

Equilibrium moderate deviations for occupation times of SSEP on regala trees

Xiaofeng Xue *
Beijing Jiaotong University

Abstract: In this paper, we are concerned with the symmetric simple exclusion process on the regala tree \mathbb{T}^d for $d \geq 2$. Our main result gives moderate deviation principles of occupation times of the process starting from an invariant product measure. Two replacement lemmas play key roles in the proof of our main result. To obtain these replacement lemmas, we utilize duality relationships between the symmetric exclusion process and two types of random walks on \mathbb{T}^d and $(\mathbb{T}^d)^2$ respectively.

Keywords: exclusion process, occupation time, moderate deviation, regala tree, Dirichlet form.

1 Introduction

1.1 The model

In this paper, we are concerned with the symmetric simple exclusion process (SSEP) on a regular tree \mathbb{T}^d with $d \geq 2$, where each vertex has $d + 1$ neighbors. For later use, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{T}^d$, we write $x \sim y$ when they are neighbors with each other. The SSEP $\{\eta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous-time Markov process with state space $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{T}^d}$, i.e., each vertex on \mathbb{T}^d is occupied by a particle or vacant. The generator \mathcal{L} of $\{\eta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}f(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y: y \sim x} [f(\eta^{x,y}) - f(\eta)] \quad (1.1)$$

for any $\eta \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{T}^d}$ and f from \mathbb{T}^d to \mathbb{R} depending on finite coordinates, where

$$\eta^{x,y}(z) = \begin{cases} \eta(z) & \text{if } z \neq x \text{ and } z \neq y, \\ \eta(y) & \text{if } z = x, \\ \eta(x) & \text{if } z = y. \end{cases}$$

According to the definition of \mathcal{L} , in the SSEP, all particles perform simple random walks on \mathbb{T}^d , where a particle jumps from a vertex x to each neighbor y of x at rate 1. However, any jump to an occupied vertex is suppressed, since on each vertex there is at most one particle. For a detailed survey of the exclusion process, see Chapter 8 of [14] and Part III of [15].

For given $0 < p < 1$, we denote by ν_p the product measure on \mathbb{T}^d under which $\{\eta(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d}$ are independent and

$$\nu_p(\eta(x) = 1) = p = 1 - \nu_p(\eta(x) = 0)$$

***E-mail:** xfxue@bjtu.edu.cn **Address:** School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, China.

for all $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$. We denote by \mathbb{P}_{ν_p} the probability measure of the SSEP $\{\eta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ starting from ν_p . We denote by \mathbb{E}_{ν_p} the expectation with respect to \mathbb{P}_{ν_p} . According to the definition of \mathcal{L} , it is easy to check that

$$\int f(\eta) \mathcal{L}g(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) = \int g(\eta) \mathcal{L}f(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) \quad (1.2)$$

for any f, g from $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{T}^d}$ to \mathbb{R} depending on finite coordinates. As a result, ν_p is a reversible measure of the SSEP $\{\eta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. In this paper, we investigate the moderate deviation principle of the occupation time of the SSEP starting from ν_p . For mathematical details, see Section 2.

1.2 Duality relationships

For later use, in this section we recall the duality relationship between SSEP and random walks. We denote by $\{V_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ the continuous-time simple random walk on \mathbb{T}^d with generator Ω_1 given by

$$\Omega_1 h(x) = \sum_{y: y \sim x} (h(y) - h(x))$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and bounded h from \mathbb{T}^d to \mathbb{R} . For any $t \geq 0$ and $x, z \in \mathbb{T}^d$, we denote by $p_t(x, z)$ the probability $\mathbb{P}(V_t = z | V_0 = x)$. We write V_t as V_t^x when $V_0 = x$. We denote by $\{Y_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ the continuous-time random walk on

$$\mathbb{Y} := (\mathbb{T}^d)^2 \setminus \{(y, w) \in (\mathbb{T}^d)^2 : y = w\}$$

with generator Ω_2 given by

$$\Omega_2 g(x, z) = \sum_{(y, w) \in \mathbb{Y}} Q((x, z), (y, w)) (g(y, w) - g(x, z))$$

for any $(x, z) \in \mathbb{Y}$ and bounded g from \mathbb{T}^d to \mathbb{R} , where

$$Q((x, z), (y, w)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \not\sim z, y \sim x \text{ and } w = z, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \not\sim z, y = x \text{ and } w \sim z, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \sim z, y = z \text{ and } w = x, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \sim z, y \sim x, y \neq z \text{ and } w = z, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \sim z, w \sim z, w \neq x \text{ and } y = x, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

For $k = 1, 2$, we denote by $Y_t(k)$ the k th component of Y_t . According to the expression of $Q(\cdot, \cdot)$, $\{Y_t(1)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{Y_t(2)\}_{t \geq 0}$ perform independent simple random walks on \mathbb{T}^d except that they exchange positions with each other at rate 1 when they are neighbors to avoid collision. For any $(x, z), (y, w) \in \mathbb{Y}$, we denote by $q_t((x, z), (y, w))$ the probability $\mathbb{P}(Y_t = (y, w) | Y_0 = (x, z))$.

For any $\eta \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{T}^d}$, we denote by \mathbb{P}_η the probability measure of the SSEP starting from η and by \mathbb{E}_η the expectation with respect to \mathbb{P}_η . We have the following duality relationship.

Lemma 1.1. *For any $t \geq 0$, h_1 from $\{0, 1\}$ to \mathbb{R} , h_2 from $\{0, 1\}^2$ to \mathbb{R} and $x, y \in \mathbb{T}^d$ such that $x \neq y$,*

$$\mathbb{E}_\eta h_1(\eta_t(x)) = \mathbb{E} h_1(\eta(V_t^x)) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} p_t(x, z) h_1(\eta(z)) \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_\eta h_2(\eta_t(x), \eta_t(y)) &= \mathbb{E} h_2\left(\eta\left(Y_t^{(x,y)}(1)\right), \eta\left(Y_t^{(x,y)}(2)\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{(z,w) \in \mathbb{Y}} q_t((x,y), (z,w)) h_2(\eta(z), \eta(w)).\end{aligned}\quad (1.4)$$

Proof of Lemma 1.1. We only prove Equation (1.4) since Equation (1.3) follows from a similar analysis. For any $(x,y) \in \mathbb{Y}$, we supplementarily define

$$Q((x,y), (x,y)) = - \sum_{(z,w): (z,w) \neq (x,y)} Q((x,y), (z,w)).$$

For any $t \geq 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{Y}$, we define

$$H_t(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_\eta h_2(\eta_t(x), \eta_t(y)).$$

According to the definition of \mathcal{L} and Kolmogorov-Chapman Equation, if $x \not\sim y$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} H_t(x, y) &= \sum_{z: z \sim x} \left(\mathbb{E}_\eta h_2(\eta_t(z), \eta_t(y)) - \mathbb{E}_\eta h_2(\eta_t(x), \eta_t(y)) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{w: w \sim y} \left(\mathbb{E}_\eta h_2(\eta_t(x), \eta_t(w)) - \mathbb{E}_\eta h_2(\eta_t(x), \eta_t(y)) \right).\end{aligned}$$

If $x \sim y$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} H_t(x, y) &= \mathbb{E}_\eta h_2(\eta_t(y), \eta_t(x)) - \mathbb{E}_\eta h_2(\eta_t(x), \eta_t(y)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{z: z \sim x, z \neq y} \left(\mathbb{E}_\eta h_2(\eta_t(z), \eta_t(y)) - \mathbb{E}_\eta h_2(\eta_t(x), \eta_t(y)) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{w: w \sim y, w \neq x} \left(\mathbb{E}_\eta h_2(\eta_t(x), \eta_t(w)) - \mathbb{E}_\eta h_2(\eta_t(x), \eta_t(y)) \right).\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, $\frac{d}{dt} H_t = Q H_t$ and hence Equation (1.4) holds according to the initial condition where $H_0(z, w) = h_2(\eta(z), \eta(w))$ for all $(z, w) \in \mathbb{Y}$. \square

1.3 Occupation times

In this subsection we recall the definition of occupation times. For any $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and $t \geq 0$, the occupation time X_t^x of $\{\eta_s\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ on x is defined as

$$X_t^x = \int_0^t \eta_s(x) ds.$$

For any $0 < p < 1$, by Equations (1.2), we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\nu_p}(\eta_t(x) = 1) = p$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and $t \geq 0$. Hence, when $\{\eta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ starts from ν_p , the centered occupation time ξ_t^x on x is naturally defined as

$$\xi_t^x = \int_0^t (\eta_s(x) - p) ds.$$

Since 1980s, limit theorems of occupation times of SSEP are popular research topics. Reference [7] investigates central limit theorems of occupation times of SSEP on lattices \mathbb{Z}^d . It is shown in [7] that, when $d \geq 1$ and the SSEP on \mathbb{Z}^d starts from ν_p (lattice version), there exists $n_t = n_t(d)$ such that $\frac{1}{n_t} \xi_t^x$ (lattice version) converges weakly to some Gaussian random variable ξ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. In detail, n_t performs following dimension-dependent phase transition. For $d \geq 1$,

$$n_t(d) = \begin{cases} t^{3/4} & \text{if } d = 1, \\ \sqrt{t \log t} & \text{if } d = 2, \\ t^{1/2} & \text{if } d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Large and moderate deviations are also discussed for lattice version X_t^x . Reference [11] proves the large deviation principle (LDP) of the occupation time of the SSEP on \mathbb{Z}^d for $d \geq 3$. The $d = 2$ case is dealt with in [12]. Reference [6] proves a deviation inequality for the SSEP on \mathbb{Z}^d . As an application of this deviation inequality, the moderate deviation principle (MDP) of additive functions of SSEP on \mathbb{Z}^d is given, including the MDP of the occupation time as a special case.

Inspired by [6], in this paper we give MDPs of the \mathbb{T}^d version centered occupation time ξ_t^x . The proof of our main results relies heavily on the duality relationship given in Subsection 1.2. For mathematical details, see Section 2.

Since 1980s, limit theorems of occupation times are also discussed for other interacting particle systems such as voter models, contact processes, branching Brownian motion, branching random walks, branching α -stable processes, binary contact path processes. Readers interested in this topic could resort to References [1–4, 10, 13, 16, 17, 19].

2 Main results

In this section, we give our main results. From now on, we assume that p is a fixed parameter in $(0, 1)$ and $d \geq 2$. We further assume that the SSEP $\{\eta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ starts from ν_p . Then, for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$, the centered occupation time ξ_t^x is defined as in Section 1, i.e.,

$$\xi_t^x = \int_0^t (\eta_s(x) - p) ds.$$

For later use, we first introduce some notations. For any $x, y \in \mathbb{T}^d$, we denote by $D(x, y)$ the distance between x and y , i.e., $D(x, y) = l$ when and only when there is a self-avoiding path $x = x_0 \sim x_1 \sim x_2 \sim \dots \sim x_l = y$ on \mathbb{T}^d . For any integer $m \geq 1$ and

$$c = (c(1), \dots, c(m))^T, u = (u(1), \dots, u(d))^T \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

where T is the transposition operator, we denote by $c \cdot u$ the inner product of c and u , i.e., $c \cdot u = \sum_{i=1}^m c(i)u(i)$. For any integer $m \geq 1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{T}^d$, we define $\Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}$ as the random vector

$$(\xi_t^{x_1}, \dots, \xi_t^{x_m})^T.$$

To give our main results, we first introduce our rate function. For any integer $m \geq 1$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{T}^d$, we define

$$I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u) = \sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left\{ c \cdot u - \frac{1}{2} c^T \Gamma_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} c \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where $\Gamma_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}$ is a $m \times m$ matrix such that

$$\Gamma_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(j, k) = 2p(1-p) \int_0^{+\infty} p_s(x_j, x_k) ds$$

for all $1 \leq j, k \leq m$, where $\{p_s(\cdot, \cdot)\}_{s \geq 0}$ are the transition probabilities of $\{V_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ defined as in Subsection 1.2. Note that, for $d \geq 2$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{T}^d$, $\int_0^{+\infty} p_s(x, y) ds < +\infty$ according to the fact that

$$p_t(x, y) \leq \left(\sqrt{d}\right)^{-D(x, y)} e^{-t(\sqrt{d}-1)^2}. \quad (2.2)$$

A proof of Equation (2.2) is given in Appendix A.1.

When $m = 1$, for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$I_x(u) = \sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ cu - \frac{c^2 \sigma^2}{2} \right\} = \frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\sigma^2 = 2p(1-p) \int_0^{+\infty} p_s(x, x) ds.$$

Note that σ^2 does not depend on the choice of x according to the spatial homogeneity of our model. Now we give our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{a_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a positive sequence such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a_t}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{a_t} = 0.$$

For any integer $m \geq 1$, $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and closed set $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \in \mathcal{C} \right) \leq - \inf_{u \in \mathcal{C}} I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u). \quad (2.4)$$

For any open set $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \in \mathcal{O} \right) \geq - \inf_{u \in \mathcal{O}} I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u). \quad (2.5)$$

According to Equation (2.3), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\{a_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a positive sequence such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{a_t}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{a_t} = 0.$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and $u > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\frac{1}{a_t} \xi_t^x \geq u \right) = - \frac{u^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

Remark 2.1. *Theorem 2.1 is consistent with a heuristic covariance analysis, i.e.,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Cov} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \xi_t^{x_j}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \xi_t^{x_k} \right) = \Gamma_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(j, k). \quad (2.6)$$

Here we give an outline of how to check Equation (2.6). By Equation (1.3), we have

$$\mathbb{E}_\eta \eta_t(x) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d} p_t(x, z) \eta(z).$$

Then, according to the Markov property of $\{\eta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ and the invariance of ν_p , we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Cov} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \xi_t^{x_j}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \xi_t^{x_k} \right) = 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_z p_u(x_j, z) \text{Cov}_{\nu_p}(\eta(x_k), \eta(z)) du.$$

According to the definition of ν_p , we have $\text{Cov}_{\nu_p}(\eta(x_k), \eta(z)) = p(1-p)1_{\{z=x_k\}}$, where 1_A is the indicator function of event A . Consequently,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Cov} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \xi_t^{x_j}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \xi_t^{x_k} \right) = 2p(1-p) \int_0^{+\infty} p_u(x_j, x_k) du.$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, as preliminaries of the proof of Theorem 2.1, we give two replacement lemmas and show that $\{\frac{1}{a_t} \xi_t^x\}_{t \geq 0}$ are exponentially tight. In Sections 4 and 5, we prove Equations (2.4) and (2.5) respectively. Our proofs of Equations (2.4) and (2.4) utilize the exponential martingale strategy introduced in [8] and the martingale decomposition strategy introduced in [7], which apply in the SSEP on \mathbb{T}^d due to the replacement lemmas and exponential tightness given in Section 3. For mathematical details, see Sections 3-5.

3 Replacement lemmas and exponential tightness

In this section, we prove two replacement lemmas and the exponential tightness of $\{\frac{1}{a_t} \xi_t^x\}_{t \geq 0}$. To explain the motivation of our replacement lemmas, we first introduce some notations and recall the exponential martingale strategy and the martingale decomposition strategy introduced in [8] and [7] respectively. For any $t \geq 0, \eta \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{T}^d}$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$, we define

$$G_t^x(\eta) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} (\eta(y) - p) g_t^x(y),$$

where

$$g_t^x(y) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}s} p_s(x, y) ds.$$

Note that $\sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} g_t(y) = \sqrt{t} < +\infty$. By direct calculation, it is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{L}G_t^x(\eta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} G_t^x(\eta) - (\eta(x) - p). \quad (3.1)$$

A proof of Equation (3.1) is given in Appendix A.2. The martingale decomposition strategy considers the martingale $\{M_s^{t,x}\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}$, where

$$M_s^{t,x} = G_t^x(\eta_s) - G_t^x(\eta_0) - \int_0^s \mathcal{L}G_t^x(\eta_u) du.$$

By Equation (3.1), we have the decomposition

$$M_s^{t,x} = G_t^x(\eta_s) - G_t^x(\eta_0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^s G_t^x(\eta_u) du + \xi_s^x.$$

Hence, to utilize the martingale $M_s^{t,x}$ to investigate the limit theorem of ξ_s^x , it is inevitable to show that the term $G_t^x(\eta_s) - G_t^x(\eta_0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^s G_t^x(\eta_u) du$ can be neglected after proper scaling, i.e., replaced by 0. Specific to this paper, since we are concerned with the limit behavior of $\frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p}(\frac{1}{a_t} \xi_t^x \in \cdot)$ and $|G_t^x(\eta)|/a_t \leq \frac{\sqrt{t}}{a_t} \rightarrow 0$, it is natural for us to prove the following conclusion, which is our first replacement lemma.

Lemma 3.1. For any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\left| \frac{1}{a_t \sqrt{t}} \int_0^t G_t^x(\eta_s) ds \right| \geq \epsilon \right) = -\infty.$$

In the exponential martingale strategy, it is usual to further consider the martingale $\{\Xi_s^{t, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, c}\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ for $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$, where

$$\Xi_s^{t, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, c} = \exp \left\{ \frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j G_t^{x_j}(\eta_s) - \frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j G_t^{x_j}(\eta_0) - \int_0^s \frac{\mathcal{L} e^{\frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j G_t^{x_j}(\eta_u)}}{e^{\frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j G_t^{x_j}(\eta_u)}} du \right\}.$$

According to the Taylor's expansion formula up to the second order, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Xi_t^{t, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, c} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{a_t^2}{t} \left(\frac{1}{a_t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j M_t^{t, x_j} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} (\eta_u(z) - \eta_u(y))^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m c_j c_k (g_t^{x_j}(y) - g_t^{x_j}(z)) (g_t^{x_k}(y) - g_t^{x_k}(z)) du \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + o(1) \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 3.1, $\frac{1}{a_t} M_t^{t, x_j}$ can be replaced by $\frac{1}{a_t} \xi_t^{x_j}$ with a super-exponentially small error. We further require that the term $(\eta_u(z) - \eta_u(y))^2$ in the above integral can be replaced by its ν_p -expectation $2p(1-p)$, i.e., we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. For any $x, w \in \mathbb{T}^d$, let

$$\Phi_t^{x, w} = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} \left((\eta_u(z) - \eta_u(y))^2 - 2p(1-p) \right) (g_t^x(y) - g_t^x(z)) (g_t^w(y) - g_t^w(z)) du.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $x, w \in \mathbb{T}^d$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} (|\Phi_t^{x, w}| \geq \epsilon) = -\infty.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} (g_t^x(y) - g_t^x(z)) (g_t^w(y) - g_t^w(z)) = 2 \int_0^{+\infty} p_s(x, w) ds, \quad (3.2)$$

a proof of which is given in Appendix A.3. By Lemma 3.2 and Equation (3.2), in the expression of $\Xi_t^{t, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, c}$, the term

$$\frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} (\eta_u(z) - \eta_u(y))^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m c_j c_k (g_t^{x_j}(y) - g_t^{x_j}(z)) (g_t^{x_k}(y) - g_t^{x_k}(z)) du$$

can be replaced by $\frac{1}{2} c^T \Gamma_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} c$ with a super-exponentially small error. With above replacement expression of $\Xi_t^{t, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, c}$, proofs of Equation (2.4) for compact sets and Equation (2.5)

for open sets follow from a routine analysis given in literatures such as References [8] and [5]. To prove Equation (2.4) for all closed sets, we need to show that $\{\xi_t^x\}_{t \geq 0}$ are exponentially tight, i.e., we require the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *For any $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$,*

$$\limsup_{M \rightarrow +\infty} \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\left| \frac{1}{a_t} \xi_t^x \right| \geq M \right) = -\infty. \quad (3.3)$$

Our proofs of Lemmas 3.1-3.3 follow from the same strategy, where Lemma 7.2 in Appendix 1 of [9] and duality relationships given in Lemma 1.1 play key roles. A detailed proof of Lemma 3.1 is given in Subsection 3.1. Outlines of proofs of Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 are given in Subsection 3.2.

3.1 The proof of Lemma 3.1

In this subsection, we prove Lemma 3.1. For later use, we first introduce some notations and definitions. For any $t, u \geq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and $\eta \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{T}^d}$, we denote by $K_t^x(u, \eta)$ the expectation $\mathbb{E}_\eta G_t^x(\eta_u)$. We briefly write $K_t^x(u, \eta)$ as $K_t^x(u)$ when we emphasize that $K_t^x(u, \cdot)$ is a random variable from $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{T}^d}$ to \mathbb{R} . For any f from $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{T}^d}$ to $[0, +\infty)$, we call f a ν_p -density if and only if $\int f(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) = 1$. We denote by \mathfrak{D} the Dirichlet form of $\{\eta_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{D}(f) = \frac{1}{4} \int \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim x} (f(\eta^{x,y}) - f(\eta))^2 \nu_p(d\eta)$$

for any f from $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{T}^d}$ to \mathbb{R} . The following lemma plays key role in the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.4. *For any $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$, under ν_p ,*

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} K_t^x(u) = 0 \text{ in } L^2.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.4. According to Lemma 1.1, we have

$$K_t^x(u, \eta) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} p_u(y, z) (\eta(z) - p) g_t^x(y). \quad (3.4)$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \left(|K_t^x(u)|^2 \right) = \sum_{y_1 \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y_2 \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z_1 \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z_2 \in \mathbb{T}^d} p_u(y_1, z_1) p_u(y_2, z_2) g_t^x(y_1) g_t^x(y_2) \text{Cov}_{\nu_p}(\eta(z_1), \eta(z_2)).$$

Since $\text{Cov}_{\nu_p}(\eta(z_1), \eta(z_2)) = p(1-p)1_{\{z_1=z_2\}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \left(|K_t^x(u)|^2 \right) &= p(1-p) \sum_{y_1 \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y_2 \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} p_u(y_1, z) p_u(y_2, z) g_t^x(y_1) g_t^x(y_2) \\ &= p(1-p) \sum_{y_1 \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y_2 \in \mathbb{T}^d} p_{2u}(y_1, y_2) g_t^x(y_1) g_t^x(y_2) \\ &= p(1-p) \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}(s_1+s_2)} p_{s_1+s_2+2u}(x, x) ds_1 ds_2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \left(|K_t^x(u)|^2 \right) = 0$ according to Equation (2.2) and the proof is complete. \square

Now we prove Lemma 3.1.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We only show that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\frac{1}{a_t \sqrt{t}} \int_0^t G_t^x(\eta_s) ds \geq \epsilon \right) = -\infty,$$

since $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\frac{1}{a_t \sqrt{t}} \int_0^t G_t^x(\eta_s) ds \leq -\epsilon \right) = -\infty$ follows from a similar analysis. According to Markov inequality, for any $\theta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\frac{1}{a_t \sqrt{t}} \int_0^t G_t^x(\eta_s) ds \geq \epsilon \right) \leq e^{-\theta \frac{a_t^2}{t} \epsilon} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \exp \left\{ \frac{a_t \theta}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_0^t G_t^x(\eta_s) ds \right\}.$$

Hence, to complete the proof, we only need to show that, for any $\theta > 0$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \exp \left\{ \frac{a_t \theta}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_0^t G_t^x(\eta_s) ds \right\} \leq 0. \quad (3.5)$$

According to Lemma 7.2 in Appendix 1 of [9],

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \exp \left\{ \frac{a_t \theta}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_0^t G_t^x(\eta_s) ds \right\} \leq e^{t \Upsilon_t},$$

where

$$\Upsilon_t = \sup_{f \text{ is a } \nu_p\text{-density}} \left\{ \frac{a_t \theta}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int G_t^x(\eta) f(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) - \mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f}) \right\}.$$

Therefore, to prove Equation (3.5), we only need to show that, for any $\theta > 0$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{f \text{ is a } \nu_p\text{-density}} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{t} \theta}{a_t} \int G_t^x(\eta) f(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) - \frac{t^2}{a_t^2} \mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f}) \right\} \leq 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Now we check Equation (3.6). For any ν_p -density f , according to Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \int f(\eta) G_t^x(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) &= \int f(\eta) K_t^x(0, \eta) \nu_p(d\eta) \\ &= - \int f(\eta) \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d}{du} K_t^x(u, \eta) du \right) \nu_p(d\eta) \\ &= - \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\int f(\eta) \frac{d}{du} K_t^x(u, \eta) \nu_p(d\eta) \right) du. \end{aligned}$$

According to the Kolomogrov-Chapman equation, $\frac{d}{du} K_t^x(u, \eta) = \mathcal{L} K_t^x(u, \eta)$. Then, by Equation (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \int f(\eta) \frac{d}{du} K_t^x(u, \eta) \nu_p(d\eta) &= \int f(\eta) \mathcal{L} K_t^x(u, \eta) \nu_p(d\eta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int K_t^x(u, \eta) \mathcal{L} f(\eta) + f(\eta) \mathcal{L} K_t^x(u, \eta) \nu_p(d\eta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int K_t^x(u, \eta) \mathcal{L} f(\eta) + f(\eta) \mathcal{L} K_t^x(u, \eta) - \mathcal{L}(f K_t^x(u))(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \int \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} (f(\eta^{z,y}) - f(\eta)) (K_t^x(u, \eta^{z,y}) - K_t^x(u, \eta)) \nu_p(d\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int f(\eta)G_t^x(\eta)\nu_p(d\eta) = \frac{1}{4} \int \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} \left(f(\eta^{z,y}) - f(\eta) \right) \mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta),$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta) = \int_0^{+\infty} K_t^x(u, \eta^{z,y}) - K_t^x(u, \eta) du.$$

Hence, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int f(\eta)G_t^x(\eta)\nu_p(d\eta) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{4} \int \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} \left(\sqrt{f}(\eta^{z,y}) - \sqrt{f}(\eta) \right) \left(\sqrt{f}(\eta^{z,y}) + \sqrt{f}(\eta) \right) \mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\int \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} \left(\sqrt{f}(\eta^{z,y}) - \sqrt{f}(\eta) \right)^2 \nu_p(d\eta)} \\ &\quad \times \sqrt{\int \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} \left(\sqrt{f}(\eta^{z,y}) + \sqrt{f}(\eta) \right)^2 (\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 \nu_p(d\eta)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f})} \sqrt{\int \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} \left(\sqrt{f}(\eta^{z,y}) + \sqrt{f}(\eta) \right)^2 (\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 \nu_p(d\eta)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

According to the inequality $(c + b)^2 \leq 2c^2 + 2b^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} \left(\sqrt{f}(\eta^{z,y}) + \sqrt{f}(\eta) \right)^2 (\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 \nu_p(d\eta) \\ &\leq 2 \int \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} \left(f(\eta^{z,y}) + f(\eta) \right) (\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 \nu_p(d\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

By Equation (3.4),

$$\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta) = \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{T}^d} g_t^x(w) (p_u(w, z) - p_u(w, y)) (\eta(y) - \eta(z)) du$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \sum_{w_1 \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{w_2 \in \mathbb{T}^d} \left(g_t^x(w_1) g_t^x(w_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times (p_{u_1}(w_1, z) - p_{u_1}(w_1, y)) (p_{u_2}(w_2, z) - p_{u_2}(w_2, y)) \right) du_1 du_2. \end{aligned}$$

According to an analysis similar with that given in the proof of Equation (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} (p_{u_1}(w_1, z) - p_{u_1}(w_1, y)) (p_{u_2}(w_2, z) - p_{u_2}(w_2, y)) du_1 du_2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \int_0^{+\infty} p_{u_1}(w_1, z) 1_{\{w_2=z\}} du_1 = 2 \int_0^{+\infty} p_{u_1}(w_1, w_2) du_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} (\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 &\leq 2 \sum_{w_1 \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{w_2 \in \mathbb{T}^d} g_t^x(w_1) g_t^x(w_2) \int_0^{+\infty} p_u(w_1, w_2) du \\
&= 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}(s_1+s_2)} p_{s_1+s_2+u}(x, x) ds_1 ds_2 du \\
&\leq 2 \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} p_{s_1+s_2+u}(x, x) ds_1 ds_2 du. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

We denote by J_1 the term $2 \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} p_{s_1+s_2+u}(x, x) ds_1 ds_2 du$. Note that $J_1 < +\infty$ according to Equation (2.2). Since f is a ν_p -density,

$$\int \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} f(\eta) (\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 \nu_p(d\eta) \leq J_1.$$

According to the spatial-homogeneity of ν_p ,

$$\int f(\eta^{y,z}) (\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 \nu_p(d\eta) = \int f(\eta) (\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta^{y,z}))^2 \nu_p(d\eta) = \int f(\eta) (\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 \nu_p(d\eta).$$

As a result,

$$\int \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} (f(\eta^{z,y}) + f(\eta)) (\mathcal{A}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 \nu_p(d\eta) \leq 2J_1.$$

Then, by Equation (3.8), we have

$$\left| \int f(\eta) G_t^x(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) \right| \leq \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f})} \sqrt{J_1}.$$

Consequently, for any ν_p -density f ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sqrt{t}\theta}{a_t} \int G_t^x(\eta) f(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) - \frac{t^2}{a_t^2} \mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f}) &\leq \frac{\sqrt{t}\theta}{a_t} \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f})} \sqrt{J_1} - \frac{t^2}{a_t^2} \mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f}) \\
&\leq \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{t}} \sqrt{J_1} b - b^2 \right\} = \frac{\theta^2 J_1}{4t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\theta^2 J_1}{4t} = 0$, Equation (3.6) holds and the proof is complete. \square

3.2 Proofs of Lemma 3.2 and 3.3

In this subsection, we prove Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. To avoid repeating many details similar with those in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we only give outlines. The following lemma is an analogue of Lemma 3.4 and plays key role in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.5. *For any $(x, w) \in \mathbb{Y}$, $t > 0$, $u \geq 0$ and $\eta \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{T}^d}$, let*

$$\mathcal{U}_t^{x,w}(u, \eta) = \mathbb{E}_\eta \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} \left((\eta_u(z) - \eta_u(y))^2 - 2p(1-p) \right) (g_t^x(y) - g_t^x(z)) (g_t^w(y) - g_t^w(z)).$$

Under ν_p ,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{U}_t^{x,w}(u) = 0 \text{ in } L^2.$$

The outline of the proof of Lemma 3.5. For simplicity, we denote by $\hat{g}_{t,y,z}^{x,w}$ the term

$$(g_t^x(y) - g_t^x(z))(g_t^w(y) - g_t^w(z)).$$

According to Equation (1.4),

$$\mathcal{U}_t^{x,w}(u, \eta) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} \sum_{(v,r) \in \mathbb{Y}} q_u((y, z), (v, r)) \left((\eta(v) - \eta(r))^2 - 2p(1-p) \right) \hat{g}_{t,y,z}^{x,w}. \quad (3.10)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \left(|\mathcal{U}_t^{x,w}(u)|^2 \right) \\ &= \sum \mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(q_u((y_i, z_i), (v_i, r_i)) \left((\eta(v_i) - \eta(r_i))^2 - 2p(1-p) \right) \hat{g}_{t,y_i,z_i}^{x,w} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{T}^d$, $z_1 \sim y_1, z_2 \sim y_2$, $(v_1, r_1) \in \mathbb{Y}$, $(v_2, r_2) \in \mathbb{Y}$. According to the definition of ν_p , we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(q_u((y_i, z_i), (v_i, r_i)) \left((\eta(v_i) - \eta(r_i))^2 - 2p(1-p) \right) \hat{g}_{t,y_i,z_i}^{x,w} \right) = 0$$

when $\{v_1, r_1\} \cap \{v_2, r_2\} = \emptyset$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \left(|\mathcal{U}_t^{x,w}(u)|^2 \right) \leq \sum \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(q_u((y_i, z_i), (v_i, r_i)) \hat{g}_{t,y_i,z_i}^{x,w} \right),$$

where the sum is over $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{T}^d$, $z_1 \sim y_1, z_2 \sim y_2$ and $(v_1, r_1) \in \mathbb{Y}, (v_2, r_2) \in \mathbb{Y}$ such that

$$\{v_1, r_1\} \cap \{v_2, r_2\} \neq \emptyset.$$

According to the definition of $q_u(\cdot, \cdot)$, $\sum_{\substack{(v_1, r_1), (v_2, r_2) \in \mathbb{Y} \\ \{v_1, r_1\} \cap \{v_2, r_2\} \neq \emptyset}} \prod_{i=1}^2 q_u((y_i, z_i), (v_i, r_i))$ is the probability of the event

$$\{Y_u^{y_1, z_1}(1), Y_u^{y_1, z_1}(2)\} \cap \{\hat{Y}_u^{y_2, z_2}(1), \hat{Y}_u^{y_2, z_2}(2)\} \neq \emptyset,$$

where $\{\hat{Y}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an independent copy of $\{Y_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. According the definition of $\{Y_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, $\{Y_t(k)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a copy of $\{V_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ for $k = 1, 2$. Hence, for $k = 1, 2$ and $l = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(Y_u^{y_1, z_1}(l) = \hat{Y}_u^{y_2, z_2}(k) \right) &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{T}^d} p_u((y_1, z_1)(l), r) p_u((y_2, z_2)(k), r) \\ &= p_{2u}((y_1, z_1)(l), (y_2, z_2)(k)) \leq e^{-2u(\sqrt{d}-1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

according to Equation (2.2). Therefore,

$$\sum_{\substack{(v_1, r_1), (v_2, r_2) \in \mathbb{Y} \\ \{v_1, r_1\} \cap \{v_2, r_2\} \neq \emptyset}} \prod_{i=1}^2 q_u((y_i, z_i), (v_i, r_i)) \leq 4e^{-2u(\sqrt{d}-1)^2}$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \left(|\mathcal{U}_t^{x,w}(u)|^2 \right) \leq 4e^{-2u(\sqrt{d}-1)^2} \left(\sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} \hat{g}_{t,y,z}^{x,w} \right)^2.$$

According to the proof of Equation (3.2),

$$\left(\sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} \hat{g}_{t,y,z}^{x,w} \right)^2 = \left(2g_t^x(w) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}(s_1+s_2)} p_{s_1+s_2}(x, x) ds_1 ds_2 \right)^2 < +\infty$$

and hence Lemma 3.5 holds. \square

Now we give the proof of Lemma 3.2.

The outline of the proof of Lemma 3.2. According to Markov inequality and Lemma 7.2 in Appendix 1 of [9], we only need to show that, for any $\theta > 0$,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{f \text{ is a } \nu_p\text{-density}} \left\{ \theta \int \mathcal{U}_t^{x,w}(0, \eta) f(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) - \frac{t^2}{a_t^2} \mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f}) \right\} \leq 0. \quad (3.11)$$

According to Lemma 3.5 and an analysis similar with that leading to Equation (3.7), we have

$$\left| \int f(\eta) \mathcal{U}_t^{x,w}(0, \eta) \nu_p(d\eta) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f})} \sqrt{\int \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} \left(\sqrt{f}(\eta^{z,y}) + \sqrt{f}(\eta) \right)^2 (\mathcal{H}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 \nu_p(d\eta)},$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{z,y}^x(\eta) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{U}_t^{x,w}(u, \eta^{z,y}) - \mathcal{U}_t^{x,w}(u, \eta) du.$$

By Equation (3.10),

$$|\mathcal{H}_{z,y}^x(\eta)| \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \sum q_u((\omega, \tau), (v, r)) \hat{g}_{t,\omega,\tau}^{x,w} du,$$

where the sum is over $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d, \tau \sim \omega$ and $(v, r) \in \mathbb{Y}$ such that $\{v, r\} \cap \{y, z\} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} |\mathcal{H}_{z,y}^x(\eta)|^2 \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} \sum \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(q_{u_i}((\omega_i, \tau_i), (v_i, r_i)) \hat{g}_{t,\omega_i,\tau_i}^{x,w} \right) du_1 du_2,$$

where the sum in the integral is over $y \in \mathbb{T}^d, z \sim y, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathbb{T}^d, \tau_1 \sim \omega_1, \tau_2 \sim \omega_2$ and

$$(v_1, r_1), (v_2, r_2) \in \mathbb{Y}$$

such that $\{v_i, r_i\} \cap \{y, z\} \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$. According to the definition of $q_u(\cdot, \cdot)$, the sum

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} \sum_{\substack{(v_1, r_1), (v_2, r_2) \in \mathbb{Y}, \\ \{v_i, r_i\} \cap \{y, z\} \neq \emptyset \text{ for } i=1,2}} \prod_{i=1}^2 q_{u_i}((\omega_i, \tau_i), (v_i, r_i))$$

is at most the probability of the event

$$D\left(Y_{u_1}^{w_1, \tau_1}(k), \hat{Y}_{u_2}^{w_2, \tau_2}(l)\right) \leq 1$$

for some $l = 1, 2$ and $k = 1, 2$, where $\{\hat{Y}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an independent copy of $\{Y_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. Then, according to Equation (2.2), the total probability formula and the fact that each vertex on \mathbb{T}^d has $d + 1$ neighbors, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} \sum_{\substack{(v_1, r_1), (v_2, r_2) \in \mathbb{V}, \\ \{v_i, r_i\} \cap \{y, z\} \neq \emptyset \text{ for } i=1,2}} \prod_{i=1}^2 q_{u_i}((\omega_i, \tau_i), (v_i, r_i)) \\ & \leq 4(d+2) \min\{e^{-u_1(\sqrt{d}-1)^2}, e^{-u_2(\sqrt{d}-1)^2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, according to the proof of Equation of (3.2), we have

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} |\mathcal{H}_{z,y}^x(\eta)|^2 \leq J_3,$$

where

$$J_3 = \left(2 \int_0^{+\infty} p_s(x, w) ds\right)^2 \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} 4(d+2) e^{-\max\{u_1, u_2\}(\sqrt{d}-1)^2} du_1 du_2 < +\infty.$$

Consequently, for any ν_p -density f ,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta \int \mathcal{U}_t^{x,w}(0, \eta) f(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) - \frac{t^2}{a_t^2} \mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f}) & \leq \theta \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f})} \sqrt{J_3} - \frac{t^2}{a_t^2} \mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f}) \\ & \leq \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}} \left(\theta \sqrt{J_3} b - \frac{t^2}{a_t^2} b^2 \right) = \frac{\theta^2 a_t^2 J_3}{4t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_t/t \rightarrow 0$, Equation (3.11) holds and the proof is complete. \square

Now we prove Lemma 3.3. We need the following lemma as a preliminary, which is an analogue of Lemmas 3.4 and .

Lemma 3.6. *For any $x \in \mathbb{T}^d, t > 0, u \geq 0$ and $\eta \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{T}^d}$, let $\mathcal{R}_t^x(u, \eta) = \mathbb{E}_\eta(\eta_u(x) - p)$. Under ν_p ,*

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{R}_t^x(u) = 0 \text{ in } L^2.$$

The outline of the proof of Lemma 3.6. According to Equation (1.3),

$$\mathcal{R}_t^x(u, \eta) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} p_u(x, y) (\eta(y) - p).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \left(|\mathcal{R}_t^x(u)|^2 \right) & = \sum_{y_1 \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y_2 \in \mathbb{T}^d} p_u(x, y_1) p_u(x, y_2) \text{Cov}_{\nu_p}(\eta(y_1), \eta(y_2)) \\ & = p(1-p) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} p_u(x, y) p_u(x, y) = p(1-p) p_{2u}(x, x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Lemma 3.6 follows from Equation (2.2). \square

At last, we give the proof of Lemma 3.3.

The outline of the proof of Lemma 3.3. According to Markov inequality and Lemma 7.2 in Appendix 1 of [9], we only need to show that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{f \text{ is a } \nu_p\text{-density}} \left\{ \frac{t}{a_t} \int (\eta(x) - p) f(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) - \frac{t^2}{a_t^2} \mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f}) \right\} < +\infty. \quad (3.12)$$

According to Lemma 3.6 an analysis similar with that leading to Equation (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int f(\eta) (\eta(x) - p) \nu_p(d\eta) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f})} \sqrt{\int \sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} \left(\sqrt{f}(\eta^{z,y}) + \sqrt{f}(\eta) \right)^2 (\mathcal{B}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 \nu_p(d\eta)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{z,y}^x(\eta) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{R}_t^x(u, \eta^{z,y}) - \mathcal{R}_t^x(u, \eta) du.$$

According to an analysis similar with that leading to Equation (3.9), we have

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim z} (\mathcal{B}_{z,y}^x(\eta))^2 \leq 2 \int_0^{+\infty} p_u(x, x) du.$$

Consequently,

$$\left| \int f(\eta) (\eta(x) - p) \nu_p(d\eta) \right| \leq \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f})} \sqrt{J_2},$$

where $J_2 = 2 \int_0^{+\infty} p_u(x, x) du$. Hence, for any ν_p -density f ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t}{a_t} \int (\eta(x) - p) f(\eta) \nu_p(d\eta) - \frac{t^2}{a_t^2} \mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f}) & \leq \frac{t}{a_t} \sqrt{\mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f})} \sqrt{J_2} - \frac{t^2}{a_t^2} \mathfrak{D}(\sqrt{f}) \\ & \leq \sup_{b \in \mathbb{R}} \left(b \sqrt{J_2} - b^2 \right) = \frac{J_2}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, Equation (3.12) holds and the proof is complete. \square

4 The proof of Equation (2.4)

Now we give the proof of Equation (2.4).

Proof of Equation (2.4). Throughout this proof we assume that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m are fixed. According to Lemma 3.3, we only need to prove Equation (2.4) for all compact $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$. For any $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we define

$$\Xi_s^{t, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, c} = \exp \left\{ \frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j G_t^{x_j}(\eta_s) - \frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j G_t^{x_j}(\eta_0) - \int_0^s \frac{\mathcal{L} e^{\frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j G_t^{x_j}(\eta_u)}}{e^{\frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j G_t^{x_j}(\eta_u)}} du \right\}$$

as in Section 3. According to Feynman-Kac formula, $\{\Xi_s^{t, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, c}\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ is a martingale. According to the definition of \mathcal{L} , we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{L} e^{\frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j G_t^{x_j}(\eta_u)}}{e^{\frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j G_t^{x_j}(\eta_u)}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} \left(e^{\frac{a_t}{t} (\eta_u(z) - \eta_u(y))} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j (g_t^{x_j}(y) - g_t^{x_j}(z)) - 1 \right).$$

Then, according to the Taylor's expansion formula up to the second order,

$$\int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{L} e^{\frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j G_t^{x_j}(\eta_u)}}{e^{\frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j G_t^{x_j}(\eta_u)}} du = \frac{a_t^2}{t} (\text{I} + \text{II} + o(1)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{I} &= \frac{1}{a_t} \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d, z \sim y} (\eta_u(z) - \eta_u(y)) (g_t^{x_j}(y) - g_t^{x_j}(z)) du \\ &= \frac{1}{a_t} \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \mathcal{L} G_t^{x_j}(\eta_u) du = \frac{1}{a_t \sqrt{t}} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \int_0^t G_t^{x_j}(\eta_u) du - \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \frac{1}{a_t} \xi_t^{x_j} \end{aligned}$$

according to Equation (3.1) and

$$\text{II} = \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} (\eta_u(z) - \eta_u(y))^2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m c_j c_k (g_t^{x_j}(y) - g_t^{x_j}(z)) (g_t^{x_k}(y) - g_t^{x_k}(z)) du.$$

Therefore, according to Equation (3.2) and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, we have

$$\text{I} + \text{II} = - \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \frac{1}{a_t} \xi_t^{x_j} + \frac{1}{2} c^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} c + \varepsilon_{1,t},$$

where $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} (|\varepsilon_{1,t}| \geq \epsilon) = -\infty$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Then, since $\frac{|G_t^{x_j}(\eta)|}{a_t} \leq \frac{\sqrt{t}}{a_t}$, we have

$$\Xi_t^{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, c} = \exp \left\{ \frac{a_t^2}{t} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j \frac{1}{a_t} \xi_t^{x_j} - \frac{1}{2} c^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} c + \varepsilon_{2,t} \right) \right\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} (|\varepsilon_{2,t}| \geq \epsilon) = -\infty$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Therefore, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and compact $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \Xi_t^{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, c} \geq \mathbb{E}_{\nu_p} \left(\Xi_t^{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, c} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \in \mathcal{C}, |\varepsilon_{2,t}| \leq \epsilon \right\}} \right) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \in \mathcal{C}, |\varepsilon_{2,t}| \leq \epsilon \right) e^{\frac{a_t^2}{t} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{C}} \{c \cdot u - \frac{1}{2} c^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} c - \epsilon\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, since $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} (|\varepsilon_{2,t}| \geq \epsilon) = -\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \in \mathcal{C} \right) &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \in \mathcal{C}, |\varepsilon_{2,t}| \leq \epsilon \right) \\ &\leq - \inf_{u \in \mathcal{C}} \{c \cdot u - \frac{1}{2} c^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} c - \epsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ and c are arbitrary, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \in \mathcal{C} \right) \leq - \sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}^m} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{C}} \{c \cdot u - \frac{1}{2} c^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} c\}.$$

Since $c \cdot u - \frac{1}{2}c^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} c$ is linear in u and concave in c , according to the minimax theorem given in [18], we have

$$\sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}^m} \inf_{u \in \mathcal{C}} \{c \cdot u - \frac{1}{2}c^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} c\} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{C}} \sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}^m} \{c \cdot u - \frac{1}{2}c^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} c\} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{C}} I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u)$$

and the proof is complete. \square

5 The proof of Equation (2.5)

In this section, we prove Equation (2.5). We first give two lemmas as preliminaries.

Lemma 5.1. *If $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ makes $I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u) < +\infty$, then there exists $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} \varphi = u$ and*

$$I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u) = \varphi \cdot u - \frac{1}{2}\varphi^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} \varphi = \frac{1}{2}\varphi^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} \varphi.$$

The proof of Lemma 5.1 follows from a routine analysis utilizing Riesz representation theorem, the detail of which we omit in this paper.

Lemma 5.2. *For given $c \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{T}^d$, let $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{c,t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}$ be the probability measure such that*

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{c,t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}}{d\mathbb{P}_{\nu_p}} = \Xi_t^{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, c}.$$

The process $\{\frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}\}_{t \geq 0}$ converges in $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{c,t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}$ -probability to $\Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} c$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Lemma 5.2 is a standard step in the proof of the MDP lower bound, the proof of which is given in Appendix A.4. At last, we give the proof of Equation (2.5).

Proof of Equation (2.5). Equation (2.5) is trivial when $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{O}} I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u) = +\infty$. Hence, we only deal with the case where $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{O}} I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u) < +\infty$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $u_\epsilon \in \mathcal{O}$ such that

$$I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u_\epsilon) \leq \inf_{u \in \mathcal{O}} I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u) + \epsilon.$$

By Lemma 5.1, there exists $\varphi_\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $\Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} \varphi_\epsilon = u_\epsilon$ and

$$I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u_\epsilon) = \varphi_\epsilon \cdot u_\epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_\epsilon^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} \varphi_\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_\epsilon^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} \varphi_\epsilon.$$

We define D_1 as

$$D_1 = \left\{ u \in \mathbb{R}^m : \left| \varphi_\epsilon \cdot u - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_\epsilon^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} \varphi_\epsilon - I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u_\epsilon) \right| < \epsilon \right\}$$

and denote by $E_{1,t}$ the event $\left\{ \frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \in D_1 \cap \mathcal{O} \right\}$. By Lemma 5.2, $\frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}$ converges in $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{\varphi_\epsilon, t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}$ -probability to u_ϵ and hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{\mathbb{P}}_{\varphi_\epsilon, t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(E_{1,t}) = 1.$$

By Taylor's expansion formula up to the second order and Equation (3.2), there exists a constant $M < +\infty$ independent of t such that

$$\left(\Xi_t^{t, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, \varphi_\epsilon}\right)^2 \leq \Xi_t^{t, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, 2\varphi_\epsilon} \exp\left\{\frac{a_t^2}{t}M\right\}$$

for sufficiently large t . Hence, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \hat{\mathbb{P}}_{\varphi_\epsilon, t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} (|\varepsilon_{2,t}| \geq \epsilon) = -\infty,$$

where $\varepsilon_{2,t}$ is defined as in Equation (4.1). Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{\mathbb{P}}_{\varphi_\epsilon, t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} (E_{2,t}) = 1,$$

where $E_{2,t} = E_{1,t} \cap \{|\varepsilon_{2,t}| < \epsilon\}$. Consequently, by Equation (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \in \mathcal{O} \right) &= \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{\varphi_\epsilon, t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \left(\left(\Xi_t^{t, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, \varphi_\epsilon} \right)^{-1} 1_{\left\{ \frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \in \mathcal{O} \right\}} \right) \\ &\geq \hat{\mathbb{E}}_{\varphi_\epsilon, t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \left(\left(\Xi_t^{t, \{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, \varphi_\epsilon} \right)^{-1} 1_{E_{2,t}} \right) \\ &\geq \exp \left\{ -\frac{a_t^2}{t} (I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u_\epsilon) + 2\epsilon) \right\} \hat{\mathbb{P}}_{\varphi_\epsilon, t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} (E_{2,t}) \\ &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{a_t^2}{t} (I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u_\epsilon) + 2\epsilon) \right\} (1 + o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \in \mathcal{O} \right) &\geq -I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u_\epsilon) - 2\epsilon \\ &\geq -\inf_{u \in \mathcal{O}} I_{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(u) - 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, the proof is complete. \square

A Appendix

A.1 The proof of Equation (2.2)

Proof of Equation (2.2). Suppose that $D(x, y) = k$. According to the structure of \mathbb{T}^d , there is a function β from \mathbb{T}^d to \mathbb{Z} such that, for each $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$, one neighbor w of z satisfies $\beta(w) = \beta(z) - 1$ and other d neighbors v of z satisfies $\beta(v) = \beta(z) + 1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\beta(x) = 0$ and $\beta(y) = k$. Then, according to the spatial homogeneity of $\{V_t\}_{t \geq 0}$,

$$p_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{d^k} \mathbb{P}(\beta(V_t^x) = k, D(V_t^x, x) = k) \leq \frac{1}{d^k} \mathbb{P}(\beta(V_t^x) = k). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Since $\{\beta(V_t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a continuous-time Markov process such that $\beta(V_t) \rightarrow \beta(V_t) + 1$ at rate d and $\beta(V_t) \rightarrow \beta(V_t) - 1$ at rate 1, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E} e^{-\theta \beta(V_t^x)} = \left(d(e^{-\theta} - 1) + (e^\theta - 1) \right) \mathbb{E} e^{-\theta \beta(V_t^x)}$$

for any $\theta > 0$ according to Kolmogorov-Chapman equation. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E} e^{-\theta \beta(V_t^x)} = e^{t(d(e^{-\theta}-1)+(e^\theta-1))}.$$

By Markov inequality,

$$\mathbb{P}(\beta(V_t^x) = k) \leq \mathbb{P}(\beta(V_t^x) \leq k) \leq e^{\theta k} \mathbb{E} e^{-\theta \beta(V_t^x)}.$$

Taking $\theta = \log(\sqrt{d})$, then Equation (2.2) follows from Equation (A.1). □

A.2 The proof of Equation (3.1)

Proof of Equation (3.1). According to the definition of \mathcal{L} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}G_t^x(\eta) &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \mathcal{L}(\eta(y) - p)g_t^x(y) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} \left((\eta(z) - p) - (\eta(y) - p) \right) g_t^x(y) \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} (\eta(y) - p) \left(\sum_{z \sim y} g_t^x(z) - (d+1)g_t^x(y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For any $y \in \mathbb{T}^d$, according to the formula of integral by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z \sim y} g_t^x(z) - (d+1)g_t^x(y) &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}s} \left(\sum_{z \sim y} p_s(x, z) - (d+1)p_s(x, y) \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}s} \frac{d}{ds} p_s(x, y) ds \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}s} p_s(x, y) \Big|_0^{+\infty} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}s} p_s(x, y) ds \\ &= -1_{\{y=x\}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} g_t^x(y). \end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$\mathcal{L}G_t^x(\eta) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} (\eta(y) - p) \left(-1_{\{y=x\}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} g_t^x(y) \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} G_t^x(\eta) - (\eta(x) - p). □$$

A.3 The proof of Equation (3.2)

Proof of Equation (3.2). According to the definition of g_t^x, g_t^w ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} (g_t^x(y) - g_t^x(z)) (g_t^w(y) - g_t^w(z)) \\
&= 2(d+1) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} g_t^x(y) g_t^w(y) - 2 \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} g_t^x(y) g_t^w(z) \\
&= 2 \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} g_t^x(y) \left((d+1) g_t^w(y) - \sum_{z \sim y} g_t^w(z) \right) \\
&= 2 \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} g_t^x(y) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}s} \left((d+1) p_s(w, y) - \sum_{z \sim y} p_s(w, z) \right) ds \\
&= 2 \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} g_t^x(y) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}s} \left(-\frac{d}{ds} p_s(w, y) \right) ds \\
&= 2 \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} g_t^x(y) \left(1_{\{y=w\}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} g_t^x(y) \right) = 2g_t^x(w) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} (g_t^x(y))^2 \\
&= 2g_t^x(w) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}(s+u)} p_{s+u}(x, x) du ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By Equation (2.2),

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} p_{s+u}(x, x) du ds < +\infty.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{z \sim y} (g_t^x(y) - g_t^x(z)) (g_t^w(y) - g_t^w(z)) = 2 \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_t^x(w) = 2 \int_0^{+\infty} p_s(x, w) ds.$$

□

A.4 The proof of Lemma 5.2

Proof of Lemma 5.2. We only need to show that, for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $b \cdot \frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}$ converges in $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{c,t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}$ -probability to $b^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} c$. For any $x \sim y$ and $\eta \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{T}^d}$, we denote by $\mathcal{T}_t^{x,y}(\eta)$ the term

$$\exp \left\{ \frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i G_t^{x_i}(\eta^{x,y}) - \frac{a_t}{t} \sum_{i=1}^m c_i G_t^{x_i}(\eta) \right\}.$$

For $0 \leq s \leq t$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_s^{t,b} &= \frac{1}{a_t} \sum_{i=1}^m b_i G_t^{x_i}(\eta_s) - \frac{1}{a_t} \sum_{i=1}^m b_i G_t^{x_i}(\eta_0) \\
&\quad - \int_0^s \frac{1}{2a_t} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim x} \mathcal{T}_t^{x,y}(\eta_u) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i (G_t^{x_i}(\eta_u^{x,y}) - G_t^{x_i}(\eta_u)) \right) du.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Proposition 7.3 in Appendix 1 of [9], $\{\mathcal{M}_s^{t,b}\}_{0 \leq s \leq t}$ is a martingale. According to the Taylor's expansion formula up to the first order, the term

$$\int_0^t \frac{1}{2a_t} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim x} \mathcal{T}_t^{x,y}(\eta_u) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i (G_t^{x_i}(\eta_u^{x,y}) - G_t^{x_i}(\eta_u)) \right) du$$

equals III + IV + $o(1)$, where

$$\text{III} = \frac{1}{a_t} \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \mathcal{L} G_t^{x_i}(\eta_u) du$$

and

IV

$$= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sum_{x \in \mathbb{T}^d} \sum_{y \sim x} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m (\eta_u(x) - \eta_u(y))^2 c_i b_j (g_t^{x_i}(y) - g_t^{x_i}(x)) (g_t^{x_j}(y) - g_t^{x_j}(x)) du.$$

Then, according to Equation (3.2) and Lemmas 3.1, 3.2,

$$\text{III} + \text{IV} = -b \cdot \frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} + b^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} c + \varepsilon_{4,t},$$

where $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p}(|\varepsilon_{4,t}| \geq \epsilon) = -\infty$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. By Taylor's expansion formula up to the second order and Equation (3.2), there exists a constant $M_2 < +\infty$ independent of t such that

$$\left(\Xi_t^{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, c} \right)^2 \leq \Xi_t^{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m, 2c} \exp \left\{ \frac{a_t^2}{t} M_2 \right\} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

for sufficiently large t . Hence, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \hat{\mathbb{P}}_{c,t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(|\varepsilon_{4,t}| \geq \epsilon) = -\infty.$$

Consequently, since $|G_t^x(\eta)| \leq \sqrt{t}$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_t^{t,b} = b \cdot \frac{1}{a_t} \Lambda_t^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} - b^T \Gamma_{\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m} c + \varepsilon_{5,t},$$

where $\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t}{a_t^2} \log \hat{\mathbb{P}}_{c,t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}(|\varepsilon_{5,t}| \geq \epsilon) = -\infty$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Then, according to Doob's inequality, to complete the proof we only need to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\mathcal{M}_s^{t,b} - \mathcal{M}_{s-}^{t,b} \right)^2 = 0$$

in $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_{c,t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m}$ -probability. At each jump moment s , $\mathcal{M}_s^{t,b} - \mathcal{M}_{s-}^{t,b} = O(a_t^{-1})$. Then, under \mathbb{P}_{ν_p} , $\sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\mathcal{M}_s^{t,b} - \mathcal{M}_{s-}^{t,b} \right)^2$ is stochastically dominated from above by $\frac{M_3}{a_t^2} \varpi(tM_4)$, where $M_3, M_4 < +\infty$ are two constants independent of t and $\{\varpi(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Poisson process at rate 1. Therefore, according to Markov inequality, it is easy to check that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{a_t^2} \log \mathbb{P}_{\nu_p} \left(\sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\mathcal{M}_s^{t,b} - \mathcal{M}_{s-}^{t,b} \right)^2 \geq \epsilon \right) = -\infty$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Then, by Equation (A.2) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{a_t^2} \log \hat{\mathbb{P}}_{c,t}^{\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m} \left(\sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left(\mathcal{M}_s^{t,b} - \mathcal{M}_{s-}^{t,b} \right)^2 \geq \epsilon \right) = -\infty$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ and the proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Dr. Linjie Zhao for useful comments. The author is grateful to financial supports from the National Natural Science Foundation of China with grant number 12371142 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities with grant number 2022JBMC039.

References

- [1] Birkner, M. and Zähle, I. (2007). A functional CLT for the occupation time of a state-dependent branching random walk. *The Annals of Probability* **35**, 2063-2090.
- [2] Bramson, M., Cox, J. T. and Griffeath, D. (1988). Occupation time large deviations of the voter model. *Probability Theory and Related Fields* **77**, 401-413.
- [3] Cox, J. T. and Griffeath, D. (1983). Occupation time limit theorems for the voter model. *The Annals of Probability* **11**, 876-893.
- [4] Deuschel, JD. and Rosen, J. (1998). Occupation time large deviations for critical branching Brownian motion and related processes. *The Annals of Probability* **26**, 602-643.
- [5] Gao, FQ. and Quastel, J. (2003). Moderate deviations from the hydrodynamic limit of the symmetric exclusion process. *Science in China (Series A)* **5**, 577-592.
- [6] Gao, F. and Quastel, J. (2024). Deviation inequalities and moderate deviations for the symmetric exclusion process. *Annales de l'IHP Probabilités et statistiques*. To appear.
- [7] Kipnis, C. (1987). Fluctuations des temps d'occupation d'un site dans l'exclusion simple symétrique. *Annales de l'IHP Probabilités et statistiques* **23**(1), 21-35.
- [8] Kipnis, C., Olla, S. and Varadhan, S. R. S. (1989). Hydrodynamics and large deviation for simple exclusion processes. *Communications on Pure & Applied Mathematics* **42**, 115-137.
- [9] Kipnis, C. and Landim, C. (1999) *Scaling limits of interacting particle systems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [10] Komorowski, T., Landim, C. and Olla, S. (2012). *Fluctuations in Markov processes: Time symmetry and Martingale approximation*. Springer, New York.
- [11] Landim, C. (1992). Occupation time large deviations for the symmetric simple exclusion process. *The Annals of Probability* **20**, 206-231.
- [12] Lee, T. Y., Landim, C. and Chang, C. (2004). Occupation time large deviations of two-dimensional symmetric simple exclusion process. *The Annals of Probability* **32**, 661-691.
- [13] Li, QY. and Ren, YX. (2011). A large deviation for occupation time of critical branching α -stable process. *Science China Mathematics* **54**, 1445-1456.
- [14] Liggett, T. M. (1985). *Interacting Particle Systems*. Springer, New York.
- [15] Liggett, T. M. (1999). *Stochastic interacting systems: contact, voter and exclusion processes*. Springer, New York.

- [16] Maillard, G, and Mountford, T. (2009). Large deviations for voter model occupation times in two dimensions. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré-Probabilités et Statistiques* **45**, 577-588.
- [17] Schonmann, H. (1986). Central limit theorem for the contact process. *The Annals of Probability* **14**, 1291-1295.
- [18] Sion, M. (1958). On general minimax theorems. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **8**, 171-176.
- [19] Xue, X. (2024). Limit theorems of occupation times of normalized binary contact path processes on lattices. *Journal of Applied Probability*. To appear.