COMPARING THREE POSSIBLE HYPOELLIPTIC LAPLACIANS ON THE 5-DIMENSIONAL CARTAN GROUP VIA DIV-CURL TYPE ESTIMATES

ANNALISA BALDI AND FRANCESCA TRIPALDI

ABSTRACT. On general Carnot groups, the definition of a possible hypoelliptic Hodge-Laplacian on forms using the Rumin complex has been considered in [\[Rum00,](#page-28-0) [Rum99\]](#page-28-1), where the author introduced a 0-order pseudodifferential operator on forms. However, for questions regarding regularity for example, where one needs sharp estimates, this 0-order operator is not suitable. Up to now, there have only been very few attempts to define hypoelliptic Hodge-Laplacians on forms that would allow for such sharp estimates. Indeed, this question is rather difficult to address in full generality, the main issue being that the Rumin exterior differential *d^c* is not homogeneous on arbitrary Carnot groups.

In this note, we consider the specific example of the free Carnot group of step 3 with 2 generators, and we introduce three possible definitions of hypoelliptic Hodge-Laplacians. We compare how these three possible Laplacians can be used to obtain sharp div-curl type inequalities akin to those considered by Bourgain & Brezis and Lanzani & Stein for the de Rham complex, or their subelliptic counterparts obtained by Baldi, Franchi & Pansu for the Rumin complex on Heisenberg groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Div-curl inequalities in \mathbb{R}^n read as follows

(1)
$$
||u||_{L^{n/(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C (||du||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + ||\delta u||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)})
$$

when *u* is a compactly supported smooth differential *h*-form with $h \neq 1, n-1$. Here, *d* is the exterior differential, and δ the exterior co-differential. In addition, denoting by $\mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Hardy space, one also has

$$
||u||_{L^{n/(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C (||du||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + ||\delta u||_{\mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \text{ when } h = 1 \text{ and}
$$

$$
||u||_{L^{n/(n-1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C (||du||_{\mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + ||\delta u||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \text{ when } h = n-1.
$$

These estimates have been proved by Lanzani & Stein [\[LS05\]](#page-27-0) and by Burgain & Brezis [\[BB07\]](#page-26-0), and are applied to the study of div-curl systems and of more general Hodge systems in \mathbb{R}^n . They contain in particular the famous Burgain-Brezis inequality [\[BB03\]](#page-26-1), [\[BB04\]](#page-26-2) for divergence-free vector fields in \mathbb{R}^n (see also [\[VS04\]](#page-28-2)).

We stress that the previous inequality for $h = 1$ (and analogously for $h = n - 1$) fails if we replace the Hardy norm with the L^1 -norm, i.e. an estimate like [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is *false* for 1-forms (and $(n-1)$ -forms), with a counterexample given by E.M. Stein in [\[Ste70\]](#page-28-3), p. 191.

Obtaining analogous estimates in the setting of Carnot groups has proven much more difficult. In [\[CVS09\]](#page-27-1), Chanillo & Van Schaftingen extended these Burgain-Brezis inequalities to a class of vector fields in Carnot groups and, outside the Euclidean setting, estimates akin to [\(1\)](#page-0-0) have been proved in [\[BF13\]](#page-26-3) and [\[BFP16\]](#page-26-4) in the setting of the Heisenberg groups for differential forms belonging to the so-called Rumin complex.

The Rumin complex (E_0^*, d_c) is a subcomplex of de Rham complex (Ω^*, d) that M. Rumin constructed on Carnot groups [\[Rum01,](#page-28-4) [Rum99,](#page-28-1) [Rum94\]](#page-27-2). It is a complex, homotopic to the de Rham complex, that better fits the geometry of the group. Recently, Rumin's theory has been fruitfully used to address several questions in differential geometry, as well as in pde's theory in Carnot groups (and more generally in sub-Riemannian structures). In the particular case of Heisenberg groups, with homogeneous dimension $Q = 2n + 2$, one can obtain the following sharp estimates if *u* is a form in E_0^h , $1 < h < 2n$ and $h \neq n, n + 1$, so that

$$
(2) \t\t\t ||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-1)}(\mathbb{H}^n,E_0^h)} \leq C\big(||d_c u||_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n,E_0^{h+1})} + ||\delta_c u||_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n,E_0^{h-1})}\big).
$$

 λ

²⁰²⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58A10, 35R03, 26D15, 43A80, 53C17 .

Key words and phrases. Cartan group, div-curl systems, Gagliardo-Niremberg inequalities, hypoelliptic Hodge-Laplacians, Rumin complex.

On the other hand, if $h = n, n + 1$, then the differential d_c , and the co-differential δ_c respectively, have order 2. The estimates [\(2\)](#page-0-1) then take the form

$$
\begin{aligned} &\|u\|_{L^{Q/(Q-2)}(\mathbb{H}^n,E_0^n)}\leq C\big(\left\|d_c u\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n,E_0^{n+1})}+\left\|d_c\delta_c u\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n,E_0^n)}\big);\\ &\|u\|_{L^{Q/(Q-2)}(\mathbb{H}^n,E_0^{n+1})}\leq C\big(\left\|\delta_c d_c u\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n,E_0^{n+1})}+\left\|\delta_c u\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n,E_0^n)}\big)\,. \end{aligned}
$$

For a more precise statement, including the cases when $h = 1, 2n$, see Theorem 1.3 of [\[BFP16\]](#page-26-4). The proof of this result relies on the precise estimates for the fundamental solution of the hypoelliptic Laplace-type operators defined for forms of any degree for Heisenberg groups. These Laplacians have been introduced by Rumin in [\[Rum94\]](#page-27-2).

In this work, we focus on extending this type of limiting Sobolev inequalities in the spirit of [\(1\)](#page-0-0) to the Cartan group. This is achieved by defining three different classes of hypoelliptic Hodge-Laplacian operators on the Rumin complex, all of which turn out to be suitable for obtaining such estimates. More precisely, we aim to compare the possible div-curl type estimates that we obtain for each class of Laplacians.

The Cartan group is the 5-dimensional free nilpotent Carnot group whose Lie algebra is spanned by $(X_1, X_2, X_3 =$ $[X_1, X_2], X_4 = [X_1, X_3], X_5 = [X_2, X_3]$ $[X_1, X_2], X_4 = [X_1, X_3], X_5 = [X_2, X_3]$ $[X_1, X_2], X_4 = [X_1, X_3], X_5 = [X_2, X_3]$. This group, and more generally 5-dimensional nilmanifolds with generic¹ rank two distribution, have been extensively studied as they arise in several contexts. For example, the mechanical system of a surface rolling without slipping and twisting on another surface gives rise to a 5-dimensional configuration space equipped with a rank two distribution encoding the no slipping and twisting condition. This provides a basic example of (2,3,5) nilmanifolds (under suitable conditions see e.g. Section 4.4 in [\[BH93\]](#page-27-3)). The Cartan group also provides an example of conformal geometry which is naturally associated with ordinary differential equations [\[vN09,](#page-28-5) [Nur05\]](#page-27-4).

So far, there has been extensive work dealing with hypoelliptic Hodge-Laplace operators of order 12 on the Cartan group [\[Hal22,](#page-27-5) [Hal23b,](#page-27-6) [Hal23a\]](#page-27-7). In these quoted works, these operators (referred to as Rumin-Seshadri operators) are constructed using the Rumin differential^{[2](#page-1-1)}, with application to heat kernel expansion and analytic torsion, as a generalisation of the work done by Rumin on contact manifolds.

In our paper, these homogeneous order 12 Laplacians on forms will be denoted by $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}}$, since they are essentially (up to a possible rescaling of the metric) the hypoelliptic Hodge-Laplace operators in [\[Hal22,](#page-27-5) [Hal23b,](#page-27-6) [Hal23a\]](#page-27-7). In addition, we also define two other different families of Hodge-Laplace operators. They are again constructed using Rumin differentials. A first family will be denoted by ∆*^A* which turn out of order 6, except for degrees 0 and 5, where they have order 2 (see Definition [4.4\)](#page-11-0). The second family denoted by ∆*^R* differs from ∆*^A* in degrees 2 and 3, where Δ*R* has differential order 12 (see Definition [4.3\)](#page-10-0). Moreover, Δ*R* also differs from the Laplacian Δ_G which has homogeneous order 12 in each degree.

All the operators ∆G, ∆*^R* and ∆*^A* are hypoelliptic, as shown in Proposition [4.6.](#page-11-1) Moreover, the operators ∆*^A* have differential orders 6 and 2 strictly less than the homogeneous dimension of the Cartan group (which is 10). Hence, ∆*^A* has a fundamental solution to which it is possible to apply the theory of singular integrals of Folland and Stein [\[FS82\]](#page-27-8), as shown in Theorem 3.1 of [\[BFT09\]](#page-27-9). For the other two Laplacians, the differential order can be 12, higher than the homogenous dimension $Q = 10$. It is however still possible to obtain estimates of the derivative of the fundamental solutions of both ∆^G and ∆*R*,*^h* (*h* = 2,3) thanks to a recent result obtained by Van Schaftingen and Yung for vectorvalued operators (see Theorem 3.3 in [\[VSY22\]](#page-28-6)).

Rumin's theory needs a quite technical introduction that is sketched in Section [3](#page-6-0) to make the paper self-constained. The main properties of (E_0^*, d_c) can be summarised in the following points:

- Rumin 1-forms are horizontal 1–forms, i.e. forms that are dual of horizontal vector fields.
- The "intrinsic" exterior differential *d^c* on a smooth function is its horizontal differential (that is dual operator of the gradient along a basis of the horizontal bundle). Instead, when acting on forms of degree ≥ 1 , the differential *d^c* can be identified with a matrix-valued operator where each entry is a *homogeneous left-invariant differential operator of order* ≥ 1. We stress that, in general, different entries have different differential orders, and so *dc*, viewed as a matrix-valued operator, *is not homogeneous*.
- The complex (E_0^*, d_c) is exact and self-dual under Hodge $*$ -duality.

Among all Carnot groups, Heisenberg groups \mathbb{H}^n provide the simplest examples of noncommutative Carnot groups (of step 2). Recently, Rumin's Laplacians in Heisenberg groups, or in sub-Riemannian contact manifolds with bounded geometry have been used to get Poincaré inequalities and sharp estimates on $\ell^{q,p}$ -cohomology. Indeed, thanks to its

¹We recall that a 2-dimensional distribution is said to be generic, or $(2,3,5)$ on a nilmanifold *M*, if it admits the Cartan group as a local frame. 2 More precisely, their construction of the subcomplex relies on the BGG machinery, which has been recently shown to coincide with the Rumin complex on homogeneous groups, and hence on Carnot groups [\[FT23\]](#page-27-10)

scale invariance, Rumin's Laplacian allows to apply the theory of singular integral operators, to get the sharp exponent in Heisenberg groups, also in degree $h = n, n + 1$ where the operator d_c is of order two (see [\[BFP20,](#page-26-5) [PT19,](#page-27-11) [BFP22,](#page-26-6) [BFP23,](#page-26-7) [BFP24\]](#page-27-12). Moreover, Sobolev-Gaffney inequalities associated to the Rumin complex in this same setting have also relied on such hypoelliptic Laplacians (see [\[BF13,](#page-26-3) [BFP16,](#page-26-4) [BTT22\]](#page-27-13)).

Unfortunately, the geometry of arbitrary Carnot groups is radically different to that of Heisenberg groups. One of the difficulties that one encounters is related to the fact that the structure of intrinsic differential forms is much more complex and, in general, the exterior differential is not homogeneous as a matrix-valued operator. As a consequence, it is not obvious how to construct a hypoelliptic Laplacian on forms (as instead is the case in the Heisenberg groups). This lack of homogeneity is connected to the notion of *weight* of a differential form (see Definition [2.6\)](#page-5-0). As far as we know, except for the 0-order Hodge-Laplacians introduced by Rumin e.g. in [\[Rum99,](#page-28-1) [Rum01\]](#page-28-4), the only attempt to construct hypoelliptic Hodge-Laplacians in the case where the Rumin differentials are *not homogeneous* can be found in [\[BFT15\]](#page-27-14), where we discuss the case of the Engel group (which is the model of a class of so-called filiform groups) and the 7-dimensional quaternionic Heisenberg group.

However, in the case of the Cartan group, the Rumin differentials *d^c* are homogeneous on each degree. This property has been used in [\[DH22\]](#page-27-15) to construct the Rumin-Seshadri operators $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}}$, and it's also the reason behind the homogeneity of the Hodge-Laplacians ∆*R*. In our paper, we again use the homogeneity of *d^c* to construct a brand new Laplace-type hypoelliptic operator on forms ∆*A*. All three operators ∆G, ∆*R*, and ∆*^A* can be used to obtain sharp estimates of div-curl type on the Cartan group. These results are contained in Theorems [5.1,](#page-14-0) [5.2,](#page-14-1) and [5.4.](#page-15-0)

The fundamental solution of the hypoelliptic ∆*^A* plays a crucial role in obtaining the estimates of Theorem [5.1.](#page-14-0) On the other hand, the different div-curl type estimates of Theorem [5.2](#page-14-1) follow from the definition of Laplacians $\Delta_{\mathbb{G}}$ and $Δ_R$ given in Definitions [4.2](#page-10-1) and [4.3,](#page-10-0) respectively. Even though for arbitrary forms the estimates of Theorem [5.2](#page-14-1) are not equivalent to those of Theorem [5.1](#page-14-0) (obtained using ∆*A*), it is important to stress that if one instead considers a differential form $u \in E_0^h$ which is either closed or co-closed, the relative estimates from all three Laplacians coincide. For example, in the case of a co-closed form, the statement of Theorem [5.4](#page-15-0) can be roughly summarised as follows.

Denote by (E_0^*, d_c) *the complex of Rumin forms in the 5-dimensional Cartan group* $\mathbb G$ *. Then there exists* $C > 0$ *such that, for any compactly supported smooth Rumin h-form u so that* $\delta_c u = 0$ *, we have*

where $Q = 10$ *is the homogeneous dimension of* \mathbb{G} *. The estimates for the other degrees can be readily obtained by Hodge duality.*

Moreover, interestingly, when considering interpolation-type L^p -spaces, the relative div-curl estimates read as fol-lows (see Section [5.6](#page-24-0) for the precise statement, here we write only the case $h = 1$ and $h = 2$):

Denote by (E_0^*, d_c) *the complex of intrinsic forms in* $\mathbb G$ *. Then there exists* $C > 0$ *such that for any h-form* $u \in \mathscr D(\mathbb G, E_0^h)$ *,* $h = 1, 2$ *, such that*

$$
\begin{cases} d_c u = f \\ \delta_c u = g \end{cases}
$$

we have

k*u*k*^L ^Q*/(*Q*−3)+*L Q*/(*Q*−1) (G,*E* 1 0) ≤ *C* k *f* k*^L* ¹(G,*E* 2 0) +k*g*k^H ¹(G) *if h* = 1*;* k*u*k*^L ^Q*/(*Q*−3)+*L Q*/(*Q*−2) (G,*E* 2 0) ≤ *C* k *f* k*L*1(G,*^E* 3 0) +k*g*k*^L* ¹(G,*E* 1 0) *if h* = 2*.*

The paper is organised as follows. In Section [2,](#page-3-0) we give some basic definitions related to Carnot groups and multilinear algebra and present the main analytic results that we will use in later sections. Section [3](#page-6-0) contains a quick review of the Rumin complex. Moreover, in Section [4,](#page-8-0) we address the particular example of the 5-dimensional Cartan group $\mathbb G$ and present some explicit computations of the Rumin complex in this specific setting. In addition, in the same section, we write the definitions for the three families of Hodge-Laplace operators ∆G, ∆*R*, and ∆*A*. We provide an explicit proof of the hypoellipticity of ∆*A*, and we recall some properties of its fundamental solution. Finally, in Section [5,](#page-14-2) we present the statements of our limiting Sobolev-type estimates (see Theorems [5.1,](#page-14-0) [5.2](#page-14-1) and [5.4\)](#page-15-0). These results follow from the properties of the fundamental solutions of the three associated Laplace-type operators, together with a result of Chanillo and Van Schaftingen, stated in Theorem [5.5,](#page-16-0) that can be applied after an appropriate rephrasing of the closeness of forms in terms of symmetric tensors (see Subsection [5.1\)](#page-15-1). Finally, in Subsection [5.6,](#page-24-0) we provide an alternative family of L^1 -estimates using interpolation-type L^p -spaces.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS ON CARNOT GROUP

A Lie group *G* is a smooth manifold endowed with the smooth mappings

$$
G \times G \ni (x, y) \mapsto xy \in G \text{ and } G \ni x \mapsto x^{-1} \in G
$$

satisfying, for all $x, y, z \in G$, the properties

1.
$$
x(yz) = (xy)z
$$
;
2. $ex = xe = x$;

3. $xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x = e$,

where $e \in G$ is the unit element of the group G .

A *Carnot group* G *of step* ^κ is a connected, simply connected Lie group whose Lie algebra g admits a *step* ^κ *stratification*, i.e. there exist linear subspaces V_1, \ldots, V_k such that

(3)
$$
\mathfrak{g} = V_1 \oplus ... \oplus V_{\kappa}, \quad [V_1, V_i] = V_{i+1}, \quad V_{\kappa} \neq \{0\}, \quad V_i = \{0\} \text{ if } i > \kappa,
$$

where $[V_1, V_i]$ is the subspace of g generated by the commutators $[X, Y]$ with $X \in V_1$ and $Y \in V_i$. Let $m_i = \dim(V_i)$, for $i = 1, ..., \kappa$ and $h_i = m_1 + \cdots + m_i$ with $h_0 = 0$ and, clearly, $h_k = n$. Choose a basis $e_1, ..., e_n$ of g adapted to the stratification, that is such that

 $e_{h_{j-1}+1},...,e_{h_j}$ is a basis of *V_j* for each $j = 1,...,k$.

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be the family of left-invariant vector fields such that $X_i(0) = e_i$. Given [\(3\)](#page-3-1), the subset X_1, \ldots, X_{m_1} generates by commutations all the other vector fields; we will refer to X_1, \ldots, X_{m_1} as *generating vector fields* of the group. The exponential map is a one-to-one map from g onto \mathbb{G} , i.e. any $p \in \mathbb{G}$ can be written in a unique way as $p = \exp(p_1 X_1 + \dots + p_n X_n)$. Using these *exponential coordinates*, we identify *p* with the n-tuple $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and we identify $\mathbb G$ with (\mathbb{R}^n, \cdot) where the explicit expression of the group operation \cdot is determined by the Campbell-Hausdorff formula (see [\[Fol75,](#page-27-16) [BLU07\]](#page-27-17)).

The subbundle of the tangent bundle $T\mathbb{G}$ that is spanned by the vector fields X_1,\ldots,X_{m_1} plays a particularly important role in the theory, it is called the *horizontal bundle H*G. The sections of *H*G are called *horizontal sections*, and a vector of $H_x \mathbb{G}$ is an *horizontal vector*.

A subriemannian structure is defined on \mathbb{G} , endowing each fiber of H \mathbb{G} with a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$ and with a norm $|\cdot|_x$ that make the basis $X_1(x), \ldots, X_{m_1}(x)$ an orthonormal basis.

Adopting the standard notation, given $f \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G})$, we denote by $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f$ the usual horizontal gradient of the function f , i.e.

$$
\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}f=(X_1f,X_2f,\ldots,X_{m_1}f).
$$

Two important families of automorphisms of $\mathbb G$ are the group translations and the group dilations of $\mathbb G$. For any $x \in \mathbb{G}$, the *(left)* translation $\tau_x : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}$ is defined as

$$
z\mapsto \tau_x z:=x\cdot z.
$$

For any $\lambda > 0$, the *dilation* $\delta_{\lambda} : \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{G}$, is defined as

$$
\delta_{\lambda}(x_1,...,x_n)=(\lambda^{d_1}x_1,...,\lambda^{d_n}x_n),
$$

where $d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ is called *homogeneity of the variable* x_i in \mathbb{G} (see [\[FS82\]](#page-27-8) Chapter 1) and is defined as

(5)
$$
d_j = i \quad \text{whenever } h_{i-1} + 1 \leq j \leq h_i,
$$

hence $1 = d_1 = ... = d_{m_1} < d_{m_1+1} = 2 \le ... \le d_n = \kappa$. In particular, the generating vector fields are homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to group dilations.

Several distances equivalent to d_c have been used in the literature. If $p = (\tilde{p}_1, \ldots, \tilde{p}_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{m_k} = \mathbb{R}^n$, then we set

(6)
$$
\rho(p) := \max \{ \varepsilon_j || \tilde{p}_j ||_{\mathbb{R}^{m_j}}^{1/j}, j = 1, ..., \kappa \}.
$$

Here $\varepsilon_1 = 1$, and $\varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in (0,1)$ are suitable positive constants depending on the group structure (see Theorem 5.1) in [\[FSSC03\]](#page-27-18)). We can consider the following gauge distance

$$
d(x,y) := \rho(y^{-1} \cdot x).
$$

The metric *d* well behaves with respect to left translations and dilations, that is

$$
d(z \cdot x, z \cdot y) = d(x, y) \quad , \quad d(\delta_{\lambda}(x), \delta_{\lambda}(y)) = \lambda d(x, y)
$$

for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{G}$ and $\lambda > 0$.

The integer

$$
(7) \tQ = \sum_{i=1}^{K} i \dim V_i
$$

is the *homogeneous dimension* of \mathbb{G} . It is also the Hausdorff dimension of \mathbb{R}^n with respect to the d.

The *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure \mathscr{L}^n , is the Haar measure of the group \mathbb{G} . Hence if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is measurable, then $\mathscr{L}^n(x \cdot E) = \mathscr{L}^n(E)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{G}$. Moreover, if $\lambda > 0$ then $\mathscr{L}^n(\delta_\lambda(E)) = \lambda^{\mathcal{Q}} \mathscr{L}^n(E)$. All the spaces $L^p(\mathbb{G})$ that appear throughout this paper are defined with respect to the \mathscr{L}^n Lebesgue measure.

Following e.g. [\[FS82\]](#page-27-8), we can define a group convolution in \mathbb{G} : if, for instance, $f \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G})$ and $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{G})$, we set

(8)
$$
f * g(p) := \int f(q)g(q^{-1} \cdot p) dq \text{ for } q \in \mathbb{G}.
$$

We remind that, if (say) *g* is a smooth function and *L* is a left-invariant differential operator, then $L(f * g) = f * Lg$. We remind also that the convolution is again well defined when $f, g \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{G})$, provided at least one of them has compact support (as customary, we denote by $\mathscr{E}'(\mathbb{G})$ the class of compactly supported distributions in \mathbb{G} identified with \mathbb{R}^n , the dual of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{G})$, the space of smooth functions).

We now recall the notion of *kernel of order* μ . Following [\[Fol75\]](#page-27-16), a kernel of order μ is a homogeneous distribution of degree $\mu - Q$ (with respect to group dilations δ_r as in [\(4\)](#page-3-2), see [\[FS82\]](#page-27-8)), that is smooth outside of the origin. Alternatively, we shall also write *kernel of type* μ to mean the same thing.

Proposition 2.1. Let $K \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ be a kernel of order μ . If $\mu > 0$, then $K \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{G})$. Moreover, $X_\ell K$ is a kernel of *order* $\mu - 1$ *for any horizontal derivative* $X_{\ell}K$ *.*

In Proposition 1.11 in [\[Fol75\]](#page-27-16), the following result is proved.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose $0 < \mu < Q$, $1 < p < Q/\mu$ and $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\mu}{Q}$. Let K be a kernel of type μ . If $u \in L^p(\mathbb{G})$ the *convolutions u* [∗]*K and K* [∗] *u exist a.e. and are in L^q* (G) *and there is a constant C^p* > 0 *such that*

$$
||u * K||_q \leq C_p ||u||_p
$$
 and $||K * u||_q \leq C_p ||u||_p$

Following [\[FS82\]](#page-27-8), we also adopt the following multi-index notation for higher-order derivatives. If $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ is a multi–index, we set

in n .

$$
(9) \t\t X1 = X1i1 \cdots X
$$

By the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem (see, e.g. [\[Bou60\]](#page-27-19), I.2.7), the differential operators X^I form a basis for the algebra of left invariant differential operators in G. Furthermore, we set $|I| := i_1 + \cdots + i_n$ the order of the differential operator X^I , and $d(I) := d_1 i_1 + \cdots + d_n i_n$ its degree of homogeneity with respect to group dilations. From the Poincaré– Birkhoff–Witt theorem, it follows, in particular, that any homogeneous linear differential operator in the horizontal derivatives can be expressed as a linear combination of the operators X^I of the special form above. Thus, we can restrict ourselves to consider operators of the special form *X I* .

We finish this section recalling the definition of free Carnot group.

Definition 2.3. Let $m_1 \geq 2$ and $\kappa \geq 1$ be fixed integers. We say that $f_{m_1,\kappa}$ is the free Lie algebra with m_1 generators X_1, \ldots, X_{m_1} and nilpotent of step κ if:

- i) $f_{m_1,K}$ is a Lie algebra generated by its elements X_1, \ldots, X_{m_1} ;
- ii) $f_{m_1, K}$ is nilpotent of step κ ;
- iii) for every Lie algebra n nilpotent of step κ and for every map ϕ from the set $\{X_1, \ldots, X_{m_1}\}$ to n, there exists a (unique) homomorphism of Lie algebras Φ from $f_{m_1, K}$ to n which extends ϕ .

A Carnot group G is said free if its Lie algebra g is isomorphic to a free Lie algebra.

The Cartan group is the free Carnot group with $m_1 = 2$ and $\kappa = 3$.

Example 2.4 (The Cartan group). Let us consider now the free group of step 3 with 2 generators, also known as the Cartan group, i.e. the Carnot group whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g} = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus V_3$ with $V_1 = \text{span } \{X_1, X_2\}$, $V_2 = \text{span } \{X_3\}$, and V_3 = span $\{X_4, X_5\}$, the only non zero commutation relations being

$$
[X_1, X_2] = X_3 \quad , \quad [X_1, X_3] = X_4 \quad , \quad [X_2, X_3] = X_5.
$$

In exponential coordinates, the group can be identified with \mathbb{R}^5 , and an explicit representation of the vector fields is

$$
X_1 = \partial_1 , \quad X_2 = \partial_2 + x_1 \partial_3 + \frac{x_1^2}{2} \partial_4 + x_1 x_2 \partial_5
$$

$$
X_3 = \partial_3 + x_1 \partial_4 + x_2 \partial_5 , \quad X_4 = \partial_4 , \quad X_5 = \partial_5.
$$

For an alternative expression of X_i , we refer to group $N_{5,2,3}$ in [\[LDT22\]](#page-27-20).

2.1. **Multilinear algebra.** The dual space of g is denoted by \wedge^1 g and indicate by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ also the inner product in \wedge^1 g. Given $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ an orthonormal basis of g, its dual basis is denoted by $\{\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_n\}$.

Following Federer (see [\[Fed69\]](#page-27-21) 1.3), the exterior algebras of $\mathfrak g$ and of $\Lambda^1 \mathfrak g$ are the graded algebras indicated as Λ \bigoplus^n Λ g and $\bigwedge^* \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus^n \bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}$ where $\bigwedge_0 \mathfrak{g} = \bigwedge^0 \mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}$ and, for $1 \leq h \leq n$,

$$
\bigwedge_{*} \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{h=0} \bigwedge_{h} \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } \bigwedge_{h=0} \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{h=0} \bigwedge_{i=0} \mathfrak{g} \text{ where } \bigwedge_{0} \mathfrak{g} = \bigwedge_{i=0}^{0} \mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R} \text{ and, for } 1 \leq h \leq n,
$$

$$
\bigwedge_{h} \mathfrak{g} := \text{span}\{X_{i_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{i_{h}} : 1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{h} \leq n\},
$$

$$
\bigwedge^{h} \mathfrak{g} := \text{span}\{\theta_{i_{1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{i_{h}} : 1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{h} \leq n\}.
$$

The elements of $\bigwedge_h \mathfrak{g}$ and $\bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g}$ are called *h*-vectors and *h*-covectors.

We denote by Θ^h the basis $\{\theta_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{i_h} : 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_h \leq n\}$ of $\bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g}$. We remind that $\dim \bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g} = \dim \bigwedge_h \mathfrak{g} = \binom{n}{h}$. The dual space $\bigwedge^1(\bigwedge_h\mathfrak{g})$ of $\bigwedge_h\mathfrak{g}$ can be naturally identified with $\bigwedge^h\mathfrak{g}$. The action of a *h*-covector φ on a *h*-vector *v* is denoted as $\langle \varphi | v \rangle$.

The inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ extends canonically to $\bigwedge_h \mathfrak{g}$ and to $\bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g}$ making the bases $X_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge X_{i_h}$ and $\theta_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{i_h}$ orthonormal.

Starting from $\bigwedge_* \mathfrak{g}$ and $\bigwedge^* \mathfrak{g}$, by left translation, we can define now two families of vector bundles over \mathbb{G} (still denoted by Λ_* g and Λ^* g) (see [\[BFTT10\]](#page-27-22) for details). Smooth sections of these vector bundles are said respectively vector fields and differential forms.

Definition 2.5. If $0 \le h \le n$ and $1 \le p \le \infty$, we denote by $L^p(\mathbb{G}, \bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g})$ the space of all sections of $\bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g}$ such that their components with respect to the basis Θ^h belong to $L^p(\mathbb{G})$. Clearly, this definition is independent of the choice of the basis itself.

We conclude this section by recalling the notion of Hodge duality, see [\[Fed69\]](#page-27-21) 1.7.8.

For $0 \le h \le n$, we denote by $\star : \bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g} \longleftrightarrow \bigwedge^{n-h} \mathfrak{g}$, the \star -Hodge isomorphism associated to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the volume form $\theta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_n$.

We recall that, $\star \star \varphi = (-1)^{(n-h)h} \varphi$ for any $\varphi \in \bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g}$.

2.2. Weight of forms. The notion of weight of a differential form plays a key role when considering the de Rham complex on a Carnot group.

Definition 2.6. If $\alpha \in \Lambda^1$ g, $\alpha \neq 0$, we say that α has *pure weight p*, and we write $w(\alpha) = p$, if $\alpha^{\dagger} \in V_p$. More generally, if $\alpha \in \bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g}$, we say that α has pure weight p if α is a linear combination of covectors $\theta_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{i_h}$ with $w(\theta_{i_1}) + \cdots + w(\theta_{i_h}) = p.$

In particular, the volume form has weight *Q* (the homogeneous dimension of the group).

Remark 2.7 (Remark 2.4 in [\[BFTT10\]](#page-27-22)). If $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda^h$ g and $w(\alpha) \neq w(\beta)$, then $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$.

From this Remark, we readily have the orthogonal decomposition $\bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{p=M_h^{\min}}^{M_h^{\max}} \bigwedge^{h,p} \mathfrak{g}$, where $\bigwedge^{h,p} \mathfrak{g}$ is the linear span of the *h*–covectors of weight *p* and M_h^{\min} , M_h^{\max} are respectively the smallest and the largest weight of left-invariant *h*-covectors.

Since the elements of the basis Θ^h have pure weights, a basis of $\bigwedge^{h,p} \mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$
\Theta^{h,p}:=\Theta^h\cap {\bigwedge}^{h,p}{\mathfrak g}\,.
$$

In other words, the basis $\Theta^h = \bigcup_p \Theta^{h,p}$ is a basis adapted to the filtration of $\bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g}$.

We denote by $\Omega^{h,p}$ the vector space of all smooth *h*–forms in $\mathbb G$ of pure weight *p*, i.e. the space of all smooth sections of $\bigwedge^{h,p}$ g. We have

.

(10)
$$
\Omega^{h} = \bigoplus_{p=M_{h}^{\min}}^{M_{h}^{\max}} \Omega^{h,p}
$$

Lemma 2.8 (Section 2.1 in [\[Rum01\]](#page-28-4)). *We have* $d(\bigwedge^{h,p} \mathfrak{g}) \subset \bigwedge^{h+1,p} \mathfrak{g}$ *, i.e., if* $\alpha \in \bigwedge^{h,p} \mathfrak{g}$ *is a left invariant h-form of weight p with* $d\alpha \neq 0$ *, then w(* $d\alpha$ *) = w(* α *).*

Definition 2.9. Let now $\alpha = \sum_{\theta_i^h \in \Theta^{h,p}} \alpha_i \theta_i^h \in \Omega^{h,p}$ be a (say) smooth form of pure weight *p*. Then we can write

$$
d\alpha = d_0\alpha + d_1\alpha + \cdots + d_k\alpha,
$$

where

$$
d_0\alpha=\sum_{\theta_i^h\in\Theta^{h,p}}\alpha_id\theta_i^h
$$

does not increase the weight,

$$
d_1\alpha = \sum_{\theta_i^h \in \Theta^{h,p}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} (X_j \alpha_i) \theta_j \wedge \theta_i^h
$$

increases the weight of 1, and, more generally,

$$
d_p \alpha = \sum_{\theta_i^h \in \Theta^{h,p}} \sum_{X_j \in V_p} (X_j \alpha_i) \theta_j \wedge \theta_i^h,
$$

when $p = 0, 1, \ldots, \kappa$. In particular, d_0 is an algebraic operator.

3. THE RUMIN COMPLEX ON CARNOT GROUPS

The notion of intrinsic form in Carnot groups is due to M. Rumin ([\[Rum01\]](#page-28-4), [\[Rum99\]](#page-28-1)). A more extended presentation of the results of this section can be found in [\[BFTT10\]](#page-27-22), [\[FT12\]](#page-27-23).

Definition 3.1 (M. Rumin). If $0 \le h \le n$ we set

$$
E_0^h := \ker d_0 \cap \ker \delta_0 = \ker d_0 \cap (\mathscr{R}(d_0))^{\perp} \subset \Omega^h
$$

Here δ_0 : $\bigwedge^{h+1} \mathfrak{g} \to \bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g}$ is the adjoint of d_0 with respect to our fixed scalar product.

In the sequel, we refer to the elements of E_0^h as to *Rumin or intrinsic h-forms on* \mathbb{G} .

Since the construction of E_0^h is left invariant, this space of forms can be seen as the space of sections of a fiber subbundle of $\bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g}$, generated by left translations and still denoted by E_0^h . In particular, E_0^h inherits from $\bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g}$ the scalar product on the fibers.

As a consequence, we can obtain a left invariant orthonormal basis $\Xi_0^h = \{\xi_j\}$ of E_0^h such that

$$
\Xi_0^h = \bigcup_{p=M_{h}^{\min}}^{M_{h}^{\max}} \Xi_0^{h,p},
$$

where $\Xi_0^{h,p} := \Xi^h \cap \bigwedge^{h,p} \mathfrak{g}$ is a left-invariant orthonormal basis of $E_0^{h,p}$. All the elements of $\Xi_0^{h,p}$ have pure weight *p*. Without loss of generality, the indices *j* of $\Xi_0^h = \{\xi_j^h\}$ are ordered once and for all in an increasing way according to the weight of the respective element of the basis.

Correspondingly, the set of indices $\{1, 2, ..., dim E_0^h\}$ can be written as the union of finite (possibly empty) sets of indices

$$
\{1,2,\ldots,\dim E_0^h\}=\bigcup_{p=M_h^{\min}}^{M_h^{\max}} I_p^h,
$$

where

$$
j \in I_{0,p}^h \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \xi_j^h \in \Xi_0^{h,p}.
$$

Without loss of generality, if $m := \dim V_1$, we can take

$$
\Xi_0^1=\Xi_0^{1,1}=\{\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_m\}.
$$

Once the basis Ξ_0^h is chosen, the space $\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^h)$, can be identified with $\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G})^{\dim E_0^h}$.

In the last few years, there have been several instances that show the "naturalness" of using the Rumin complex instead of de Rham complex for Carnot groups. For example, we can quote [\[FT12\]](#page-27-23), Theorem 3.16, where the authors study the naturalness of d_c in terms of homogeneous homomorphisms of the group \mathbb{G} , or [\[BF12\]](#page-26-8) where the authors show that d_c appears, in the spirit of the Riemannian approximation, as limit.

Definition 3.2. If $0 \le h \le n$ and $1 \le p \le \infty$, we denote by $L^p(\mathbb{G}, E_0^h)$ the space of all sections of E_0^h such that their components with respect to the basis Ξ_0^h belong to $L^p(\mathbb{G})$, endowed with its natural norm. Clearly, this definition is independent of the choice of the basis itself.

Lemma 3.3 ([\[BFTT10\]](#page-27-22), Lemma 2.11). *If* $\beta \in \bigwedge^{h+1} \mathfrak{g}$, then there exists a unique $\alpha \in \bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g} \cap (\ker d_0)^{\perp}$ such that

$$
\delta_0 d_0 \alpha = \delta_0 \beta. \quad We set \quad \alpha := d_0^{-1} \beta.
$$

In particular

$$
\alpha = d_0^{-1} \beta \quad \text{if and only if} \quad d_0 \alpha - \beta \in \mathcal{R}(d_0)^{\perp}.
$$

Moreover

i)
$$
(\ker d_0)^{\perp} = \mathscr{R}(d_0^{-1});
$$

ii)
$$
d_0^{-1}d_0 = Id
$$
 on $(\text{ker } d_0)^{\perp}$;

iii) $d_0d_0^{-1} - Id : \wedge^{h+1} \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{R}(d_0)^{\perp}.$

The following theorem summarizes the construction of the intrinsic differential *d^c* (for details, see [\[Rum01\]](#page-28-4) and [\[BFTT10\]](#page-27-22), Section 2).

Theorem 3.4. *The de Rham complex* (Ω∗ ,*d*) *splits in the direct sum of two sub-complexes, denoted by Rumin as* (E^*, d) *and* (F^*, d) *. Moreover, we have:*

i) Let Π_E be the projection on E along F, then for any $\alpha \in E_0^{h,p}$, if we denote by $(\Pi_E\alpha)_j$ the component of $\Pi_E\alpha$ *of weight j, then*

$$
(\Pi_E\alpha)_p=\alpha\\(\Pi_E\alpha)_{p+k+1}=-d_0^{-1}\big(\sum_{1\leq\ell\leq k+1}d_\ell(\Pi_E\alpha)_{p+k+1-\ell}\big).
$$

Notice that $\alpha \to (\Pi_E \alpha)_{p+k+1}$ *is an homogeneous differential operator of order* $k+1$ *in the horizontal derivatives.*

ii) Π*^E is a chain map, i.e.*

$$
d\Pi_E=\Pi_E d.
$$

Set now

(11)

$$
d_c = \Pi_{E_0} d \Pi_E : E_0^h \to E_0^{h+1}, \quad h = 0, \dots, n-1,
$$

where Π_{E_0} is the orthogonal projection of Ω^* onto $E_0^*.$

- *Then* (*E* ∗ 0 ,*dc*) *is a complex computing the de Rham cohomology of the underlying Carnot group such that*
	- iii) *the differential* d_c *acting on h-forms can be identified, with respect to the bases* Ξ_0^h *and* Ξ_0^{h+1} *, with a matrix*valued differential operator $L^h:=(L^h_{i,j})$. If $j\in I^h_p$ and $i\in I^{h+1}_q,$ then the $L^h_{i,j}$'s are homogeneous left invariant differential operator of order $q-p\geq 1$ in the horizontal derivatives, and $L^h_{i,j}=0$ if $j\in I^h_{0,p}$ and $i\in I^{h+1}_{0,q}$, with *q*− *p* < 1
	- iv) the space of Rumin forms E_0^* is closed under Hodge-star duality and the L^2 -formal adjoint δ_c of d_c on E_0^h *satisfies*

(12)
$$
\delta_c = (-1)^{n(h+1)+1} \star d_c \star.
$$

4. THE RUMIN COMPLEX FOR THE 5-DIMENSIONAL CARTAN GROUP AND HYPOELLIPTIC LAPLACE OPERATORS ON THE GROUP

From now on, we denote by G the free group of step 3 with 2 generators, also known as the Cartan group, introduced in Example [2.4.](#page-5-1)

Following Example B.7 of [\[BFTT10\]](#page-27-22), denote by $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_5$ the dual left invariant forms. An orthonormal basis of E_0^1 is given by

(13)
$$
\Xi_0^1 = \Xi_0^{1,1} = \{\theta_1, \theta_2\}.
$$

We have $d\theta_1 = d\theta_2 = 0$ and

(14)
$$
d\theta_3 = d_0\theta_3 = -\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2, \quad d\theta_4 = d_0\theta_4 = -\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3, \quad d\theta_5 = d_0\theta_5 = -\theta_2 \wedge \theta_3.
$$

We explicitly note that, according to Definition [2.6,](#page-5-0) we have the following weights:

$$
w(\theta_1) = w(\theta_2) = 1, w(\theta_3) = 2, w(\theta_4) = w(\theta_5) = 3.
$$

The volume form $\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5$ is also denoted by dV .

Using the notations introduced in Subsection [2.2,](#page-5-2) we can chose an orthonormal basis of $\bigwedge^h \mathfrak{g}, h = 1, \ldots, 5$ as follows: **h** = 1: $\Theta^{1,1} = {\theta_1, \theta_2}, \Theta^{1,2} = {\theta_3}, \text{ and } \Theta^{1,3} = {\theta_4, \theta_5}.$

$$
\mathbf{h} = 2: \Theta^{2,2} = \{\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2\}, \Theta^{2,3} = \{\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3, \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3\}, \Theta^{2,4} = \{\theta_1 \wedge \theta_4, \theta_1 \wedge \theta_5, \theta_2 \wedge \theta_4, \theta_2 \wedge \theta_5\}, \Theta^{2,5} = \{\theta_3 \wedge \theta_4, \theta_3 \wedge \theta_5\}, \Theta^{2,6} = \{\theta_4 \wedge \theta_5\}.
$$

$$
\mathbf{h} = 3; \ \Theta^{3,4} = \{ \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3 \}, \ \Theta^{3,5} = \{ \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \theta_4, \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \theta_5 \}, \ \Theta^{3,6} = \{ \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4, \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_5, \theta_2 \wedge \theta_4, \theta_2 \wedge \theta_5, \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5 \}
$$
\n
$$
\Theta^{3,4} = \{ \theta_1 \wedge \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5, \theta_2 \wedge \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5 \}, \ \Theta^{3,8} = \{ \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5 \}.
$$

$$
\mathbf{h} = 4: \Theta^{4,7} = \{ \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4, \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_5 \}, \Theta^{4,8} = \{ \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5 \}, \Theta^{4,9} = \{ \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5, \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5 \}
$$

 $\mathbf{h} = 5: \ \Theta^{5,10} = \{ \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5 \}.$

One can readily obtain that

$$
\Xi_0^1 = \Xi_0^{1,1} = \{\theta_1, \theta_2\}
$$

and by Hodge duality

$$
\Xi_0^4 = \Xi_0^{4,9} = \{ \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5, \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5 \}.
$$

Moreover, as computed in Example B.7 of [\[BFTT10\]](#page-27-22), orthonormal basis for the space of intrinsic forms of E_0^2 is given by

(15)
$$
\Xi_0^2 = \Xi_0^{2,4} = \{\theta_1 \wedge \theta_4, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta_2 \wedge \theta_4 + \theta_1 \wedge \theta_5), \theta_2 \wedge \theta_5\}.
$$

By Hodge duality, an orthonormal basis of E_0^3 is given by

(16)
$$
\Xi_0^3 = \Xi_0^{3,6} = \{ \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_5 + \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4), \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_5 \}.
$$

Remark 4.1. Let us now present the action of the map $d_0: \Omega^h \to \Omega^{h+1}$ according to the weights of the covectors.

- i) $h = 1$: the map d_0 acting on 1-forms $d_0^{(1)}$ $\Omega_0^{(1)}$: $\Omega^1 \to \Omega^2$ acts in such a way that $\mathcal{R}(d_0^{(1)})$ $\Omega^{(1)}_{0}$) = $\Omega^{2,2} \oplus \Omega^{2,3};$
- ii) $h = 2$: the map $d_0^{(2)}$ $\Omega_0^{(2)}$: $\Omega^2 \to \Omega^3$ is such that $\mathcal{R}(d_0^{(2)})$ $\binom{1}{0}$ = $span_{C^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})}\{d_0^{(2)}\}$ $\{ \theta_0^{(2)}(\theta_1 \wedge \theta_5 - \theta_2 \wedge \theta_4) \} \oplus \Omega^{3,5} \oplus \Omega^{3,6} = 0$ $span_{\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})}\{\theta_1\wedge\theta_2\wedge\theta_3\}\oplus \Omega^{3,5}\oplus \Omega^{3,6}$
- iii) $h = 3$: the map $d_0^{(3)}$ $\Omega_0^{(3)}$: $\Omega^3 \to \Omega^4$ is such that $\mathscr{R}(d_0^{(3)})$ $\Omega^{(3)}_{0}) = \Omega^{4,7} \oplus \Omega^{4,8}.$

For general $h = 0, \ldots, 4$, we should denote by $d_c^{(h)}$ the differential operators $d_c^{(h)}$: $E_0^h \to E_0^{h+1}$. To avoid cumbersome notation, when clear from the context, we shall remove the superscript (*h*) indicating the degree of forms it acts on.

We recall that the differentials d_c are left invariant and homogeneous with respect to the group dilations. The differential $d_c^{(h)}$ is a first order homogeneous operator in the horizontal derivatives in degree $h = 0$ and $h = 4$, it is a third order homogeneous operator in degree $h = 1$ and $h = 3$, and it is a second order homogeneous operator in degree $h = 2$. As remarked in Theorem [3.4-](#page-7-0)iii), once we fix the bases for the spaces of forms E_0^h , it is possible to express each operator $d_c: E_0^h \to E_0^{h+1}$ in matrix form $(L_{i,j})$. We will now consider the ordered bases Ξ_0^h listed above. We have:

• $d_c: E_0^0 \longrightarrow E_0^1$ can be seen in matrix form as

$$
d_c = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

• $d_c: E_0^1 \longrightarrow E_0^2$ can be expressed as

$$
d_c = \begin{pmatrix} -X_1^2 X_2 - X_1 X_3 - X_4 & X_1^3 \\ -\sqrt{2}(X_1 X_2^2 + X_5) & \sqrt{2}(X_2 X_1^2 - X_4) \\ -X_2^3 & X_2^2 X_1 - X_2 X_3 - X_5 \end{pmatrix}
$$

• $d_c: E_0^2 \longrightarrow E_0^3$ is given by

$$
d_c = \begin{pmatrix} -X_1X_2 - X_3 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}X_1^2 & 0\\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}X_2^2 & -\frac{3}{2}X_3 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}X_1^2\\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}X_2^2 & X_2X_1 - X_3 \end{pmatrix}
$$

• $d_c: E_0^3 \longrightarrow E_0^4$ can be expressed as

$$
d_c = \begin{pmatrix} (X_1X_2 + X_3)X_2 - X_5 & \sqrt{2}(-X_1^2X_2 + X_4) & X_1^3 \\ X_2^3 & -\sqrt{2}(X_2^2X_1 + X_5) & X_2X_1^2 - X_3X_1 + X_4 \end{pmatrix}
$$

• $d_c: E_0^4 \longrightarrow E_0^5$ can be expressed as

$$
d_c = \begin{pmatrix} -X_2 & X_1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

An idea of how to get the explicit expression for the operators $d_c: E_0^h \to E_0^{h+1}$ can be found in the proof of Theorem [5.6.](#page-16-1)

By Hodge duality, one can find the explicit expressions for the codifferentials δ_c . Once expressed in terms of the ordered bases Ξ_0^h , the matrix form of δ_c can be expressed as the transpose of the matrix $(L_{i,j})$ of differentials d_c (up to a sign). We recall the action of the Hodge-* operator is expressed in matrix forms as

• $\star_1: E_0^1 \to E_0^4$ and $\star_4: E_0^4 \to E_0^1$ have the form

$$
\star_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 and $\star_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

• $\star_2: E_0^2 \to E_0^3$ and $\star_3: E_0^3 \to E_0^2$ have the form

$$
\star_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \star_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Therefore, using the formula [\(12\)](#page-7-1), the codifferential is expressed as

$$
\delta_c = (-1)^{5h} \star_{5-h+1} d_c^{(5-h)} \star_h : E_0^h \to E_0^{h-1}
$$

so that

• $\delta_c: E_0^1 \longrightarrow E_0^0$ has the form

$$
\delta_c=\begin{pmatrix}-X_1&-X_2\end{pmatrix}
$$

• $\delta_c : E_0^2 \longrightarrow E_0^1$ has the form:

$$
\delta_c = \begin{pmatrix} (X_2X_1 - X_3)X_1 + X_4 & \sqrt{2}(X_2^2X_1 + X_5) & X_2^3 \\ -X_1^3 & \sqrt{2}(-X_1^2X_2 + X_4) & -(X_1X_2 + X_3)X_2 + X_5 \end{pmatrix}
$$

• $\delta_c: E_0^3 \longrightarrow E_0^2$ has the form:

$$
\delta_c = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} -X_2X_1 + X_3 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}X_2^2 & 0\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}X_1^2 & \frac{3}{2}X_3 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}X_2^2\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}X_1^2 & X_1X_2 + X_3 \end{array}\right)
$$

• $\delta_c: E_0^4 \to E_0^3$ has the form:

$$
\delta_c = \begin{pmatrix} -X_2^2 X_1 + X_2 X_3 + X_5 & -X_2^3 \\ \sqrt{2}(X_2 X_1^2 - X_4) & \sqrt{2}(X_1 X_2^2 + X_5) \\ -X_1^3 & -X_1^2 X_2 - X_1 X_3 - X_4 \end{pmatrix}
$$

• $\delta_c: E_0^5 \to E_0^4$ has the form:

$$
\delta_c = \begin{pmatrix} X_2 \\ -X_1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

4.1. Definition of three possible Laplacians following the Rumin-Seshadri approach. In this subsection, we propose three possible constructions of hypoelliptic Laplace-type operators on forms on the Cartan group. The three definitions are inspired by the paper [\[RS12\]](#page-27-24), where Rumin and Seshadri define a Laplacian of fourth order for differential forms of any degree on Heisenberberg groups in order to define a subRiemannian version of the classical analytic torsion. An analogous construction to the one in [\[RS12\]](#page-27-24) has also been proposed by Dave and Haller in [\[DH22\]](#page-27-15) for the particular case of Carnot groups where the $d_c^{(h)}$ are homogeneous for all *h*. In both of the papers already quoted, the Hodge-Laplacians are homogeneous left-invariant differential operators on forms of the same order across all degrees. In particular, the "Rumin-Seshadri" operator considered in [\[DH22\]](#page-27-15) has always order 12 on the Cartan group. Here we recall the definition of these 12-order Laplacians applied to our context:

Definition 4.2.

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h} = \begin{cases}\n(\delta_c d_c)^6 & \text{if } h = 0; \\
(d_c \delta_c)^6 + (\delta_c d_c)^2 & \text{if } h = 1; \\
(d_c \delta_c)^2 + (\delta_c d_c)^3 & \text{if } h = 2; \\
(d_c \delta_c)^3 + (\delta_c d_c)^2 & \text{if } h = 3; \\
(\delta_c d_c)^6 + (d_c \delta_c)^2 & \text{if } h = 4; \\
(d_c \delta_c)^6 & \text{if } h = 5.\n\end{cases}
$$

Notice that $-\Delta_{\mathbb{G},0}$ has order 12 and is NOT the usual sub-Laplacian on \mathbb{G} , i.e. $\Delta_0 := X_1^2 + X_2^2$. Also for forms of higher degree, the Laplacians always have differential order 12.

As proved in [\[DH22\]](#page-27-15), for any $h = 0, \dots, 5$ the operators $\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}$ are hypoelliptic self-adjoint.

In this paper we propose, in addition, two other possible classes of Laplacians. First, the operators ∆*^R* below have different differential order depending on the degree of the forms they act on, and they are defined exclusively via the Rumin differentials d_c and co-differentials δ_c , in the spirit of the initial works of Rumin to define the Hodge-Laplacian on contact manifolds [\[Rum94\]](#page-27-2). In the second case, we define Laplace-type operators ∆*^A* of lower differential order, and the order stays the same across all degrees (except on functions and top-degree forms, see below for details).

Definition 4.3. Here, we consider the following class of Laplacians for the Cartan group:

$$
\Delta_{R,h} = \begin{cases}\n(d_c \delta_c)^3 + \delta_c d_c & \text{if} \quad h = 0, 1; \\
(d_c \delta_c)^2 + (\delta_c d_c)^3 & \text{if} \quad h = 2; \\
(d_c \delta_c)^3 + (\delta_c d_c)^2 & \text{if} \quad h = 3; \\
d_c \delta_c + (\delta_c d_c)^3 & \text{if} \quad h = 4, 5.\n\end{cases}
$$

Notice that now $-\Delta_{R,0} = \Delta_0 = X_1^2 + X_2^2$ is the usual sub-Laplacian on G. Moreover, $\Delta_{R,1}$ and $\Delta_{R,4}$ have order 6 as differential operators, while on 2 and 3-forms the Laplacians have differential order 12.

We now introduce the last class of Laplace operators. In particular, we stress the new part of the definition below deals with the operators acting on forms of degree 2 and 3. We will denote such operators by ∆*A*,*^h* and we stress again that they have the same differential order across all degrees h (except for $h = 0.5$). To achieve this, we introduce new operators acting on 2 and 3-forms which, after composing with the d_c and δ_c , produce Laplacians of homogeneous differential order 6. Apart from degrees $h = 2, 3, \Delta_R$ and Δ_A coincide.

Definition 4.4. In \mathbb{G} , it is possible to define the following homogeneous Laplace-type operators $\Delta_{A,h}$ acting on E_0^h , by setting

$$
\Delta_{A,h} = \begin{cases}\n(d_c \delta_c)^3 + \delta_c d_c & \text{if} \quad h = 0, 1; \\
d_c \delta_c + \delta_c A_{\Delta} d_c & \text{if} \quad h = 2; \\
d_c A_{\Delta} \delta_c + \delta_c d_c & \text{if} \quad h = 3; \\
d_c \delta_c + (\delta_c d_c)^3 & \text{if} \quad h = 4, 5.\n\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
A_{\Delta} := -\Delta_0 I_3
$$

with *I*₃ is the 3 × 3 identity matrix and $\Delta_0 = X_1^2 + X_2^2$ is again the usual subLaplacian of \mathbb{G} .

Once a basis of E_0^h is fixed, the operator $\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}$ can be identified with a matrix-valued map, still denoted by $\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}$

(17)
$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h} = (\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}^{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N_h} : \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{G},\mathbb{R}^{N_h}) \to \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{G},\mathbb{R}^{N_h}),
$$

where $\mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{R}^{N_h})$ is the space of vector-valued distributions on \mathbb{G} , and N_h is the dimension of E_0^h (see [\[BFT09\]](#page-27-9)). The same is true for $\Delta_{A,h}$ and $\Delta_{R,h}$.

Remark 4.5. By construction, it is straightforward to see that also the operators $\Delta_{R,h}$ and $\Delta_{A,h}$ are self-adjoint.

The same is true for Δ ^{*A*}, since

$$
\Delta_{A,3} = \star_2 \Delta_{A,2} \star_3.
$$

Indeed, from the definition of Δ _{*A*,2}, we get that

$$
\star \Delta_{A,2} \star = \star d_c \delta_c \star + \star \delta_c A_{\Delta} d_c \star = \star d_c \star \star \delta_c \star + \star \delta_c \star \star A_{\Delta} \star \star d_c \star = \delta_c d_c + d_c \star A_{\Delta} \star \delta_c
$$

and using the matrix form of \star_2 : $E_0^2 \to E_0^3$ and \star_3 : $E_0^3 \to E_0^2$, we get

$$
\star_3 A_{\Delta} \star_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\Delta_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Delta_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\Delta_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = A_{\Delta}
$$

Proposition 4.6. *The operators* $\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}$ *,* $\Delta_{R,h}$ *and* $\Delta_{A,h}$ *are hypoelliptic for each* $h = 0, \ldots, 5$ *.*

The proof of the hypoellipticity of the operators $\Delta_{R,h}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}$ is essentially covered by Lemma 2.14 of [\[DH22\]](#page-27-15). The hypoellipticity of the new operators $\Delta_{A,h}$ when $h = 2,3$ is shown in the next section (see Proposition [4.14\)](#page-13-0).

Since for any $h = 0, 1, \dots, 5$, the operators $\Delta_{A,h}$ are homogeneous hypoelliptic operators of order $6 < Q = 10$, we can apply the following result.

Theorem 4.7 (see [\[BFT09\]](#page-27-9), Theorem 3.1). *There exist*

(18)
$$
K_{ij} \in \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{G} \cap \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{G} \setminus \{0\}) \quad \text{for } i,j=1,\ldots,N_h,
$$

 $where, again, N_h := \dim E_0^h, with the following properties:$

- i) *the* K_{ij} *'s are kernels of type* 6*, for* $i, j = 1, \ldots, N_h$ *.*
- ii) when $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{N_h}) \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{R}^{N_h})$, if we set

(19)
$$
\Delta_{A,h}^{-1} \alpha := \big(\sum_j \alpha_j * K_{1j}, \ldots, \sum_j \alpha_j * K_{N_hj}\big),
$$

then

$$
\Delta_{A,h}\Delta_{A,h}^{-1}\alpha=\alpha.\quad\text{and}\quad\Delta_{A,h}^{-1}\Delta_{A,h}\alpha=\alpha.
$$

We stress that the same statement holds for $\Delta_{R,1}$ and $\Delta_{R,4}$, but not for $\Delta_{G,h}$ (for any *h*) and not for $\Delta_{R,2}$ and $\Delta_{R,3}$, since in the latter cases the differential order is 12 > *Q*. However, since as stated in Proposition [4.6](#page-11-1) they are homogeneous hypoelliptic operators, it is well-known that such 12-order operators admit an associated fundamental solution that belongs to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{G}, E_0^h)$ of the form

(20)
$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{K}} = \mathscr{K}_0 + p(x) \log(p(x)),
$$

where \mathcal{K}_0 is a vector-valued $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{G} \setminus \{0\})$ function, homogeneous of degree $12 - Q$, and $p(x)$ is a homogeneous vector–valued polynomial of degree 12−*Q* (see e.g. Theorem 3.2.40-(b) in [\[FR16\]](#page-27-25) and also Theorem 3.1 in [\[VSY22\]](#page-28-6)).

In the sequel, we would need to estimate some higher-order derivatives of the fundamental solutions. The follow-ing result is contained in Theorem 3.3. of [\[VSY22\]](#page-28-6), and it ensures that the horizontal derivatives of order ℓ of the fundamental solution of $\Delta_{G,h}$ can be seen associated to vector-valued kernels of type $12-\ell$.

Theorem 4.8 (Theorem 3.3 in [\[VSY22\]](#page-28-6)). *Given the self-adjoint hypoelliptic operators*

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h} \colon \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{G},\mathbb{R}^{N_h}) \to \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{G},\mathbb{R}^{N_h})
$$

 $with \ h=0,\cdots,5$, there exists $\mathscr{K}\in\mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{G},\mathbb{R}^{N_h})$ so that for every $\alpha\in\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G},\mathbb{R}^{N_h})$, if we set

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}^{-1} \alpha := \big(\sum_j \alpha_j * K_{1j}, \ldots, \sum_j \alpha_j * K_{N_hj} \big) ,
$$

and, as a shorthand notation we also write

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}^{-1}\alpha = \alpha \ast \mathscr{K},
$$

then it holds that for any $\alpha \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G},\mathbb{R}^{N_h})$

$$
\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}^{-1}\alpha=\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}(\alpha*\mathscr{K})=\alpha.
$$

Moreover, if $|I| = \ell$ *, there exists* \mathcal{K}_I *a vector-valued distribution so that*

 $X^I \alpha = \Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}(\alpha * \mathcal{K}_I)$

for every $\alpha \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{R}^{N_h})$. If $\ell > 2$, \mathscr{K}_I is a homogeneous vector-valued distribution of degree $2-\ell$, and \mathscr{K}_I agrees *with a vector-valued* C^{∞} *-function on* $\mathbb{G}\setminus\{0\}$ *, so if further* $\ell < 12$ *, then* \mathscr{K}_I *is a kernel of type* $12-\ell$ *.*

Remark 4.9. Roughly speaking, up to using right-invariant differential operators acting on \mathcal{K} , one can think of \mathcal{K}_I as being the same as X^I K (see the proof of Theorem 3.3 in [\[VSY22\]](#page-28-6) for details).

Remark 4.10. Applying again Theorem 3.3. of [\[VSY22\]](#page-28-6), we can also say that the horizontal derivatives of order ℓ of the fundamental solution of both ∆*R*,² and ∆*R*,³ can be seen associated to vector-valued kernels of type 12−ℓ when $2 < \ell < 12$.

4.2. A proof of the hypoellipticity of ∆*A*,*h*. We prove now the hypoellipticity of the Rumin Laplacians introduced in Definition [4.4.](#page-11-0) Moreover, we will also state the div-curl inequalities associated with the Rumin complex (E_0^*, d_c) for the Cartan group, when considering these particular Laplacians.

4.2.1. *Preliminaries on irreducible group representations.*

Definition 4.11 (Group representations). A representation π of a Lie group *G* on a Hilbert space $H_{\pi} \neq \{0\}$ is a homomorphism π of *G* into the group of bounded linear operators on H_{π} with bounded inverse. This means that

- for every $x \in G$, the linear mapping $\pi(x) : H_{\pi} \to H_{\pi}$ is bounded and has bounded inverse,
- for any $x, y \in G$, we have $\pi(xy) = \pi(x)\pi(y)$.

A representation π is unitary if $\pi(x)$ if unitary for every $x \in G$, i.e. $\pi: G \to U(H_{\pi})$. A representation π is called irreducible when it has no closed invariant subspace.

Definition 4.12. Let *G* be a simply connected Lie group and let π be a representation of *G* on a Hilbert space H_{π} . A vector $v \in H_{\pi}$ is said to be smooth or of type C^{∞} if the function

$$
G \ni x \to \pi(x)v \in H_{\pi}
$$

is of class C^{∞} . We denote by S_{π} the space of all smooth vectors of π .

We refer to the book [\[FR16\]](#page-27-25) for more details on the subject of group representations.

Remark 4.13. The representation π determines a representation π of the Lie algebra g of *G* as linear maps $S_{\pi} \to S_{\pi}$ (which extends uniquely to the algebra of all left-invariant differential operator of *G*). If π is irreducible then, as pointed out in Rockland ([\[Roc78\]](#page-27-26)), there is a unitary equivalence, taking H_π as $L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$ (for some integer *k*, possibly 0) and $S_{\pi} = \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^k)$.

In particular, our proof is concentrated on the laplacian ∆*A*,2. Going back to Definition [4.11,](#page-12-0) since we have described the operators $\Delta_{A,h}$ as matrix-valued operators, we can think of the operator $\pi(\Delta_{A,2})$ as corresponding to a matrix of the same size whose entry are operators on S_π obtained by applying π to the corresponding entry of $\Delta_{A,h}$. As in Remark [4.13,](#page-12-1) we can then think $S_{\pi} = \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ for a suitable *k*. The same argument applies to $\pi(d_c)$ and $\pi(\delta_c)$.

Proposition 4.14. *The operators* $\Delta_{A,h}$ *are hypoelliptic for each h* = 0,...,5*.*

Proof. We use Rockland's approach as in [\[HN85\]](#page-27-27), [\[Gło91\]](#page-27-28) and [\[CGGP92\]](#page-27-29). The cases of $h \neq 2,3$ are already covered by [\[DH22\]](#page-27-15), Lemma 2.14. Let us deal now with the case of $h = 2$. The case of $h = 3$ will follow directly from the fact that $\Delta_{A,3} = \star \Delta_{A,2} \star$.

Let us consider π a nontrivial irreducible representation of $\mathbb G$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb R^k)$, which determines a representation π of the Lie algebra g on S_{π} . Then the hypoellipticity of the operator $\Delta_{A,2}$ is equivalent to the injectivity of $\pi(\Delta_{A,2})$ on S_{π} (here for sake of simplicity we denote by S_{π} also $(\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^k))^3$.

Therefore, assume that $\pi(\Delta_{A,2})u = 0$ with $u \in (\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^k))^3$, i.e.,

(21)
$$
\pi(d_c)\pi(\delta_c)u + \pi(\delta_c)\pi(A_\Delta)\pi(d_c)u = 0.
$$

Hence for any $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in (\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^k))^3$, if we multiply [\(21\)](#page-13-1) by *u* and we integrate the identity on $(\mathbb{R}^k)^3$, we have

$$
0 = \int_{\mathbb{G}} \langle \pi(d_c) \pi(\delta_c) u, u \rangle dV + \int_{\mathbb{G}} \langle \pi(\delta_c) \pi(A_\Delta) \pi(d_c) u, u \rangle dV
$$

=
$$
\int_{\mathbb{G}} ||\pi(\delta_c) u||^2 dV + \int_{\mathbb{G}} \langle \pi(A_\Delta) \pi(d_c) u, \pi(d_c) u \rangle dV
$$

We consider the second addend and we integrate by parts. Since

$$
\pi(-\Delta_0)=\pi(-X_1^2)+\pi(-X_2^2)=\pi(X_1)^*\pi(X_1)+\pi(X_2)^*\pi(X_2).
$$

We obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{G}} \langle \begin{pmatrix} \pi(-\Delta_0) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pi(-\Delta_0) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pi(-\Delta_0) \end{pmatrix} \pi(d_c)u, \pi(d_c)u \rangle dV
$$

=
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{G}} \langle \pi(X_i)^* \pi(X_i) \cdot \pi(d_c)u, \pi(d_c)u \rangle dV = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{G}} ||\pi(X_i) \pi(d_c)u||^2 dV
$$

Hence, [\(21\)](#page-13-1) is equivalent to

(22)
$$
\pi(X_i)\pi(d_c)u = 0 \text{ for each } i = 1, 2 \text{ and}
$$

$$
\pi(\delta_c)u=0.
$$

Expressing [\(22\)](#page-13-2) component wise $d_c: E_0^2 \to E_0^3$ with $d_c = (L_{j,k})$, we get

(24)
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{3} \pi(X_1)\pi(L_{j,k})u_k = 0, \forall j = 1,2,3 \text{ and}
$$

(25)
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{3} \pi(X_2) \pi(L_{j,k}) u_k = 0, \ \forall \ j = 1, 2, 3.
$$

Applying $\pi(X_1)^*$ to [\(24\)](#page-13-3), $\pi(X_2)^*$ to [\(25\)](#page-13-4), and taking the sum, we get

$$
\pi(-\Delta_0)\sum_{k=1}^3\pi(L_{j,k})u_k=0
$$

which implies that $\sum_{k=1}^{3} \pi(L_{j,k})u_k = 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, 3$ by the hypoellipticity of the subLaplacian Δ_0 , and shortly (26) $\pi(d_c)u = 0$.

Hence, by [\(23\)](#page-13-5) and [\(26\)](#page-13-6), the injectivity of $\pi(\Delta_{A,2})$ on S_{π} is proved if we show that, for $u \in S_{\pi}$ belonging to

$$
\text{Ker}(\pi(d_c)) \cap \text{Ker}(\pi(\delta_c)),
$$

then $u = 0$.

Since the operators d_c and δ_c are all given in their explicit formulation in Section [4,](#page-8-0) it is possible to show the injectivity by applying inductively the fact that *X*¹ and *X*² are bracket generating the whole Lie algebra (see e.g. the proof of Lemma 4.1.8 in [\[FR16\]](#page-27-25)).

However, in this discussion, we follow the more elegant approach as in the proof of Theorem 5.2 of [\[Rum01\]](#page-28-4). Indeed, by [\[Rum01\]](#page-28-4), proof of Theorem 5.2, there exists $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that, for any $v \in (\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^k))^3$,

$$
(27) \t\t\t v = Q_X \pi(d_c) v + \pi(d_c) Q_X v,
$$

where

$$
Q_X := \pi(\Pi_{E_0} \Pi_E) P_X i_X \pi(\Pi_E \Pi_{E_0}).
$$

Here P_X is the inverse of $\pi(\mathscr{L}_X)$, \mathscr{L}_X being the Lie derivative along X. The above identity says that the smooth cohomology of the complex $\pi(d_c)$ vanishes i.e.

(28)
$$
\operatorname{Ker}(\pi(d_c)) \cap S_{\pi} = \pi(d_c)(S_{\pi}).
$$

Keeping in mind that $\mathcal{R}(\pi(d_c))^{\perp} = \ker(\pi(\delta_c))$, the equality [\(28\)](#page-14-3) implies the injectivity of the system $\pi(d_c) + \pi(\delta_c)$ which, as shown above, is equivalent to prove the injectivity of $\pi(\Delta_{A,2})$, and we are done.

 \Box

5. DIV-CURL ESTIMATES ASSOCIATED TO THE THREE POSSIBLE LAPLACIANS

Given the previous results, we can prove the following limiting Sobolev-type estimates. The estimates presented in Theorem [5.1](#page-14-0) relate to the fundamental solutions of the Laplacians ∆*A*,*^h* of Definition [4.4,](#page-11-0) while the ones in Theorem [5.2](#page-14-1) relate to the properties of the fundamental solutions of the Laplacians $\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}$ and $\Delta_{R,h}$. In particular, the estimates we obtain in the two following Theorems differ in degrees $h = 2,3$. Luckily, when considering differential forms that are either closed or co-closed, we obtain the same estimates using any Laplacian, as proved in Corollary [5.4.](#page-15-0)

Theorem 5.1. Denote by (E_0^*,d_c) the complex of intrinsic forms in $\mathbb G$. Then there exists $C>0$ such that for any h-form $u \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^h)$, $0 \leq h \leq 5$, such that

$$
\begin{cases} d_c u = f \\ \delta_c u = g \end{cases}
$$

if we use Definition [4.4,](#page-11-0) we have

$$
||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-1)}(\mathbb{G})} \leq C||f||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{1})} \t\t\t\tif h = 0;\n||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-3)}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{1})} \leq C(||f||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{2})} + ||\delta_{c}d_{c}g||_{\mathscr{H}^{1}(\mathbb{G})}) \t\t\t\tif h = 1;\n||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-3)}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{2})} \leq C(||\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}f||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{3})} + ||g||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{1})}) \t\t\t\tif h = 2;\n||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-3)}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{3})} \leq C(||f||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{4})} + ||\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}g||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{2})}) \t\t\t\t\tif h = 3.\n||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-3)}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{4})} \leq C(||d_{c}\delta_{c}f||_{\mathscr{H}^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{5})} + ||g||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{3})}) \t\t\t\t\tif h = 4;\n||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-1)}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{5})} \leq C||g||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{4})} \t\t\t\t\t\t\t\t\tif h = 5.
$$

Here $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f$ and $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} g$ denote the horizontal gradient applied component-wise.

Theorem 5.2. Denote by (E_0^*, d_c) the complex of intrinsic forms in \mathbb{G} . Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for any h-form $u \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^h)$, $0 \leq h \leq 5$, such that

$$
\begin{cases} d_c u = f \\ \delta_c u = g \end{cases}
$$

if we use Definition [4.3](#page-10-0) or the Definition [4.2,](#page-10-1) we have

$$
||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-1)}(\mathbb{G})} \leq C||f||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{1})} \t\t\t\tif h = 0;\n||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-3)}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{1})} \leq C(||f||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{2})} + ||\delta_{c}d_{c}g||_{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbb{G})}) \t\t\t\tif h = 1;\n||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-6)}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{2})} \leq C(||d_{c}\delta_{c}f||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{3})} + ||d_{c}g||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{2})}) \t\t\t\tif h = 2;\n||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-6)}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{3})} \leq C(||\delta_{c}f||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{3})} + ||\delta_{c}d_{c}g||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{2})}) \t\t\t\tif h = 3;\n||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-3)}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{4})} \leq C(||d_{c}\delta_{c}f||_{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{5})} + ||g||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{3})}) \t\t\t\t\tif h = 4;\n||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-1)}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{5})} \leq C||g||_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{4})} \t\t\t\t\t\tif h = 5.
$$

Since the proofs of both results require some lengthy algebraic steps, they will be included in Sections [5.3](#page-20-0) and [5.4](#page-22-0) respectively.

Remark 5.3. It is worth explicitly noting that with each of the three definitions of Laplacian, when dealing with functions (i.e. 0-forms) we recover the well known Sobolev inequality (see [\[FGW94\]](#page-27-30), [\[CDG94\]](#page-27-31), [\[MSC95\]](#page-27-32), [\[GN96\]](#page-27-33)). In particular, this fact was not obvious when dealing with the 12-order Laplacian $\Delta_{G,0}$. The proof for this latter case is given in the next section.

We end this section by stating a last result that holds when a differential form *u* is closed or co-closed. Indeed, for closed or co-closed forms, some of the previous estimates can be sharpened as follows, according to the degree of the exterior differential *dc*. Moreover, all the subsequent estimates coincide with each of the three definitions of Laplacian.

Theorem 5.4. Denote by (E_0^*,d_c) the complex of intrinsic forms in \mathbb{G} . Then there exists $C>0$ such that for any h-form $u \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^h)$, $0 \leq h \leq 5$, such that

$$
\begin{cases} d_c u = f \\ \delta_c u = 0 \end{cases}
$$

using either Definition [4.2,](#page-10-1) Definition [4.4](#page-11-0) or Definition [4.3,](#page-10-0) we have always the same estimates (according to the differential order of d(*h*) *^c):*

Analogously, there exists $C > 0$ *such that for any h-form* $u \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^h)$ *,* $0 \le h \le 5$ *, such that*

$$
\begin{cases} d_c u = 0 \\ \delta_c u = g \end{cases}
$$

we have the following estimates (according to the differential order of $\delta_c^{(h)}$):

5.1. Rephrasing the closedness of forms on the Cartan group. Let us quickly revise some notations about tensors on a general Carnot group \mathbb{G} , in order to state a result due to Chanillo & Van Schaftingen that we will need later on.

5.2. Tensors on \mathbb{G} . Given a Carnot group \mathbb{G} , the first layer V_1 of its Lie algebra g will be here denoted as \mathfrak{g}_1 . We are also assuming $m_1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_1$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\otimes^k \mathfrak{g}_1$ be the *k*-fold tensor product of \mathfrak{g}_1 . We will write \mathscr{I}_k for the index set $\{1, \dots, m_1\}^k$, and

$$
X_I^{\otimes} = X_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{i_k} \quad \text{for } I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k) \in \mathscr{I}_k
$$

so that $\{X_I^{\otimes}\}_{I \in \mathcal{I}_k}$ is a basis of $\otimes^k \mathfrak{g}_1$. Then we have a linear surjection from $\otimes^k \mathfrak{g}_1$ to the vector space of all homogeneous left-invariant linear partial differential operators of order *k* on G with real coefficients, given by

$$
X_I^{\otimes} \to X^I
$$

Moreover, we denote by Sym(⊗^k \mathfrak{g}_1) the subspace of all symmetric tensors in ⊗^k \mathfrak{g}_1 . There is a symmetrization map

.

$$
\text{Sym} : \otimes^k \mathfrak{g}_1 \to \text{Sym}(\otimes^k \mathfrak{g}_1),
$$

which is a linear surjection given by

$$
X_I^{\otimes} \to \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathscr{S}_k} X_{\sigma(I)}^{\otimes}, \quad I \in \mathscr{I}_k
$$

where here \mathscr{S}_k denotes the symmetric group on *k* elements, and $\sigma(I) := (i_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, i_{\sigma(k)})$ if $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \mathscr{I}_k$ as above, and $\sigma \in \mathscr{S}_k$.

Denoting by $\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G},Sym(\otimes^k \mathfrak{g}_1))$ the subspace of compactly supported smooth symmetric horizontal *k*-tensors, we recall now the following result due to Chanillo & Van Schaftingen that turns to be a key step in our proof of Theorem [5.1.](#page-14-0)

Theorem 5.5 ([\[CVS09\]](#page-27-1), Theorem 5). Let $k \ge 1$ and

$$
F\in L^1(\mathbb{G},\otimes^k\mathfrak{g}_1),\quad \Phi\in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G},\mathrm{Sym}(\otimes^k\mathfrak{g}_1)).
$$

Suppose that F has vanishing generalised divergence, i.e.

$$
\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k} X_{i_k} \cdots X_{i_1} F_{i_1,\dots,i_k} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathscr{D}'(\mathbb{G}).
$$

Then

$$
\Big|\int_{\mathbb{G}}\langle \Phi, F\rangle_{\otimes^k\mathfrak{g}_1}\,dp\Big|\leq C\|F\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},\otimes^k\mathfrak{g}_1)}\|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}\Phi\|_{L^Q(\mathbb{G},\otimes^k\mathfrak{g}_1)},
$$

where ∇ _{\mathbb{G}} Φ *denotes the horizontal gradient applied component-wise.*

Let $k \ge 1$ be fixed, and let $F \in L^1(\mathbb{G}, \otimes^k \mathfrak{g}_1)$ belong to the space of horizontal *k*-tensors. We can write

$$
F=\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k}F_{i_1,\dots,i_k}X_{i_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes X_{i_k}.
$$

As mentioned before, *F* can be identified with the differential operator

$$
u\to Fu:=\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k}F_{i_1,\dots,i_k}X_{i_1}\cdots X_{i_k}u.
$$

As in [\[BF13\]](#page-26-3) and [\[BFP16\]](#page-26-4), our proof of Theorem [5.1](#page-14-0) relies on the fact (precisely stated in Theorem [5.6](#page-16-1) below) that the components with respect to a given basis of closed forms in E_0^h can be viewed as the components of a horizontal vector field with vanishing "generalized horizontal divergence". More precisely, in our case where G is again the Cartan group, we have the have the following result.

Proposition 5.6. *Let* $\alpha = \sum_J \alpha_J \xi_J^h \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^h)$, $1 \leq h \leq 4$, be such that

$$
d_c\alpha=0.
$$

Then each component of α *is of the form* α *J* = C _{*J}FJ,* where C *J is a real constant and F_{<i>J*} *is the component of* α </sub> *horizontal symmetric s-tensor F associated to a differential operator*

$$
F=\sum_{J=1}^{\dim E_0^h}F_JX_{i_1}\cdots X_{i_s}
$$

of order s, where s coincides with the order of the Rumin differential d_c^h *:* $E_0^h \to E_0^{h+1}$ *, i.e.* $s = 2$ *if* $h = 2$ *and* $s = 3$ *otherwise.*

Moreover, the differential operator F has vanishing generalised divergence, that is it satisfies

(29)
$$
\sum_{J=1}^{\dim E_0^h} X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_s} F_J = 0.
$$

In order to prove this result we will use the following classical Cartan's formula in \mathbb{G} (see, e.g., [\[Hel01\]](#page-27-34), identity (9) p. 21, though with a different normalization of the wedge product).

Theorem 5.7 (Cartan's formula). Let ω be a smooth h-form of (Ω^*, d) (the usual de Rham's complex), and let Z_0, Z_1, \ldots, Z_h *be smooth vector fields in* \mathbb{G} *. Then*

(30)

$$
\langle d\omega | Z_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge Z_h \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^h (-1)^i Z_i \langle \omega | Z_0 \wedge \cdots \hat{Z}_i \cdots \wedge Z_h \rangle
$$

$$
+ \sum_{0 \le i < j \le h} (-1)^{i+j} \langle \omega | [Z_i, Z_j] \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{Z}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{Z}_j \cdots \rangle.
$$

Remark 5.8. Let $\alpha = \sum_{J} \alpha_{J} \xi_{J}^{h} \in E_{0}^{h}$, $1 \leq h \leq 4$, be such that

$$
d_c\alpha=0.
$$

Then $d\Pi_E \alpha = 0$, i.e. $\Pi_E \alpha$ is closed in the usual sense.

Proof. Since $0 = d_c \alpha := \Pi_{E_0} d \Pi_E \alpha = \Pi_{E_0} \Pi_E d \alpha$, by Theorem [3.4,](#page-7-0) ii), if we apply Π_E to this equation, we get

(31)
$$
0 = \Pi_E \Pi_{E_0} \Pi_E d\alpha = \Pi_E d\alpha = d\Pi_E \alpha,
$$

by Theorem [3.4,](#page-7-0) iv), i.e, $\Pi_E \alpha$ is closed in the usual sense, as claimed.

Remark 5.9. Notice that, given an arbitrary Rumin *h*-form $\alpha \in E_0^h$, the $h+1$ -form $d\Pi_E\alpha$ is not in general a Rumin form, but it is still an element in $(\mathscr{R}(d_0))^{\perp} = E_0^{\bullet} \oplus (\ker d_0)^{\perp}$.

Proof of Proposition [5.6.](#page-16-1) Keeping in mind the previous remarks, we are going to apply Cartan formula choosing a suitable simple *h*-vector such that its dual does not belong to $\mathcal{R}(d_0)$. We divide the proof according to the degree *h* of the form.

case $h = 1$: Let us consider $\alpha = \alpha_1 \theta_1 + \alpha_2 \theta_2$ and $d_c \alpha = 0$. By Remark [5.8,](#page-17-0) we have $d\Pi_E \alpha = 0$. We want to apply Theorem [5.7](#page-17-1) to $\omega = \prod_E \alpha$. By [\(11\)](#page-7-2), we have

$$
\Pi_E \alpha = \alpha - d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha - d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha + (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha - d_0^{-1} d_3 \alpha + d_0^{-1} d_2 d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha + d_0^{-1} d_1 d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha +
$$

-(d_0^{-1} d_1)^3 \alpha + terms of weight 5 and 6 = $\alpha - d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha - d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha + (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha$,

since $\mathcal{R}(d_0^{(1)})$ $\Omega_0^{(1)} = \Omega^{2,2} \oplus \Omega^{2,3}$ by Remark [4.1](#page-8-1) i), we have that d_0^{-1} vanishes on $\Omega^{2,4}$, $\Omega^{2,5}$, and $\Omega^{2,6}$.

We now use [\(30\)](#page-17-2) applied to $d\omega = d\Pi_E \alpha = 0$, taking $Z_0 = X_4$, $Z_1 = X_1$. Hence, keeping in mind that $[Z_0, Z_1] = [X_4, X_1] = 0$, we can write

$$
0 = Z_0 \langle \alpha | Z_1 \rangle - Z_1 \langle \alpha | Z_0 \rangle - \left(Z_0 \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha | Z_1 \rangle - Z_1 \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha | Z_0 \rangle \right) +
$$

$$
- \left(Z_0 \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | Z_1 \rangle - Z_1 \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | Z_0 \rangle \right) + \left(Z_0 \langle (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha | Z_1 \rangle - Z_1 \langle (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha | Z_0 \rangle \right).
$$

Arguing using the weights of the forms and the vector fields, we know that $w(d_0^{-1}d_1\alpha) = 2$, while $w(Z_0) = 3$ and $w(Z_1) = 1$, and they are therefore orthogonal by Remark [2.7.](#page-5-3) Moreover, $w(d_0^{-1}d_2\alpha) = w((d_0^{-1}d_1)^2\alpha) = 3$, and so they act trivially on Z_1 . Finally, since $w(\alpha) = 1$, the expression then simplifies to

$$
0 = Z_0 \langle \alpha | Z_1 \rangle + Z_1 \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | Z_0 \rangle - Z_1 \langle (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha | Z_0 \rangle
$$

\n= $X_4 \alpha_1 + X_1 \langle d_0^{-1} (-X_3 \alpha_1 \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 - X_3 \alpha_2 \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3) | X_4 \rangle - X_1 \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 d_0^{-1} (X_1 \alpha_2 - X_2 \alpha_1) \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 | X_4 \rangle$
\n= $X_4 \alpha_1 + X_1 \langle X_3 \alpha_1 \theta_4 + X_3 \alpha_2 \theta_5 | X_4 \rangle + X_1 \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 (X_1 \alpha_2 - X_2 \alpha_1) \theta_3 | X_4 \rangle$
\n= $X_4 \alpha_1 + X_1 X_3 \alpha_1 + X_1 \langle d_0^{-1} (X_1 (X_1 \alpha_2 - X_2 \alpha_1) \theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 + X_2 (X_1 \alpha_2 - X_2 \alpha_1) \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3) | X_4 \rangle$
\n= $X_4 \alpha_1 + X_1 X_3 \alpha_1 - X_1 \langle X_1 (X_1 \alpha_2 - X_2 \alpha_1) \theta_4 + X_2 (X_1 \alpha_2 - X_2 \alpha_1) \theta_5 | X_4 \rangle$
\n= $X_4 \alpha_1 + X_1 X_3 \alpha_1 - X_1 X_1 (X_1 \alpha_2 - X_2 \alpha_1).$

Hence the differential operator

$$
F := (X_4 + X_1X_3 + X_1^2X_2)\alpha_1 - X_1^3\alpha_2 = (3X_1^2X_2 + X_2X_1^2 - 3X_1X_2X_1)\alpha_1 - X_1^3\alpha_2
$$

has vanishing divergence in the weak sense, by the formula above. Moreover, it is associated to the horizontal 3-tensor $F \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G}, \otimes^3 \mathfrak{g}_1)$

(34)

(32)
$$
F:=\frac{\alpha_1}{3}(X_1\otimes X_1\otimes X_2+X_1\otimes X_2\otimes X_1+X_2\otimes X_1\otimes X_1)-\alpha_2X_1\otimes X_1\otimes X_1.
$$

In this case, $(Z_1 \wedge Z_0)^{\natural} = \theta_1 \wedge \theta_4$ belongs to Ξ_0^2 (see [\(15\)](#page-8-3)), and so the action of $d\Pi_E$ on $X_4 \wedge X_1$ could also be recovered from the explicit formulae of *d^c* in Section [4.](#page-8-0) However, we decided to include the computations also for $h = 1$ as a simpler case, as compared to the more general case that we need to cover when considering the following step of $h = 2$.

case $h = 2$: Let us consider the case when $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_i \xi_i^2$ and $d_c \alpha = 0$, keeping in mind that

(33)
$$
\xi_1^2 = \theta_1 \wedge \theta_4, \quad \xi_2^2 = \frac{\theta_1 \wedge \theta_5 + \theta_2 \wedge \theta_4}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \xi_3^2 = \theta_2 \wedge \theta_5.
$$

By Remark [5.8](#page-17-0) we have $d\Pi_E \alpha = 0$. Again, we apply Theorem [5.7](#page-17-1) to $\omega = \Pi_E \alpha$. By [\(11\)](#page-7-2),

$$
\Pi_E \alpha = \alpha - d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha - d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha + d_0^{-1} d_1 d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha + \text{ terms of weight 7 and 8}
$$

= $\alpha - d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha - d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha + d_0^{-1} d_1 d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha$.

since $\mathcal{R}(d_0^{(2)})$ $\Omega_0^{(2)}$) = $span_{C^{\infty}(\mathbb{G})}\{\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3\} \oplus \Omega^{3,5} \oplus \Omega^{3,6}$ by Remark [4.1](#page-8-1) ii), and so d_0^{-1} vanishes on $\Omega^{3,7}$, and $\Omega^{3,8}.$

We use [\(30\)](#page-17-2) applied to $d\omega = d\Pi_E \alpha = 0$, taking $Z_0 = X_5$, $Z_1 = X_1$, $Z_2 = X_3$. Notice that the corresponding 3-covector $\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_5$ does not belong to Ξ_0^3 , but it is in $(\mathscr{R}(d_0))^{\perp}$. In this case, it is not sufficient to use the explicit computations of the *d^c* contained in Subsection [4](#page-8-0) to recover the action of *d*Π*E*.

We can write

$$
0 = Z_0 \langle \alpha | Z_1 \wedge Z_2 \rangle - Z_1 \langle \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_2 \rangle + Z_2 \langle \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_1 \rangle
$$

\n
$$
- (Z_0 \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha | Z_1 \wedge Z_2 \rangle - Z_1 \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_2 \rangle + Z_2 \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_1 \rangle)
$$

\n
$$
- (Z_0 \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | Z_1 \wedge Z_2 \rangle - Z_1 \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_2 \rangle + Z_2 \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_1 \rangle)
$$

\n
$$
+ (Z_0 \langle (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha | Z_1 \wedge Z_2 \rangle - Z_1 \langle (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_2 \rangle + Z_2 \langle (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_1 \rangle)
$$

\n
$$
- \langle \alpha | [Z_0, Z_1] \wedge Z_2 \rangle + \langle \alpha | [Z_0, Z_2] \wedge Z_1 \rangle - \langle \alpha | [Z_1, Z_2] \wedge Z_0 \rangle
$$

\n
$$
- (- \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha | [Z_0, Z_1] \wedge Z_2 \rangle + \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha | [Z_0, Z_2] \wedge Z_1 \rangle - \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha | [Z_1, Z_2] \wedge Z_0 \rangle)
$$

\n
$$
- (- \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | [Z_0, Z_1] \wedge Z_2 \rangle + \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | [Z_0, Z_2] \wedge Z_1 \rangle - \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | [Z_1, Z_2] \wedge Z_0 \rangle)
$$

\n
$$
+ (- \langle (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha | [Z_0, Z_1] \wedge Z_2 \rangle + \langle (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha | [Z_0, Z_2] \wedge Z_1 \rangle -
$$

This long expression simplifies greatly thanks to the particular choice of the vector fields Z_0, Z_1, Z_2 . In fact $[Z_0, Z_1] = [Z_0, Z_2] = 0.$

Moreover, arguing using the weights of the forms and the vector fields, we know that $w(\alpha) = 4$, while $w(Z_0 \wedge Z_2) = 5$, and $w(Z_1 \wedge Z_2) = 3$, and so the expression simplifies to

(35)
\n
$$
0 = Z_2 \langle \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_1 \rangle
$$
\n
$$
- \left(Z_0 \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha | Z_1 \wedge Z_2 \rangle - Z_1 \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_2 \rangle + Z_2 \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_1 \rangle \right)
$$
\n
$$
- \left(Z_0 \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | Z_1 \wedge Z_2 \rangle - Z_1 \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_2 \rangle + Z_2 \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_1 \rangle \right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \left(Z_0 \langle (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha | Z_1 \wedge Z_2 \rangle - Z_1 \langle (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_2 \rangle + Z_2 \langle (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha | Z_0 \wedge Z_1 \rangle \right)
$$
\n
$$
- \langle \alpha | [Z_1, Z_2] \wedge Z_0 \rangle + \langle d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha | [Z_1, Z_2] \wedge Z_0 \rangle \right) + \langle d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha | [Z_1, Z_2] \wedge Z_0 \rangle
$$
\n
$$
- \langle (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha | [Z_1, Z_2] \wedge Z_0 \rangle
$$

Again, considering the weights of the forms $w(d_0^{-1}d_2\alpha) = w((d_0^{-1}d_1)^2\alpha) = 6$, while the highest weight of a 2-vector considered here is 5. Finally, since $w(d_0^{-1}d_1\alpha) = 5$ and $w(Z_0 \wedge Z_1) = 4$, the expression [\(35\)](#page-18-0) further simplifies to

$$
0=Z_2\langle \alpha|Z_0\wedge Z_1\rangle+Z_1\langle d_0^{-1}d_1\alpha|Z_0\wedge Z_2\rangle
$$

+ $\langle d_0^{-1}d_2\alpha|[Z_1,Z_2]\wedge Z_0\rangle-\langle (d_0^{-1}d_1)^2\alpha|[Z_1,Z_2]\wedge Z_0\rangle$

Since

(36)

$$
d_0(\theta_4 \wedge \theta_5) = -\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_5 + \theta_2 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_5
$$

we obtain

$$
d_0^{-1}d_1(d_0^{-1}d_1\alpha) = \left(\frac{X_2^2}{2}\alpha_1 + (-X_2X_1 - X_1X_2)\frac{\alpha_2}{2\sqrt{2}} + X_1^2\frac{\alpha_3}{2}\alpha_2\right)\theta_4 \wedge \theta_5,
$$

Finally,

$$
d_0^{-1}d_2\alpha = d_0^{-1}\left(-X_3\alpha_1\theta_1\wedge\theta_3\wedge\theta_4-\frac{X_3}{\sqrt{2}}\alpha_2(\theta_1\wedge\theta_3\wedge\theta_4+\theta_2\wedge\theta_3\wedge\theta_5)-X_3\alpha_3\theta_2\wedge\theta_3\wedge\theta_5\right)=0,
$$

Hence, [\(36\)](#page-19-0) became

$$
0 = -X_3 \frac{\alpha_2}{\sqrt{2}} + X_1^2 \alpha_3 - X_1 X_2 \frac{\alpha_2}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{X_2^2}{2} \alpha_1 + (-X_2 X_1 - X_1 X_2) \frac{\alpha_2}{2\sqrt{2}} + X_1^2 \frac{\alpha_3}{2}
$$

= $X_1^2 \frac{3\alpha_3}{2} + (-\frac{5}{2\sqrt{2}} X_1 X_2 + \frac{X_2 X_1}{\sqrt{2}}) \alpha_2 + \frac{X_2^2}{2} \alpha_1$,

Therefore we can consider the following differential operator

$$
F := \frac{3\alpha_3}{2}X_1^2 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2}X_2^2 + \frac{\alpha_2}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\frac{5}{2}X_1X_2 + X_2X_1\right)
$$

that satisfies [\(29\)](#page-16-2). Notice that *F* can be identified with the tensor $F \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G}, \otimes^2 \Lambda_1 \mathfrak{g}_1)$ as

(37)
$$
F := \frac{3\alpha_3}{2}X_1 \otimes X_1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2}X_2 \otimes X_2 + \frac{\alpha_2}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\frac{5}{2}X_1 \otimes X_2 + X_2 \otimes X_1\right)
$$

case $h = 3$ Let us consider the case when $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_i \xi_i^3$ and $d_c \alpha = 0$. As above, we have $d\Pi_E \alpha = 0$ and by [\(11\)](#page-7-2)

$$
\Pi_E \alpha = \alpha - d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha - d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha - d_0^{-1} d_3 \alpha + (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha + d_0^{-1} d_2 d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha +
$$

+
$$
d_0^{-1} d_1 d_0^{-1} d_2 \alpha - (d_0^{-1} d_1)^3 \alpha = \alpha - d_0^{-1} d_1 \alpha + (d_0^{-1} d_1)^2 \alpha,
$$

since $\mathcal{R}(d_0^{(3)})$ $\Omega_0^{(3)}$) = Ω^{4,7} \oplus Ω^{4,8} by Remark [4.1](#page-8-1) iii), and so d_0^{-1} vanishes on Ω^{4,9}.

Moreover, for any $i = 1, 2, 3$ the fact that $w(\xi_i^3) = 6$ implies that ξ_i^3 , as a 3-covector, always contains θ_3 (see [\(16\)](#page-8-4)). As θ_3 is the only covector of weight 2, the action of d_2 on such a α will necessarily be trivial.

.

We could use [\(30\)](#page-17-2) applied to $d\Pi_E \alpha = 0$, taking $Z_0 = X_1$, $Z_1 = X_3$, $Z_2 = X_4$, $Z_3 = X_5$. Instead, in this case we can argue straightforwardly using the explicit expression of d_c acting on 3-forms as shown in Section [4.](#page-8-0) Indeed, notice that the 4-covector $\theta_1 \wedge \theta_3 \wedge \theta_4 \wedge \theta_5$ belongs to Ξ_0^4 , and so the action of $d\Pi_E$ on $X_1 \wedge X_3 \wedge X_4 \wedge X_5$ is recovered from the explicit formulae in Section [4.](#page-8-0)

Therefore, we get that the differential operator

$$
F := (3X_1X_2^2 + X_2^2X_1 - 3X_2X_1X_2)\alpha_1 + \sqrt{2}(-2X_1X_2X_1 + X_2X_1^2)\alpha_2 + X_1^3\alpha_3
$$

= $(X_1X_2^2 + X_3X_2 - X_5)\alpha_1 + \sqrt{2}(-X_1^2X_2 + X_4)\alpha_2 + X_1^3\alpha_3$

which has vanishing divergence in the weak sense, has the corresponding horizontal 3-tensor $F \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G}, \otimes^3 \mathfrak{g}_1)$

(38)
$$
F := \frac{\alpha_1}{3}(X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_2 + X_2 \otimes X_1 \otimes X_2 + X_2 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_1) +
$$

(39)
$$
-\frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha_2}{3}(X_1\otimes X_1\otimes X_2+X_1\otimes X_2\otimes X_1+X_2\otimes X_1\otimes X_1)+\alpha_3X_1\otimes X_1\otimes X_1.
$$

case $h = 4$ The case of 4-forms is much more simpler, given the expression of the differential $d_c : E_0^4 \to E_0^5$, which is an operator of order 1 in the horizontal derivatives. Therefore, it suffices to take $F = -\alpha_1 X_2 + \alpha_2 X_1 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}, \mathfrak{g}_1)$ which has vanishing divergence in the usual sense.

 \Box

5.3. Proof of Theorem [5.1.](#page-14-0) We are now ready to prove one of our main theorems.

Proof of Theorem [5.1.](#page-14-0) The case $h = 0$ is well known (see [\[FGW94,](#page-27-30) [CDG94,](#page-27-31) [MSC95,](#page-27-32) [GN96\]](#page-27-33)), and hence by Hodgestar duality this also sets the case of $h = 5$. Similarly, we can restrict our proof of the result to forms in E_0^h , with $h = 1, 2$, and obtain the cases $h = 3, 4$ by applying Hodge-star duality to the complex (E_0^*, d_c) .

Case h = 1. If $u, \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)$, we can write

(40)

$$
\langle u, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)} = \langle u, \Delta_{A,1} \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)}
$$

$$
= \langle u, (\delta_c d_c + (d_c \delta_c)^3) \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)}.
$$

Consider now the first term in the previous sum,

$$
\langle u, \delta_c d_c \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)} = \langle d_c u, d_c \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}.
$$

Moreover, since $f, d_c\Delta_{A,1}^{-1}\phi \in E_0^2$, we can write $f = \sum_{\ell=1}^3 f_\ell \xi_\ell^2$, $d_c\Delta_{A,1}^{-1}\phi = \sum_{\ell=1}^3 (d_c\Delta_{A,1}^{-1}\phi)_\ell \xi_\ell^2$, and hence we can reduce ourselves to estimate

(41)
$$
\langle f_{\ell}, (d_c \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi)_{\ell} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} \quad \text{for } \ell = 1,2,3.
$$

Consider now the horizontal 2-tensor $F \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{G}, \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g}_1)$ as defined in [\(37\)](#page-19-1)

$$
F := \frac{3f_3}{2}X_1 \otimes X_1 + \frac{f_1}{2}X_2 \otimes X_2 + \frac{f_2}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\frac{5}{2}X_1 \otimes X_2 + X_2 \otimes X_1 \right)
$$

,

.

.

which, by Proposition [5.6,](#page-16-1) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem [5.5.](#page-16-0)

Suppose $f_{\ell} = f_1$ and consider the horizontal 2-tensor *F*. We consider now the symmetric horizontal 2-tensor Φ :

$$
\Phi:=(d_c\Delta_{A,1}^{-1}\phi)_1(X_2\otimes X_2),
$$

so that

$$
\langle f_1, (d_c \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi)_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} = \langle F, \Phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g}_1)}.
$$

By Theorem [5.5](#page-16-0)

(42)
$$
\left| \langle f_1, (d_c \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi)_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} \right| \leq \| G \|_{L^1(\mathbb{G}, \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g}_1)} \| \nabla_{\mathbb{G}} (d_c \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi)_1 \|_{L^Q(\mathbb{G})} \leq \| f \|_{L^1(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} \| \nabla_{\mathbb{G}} d_c \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi \|_{L^Q(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}.
$$

On the other hand, keeping in mind that d_c has order 3, $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}d_c\Delta_{A,1}^{-1}\phi$ can be expressed as a sum of terms with components of the form

$$
\phi_j * X^I \tilde{K}_{ij} \quad \text{with } d(I) = 4.
$$

By Theorem [4.7,](#page-11-2) iv) and Proposition [2.1,](#page-4-0) $X^I \tilde{K}_{ij}$ are kernels of order 2, so that, by Theorem [2.2,](#page-4-1) we have

(43)
$$
|\langle f_1, (d_c \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi)_1 \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}| \leq C ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} ||\phi||_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)}
$$

The same argument applies to f_2 and f_3 using again the 2-tensor *F*, with a suitable choice for the symmetric tensor Φ . We obtain eventually

(44)
$$
|\langle f, d_c \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}| \leq C ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} ||\phi||_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)}
$$

Consider now the second term in [\(40\)](#page-20-1)

$$
\langle u, (d_c \delta_c)^3 \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)} = \langle \delta_c d_c \delta_c u, \delta_c d_c \delta_c \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}.
$$

By Theorem [4.7,](#page-11-2) formula [\(19\)](#page-11-3), keeping in mind that δ_c is an operator of order 1 in the horizontal derivatives when acting on E_0^1 , the quantity $\delta_c d_c \delta_c \Delta_{A,1}^{-1} \phi$ can be written as a sum of terms such as

$$
\phi_j * X^I \tilde{K}_{ij}, \quad \text{with } d(I) = 3.
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\langle \delta_c d_c \delta_c u, \phi_j * X^I \tilde{K}_{ij} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} = \langle \delta_c d_c g, \phi_j * X^I \tilde{K}_{ij} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} = \langle \delta_c d_c g * {}^v (X^I \tilde{K}_{ij}), \phi_j \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}.
$$

By Hölder,

$$
|\langle \delta_c d_c g *^{\mathbf{v}} (X^I \tilde{K}_{ij}), \phi_j \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}| \leq ||\delta_c d_c g *^{\mathbf{v}} (X^I \tilde{K}_{ij})||_{L^{Q/Q-3}} ||\phi_j||_{L^{Q/3}}.
$$

Notice the $X^I \tilde{K}_{ij}$'s and hence the $\sqrt[v]{(X^I \tilde{K}_{ij})}$'s are kernels of type 3. Thus, by Theorem 6.10 in [\[FS82\]](#page-27-8),

$$
|\langle \delta_c d_c \delta_c u, \phi_j * X^I \tilde{K}_{ij} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}| \leq C ||\delta_c d_c g||_{\mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{G})} ||\phi||_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)}.
$$

Combining this estimate with the one in [\(44\)](#page-20-2), we get eventually

$$
|\langle u, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)}| \leq C \big(\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} + \|\delta_c d_c g\|_{\mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{G})} \big) \|\phi\|_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)},
$$

and hence

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\mathcal{Q}/(\mathcal{Q}-3)}(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)}\leq C\big(\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)}+\|\delta_c d_c g\|_{\mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{G})}\big)\,,
$$

which concludes the proof in the case $h = 1$.

Case h = 2. For sake of simplicity, we set $\mathcal{K} := \Delta_{A,2}^{-1}$ throughout this part of the proof. If $u, \phi \in E_0^2$ are smooth compactly supported forms, then we can write

(45)
\n
$$
\langle u, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} = \langle u, \Delta_{A,2} \mathscr{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}
$$
\n
$$
= \langle u, (\delta_c A_\Delta d_c + d_c \delta_c) \mathscr{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}
$$

Consider now the term

$$
\langle u, \delta_c A_\Delta d_c \mathcal{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} = \langle d_c u, A_\Delta d_c \mathcal{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^3)}.
$$

.

.

.

Let us write $f := d_c u$. We can write $f = \sum_{\ell=1}^3 f_\ell \xi_\ell^3$. As above, $d_c f = 0$, and again

$$
\langle d_c u, A_{\Delta} d_c \mathcal{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^3)} = \sum_{\ell} \langle f_{\ell}, (A_{\Delta} d_c \mathcal{K} \phi)_{\ell} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}.
$$

Since $d_c \mathcal{K} \phi = \sum_{\ell=1}^3 (d_c \mathcal{K} \phi)_{\ell} \xi_{\ell}^3$ and $A_{\Delta} =$ $\sqrt{ }$ \mathbf{I} $\delta_c d_c$ 0 0 $0 \qquad \delta_c d_c \qquad 0$ 0 0 $\delta_c d_c$ \setminus , where the d_c in the matrix entries are the dif-

ferentials acting on functions (i.e. 0-forms) and have order 1.

Since A_{Δ} is a diagonal matrix, we have that $(A_{\Delta}d_c\mathscr{K}\phi)_{\ell} = \delta_c^{(1)}d_c^{(0)}(d_c^{(2)}\mathscr{K}\phi)_{\ell}$, where in the formula we are highlighting the degree of the forms the operators δ_c and d_c are acting on.

Hence, componentwise, for each $\ell = 1, 2, 3$,

$$
\langle f_{\ell}, (A_{\Delta}d_{c}^{(2)}\mathscr{K}\phi)_{\ell}\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{G})} = \langle f_{\ell}, \delta_{c}^{(1)}d_{c}^{(0)}(d_{c}^{(2)}\mathscr{K}\phi)_{\ell}\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{G})} = \langle d_{c}^{(0)}f_{\ell}, d_{c}^{(0)}(d_{c}^{(2)}\mathscr{K}\phi)_{\ell}\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{G}, E_{0}^{1})}.
$$

As above, by Proposition [5.6,](#page-16-1) each $\alpha_j = (d_c f_\ell)_j = (X_1 f_\ell \theta_1 + X_2 f_\ell \theta_2)_j = X_j f_\ell$ with $j = 1, 2$ is one of the components of a horizontal 3-tensor with vanishing horizontal generalised divergence, see [\(38\)](#page-19-2).

To achieve the estimate of $\langle (d_c^{(0)}f_\ell)_j, (d_c^{(0)}(d_c^{(2)}\mathcal{H}\phi)_\ell)_j \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}$, we define a new horizontal 3-tensor Φ as before, so that

$$
\langle (d_c f_{\ell})_j, (d_c (d_c \mathcal{K} \phi)_{\ell})_j \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} = \langle F, \Phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, \otimes^3 g_1)}
$$

By Theorem [5.5,](#page-16-0) denoting by $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f = (\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f_1, \nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f_2, \nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f_3)$

$$
\big| \langle (d_c^{(0)} f_\ell)_j, (d_c^{(0)} (d_c^{(2)} \mathcal{K} \phi)_\ell)_j \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} \big| \leq \|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G}, E_0^3)} \|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} (d_c^{(0)} (d_c^{(2)} \mathcal{K} \phi)_\ell)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^3)}
$$

On the other hand, $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}\big(d_c^{(0)}(d_c^{(2)}\mathscr{K}\phi)_\ell\big)$ can be expressed as a sum of terms with components of the form

$$
\phi_j * X^I \tilde{K}_{ij}, \quad \text{with } d(I) = 4,
$$

since $d_c^{(2)}$: $E_0^2 \rightarrow E_0^3$ is an operator of order 2 and ∇ _G is of order 1 in the horizontal derivatives. The same can be repeated for any $\ell = 1, 2, 3$.

By Theorem [4.7,](#page-11-2) iv) and Proposition [2.1,](#page-4-0) $X^I \tilde{K}_{ij}$ are kernels of type 2, so that, by Theorem [2.2,](#page-4-1) we have

$$
|\langle f_\ell, (A_\Delta d_c \mathscr{K} \phi)_{\ell} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}| \leq C \|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G}, E^3_0)} \|\phi\|_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G}, E^2_0)}
$$

The same argument can be carried out for all the components of f, yielding

$$
|\langle f, A_{\Delta}d_{c}\mathscr{K}\phi\rangle_{L^{2}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{2})}| \leq C\|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{2})}\|\phi\|_{L^{2/3}(\mathbb{G},E_{0}^{2})}.
$$

Consider now the term

$$
\langle u, d_c \delta_c \mathcal{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} = \langle \delta_c u, \delta_c \mathcal{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)}.
$$

We have

$$
\langle \delta_{c} u, \delta_{c} \mathscr{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)} = \langle \star \delta_{c} u, \star \delta_{c} \mathscr{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^4)} = \langle \star g, \star \delta_{c} \mathscr{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^4)}
$$

We notice now that $\star g$ is a *d_c*-closed form in E_0^4 . Indeed, $d_c \star g = \star \delta_c g = \star \delta_c^2 u = 0$ up to a sign. We can then apply Proposition [5.6](#page-16-1) to get

$$
|\langle \star g, \star \delta_c \mathscr{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^4)}| \leq ||g||_{L^1(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)} ||\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} * \delta_c \mathscr{K} \phi||_{L^Q(\mathbb{G}, E_0^4)}
$$

As above, $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} \times \delta_c \mathcal{K} \phi$ can be expressed as a sum of terms with components of the form

$$
\phi_j * X^I \tilde{K}_{ij}, \quad \text{with } d(I) = 4,
$$

since $\delta_c : E_0^3 \to E_0^2$ is an operator of order 3 in the horizontal derivatives. By Theorem [4.7](#page-11-2) and Proposition [2.1,](#page-4-0) $X^I \tilde{K}_{ij}$ are kernels of type 2, so that, again by Theorem [2.2,](#page-4-1) we have

$$
\big| \langle g, \delta_c \mathscr{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E^1_0)} \big| \leq C \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E^1_0)} \|\phi\|_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G},E^2_0)}.
$$

Combining this estimate with the one in [\(46\)](#page-22-1), we get eventually

$$
|\langle u,\phi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)}|\leq C\big(\|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)}+\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)}\big)\|\phi\|_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)},
$$

and hence

(48)

$$
\|u\|_{L^{Q/(Q-3)}(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)}\leq C\big(\|\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)}+\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)}\big).
$$

This achieves the proof of the theorem.

5.4. Proof of Theorem [5.2.](#page-14-1) Let us now prove Theorem [5.2.](#page-14-1)

Proof of Theorem [5.2](#page-14-1) using the Laplacians ∆*R*,*h.* By definition, the two Laplacians ∆*R*,*^h* and ∆*A*,*^h* differ only on degrees $h = 2,3$. The arguments we can use here are analogous to the ones used in the proof of the previous result, but we have differences coming from the fact that we are dealing with operators of order 12. Hence, for completeness, we provide the crucial steps involved in the case of $h = 2$.

Case h = 2. If $u, \phi \in E_0^2$ are smooth compactly supported forms, then we can write

$$
\langle u, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} = \langle u, \Delta_{R,2} \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}
$$

=
$$
\langle u, [(\delta_c d_c)^3 + (d_c \delta_c)^2] \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}.
$$

Consider now the term

$$
\langle u, (\delta_c d_c)^3 \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)} = \langle d_c \delta_c d_c u, d_c \delta_c d_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)}
$$

Let us write $f := d_c u$, and hence $d_c \delta_c f$ is closed.

With the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem [5.1,](#page-14-0) by Proposition [5.6](#page-16-1) and Theorem [5.5,](#page-16-0)

$$
\big| \langle d_c\delta_c d_c u, d_c\delta_c d_c \Delta_{\mathcal{R},2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)} \big| \leq \| f \|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)} \|\nabla_\mathbb{G} d_c\delta_c d_c \Delta_{\mathcal{R},2}^{-1} \phi \|_{L^Q(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)}
$$

On the other hand, $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} d_c \delta_c d_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi$ can be expressed as

$$
\phi * \mathscr{K}_I, \quad \text{with } d(I) = 7,
$$

since $d_c^{(2)}$: $E_0^2 \rightarrow E_0^3$ is an operator of order 2 in the horizontal derivatives.

By Theorem [4.8,](#page-12-2) and Proposition [2.1,](#page-4-0) \mathcal{K}_I is a kernel of type 5, so that, by Theorem [2.2,](#page-4-1) we have

(49)
$$
\left| \langle d_c \delta_c f, d_c \delta_c d_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)} \right| \leq C \| d_c \delta_c f \|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)} \| \phi \|_{L^{Q/6}(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)}.
$$

Consider now the term

$$
\langle u, (d_c \delta_c)^2 \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} = \langle d_c \delta_c u, d_c \delta_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}.
$$

 \Box

.

.

By imposing $\delta_c u = g$, we have that $d_c g$ is closed and we can again apply Proposition [5.6](#page-16-1) and Theorem [5.5](#page-16-0) to get

$$
(50) \qquad \qquad \left| \langle d_c g, d_c \delta_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)} \right| \leq \left| d_c g \right|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)} \left| \nabla_{\mathbb{G}} d_c \delta_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \right|_{L^Q(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)}
$$

As above, $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} d_c \delta_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi$ can be expressed as

$$
\phi * \mathscr{K}_I, \quad \text{with } d(I) = 7,
$$

since $\delta_c : E_0^3 \to E_0^2$ is an operator of order 3 in the horizontal derivatives. By Theorem [4.8](#page-12-2) and Proposition [2.1,](#page-4-0) \mathcal{K}_I is a kernel of type 5, so that, by Theorem [2.2,](#page-4-1) we have

$$
\big| \langle d_c g , d_c \delta_c \Delta_{\! R, 2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)} \big| \leq C \| d_c g \|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)} \| \phi \|_{L^{Q/6}(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)}.
$$

Combining this estimate with the one in [\(49\)](#page-22-2), we get eventually

$$
|\langle u,\phi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb G,\boldsymbol E_0^2)}|\leq C\big(\|d_c\delta_c f\|_{L^1(\mathbb G,\boldsymbol E_0^3)}+\|d_c g\|_{L^1(\mathbb G,\boldsymbol E_0^2)}\big)\|\phi\|_{L^{Q/6}(\mathbb G,\boldsymbol E_0^2)},
$$

and hence

$$
||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-6)}(\mathbb G,E_0^2)} \leq C \big(||d_c \delta_c f||_{L^1(\mathbb G,E_0^3)}+||d_c g||_{L^1(\mathbb G,E_0^2)}\big).
$$

This achieves the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem [5.2](#page-14-1) *using the Laplacians* $\Delta_{\mathbb{G},h}$. The proof differs from the previous one only for $h = 0,1$ and again uses the same arguments. Therefore, we only give a gist of the proof for $h = 0$, since the proof of the case $h = 1$ can be easily adapted from the corresponding one given right above.

For $u \in E_0^0$, $\delta_c u = 0$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{G},0} = (\delta_c d_c)^6$. Keeping in mind that $d_c : E_0^0 \to E_0^1$ is a differential operator of order 1, given $u, \phi \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G})$ we start again from the identity

(51)
\n
$$
\langle u, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} = \langle u, \Delta_{\mathbb{G},0} \Delta_{\mathbb{G},0}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}
$$
\n
$$
= \langle d_c u, (\delta_c (\delta_c d_c)^5 \Delta_{\mathbb{G},0}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)}).
$$

Now, since $d_c u = f$ is closed, arguing as in the previous proofs by means of Proposition [5.6,](#page-16-1) Theorem [5.5](#page-16-0) we eventually get an estimate of the form

$$
|\langle u, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}| \leq ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)} ||\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}d_c(\delta_c d_c)^5 \Delta_{\mathbb{G}, 0}^{-1} \phi||_{L^Q(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)}
$$

.

In the next subsection, we prove Theorem [5.4,](#page-15-0) while in the subsequent Subsection [5.6](#page-24-0) we will present a way to obtain different results than the ones achieved in Theorem [5.2](#page-14-1) by considering new L^p -type spaces.

5.5. Proof of Theorem [5.4](#page-15-0) and final remarks. Let us show now the main changes for the proof of Theorem 5.4

Proof of Theorem [5.4](#page-15-0) using Definition [4.4.](#page-11-0) We show the result in the case of a co-closed form (i.e. *g* = 0) only when $h = 2$ and $h = 4$ (since in the other degrees the result is an immediate consequence of Theorem [5.1](#page-14-0) by imposing $g = 0$). Arguing as in proof of Theorem [5.1,](#page-14-0) writing again the identities in [\(57\)](#page-25-0) we have only the term

$$
\langle u, \delta_c A_{\Delta} d_c \Delta_{A,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} = \langle d_c u, A_{\Delta} d_c \Delta_{A,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^3)}.
$$

We write $f = d_c u$ hence $d_c f = 0$, and again

$$
\langle d_c u, A_{\Delta} d_c \Delta_{A,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^3)}
$$

The operator $A_{\Delta}d_c\Delta_{A,2}^{-1}$ is associated with kernels of type 2, hence again by Theorem [5.5,](#page-16-0) being $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}A_{\Delta}d_c\Delta_{A,2}^{-1}$ associated to a kernel of type 1

(52)
$$
|\langle f, A_{\Delta} d_c \Delta_{A,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^3)}| \leq C ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{G}, E_0^3)} ||\phi||_{L^{Q/2}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}
$$

and hence, by duality, the inequality for the case $h = 2$ is proved.

Let us consider now $h = 4$. If *u* is co-closed, starting from the same procedure, we have to analyze the term

$$
\langle u, (\delta_c d_c)^3 \Delta_{A,4}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^4)} = \langle d_c u, d_c \delta_c d_c \delta_c d_c \Delta_{A,4}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^5)}
$$

 \Box

Keeping in mind that d_c is an operator of order 1 in the horizontal derivatives when acting on E_0^4 , roughly speaking the quantity $d_c \delta_c d_c \delta_c d_c \Delta_{A,4}^{-1}$ is associated to a kernel of type 1 and hence, after applying Theorem [5.5](#page-16-0) we get that $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}d_c\delta_c d_c\delta_c d_c\Delta_{A,4}^{-1}$ is of type 0. Hence, the conclusion follows by the following inequality

$$
|\langle u, (\delta_c d_c)^3 \Delta_{A,4}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^4)}| \leq ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^5)} ||\phi||_{L^Q(\mathbb{G},E_0^4)}.
$$

This concludes the proof in the case of co-closed forms $u \in E_0^h$.

When *u* satisfies $d_c u = 0$ and $\delta_c u = g$, we can argue in a similar way.

We now prove again Theorem [5.4](#page-15-0) using the second definition of the Laplacian operators for co-closed forms. Therefore, the only case that we are left to show is for forms of degree *h* = 2,3, since the Laplacians Δ_A and Δ_R coincide when $h = 4$.

Proof of Theorem [5.4](#page-15-0) *using Definition* [4.3.](#page-10-0) If $u, \phi \in E_0^2$ are smooth compactly supported forms, then we can write

(53)

$$
\langle u, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} = \langle u, \Delta_{R,2} \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}
$$

$$
= \langle u, [(\delta_c d_c)^3 + (d_c \delta_c)^2] \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}.
$$

Since we are assuming that $\delta_c u = 0$, we need to estimate only the term

$$
\langle u, (\delta_c d_c)^3 \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} = \langle d_c u, d_c (\delta_c d_c)^2 \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^3)}.
$$

With the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem [5.2,](#page-14-1) by Proposition [5.6](#page-16-1) and Theorem [5.5,](#page-16-0)

$$
|\langle d_c u, d_c (\delta_c d_c)^2 \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)}| \leq ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)} ||\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} d_c (\delta_c d_c)^2 \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi||_{L^Q(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)}
$$

On the other hand, $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}}d_c(\delta_c d_c)^2 \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi$ can be expressed in the form

$$
\phi * \mathscr{K}_I, \quad \text{with } d(I) = 11,
$$

since $d_c^{(2)}$: $E_0^2 \rightarrow E_0^3$ is an operator of order 2 in the horizontal derivatives.

By Theorem [4.8,](#page-12-2) and Proposition [2.1,](#page-4-0) \mathcal{K}_I is a kernel of type 1, so that, by Theorem [2.2,](#page-4-1) we have

(54)
$$
|\langle u, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}| \leq C \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G}, E_0^3)} \|\phi\|_{L^{Q/2}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}.
$$

Reasoning by duality, we obtain the claim.

The case of degree $h = 3$ is very similar and yields the following estimate

$$
|\langle u,\phi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)}| \leq C||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^4)} ||\phi||_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)},
$$

from which one obtains the required estimate by duality.

The arguments to obtain the estimates for a closed form $u \in E_0^h$ are again very similar.

To avoid excessive repetitions, we omit the proof of Theorem [5.4](#page-15-0) using the Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbb{G},4}$, since it is sufficient to follow the same idea.

5.6. An alternative Gagliardo-Niremberg type estimate. It is possible to prove Gagliardo-Niremberg estimates also considering some variants of L^p type spaces, as already considered in [\[BFT15\]](#page-27-14). Indeed, in Theorems [5.1](#page-14-0) and [5.2,](#page-14-1) the estimates obtained for $h = 1,2$ (and by Hodge duality also when $h = 3,4$) the right-hand-side of the estimates contains not only the terms $d_c u = f$ and $\delta_c u = g$, but also some derivatives of them due to homogeneity required by the Laplacians. In this section, our aim is instead to obtain Gagliardo-Niremberg type inequalities where on the right-hand side we only have the L^1 -norm (or \mathcal{H}^1 -norm) of f and g alone, i.e. without any of their derivatives (see the statement of Theorem [5.10\)](#page-25-1).

Let us now set the function spaces that we are going to consider for such inequalities. First, if $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, we can define the Banach spaces

$$
L^{p,q}(\mathbb{G}):=L^p(\mathbb{G})\cap L^q(\mathbb{G})
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
||u||_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{G})}:=(||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{G})}^2+||u||_{L^q(\mathbb{G})}^2)^{1/2},
$$

and $\mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G})$ is dense in $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{G})$. Analogous spaces of differential forms can be defined in the usual way.

Using these $L^{p,q}$ -spaces, we manage to obtain the following estimates by duality.

 \Box

Theorem 5.10. Denote by (E_0^*, d_c) the complex of intrinsic forms in \mathbb{G} . Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for any h *-form* $u \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{G}, E_0^h)$, $1 \leq h \leq 4$ *, such that*

$$
\begin{cases} d_c u = f \\ \delta_c u = g \end{cases}
$$

we have

k*u*k*^L ^Q*/(*Q*−3)+*L Q*/(*Q*−1) (G,*E* 1 0) ≤ *C* k *f* k*^L* ¹(G,*E* 2 0) +k*g*k^H ¹(G) *if h* = 1*;* k*u*k*^L ^Q*/(*Q*−3)+*L Q*/(*Q*−2) (G,*E* 2 0) ≤ *C* k *f* k*^L* ¹(G,*E* 3 0) +k*g*k*L*1(G,*^E* 1 0) *if h* = 2*;* k*u*k*^L ^Q*/(*Q*−3)+*L Q*/(*Q*−2) (G,*E* 3 0) ≤ *C* k *f* k*^L* ¹(G,*E* 4 0) +k*g*k*^L* ¹(G,*E* 2 0) *if h* = 3*.*

$$
||u||_{L^{Q/(Q-3)}+L^{Q/(Q-1)}(\mathbb{G},E_0^4)} \leq C(||f||_{\mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^5)} + ||g||_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)}) \qquad \qquad \text{if } h=4;
$$

In the previous statement, we have used the vector spaces $L^p(\mathbb{G}) + L^q(\mathbb{G})$ that, roughly speaking, can be identified with the duals of the spaces $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{G})$. Indeed, we can consider the vector space $L^p(\mathbb{G}) + L^q(\mathbb{G})$ endowed with the norm

$$
||u||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{G})+L^{q}(\mathbb{G})} := \inf \{ (||u_{1}||^{2}_{L^{p}(\mathbb{G})} + ||u_{2}||^{2}_{L^{q}(\mathbb{G})})^{1/2};
$$

$$
u_{1} \in L^{p}(\mathbb{G}), u_{2} \in L^{q}(\mathbb{G}), u = u_{1} + u_{2} \},
$$

notice that $L^p(\mathbb{G}) + L^q(\mathbb{G}) \subset L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{G})$.

Moreover, if $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ and p', q' are their conjugate exponents, $(L^{p,q}(\mathbb{G}))^*$ is isometrically equals to $L^{p'}(\mathbb{G})$ + $L^{q'}(\mathbb{G})$ (see e.g. [\[BS88\]](#page-27-35) exercice 6 pag. 175, and [\[Fol99\]](#page-27-36), exercises 3 and 4 p. 186, and also [\[BFT15\]](#page-27-14) Proposition 3.1).

Proof of Theorem [5.10.](#page-25-1) The arguments used for the proof of this result are analogous to the ones used several times in the previous theorems. To avoid repeating the same steps, we only give the gist of the proof for only one of the possible Laplacians considered in this paper, keeping in mind the final estimates hold for all of them.

Case $h = 1$. If $u, \phi \in E_0^1$ are smooth and compactly supported forms, we can write

(55)
$$
\langle u, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)} = \langle u, \left[\delta_c d_c + (d_c \delta_c)^3 \right] \Delta_{R,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)}.
$$

The estimate for the term $\langle d_c u, d_c \Delta_{R,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}$ is already contained in [\(44\)](#page-20-2) and then we have also

(56)
$$
|\langle f, d_c \Delta_{R,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)}| \leq C ||f||_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)} (\|\phi\|_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)} + \|\phi\|_{L^Q(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)}).
$$

The estimate for the second addend is obtained by writing

$$
\langle u, (d_c \delta_c)^3 \Delta_{R,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)} = \langle g, \delta_c (d_c \delta_c)^2 \Delta_{R,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}.
$$

The quantity $\delta_c(d_c \delta_c)^2 \Delta_{R,1}^{-1} \phi$ can be written as a sum of terms of the form

$$
\phi_j * X^I \tilde{K}_{ij} \text{ with } d(I) = 5.
$$

On the other hand, $\langle g, \delta_c(d_c \delta_c)^2 \Delta_{R,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})} = \langle g \ast^v(X^I \tilde{K}_{ij}), \phi_j \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}$. Moreover, the terms $X^I \tilde{K}_{ij}$ are kernels of type 1. Hence, using the same argument of the proof of Theorem [5.1](#page-14-0) in the case when $h = 1$, we get

$$
\begin{split} |\langle g, \delta_c(d_c \delta_c)^2 \Delta_{R,1}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}| \leq ||g||_{\mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{G})} ||\phi||_{L^Q(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)} \\ \leq ||g||_{\mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{G})} \left(||\phi||_{L^Q(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)} + ||\phi||_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)} \right). \end{split}
$$

This estimate, together with the one for *f* given by [\(56\)](#page-25-2), gives

$$
|\langle u,\phi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)}|\leq C\big(\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)}+\|g\|_{\mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{G})}\big)\big(\|\phi\|_{L^{{Q}/{3}}(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)}+\|\phi\|_{L^{{Q}}(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)}\big),
$$

and hence, by duality,

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\mathcal{Q}/(\mathcal{Q}-3)}+L^{\mathcal{Q}/(\mathcal{Q}-1)}(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)}\leq C\big(\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)}+\|g\|_{\mathscr{H}^1(\mathbb{G})}\big)\,,
$$

Case $h = 2$. If $u, \phi \in E_0^2$ are smooth compactly supported forms, then we can write

(57)

$$
\langle u, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} = \langle u, \Delta_{R,2} \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}
$$

$$
= \langle u, [(\delta_c d_c)^3 + (d_c \delta_c)^2] \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)}.
$$

Consider now the term

$$
\langle u, (\delta_c d_c)^3 \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} = \langle d_c u, d_c (\delta_c d_c)^2 \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^3)}
$$

.

that as already been estimated in [\(54\)](#page-24-1). Therefore we have also

$$
(58)\qquad \qquad |\langle f, (\delta_c d_c)^3 \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)}| \leq C \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)} \left(\|\phi\|_{L^{Q/2}(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)} + \|\phi\|_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)} \right).
$$

Consider now the term

$$
\langle u, (d_c \delta_c)^2 \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^2)} = \langle \delta_c u, \delta_c d_c \delta_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)}.
$$

We have

$$
\langle \delta_c u, \delta_c d_c \delta_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)} = \langle \star g, \star \delta_c d_c \delta_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^4)}.
$$

As already noticed, $\star g$ is a d_c -closed form in E_0^4 and we can then apply Proposition [5.6](#page-16-1) and Theorem [5.5](#page-16-0) to get

$$
|\langle g, \delta_c d_c \delta_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G}, E_0^4)}| \leq ||g||_{L^1(\mathbb{G}, E_0^1)} ||\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} * \delta_c d_c \delta_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi||_{L^Q(\mathbb{G}, E_0^4)}
$$

As above, $\nabla_{\mathbb{G}} \star \delta_c d_c \delta_c \Delta_{R,2}^{-1} \phi$ can be expressed as

$$
\phi * \mathscr{K}_I, \quad \text{with } d(I) = 10.
$$

By Theorem [4.8](#page-12-2) and Proposition [2.1,](#page-4-0) \mathcal{K}_I is a kernel of type 2, so that, by Theorem [2.2,](#page-4-1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned} \left| \langle g, \delta_c \mathscr{K} \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)} \right| \leq & C \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)} \|\phi\|_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)} \\ \leq & C \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)} \left(\|\phi\|_{L^{Q/2}(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)} + \|\phi\|_{L^{Q/3}(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)} \right). \end{aligned}
$$

Combining this estimate with the one in [\(58\)](#page-26-9), we get eventually

$$
|\langle u,\phi\rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)}|\leq C\big(\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)}+\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)}\big)\|\phi\|_{L^{Q/3,Q/2}(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)},
$$

and hence, by duality

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\mathcal{Q}/(\mathcal{Q}-3)}+L^{\mathcal{Q}/(\mathcal{Q}-2)}(\mathbb{G},E_0^2)}\leq C\big(\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^3)}+\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{G},E_0^1)}\big).
$$

By Hodge-star duality, we get the corresponding estimates for $h = 3, 4$.

This achieves the proof of the theorem. \Box

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A.B. is supported by the University of Bologna, funds for selected research topics, PRIN2022 *Regularity problems in sub-Riemannian structures*– CUP J53D23003760006 (ref. 2022F4F2LH), and by GNAMPA of INdAM (Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica "F. Severi"), Italy.

F.T. would like to thank the Centro di Ricerca Matematica Ennio De Giorgi and the Scuola Normale Superiore for the hospitality and support.

REFERENCES

- [BB03] Jean Bourgain and Haïm Brezis. On the equation div $Y = f$ and application to control of phases. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(2):393–426 (electronic), 2003.
- [BB04] Jean Bourgain and Haïm Brezis. New estimates for the Laplacian, the div-curl, and related Hodge systems. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 338(7):539–543, 2004.
- [BB07] Jean Bourgain and Haïm Brezis. New estimates for elliptic equations and Hodge type systems. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 9(2):277–315, 2007.
- [BF12] Annalisa Baldi and Bruno Franchi. Differential forms in Carnot groups: a Γ-convergence approach. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 43(1):211–229, 2012.
- [BF13] Annalisa Baldi and Bruno Franchi. Sharp a priori estimates for div-curl systems in Heisenberg groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 265(10):2388– 2419, 2013.
- [BFP16] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, and Pierre Pansu. Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities for differential forms in Heisenberg groups. *Math. Ann.*, 365(3-4):1633–1667, 2016.

[BFP20] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, and Pierre Pansu. L¹-Poincaré inequalities for differential forms on Euclidean spaces and Heisenberg groups. *Adv. Math.*, 366:107084, 2020.

- [BFP22] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, and Pierre Pansu. Poincaré and Sobolev inequalities for differential forms in Heisenberg groups and contact manifolds. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 21(3):869–920, 2022.
- [BFP23] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, and Pierre Pansu. Cohomology of annuli, duality and *L* [∞]-differential forms on Heisenberg groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 285(2):Paper No. 109944, 48, 2023.

- [BFP24] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, and Pierre Pansu. Continuous primitives for higher degree differential forms in euclidean spaces, heisenberg groups and applications, 2024.
- [BFT09] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, and Maria Carla Tesi. Hypoellipticity, fundamental solution and Liouville type theorem for matrix– valued differential operators in Carnot groups. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 11(4):777–798, 2009.
- [BFT15] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, and Francesca Tripaldi. Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities for horizontal vector fields in the Engel group and in the 7-dimensional quaternionic Heisenberg group. In *Geometric Methods in PDE's*, volume 13 of *Springer INdAM Ser.*, pages 287–312. Springer, 2015.
- [BFTT10] Annalisa Baldi, Bruno Franchi, Nicoletta Tchou, and Maria Carla Tesi. Compensated compactness for differential forms in Carnot groups and applications. *Adv. Math.*, 223(5):1555–1607, 2010.
- [BH93] Robert L. Bryant and Lucas Hsu. Rigidity of integral curves of rank 2 distributions. *Invent. Math.*, 114(2):435–461, 1993.
- [BLU07] Andrea Bonfiglioli, Ermanno Lanconelli, and Francesco Uguzzoni. *Stratified Lie groups and potential theory for their sub-Laplacians*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [Bou60] Nicolas Bourbaki. Éléments de mathématique. XXVI. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitre 1: Algèbres de Lie. Actualités Sci. Ind. No. 1285. Hermann, Paris, 1960.
- [BS88] Colin Bennett and Robert Sharpley. *Interpolation of operators*, volume 129 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [BTT22] Annalisa Baldi, Maria Carla Tesi, and Francesca Tripaldi. Sobolev-Gaffney type inequalities for differential forms on sub-Riemannian contact manifolds with bounded geometry. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, 22(1):484–516, 2022.
- [CDG94] Luca Capogna, Donatella Danielli, and Nicola Garofalo. The geometric Sobolev embedding for vector fields and the isoperimetric inequality. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 2(2):203–215, 1994.
- [CGGP92] Michael Christ, Daryl Geller, Paweł Głowacki, and Larry Polin. Pseudodifferential operators on groups with dilations. *Duke Math. J.*, 68(1):31–65, 1992.
- [CVS09] Sagun Chanillo and Jean Van Schaftingen. Subelliptic Bourgain-Brezis estimates on groups. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 16(3):487–501, 2009.
- [DH22] Shantanu Dave and Stefan Haller. Graded hypoellipticity of BGG sequences. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 62(4):721–789, 2022.
- [Fed69] Herbert Federer. *Geometric measure theory*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 153. Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1969.
- [FGW94] Bruno Franchi, Sylvain Gallot, and Richard L. Wheeden. Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities for degenerate metrics. *Math. Ann.*, 300(4):557–571, 1994.
- [Fol75] Gerald B. Folland. Subelliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups. *Ark. Mat.*, 13(2):161–207, 1975.
- [Fol99] Gerald B. Folland. *Real analysis*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second edition, 1999. Modern techniques and their applications, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [FR16] Veronique Fischer and Michael Ruzhansky. *Quantization on nilpotent Lie groups*, volume 314 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer, [Cham], 2016.
- [FS82] Gerald B. Folland and Elias M. Stein. *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, volume 28 of *Mathematical Notes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982.
- [FSSC03] Bruno Franchi, Raul Serapioni, and Francesco Serra Cassano. On the structure of finite perimeter sets in step 2 Carnot groups. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 13(3):421–466, 2003.
- [FT12] Bruno Franchi and Maria Carla Tesi. Wave and Maxwell's equations in Carnot groups. *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 14(5):1250032, 62, 2012.
- [FT23] Véronique Fischer and Francesca Tripaldi. An alternative construction of the Rumin complex on homogeneous nilpotent Lie groups. *Adv. Math.*, 429:Paper No. 109192, 39, 2023.
- [Gło91] Paweł Głowacki. The Rockland condition for nondifferential convolution operators. II. *Studia Math.*, 98(2):99–114, 1991.
- [GN96] Nicola Garofalo and Duy-Minh Nhieu. Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and the existence of minimal surfaces. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 49(10):1081–1144, 1996.
- [Hal22] Stefan Haller. Analytic torsion of generic rank two distributions in dimension five. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 32(10):Paper No. 248, 66, 2022.
- [Hal23a] Stefan Haller. Analytic torsion of nilmanifolds with (2,3,5) distributions, 2023.
- [Hal23b] Stefan Haller. Regularized determinants of the rumin complex in irreducible unitary representations of the (2,3,5) nilpotent lie group, 2023.
- [Hel01] Sigurdur Helgason. *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, volume 34 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. Corrected reprint of the 1978 original.
- [HN85] Bernard Helffer and Jean Nourrigat. *Hypoellipticité maximale pour des opérateurs polynômes de champs de vecteurs*, volume 58 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkh¨auser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.
- [LDT22] Enrico Le Donne and Francesca Tripaldi. A cornucopia of Carnot groups in low dimensions. *Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces*, 10(1):155–289, 2022.
- [LS05] Loredana Lanzani and Elias M. Stein. A note on div curl inequalities. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 12(1):57–61, 2005.
- [MSC95] Patrick Maheux and Laurent Saloff-Coste. Analyse sur les boules d'un opérateur sous-elliptique. Math. Ann., 303(4):713-740, 1995.
- [Nur05] PawełNurowski. Differential equations and conformal structures. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 55(1):19–49, 2005.
- [PT19] Pierre Pansu and Francesca Tripaldi. Averages and the $l^{q,1}$ cohomology of Heisenberg groups. Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal, 26(1):81–100, 2019.
- [Roc78] Charles Rockland. Hypoellipticity on the Heisenberg group-representation-theoretic criteria. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 240:1–52, 1978.
- [RS12] Michel Rumin and Neil Seshadri. Analytic torsions on contact manifolds. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 62(2):727–782, 2012.
- [Rum94] Michel Rumin. Formes différentielles sur les variétés de contact. *J. Differential Geom.*, 39(2):281–330, 1994.
- [Rum99] Michel Rumin. Differential geometry on C-C spaces and application to the Novikov-Shubin numbers of nilpotent Lie groups. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris S´er. I Math.*, 329(11):985–990, 1999.
- [Rum00] Michel Rumin. Sub-Riemannian limit of the differential form spectrum of contact manifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 10(2):407–452, 2000.
- [Rum01] Michel Rumin. Around heat decay on forms and relations of nilpotent Lie groups. In *Séminaire de Théorie Spectrale et Géométrie, Vol. 19, Ann´ee 2000–2001*, volume 19 of *S´emin. Th´eor. Spectr. G´eom.*, pages 123–164. Univ. Grenoble I, 2001.
- [Ste70] Elias M. Stein. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [vN09] Andreas Čap and Katharina Neusser. On automorphism groups of some types of generic distributions. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 27(6):769–779, 2009.
- [VS04] Jean Van Schaftingen. Estimates for L¹-vector fields. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 339(3):181–186, 2004.
- [VSY22] Jean Van Schaftingen and Po-Lam Yung. Limiting Sobolev and Hardy inequalities on stratified homogeneous groups. *Ann. Fenn. Math.*, 47(2):1065–1098, 2022.

(A. Baldi) UNIVERS ITA DI ` BOLOGNA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, PIAZZA DI PORTA S. DONATO 5, 40126 BOLOGNA, ITALY. *Email address*: annalisa.baldi2@unibo.it

(F. Tripaldi) CENTRO DE GIORGI, SNS, PIAZZA DEI CAVALIERI 3, 56 126 PISA, ITALY. *Email address*: francesca.tripaldi@sns.it