lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \right. \\ \left. + \lambda^{-\frac{5}{6}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \right].$$

(3) Let $n = 3$ or $n = 4$, and $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$. We assume that Σ is a k -dimensional submanifold, and the following.

$$(1.44) \quad \epsilon_1(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\frac{2}{n+1}(\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{2k}{q})}, \quad \epsilon_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\frac{3}{16} + c_0}, & \text{if } n = 3, \\ \lambda^{-\frac{1}{5} + c_0}, & \text{if } n = 4, \end{cases}$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is arbitrary.

(a) If

$$(1.45) \quad \begin{cases} (n, k) = (3, 2) \text{ and } 4 < q < 8, \text{ or} \\ (n, k) = (3, 1) \text{ and } 2 < q < 4, \end{cases}$$

then

$$(1.46) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} \left((\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \right. \\ \left. + (\epsilon_2(\lambda))^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_2(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \right).$$

(b) If

$$(1.47) \quad \begin{cases} (n, k) = (4, 3) \text{ and } 4 < q < 6, \text{ or} \\ (n, k) = (4, 2) \text{ and } \frac{8}{3} < q < 4, \end{cases}$$

then

$$(1.48) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \left(\lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^4)} + \lambda^{2 - \frac{2k}{q}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^4)} \right).$$

Note that (1.41) is not sharp by many existing results. For example, Burq, Gérard, and Tzvetkov [BGT07, Introduction] showed that, for any $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ and a curve segment $\gamma \in \mathbb{T}^2$, if $V \equiv 0$, then

$$\|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \leq C\lambda^\epsilon \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \\ \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^\infty(\gamma)} \leq C\lambda^\epsilon \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

By interpolation, we then have

$$\|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C\lambda^\epsilon \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad 2 \leq q \leq \infty,$$

and this is much better than the following result from (1.41).

$$\|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3q}} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad \text{if } q > 4.$$

We note that (1.43) is also far from being sharp by many existing results. For the spectral projection estimates, estimates in Lemma 8.2 are better than (1.43). For the estimates for exact eigenfunctions, Huang and Zhang [HZ21] showed that there exists an eigenfunction e_λ^0 for $V \equiv 0$ such that

$$c\sqrt{N_{\lambda,1}} \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \leq C\sqrt{N_{\lambda,1}} \|e_\lambda^0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad C, c > 0,$$

for a geodesic segment $\gamma \subset \mathbb{T}^2$, where $N_{\lambda,1}$ is a number-theoretic constant, which is known to be

$$0 \leq N_{\lambda,1} \leq C \log \lambda.$$

To the best of our knowledge, it is conjectured that the desired bound is $N_{\lambda,1} \leq C$ (cf. [HZ21, Introduction], etc.). This is better than the following result from (1.43)

$$\|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\frac{4}{21}} \|e_\lambda^V\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad \text{if } 2 \leq q < \frac{8}{3}.$$

Outline of the work. In §2, we review the notion of submersions with folds, or fold singularities, in Greenleaf and Seeger [GS94] and Hu [Hu09], since the oscillatory integral estimates related with fold singularities are used throughout this paper. In §3, we will reduce Theorem 1.1-1.4 to Proposition 3.2-3.4 by using the perturbation arguments in [BSS21] and [BHSS22]. A resolvent formula in Bourgain-Shao-Sogge-Yao [BSSY15] will play an important role in the computation. We will prove Proposition 3.2-3.4 in §4-§6, completing the proofs of Theorem 1.1-1.4. For Theorem 1.1-1.2, we shall use the perturbation arguments of [BSS21] and [BHSS22]. We will also make some scaling argument, which is a reminiscent of the work of Sogge [Sog88], Huang-Sogge [HS14], Bourgain-Shao-Sogge-Yao [BSSY15], and so on. We need interpolation computation at the end to finish each proof of Theorem 1.3-1.4.

In §7 and §8, we will prove Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7, respectively. As in the other theorems, the main idea here is to consider a resolvent operator as in [BSSY15] first, and the perturbation arguments as in [BHSS22] next.

In §9, we shall briefly talk about partial results and related future work.

Notation. If we consider an integral operator K , then we denote it as its kernel $K(x, y)$ in that

$$Kf(x) = \int K(x, y)f(y) dy.$$

The constants C are uniform constants with respect to λ , may depend on manifolds M , curve γ , and exponent p , and may be different at different lines, but each of the constants are different up to some uniform constant. We write $A \lesssim B$ when there is a uniform constant $C > 0$ such that $A \leq CB$. We write $A \approx B$, if $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. We also write $A \ll B$ or $B \gg A$, if $CA \leq B$ for some sufficiently large $C > 0$.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Christopher Sogge for helpful and numerous comments and suggestions throughout the course of this work, which greatly improved the early version of this work. The second author is also grateful to Xiaoqi Huang, Andreas Seeger, Yannick Sire, and Cheng Zhang for helpful discussions for this work. The second author is also grateful to Suresh Eswarathasan and Blake Keeler for helpful comments and suggestions and for their hospitality during his visit to the Dalhousie University.

2. REVIEW OF SUBMERSIONS WITH FOLDS

In this section, we briefly review the parts of the work of [GS94, §2] and [Hu09, §4], since we will make use of the arguments in the papers frequently in the rest of this paper.

Let M and N be smooth manifolds and $F : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map. If $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow N$ is a C^∞ map with

$$\phi(0) = y \in N, \quad \phi'(0) = \eta \in \ker F'(y).$$

As in the computation in [Hör07, Appendix C.4], one can consider an invariantly defined quadratic form

$$\ker F'(y) \ni \eta \mapsto \langle F''(y)\eta, \eta \rangle \in \text{coker} F'(y).$$

This is called the Hessian of F . With this in mind, we first recall the definition of a submersion with folds (for details, see [GG73, Chapter 3] [GS94, p.36], [Hör07, Appendix C.4], and so on).

Definition 2.1. Let M and N be smooth manifolds of dimensions m and n , respectively, with $m \geq n$. Then a C^∞ map $F : M \rightarrow N$ is a submersion with folds at $x_0 \in M$ if the following hold.

- (1) $\text{rank} F'(x_0) = n - 1$ (and thus, $\dim \ker F'(x_0) = m - n + 1$ and $\dim \text{coker} F'(x_0) = 1$), and
- (2) The Hessian of F at x_0 is nondegenerate.

If $m = n$, a submersion with folds is a Whitney fold.

As noted in [GS94, p.36], the variety \mathcal{L} where F' is degenerate is a smooth submanifold in M of codimension $m - n + 1$.

We now suppose U and V are open sets in \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{R}^{d+r} , respectively. We define the oscillatory integral operators T_λ by

$$T_\lambda f(x) = \int e^{i\lambda\Phi(x,y)} a(x,y) f(y) dy,$$

where $\Phi \in C^\infty(U \times V)$ and $a \in C_0^\infty(U \times V)$. If we consider the canonical relation \mathcal{C}_Φ associated with the phase function Φ of the form

$$\mathcal{C}_\Phi = \{(x, \Phi'_x(x,y); y, -\Phi'_y(x,y))\},$$

we define the left projection π_L and right projection π_R as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_L : \mathcal{C}_\Phi &\rightarrow T^*(U), & \pi_L(x,y) &= (x, \Phi'_x(x,y)), \\ \pi_R : \mathcal{C}_\Phi &\rightarrow T^*(V), & \pi_R(x,y) &= (y, -\Phi'_y(x,y)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\dim U = \dim V$, the variety \mathcal{L} for the left projection π_L is the submanifold of codimension 1, i.e., the hypersurface. If $\pi^U : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ is a submersion, then for each x the projection of \mathcal{L} onto the fiber, denoted by

$$(2.1) \quad H_x = \pi^{T^*U}(\mathcal{L}),$$

is a hypersurface in $T^*_x U$. In [GS94], Greenleaf and Seeger showed the following.

Theorem 2.2 (Theorem 2.1 in [GS94]). *Suppose $\dim U = d$, $\dim V = d + r$, and that the left projection π_L is a submersion with folds. Let $\lambda \geq 2$.*

(1) *If $r = 0$, then*

$$\|T_\lambda f\|_{L^q(U)} \lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\frac{d-1}{q}-\frac{1}{4}} \|f\|_{L^2(V)}, & \text{if } 2 \leq q \leq 4, \\ \lambda^{-\frac{d}{q}} \|f\|_{L^2(V)}, & \text{if } 4 \leq q \leq \infty. \end{cases}$$

(2) *If $r = 1$, then*

$$\|T_\lambda f\|_{L^q(U)} \lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\log \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(V)}, & \text{if } q = 2, \\ \lambda^{-\frac{d}{q}} \|f\|_{L^2(V)}, & \text{if } 2 < q \leq \infty. \end{cases}$$

(3) *If $r \geq 2$, then*

$$\|T_\lambda f\|_{L^q(U)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{d}{q}} \|f\|_{L^2(V)}, \quad \text{if } 2 \leq q \leq \infty.$$

Theorem 2.3 (Theorem 2.2 in [GS94]). *Suppose $\dim U = \dim V = d$ and that the left projection π_L is either nondegenerate or a Whitney fold. Suppose in addition that for each $x \in U$, for each $\zeta \in H_x$ at least l principal curvatures do not vanish, where H_x is as in (2.1). Then for $\lambda \geq 1$*

$$\|T_\lambda f\|_{L^q(U)} \lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\frac{d-1}{q}-\frac{l+1}{4}+\frac{l}{2q}} \|f\|_{L^2(V)}, & \text{if } 2 \leq q \leq \frac{2l+4}{l+1}, \\ \lambda^{-\frac{d}{q}} \|f\|_{L^2(V)}, & \text{if } \frac{2l+4}{l+1} \leq q \leq \infty. \end{cases}$$

We now let (M, g) be an n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. Let Σ be a k -dimensional submanifold of M . We also let $d_g(x, y)$ denote the Riemannian distance between x and y . In the geodesic normal coordinates centered at $x_0 \in M$, Σ can be parametrized by

$$x(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k), \quad \text{and } x(0) = 0.$$

Using a partition of unity, we may assume that Σ is contained in a coordinate patch U so that $|x(u)| \leq c\epsilon$ and $x(0) = 0$, and $c_1\epsilon \leq |y| \leq c_2\epsilon$. If we use the polar coordinates for y , we can write

$$y = r\omega, \quad c_1\epsilon \leq r \leq c_2\epsilon, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

In this setting, if we set

$$(2.2) \quad \Psi(x, \omega) = -d_g(x, r\omega),$$

then Hu showed the following.

Theorem 2.4 (§4 in [Hu09]). *Let Ψ be the phase function defined as in (2.2).*

- (1) If $\dim \Sigma = k \leq n - 2$, then the left projection π_L associated with the phase function Ψ has at most fold singularities, i.e., Ψ is a submersion with folds at most, satisfying $\dim \Sigma = d$, where d is as in the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2.
- (2) If $\dim \Sigma = k = n - 1$, then the left projection π_L associated with the phase function Ψ is either nondegenerate or a Whitney fold. Also, for each x in a coordinate patch U containing Σ , for each $\zeta \in H_x$, at least $n - 2$ principal curvatures do not vanish.

In other words, Hu showed that the phase function Ψ in (2.2) satisfies the hypotheses in [GS94, Theorem 2.1-2.2], and this is how Hu showed (1.8).

3. PRELIMINARY REDUCTIONS FOR THEOREM 1.1-1.4

Let $P = \sqrt{-\Delta_g}$. By [BSSY15, (2.3)] and [BHSS22, §3-5], we can write

$$(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i))^{-1} = \frac{i}{\lambda + i} \int_0^\infty e^{i\lambda t} e^{-t} (\cos tP) dt.$$

Let $\mu_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that, for $0 < \epsilon_0 \ll 1$,

$$\mu_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |t| \leq \frac{\epsilon_0}{2}, \\ 0, & \text{if } |t| \geq \epsilon_0. \end{cases}$$

We then write

$$(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i))^{-1} = S_\lambda + W_\lambda,$$

where

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} S_\lambda &= \frac{i}{\lambda + i} \int_0^\infty \mu_0(t) e^{i\lambda t} e^{-t} (\cos tP) dt, \\ W_\lambda &= \frac{i}{\lambda + i} \int_0^\infty (1 - \mu_0(t)) e^{i\lambda t} e^{-t} (\cos tP) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We first note that we can obtain the estimates of $(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)^{-1}$ from the estimates (1.8).

Lemma 3.1. *Let Σ be a k -dimensional submanifold of M . Suppose that*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda^{-1} &\lesssim \epsilon(\lambda) \lesssim 1, \\ \epsilon(\lambda) &\text{ is nonincreasing, and} \\ \epsilon(4\lambda) &\lesssim \epsilon(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

We also assume that, for $\lambda \geq 1$

$$(3.3) \quad \|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + \epsilon(\lambda)]}(P)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\rho(q,k)}, \quad \text{for some } 0 < \rho(q,k) \leq 1.$$

If $\delta(q,k) < \frac{3}{2}$, then for $\lambda \gg 1$

$$(3.4) \quad \|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1}\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\rho(q,k)-1},$$

where $\delta(q,k)$ and $\nu(q,k)$ are as in (1.9) and (1.10), respectively.

It is natural to assume (3.2), since in this paper we want to consider the $\epsilon(\lambda)$ satisfying either $\epsilon(\lambda) = (\log(2+\lambda))^{-1}$, or $\epsilon(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\alpha}$ for some $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ (in particular, $\epsilon(\lambda) = 1$ when $\alpha = 0$). See also [BHSS22, §2] or [HST23, Introduction] for details explaining that assuming (3.2) is reasonable.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. To prove this lemma, we split the operator norm into three pieces:

$$(3.5) \quad \|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{[2\lambda, \infty)}(P)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\rho(q,k)-1},$$

if $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $\delta(q,k) < \frac{3}{2}$,

$$(3.6) \quad \|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{[0, \frac{\lambda}{2}]}(P)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,1)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\rho(q,k)-1}, \quad \text{if } 2 \leq q \leq \infty.$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{\lambda}{2}, 2\lambda]}(P)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,1)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\rho(q,k)-1}, \quad \text{if } 2 \leq q \leq \infty.$$

We first prove (3.5). By the Sobolev trace formula, if we set $s = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{k}{q}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{[2\lambda, \infty)}(P)f\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \|(-\Delta_g)^{\frac{s}{2}} (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{[2\lambda, \infty)}(P)f\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sup_{\tau \geq 2\lambda} |\tau^s (\tau^2 - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1}| \right) \|f\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim \left(\sup_{\tau \geq 2\lambda} \tau^{s-2} \right) \|f\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{s-2} \|f\|_{L^2(M)} = \lambda^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{k}{q} - 2} \|f\|_{L^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $s - 2 < 0$ if $2 \leq q \leq \infty$. We used the assumption that $\epsilon(\lambda) \lesssim 1$ in the third inequality. Since $s - 2 \leq \delta(q, k) - \frac{3}{2}$, we have $s - 2 < 0$ when $\delta(q, k) < \frac{3}{2}$, and this is where we need the assumption $\delta(q, k) < \frac{3}{2}$. The estimate (3.5) then follows, since $\lambda^{\frac{n}{2} - \frac{k}{q} - 2} \leq \lambda^{\delta(q,1)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ when $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $\delta(q, k) < \frac{3}{2}$.

We next show (3.6). As above, it follows from (1.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{[0, \frac{\lambda}{2}]}(P)f\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\leq \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}} \|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{[j-1, j]}(P)f\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}} \sup_{\tau \in [j-1, j]} |\tau^2 - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2|^{-1} j^{\delta(q,k)} (\log j)^{\nu(q,k)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-2} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}} j^{\delta(q,k)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-2} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} \lambda^{\delta(q,k)+1} \\ &= \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\rho(q,k)-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.6). In the last inequality, we used the fact that $\lambda^{-1} \leq \epsilon(\lambda) \lesssim 1$ and $0 < \rho(q, k) \leq 1$.

We are left to prove (3.7). To see this, note that if

$$\frac{\lambda}{4} \leq \epsilon(\lambda)j \leq 4\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \tau \in [\epsilon(\lambda)j, \epsilon(\lambda)(j+1)], \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{N},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau^2 - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2|^{-1} &= |\tau - \lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda)|^{-1} |\tau + \lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda)|^{-1} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-1} (\epsilon(\lambda) + |\epsilon(\lambda)j - \lambda|)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we know $\epsilon(4\lambda) \lesssim \epsilon(\lambda)$ by (3.2), and thus, it follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{\lambda}{2}, 2\lambda]}(P)f\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \sum_{\frac{\lambda}{4} \leq \epsilon(\lambda)j \leq 4\lambda} \lambda^{-1} (\epsilon(\lambda) + |\epsilon(\lambda)j - \lambda|)^{-1} \left(\lambda^{\delta(q,k)} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\rho(q,k)} \|\mathbb{1}_{[\epsilon(\lambda)j, \epsilon(\lambda)(j+1)]}(P)f\|_{L^2(M)} \right) \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\rho(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} \sum_{\frac{\lambda}{4} \leq \epsilon(\lambda)j \leq 4\lambda} (1 + |j - \epsilon(\lambda)^{-1}\lambda|)^{-1} \|\mathbb{1}_{[\epsilon(\lambda)j, \epsilon(\lambda)(j+1)]}(P)f\|_{L^2(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

By this, if we set $f_j = \mathbb{1}_{[\epsilon(\lambda)j, \epsilon(\lambda)(j+1)]}(P)f$ for convenience, then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and orthogonality we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\frac{\lambda}{2}, 2\lambda]}(P)f\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\rho(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + |j - \epsilon(\lambda)^{-1}\lambda|)^{-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_{L^2(M)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\rho(q,k)-1} \|f\|_{L^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.7), completing the proof of this lemma. \square

We note that the assumption $\delta(q, k) < \frac{3}{2}$ in Lemma 3.1 holds in the statements of Theorem 1.3-1.4. In Theorem 1.1-1.2, we know $\delta(q, 1), \tilde{\delta}(q) < \frac{3}{2}$ automatically, and thus, analogous estimates for $(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)^{-1}$ hold.

We next consider the operator W_λ . If we consider the map, as in [BHSS22],

$$\tau \mapsto m_\lambda(\tau) = \frac{i}{\lambda + i} \int_0^\infty (1 - \mu_0(t)) e^{i\lambda t} e^{-t} (\cos t\tau) dt,$$

we have

$$(3.8) \quad |m_\lambda(\tau)| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} (1 + |\lambda - \tau|)^{-N}, \quad \text{if } \tau \geq 0, \lambda \geq 1, N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

By this, (1.8), and an orthogonality argument (as in the proof of Lemma 3.1), one can see that the operator $W_\lambda = m_\lambda(P)$ satisfies

$$(3.9) \quad \|W_\lambda\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)},$$

and so,

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|W_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} & \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} \lambda \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the spectral theorem in the last inequality (see also [BHSS22]). Since $S_\lambda = (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)^{-1} - W_\lambda$, it follows from (3.4) and (3.9) that

$$(3.11) \quad \|S_\lambda\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)}.$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} u & = (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)^{-1} \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)u \\ & = (S_\lambda + W_\lambda) \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)u \\ & = S_\lambda(-\Delta_g + V - (\lambda + i)^2)u + W_\lambda(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)u - S_\lambda(Vu). \end{aligned}$$

Using this, (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$(3.12) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)} + \|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)},$$

and thus, we shall focus on the term $\|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)}$ for Theorem 1.1-1.4.

3.1. Reductions for Theorem 1.1-1.2. If $(n, k) = (2, 1)$, then $\nu(q, k) = 0$, and so, Theorem 1.1 follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$, $\dim M = 2$, $u \in \text{Dom}(H_V)$, and γ is any curve in M . Then*

$$(3.13) \quad \|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq \begin{cases} C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{if } q = \infty, \\ C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{if } 2 < q < \infty, \\ C_V \lambda^{-1} (\log \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{if } q = 2. \end{cases}$$

In fact, we can also show that Proposition 3.2 also implies Theorem 1.2. Indeed, let γ be a curve with nonvanishing geodesic curvatures in a Riemannian surface M as in Theorem 1.2. Instead of using (1.8), if we use (1.16), then similar arguments as above give us that, for $2 \leq q \leq 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_\lambda(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^q(\gamma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\tilde{\delta}(q)}, \quad 2 \leq q \leq 4, \\ \|S_\lambda\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\tilde{\delta}(q)-1}, \quad 2 \leq q \leq 4, \end{aligned}$$

and thus, instead of having (3.12), we have

$$(3.14) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\tilde{\delta}(q)-1} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)} + \|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)}, \quad 2 \leq q \leq 4,$$

and hence, it is enough to control $\|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)}$. If Proposition 3.2 holds, then it should also hold for curves with nonvanishing geodesic curvatures. Since

$$\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}} \leq \lambda^{\tilde{\delta}(q)-1}, \quad \text{for } 2 \leq q \leq 4, \quad \text{and } \lambda^{-1}(\log \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \lambda^{\tilde{\delta}(2)-1},$$

we have

$$\|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\tilde{\delta}(q)-1} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad 2 \leq q \leq 4,$$

which proves Theorem 1.2 by (3.14). Thus, we would have Theorem 1.1-1.2, if we could prove Proposition 3.2. We shall prove Proposition 3.2 later in §4.

3.2. Reduction for Theorem 1.3. If $k = n - 1$, then $\nu(q, k) = 0$, and thus, by (3.12), we want to control the perturbation term $\|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)}$ by using the following propositions.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose Σ is a hypersurface of M , where $\dim M = n$. If $n \geq 3$ and $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2$, then*

$$(3.15) \quad \|S_\lambda f\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \begin{cases} \|f\|_{L^p(M)}, & \text{if } 3 \leq n \leq 5, \text{ and } \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4} < q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}, \\ \|f\|_{L^p(M)}, & \text{if } n \geq 6, \text{ and } \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} < q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}, \\ (\log \lambda) \|f\|_{L^p(M)}, & \text{if } 3 \leq n \leq 5, \text{ and } q = \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4}, \\ (\log \lambda) \|f\|_{L^p(M)}, & \text{if } 4 \leq n \leq 5, \text{ and } q = \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}, \\ (\log \lambda) \|f\|_{L^p(M)}, & \text{if } n \geq 6, \text{ and } q \in \left\{ \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8}, \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3} \right\}, \end{cases}$$

In this subsection, we show that Proposition 3.3 implies (1.20) when Σ is a hypersurface. We note that

- (1) $\frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4} < \frac{2n}{n-1}$ if $n \in \{3, 4, 5\}$,
- (2) $\frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} < \frac{2n}{n-1}$ if $n \in \{6, 7\}$,
- (3) $\frac{2n}{n-1} < \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8}$ if $n \geq 8$.

With this in mind, we first consider either

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{2n}{n-1} \leq q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3} \text{ and } n \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} < q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3} \text{ and } n \geq 8.$$

By Hölder's inequality and Proposition 3.3, if $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2$, we have

$$(3.17) \quad \|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \|Vu\|_{L^p(M)} \leq \|V\|_{L^{\frac{np}{2}}(M)} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{np}{n-2p}}(M)}.$$

By a direct computation, we have that

$$\frac{np}{n-2p} > \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}, \quad \text{if } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2 \text{ and } q \geq \frac{2n}{n-1},$$

and thus, for $\frac{2n}{n-1} \leq q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}$, by [BHSS22, Theorem 1.1] and (3.17), for $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$, $\sigma(q)$ as in (1.4) and $u \in \text{Dom}(H_V)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.18) \quad \|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{np}{n-2p}}(M)} \\
 &\leq C_V \lambda^{\sigma(\frac{np}{n-2p})-1} \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)} \\
 &\lesssim C_V \lambda^{(\frac{n-1}{2} - (\frac{n}{p}-2))^{-1}} \|(H_V - (\lambda+i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)} \\
 &= C_V \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{q} - 1} \|(H_V - (\lambda+i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)} \\
 &= C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,n-1)-1} \|(H_V - (\lambda+i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the third inequality, we used the triangle inequality and the spectral theorem to obtain $\|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)} \lesssim \|(H_V - (\lambda+i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}$. By (3.12) and (3.18), we would have (1.20) for (q, n) as in (3.16), if we could prove Proposition 3.3.

We need to consider the remaining cases where

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{2n^2 - 5n + 4}{n^2 - 4n + 8} \leq q < \frac{2n}{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad n \in \{6, 7\},$$

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2 - 3n + 4} \leq q < \frac{2n}{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad n \in \{3, 4, 5\},$$

and

$$(3.21) \quad q = \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3} \text{ and } n \geq 4, \quad \text{or} \quad q = \frac{2n^2 - 5n + 4}{n^2 - 4n + 8} \text{ and } q \geq 8.$$

which are not in (3.16).

We first suppose (3.19). By direct computations, one can see that

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma\left(\frac{np}{n-2p}\right) \leq \delta(q, n-1), & \text{when } n \in \{6, 7\} \text{ and } \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} < q \leq \frac{2n}{n-1}, \\ \sigma\left(\frac{np}{n-2p}\right) < \delta(q, n-1), & \text{when } n \in \{6, 7\} \text{ and } q = \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8}. \end{cases}$$

Since (3.22) follows from routine calculations, we skip the calculations here and leave the details to the reader. By (3.22), if we assume $\frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} < q \leq \frac{2n}{n-1}$ for $n \in \{6, 7\}$, then by Proposition 3.3, (3.17), and [BHSS22, Theorem 1.1] again,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.23) \quad \|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{np}{n-2p}}(M)} \\
 &\leq C_V \lambda^{\sigma(\frac{np}{n-2p})-1} \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)} \\
 &\leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,n-1)-1} \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $n \in \{6, 7\}$ and $q = \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8}$, then since $\sigma\left(\frac{np}{n-2p}\right) < \delta(q, n-1)$ by (3.22), we have, by Proposition 3.3, (3.17), and [BHSS22, Theorem 1.1] again,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.24) \quad \|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim (\log \lambda) \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{np}{n-2p}}(M)} \\
 &\leq C_V \lambda^{\sigma(\frac{np}{n-2p})-1} (\log \lambda) \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)} \\
 &\leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,n-1)-1} \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the estimate (1.20) is satisfied when (3.19) holds.

We next assume (3.20). If $n \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ and $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2$, then

$$\frac{np}{n-2p} = \frac{nq}{n-1} < \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}, \quad \text{when } q = \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4}.$$

With this in mind, by straightforward computations, one can obtain that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{nq} \right) < \frac{n-1}{4} - \frac{n-2}{2q}, & \text{if } n \in \{3, 4, 5\}, \frac{nq}{n-1} \leq \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}, \text{ and } \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4} < q \leq \frac{2n}{n-1}, \\ n \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2q} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{n-1}{4} - \frac{n-2}{2q}, & \text{if } n \in \{3, 4, 5\}, \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1} \leq \frac{nq}{n-1}, \text{ and } \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4} < q \leq \frac{2n}{n-1}, \\ \frac{n-1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2q} \right) < \frac{n-1}{4} - \frac{n-2}{2q}, & \text{if } n \in \{3, 4, 5\}, \text{ and } q = \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4}. \end{cases}$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{cases} \sigma \left(\frac{np}{n-2p} \right) \leq \delta(q, n-1), & \text{when } n \in \{3, 4, 5\} \text{ and } \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4} < q \leq \frac{2n}{n-1}, \\ \sigma \left(\frac{np}{n-2p} \right) < \delta(q, n-1), & \text{when } n \in \{3, 4, 5\} \text{ and } q = \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4}, \end{cases}$$

and thus, by this, Proposition 3.3, (3.17), and [BHSS22, Theorem 1.1] again, as in the computation in (3.23)-(3.24), we have that

$$\|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, n-1)} \|(H_V - \lambda^2 + i\lambda)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

The remaining cases for Theorem 1.3 are $q = \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}$ and $n \geq 4$, or $q = \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8}$ and $q \geq 8$, i.e., (3.21). For (3.21), all the computations are the same as in (3.18) except a log loss from Proposition 3.3, and thus,

$$\|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, n-1)-1} (\log \lambda) \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

Putting these altogether with (3.12) yields Theorem 1.3. We shall show Proposition 3.3 in §5.

3.3. Reduction for Theorem 1.4. Considering (3.12) again, Theorem 1.4 follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose Σ is an $(n-2)$ -dimensional submanifold of M , where $\dim M = n \geq 3$.*

(1) *Let $n = 3$. If*

$$\frac{3}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = 2, \quad 2 \leq q < \infty,$$

then

$$\|S_\lambda f\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(M)}.$$

(2) *Let $n \geq 4$. If*

$$\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-2}{q} = 2, \quad \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8} \leq q \leq \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3},$$

then

$$\|S_\lambda f\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \begin{cases} \|f\|_{L^p(M)}, & \text{if } \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8} < q < \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}, \\ (\log \lambda)^{\frac{3n-7}{2(n-2)}} \|f\|_{L^p(M)}, & \text{if } q = \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8}, \text{ or } q = \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}. \end{cases}$$

If Proposition 3.4 is true, for any codimension 2 submanifold Σ , by using Hölder's inequality, for $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$, $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-2}{q} = 2$, and the arguments in (3.18), we have

(3.25)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \\ & \leq \begin{cases} C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, n-2)-1} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{if } n = 3 \text{ and } 2 \leq q < \infty, \\ C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, n-2)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\frac{3n-7}{2(n-2)}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{if } n \geq 4 \text{ and } \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8} < q < \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}, \\ C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, n-2)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\frac{3n-7}{2(n-2)}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{if } n \geq 4, \text{ and } q = \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8} \text{ or } q = \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.25) and (3.12), we have Theorem 1.4 for general codimension 2 submanifolds.

We are left to consider (1.24) when Σ is either a geodesic segment or a curve segment with nonvanishing geodesic curvatures for $n = 3$. By Chen and Sogge [CS14, Theorem 1.1] and Wang and Zhang [WZ21, Theorem 3], we have, instead of (1.8),

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]}(P)f\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(M)},$$

and thus, $\nu(2, 1) = 0$ when $n = 3$. Since there is no log loss for $(n, k, q) = (3, 1, 2)$ in (3.25), by the above arguments (cf. (3.25)), we have (1.24), which completes the proof.

We shall prove Proposition 3.4 later in §6.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1-1.2

As we discussed in §3, we shall prove Proposition 3.2 here to finish the proofs of Theorem 1.1-1.2. By the argument in [BHSS22, §5], we have the kernel estimates of S_λ

$$(4.1) \quad |S_\lambda(x, y)| \lesssim \begin{cases} |\log(\lambda d_g(x, y)/2)|, & \text{if } d_g(x, y) \leq \lambda^{-1}, \\ \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(d_g(x, y))^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } \lambda^{-1} \leq d_g(x, y) \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We first consider $q = \infty$. We note that

$$\sup_r \left| \int S_\lambda(\gamma(r), y) V(y) u(y) dy \right| \lesssim \left(\sup_r \int |S_\lambda(\gamma(r), y)| |V(y)| dy \right) \|u\|_{L^\infty(M)}.$$

By (4.1), we know that, for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$,

$$|S_\lambda(\gamma(r), y)| \lesssim h_2(d_g(\gamma(r), y)) \mathbf{1}_{d_g(\gamma(r), y) < \epsilon}(\gamma(r), y).$$

Since $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$ (i.e., $V \in \mathcal{K}(M) \cap L^1(M) = \mathcal{K}(M) \cap L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$ for $n = 2$, since $\mathcal{K}(M) \subset L^1(M)$), we then have that, for $\lambda \geq 1$ large enough,

$$\sup_r \int_{B_\epsilon(\gamma(r))} h_2(d_g(\gamma(r), y)) |V(y)| dy \ll 1,$$

by taking a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. By [BSS21, Theorem 1.3], we also know that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(-\Delta_g + V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

Combining these together, we have that

$$\|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^\infty(\gamma)} \leq \sup_r \left| \int S_\lambda(\gamma(r), y) V(y) u(y) dy \right| \leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(-\Delta_g + V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)},$$

and this proves (3.13) for $q = \infty$.

We next consider $2 < q < \infty$. By the triangle inequality and Minkowski's inequality, we have that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)} &= \left(\int \left| \int S_\lambda(\gamma(r), y) V(y) u(y) dy \right|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \int \left(\int |S_\lambda(\gamma(r), y) V(y) u(y)|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dy \\ &= \int \left(\int |S_\lambda(\gamma(r), y)|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} |V(y)| |u(y)| dy \\ &\leq \sup_y \left(\int |S_\lambda(\gamma(r), y)|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \int |V(y)| dy \\ &= \|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \|V\|_{L^1(M)} \sup_y \left(\int |S_\lambda(\gamma(r), y)|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, we can apply [BSS21, Theorem 1.3] to $\|u\|_{L^\infty(M)}$ at the end of computations. It thus suffices to bound the last factor

$$\sup_y \left(\int |S_\lambda(\gamma(r), y)|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Using (4.1), one can see that

$$(4.3) \quad \sup_y \left(\int |S_\lambda(\gamma(r), y)|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{if } q > 2, \\ \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } q = 2. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, if we take a local coordinate so that γ is $\{(r, 0) : |r| \ll 1\}$ and

$$d_g(\gamma(r), y) = d_g((r, 0), (y_1, y_2)) \approx |(r, 0) - (y_1, y_2)|,$$

then the supremum over y in (4.3) is essentially obtained when $|y_2| \ll \lambda^{-1}$, at which point the bounds are easily verified. By (4.2), (4.3), and [BSS21, Theorem 1.3], we have

$$\|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq \begin{cases} C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}} \|(-\Delta_g + V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{when } 2 < q < \infty, \\ C_V \lambda^{-1} (\log \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(-\Delta_g + V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{when } q = 2, \end{cases}$$

and this satisfies (3.13) when $2 \leq q < \infty$. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section, we prove Proposition 3.3, which proves (1.20) and (1.21) for any hypersurface, as explained in §3.2. We first consider the case where Σ is any hypersurface of M . Let $P = \sqrt{-\Delta_g}$. Recall from (3.1) that

$$S_\lambda = \frac{i}{\lambda + i} \int_0^\infty \mu_0(t) e^{i\lambda t} e^{-t} (\cos tP) dt.$$

We want to decompose S_λ as in [BSSY15, (2.19)-(2.20)]. We first fix a Littlewood-Paley type bump function $\beta_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\beta_1(t) = 0 \text{ for } t \notin [1/2, 2], \quad |\beta_1(t)| \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \beta_1(2^{-j}t) = 1 \text{ for } t > 0.$$

We then define operators

$$(5.1) \quad S_j f = \frac{i}{\lambda + i} \int_0^\infty \beta_1(\lambda 2^{-j}t) \mu_0(t) e^{i\lambda t} e^{-t} (\cos tP) f dt, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad S_0 f = \frac{i}{\lambda + i} \int_0^\infty \beta_0(\lambda t) \mu_0(t) e^{i\lambda t} e^{-t} (\cos tP) f dt,$$

where

$$\beta_0(t) = \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^\infty \beta_1(2^{-j}t) \right) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}),$$

and hence, $\beta_0(t) = 0$ if $|t| \geq 4$. We first consider the S_0 piece.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Σ be a hypersurface of M . If $n \geq 3$ and $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2$, then*

$$\|S_0 f\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(M)}, \quad 2 \leq q < \infty.$$

This is one reason why the case for $(n, q) = (3, \infty)$ does not hold in Theorem 1.3. To prove this lemma, we first recall the estimate of the kernel of the operator S_0 . Using stationary phase as in the proof of [BHSS22, (5.11)], if $S_0(x, y)$ denotes the kernel of the operator S_0 , then

$$|S_0(x, y)| \lesssim d_g(x, y)^{2-n} \mathbf{1}_{d_g(x, y) \lesssim \lambda^{-1}}(x, y).$$

We choose coordinates so that $(z, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $(z', s) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ are the coordinates of $x \in \Sigma$ and $y \in M$, respectively. Then

$$(d_g(x, y))^{2-n} \lesssim |(z, 0) - (z', s)|^{2-n} = (|z - z'| + |s|)^{2-n}.$$

With this in mind, Lemma 5.1 follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, and $n \geq 3$. We write coordinates in \mathbb{R}^n as $(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Define*

$$k(x, y, s) = (|x - y| + |s|)^{2-n}, \quad \text{where } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

Then the operator

$$Tf(x) := \int k(x, y, s) f(y, s) ds dy$$

defines a bounded linear map $T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $f \geq 0$. We begin with

$$|Tf(x)| \leq \int \left(\int |k(x, y, s)|^{p'} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|f(y, \cdot)\|_p dy,$$

using Hölder's inequality. For convenience, set $\alpha = |x - y|$ so that

$$\left(\int |k(x, y, s)|^{p'} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} = \left(\int (\alpha + |s|)^{(2-n)p'} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} = \alpha^{2-n} \left(\int (1 + \alpha^{-1}|s|)^{(2-n)p'} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

After the change of variable $s = \alpha t$,

$$\left(\int (1 + \alpha^{-1}|s|)^{(2-n)p'} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} = \left(\int (1 + |t|)^{(2-n)p'} \alpha dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C \alpha^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$

for some C . Indeed, $(2 - n)p' < -1$ since this is equivalent to $2 - n < -\frac{1}{p'}$, which is trivial when $n \geq 3$. This shows that

$$|Tf(x)| \leq \int |x - y|^{\frac{1}{p'} + 2 - n} \|f(y, \cdot)\|_p dy.$$

The claim now follows by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev fractional integration. To see this, recall that convolution with $|y|^{-\frac{n-1}{r}}$ maps $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ boundedly if $\frac{1}{r} = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)$. In our case, r must satisfy

$$-\frac{n-1}{r} = \frac{1}{p'} + 2 - n = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \left(\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q}\right) - n = 1 - n + (n-1) \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

Dividing by $1 - n$, we see that $\frac{1}{r} = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right)$, and so the claim follows. \square

By Lemma 5.1, it suffices to show that the S_j for $j \geq 1$ satisfy the estimates in Proposition 3.3. By the proof of [Sog93, Lemma 5.1.3], modulo $O(\lambda^{-N})$ errors, we can write

$$S_j f(x) = \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \int e^{i\lambda d_g(x,y)} \frac{a_\lambda(x,y)}{d_g(x,y)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} f(y) dy,$$

where the amplitude a_λ is supported where

$$\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^{-1}2^j) \leq d_g(x,y) \leq 2(\lambda^{-1}2^j).$$

By this, the kernel of S_j vanishes when $d_g(x,y) \notin [\lambda^{-1}2^{j-1}, \lambda^{-1}2^{j+1}]$, and thus, by taking a suitable partition of unity, it can be seen that it suffices to assume

$$(5.3) \quad \text{supp } f \subset B_{\lambda^{-1}2^j}(0).$$

By construction, we note that $S_j = 0$ if $j > \log_2 \lambda + C$, and thus, we restrict our attention to $j \leq \lfloor \log_2 \lambda \rfloor$ in what follows. If we set

$$(5.4) \quad x = \lambda^{-1}2^j X, \quad y = \lambda^{-1}2^j Y, \quad d_j(X, Y) = \lambda 2^{-j} d_g(\lambda^{-1}2^j X, \lambda^{-1}2^j Y),$$

then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5) \quad S_j f(x) &= S_j f(\lambda^{-1}2^j X) \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \int e^{i2^j d_j(X,Y)} \frac{a_\lambda(\lambda^{-1}2^j X, \lambda^{-1}2^j Y)}{d_j(X, Y)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \cdot (\lambda 2^{-j})^{\frac{n-1}{2}} f(\lambda^{-1}2^j Y) (\lambda^{-1}2^j)^n dY \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (\lambda^{-1}2^j)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \int e^{i2^j d_j(X,Y)} \frac{a_\lambda(\lambda^{-1}2^j X, \lambda^{-1}2^j Y)}{d_j(X, Y)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} f(\lambda^{-1}2^j Y) dY \\ &=: \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (\lambda^{-1}2^j)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \tilde{S}_j f_j(X), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_j(Y) = f(\lambda^{-1}2^j Y)$. We note that $d_j(X, Y)$ is the Riemannian distance between X and Y with a “stretched” metric $g_{ij}(\lambda^{-1}2^j X)$. We also note that by (5.3), we may assume that f_j is supported in a compact set, that is, we may assume that

$$(5.6) \quad \text{supp} f_j \subset B_1(0).$$

We are computing estimates for S_j and \tilde{S}_j locally, and thus, in the practical computations of the estimates, abusing notations, we can write

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|S_j\|_{L^p(M)}, \|S_j\|_{L^q(\Sigma)}, \|\tilde{S}_j\|_{L^p(M)}, \text{ and } \|\tilde{S}_j\|_{L^q(\Sigma)}, \text{ as} \\ & \|S_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|S_j\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^k)}, \|\tilde{S}_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \text{ and } \|\tilde{S}_j\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^k)}, \text{ respectively,} \\ & \text{where } n = \dim M \text{ and } k = \dim \Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

We can also use analogous notations for \tilde{S}_j . One reason why we are abusing notations here is that the distance function d_j in (5.4) is the Riemannian distance function locally (but may not be the Riemannian distance function globally), and so, we shall use notations in (5.7) especially when we use the distance function d_j directly, i.e., when we estimate \tilde{S}_j .

We are also making use of the change of variables for S_j and \tilde{S}_j . Since S_j is defined for the variable x and \tilde{S}_j is defined for the variable X , to distinguish this difference, we write

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S_j f\|_{L_y^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |S_j f(y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_Y^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{S}_j f_j(Y)|^p dY \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad n = \dim M, \\ \|S_j f\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^k)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |S_j f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^k)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |\tilde{S}_j f_j(X)|^p dX \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad k = \dim \Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, $\|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}$ (similarly $\|f_j\|_{L_X^p(\mathbb{R}^k)}$ and $\|S_j f\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^k)}$ as well) may be written as

$$(5.9) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |\tilde{S}_j(h(X))|^p \kappa_h(X) dX \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $h : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \Sigma \subset M$ locally defined by

$$X = (X_1, \dots, X_k) \mapsto h(X) = (h_1(X), \dots, h_n(X)) \in \Sigma$$

is a smooth coordinate map, the h_i are component functions, and $\kappa_h(X)$ is a volume element from the coordinate map h and the (induced) metric. For simplicity, we write (5.9) as $\|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^k)}$ in (5.8) considering that κ_h may be absorbed to the amplitudes of oscillatory integral operators we shall think about. It then follows that

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S_j\|_{L_y^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_x^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} &= \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (\lambda^{-1}2^j)^{\frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{n-1}{q} - \frac{n}{p}} \|\tilde{S}_j\|_{L_Y^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_X^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}, \quad \text{and} \\ \|S_j\|_{L_y^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_x^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} &= (2^j)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \|\tilde{S}_j\|_{L_Y^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_X^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}, \quad \text{when } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2. \end{aligned}$$

We also note that if $\tilde{S}_j(X, Y)$ denotes the kernel of the operator \tilde{S}_j , then by (5.5) we can write

$$\tilde{S}_j(X, Y) = e^{i2^j d_j(X, Y)} \frac{a_\lambda(\lambda^{-1}2^j X, \lambda^{-1}2^j Y)}{d_j(X, Y)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}},$$

and thus, $|\tilde{S}_j(X, Y)| \lesssim 1$. This gives us that for any $r \geq 1$,

$$\sup_X \left(\int |\tilde{S}_j(X, Y)|^r dX \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad \sup_Y \left(\int |\tilde{S}_j(X, Y)|^r dY \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim 1,$$

and hence, by Young’s inequality, we have that

$$(5.11) \quad \|\tilde{S}_j\|_{L_Y^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_X^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim 1, \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty.$$

With this in mind, we want to find a few nontrivial estimates of S_j in the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.3. *If $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \log_2 \lambda \rfloor$, then*

$$(5.12) \quad \|S_j f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{2n+1}{2n}} (2^j)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2_y(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and

$$(5.13) \quad \|S_j f\|_{L^{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{3n-1}{2(2n^2-2n+1)}} \|f\|_{L^{p_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where

$$q_0 = \frac{2n^2 - 2n + 1}{(n-1)(n-2)}, \quad p_0 = \frac{2n^2 - 2n + 1}{n^2 + 1}, \quad \frac{n}{p_0} - \frac{n-1}{q_0} = 2.$$

Proof. We first prove (5.12). As in [Hu09], given the Riemannian distance $d_j(X, Y)$ (as in (5.5)) for $x \in \Sigma$ and $y \in M$ with $x = \lambda^{-1}2^j X$ and $y = \lambda^{-1}2^j Y$, we introduce the polar coordinates for Y , say, $Y = r\omega$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. We set the operator with r fixed

$$\tilde{S}_j^r(f_j)_r(X) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} e^{i2^j(d_j)_r(X, \omega)} \frac{a_r(\lambda^{-1}2^j X, \lambda^{-1}2^j r\omega)}{d_j(X, r\omega)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} (f_j)_r(\omega) d\omega,$$

where $(f_j)_r(\omega) = f_j(Y)$, $(d_j)_r(X, \omega) = d_j(X, Y)$, and $a_r(\lambda^{-1}2^j X, \lambda^{-1}2^j r\omega) = r^{n-1} a_\lambda(\lambda^{-1}2^j X, \lambda^{-1}2^j Y)$. By Theorem 2.4, we know that the left projection of the canonical relation associated with $(d_j)_r(X, \omega)$ satisfies the hypothesis of [GS94, Theorem 2.2], and thus, by Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\|\tilde{S}_j^r(f_j)_r\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{(n-1)^2}{2n}} \|(f_j)_r\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}.$$

By Minkowski's integral inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} &\leq \int_{r \approx 1} \|\tilde{S}_j^r(f_j)_r\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} dr \\ &\lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{(n-1)^2}{2n}} \int_{r \approx 1} \|(f_j)_r\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} dr \\ &\lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{(n-1)^2}{2n}} \|f_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This and (5.10) imply (5.12).

On the other hand, we have a trivial bound

$$\|S_j f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \lambda^{n-2} (2^j)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Interpolation between this and (5.12) gives us the estimate (5.13). \square

Lemma 5.4. *If $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \log_2 \lambda \rfloor$, then*

$$(5.14) \quad \|S_j f\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Let $p_1 = \frac{2n(n+1)}{2n^2-n+1}$ so that $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2n})$, $(\frac{n+1}{2n}, \frac{n-3}{2(n-1)})$, and $(\frac{1}{p_1}, 0)$ are all collinear in the $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ plane. It follows from (5.11) that

$$\|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \|f_j\|_{L^{p_1}_Y(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

It follows from this and (5.10) that

$$\|S_j f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (\lambda^{-1}2^j)^{\frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{n}{p_1}} \|f\|_{L^{p_1}_Y(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Interpolating this and (5.12) gives the bound (5.14). \square

Lemma 5.5. *If $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \log_2 \lambda \rfloor$, then*

$$(5.15) \quad \|S_j f\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \text{for } n = 3, 4, 5,$$

and

$$(5.16) \quad \|S_j f\|_{L^{\frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-n+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 6.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 as in the proof of Lemma 5.3,

$$\|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_X^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}-\frac{1}{4}} \|f_j\|_{L_Y^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We also have a trivial $L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty$ bound

$$\|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_X^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \|f_j\|_{L_Y^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By interpolation,

$$\|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_X^{\frac{2n-1}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim (2^{-j})^{\frac{(2n-3)(n-2)}{2(2n-1)}} \|f_j\|_{L_Y^{\frac{2n-1}{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By this and (5.10),

$$(5.17) \quad \|S_j f\|_{L_X^{\frac{2n-1}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{3}{2(2n-1)}} \|f\|_{L_Y^{\frac{2n-1}{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Recall that $d_j(X, Y)$ as in (5.5) is the Riemannian metric associated with the “stretched metric” determined by the metric tensor $g(\lambda^{-1}2^j X)$. By taking a partition of unity and a careful change of coordinates, we can assume that the variables $X = (X', X_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{R}$, $Y = (Y', Y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ are such that the mixed Hessian $\frac{\partial^2 d_j}{\partial Y' \partial X'}$ is of full rank and the submanifold parameterized by $X' \mapsto \frac{\partial d_j}{\partial Y'}(X', X_{n-1}, Y', Y_n)$ defines a hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} with nonvanishing Gaussian curvature (i.e. the Carleson-Sjölin condition from [Sog93, §2.2] is satisfied in $n-1$ dimensions). Indeed, this can be verified in the special case where the submanifold is a subset of the $Y_n = 0$ hyperplane, the restricted distance function $|(Y' - X, Y_n)|$ is Euclidean, and a partition of unity localizes to a small cone where $|Y' - X| \lesssim |X_{n-1} - Y_{n-1}|$ and $|Y_n| \ll 1$. The Carleson-Sjölin condition is then stable under small perturbations. Hence we can apply [Sog93, Theorem 2.2.1] in $n-1$ dimensions to the following operator which fixes X_{n-1}, Y_n

$$(T_{j, X_{n-1}, Y_n} G)(Y') = \int \tilde{S}_j^*(X', X_{n-1}, Y', Y_n) G(X') dX'$$

(where as usual, $\tilde{S}_j^*(X', X_{n-1}, Y', Y_n)$ denotes the integral kernel of \tilde{S}_j^*). Since the amplitude defining $\tilde{S}_j^*(X, Y)$ is supported in a small neighborhood of the diagonal, it then follows that

$$\|\tilde{S}_j^* f_j\|_{L_Y^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2n}} \|f_j\|_{L_X^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}.$$

By duality, we have

$$(5.18) \quad \|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_X^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2n}} \|f_j\|_{L_Y^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We first consider $n = 3, 4, 5$, i.e., $3 \leq n \leq 5$. By (5.11), we have

$$(5.19) \quad \|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L^{\frac{4(n-1)}{7n-n^2-8}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We note that

$$\frac{4(n-1)}{7n-n^2-8} = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n = 3, \\ 3, & \text{if } n = 4, \\ 8, & \text{if } n = 5, \end{cases}$$

but $7n - n^2 - 8 < 0$ if $n \geq 6$, and this is a reason in Lemma 5.5 why we split the cases into two cases where $n \leq 5$ and $n \geq 6$. Interpolating (5.18) and (5.19) yields

$$\|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{n-3}{2}} \|f_j\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and thus, by (5.10), we have

$$\|S_j f\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-1)}{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This proves (5.15).

We next consider $n \geq 6$. Interpolating (5.18) with a trivial $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ bound

$$\|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_X^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \|f_j\|_{L_Y^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

yields

$$\|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L^{\frac{2n-3}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{(n-1)(n-2)^2}{n(2n-3)}} \|f_j\|_{L^{\frac{2n^2-3n}{n^2+n-4}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

It follows from (5.10) that

$$(5.20) \quad \|S_j f\|_{L^{\frac{2n-3}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim (2^j)^{\frac{n^2-7n+8}{2n(2n-3)}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n^2-3n}{n^2+n-4}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Interpolation between (5.17) and (5.20) yields (5.16). For $n = \dim M \geq 3$, we note that $\frac{n^2-7n+8}{n^2+n-4} < 0$ if and only if $n = 3, 4, 5$, and this is another reason why we need to consider the cases $n \leq 5$ and $n \geq 6$ separately. \square

We are now ready to prove (3.15). Interpolation between (5.13), (5.14), (5.15), and (5.16) gives us that, for some $\alpha_n(p, q) > 0$,

$$\|S_j f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \begin{cases} (2^j)^{-\alpha_n(p, q)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & \text{if } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2, \ 3 \leq n \leq 5, \text{ and } \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4} < q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}, \\ (2^j)^{-\alpha_n(p, q)} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & \text{if } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2, \ n \geq 6, \text{ and } \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} < q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}, \\ \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & \text{if } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2, \ 3 \leq n \leq 6, \text{ and } q \in \left\{ \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4}, \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3} \right\}, \\ \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & \text{if } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2, \ n \geq 6, \text{ and } q \in \left\{ \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8}, \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3} \right\}. \end{cases}$$

Summing these over all $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \log_2 \lambda \rfloor$, we obtain

$$\|S_\lambda f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \lesssim \begin{cases} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & \text{if } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2, \ 3 \leq n \leq 5, \text{ and } \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4} < q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}, \\ \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & \text{if } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2, \ n \geq 6, \text{ and } \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8} < q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}, \\ (\log \lambda) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & \text{if } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2, \ 3 \leq n \leq 5, \text{ and } q = \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4}, \\ (\log \lambda) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & \text{if } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2, \ 4 \leq n \leq 5, \text{ and } q = \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}, \\ (\log \lambda) \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & \text{if } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2, \ n \geq 6, \text{ and } q \in \left\{ \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8}, \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3} \right\}, \end{cases}$$

Here, we used the fact that the case of $(n, q) = (3, \infty) = \left(3, \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3}\right)$ does not hold by Lemma 5.1. This completes the proof of (3.15), the proof of Proposition 3.3, and hence, Theorem 1.3.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

By the discussion in §3, we prove Proposition 3.4 to complete the proof of Theorem 1.4. We define S_j and S_0 as in (5.1) and (5.2), respectively. Let Σ be an $(n-2)$ -dimensional submanifold of M . We need an analogue of Lemma 5.1 first.

Lemma 6.1. *If Σ is an $(n-2)$ -dimensional submanifold of M and $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-2}{q} = 2$, then*

$$\|S_0 f\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(M)}, \quad 2 \leq q < \infty.$$

As in §5, this lemma follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-2}{q} = 2$, $1 < p, q < \infty$, and $n \geq 3$. We write coordinates in \mathbb{R}^n as $(y, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, where $s = (s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Define*

$$k(x, y, s) = (|x - y| + |s|)^{2-n}, \quad \text{where } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2}.$$

Then the operator

$$Tf(x) := \int k(x, y, s) f(y, s) ds dy$$

defines a bounded linear map $T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})$.

As in Theorem 1.3, this proposition is a reason why the case of $(n, q) = (3, \infty)$ is not covered in Theorem 1.4. Since one can prove Proposition 6.2 by using the proof of Proposition 5.2, we skip its proof here. By Lemma 6.1, it is enough to consider the estimates of the S_j for $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \log_2 \lambda \rfloor$. If \tilde{S}_j is as in (5.5), then using notations in (5.7) and (5.8), we have analogues of (5.10) as follows.

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S_j\|_{L_Y^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_X^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} &= \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (\lambda^{-1} 2^j)^{\frac{n+1}{2} + \frac{n-2}{q} - \frac{n}{p}} \|\tilde{S}_j\|_{L_Y^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_X^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})}, \quad \text{and} \\ \|S_j\|_{L_Y^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_X^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} &= (2^j)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \|\tilde{S}_j\|_{L_Y^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_X^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})}, \quad \text{if } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-2}{q} = 2. \end{aligned}$$

We also note that f_j is compactly supported by (5.3) and (5.6). Again, as in the computation of (5.11), we have that

$$(6.2) \quad \|\tilde{S}_j\|_{L_Y^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L_X^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim 1, \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty.$$

We have the following lemmas analogous to Lemma 5.3-5.5.

Lemma 6.3. *If $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \log_2 \lambda \rfloor$, then*

$$(6.3) \quad \|S_j f\|_{L^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim j^{\frac{n-2}{2n-2}} (2^j)^{-\frac{1}{2n-2}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n-2}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Let \tilde{S}_j be as in (5.5). As in the proof of Lemma 5.3, by Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.4, we have that

$$(6.4) \quad \|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_X^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} (\log 2^j)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f_j\|_{L_Y^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} j^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f_j\|_{L_Y^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We also know the trivial $L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty$ bound

$$(6.5) \quad \|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_X^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim \|f_j\|_{L_Y^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By interpolation between this and (6.4),

$$\|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_X^{\frac{2n-2}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim j^{\frac{n-2}{2n-2}} (2^j)^{-\frac{(n-2)^2}{2n-2}} \|f_j\|_{L_Y^{\frac{2n-2}{n}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then (6.3) follows from this and (6.1). □

Lemma 6.4. *If $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \log_2 \lambda \rfloor$, then*

$$(6.6) \quad \|S_j f\|_{L^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim j^{\frac{n-3}{2(n-2)}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. By (6.1) and (6.4),

$$(6.7) \quad \|S_j f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim \lambda^{-1} (2^j)^{\frac{1}{2}} j^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

On the other hand, if $p_1 = \frac{n}{n-1}$ so that $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, $(\frac{n+1}{2n}, \frac{n-3}{2(n-2)})$, and $(\frac{1}{p_1}, 0)$ are collinear in the $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ plane, then by (6.2),

$$\|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_X^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim \|f_j\|_{L_Y^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and so, by (6.1),

$$\|S_j f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (\lambda^{-1} 2^j)^{\frac{n+1}{2} - \frac{n}{p_1}} \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \lambda^{n-3} (2^j)^{-\frac{n-3}{2}} \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Interpolating this and (6.7) yields (6.6). □

Lemma 6.5. *If $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \log_2 \lambda \rfloor$, then*

$$(6.8) \quad \|S_j f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim (2^j)^{\frac{n-4}{2n}} j^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. By (6.2),

$$\|\tilde{S}_j f_j\|_{L_X^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim \|f_j\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By this and (6.1),

$$(6.9) \quad \|S_j f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim (2^j)^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The estimate (6.8) then follows from interpolation between (6.9) and (6.3). □

We now come back to the proof of Proposition 3.4. Suppose $n \geq 4$. Interpolating (6.3) and (6.8) gives us that

$$\|S_j f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{n^2-5n+8}{2n} + \frac{(n-2)^2}{nq}} j^{\frac{n-2}{n} - \frac{n-2}{q}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \text{for } \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-2}{q} = 2 \text{ and } 2 \leq q \leq \frac{2n-2}{n-2},$$

and thus, for some $\alpha(p, q) > 0$,

$$(6.10) \quad \|S_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim \begin{cases} (2^j)^{-\alpha(p,q)}, & \text{if } \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8} < q \leq \frac{2n-2}{n-2}, \\ j^{\frac{n-3}{2(n-2)}}, & \text{if } q = \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, interpolating (6.6) and (6.8), we have, for some $\alpha(p, q) > 0$,

$$\|S_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim \begin{cases} (2^j)^{-\alpha(p,q)}, & \text{if } \frac{2n-2}{n-2} < q < \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}, \\ j^{\frac{n-3}{2(n-2)}}, & \text{if } q = \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}. \end{cases}$$

Using this with (6.10), for some $\alpha(p, q) > 0$, if $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-2}{q} = 2$, then

$$(6.11) \quad \|S_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim \begin{cases} (2^j)^{-\alpha(p,q)}, & \text{if } n \geq 4 \text{ and } \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8} < q < \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}, \\ j^{\frac{n-3}{2(n-2)}}, & \text{if } n \geq 4 \text{ and } q \in \left\{ \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}, \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8} \right\}. \end{cases}$$

We also note that from Lemma 6.5, if $n = 3$, then

$$\|S_j f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\frac{1}{6}} j^{\frac{1}{6}} \|f\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Interpolating this with (6.6), we have, for some $\alpha(p, q) > 0$ with $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-2}{q} = 2$,

$$(6.12) \quad \|S_j\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})} \lesssim (2^j)^{-\alpha(p,q)}, \quad \text{if } n = 3 \text{ and } 2 \leq q < \infty,$$

Since we already considered S_0 , summing all $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \log_2 \lambda \rfloor$ in (6.11) and (6.12), we have Proposition 3.4. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6

7.1. Curves in surfaces. In this subsection, we show (1.35). Let $P = \sqrt{-\Delta_g}$. To prove (1.35), we will use the estimates when $V \equiv 0$ from [Che15], [BS18], [Bla18], [XZ17], and [Par23], for $P = \sqrt{-\Delta_g}$ and $\epsilon(\lambda) = (\log(2 + \lambda))^{-1}$,

$$(7.1) \quad \|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + \epsilon(\lambda)]}(P)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma_i)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, \gamma_i)} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\kappa(q, \gamma_i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

By this and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(7.2) \quad \|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1}\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma_i)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, \gamma_i) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1 + \kappa(q, \gamma_i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

where (q, i) , $\delta(q, \gamma_i)$, and $\kappa(q, \gamma_i)$ are as in (1.32), (1.33), and (1.34), respectively. By [BHSS22, Theorem 5.1], we have, for $u \in \text{Dom}(H_V) \cap C(M)$

$$(7.3) \quad \|u\|_{L^\infty(\gamma_i)} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

This proves the $q = \infty$ case of (1.35) for any curve γ_1 , and thus, we are left to prove the cases where $q < \infty$ for the other curves γ_i .

We will follow the argument in [BHSS22] to prove (1.35). Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$\eta(t) = 1 \text{ for } t \in (-1/2, 1/2), \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp}(\eta) \subset (-1, 1).$$

Let

$$(7.4) \quad T = c_0(\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1},$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is a small real number which will be specified later. We shall write

$$(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} = T_\lambda + R_\lambda,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.5) \quad T_\lambda &= T_\lambda^0 + T_\lambda^1, \\
T_\lambda^0 &= \frac{i}{\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty \eta(t)\eta(t/T)e^{i\lambda t}e^{-\epsilon(\lambda)t} \cos(tP) dt, \\
T_\lambda^1 &= \frac{i}{\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty (1 - \eta(t))\eta(t/T)e^{i\lambda t}e^{-\epsilon(\lambda)t} \cos(tP) dt, \\
R_\lambda &= \frac{i}{\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty (1 - \eta(t/T))e^{i\lambda t}e^{-\epsilon(\lambda)t} \cos(tP) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

To consider R_λ first, we set

$$(7.6) \quad \tau \mapsto m_\lambda(\tau) := \frac{i}{\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty (1 - \eta(t/T))e^{i\lambda t}e^{-\epsilon(\lambda)t} \cos(\tau P) dt,$$

which satisfies

$$(7.7) \quad |m_\lambda(\tau)| \lesssim (\lambda\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1}(1 + (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1}|\lambda - \tau|)^{-N}, \quad \text{for } N = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad \text{if } \tau \geq 0, \lambda \geq 1.$$

Since $R_\lambda = m_\lambda(P)$, by (7.7) and an orthogonality argument (cf. the proof of Lemma 3.1), we have

$$(7.8) \quad \|R_\lambda\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma_i)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, \gamma_i) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1 + \kappa(q, \gamma_i)},$$

and

$$(7.9) \quad \|R_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma_i)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, \gamma_i) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1 + \kappa(q, \gamma_i)} \cdot (\lambda\epsilon(\lambda)).$$

Since $T_\lambda = (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + \epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} - R_\lambda$, it follows from (7.2) and (7.8) that

$$(7.10) \quad \|T_\lambda\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma_i)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, \gamma_i) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1 + \kappa(q, \gamma_i)}.$$

For any given $\epsilon_0 > 0$, if $c_0 > 0$ as in (7.4) is small enough, then by the arguments in [BHSS22, (5.10)] (see also [Bér77]), the kernel of T_λ^1 is continuous, and so, we have that

$$\|T_\lambda^1\|_{L^1(M) \rightarrow L^\infty(M)} = O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{C c_0}) = O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_0}), \quad \text{for all } 0 < \epsilon_0 \ll 1,$$

which implies that

$$(7.11) \quad \|T_\lambda^1 f\|_{L^\infty(\gamma_i)} \leq \|T_\lambda^1 f\|_{L^\infty(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon_0} \|f\|_{L^1(M)}, \quad \text{for all } 0 < \epsilon_0 \ll 1.$$

It follows from [BHSS22, (5.11)] that

$$(7.12) \quad |T_\lambda^0(x, y)| \leq \begin{cases} C |\log(\lambda d_g(x, y)/2)|, & \text{if } d_g(x, y) \leq \lambda^{-1}, \\ C \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} (d_g(x, y))^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } \lambda^{-1} \leq d_g(x, y) \ll 1. \end{cases}$$

We now write

$$(7.13) \quad \begin{aligned} u &= (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2) u \\ &= T_\lambda(-\Delta_g + V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2) u + R_\lambda(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2) u - T_\lambda(Vu). \end{aligned}$$

We compute each of the three terms separately as above. By (7.10), we have

$$\|T_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g + V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2) u\|_{L^q(\gamma_i)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, \gamma_i) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1 + \kappa(q, \gamma_i)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2) u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

By (7.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2) u\|_{L^q(\gamma_i)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, \gamma_i) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1 + \kappa(q, \gamma_i)} (\lambda\epsilon(\lambda)) \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, \gamma_i) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1 + \kappa(q, \gamma_i)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2) u\|_{L^2(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the spectral theorem in the last inequality. By these two estimates and (7.13), it suffices to show that

$$(7.14) \quad \|T_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma_i)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, \gamma_i) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1 + \kappa(q, \gamma_i)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2) u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

Since

$$T_\lambda(Vu) = T_\lambda^0(Vu) + T_\lambda^1(Vu),$$

we will compute the T_λ^0 part and T_λ^1 part separately, and combine them at the end. By the triangle inequality and Minkowski's integral inequality as in (4.2), we have

$$(7.15) \quad \|T_\lambda^0(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma_i)} \leq \sup_y \left(\int |T_\lambda(\gamma(r), y)|^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \|V\|_{L^1(M)}.$$

Using (7.12), by the proof of Proposition 3.2, we have that

$$\sup_y \left(\int |T_\lambda^0(\gamma(r), y)|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{if } 2 < q < \infty, \\ \lambda^{-1}(\log \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } q = 2, \end{cases}$$

and thus, by (7.3) and (7.15),

$$\|T_\lambda^0(Vu)\| \leq \begin{cases} C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{if } 2 < q < \infty, \\ C_V \lambda^{-\frac{3}{2}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{if } q = 2, \end{cases}$$

which satisfies a better (or the same) estimate than the bound posited in (7.14).

For $T_\lambda^1(Vu)$, by (7.3) and (7.11), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda^1(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma_i)} &= \left(\int_{\gamma_i} |T_\lambda^1(Vu)|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{\gamma_i} (\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon_0})^q \|Vu\|_{L^1(M)}^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon_0} \|V\|_{L^1(M)} \|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon_0} \|u\|_{L^\infty(M)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{-1+\epsilon_0} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting these together yields

$$\|T_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma_i)} \leq \begin{cases} C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{if } 2 < q < \infty, \\ C_V \lambda^{-1+\epsilon_0} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{if } q = 2, \end{cases}$$

when $\epsilon_0 > 0$ is sufficiently small. These estimates satisfy (7.14) for $q < \infty$ as in (1.33) and (1.34), completing the proof of (1.35).

7.2. Hypersurfaces and codimension 2 submanifolds. In this subsection, we show (1.37) and (1.39). Let $P = \sqrt{-\Delta_g}$, $\dim M = 3$ or 4 , and Σ be a hypersurface or codimension 2 submanifold. As before, for interested (q, k) in this subsection, by [Che15], if $\epsilon(\lambda) = (\log(2 + \lambda))^{-1}$, then

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + \epsilon(\lambda)]}(P)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, k)} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which in turn implies that, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1}\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, k)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

If we set $T_\lambda, T_\lambda^0, T_\lambda^1$, and R_λ as in (7.5), then by the same arguments as in (7.8)-(7.10), we have

$$\|R_\lambda\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)}, \|T_\lambda\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, k)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, k)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\lambda \epsilon(\lambda)) \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q, k)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we used the spectral theorem. With this in mind, since

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u \\ &= T_\lambda(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u + R_\lambda(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u - T_\lambda(Vu), \end{aligned}$$

we would have (1.37) and (1.39), if we could show that

(7.16)

$$\|T_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq \begin{cases} C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{if } (n, k, q, V) \text{ is as in (1.36),} \\ C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, & \text{if } (n, k, q, V) \text{ is as in (1.38),} \end{cases}$$

Since $T_\lambda(Vu) = T_\lambda^0(Vu) + T_\lambda^1(Vu)$ as in (7.5), we compute $T_\lambda^0(Vu)$ and $T_\lambda^1(Vu)$, separately. We note that T_λ^0 is a ‘‘local’’ operator as in the local operator S_λ in (3.1). By the proof of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4, if $n \in \{3, 4\}$, and

$$\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-1}{q} = 2, \quad k = n-1, \quad \frac{2n}{n-1} \leq q < \frac{2(n-1)}{n-3},$$

or

$$\frac{n}{p} - \frac{n-2}{q} = 2, \quad k = n-2, \quad \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2 - 5n + 8} < q < \frac{2(n-2)}{n-3}, \quad q \geq 2,$$

we have

$$\|T_\lambda^0(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \|Vu\|_{L^p(M)}.$$

By the argument in (3.18), it follows from [BHSS22, Theorem 1.3] that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda^0(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{np}{n-2p}}(M)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{\sigma(\frac{np}{n-2p})-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &= C_V \lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{k}{q} - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &= C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. For $T_\lambda^1(Vu)$, recall from [BHSS22, (3.25)] (cf. [Bér77]) that

$$\|T_\lambda^1 f\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq \|T_\lambda^1 f\|_{L^\infty(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \lambda^{C_{c_0}} \|f\|_{L^1(M)},$$

for a sufficiently small $0 < c_0 \ll 1$. This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda^1(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &= \left(\int_\Sigma |(T_\lambda^1(Vu))(z)|^q dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ (7.17) \quad &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2} + C_{c_0}} \left(\int_\Sigma \|Vu\|_{L^1(M)}^q dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2} + C_{c_0}} \|Vu\|_{L^1(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose the condition (1.36) holds. Note that $V \in L^{\frac{4}{3}}(M)$ since $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(M)$ and M is compact. By (7.17), Hölder’s inequality, and [BHSS22, Theorem 1.3], taking $0 < c_0 \ll 1$, if $\alpha(q, n)$ is as in (1.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda^1(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{C_{c_0}} \|V\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(M)} \|u\|_{L^4(M)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{\sigma(4)-1+C_{c_0}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1+\alpha(q,n)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}+C_{c_0}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1+\alpha(q,n)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the first estimate in (7.16). Similarly, if (1.38) holds for $n = 3$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} (n, k) = (3, 2), & 4 < q < \infty, \text{ or} \\ (n, k) = (3, 1), & 4 < q < \infty, \end{cases}$$

then $V \in L^{q'}(M)$ by $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(M)$ and compactness of M . By (7.17), Hölder's inequality, and [BHSS22, Theorem 1.3] (note that $\alpha(q, n) = \frac{1}{2}$ when (1.38) holds for $n = 3$),

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda^1(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{C_{c_0}} \|V\|_{L^{q'}(M)} \|u\|_{L^q(M)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{C_{c_0} + \sigma(q) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, k) - 1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the second estimate in (7.16). If (1.38) holds for $n = 4$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} (n, k) = (4, 3), & 3 < q < 6, \text{ or} \\ (n, k) = (4, 2), & 2 < q < 4, \end{cases}$$

then by (7.17) and Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda^1(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} + C_{c_0}} \|V\|_{L^2(M)} \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, k) - \epsilon_0} \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, k) - \epsilon_0} (\lambda\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1} (\lambda\epsilon(\lambda)) \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim C_V \lambda^{\delta(q, k) - 1 - \epsilon_0} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we choose $0 < c_0, \epsilon_0 \ll 1$ sufficiently small. In the last inequality, we used the spectral theorem. This is better than the bound posited in (7.16). This proves (7.16) when (1.38) holds, which completes the proof of (1.37) and (1.39).

8. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.7

8.1. General curve segments. In this subsection, we show (1.41). Let $P = \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}}$ and γ be any curve segment in \mathbb{T}^2 . Recall that, for all previous results, we needed a spectral projection bounds for $\sqrt{-\Delta_g}$. To use our previous arguments, we then need a spectral projection bound for \mathbb{T}^2 first.

Lemma 8.1. *If $\delta(q, 1) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}$ for $q > 4$, then*

$$\|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + \frac{1}{T}]}(P)f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim (T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{\delta(q, 1)} + T^{\frac{1}{4}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}}) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad 1 \leq T \leq \lambda.$$

Proof. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be even, nonnegative, and

$$\chi(0) = 1, \quad \text{supp}(\widehat{\chi}) \subset (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0) \text{ for } 0 < \epsilon_0 \ll 1.$$

Since the operator $\chi(T(\lambda - P))$ is invertible on the range of the spectral projector $\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + \frac{1}{T}]}(P)$ and

$$\|\chi(T(\lambda - P))^{-1} \circ \mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + \frac{1}{T}]}(P)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(M)} \lesssim 1,$$

and so, it suffices to show that

$$\|\chi(T(\lambda - P))f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda^{\delta(q, 1)}}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} + (T\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad \lambda^{-1} \leq T^{-1} \leq 1.$$

By a TT^* argument, this is equivalent to saying that

$$(8.1) \quad \|\chi^2(T(\lambda - P))f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda^{2\delta(q, 1)}}{T} + (T\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \|f\|_{L^{q'}(\gamma)}.$$

By Euler's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^2(T(\lambda - P))f &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itT(\lambda - P)} \widehat{\chi^2}(t) f \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda} (e^{-itP} f) \widehat{\chi^2}(t/T) \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda} \widehat{\chi^2}(t/T) (\cos tP) f \, dt - \chi^2(T(\lambda + P))f. \end{aligned}$$

If $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a cutoff function supported near the origin, since the contribution of $\chi^2(T(\lambda + P))$ is negligible, modulo $O(\lambda^{-N})$ errors, it suffices to consider $S_0f + S_1f$, where

$$\begin{aligned} S_0f &= \frac{1}{\pi T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda} \eta(t) \widehat{\chi^2}(t/T) (\cos tP) f \, dt, \\ S_1f &= \frac{1}{\pi T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda} (1 - \eta(t)) \widehat{\chi^2}(t/T) (\cos tP) f \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

By (the proof of) [BGT07, §3] (see also [Hu09, §3.1]), we have that

$$\|S_0f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{2\delta(q,1)}}{T} \|f\|_{L^{q'}(\gamma)},$$

which satisfies (8.1), and hence, it suffices to show that

$$(8.2) \quad \|S_1f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim (T\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^{q'}(\gamma)}.$$

By the choice of $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{\pi T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda} (1 - \eta(t)) \widehat{\chi^2}(t/T) (\cos tP)(x, y) \, dt \right| \\ & \lesssim \left| \frac{1}{\pi T} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda} \widehat{\chi^2}(t/T) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (\cos t \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}})(x - (y + l)) \, dt \right| \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{T} \cdot \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq |x - (y + l)| \leq T, l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |x - (y + l)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \lesssim (T\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we lifted our computation to the universal cover \mathbb{R}^2 by the usual lifting argument or the classical Poisson summation formula, and we used [Sog14, (3.5.15)] to get the second inequality (see also [BHS22, (6.7)]). The desired inequality (8.2) then follows from Young's inequality. \square

We first note that the $q = \infty$ case was already studied in [BHSS22]. Indeed, by [BHSS22, Theorem 5.2], we have, for $u \in \text{Dom}(H_V) \cap C(M)$,

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\gamma)} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)},$$

which proves (1.41) for $q = \infty$.

Thus, we may assume that $4 < q < \infty$. To do so, we take $\frac{1}{T} = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{3q}}$ so that $T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}} = T^{\frac{1}{4}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}}$ in Lemma 8.1, and thus,

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + \frac{1}{T}]}(P)f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,1)} T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad \frac{1}{T} = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{3q}}.$$

Let $\epsilon(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{3q}}$ as in (1.40). When $V \equiv 0$, by Lemma 8.1 and Lemma 3.1,

$$\|(-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2} - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,1)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Set $T_\lambda, T_\lambda^0, T_\lambda^1$, and R_λ as in (7.5) so that we can write

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2} - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} = T_\lambda + R_\lambda, \quad \text{where } T_\lambda = T_\lambda^0 + T_\lambda^1.$$

As in [BHSS22], an orthogonality argument gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,1)-1} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|R_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2} - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,1)} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_\lambda = (-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2} - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} - R_\lambda$, we have

$$\|T_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,1)-1} (\lambda\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Putting these all together, we would have (1.41) if we could show that

$$(8.3) \quad \|T_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,1) - \frac{5}{6}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad 4 < q < \infty.$$

Let T_λ^0 and T_λ^1 as in (7.5). Recall that, by an argument in [BHSS22, §3, §5], we know that

$$(8.4) \quad |T_\lambda^0(x, y)| \lesssim \begin{cases} |\log(\lambda d_g(x, y)/2)|, & \text{if } d_g(x, y) \leq \lambda^{-1}, \\ \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(d_g(x, y))^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } \lambda^{-1} \leq d_g(x, y) \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

which gives the same bound as in (4.1) up to some uniform constant, and so, we can use the arguments in §4 here. By the argument in (4.2) and (4.3) and [BHSS22, Theorem 5.2], we have

$$(8.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda^0(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \sup_y \left(\int |T_\lambda^0(\gamma(r), y)|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{q}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \\ &= C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,1)-\frac{5}{6}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies the bound in (8.3). For T_λ^1 , we will further decompose T_λ^1 as in [BHSS22]. Let $\beta \in C_0^\infty((1/2, 2))$ be a Littlewood-Paley bumpfunction that satisfies

$$(8.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta(2^{-j}t) &= 1, \quad \text{for } t > 0, \\ \beta_0(t) &= 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta(2^{-j}|t|) \in C_0^\infty, \end{aligned}$$

and thus, $\beta_0(t) \equiv 1$ for $t > 0$ near the origin. We then consider a dyadic decomposition

$$T_\lambda^1 = T_\lambda^{1,0} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_\lambda^{1,j},$$

where

$$(8.7) \quad \begin{aligned} T_\lambda^{1,0} &= \frac{i}{\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty \beta_0(t)(1 - \eta(t))\eta(t/T)e^{i\lambda t} e^{-\epsilon(\lambda)t} \cos tP dt, \\ T_\lambda^{1,j} &= \frac{i}{\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty \beta(2^{-j}t)(1 - \eta(t))\eta(t/T)e^{i\lambda t} e^{-\epsilon(\lambda)t} \cos tP dt, \quad 1 \leq 2^j \lesssim (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T_\lambda^{1,0}$ plays the same role as the “local” operator T_λ^0 , by the same argument in (8.5), we have that

$$\|T_\lambda^{1,0}(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,1)-\frac{5}{6}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)},$$

which satisfies (8.3). For $T_\lambda^{1,j}$, we recall from [BHSS22, (5.33)] that

$$\|T_\lambda^{1,j}f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \lesssim 2^{3j/2} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad 2 \leq 2^j \lesssim (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{3}-\frac{4}{3q}}.$$

Using this and [BHSS22, Theorem 5.2] for $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_\lambda^{1,j}(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)} &\leq (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{3}{2}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|Vu\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \\ &\leq \lambda^{-\frac{4}{3q}} \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}-\frac{4}{3q}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which is better than the bound posited in (8.3), completing the proof.

8.2. Geodesic segments. In this subsection, we show (1.43). As above, we may need a spectral projection bound for the case where γ is a geodesic in \mathbb{T}^2 .

Lemma 8.2. *Let γ be a geodesic in \mathbb{T}^2 . Then*

$$(8.8) \quad \|\mathbf{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+\frac{1}{T}]}(\sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}})f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} T^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)},$$

where for all $0 < \delta_0 \ll 1$

$$(8.9) \quad 1 \leq T \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta_0} \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \leq q < \frac{8}{3}.$$

The estimate (8.8) is sharp in the sense that there exist a function Ψ_λ and a geodesic γ in $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ equipped with the product metric g such that

$$(8.10) \quad \|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + \frac{1}{T}]}(\sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}})\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \gtrsim \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} T^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1)}, \quad 1 \leq T \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2} - \delta_0}, \quad 2 \leq q \leq 4.$$

Remark 8.3. We note that (8.9) does not contain the endpoint $q = 4$, whereas the estimate (8.10) contains the endpoint $q = 4$, and thus, it would be interesting to extend the estimate (8.8) to $q = 4$.

Also note that if we choose $T = \log \lambda$ in (8.10), then we have

$$(8.11) \quad \|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + (\log \lambda)^{-1}]}(\sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}})\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \gtrsim \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} (\log \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1)}, \quad 2 \leq q \leq 4.$$

If we identify $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ as \mathbb{T}^2 , then \mathbb{T}^2 has zero curvatures, that is, nonpositive sectional curvatures, and thus, the above estimate (8.11) means the sharpness of the following estimate

$$(8.12) \quad \|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + (\log \lambda)^{-1}]}(\sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}})f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} (\log \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad 2 \leq q \leq 4,$$

which is obtained by the interpolation of [BS18, Theorem 1.1] and [Bla18, Theorem 1.1]. We remark that the estimate (8.12) for $2 \leq q < 4$ can also be obtained by the proof of Lemma 8.2 below. We also note that [XZ17, Theorem 2] also showed the same bound for negatively curved manifolds, so it would also be interesting if we could find a sharp example for the bound in negatively curved manifolds, since (8.10) holds on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, which can be thought of as a manifold with zero sectional curvatures.

Proof of Lemma 8.2. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function such that $\chi(0) = 1$ and $\text{supp}(\widehat{\chi}) \subset (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$ for $0 < \epsilon_0 \ll 1$, as usual. Let $P = \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}}$ and γ be a geodesic in \mathbb{T}^2 . We choose the same pseudo-differential cutoff $Q_{\theta, \lambda}$ as in [BS18]. That is, when we consider the same local coordinates as in [BS18] so that γ can be identified as

$$\{(t, 0) : 0 \leq t \leq \epsilon_0\}, \quad 0 < \epsilon_0 \ll 1,$$

if $\theta = \lambda^{-\delta}$ with $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\chi_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\chi_1(s) = 1$ for $|s| \leq 1$ and $\chi_1(s) = 0$ for $|s| \geq 2$, we can define the compound symbols

$$q_{\theta, \lambda}(x, y, \xi) = \chi_1(\theta^{-1} d_g(x, \gamma)) \chi_1(\theta^{-1} d_g(y, \gamma)) \chi_1(\theta^{-1} |\xi_2| / |\xi|) \Upsilon(|\xi| / \lambda),$$

where $\chi_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a smooth bump function supported near the origin and $\Upsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\Upsilon(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s \in [c_0, c_0^{-1}], \\ 0, & \text{if } s \notin [c_0/2, 2c_0^{-1}], \end{cases}$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is sufficiently small. Then the pseudo-differential cutoff $Q_{\theta, \lambda}$ is the operator whose integral kernel $Q_{\theta, \lambda}(x, y)$ is of the form

$$Q_{\theta, \lambda}(x, y) = (2\pi)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i\langle x-y, \xi \rangle} q_{\theta, \lambda}(x, y, \xi) d\xi.$$

Using [BS18, Proposition 2.2] and the argument in [BS18, §3], one can find that

$$\|(I - Q_{\theta, \lambda}) \circ \chi(T(\lambda - P))f\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \lesssim_\epsilon (\lambda^{-\delta})^{-\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)},$$

where $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2} - \delta < \frac{1}{2}$. If we choose $0 < \delta \ll 1$ sufficiently small, the bound here is better than or equal to what we need. In fact, we could say more than this. Since e^{ikP} for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ maps $L^2(M)$ to $L^2(M)$ with norm 1, as in [BS18] (cf. [BS18, p.198]), we can focus on the operator $S_{\lambda, \theta}$ defined by

$$f \mapsto \int a(t) e^{it\lambda} (I - Q_{\theta, \lambda}) e^{-itP} f dt, \quad a \in C_0^\infty((-1, 1)).$$

If we denote by $K_{\lambda, \theta}$ the integral kernel of the $S_{\lambda, \theta} S_{\lambda, \theta}^*$ operator, then by the same argument as in [BS18, §5], we can show that, modulo $O(\lambda^{-1})$ errors,

$$|K_{\lambda, \theta}(\gamma(s), \gamma(s'))| \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} |s - s'|^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{if } s, s' \in [0, 1],$$

$$|K_{\lambda, \theta}(\gamma(s), \gamma(s'))| \leq C_N \lambda^{-N} \text{ for all } N, \quad \text{if } s, s' \in [0, 1] \text{ and } |s - s'| \geq \lambda^{-1} \theta^{-2-2\epsilon}$$

(cf. [BS18, (5.10)-(5.11)]). With this in mind, if $2 \leq q < 4$ and

$$\frac{1}{r} = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{q} \right) = \frac{2}{q}, \quad \text{that is, } r = \frac{q}{2},$$

then, for $\theta = \lambda^{-\delta}$ with $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int |K_{\lambda,\theta}(\gamma(s), \gamma(s'))|^r ds \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad \left(\int |K_{\lambda,\theta}(\gamma(s), \gamma(s'))|^r ds' \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{|s-s'| \leq \lambda^{-1}\theta^{-2-2\epsilon}} |s-s'|^{-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{q}{2}} ds \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \\ & = \frac{4}{(4-q)^{\frac{2}{q}}} \lambda^{1-\frac{2}{q}} (\theta^{-1-\epsilon})^{\frac{4-q}{q}} \\ & = \frac{4}{(4-q)^{\frac{2}{q}}} \lambda^{1-\frac{2}{q}+\delta \cdot \frac{(1+\epsilon)(4-q)}{q}}, \quad 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $0 < \delta \ll \frac{1}{2}$ sufficiently small, by Young's inequality, when (8.9) holds,

$$(8.13) \quad \|S_{\lambda,\theta} S_{\lambda,\theta}^* f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_q \lambda^{1-\frac{2}{q}+\epsilon'} \|f\|_{L^{q'}(\gamma)}, \quad \text{for all } 0 < \epsilon' \ll 1.$$

By a TT^* argument, we have

$$\|S_{\lambda,\theta}\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_q \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}+\epsilon'} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)},$$

Using this and the argument in [BS18, §3], we have

$$\|(I - Q_{\theta,\lambda}) \circ \chi(T(\lambda - P))f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_q \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}+\epsilon'} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad 0 < \epsilon' \ll 1,$$

which is better than (8.8) when (8.9) holds, since $\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}+\epsilon'} < \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}}}{T^{\frac{1}{4}}}$ for $1 \leq T \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta_0}$. This is a reason why we focus on (8.9) for the range of q 's and do not focus on high q 's.

We would then have (8.8), if we could show that

$$\|Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ \chi(T(\lambda - P))f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda}{T}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)},$$

when (8.9) holds. By a TT^* argument, it suffices to show that

$$(8.14) \quad \|Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ \chi^2(T(\lambda - P)) \circ Q_{\theta,\lambda}^* f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda}{T}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^{q'}(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

Recall from [BS18, (2.11)] that

$$(8.15) \quad \sup_x \int |Q_{\theta,\lambda}(x, y)| dy \lesssim 1, \quad \sup_y \int |Q_{\theta,\lambda}(x, y)| dx \lesssim 1.$$

Let $\beta \in C_0^\infty((1/2, 2))$ be a Littlewood-Paley bumpfunction as in (8.6). We set the ‘‘local’’ operator L_λ defined by

$$L_\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \int e^{i\lambda t} e^{-itP} \beta_0(|t|) \widehat{\chi^2}(t/T) dt,$$

and the ‘‘global’’ operator G_λ defined by

$$G_\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi T} \int e^{i\lambda t} e^{-itP} (1 - \beta_0(|t|)) \widehat{\chi^2}(t/T) dt$$

so that we have $\chi^2(T(\lambda - P)) = L_\lambda + G_\lambda$.

We first consider the local operator L_λ . By the method of stationary phase (and Egorov's theorem), we can write (cf. the proof of [Par23, Lemma 3.1])

$$(8.16) \quad (Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ e^{-itP} \circ Q_{\theta,\lambda}^*)(x, y) = \lambda^2 \int e^{i\lambda(\varphi(t,x,\xi) - y \cdot \xi)} a_{\theta,\lambda}(t, x, y, \xi) d\xi + O(\lambda^{-N}), \quad \text{for any } N \geq 1,$$

where $a_{\theta,\lambda} \in C_0^\infty$ with the size estimate $|\partial_{t,x,y,\xi}^\alpha a_{\theta,\lambda}| \leq C_\alpha$ and the phase function φ satisfies, for small $|t|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_t : \mathbb{R}^4 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 \text{ is the Hamiltonian flow of } p(x, \xi) = |\xi|_{g(x)}, \text{ and homogeneous in } \xi, \\ \kappa_t(d_\xi \varphi(t, x, \xi), \xi) &= (x, d_x \varphi(t, x, \xi)), \quad \text{with } \kappa_t(y, \xi(0)) = (x, \xi(t)), \\ \partial_t \varphi + p(x, d_x \varphi) &= 0, \quad \varphi(0, x, \xi) = \langle x, \xi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Here of course, the metric g is the Euclidean metric. Taking $N \geq 1$ large enough, we can ignore the contribution of $O(\lambda^{-N})$ in (8.16), and so, by the proof of [Sog93, Lemma 5.1.3], we have that, modulo $O(\lambda^{-N})$ errors,

$$(Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ L_\lambda \circ Q_{\theta,\lambda})(x, y) = \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{T} e^{i\lambda d_g(x, y)} a_\lambda(x, y),$$

where $a_\lambda \in C_0^\infty$ satisfies $|\partial_{x,y}^\alpha a_\lambda(x, y)| \leq C_\alpha$. By Young's inequality, we have

$$\|(Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ L_\lambda \circ Q_{\theta,\lambda}^*)f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{T} \|f\|_{L^{q'}(\gamma)}, \quad 2 \leq q < \frac{8}{3}.$$

This is better than (8.14), and so, we can focus on the contribution of the global operator G_λ .

To show(8.14), we now want to show that

$$(8.17) \quad \|(Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ G_\lambda \circ Q_{\theta,\lambda}^*)f\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|f\|_{L^{q'}(\gamma)}, \quad 2 \leq q < \frac{8}{3}.$$

By direct computation with (8.15),

$$(8.18) \quad \begin{aligned} |(Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ G_\lambda \circ Q_{\theta,\lambda}^*)(x, y)| &= \left| \int (Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ G_\lambda \circ Q_{\theta,\lambda}^*)(x, y) dz \right| \\ &\leq \int |(Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ G_\lambda)(x, z)| |Q_{\theta,\lambda}^*(z, y)| dz \\ &\leq \sup_{x,z} |(Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ G_\lambda)(x, z)| \int |Q_{\theta,\lambda}^*(z, y)| dz \\ &\lesssim \sup_{x,z} |(Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ G_\lambda)(x, z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the last quantity $|(Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ G_\lambda)(x, z)|$ is already studied in [BHS22]. In fact, we shall follow the argument in [BHS22] in the rest of the computation for (8.17). By Young's inequality, we would have (8.17) if we could show that

$$(8.19) \quad |(Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ G_\lambda)(x, z)| \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad x, z \in \mathbb{T}^2.$$

By Euler's formula, when we set

$$\tilde{G}_\lambda = \frac{1}{\pi T} \int e^{i\lambda t} \cos(t\sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}})(1 - \beta_0(|t|)) \widehat{\chi^2}(t/T) dt,$$

we would have (8.19), if we could show that

$$(8.20) \quad |(Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ \tilde{G}_\lambda)(x, z)| \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad x, z \in \mathbb{T}^2.$$

Since we set $1 - \beta_0(|t|) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \beta(2^{-j}|t|)$, if we write

$$\tilde{G}_{\lambda,j} = \frac{1}{\pi T} \int e^{i\lambda t} \cos(t\sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}}) \beta(2^{-j}|t|) \widehat{\chi^2}(t/T) dt,$$

then by the finite speed of propagation, we can write

$$\tilde{G}_\lambda = \sum_{1 \leq 2^j \lesssim T} \tilde{G}_{\lambda,j}.$$

If we lift our computation to the universal cover as usual (or the classical Poisson summation formula), then since the universal cover is \mathbb{R}^2 with the usual Euclidean metric, we can write

$$(\cos t\sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}})(x, z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (\cos t\sqrt{\Delta_{-\mathbb{R}^2}})(x - (z + l)),$$

where the torus \mathbb{T}^2 is identified as the cube $Q = (-\pi, \pi] \times (-\pi, \pi]$, and so, we may abuse notation a bit identifying $x \in \mathbb{T}^2$ as $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Before going further, we note that we can restrict our attention to $|x - (z + l)| \approx 2^j$. Indeed, if $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,j}(x, z)$ denotes the kernel of $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,j}$, then we can write

$$\tilde{G}_{\lambda,j}(x, z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{\pi T} \int e^{i\lambda t} (\cos t \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}})(x - (z + l)) \beta(2^{-j}|t|) \widehat{\chi^2}(t/T) dt.$$

By finite speed of propagation, this kernel vanishes if $|x - (z + l)| \geq 2 \cdot 2^j$ since $\beta(|t|/2^j) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 2 \cdot 2^j$. If $|t| \leq \frac{2^j}{2}$, then by the singular support properties of $\cos t \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}}$, we could think of this as a smooth function (cf. [BS15, (5.14)]), and thus, integrating by parts as many as we want, we obtain $\tilde{G}_{\lambda,j}(x, z) = O(\lambda^{-N})$ for every N when $|x - (z + l)| \leq \frac{2^j}{4}$. We thus may assume that $|x - (z + l)| \approx 2^j$ for each fixed $l \in \mathbb{Z}^2$.

If we denote by $K_{\lambda,j,\theta}(x, z)$ the kernel of $Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ \tilde{G}_{\lambda,j}$, then we can write

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} K_{\lambda,j,\theta}(x, (z + l)) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{\pi T} \int e^{i\lambda t} (Q_{\theta,\lambda} \circ \cos t \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2}})(x, (z + l)) \beta(2^{-j}|t|) \widehat{\chi^2}(t/T) dt.$$

If we let $\mathbf{1} = (1, 0)$, for fixed x, z , and 2^j , we define the following as in [BHS22, (6.31)-(6.32)].

$$D_{\text{main}} = \left\{ l \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \left| \pm \frac{x - (z + l)}{|x - (z + l)|} - \mathbf{1} \right| \leq C2^{-j}, \quad |x - (z + l)| \approx 2^j \right\},$$

$$D_{\text{error}} = \left\{ l \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \left| \pm \frac{x - (z + l)}{|x - (z + l)|} - \mathbf{1} \right| \geq C2^{-j}, \quad |x - (z + l)| \approx 2^j \right\}.$$

Using integration by parts, stationary phase argument, and the arguments in [BS18], the first author, Huang, and Sogge [BHS22, (6.37) and (6.39)] showed the following:

Proposition 8.4 ([BHS22]). *We have*

$$\sum_{l \in D_{\text{error}}} |K_{\lambda,j,\theta}(x, z + l)| \leq C_N (\lambda 2^{-j})^{-N} \lambda^{\frac{5}{2}} T^{-1} (2^j)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \text{for all } N \geq 1,$$

$$\sum_{l \in D_{\text{main}}} |K_{\lambda,j,\theta}(x, z + l)| \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{-1} 2^{\frac{j}{2}}.$$

By Proposition 8.4, the contribution of D_{error} is better than (8.20) since $2^j \lesssim T \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta_0}$ for $0 < \delta_0 \ll 1$. It also follows from Proposition 8.4 that the contribution of D_{main} is

$$\sum_{1 \leq 2^j \lesssim T} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} T^{-1} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

which satisfies (8.20). This completes the proof of (8.8).

For sharpness, we follow the argument in [BHS22, §7]. Since $\mathbb{T}^2 \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$, let $0 \leq \beta \in C_0^\infty((1/2, 2))$ be a Littlewood-Paley bump function, and $P_{\mathbb{S}^1} = \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^1}}$. If the μ_k are eigenvalues of $P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ and $\{e_k\}$ is the associated orthonormal basis, fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1$ so that $|e_j(x_0)| \approx 1$. If $\beta(P_{\mathbb{S}^1}/(\lambda^{1/2}T^{-1/2}))(x, y)$ denotes the kernel of the operator $\beta(P_{\mathbb{S}^1}/(\lambda^{1/2}T^{-1/2}))$, then we define

$$\Psi_\lambda(\theta, x) = (\lambda^{1/2}T^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\lambda\theta} \beta(P_{\mathbb{S}^1}/(\lambda^{1/2}T^{-1/2}))(x_0, x).$$

We want to show that this Ψ_λ satisfies the bound (8.10) where the geodesic γ is chosen as $\gamma = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{x_0\}$. Since the μ_k are eigenvalues of $P_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ and $\{e_k\}$ is the associated orthonormal basis,

$$\beta(P_{\mathbb{S}^1}/(\lambda T^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}})(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta(\mu_j/(\lambda T^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}) e_j(x) \overline{e_j(y)}.$$

This implies that, for $2 \leq q \leq 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^q(\gamma)}^q &= \int_0^{2\pi} |\Psi_\lambda(\theta, x_0)|^q d\theta \\
&= (\lambda^{1/2}T^{-1/2})^{-\frac{q}{2}} \int_0^{2\pi} |\beta(P_{\mathbb{S}^1}/(\lambda^{1/2}T^{-1/2}))(x_0, x_0)|^q d\theta \\
&\approx (\lambda^{1/2}T^{-1/2})^{-\frac{q}{2}} \left(\sum_j \beta(\mu_j/(\lambda^{1/2}T^{-1/2})) |e_j(x_0)|^2 \right)^q \\
&\approx (\lambda^{1/2}T^{-1/2})^{-\frac{q}{2}} \left(\#\{\mu_j : \mu_j \approx (\lambda^{1/2}T^{-1/2})\} \right)^q \\
&\approx (\lambda^{1/2}T^{-1/2})^{-\frac{q}{2}} \left((\lambda^{1/2}T^{-1/2})^{2-1} \right)^q \\
&= (\lambda^{1/2}T^{-1/2})^{\frac{q}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since it is known that $\|\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1)} \approx 1$ (cf. [BHS22, (7.10)], [Sog93, §4.3]), we have that

$$\frac{\|\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^q(\gamma)}}{\|\Psi_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1)}} \approx \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} T^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

This proves (8.10). \square

We now come back to the proof of (1.43). By Lemma 8.2 and Lemma 3.1, when $V \equiv 0$ and $\epsilon(\lambda)$ is as in (1.42),

$$\|(-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2} - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \quad \text{if } 2 \leq q < \frac{8}{3}.$$

With this in mind, if we set $T_\lambda, T_\lambda^0, T_\lambda^1$, and R_λ as in (7.5) so that

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2} - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} = T_\lambda + R_\lambda = T_\lambda^0 + T_\lambda^1 + R_\lambda,$$

we have, as in the previous subsection,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma)}, \|T_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{3}{4}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \quad \text{if } 2 \leq q < \frac{8}{3}, \\
\|R_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2} - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma)} &\lesssim (\lambda\epsilon(\lambda)) \|R_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\gamma)},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus, we would have (1.43), if we could show that

$$(8.21) \quad \|T_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{5}{6}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

Since the argument in (8.5) holds for any curve segment, it should also hold for geodesics, and thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_\lambda^0(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \sup_y \left(\int |T_\lambda^0(\gamma(r), y)|^q dr \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{q}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)},
\end{aligned}$$

and this is better than the bound posited in (8.21). It then suffices to find the desired estimate for $T_\lambda^1(Vu)$. As before, we set $T_\lambda^{1,0}$ and $T_\lambda^{1,j}$ as in (8.7). Since the operator $T_\lambda^{1,0}$ is also a ‘‘local’’ operator as T_λ^0 , by the same argument, we have

$$\|T_\lambda^{1,0}(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{q}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)},$$

which is better than we need, and thus, we can focus on the operators $T_\lambda^{1,j}$. By the proof of [BHSS22, (4.21)], we have

$$|T_\lambda^{1,j}(x, y)| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \cdot \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{3}{2}j}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{T}^2, \quad 2 \leq 2^j \lesssim (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1},$$

where $T_\lambda^{1,j}(x, y)$ is the kernel of the operator $T_\lambda^{1,j}$. Using the argument as above (cf. (8.5)), it then follows from [BHSS22, Theorem 5.2] that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_\lambda^{1,j}(Vu)\|_{L^q(\gamma)} &\leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \sum_{2 \leq 2^j \lesssim (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1}} \sup_y \left(\int |T_\lambda^{1,j}(\gamma(r), y)|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)} \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \sum_{2 \leq 2^j \lesssim (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-1}} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \\ &\leq \left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \right) \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)} \left(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right) \\ &= C_V \lambda^{-\frac{5}{6}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}})^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields (8.21), and this completes the proof.

8.3. Hypersurfaces and codimension 2 submanifolds in Tori. In this subsection, we prove (1.46) and (1.48) to finish proving Theorem 1.7. We let $P = \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^n}}$, and let $\epsilon_1(\lambda)$, $\epsilon_2(\lambda)$, and $\epsilon_3(\lambda)$ be as in (1.44). By the same argument as in Lemma 8.1, we have a similar spectral projection bound for \mathbb{T}^3 or \mathbb{T}^4 .

Lemma 8.5. *If $n \in \{3, 4\}$ and $k \in \{n-2, n-1\}$, then*

$$\|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + \frac{1}{T}]}(P)f\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim (T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{\delta(q,k)} + (T\lambda)^{\frac{n-1}{4}}) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \quad 1 \leq T \leq \lambda, \quad q \geq 2.$$

Taking T so that $\frac{\lambda^{\delta(q,k)}}{T^{\frac{1}{2}}} = (T\lambda)^{\frac{n-1}{4}}$, it follows from Lemma 8.5 that

$$(8.22) \quad \|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda + \frac{1}{T}]}(P)f\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)} T^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad T^{-1} = \lambda^{-\frac{2}{n+1}(\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{2k}{q})}.$$

As before, by this and Lemma 3.1, since $\epsilon_1(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\frac{2}{n+1}(\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{2k}{q})}$, it follows that

$$\|(-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2} - (\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda))^2)^{-1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We set $T_\lambda, T_\lambda^0, T_\lambda^1, T_\lambda^{1,0}, T_\lambda^{1,j}$, and R_λ as in (7.5) and (8.7), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} T &= c_0 (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-1}, \quad 0 < c_0 \ll 1, \\ T_\lambda &= T_\lambda^0 + T_\lambda^1, \\ T_\lambda^0 &= \frac{i}{\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty \eta(t) \eta(t/T) e^{i\lambda_1 t} e^{-\epsilon_1(\lambda)t} \cos(tP) dt, \\ T_\lambda^1 &= \frac{i}{\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty (1 - \eta(t)) \eta(t/T) e^{i\lambda t} e^{-\epsilon_1(\lambda)t} \cos(tP) dt, \\ R_\lambda &= \frac{i}{\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty (1 - \eta(t/T)) e^{i\lambda t} e^{-\epsilon_1(\lambda)t} \cos(tP) dt, \\ T_\lambda^{1,0} &= \frac{i}{\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty \beta_0(t) (1 - \eta(t)) \eta(t/T) e^{i\lambda t} e^{-\epsilon_1(\lambda)t} \cos tP dt, \\ T_\lambda^{1,j} &= \frac{i}{\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty \beta(2^{-j}t) (1 - \eta(t)) \eta(t/T) e^{i\lambda t} e^{-\epsilon_1(\lambda)t} \cos tP dt, \quad 1 \leq 2^j \lesssim (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the argument in §8.1, we have that

$$(8.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \|R_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|R_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2} - (\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda))^2)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)} (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|T_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

As before, we also note that

$$(8.24) \quad \begin{aligned} u &= (-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^n} - (\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda))^2)^{-1} \circ (-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^n} - (\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda))^2)u \\ &= T_\lambda(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda))^2)u + R_\lambda(-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^n} - (\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda))^2)u - T_\lambda(Vu). \end{aligned}$$

As above, using (8.23) and (8.24), it is enough to bound $T_\lambda(Vu)$. Since $T_\lambda = T_\lambda^0 + T_\lambda^1$, as above, we compute $T_\lambda^0(Vu)$ and $T_\lambda^1(Vu)$, separately. The rest of the proof will be similar to the argument in §7.2. We note that

T_λ^0 is a “local” operator as in the local operator S_λ in (3.1). Since n, k , and q satisfying (1.45) and (1.47) also satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4, the proof of the two propositions gives us that

$$(8.25) \quad \|T_\lambda^0(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \|Vu\|_{L^p(M)}, \quad \frac{n}{p} - \frac{k}{q} = 2.$$

We recall from [BHSS22, Theorem 1.4] that

$$(8.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^n)} &\leq C_V(\epsilon_3(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda^{\sigma(q)-1}\|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_3(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \\ &\text{where } n = 3, 4, \frac{2n}{n-2} \leq q < \infty, \text{ and } \epsilon_3(\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{3}+\delta_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_0 > 0$ is any fixed positive real number, and

$$(8.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T}^n)} &\leq C_V\lambda^{\epsilon_0}(\epsilon_2(\lambda))^{-\frac{n+3}{2(n+1)}}\lambda^{-\frac{n+3}{2(n+1)}}\|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_2(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)}, \\ &\text{where } n = 3, 4, q = \frac{2(n+1)}{n-1}, \text{ and } \epsilon_2(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda^{-\frac{3}{16}+c_0}, & \text{if } n = 3, \\ \lambda^{-\frac{1}{6}}, & \text{if } n = 4, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_0, c_0 > 0$ are arbitrarily fixed. To make use of (8.26), we note that if $\frac{n}{p} - \frac{k}{q} = 2$, then $\frac{np}{n-2p} = \frac{nq}{k}$. This gives us that

$$\frac{np}{n-2p} = \frac{nq}{k} \geq \frac{2n}{n-2}, \quad \text{when (1.45) and (1.47) hold.}$$

By this, (8.25), and the argument in (3.18), it follows from (8.26) and Hölder’s inequality that

$$(8.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda^0(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \|V\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^n)}\|u\|_{L^{\frac{np}{n-2p}}(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &\leq C_V\lambda^{\sigma(\frac{np}{n-2p})-1}(\epsilon_3(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_3(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= C_V\lambda^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\frac{k}{q}-1}(\epsilon_3(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_3(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &= C_V\lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1}(\epsilon_3(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_3(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that, if we choose $\delta_0 > 0$ appropriately in (8.26), then we have

$$\epsilon_3(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}+\delta_0} = \epsilon_1(\lambda) < 1, \quad \text{when (1.45) and (1.47) hold,}$$

and thus, (8.28) gives us the bounds in (1.46) and (1.48). For the operator T_λ^1 , note that $T_\lambda^{1,0}$ is also a “local” operator, and so, it satisfies the same bound as (8.28) with $\epsilon_3(\lambda) = \epsilon_1(\lambda)$, i.e.,

$$(8.29) \quad \|T_\lambda^{1,0}(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V\lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1}(\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_1(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}.$$

With this in mind, we first show that (1.46). Suppose (1.45) holds. By (8.23)-(8.29), we would have (1.46), if we could show that

$$(8.30) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_\lambda^{1,j}(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V\lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1}(\epsilon_2(\lambda))^{-\frac{3}{4}}\|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_2(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

To see this, recall from [BHSS22, (4.25)] that, for $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_\lambda^{1,j}f\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_\lambda^{1,j}f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{2 \leq 2^j \lesssim (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-1}} \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} 2^{\frac{n+1}{2}j} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this, we have, for $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{2 \leq 2^j \lesssim (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-1}} \|T_\lambda^{1,j}(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &= \sum_{2 \leq 2^j \lesssim (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-1}} \left(\int_\Sigma |(T_\lambda^{1,j}(Vu))(z)|^q dz \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 (8.31) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \left(\int_\Sigma \|Vu\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \|Vu\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T}^n)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that $V \in L^{\frac{4}{3}}(\mathbb{T}^3)$ since $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and M is compact. By (1.45), (8.31), Hölder's inequality, and (8.27), we have, for $0 < \epsilon_0 \ll 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{2 \leq 2^j \lesssim (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-1}} \|T_\lambda^{1,j}(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} &\lesssim (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-2} \|V\|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(\mathbb{T}^3)} \|u\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\
 &\leq C_V \lambda^{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{2k}{q} + \epsilon_0} (\epsilon_2(\lambda))^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_2(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \\
 &\leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\epsilon_2(\lambda))^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon_2(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)},
 \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies (8.30), completing the proof of (1.46).

We next show (1.48) when (1.47) holds. By (8.23)-(8.29), we would have (1.48), if we could show that

$$(8.32) \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|T_\lambda^{1,j}(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{2 - \frac{2k}{q}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^4)}.$$

Similarly, by (1.47), (8.31), and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\sum_{2 \leq 2^j \lesssim (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-1}} \|T_\lambda^{1,j}(Vu)\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (\epsilon_1(\lambda))^{-2} \|V\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^4)} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^4)} \leq C_V \lambda^{2 - \frac{2k}{q}} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^4)},$$

which proves (8.32), completing the proof of (1.48). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7.

9. SOME PARTIAL RESULTS AND RELATED FUTURE WORK

9.1. Higher codimension analogues. In this paper, hypersurfaces and codimension 2 cases are not fully resolved. Indeed, we do not have estimates in Theorem 1.3 for $2 \leq q < \frac{2(n-1)^2}{n^2-3n+4}$ when $n \in \{4, 5\}$, $2 \leq q < \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8}$ when $n \in \{6, 7\}$, or $2 \leq q < \frac{2n^2-5n+4}{n^2-4n+8}$ when $n \geq 8$, and in Theorem 1.4 for $2 \leq q \leq \frac{2(n-2)^2}{n^2-5n+8}$ when $n \geq 5$. Finding higher codimension analogues of Theorem 1.3-1.4 may also be interesting. For example, if we use the arguments in the proof of Theorem 1.4, one may obtain that if $n \geq 4$ and $k = n - 3$, then

$$\|u\|_{L^{\frac{2n-3}{n-2}}(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(\frac{2n-3}{n-2}, n-3)-1} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \text{when } V \in L^{\frac{2n(2n-3)}{7n-9}}(M),$$

and if $n \geq 5$ and $k = n - 4$, then

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(2, n-4)-1} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \text{when } V \in L^{\frac{2n}{3}}(M),$$

but the potential either $V \in L^{\frac{2n(2n-3)}{7n-9}}(M)$ or $V \in L^{\frac{2n}{3}}(M)$ is not critically singular anymore. Getting the estimates with $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$ for higher codimension cases may be difficult if we follow the arguments in this paper, since the arguments in Theorem 1.3-1.4 would imply conditions $q < \frac{2k}{n-3}$ (or $q \leq \frac{2k}{n-3}$) when $k = \dim \Sigma$, and if $k < n - 3$, this gives $q < 2$. This is not usual, since we usually consider $q \geq 2$ for spectral projection estimates.

9.2. Analogues of Theorem 1.3- 1.6 with Kato potentials. In Theorem 1.3-1.4, we considered $V \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$ to show estimates in the theorems. If $\dim M = n = 3$, we can say that there is a special Kato class potential so that we have similar estimates in Theorem 1.3, 1.4, and 1.6. To see this, we recall the following definition.

Definition 9.1 (Schechter [Sch71], Simon [Sim82]). We say $V \in M_{\beta,p}$ if

$$\sup_x \int_{d_g(x,y) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Inj}(M), y \in M} (d_g(x,y))^{\beta-n} |V(y)|^p dV_g(y) =: \|V\|_{\beta,p}^p < \infty,$$

where $\text{Inj}(M)$ is the injectivity radius of a compact Riemannian manifold M without boundary, and dV_g is the Riemannian volume form.

We focus on $\beta > 0$ when we consider $M_{\beta,p}$ here. Some $M_{\beta,p}$ classes are sub-classes of $\mathcal{K}(M)$. For example, if $n = 3$, then $M_{1,2} \subset \mathcal{K}(M)$. Indeed, note that, if $V \in M_{1,2}$, then, for $0 < \epsilon < 1$, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, in local coordinates

$$\int_{|x-y|\leq\epsilon} 1 \cdot |x-y|^{-1}|V(y)| dy \leq \left(\int_{|x-y|\leq\epsilon} 1^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{|x-y|\leq\epsilon} |x-y|^{-2}|V(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \|V\|_{1,2},$$

and thus, after taking supremum over x , when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have $V \in \mathcal{K}(M)$. It then follows that H_V is self-adjoint and positive by [BSS21, §2], and hence, (1.1) makes sense.

If we allow a log loss, we have the following higher dimensional analogues of Theorem 1.1, which are the partial analogues of [BGT07, Theorem 3] and [Hu09, Theorem 1.3]. We also want to remove a log loss when $(n, k) = (3, 1)$, where the submanifold is either a geodesic segment, or curve with nonvanishing curvatures, which were proved in [CS14] and [WZ21] for $V \equiv 0$.

Theorem 9.2. *Suppose M is a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n = 3$ and Σ is a submanifold of M with dimension k . Let $\delta(q, k)$ and $\nu(q, k)$ be as in (1.9) and (1.10), respectively. Also assume $u \in \text{Dom}(H_V)$ and $\lambda \geq 1$.*

(1) *Let $n = 3$, $1 \leq k \leq 2$, and $V \in M_{1,2} \subset \mathcal{K}(M)$. Then we have*

$$(9.1) \quad \|u\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(q,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(q,k)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \quad \text{for all } q \geq 2.$$

(2) *Let $n = 3$ and $V \in M_{1,2} \subset \mathcal{K}(M)$. Suppose the curve γ is either a geodesic segment or a curve segment with nonvanishing curvatures. We then have that*

$$(9.2) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

Since $M_{1,2}$ is a sub-class of $\mathcal{K}(M)$, it would be interesting if we could extend the sub-class $M_{1,2}$ to whole $\mathcal{K}(M)$ when $n = 3$. It would also be interesting to consider $n \geq 4$.

Proof of Theorem 9.2. We first show (9.1). Let us first consider the case where $n = 3$, Σ is any submanifold, and $V \in M_{1,2}$. As before, we write

$$(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)^{-1} = S_\lambda + W_\lambda,$$

where S_λ and W_λ are as in §3, and $P = \sqrt{-\Delta_g}$. By [BGT07, Theorem 3] and [Hu09, Theorem 1.3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]}(P)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^p(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(p,k)} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(p,k)}, \\ \|u\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(p)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(p,k)} \|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)^{-1}\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^p(\Sigma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\delta(p)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(p,k)}.$$

Using these and the arguments above in §4, we obtain the following estimates for S_λ and W_λ .

$$(9.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S_\lambda\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^p(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(p,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(p,k)}, \\ \|W_\lambda(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^p(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\delta(p,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(p,k)}. \end{aligned}$$

We then want to bound $S_\lambda(Vu)$ as above. When $n = 3$, by using an argument in [BHSS22, §5], we have the kernel estimates of S_λ as follows.

$$|S_\lambda(x, y)| \lesssim \begin{cases} (d_g(x, y))^{2-n} = (d_g(x, y))^{-1}, & \text{if } d_g(x, y) \leq \lambda^{-1}, \\ \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (d_g(x, y))^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} = (d_g(x, y))^{-1}, & \text{if } \lambda^{-1} \leq d_g(x, y) \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

We thus have that

$$(9.4) \quad |S_\lambda(x, y)| \lesssim (d_g(x, y))^{-1}, \quad \text{for } d_g(x, y) \leq 1.$$

We want to find the following estimates of $S_\lambda(Vu)$, using Definition 9.1.

Lemma 9.3. *Let $n = 3$. If $V \in M_{1,2}$, then*

$$\|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \|u\|_{L^2(M)},$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq 2$ and $p \geq 2$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)^{-1} \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)u = (S_\lambda + W_\lambda) \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)u \\ &= S_\lambda \circ (H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u + W_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)u - S_\lambda(Vu), \end{aligned}$$

if the lemma is true, then, by (9.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} &\leq \|S_\lambda \circ (H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} + \|W_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} + \|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(p,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(p,k)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)} + C_V \lambda^{-1} \cdot \lambda \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{\delta(p,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(p,k)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)} + C_V \lambda^{-1} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim C_V \lambda^{\delta(p,k)-1} (\log \lambda)^{\nu(p,k)} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (9.1). As above, we used the spectral theorem in the second to last inequality. We now want to show Lemma 9.3.

Proof of Lemma 9.3. Let $r \mapsto \sigma(r)$ be a coordinate map of the submanifold Σ . By the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9.5) \quad \|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} &= \left(\int \left| \int S_\lambda(\sigma(r), y) V(y) u(y) dy \right|^p dr \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\int \left(\int |S_\lambda(\sigma(r), y)| |V(y)| |u(y)| dy \right)^p dr \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

To bound this, we want to bound the integral in the second parentheses, i.e.,

$$\int |S_\lambda(\sigma(r), y)| |V(y)| |u(y)| dy.$$

Using a partition of unity if necessary, we may assume $|x - y| \leq 1$ for $x, y \in M$, especially when $x = \sigma(r)$, and so, $|\sigma(r) - y| \leq 1$. By (9.4) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have, for $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |S_\lambda(\sigma(r), y)| |V(y)| |u(y)| dy &\leq \left(\int |S_\lambda(\sigma(r), y)|^2 |V(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int |u(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int_{|\sigma(r)-y|\leq 1} |\sigma(r) - y|^{-2} |V(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C_V \|u\|_{L^2(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we used the assumption $V \in M_{1,2}$. Using this with (9.5), we have

$$\|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \leq C_V \|u\|_{L^2(M)},$$

as desired. \square

We next show (9.2). Suppose $n = 3$ and the curve γ is either a geodesic segment or a curve with nonvanishing geodesic curvatures. As before, we write

$$(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)^{-1} = S_\lambda + W_\lambda,$$

where S_λ and W_λ are as in as above, and $P = \sqrt{-\Delta_g}$. By [CS14, Theorem 1] and [WZ21, Theorem 3], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]}(P)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|u\|_{L^2(\gamma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus,

$$\|(\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)^{-1}\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

As before, by this and (3.8), an orthogonality argument gives us that S_λ and $W_\lambda = m_\lambda(P)$ satisfy

$$(9.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S_\lambda\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(\gamma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|W_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The bounds for $S_\lambda(Vu)$ follow from Lemma 9.3, since the lemma holds for any curve. Since

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)^{-1} \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)u \\ &= S_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g + V - (\lambda + i)^2)u + W_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)u - S_\lambda(Vu), \end{aligned}$$

by (9.6), and Lemma 9.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} &\leq \|S_\lambda \circ (H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|W_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|S_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \\ &\leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i)^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (9.2). \square

We can also see that we have a log improved restriction estimate for a 3-dimensional manifolds with nonpositive sectional curvatures as follow.

Theorem 9.4. *Assume that (M, g) is a 3-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold of constant negative sectional curvature, $\epsilon(\lambda) = (\log(2 + \lambda))^{-1}$, and that $V \in M_{1,2} \subset \mathcal{K}(M)$. If γ is a unit-length geodesic segment, then*

$$(9.7) \quad \|u\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \leq C_{V,\gamma} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

Proof. We can prove (9.7) similarly. To see this, we first consider the estimates when $V \equiv 0$ from [Bla18] and [Zha17] for $P = \sqrt{-\Delta_g}$

$$\|\mathbb{1}_{[\lambda, \lambda+1]}(P)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (\log \lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where γ is a geodesic segment. This in turn implies that

$$(9.8) \quad \|(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1}\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\log \lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, T_λ , T_λ^0 , T_λ^1 , and R_λ be as in (7.5), so that we can write

$$(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} = T_\lambda + R_\lambda.$$

Setting m_λ as in (7.6), by the arguments above, the operator $R_\lambda = m_\lambda(P)$ satisfies

$$(9.9) \quad \|R_\lambda\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$(9.10) \quad \|R_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\lambda \epsilon(\lambda)) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $T_\lambda = (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)^{-1} - R_\lambda$, by (9.8) and (9.9),

$$(9.11) \quad \|T_\lambda\|_{L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For any given small $\epsilon_0 > 0$, if $c_0 > 0$ is small enough, then by [BHSS22, (3.25)] (see also [Bér77])

$$\|T_\lambda^1\|_{L^1(M) \rightarrow L^\infty(M)} = O(\lambda^{C_{c_0}}) = O(\lambda^{\epsilon_0}),$$

and so,

$$(9.12) \quad \|T_\lambda^1 f\|_{L^\infty(M)} \leq \|T_\lambda^1 f\|_{L^\infty(M)} \lesssim \lambda^{\epsilon_0} \|f\|_{L^1(M)}.$$

The proof of (7.12) in [BHSS22, (5.11)] also applies to all $\dim M = n \geq 3$, and so, if $n = 3$, then we have the following bounds for the kernel T_λ^0

$$|T_\lambda^0(x, y)| \lesssim \begin{cases} (d_g(x, y))^{2-n} = (d_g(x, y))^{-1}, & \text{if } d_g(x, y) \leq \lambda^{-1}, \\ \lambda^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (d_g(x, y))^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} = (d_g(x, y))^{-1}, & \text{if } \lambda^{-1} \leq d_g(x, y) \ll 1, \end{cases}$$

and thus

$$(9.13) \quad |T_\lambda^0(x, y)| \lesssim (d_g(x, y))^{-1}, \quad \text{when } |x - y| \ll 1.$$

As above, we can write

$$u = T_\lambda(-\Delta_g + V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u + R_\lambda(-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u - T_\lambda(Vu).$$

By (9.11), we have

$$\|T_\lambda \circ (H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

By (9.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_\lambda \circ (-\Delta_g - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(\gamma)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon(\lambda))^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

To show (9.7), it thus suffices to show that

$$(9.14) \quad \|T_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\epsilon(\lambda))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)},$$

where $0 < \epsilon_0 \ll 1$. Since $T_\lambda = T_\lambda^0 + T_\lambda^1$, we consider $T_\lambda^0(Vu)$ and $T_\lambda^1(Vu)$ separately. For $T_\lambda^0(Vu)$, we repeat the proof of Lemma 9.3. By (9.13), $V \in M_{1,2}$, and Hölder's inequality, we have that

$$\int |T_\lambda^0(\gamma(r), y)| |V(y)| |u(y)| dy \lesssim \left(\int_{|\gamma(r)-y| \leq 1} (d_g(\gamma(r), y))^{-2} |V(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C_V \|u\|_{L^2(M)},$$

which in turn implies that

$$\|T_\lambda^0(Vu)\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \leq \left(\int \left(\int |T_\lambda^0(\gamma(r), y)| |V(y)| |u(y)| dy \right)^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_V \|u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

For $T_\lambda^1(Vu)$, by (9.12) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\lambda^1(Vu)\|_{L^2(\gamma)} &\left(\int |T_\lambda^1(Vu)|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int (\lambda^{\epsilon_0})^2 \|Vu\|_{L^1(M)}^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\epsilon_0} \|V\|_{L^2(M)} \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \\ &\leq \lambda^{\epsilon_0} \|V\|_{1,2} \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\epsilon_0} \|u\|_{L^2(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting these together, by the spectral theorem, we have

$$\|T_\lambda(Vu)\|_{L^2(\gamma)} \leq C_V \lambda^{\epsilon_0} \|u\|_{L^2(M)} \lesssim C_V \lambda^{-1+\epsilon_0} \|(H_V - (\lambda + i\epsilon(\lambda))^2)u\|_{L^2(M)}.$$

Taking $\epsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, we have (9.14), completing the proof of (9.7). \square

REFERENCES

- [Bér77] Pierre H. Bérard, *On the wave equation on a compact Riemannian manifold without conjugate points*, Math. Z. **155** (1977), no. 3, 249–276. MR 455055
- [BGT07] N. Burq, P. Gérard, and N. Tzvetkov, *Restrictions of the Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions to submanifolds*, Duke Math. J. **138** (2007), no. 3, 445–486. MR 2322684
- [BHS22] Matthew D. Blair, Xiaoqi Huang, and Christopher D. Sogge, *Improved spectral projection estimates*, 2022, to appear J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS).
- [BHSS22] Matthew D. Blair, Xiaoqi Huang, Yannick Sire, and Christopher D. Sogge, *Uniform Sobolev estimates on compact manifolds involving singular potentials*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **38** (2022), no. 4, 1239–1286. MR 4445914
- [Bla18] Matthew D. Blair, *On logarithmic improvements of critical geodesic restriction bounds in the presence of nonpositive curvature*, Israel J. Math. **224** (2018), no. 1, 407–436. MR 3799762
- [BS15] Matthew D. Blair and Christopher D. Sogge, *On Keakeya-Nikodym averages, L^p -norms and lower bounds for nodal sets of eigenfunctions in higher dimensions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **17** (2015), no. 10, 2513–2543. MR 3420515
- [BS17] ———, *Refined and microlocal Keakeya-Nikodym bounds of eigenfunctions in higher dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **356** (2017), no. 2, 501–533. MR 3707332
- [BS18] ———, *Concerning Toponogov's theorem and logarithmic improvement of estimates of eigenfunctions*, J. Differential Geom. **109** (2018), no. 2, 189–221. MR 3807318
- [BS19] ———, *Logarithmic improvements in L^p bounds for eigenfunctions at the critical exponent in the presence of nonpositive curvature*, Invent. Math. **217** (2019), no. 2, 703–748. MR 3987179

- [BSS21] Matthew D. Blair, Yannick Sire, and Christopher D. Sogge, *Quasimode, eigenfunction and spectral projection bounds for Schrödinger operators on manifolds with critically singular potentials*, J. Geom. Anal. **31** (2021), no. 7, 6624–6661. MR 4289239
- [BSSY15] Jean Bourgain, Peng Shao, Christopher D. Sogge, and Xiaohua Yao, *On L^p -resolvent estimates and the density of eigenvalues for compact Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **333** (2015), no. 3, 1483–1527. MR 3302640
- [CG20] Yaiza Canzani and Jeffrey Galkowski, *Growth of high L^p norms for eigenfunctions: an application of geodesic beams*, 2020.
- [Che15] Xuehua Chen, *An improvement on eigenfunction restriction estimates for compact boundaryless Riemannian manifolds with nonpositive sectional curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), no. 6, 4019–4039. MR 3324918
- [CS14] Xuehua Chen and Christopher D. Sogge, *A few endpoint geodesic restriction estimates for eigenfunctions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **329** (2014), no. 2, 435–459. MR 3210140
- [DSFKS14] David Dos Santos Ferreira, Carlos E. Kenig, and Mikko Salo, *On L^p resolvent estimates for Laplace-Beltrami operators on compact manifolds*, Forum Math. **26** (2014), no. 3, 815–849. MR 3200351
- [GG73] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, *Stable mappings and their singularities.*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg,, 1973. MR 341518
- [GS94] Allan Greenleaf and Andreas Seeger, *Fourier integral operators with fold singularities*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **455** (1994), 35–56. MR 1293873
- [Gün12] Batu Güneysu, *On generalized Schrödinger semigroups*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), no. 11, 4639–4674. MR 2913682
- [Hör07] Lars Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2007, Pseudo-differential operators, Reprint of the 1994 edition. MR 2304165
- [HS14] Shanlin Huang and Christopher D. Sogge, *Concerning L^p resolvent estimates for simply connected manifolds of constant curvature*, J. Funct. Anal. **267** (2014), no. 12, 4635–4666. MR 3275105
- [HST23] Xiaoqi Huang, Christopher D. Sogge, and Michael E. Taylor, *Product manifolds with improved spectral cluster and Weyl remainder estimates*, From classical analysis to analysis on fractals. Vol. 1. A tribute to Robert Strichartz, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, [2023] ©2023, pp. 109–136. MR 4676385
- [HT15] Andrew Hassell and Melissa Tacy, *Improvement of eigenfunction estimates on manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, Forum Math. **27** (2015), no. 3, 1435–1451. MR 3341481
- [Hu09] Rui Hu, *L^p norm estimates of eigenfunctions restricted to submanifolds*, Forum Math. **21** (2009), no. 6, 1021–1052. MR 2574146
- [HZ21] Xiaoqi Huang and Cheng Zhang, *Restriction of toral eigenfunctions to totally geodesic submanifolds*, Anal. PDE **14** (2021), no. 3, 861–880. MR 4259875
- [KRS87] C. E. Kenig, A. Ruiz, and C. D. Sogge, *Uniform Sobolev inequalities and unique continuation for second order constant coefficient differential operators*, Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), no. 2, 329–347. MR 894584
- [Par23] Chamsol Park, *Eigenfunction restriction estimates for curves with nonvanishing geodesic curvatures in compact Riemannian surfaces with nonpositive curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **376** (2023), no. 8, 5809–5855. MR 4630760
- [Sch71] Martin Schechter, *Spectra of partial differential operators*, North-Holland Series in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, Vol. 14, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London; American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1971. MR 0447834
- [Sim82] Barry Simon, *Schrödinger semigroups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **7** (1982), no. 3, 447–526. MR 670130
- [Sog88] Christopher D. Sogge, *Concerning the L^p norm of spectral clusters for second-order elliptic operators on compact manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **77** (1988), no. 1, 123–138. MR 930395
- [Sog93] ———, *Fourier integrals in classical analysis*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 105, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR 1205579
- [Sog11] ———, *Keakeya-Nikodym averages and L^p -norms of eigenfunctions*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **63** (2011), no. 4, 519–538. MR 2872954
- [Sog14] ———, *Hangzhou lectures on eigenfunctions of the Laplacian*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 188, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2014. MR 3186367
- [Stu93] Karl-Theodor Sturm, *Schrödinger semigroups on manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. **118** (1993), no. 2, 309–350. MR 1250266
- [SZ14] Christopher D. Sogge and Steve Zelditch, *On eigenfunction restriction estimates and L^4 -bounds for compact surfaces with nonpositive curvature*, Advances in analysis: the legacy of Elias M. Stein, Princeton Math. Ser., vol. 50, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2014, pp. 447–461. MR 3329861
- [Tac18] Melissa Tacy, *A note on constructing families of sharp examples for L^p growth of eigenfunctions and quasimodes*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **146** (2018), no. 7, 2909–2924. MR 3787353
- [WZ21] Xing Wang and Cheng Zhang, *Sharp endpoint estimates for eigenfunctions restricted to submanifolds of codimension 2*, Adv. Math. **386** (2021), Paper No. 107835, 20. MR 4270524
- [XZ17] Yakun Xi and Cheng Zhang, *Improved critical eigenfunction restriction estimates on Riemannian surfaces with nonpositive curvature*, Comm. Math. Phys. **350** (2017), no. 3, 1299–1325. MR 3607476
- [Zha17] Cheng Zhang, *Improved critical eigenfunction restriction estimates on Riemannian manifolds with constant negative curvature*, J. Funct. Anal. **272** (2017), no. 11, 4642–4670. MR 3630636

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD 21218, USA
Email address: `cspark@jhu.edu`