

On the nature of information - an evolutionary perspective

Wouter van der Wijngaart*¹

¹Department of Intelligent Systems, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden

ABSTRACT

This Perspective explores the origins and persistence of recurrent structures and patterns throughout the known Universe. We start with a first fundamental question:

1. *Considering that all information consists of patterns in physical structure but not all physical patterns constitute information, what is the fundamental relation between these two?*

We first explore the materialistic nature of structures and information, detailing how they can form through spontaneous or templated processes and evolve into complex structures, including self-replicators. We posit that all recurring structures emerge either spontaneously *de novo* or based on underlying information. A main implication is that all information must be understood as both a product and a driver of evolution. We further observe that the three carriers of information underpin the emergence of three main layers of self-organisation: genes coded in DNA for the biological layer, ideas stored in neural structure for the cultural layer, and records written on innate objects for the civilisation layer. This gives rise to two additional questions, which we subsequently address:

2. *What can we anticipate about the future development of self-organizing layers given the role of information in their emergence?*

3. *What is the universality of information and its evolution throughout the Universe?*

This manuscript aims to offer a fresh perspective and a universal framework for information and the origin of structures by extending and unifying concepts from physics, biology, and information theory.

Keywords: Emergence, Evolution, Information, Patterns, Self-organisation

PHYSICAL PROCESSES*, STRUCTURES* AND THEIR EVOLUTION

Information is always composed of specific arrangements of matter components, which we refer to as constituents. These constituents themselves are matter. We place matter and its constituents at the centre of this perspective.¹

Matter assemblies*

We start from a nested definition of matter: matter consists of sets of constituents, referred to as "assemblies*"², which constituents themselves are matter. An assembly* is a set of matter constituents within a system, typically forming a composite entity. The overall properties of an assembly* are significantly influenced by the relationships between its constituents and can be described as a 2-tuple of i) its pose—defined by a spatiotemporal position vector (x) and orientation vector (Φ)—and ii) the relative poses and properties of its constituents. The physical properties of an assembly* emerge from the relative poses and properties of its constituents. In systems where constituents are conserved, assemblies* cannot be created or destroyed, though their properties may evolve if the relative poses of constituents change.

*contact: wouter@kth.se

¹In comparison to Signal Theory focusing on how information is signalled, i.e., translated through space, we here focus on how information is stored, i.e., translated through time.

²Words in this manuscript marked with an asterisk (*) have specific definitions that may slightly differ from their conventional meanings. The use of specific terminology with unique definitions may make the text less easy to read, but I opt for this approach to prepare for stringent and rigorous analysis in future efforts.

Processes*

Processes* are a relation between an assembly* at two different time points. Processes* represent the laws of physics acting on an assembly*, altering or maintaining the assembly* pose or properties. The assembly* before the process* is termed the input, and following the process*, the output.

In any process*, constituents are categorized as either active* or passive*. Active* constituents include those in the input or output that are altered by the process*, or those altering other structures* during the process* via mechanisms like force field modifications or mechanical interactions. Passive* constituents do not influence the process*'s outcome. Moving forward, references to assembly* constituents in processes* will pertain specifically to active* constituents, unless stated otherwise.

Structures*

We define a structure* as an assembly* with a persistent internal configuration of constituents.³ In this manuscript, a structure* is considered to retain its properties unless noted otherwise. Processes* are more likely to occur persistently if part of the input assembly* is structured*.

We define a Structure* *category* as the set of all structures* having identical⁴ constituents and constituent poses. (Categories are denoted with a capital; instances of a category with a versal.) A structure* transitions to a different Structure* category when its constituents reconfigure.

This perspective underscores that assemblies* and structures* are tangible material systems, with processes* embodying the laws of physics acting upon them.

De-novo-structuring*, replicating* and mutating*

Of specific interest in this Perspective are those processes* producing new structures*. We will refer to such new structures* as the product* of the process*. When a process* increases the number of instances of a Structure* category, this increase is either from zero instances in the input or from at least one instance in the input. We define the former as de-novo-structuring* and the latter as replicating*.

Replicating* processes may from time to time produce replicas* with enough variation to form new attractors. These new attractors indicate the system's evolution toward a new, stable structure*: essentially, a mutation*. In crystallisation, for example, defects in the crystal structure* are often replicated in subsequent layers as the crystal grows.(Kittel, 2005) In the biological context, Kauffman conceptualizes genetic regulatory networks as dynamical systems that can stabilize into various attractors, which represent stable gene expression patterns capable of enduring over time, even amidst external disruptions.(Kauffman, 1993)

Spontaneous* vs Templating* Processes* and Complex Structures*

Instances of Structure* categories that are active* in a process* but neither created nor destroyed are called templates*. Processes* that involve templates* are called templating processes*. Conversely, processes* that do not use templates* are called spontaneous processes*.

Templates* can actively influence processes* through a variety of mechanisms, such as modifying force fields, creating zones of minimal energy, establishing passive inert boundaries (walls, recipients, membranes), dynamic mechanical manipulation (by tools or machines) or catalysing outcomes. Specific templates* can consistently direct the formation of structures*, although results may vary due to external influences or inherent system dynamics.

The formation of complex structures* through spontaneous de-novo-processing* is limited by probability. The infinite monkey theorem illustrates the improbability of complex structures*, such as the complete works of William Shakespeare, emerging from a spontaneous process* (sha, 2002). For instance, amino acids (Oro, 1961) and fullerenes (Kroto et al., 1985; Foing and Ehrenfreund, 1994), are among the most complex molecules of extraterrestrial origin detected.

In contrast, certain templating* processes can consistently generate highly complex output structures*. These processes* rely on the complexity inherent in the templates* themselves. For instance, the

³"Persistent" can be interpreted as existing significantly longer than the diffusion timescale of its constituents.

⁴Physical processes* are inherently chaotic, although attractors, i.e., states that systems evolve towards and stabilize, may guide systems to predictable states despite initial variations.(Poincaré, 1899) Such attractors signify shifts in stability derived from the chaotic dynamics of physical processes*. Such dynamics are crucial for understanding how self-regulating or self-organizing processes* can produce predictable outcomes, as illustrated by the stability of dynamic systems amidst external disturbances (Prigogine and Stengers, 1984) or patterns in complex systems (Haken, 1977). Throughout this manuscript, the notions "identical" or "same" when referring to structures* or processes* must be understood as "being in the same attractor".

electronic structure* of atoms and molecules acts as a template* in chemical reactions, directing the formation of specific molecular structures* based on principles of minimal energy configurations (Pauling, 1960). We define complex structures* as those more complex than what spontaneous* processes* produce and note that complex structures* are produced in templating processes*.

Information*, phenotype*, and self-replicators*

We define an information* as a structure* that can mutate*. This means that information* is an element of a set of structures* (all possible mutations) for which a replication process* exists that can replicate* all elements of that set, and, additionally, that mutating processes* exist for each element of the set that can reconfigure that element into another. Mutations* have an evolutionary benefit because they can be replicated* using the same process*, unlike structures* that must rely on different replication processes* when altered. In genes, neural memory, and computer memory, mutations typically involve changes in the orientation or type of structural* constituents while preserving the overall internal order of the constituents.

This definition of information* and its processing aligns with key concepts in Information Science, such as information, sensing and signalling. Consider an information* with a set of $i = 1 \dots n$ matter constituents that are active* during processing*. The amount of information in such information*, its Shannon entropy $H = -\sum p_i \cdot \log p_i$, equals the Boltzmann entropy of this set, $S = -k_B \sum p_i \cdot \log p_i$, with an offset factor k_B . Signalling from a sender A to a receiver B can be described as a process* where information* at B is reconfigured into a mutated form, templated* by the information* at A. Signalling over a noisy channel additionally induces random reconfigurations to the information* at B. Sensing is a process* in which an environmental condition (process* or assembly*) reconfigures an information* using a sensor as a template*.

Note that *information** differs from our conventional understanding of *information*. Let's consider measuring the presence of rain with a rain sensor as a simple example. Conventionally, we consider the presence or absence of rain as information. However, in the reinterpretation of this manuscript, rain is a structure* and its presence or absence is not information*; rather, the information* here is the configured data storage structure* that can be altered by the rain sensor based on the absence/presence of rain.

We define phenotype* as structures* produced in a process* templated* by information*. We term such phenotype* production "translating*". Through translating*, information* gains its essence as an agent of change, as is obvious in biological, technological, social, and cognitive systems.

The crucial difference between information* and phenotype* structures* is that, although both may undergo (random) reconfiguration of their constituents, only changes in information* can be inherited through replication* processes*.

We define a self-replicator* as any set of structures* produced in processes* templated* by other structures* within the set. Our definition of a self-replicator* thus generalises the definition of an autocatalytic set.

Among all (autocatalytic) self-replicating sets, those containing information* have a higher probability of prevailing due to their ability to undergo mutations that provide evolutionary adaptation. Note that self-replicators* containing information* are, themselves, information*. To distinguish between the two, from this point on, references to information* will exclude self-replicators* unless explicitly stated otherwise.

While building on foundational concepts of self-organisation and evolution from Kauffman's seminal work 'The Origins of Order,' (Kauffman, 1993) this manuscript extends these ideas by exploring the role of information in not just biological systems but also in cultural, civilisational, and emerging cybernetic layers of self-organisation.

THE EVOLUTIONARY NATURE OF INFORMATION*

Our definition of information* as "mutable* structure*" closely links to what Richard Lewontin refers to as "any entities in nature that have variation, reproduction, and heritability" in the statement: "The generality of the principles of natural selection means that any entities in nature that have variation, reproduction, and heritability may evolve," from the foreword to his seminal work, "The Units of Selection" (Lewontin, 1970). This wording highlights the essential nature of all information: it forms the core of evolution.

The evolutionary nature of information* is often overlooked, despite its three significant consequences: 1) its ubiquity, 2) its meaning, and 3) its impact on the surrounding environment. Understanding information* through the lens of evolution is crucial for assessing its significance and value.

Ubiquity of Information*:

- The ubiquity of information* is based on the autopoietic nature of self-replicators* (Maturana and Varela, 1980). Self-replicators* form systems with the potential to fill their environment with replicas of themselves, typically at an exponential rate if unhindered. Moreover, self-replicators* containing information* have an evolutionary advantage. Evolution, therefore, leads to the emergence of self-replicators* that contain information* among their constituting structures*.
- Templated* replicating* can be subject to evolutionary pressure, improving the evolutionary fitness* of processes* that consistently form stable structures*. The universality of this concept is known as universal Darwinism (Hodgson, 2005). Complexity arises as natural selection accumulates small, advantageous mutations that enhance functionality and adaptation, a process detailed by Dawkins (Dawkins, 1986).
- The emergence of self-replicating entities is critical in studying the origin of life. Kauffman proposed that life may start from self-organized networks of molecular interactions that become autocatalytic (Kauffman, 1993). Mossel and Steel provided a framework to study conditions under which biochemical networks achieve autocatalysis (Mossel and Steel, 2005). Vasas et al. showed that multiple autocatalytic subsets can lead to evolutionary processes, including competition and selection (Vasas et al., 2012). Hordijk suggested extending autocatalytic sets to fields beyond biology, such as sociology, ecology, and economics (Hordijk, 2013).
- Through their autopoietic nature, self-replicators* and their constituting information* and associated phenotype* constitute a large fraction of the structures* in our environment.

Meaning of Information*:

- The meaning of information* is encoded in the type or pose of its constituents, a result of evolutionary processes*. Once generated through probabilistic processes*, self-replicators* and their information* evolve to become increasingly well-adapted to their environment. Natural selection shapes information* to enhance the survival and reproduction of its own structure* or any self-replicator* it belongs to.
- The "meaning" of information* is not a representation of an external reality but rather its evolutionary fitness in this external reality. Donald Hoffman explores this evolutionary aspect in his book "The Case Against Reality: Why Evolution Hid the Truth from Our Eyes," where he argues that our perception is a user interface crafted by natural selection to support survival and reproduction, rather than to disclose the truth (Hoffman, 2019). This thesis challenges conventional views on perception, suggesting that our understanding of reality is more about enhancing evolutionary fitness than providing a true reflection of the external world. Where Hoffman deals mainly with biological perceptual systems, we propose that this principle applies universally to all types of information*, across all domains. This includes not only brain structures but also other systems of information processing and storage.

Information* transforming its environment:

- Information* alters its environment by proliferating copies of itself, its phenotypes*, or any associated self-replicators*. We refer to the ensemble of structures* originating from information* as "Life*". These processes* and their interactions create the foundation for continuously evolving layers of self-organization, which will be explored in more detail in the following section.

STRUCTURE* ORIGINATES IN EMERGING LAYERS OF SELF-ORGANISATION

The world around us is abundant with patterns. These patterns emerge either from spontaneous* de-novo-structuring* or from the processing* of information*. These diverse systems of pattern formation interact within an evolutionary framework, where various structure*-forming processes* can enhance

or constrain one another, while natural selection continuously refines and adapts information*-driven structures*.

We can observe four distinct classes of information* based on the medium that forms their structure*: 1) the absence of information; 2) genes*, which are DNA structures and their methylation; 3) ideas*, which are those structures* in a neural system that have the potential to replicate* through social interactions; and 4) records*, defined as any information* stored on an inanimate carrier, such as a book or computer memory. These four classes underpin each a respective primary layer of self-organisation: the physical, biological, cultural, and civilizational layers.

The physical layer

In the absence of information*, de-novo-structures* emerge from the physical laws forming entities via symmetry breaking⁵ or self-organisation⁶. Examples include galaxies, planetary systems, sand dunes, volcanoes, meanders, snowflakes, and simple molecules.

The biological layer

Originating from abiotic chemical reactions, the biological layer may have evolved under the influence of natural selection and environmental pressures (Kauffman, 1993). The constituent information* in this layer is encoded within the structures of DNA and its methylation, forming the genetic blueprint that guides all biological functions. Cells and organisms are self-replicators* in this layer. The phenotype* includes all biological structures*.

The cultural layer

In the cultural layer, the constituent information* resides in transferable ideas* stored in the neural structure* of individuals. Culture can be defined as information* capable of affecting individuals' behaviour that they acquire from other individuals through teaching, imitation and other forms of social transmission processes*.(Boyd and Richerson, 1985) Examples of self-replicators* in the cultural layer include language, myths, musical tradition, ceremonies and cuisine. The phenotype* in this layer consists of the tangible cultural artifacts and social structures that arise from these ideas*, such as community practices or simple tools, which are concrete manifestations of the underlying information*.

The civilizational layer

The emergence of symbolic systems of communication in the form of written language, i.e., records*, marks the beginning of history. Written communication can store extensive information and underpin large, lasting infrastructures, and is therefore a necessity for the development of complex societies characterized by the development of the state, social stratification and urbanization.(Harari, 2015)

Examples of records* include: the master plates and original design specifications for the U.S. dollar bills in the US Bureau of Engraving and Printing; the Constitution of the United States in the National Archives; commercial trademarks in patent offices; Papal bulls and encyclicals in the Vatican archives, and; the source code for Windows 95 in encrypted repositories on secure servers managed by Microsoft's internal IT infrastructure. Examples of self-replicators* in this layer include multinational corporations, religious organizations, and political parties, which replicate their structures through franchising, doctrine propagation, or political campaigning, respectively.

Harari (Harari, 2015) uses Peugeot to illustrate how modern human societies are built on "shared myths" that allow for unprecedented levels of cooperation and organization among humans underpinning civilisation. What Harari calls "myths" are, in essence, specific structures*: human ideas*, which he

⁵Symmetry breaking is a process where a system transitions from symmetry to asymmetry due to perturbations. It is crucial in physical and chemical transformations such as phase transitions, where uniformity is disrupted, or in dynamic instabilities, where systems become unstable and transition to complex, unpredictable patterns. Star and planetary disk formation form an example of symmetry breaking, where an initial uniform isotropic molecular cloud collapses under gravity, whereupon rotational forces flatten it into a spinning disk, breaking the spherical symmetry. This results in a dense central star and a protoplanetary disk where planets form. Particles in the disk coalesce through accretion, growing into planets and moons, organizing into a structured solar system with clear orbital patterns.

⁶Spontaneous self-organization refers to processes* by which system components interact according to intrinsic rules without external guidance, resulting in organized structures emerging from local interactions. Reaction-diffusion systems are a particular example of spontaneous self-organization, where the interaction and diffusion of chemical substances form stable patterns like stripes or spirals, essential for biological morphogenesis.(Turing, 1952) Fractal growth is another form, characterized by iterative, scale-invariant patterns formed through repetitive interactions, as in the branching patterns of rivers.

describes as "imagined realities", and records*, including the company's articles of incorporation, patents for its technology, engineering plans, branding materials, and financial records. The phenotype* consists of the tangible products and services produced by Peugeot, such as cars, marketing campaigns, and customer service operations. Evolution progresses through the adaptation of these products and services to changing market demands (mutation of branding materials), technological advancements (mutation of engineering plans), and regulatory environments, driven by competition and innovation within the automotive industry. The replication* of a car manufacturer as a corporate entity occurs through several processes. Firstly, it happens through the establishment of new manufacturing plants, dealerships, and service centers, which expand the company's physical presence and operational capacity. Secondly, replication occurs through the continuous development and release of new car models and technologies, which propagate the brand and its market influence. Lastly, replication is facilitated by corporate mergers and acquisitions, as well as partnerships and alliances with other companies, which enable Peugeot to integrate new capabilities and expand into new markets.

FUTURE LAYERS OF SELF-ORGANISATION

We can speculate on potential future layers of self-organization by considering the material types underpinning information* and phenotype*.

In the biological and cultural layers, the earliest templates* and phenotypes* were of biological origin. Non-biological templates* and phenotypes* emerged later, proving advantageous in terms of natural selection. For example, sand acts as a template* during plant root development in the biological layer, while shelter constructions serve as templates* in the cultural layer. Similarly, calcified skeletons and simple tools are innate phenotypes* in these layers.

Newer layers increasingly rely on non-biological material structures*. The Industrial, Energy, and Digital Revolutions illustrate this trend, driven by the greater abundance and diversity of properties in non-biological materials compared to biological ones. The rise of the civilizational layer was particularly marked by the adoption of information* in non-biological records*. Interestingly, a connection remains between the cultural and civilizational layers: information* stored in neural structures* and inanimate objects can be partially replicated* and translated* between these layers. Moreover, the replicators* in both layers—cultures and civilizations—can evolve into each other, as seen in the rise and fall of civilizations.

The second half of the 21st century marks the emergence of what could become a new layer, the cybernetic layer, in which machines not only perform tasks but also communicate, adapt, and make decisions independently. Starting from the theoretical foundations of cybernetics in the mid-20th century, this layer developed through the rise of the internet, AI growth, and the advent of IoT. Today, it represents a mature stage where machines autonomously communicate, process* information*, and adapt without human intervention. Nevertheless, evolutions (innovations) in this layer still rely heavily on mutations* in human ideas* rather than machine-coded records*.

One evolutionary aspect yet to emerge is self-replicators* independent of biological constraints. Such self-replicators* could be autonomous robotic systems capable of self-reproduction using environmental raw materials, mirroring biological self-replication. This concept, initially proposed by Von Neumann as universal constructors (von Neumann, 1966), has been further explored by Freitas and Merkle (Freitas Jr. and Merkle, 2004). Such evolution would involve moving beyond gene-centered evolution, where genes are the units of selection (Williams, 1966). Synthetic self-replicators*, once dominant, could evolve their own cultural and civilizational layers of self-organization.

The evolution of such a new organizational layer hinges on the evolutionary fitness of synthetic self-replicators*. Here, materials and evolutionary processes* mark a clear divide between the layers of self-organization. Biological systems are bounded by the inherent limitations of biological materials, whereas abiotic systems can have much higher mechanical strength and support much higher energy conversion rates. From an evolutionary perspective, the biological layer evolved over billions of years to become highly energy-efficient and resilient to environmental changes. Genetic evolution follows small steps (local gradients) in the environmental fitness landscapes. In contrast, cognitive functions in the cultural layer and higher enable intelligent design to bypass fitness barriers in such landscapes. Humans, for instance, have been particularly adept at this, developing technologies such as wheels, which are unfeasible in biological evolution but achievable in the civilizational layer driven by human ingenuity.

The emergence of a new layer will likely depend on how effectively intelligent design tools, such as AI, can accelerate evolution and whether these tools will predominantly benefit civilizational evolution or self-replicating robots. For self-replicating* machines to establish a new layer, they must first prove beneficial to human civilization to avoid being outcompeted by existing structures*. Only once their evolutionary fitness surpasses that of civilizations can they become dominant.

UNIVERSALITY OF INFORMATION* AND THE NATURE OF SCIENCE

In this last section, we speculate about the ubiquity of information*-based structure* evolution throughout the Universe, including extraterrestrial life*. While our perspective does not address the probability of such processes*, it provides insight into the nature of potential extraterrestrial intelligent life*, if it exists.

Let us first review intelligent life on Earth and examine how our perspective aligns with philosophical views and the relationship between mathematics, science, and the physical world. Kant's "Critique of Pure Reason" Kant (1781) posits that inherent mental structures shape our understanding of reality, suggesting that our cognitive frameworks influence how we perceive and understand the world. Similarly, Wigner's "The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Mathematics in the Natural Sciences" (Wigner, 1960) highlights the surprising success of mathematics in describing natural phenomena, implying that our mathematical constructs might be inherently aligned with the universe's patterns.

We propose that the effectiveness of mathematics and science arises because both the biological phenotype* of our brains and our cultural scientific and mathematical ideas* have evolved to enhance evolutionary fitness. This evolutionary perspective aligns with Kant's notion of pre-set organizational methods and suggests that our brain structures* are fine-tuned to the universe's inherent patterns. While this supports the intertwined nature of mathematics and physical understanding, it contrasts with Tegmark's idea that the universe is inherently mathematical (Tegmark, 2014). Instead, we see mathematical ideas* as evolutionary tools that emerge and propagate based on their utility.

If intelligent life* evolves elsewhere, it would likely be driven by natural selection within its environment. Observations of convergent evolutionary processes reveal that certain traits, such as predation, "neural" structures* adapted for sensory input processing, and curiosity, may independently emerge multiple times (McGhee, 2011). These traits are evolutionarily advantageous and may lead to intelligent extraterrestrials whose information* structures* are finely tuned to their environments.

Given the universality of the laws of physics, one would expect that these "neural" structures* would evolve a fitness to these laws. Consequently, extraterrestrials might develop their own forms of "physics" and "mathematics". While these would differ from the human equivalents, their structures* would likely bear similarities, much like the independently evolved optical structures* in the eyes of insects, molluscs, and mammals show both differences and similarities (Nilsson and Pelger, 1994). Ultimately, extraterrestrial "physics" and "mathematics" would be shaped to optimize extraterrestrial evolutionary fitness.

OUTLOOK

This perspective integrates concepts from various disciplines — systems biology, information theory, complex systems theory, cybernetics, integrated information theory, and network science — while offering a broad view of systems and information interaction. It emphasizes the fundamental role of information*, both resulting from and as the driver of, natural selection, structure formation, and the emergence of complexity across different scales. While this work provides a general framework, aspects that could benefit from further exploration include the development of more rigorous, quantifiable metrics, enhanced explanations for specific emergent behaviours and unique complexities of biological systems, and a deeper consideration of the subjective aspects of information processing or detailed network interactions. We hope this manuscript provides a fresh view on complex systems and inspires future research to refine these concepts, perhaps leading to innovative applications in biomimicry, adaptive system design, or the development of predictive models.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I could not have developed this perspective without the substantial assistance of ChatGPT, which provided overviews, challenged my ideas, and helped formulate sentences that spanned across multiple disciplines of science.

REFERENCES

- (2002). *Notes Towards The Complete Works of Shakespeare*. i-DAT. Accessed: 2024-05-08.
- Boyd, R. and Richerson, P. J. (1985). *Culture and the Evolutionary Process*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Dawkins, R. (1986). *The Blind Watchmaker*. W. W. Norton & Company, New York.
- Foing, B. H. and Ehrenfreund, P. (1994). Detection of two interstellar absorption bands coincident with spectral features of c60+. *Nature*, 369(6478):296–298.
- Freitas Jr., R. A. and Merkle, R. C. (2004). *Kinematic Self-Replicating Machines*. Landes Bioscience, Georgetown, TX. All rights reserved.
- Haken, H. (1977). *Synergetics: An Introduction*. Springer-Verlag.
- Harari, Y. N. (2015). *Sapiens: A Brief History of Humankind*. Harper.
- Hodgson, G. M. (2005). Generalizing darwinism to social evolution: Some early attempts. *Journal of Economic Issues*, 39(4):899–914.
- Hoffman, D. D. (2019). *The Case Against Reality: Why Evolution Hid the Truth from Our Eyes*. W. W. Norton & Company.
- Hordijk, W. (2013). Autocatalytic sets: From the origin of life to the economy. *BioScience*, 63(11):877–881.
- Kant, I. (1781). *Critique of Pure Reason*.
- Kauffman, S. A. (1993). *The Origins of Order: Self-organization and Selection in Evolution*. Oxford University Press.
- Kittel, C. (2005). *Introduction to Solid State Physics*. Wiley, 8 edition. See Chapter on Crystallography and Defects in Crystals.
- Kroto, H. W., Heath, J. R., O'Brien, S. C., Curl, R. F., and Smalley, R. E. (1985). C60: Buckminsterfullerene. *Nature*, 318(6042):162–163.
- Lewontin, R. C. (1970). The units of selection. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 1:1–18.
- Maturana, H. R. and Varela, F. J. (1980). *Autopoiesis and Cognition: The Realization of the Living*. D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, Holland.
- McGhee, G. R. (2011). *Convergent Evolution: Limited Forms Most Beautiful*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Mossel, E. and Steel, M. (2005). Random biochemical networks and the probability of self-sustaining autocatalysis. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 233(3):327–336.
- Nilsson, D.-E. and Pelger, S. (1994). A pessimistic estimate of the time required for an eye to evolve. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 256(1345):53–58.
- Oro, J. (1961). Comets and the formation of biochemical compounds on the primitive earth. *Nature*, 190:389–390.
- Pauling, L. (1960). *The Nature of the Chemical Bond and the Structure of Molecules and Crystals: An Introduction to Modern Structural Chemistry*. Cornell University Press.
- Poincaré, H. (1892-1899). *Les méthodes nouvelles de la mécanique céleste*. Gauthier-Villars, Paris. Translated into English as "New Methods of Celestial Mechanics".
- Prigogine, I. and Stengers, I. (1984). *Order Out of Chaos: Man's New Dialogue with Nature*. Bantam Books.
- Tegmark, M. (2014). *Our Mathematical Universe: My Quest for the Ultimate Nature of Reality*. Alfred A. Knopf.
- Turing, A. M. (1952). The chemical basis of morphogenesis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 237(641):37–72.
- Vasas, V., Fernando, C., Santos, M., Kauffman, S., and Szathmáry, E. (2012). Evolution before genes. *Biology Direct*, 7(1):1.
- von Neumann, J. (1966). *Theory of Self-Reproducing Automata*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana.
- Wigner, E. (1960). The unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics in the natural sciences. *Communications in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 13(1):1–14.
- Williams, G. C. (1966). *Adaptation and Natural Selection: A Critique of Some Current Evolutionary Thought*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.