

# PROJECTIVE RIGIDITY OF POINT-LINE CONFIGURATIONS IN THE PLANE

LEAH BERMAN, SIGNE LUNDQVIST, BERND SCHULZE, BRIGITTE SERVATIUS,  
HERMAN SERVATIUS, KLARA STOKES, AND WALTER WHITELEY

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we establish a general setup for studying incidence-preserving motions of projective geometric configurations of points and lines via a “projective rigidity matrix”. The spaces of infinitesimal motions of a point-line configuration and dependencies amongst the point-line incidences can be interpreted as the kernel and co-kernel of this projective rigidity matrix, respectively. We also introduce a symmetry-adapted projective rigidity matrix for analysing symmetric configurations and their symmetry-preserving motions. The symmetry may be a point group or a more general symmetry, such as an autopolarity.

**Keywords:** infinitesimal rigidity; point-line configurations; projective transformations; projective motions; projective self-stresses; symmetry.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of this paper is to establish new tools, based on methods from geometric rigidity theory [8, 17], for analysing projective configurations of points and lines, that is, collections of points and lines in the real projective plane. This is a classical and still active topic of geometry which dates back to work of T. Kirkman, T. Reye, E. Steinitz, S. Cohn-Vossen and D. Hilbert, among others [14, 15, 10]; see also B. Grünbaum’s book [12]. Projective configurations are also relevant to a variety of problems in practical applications, such as computer vision and architectural design.

A projective configuration defines an incidence relation: a point and a line are incident if the point is on the line. Note that incidences are preserved by projective transformations. In the projective plane every pair of points span a line and every pair of lines meet in a point. Therefore, the question of existence and mobility of projective configurations only becomes interesting when one requires the number of points on each line and the number of lines through each point to exceed 2. The configuration space of the incidence relation of a projective configuration is the space of all realizations of it in terms of geometric points and lines modulo projective transformations. A given realization is rigid if it is an isolated point of the configuration space, and flexible otherwise. Determining rigidity of a realization is difficult in general. In this article we introduce a new tool which we call the “projective rigidity matrix”. The kernel of this matrix consists of the so-called infinitesimal motions of the configuration, which thereby can be studied using linear algebra. These motions may be thought of as initial velocities of continuous incidence-preserving motions of the points and lines. The co-kernel of the matrix is the space of row-dependencies or self-stresses. It turns out that incidence theorems such as Pappus or Desargues give rise to such row-dependencies/self-stresses; indeed the projective rigidity matrix provides a new tool for studying incidence theorems concerned with points and lines in which one incidence is implied by other incidences.

A symmetry of a projective configuration is a projective transformation that leaves the configuration invariant. Similarly, an auto-polarity is a polarity of the projective plane that leaves

---

*Date:* July 30, 2024.

the configuration invariant. The study of projective configurations with symmetries and auto-polarities is a classical topic in geometry, see for example [10, 11]. When a configuration moves in the configuration space the symmetries and auto-polarities may or may not be preserved. To study this, we present a symmetry-adapted projective rigidity matrix, called the projective orbit rigidity matrix (based on a similar tool from geometric rigidity theory [16]), whose kernel consists of symmetry-preserving/auto-polarity-preserving infinitesimal motions. To illustrate its usefulness, we apply this new matrix to some examples.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the necessary background on projective geometry and projective configurations of points and lines. Section 3 establishes the notions of (continuous) rigidity and infinitesimal rigidity for projective configurations. The relationship between these notions is discussed in Section 4. Section 5 is dedicated to the row dependencies of the projective rigidity matrix, which can be interpreted as “self-stresses” of the configuration. Finally, in Section 6 we consider symmetric configurations and set up the projective orbit rigidity matrix for studying symmetry-preserving motions. We conclude the paper with a discussion on future avenues of research in Section 7.

## 2. PROJECTIVE CONFIGURATIONS

### 2.1. The projective plane.

2.1.1. *The analytic model.* The real projective plane can be constructed from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by letting the 1-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  define the points and the 2-dimensional subspaces define the lines. This construction is called *projectivization* of the vector space. The homogeneous coordinates of a point in the projective plane are  $(x_0 : y_0 : z_0)$  where  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$  is any vector in the corresponding 1-dimensional subspace. The homogeneous coordinates of a line are  $(a_0 : b_0 : c_0)$  where  $a_0x + b_0y + c_0z = 0$  is any (homogeneous) linear equation defining the corresponding 2-dimensional subspace. A point with homogeneous coordinates  $(x_0 : y_0 : z_0)$  is incident to (or *on*) a line with homogeneous coordinates  $(a_0 : b_0 : c_0)$  if  $a_0x_0 + b_0y_0 + c_0z_0 = 0$ .

The projective plane has a duality; there is a one-to-one correspondence between the points and the lines that preserves the incidences. In terms of vector spaces, a projective duality is a map that sends a 1-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to a 1-dimensional subspace of the dual vector space. A vector  $(a_0, b_0, c_0)$  of the dual vector space is a linear form, that is, a linear map from  $\mathbb{R}^3$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  sending  $(x, y, z) \mapsto a_0x + b_0y + c_0z$ . Each linear form  $(a_0, b_0, c_0)$  defines a line of the projective plane, by requiring  $a_0x + b_0y + c_0z = 0$ .

2.1.2. *The spherical model.* The projective plane can also be defined as the quotient of the unit sphere in 3-space under identification of antipodal points. In this model, the points of the projective plane are the pairs of antipodal points on the sphere, and the lines in the projective plane are the great circles on the sphere. A great circle on the sphere is the intersection between a plane containing the origin and the sphere.

A duality of the projective plane in this model is defined as a map sending pairs of points to great circles and great circles to pairs of points, preserving incidence. The “standard” duality sends a pair of antipodal points  $(p_+, p_-)$  to the great circle obtained by intersecting the sphere with the plane through the origin with the position vectors of the pair of points  $(p_+, p_-)$  as a normal, and it sends a great circle to the pair of antipodal points obtained as the intersection of the sphere with a line through the origin and with direction vector a normal vector of the plane.

2.1.3. *The affine plane.* Let  $l_\infty$  be any line of the projective plane and call it the line at infinity. The set of all the points outside  $l_\infty$  (the finite points) together with the set of all the lines except  $l_\infty$  defines an affine plane. We select  $l_\infty$  to be the line defined by the equation  $z = 0$ . Then the homogeneous coordinates of a finite point  $(x'_0 : y'_0 : z_0)$  can be normalized to  $(x_0 : y_0 : 1) :=$

$(\frac{x'_0}{z'_0} : \frac{y'_0}{z'_0} : 1)$ , where  $(x_0, y_0)$  are the affine coordinates of the finite point. The projective dual of a finite point  $(x_0 : y_0 : 1)$  is a line with equation  $x_0x + y_0y + 1 = 0$ . The affine plane is not preserved by the projective duality; all points have a dual line, but not all lines are duals of points. Specifically, lines through the origin of the affine plane are mapped to points of the line at infinity. By selecting another line to be  $l_\infty$ , one obtains another affine plane, but all these affine planes are isomorphic.

**2.2. Plane projective configurations of points and lines.** A plane projective configuration is a collection of points and lines in the projective plane together with the incidence relation defined by symmetrized inclusion. In this article all configurations will have a finite number of points and lines.

Any plane projective configuration has an underlying combinatorial object  $S = (P, L, I)$  consisting of the set of points  $P$ , the set of lines  $L$  and the incidences  $I$  between  $P$  and  $L$ . This combinatorial object is a hypergraph, also known as an *incidence geometry of rank 2*.

The term combinatorial  $(p_r, l_k)$ -*configuration* is also used in the literature to denote the underlying combinatorial object of a geometric configuration of  $p$  points and  $l$  lines for which there are numbers  $r$  and  $k$  such that  $r$  lines go through each point, and  $k$  points are on each line. Note that the number of incidences in this case is  $|I| = pr = lk$  and the combinatorial configuration is an  $r$ -regular and  $k$ -uniform hypergraph with the property that no two hyperedges share more than one vertex. If  $r = k$ , the configuration is called *symmetric* (sometimes *balanced*) and is referred to as a  $v_k$ -*configuration* if it has  $v$  points.

Instead of beginning with the geometric configuration, one can begin with the combinatorial object and study the geometric configurations realizing it. Let  $S = (P, L, I)$  be an incidence geometry (a hypergraph) with vertex set  $P$  (the elements of which we will call *points*), hyperedge set  $L$  (the elements of which we will call *lines*) and  $I$  a set of point-line incidences. A configuration of points and lines  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  realizing  $S$  is an assignment of a point  $\mathbf{p}_j = (x_j : y_j : z_j)$  in the projective plane to each element  $p_j$  of  $P$ , and an assignment of a line  $\mathbf{l}_i = (a_i : b_i : c_i)$  in the projective plane to each element  $l_i$  of  $L$ , such that  $\mathbf{l}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_j = 0$  whenever  $p_j$  and  $l_i$  are incident. The equation  $\mathbf{l}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_j = 0$  encodes that the point  $\mathbf{p}_j$  lies on the line  $\mathbf{l}_i$ .

### 3. RIGIDITY AND FLEXIBILITY OF PROJECTIVE CONFIGURATIONS

The *configuration space*  $V(S)$  of an incidence geometry  $S = (P, L, I)$  is the space of all collections of assignments  $(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  of points and lines in the projective plane such that  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  is a configuration of points and lines realizing  $S$ . In other words, the configuration space is the real projective variety defined by the  $|I|$  polynomials of the form  $\mathbf{l}_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_j = 0$ . Let  $V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}}(S)$  be the subvariety of  $V(S)$  consisting of the realizations of  $S$  that can be obtained from  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  by a projective transformation.

Realizing an incidence geometry  $S = (P, L, I)$  it can happen that some combinatorial lines or combinatorial points are coincident in the realization, i.e.  $\mathbf{l}_j = \mathbf{l}_k$  for some pair of distinct combinatorial lines  $l_j$  and  $l_k$ , or  $\mathbf{p}_i = \mathbf{p}_m$  for some pair of distinct combinatorial points  $p_i$  and  $p_m$ . Note that the configuration space includes *all* configurations realizing the incidence geometry, including realizations with coincident lines or points.

We say that a configuration  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  is (*projectively*) *rigid* if all configurations  $(S, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{l}')$  in a neighborhood of  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  in the configuration space are in  $V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}}(S)$ . A configuration that is not rigid is said to be (*projectively*) *flexible*.

There are several other possible definitions of rigidity for projective configurations of points and lines. One natural definition is as follows: A configuration  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  is (*projectively*) *rigid* if all continuous paths  $(\mathbf{p}(t), \mathbf{l}(t))$  in the configuration space such that  $\mathbf{p}(0) = \mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{l}(0) = \mathbf{l}$  remain in  $V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}}(S)$ . With this definition, a configuration  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  is *flexible* if there is a continuous

path  $(\mathbf{p}(t), \mathbf{l}(t))$  in  $V(S)$  such that  $\mathbf{p}(0) = \mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{l}(0) = \mathbf{l}$ , and such that there is some  $t \in (0, 1]$  for which  $(S, \mathbf{p}(t), \mathbf{l}(t))$  is not in  $V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}}(S)$ .

Instead of assuming that the paths in the above definitions are continuous, we can assume that the paths are smooth, or we can assume that they are analytic. The next theorem says that all these possible definitions are equivalent to our original definition.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  be a projective configuration. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  is flexible.
- (b) There is a continuous path  $(\mathbf{p}(t), \mathbf{l}(t))$  in  $V(S)$  such that  $\mathbf{p}(0) = \mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{l}(0) = \mathbf{l}$ , and such that there is some  $t \in (0, 1]$  for which  $(S, \mathbf{p}(t), \mathbf{l}(t))$  is not in  $V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}}(S)$ .
- (c) There is a smooth path  $(\mathbf{p}(t), \mathbf{l}(t))$  in  $V(S)$  such that  $\mathbf{p}(0) = \mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{l}(0) = \mathbf{l}$ , and such that there is some  $t \in (0, 1]$  for which  $(S, \mathbf{p}(t), \mathbf{l}(t))$  is not in  $V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}}(S)$ .
- (d) There is an analytic path  $(\mathbf{p}(t), \mathbf{l}(t))$  in  $V(S)$  such that  $\mathbf{p}(0) = \mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{l}(0) = \mathbf{l}$ , and such that there is some  $t \in (0, 1]$  for which  $(S, \mathbf{p}(t), \mathbf{l}(t))$  is not in  $V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}}(S)$ .

*Proof.* Clearly (d) implies (c) and (c) implies (b).

Now, if (b) holds, then there is some largest  $t_0$  so that  $(\mathbf{p}(t_0), \mathbf{l}(t_0))$  is in  $V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}}(S)$ . By definition, there is a projective transformation  $A$  that takes  $(S, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{l}')$  to  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$ . Every neighbourhood of  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  intersects the curve  $(S, A\mathbf{p}(t), A\mathbf{l}(t))$ , for  $t \in (t_0, 1]$ , and every such intersection necessarily contains configurations not in  $V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}}(S)$ , by definition of  $t_0$ . It follows that  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  is flexible.

It remains to show that (a) implies (d). If  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  is not rigid, then there is some realization  $(S, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{l}')$  in  $U \cap (V(S) \setminus V_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}}(S))$ , where  $U$  is some neighborhood of  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  in  $V(S)$ . By [[18], Lemma 18.3], there are analytic curves  $\mathbf{p}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{l}(t)$  such that  $\mathbf{p}(0) = \mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{p}(1) = \mathbf{p}'$ , and  $\mathbf{l}(0) = \mathbf{l}$  and  $\mathbf{l}(1) = \mathbf{l}'$ . Since  $(S, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{l}')$  cannot be obtained from  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  by a projective transformation, it follows that (a) implies (d).  $\square$

*Remark 1.* The motions of interest in this paper are the motions that preserve incidences of points and lines modulo projective transformations. *Pinning* is a technique that is useful to illustrate the congruence classes of motions. When we pin a configuration we fix four points in general position, that is, in a position such that no three of the points are collinear. Pinning a configuration will leave only the motions that we are interested in.

Pinning a configuration will leave only the motions that we are interested in. We refer the reader to [6] for more details.

The geometric realization of a finite line  $l_i$  is given by its homogeneous coordinates  $\mathbf{l}_i = (a_i : b_i : 1)$ , and the realization of a finite point  $p_j$  is given by  $\mathbf{p}_j = (x_j : y_j : 1)$ . The constraint equation

$$(1) \quad a_i x_j + b_i y_j + 1 = 0$$

expresses that the point  $p_j$  and the line  $l_i$  are incident. Finding a configuration of points and lines realizing a given incidence geometry amounts to solving  $|I|$  equations of this form.

Suppose we have a path in the configuration space, and so regard the vectors  $\mathbf{p}_j$  and  $\mathbf{l}_i$  as differentiable functions of parameter  $t$ , say time, whose initial position corresponds to an initial configuration. Since the constraint equations must be satisfied for all values of  $t$ , the Jacobian of Equation (1) gives a linear equation

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{p}_j \cdot \Delta \mathbf{l}_i + \mathbf{l}_i \cdot \Delta \mathbf{p}_j = 0$$

for each incidence  $(p_j, l_i) \in I$ . The coefficient matrix of the system of equations of the form (2) looks as follows:

$$M(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}) = \begin{matrix} & & l_1 & \dots & l_i & \dots & l_{|L|} & \Big| & p_1 & \dots & p_j & \dots & p_{|P|} \\ \begin{matrix} i_0 \\ \vdots \\ (i, j) \\ \vdots \\ i_{|I|} \end{matrix} & \left( \begin{array}{cccccc|cccc} 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & x_j & y_j & \dots & 0 & \dots & a_i & b_i & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right) \end{matrix}$$

A (*projective*) *infinitesimal motion* of a configuration  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  is an element of the kernel of  $M(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$ . Linearizations of projective transformations are infinitesimal motions of all configurations of points and lines. We say that a configuration is (*projectively*) *infinitesimally rigid* if all its infinitesimal motions are linearizations of projective transformations. A configuration that is not infinitesimally rigid is (*projectively*) *infinitesimally flexible*.

As the kernel of  $M(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  is at least 8-dimensional, it follows that an infinitesimally rigid configuration must satisfy  $|I| \geq 2|L| + 2|P| - 8$ . A configuration that is *minimally infinitesimally rigid* in the sense that removing any incidence makes the configuration infinitesimally flexible, must satisfy

$$(3) \quad |I| = 2|L| + 2|P| - 8 \text{ and}$$

$$(4) \quad |I'| \leq 2|L(I')| + 2|P(I')| - 8,$$

where the inequality must hold for all non-empty subsets of incidences  $I' \subseteq I$ . An *independent* configuration, i.e. a configuration such that the rows of  $M(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  are linearly independent has to satisfy (4).




FIGURE 1. The Pappus configuration – a  $9_3$ -configuration.

*Example 3.2* (The Pappus configuration). The Pappus configuration (see Figure 1) has 9 points and 9 lines, and as all lines are incident to three points, it has  $3 \times 9 = 27$  incidences. The Pappus configuration satisfies the count in (4). Furthermore,  $|I| = 27 = 2|P| + 2|L| - 9 = 2 \times 9 + 2 \times 9 - 9$ , so one would predict that the Pappus configuration has a one-dimensional space of motions, after excluding the 8-dimensional space of projective transformations.

Suppose that the points  $p_0, p_2, p_3$  and  $p_5$  are pinned to exclude the projective transformations. A classic theorem of Pappus guarantees that  $a, b$  and  $c$  are collinear if the points  $p_1$  and  $p_4$  are on the lines  $l_1 = p_0p_2$  and  $l_2 = p_3p_5$ , respectively. Hence  $p_1$  and  $p_4$  can be moved, independently, along  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ , and  $a, b$  and  $c$  will remain collinear. The incidence that is implied




FIGURE 2. The  $10_3$  Desargues configuration.

by Pappus' Theorem gives the configuration a two-dimensional space of motions, rather than the one-dimensional space of motions one might expect.

*Example 3.3* (The Desargues configuration). Desargues's theorem states that two triangles are perspective from a point if and only if they are perspective from a line. The Desargues configuration, see Figure 2, occurs as a consequence of Desargues's theorem. The points of the Desargues configuration are the vertices of two triangles,  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  and  $a'$ ,  $b'$  and  $c'$  respectively, as well as the a point of perspective  $p$  of the two triangles and the points of intersection of the lines  $ab$  and  $a'b'$ ,  $ac$  and  $a'c'$  and  $bc$  and  $b'c'$ . The points of intersection will be collinear as a consequence of Desargues's theorem.

The Desargues configuration has 10 points, 10 lines and 30 incidences. So,  $|I| = 2|P| + 2|L| - 10$ , which means the Desargues configuration is expected to have a two-dimensional space of motions, excluding the projective transformations.

However, suppose that the points  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  and  $p$  are fixed. Then the points  $a'$ ,  $b'$  and  $c'$  can be moved independently along the lines  $ap$ ,  $bp$  and  $cp$  respectively, and the three remaining points will stay collinear as a consequence of Desargues's theorem. Hence the Desargues configuration has a three-dimensional space of motions, rather than the expected two-dimensional space of motions.

The Pappus configuration and the Desargues configuration are both examples where counting the points, lines and incidences does not predict the correct number of motions, which means that the natural sparsity counts of Equations (3) and (4) given by counting the number of equations and variables in the rigidity matrix are not sufficient for characterizing minimal rigidity and independence in the rigidity matrix.

Note that in both of these examples, it is a theorem in projective geometry that causes the discrepancy between the sparsity counts and the rank of the rigidity matrix. In Example 3.2, the incidence between  $c$  and the line  $ab$  is implied by Pappus's theorem, and this causes the Pappus configuration to be more flexible than expected. Similarly, in the Desargues configuration, one of the incidences is implied by Desargues's theorem, which causes the Desargues configuration to be more flexible than expected.

We conjecture that this is part of a more general phenomenon, namely that for projective theorems regarding point-line configurations, where certain incidences imply others, these statements can be formulated in terms of the projective rigidity matrix having a non-trivial row dependence. Row dependencies, or self-stresses, are the subject of Section 5 in this paper.

## 4. RIGIDITY VERSUS INFINITESIMAL RIGIDITY

**4.1. Infinitesimal rigidity implies rigidity.** Suppose that  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  is a configuration of points and lines realizing an incidence geometry  $S = (P, L, I)$ . For simplicity move the configuration so that there are no points at infinity or lines through the origin.

In the following we will assume that four of the points (in general position) are pinned, which removes the trivial infinitesimal motions. Suppose also that we have a motion  $\mathbf{p}_i(t)$  of each point  $p_i$  and a motion  $\mathbf{l}_j(t)$  of each line with  $\mathbf{p}_i(t) \cdot \mathbf{l}_j(t) = 0$  for all  $t$  if  $(p_i, l_j) \in I$ , and  $\mathbf{p}_i(t) = \mathbf{p}_i(0)$  for all  $t$  if point  $i$  is pinned.

If the configuration is rigid, then the only motion is zero not only at the pins but at all points. In general, if there is a motion, then the  $n$ -th derivative of the motion is  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathbf{p}_i^{(k)}(t) \cdot \mathbf{l}_j^{(n-k)}(t) = 0$ . Evaluating at 0 gives  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathbf{p}_i^{(k)}(0) \cdot \mathbf{l}_j^{(n-k)}(0) = 0$ , and for  $k = 1$ , this says that

$$(5) \quad (\mathbf{p}_1^{(1)}(0), \dots, \mathbf{l}_1^{(1)}(0), \dots) \quad \forall (i, j) \in I$$

is in the kernel of the rigidity matrix. A pinned configuration is infinitesimally rigid if the only element of the kernel of the *pinned rigidity matrix*, where the eight rows corresponding to  $x_i^{(1)} = y_i^{(1)} = 0$  for the four pinned points are added, is the zero vector.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose that a pinned projective configuration is infinitesimally rigid. Then for all  $n > 0$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_i^{(n)}(0) = \mathbf{0}$  for all points and  $\mathbf{l}_j^{(n)}(0) = \mathbf{0}$  for all lines.*

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $n$ . Infinitesimal rigidity gives the base case, so let  $n > 1$  and suppose  $\mathbf{p}_i^{(m)}(0) = \mathbf{0}$  for all points and  $\mathbf{l}_j^{(m)}(0) = \mathbf{0}$  for all lines for all  $m < n$ . The sum  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathbf{p}_i^{(k)}(0) \cdot \mathbf{l}_j^{(n-k)}(0) = 0$  has only two non-zero terms by the inductive hypothesis, reducing to the system  $\mathbf{p}_i(0) \cdot \mathbf{l}_j^{(n)}(0) + \mathbf{p}_i^{(n)}(0) \cdot \mathbf{l}_j(0) = 0$  for for all incident pairs. So the  $n$ -th derivatives are a solution to the pinned infinitesimal rigidity system for the framework, and so the  $n$ -th derivatives must all be zero.  $\square$

It is an easy corollary of Lemma 4.1 that if a configuration is infinitesimally rigid, then it can have only constant analytic motions, and must therefore be rigid, by Theorem 3.1. We have therefore shown the following theorem.


**Theorem 4.2.** *Every infinitesimally rigid projective configuration is rigid.*

So if the pinned projective rigidity matrix has zero kernel, then the projective configuration must be rigid. Equivalently, if the ordinary projective rigidity matrix has a kernel of dimension exactly 8, then the configuration is rigid.

The following example shows the construction of an infinitesimally rigid configuration.

*Example 4.3.* A combinatorial  $v_k$ -configuration is *cyclic* if there is a cyclic permutation of the points that sends the configuration to itself. The configuration shown in Figure 3 is a cyclic  $10_3$  configuration with 10 points and 10 lines (non-isomorphic to the Desargues configuration). It can be drawn with 5-fold rotational symmetry and two symmetry classes of points and lines. Geometric configurations with this property are sometimes called *astral configurations* [12, Chapter 3]. This particular configuration can be constructed as follows [5]:

- (a) Construct points  $v_0, \dots, v_4$  as the vertices of a regular 5-gon centered at  $\mathcal{O}$ . Typically we choose  $\mathcal{O} = (0, 0)$ , and let  $v_i = (\cos(2\pi i/5), \sin(2\pi i/5))$ .
- (b) Construct lines  $l_i = v_i v_{i+2}$
- (c) Construct a circle  $\mathcal{C}$  passing through the points  $v_1, \mathcal{O}$ , and  $v_{-1}$ , and let  $w_0$  be one of the two intersections of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $l_0$ .
- (d) Construct  $w_i$  as the rotation of  $w_0$  by  $\frac{2\pi i}{5}$  about  $\mathcal{O}$ .

FIGURE 3. A cyclic  $10_3$ -configuration.

(e) Construct  $m_i = w_i w_{i+2}$ .

The Configuration Construction Lemma (see [5]) can be used to show that since  $w_0$  was constructed as the intersection of that particular circle with that particular line, each line  $m_i$  passes through the point  $v_{i+2}$ .

Now the  $20_4$ -configuration in Figure 4 can be constructed from the  $10_3$ -configuration in Figure 3 as follows:

- Construct a circle  $\mathcal{C}'$  passing through the points  $v_1$ ,  $\mathcal{O}$ , and  $v_3$ , and let  $w'_0$  be one of the two intersections of  $\mathcal{C}'$  and  $l_0$ .
- Construct  $w'_i$  as the rotation of  $w'_0$  by  $\frac{2\pi i}{5}$  about  $\mathcal{O}$ .
- Construct lines  $n_i = w'_i w'_{i+2}$ , which will pass through  $v_{i+3}$ .
- Construct the points  $u_i$  as the intersections of the lines  $m_i$  and  $n_i$ .
- Construct the lines  $o_i = u_i u_{i+1}$ , which will pass through the points  $w'_{i+1}$  and  $w_{i+3}$ .

The  $20_4$  configuration constructed this way is projectively infinitesimally rigid (as can be checked by computing the rank of the projective rigidity matrix), and therefore rigid, by Theorem 4.2.

**4.2. Rigidity does not imply infinitesimal rigidity.** Infinitesimal rigidity is a convenient linear certificate of rigidity, however, it is a strictly stronger requirement. To construct an infinitesimally rigid, but flexible configuration, we start with some inductive constructions. If  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  are the coordinates of two points (lines), then  $[\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}]$  denotes the line containing the two points (the point of intersection of the two lines).


**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $S = (P, L, I)$  be an independent configuration and suppose that  $p_i$  and  $p_{i'}$  are distinct points with  $[\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_{i'}] \neq \mathbf{l}_j$  for any line  $l_j$ . Then adding a new line  $l_j$  realized by  $[\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_{i'}] = \mathbf{l}_j$  and adding incidences  $(p_i, l_j)$  and  $(p_{i'}, l_j)$  yields an independent configuration.*

*Dually, suppose that  $l_j$  and  $l_{j'}$  are distinct lines with  $[\mathbf{l}_j, \mathbf{l}_{j'}] \neq \mathbf{p}_i$  for any point  $p_i$ . Then adding a new point  $p_i$  realized by  $[\mathbf{l}_j, \mathbf{l}_{j'}] = \mathbf{p}_i$  and adding incidences  $(p_i, l_j)$  and  $(p_i, l_{j'})$  yields an independent configuration.*

*If the original configuration was infinitesimally rigid, then so is the new one.*

*Proof.* We need to show independence. By the homogeneity of the projective plane, we may assume that the points are all finite, and that the line through any pair of points does not pass



FIGURE 4. A cyclic  $20_4$ -configuration.

through the origin, so that the matrix is defined. We may also assume that  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\mathbf{p}_{i'}$  are linearly independent. The only non-zero entries of the two columns associated to the line  $l_j$  are in the rows for the incidences  $(p_i, l_j)$  and  $(p_{i'}, l_j)$ , and since we assumed that  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\mathbf{p}_{i'}$  are linearly independent, any row dependence must be zero on those rows. By assumption there is no row dependence of the remaining rows, so the configuration must be independent.

The second claim follows by duality, and infinitesimal rigidity follows from the rank of the new matrix, which is  $|I| + 2$ , and  $|I| + 2 = 2|P| + 2(|L| + 1) - 8$ .  $\square$

A *dyadic rational* is a rational number whose denominator is a power of 2. In the next theorem, we construct isostatic grids with points whose coordinates are dyadic rationals.

**Theorem 4.5.** *For each  $N \geq 0$  there exists an independent, infinitesimally rigid configuration  $S = (P, L, I)$  of points and lines such that every geometric point/line incidence between  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $l_j$  in the plane is recorded as an incidence  $(i, j) \in I$ , and such that all points with coordinates  $(n/2^N : m/2^N : 1)$ , for integers  $n, m$  satisfying  $0 \leq n, m \leq 2^N$ , is either a point of the configuration or is the point of intersection of at least two lines.*

*Proof.* We construct an example for each  $N$  recursively.

At the 0-th level, first take the four points of the unit square  $a = (0 : 0 : 1)$ ,  $b = (0 : 1 : 1)$ ,  $c = (1 : 1 : 1)$ , and  $d = (1 : 0 : 1)$ . Then form the complete quadrilateral by constructing

the lines  $ab$ ,  $ac$ ,  $bc$  and  $cd$  and the intersection  $p_1 = (1 : 0 : 0)$  of the lines  $ad$  and  $bc$ , and the intersection  $p_2 = (0 : 1 : 0)$  of the lines  $ab$  and  $cd$ . Note that the complete quadrangle is independent, and infinitesimally rigid.

Then add the diagonal lines,  $ac$  and  $bd$ , and their intersections  $p_3 = (1 : 1 : 0)$  and  $p_4 = (1 : -1 : 0)$  with the line through the points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , which is the line at infinity. Then construct the lines  $ap_4$ ,  $bp_3$ ,  $cp_4$  and  $dp_3$ . Then construct the points  $e$  and  $f$  as the intersections of the lines  $ap_4$  and  $bp_3$  and the lines  $bp_3$  and  $cp_4$  respectively.

Finally, construct the horizontal line through  $e$ , which is the line  $ep_1$ , and the vertical line through  $f$ , which is the line  $fp_2$ . See Figure 5a. This completes the 0-th level, and yields an




FIGURE 5. Isostatic dyadic grids for  $N = 0$  and  $N = 1$ .

independent and infinitesimally rigid configuration by Theorem 4.4.

Notice for the purpose of the recursion that the segments  $ab$  and  $cd$  have points with dyadic coordinates at level  $N$  and crossings, by single lines, exactly at heights  $(2k + 1)/2^{N+1}$ , with  $0 \leq k \leq 2^N - 1$ . Notice also that segments  $ae$ ,  $eb$ ,  $bf$  and  $fc$  have points exactly those with dyadic coordinates at level  $N + 1$ , and are only crossed by lines at those points.

For the recursive step start by the points of intersection of  $ab$  and  $cd$  with the horizontal lines of the configuration. Add the lines between each of the new points and  $p_3$  and  $p_4$ . Each such new line bisects one of the segments along  $ae$ ,  $eb$ ,  $bf$  and  $fc$ . Add points at each of these intersections. Finally, add horizontal lines through the new points along  $ae$  and  $eb$  and vertical lines through the new points along  $bf$  and  $fc$ . These subdivide the grid, with the points along  $ab$  and  $cd$  again alternating between points and pairs of crossed lines.

The dyadic grids at levels  $N = 0$  and  $N = 1$  are illustrated in Figures 5b and 5a. Each level is created from the previous by intersections of two lines and drawing lines through two points, and so each level is independent and infinitesimally rigid by Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

In the construction, the points at level  $N$ , i.e. the points with coordinates  $(n/2^N : m/2^N : 1)$ , in the interior of  $abcd$  occur at the crossings of four lines. If a point is introduced there by the Cayley algebra, then that point will have two incidences recorded in the set  $I$ , and two implied geometric incidences. Adding either of these geometric incidences to  $I$  results in a loss of constraint independence, in other words, the introduction of an equilibrium stress. This illustrates the connection between self-stresses, constraint independence and projective theorems: certain incidences are implied by projective theorems, and such implied incidences will be dependent of the remaining incidences.

The points at the level  $N + 1$  inside  $abcd$  which occur at the crossings of two lines are also the points of a square lattice, and all of these points may be added to form a rigid and independent configuration. See Figure 5b.

**Corollary 4.6.** *There exists an independent, infinitesimally rigid configuration  $S = (P, L, I)$  in which every geometric point/line incidence between  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\mathbf{l}_j$  corresponds to an incidence in  $(i, j) \in I$ , and containing as many points of a square lattice as required.*




FIGURE 6. An isostatic configuration with 40 lattice points.

The isostatic configuration constructed in Corollary 4.6 can be further used to construct the example in the following theorem, which shows that infinitesimal rigidity does not imply rigidity.

**Theorem 4.7.** *There exists a configuration which is rigid but not infinitesimally rigid.*

*Proof.* This proof uses the classical method of constructing points on a quadratic curve given five points.

Let there be a projective configuration as in the following figure with the white vertices pinned, from which the black points and lines can be constructed and added to the rigid configuration. The white points can be constructed, and pinned, as part of an isostatic grid, as in Corollary 4.6. This can be done starting from four arbitrary points. See Figure 7.




FIGURE 7. A projective mechanism, pinned at the white points, whose motion requires point  $f$  to move along the red hyperbola.

Now, add a new point  $t$ , in green, incident to  $oy$ , creating a one-degree of freedom mechanism. The point  $t$  moves freely on the fixed line  $oy$ , and the configuration will remain a mechanism if

we add line  $jt$ , which we will think of as the Pascal line of the hexagon  $abcdef$  which appears after constructing the additional green points and lines; the intersection  $i$  of the lines  $cd$  and  $jt$ , the intersection  $k$  of the lines  $bc$  and  $jt$ , the lines  $ai$  and  $ek$ , and finally the intersection  $f$  of the lines  $ai$  and  $ek$ .

Each step preserves the mechanism, and forces the point  $f$  to move on the hyperbola determined by the pinned points  $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ . Finally, require an incidence between  $f$  and the line  $ox$ . Consider what happens if we engineer this configuration so that the line  $ox$  cuts the hyperbola in exactly one point, a point of tangency to the hyperbola through the points  $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$ . Care must be taken to get the original pinning so that the tangency can be achieved.

Now the resulting configuration is rigid, and there is a unique realization which satisfies the final required incidence. We will show that this configuration is not infinitesimally rigid. A velocity at  $t$  along  $oy$  preserves the mechanism, and has consequential velocities which are zero on all but the yellow points and lines. These velocities must be in the kernel of the incidence rigidity matrix, so, we know that the consequential velocity at  $f$  must lie along the pinned line  $ox$ . See Figure 8. in which the velocities at  $i$  and  $k$ , easily seen to be non-zero if  $v_t$  is non-zero,




FIGURE 8. A rigid pinned configuration with an infinitesimal motion.

are not shown. All green points move infinitesimally or none. We know that there is a finite motion without the final incidence which is consistent with the constraint of the last incidence, so this velocity assignment is a non-trivial infinitesimal motion of the configuration.  $\square$

This theorem shows that one cannot in general establish the non-rigidity of a configuration by considering the constraint matrix alone. Furthermore, in the traditional setting of bar-and-joint frameworks, infinitesimal rigidity and rigidity are equivalent for generic frameworks. This means that rigid but not infinitesimally rigid bar-and-joint framework have to be in some special position. The configuration in Theorem 4.7, however, can be constructed starting from four arbitrary points, so it is *not* in a special geometric position.

## 5. SELF-STRESSES OF PROJECTIVE CONFIGURATIONS

The theory of infinitesimal rigidity (column dependencies) is a dual theory of row dependencies which engineers identify as “statics”. Statics generally gives a different set of tools and insights.

Recall that the vectors  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\mathbf{l}_j$  are the homogeneous coordinates assigned to the point  $p_i$  and  $l_j$  in a configuration realizing  $S$ . In this section, we want to consider  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\mathbf{l}_j$  as vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . In order to do this, we pick the representatives of  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\mathbf{l}_j$  such that the last non-zero coordinate is 1. In this section, we do not assume that the points are finite and that the lines do not go through the origin. However, for finite points and lines that do not go through the origin, we pick the same representatives that we used to define the rigidity matrix.

A *stress* of a realization of an incidence geometry  $S = (P, L, I)$  is a scalar  $\omega_{i,j}$  assigned to each incidence  $(p_i, l_j) \in I$ . For technical reasons we define the stress to be zero for all non-incident pairs. We say that a stress is an *equilibrium stress* (or *self-stress*) if the following equations hold for each point  $p_i \in P$  and line  $l_j \in L$ :

$$(6) \quad \sum_{l_j \in L} \omega_{i,j} \mathbf{l}_j = \mathbf{0}, \quad \sum_{p_i \in P} \omega_{i,j} \mathbf{p}_i = \mathbf{0}.$$

Note that as the scalar  $\omega_{i,j}$  is defined to be zero for all non-incident pairs of points and lines, the summands of the sum  $\sum_{l_j \in L} \omega_{i,j} \mathbf{l}_j$  are non-zero only for the lines  $l_j$  such that  $(p_i, l_j) \in I$ . Similarly, the summands in the sum  $\sum_{p_i \in P} \omega_{i,j} \mathbf{p}_i = \mathbf{0}$  are non-zero only for the points  $p_i$  such that  $(p_i, l_j) \in I$ .

Note that the existence of an equilibrium stress does not depend on the chosen representatives of  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\mathbf{l}_j$ . However, the coefficients  $\omega_{i,j}$  do, so for the coefficients to be well-defined we choose to fix specific representatives of  $\mathbf{p}_i$  and  $\mathbf{l}_j$ .

As the next proposition shows, existence of an equilibrium stress is also invariant under projective transformations.

**Proposition 5.1.** *If a configuration realizing an incidence geometry  $S = (P, L, I)$  has an equilibrium stress, then any projectively equivalent configuration realizing  $S$  also has an equilibrium stress.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  is a configuration that has an equilibrium stress, where  $\omega_{i,j}$  is the stress coefficient assigned to the incidences  $(p_i, l_j) \in I$ . Let  $(S, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{l}')$  be the realization of  $S$  obtained from  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  by a projective transformation represented by the matrix  $A$ .

Note that  $A\mathbf{p}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{p}'_i$ , where  $\mathbf{p}'_i$  is the representative of the homogeneous coordinates of  $\mathbf{p}'_i$  such that the last non-zero coordinate is 1. Similarly,  $A\mathbf{l}_j = \lambda_j \mathbf{l}'_j$ .

Define new stress coefficients by  $\omega'_{i,j} = \lambda_i \lambda_j \omega_{i,j}$  for each incidence  $(p_i, l_j)$ . Now consider the sum  $\sum_{l_j \in L} \omega'_{i,j} \mathbf{l}'_j$ . By definition  $\sum_{l_j \in L} \omega'_{i,j} \mathbf{l}'_j = \sum_{l_j \in L} \lambda_i \lambda_j \omega_{i,j} \mathbf{l}'_j$ , and by assumption  $\sum_{l_j \in L} \lambda_i \lambda_j \omega_{i,j} \mathbf{l}'_j = \lambda_i A(\sum_{l_j \in L} \omega_{i,j} \mathbf{l}_j) = \mathbf{0}$ . Similarly,  $\sum_{p_i \in P} \omega'_{i,j} \mathbf{p}'_i = \lambda_j A(\sum_{p_i \in P} \omega_{i,j} \mathbf{p}_i) = \mathbf{0}$ .

Hence  $\omega'_{i,j}$  are an equilibrium stress on the realization  $(S, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{l}')$ .  $\square$

Suppose that all points are finite, so that  $\mathbf{p}_i = (x_i : y_i : 1)$  for all points  $p_i \in P$ , and no lines go through the origin, so that  $\mathbf{l}_j = (a_j : b_j : 1)$  for all lines  $l_j \in L$ . Under these assumptions, the rigidity matrix is well-defined, and the existence of an equilibrium stress clearly implies a row-dependence in the matrix  $M(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$ .

On the other hand, if there is a row-dependence in the rigidity matrix, then there are scalars  $\omega_{i,j}$  so that the equations

$$(7) \quad \sum_{l_j \in L} \omega_{i,j} (a_j, b_j) = \mathbf{0}, \quad \sum_{p_i \in P} \omega_{i,j} (x_i, y_i) = \mathbf{0}$$

hold for all points  $p_i \in P$  and lines  $l_j \in L$ . Equation (6) is satisfied for a point  $p_i$  if Equation (7) is satisfied, and the sum  $\sum_{l_j: (p_i, l_j) \in I} \omega_{i,j}$ , which gives the third coordinate of Equation (6), is zero. Dually, for lines, Equation (7) has to hold, and the sum  $\sum_{p_i: (p_i, l_j) \in I} \omega_{i,j}$  has to be 0. The equations  $\sum_{l_j \in L: (p_i, l_j) \in I} \omega_{i,j} = 0$  and  $\sum_{p_i \in P: (p_i, l_j) \in I} \omega_{i,j} = 0$  say that, for a configuration placed with no points at infinity or lines through the origin, every stress  $\omega$  must be purely combinatorial, that is, it must be a row dependence of the combinatorial incidence matrix.




FIGURE 9. The complete quadrilateral.

Now, note that  $(a_j, b_j) \cdot (x_i, y_i) = -1$  for all pairs  $(p_i, l_j) \in I$ . It follows that

$$\left( \sum_{l_j \in L} \omega_{i,j} (a_j, b_j) \right) \cdot (x_i, y_i) = -\sum_{l_j: (p_i, l_j) \in I} \omega_{i,j} = \mathbf{0}.$$

The dual statement holds for lines. Hence, a row-dependence in the rigidity matrix implies that the configuration has an equilibrium stress.

The row space of the matrix is the set of vectors of the form

$$\sum_{i,j} \omega_{i,j} \text{Row}_{i,j},$$

for any scalars  $\omega_{i,j}$ .

The conditions that the row space of  $M(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  has rank  $2|P| + 2|L| - 8$ , i.e. the configuration is infinitesimally rigid, is equivalent to the condition of the configuration being *static rigidity*, which says that the vectors of the form  $\omega M(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  span the entire orthogonal complement of the space of trivial motions.

*Example 5.2* (The complete quadrilateral). A complete quadrilateral is a configuration with 4 lines and their 6 intersection points, see Figure 9. We want to find homogeneous coordinates for the points and lines of a self-stressed complete quadrilateral.

Every point of the complete quadrilateral is incident to exactly two lines. If the point  $p_i$  lies on the lines  $l_j$  and  $l_k$ , then  $\omega_{i,j} \mathbf{l}_j + \omega_{i,k} \mathbf{l}_k = \mathbf{0}$ . As we are interested in the case where  $\omega_{j,i}$  and  $\omega_{k,i}$  are non-zero, we must have that  $\mathbf{l}_j$  is a scalar multiple of  $\mathbf{l}_k$ . Geometrically, this means that  $l_j$  and  $l_k$  are the same line. Because the complete quadrilateral is connected, this implies that all lines have to be the same in a self-stressed realization.

Furthermore, if  $l_j$  and  $l_k$  are incident to the point  $p_i$ , we get that  $\omega_{i,j} = -\omega_{i,k}$ .

In this case, this means that  $\omega_{0,0} = -\omega_{0,1}$ ,  $\omega_{1,0} = -\omega_{1,2}$ ,  $\omega_{2,0} = -\omega_{2,3}$ ,  $\omega_{3,1} = -\omega_{3,3}$ ,  $\omega_{4,1} = -\omega_{4,2}$  and  $\omega_{5,2} = -\omega_{5,3}$ .

Now, if we restrict to configurations such that the points are finite and the lines do not go through the origin, then we can use that  $\sum_{l_j: (p_i, l_j) \in I} \omega_{i,j} = 0$  for all lines  $l_j$ . Together with the above observation that  $\omega_{i,j} = -\omega_{i,k}$  for  $p_i$  incident to  $l_j$  and  $l_k$ , we get the following four

equations

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{0,0} + \omega_{1,0} + \omega_{2,0} &= 0 \\ -\omega_{0,0} + \omega_{3,1} + \omega_{4,1} &= 0 \\ -\omega_{1,0} - \omega_{4,1} + \omega_{5,2} &= 0 \\ \omega_{2,0} + \omega_{3,1} + \omega_{5,2} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

where the equations correspond to  $l_0$ ,  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$  and  $l_3$  respectively. It is easily verified that one solution to this system of equations is  $\omega_{0,0} = 1$ ,  $\omega_{1,0} = 1$ ,  $\omega_{2,0} = -2$ ,  $\omega_{3,1} = -1$ ,  $\omega_{4,1} = 2$  and  $\omega_{5,2} = 3$ .

To find a self-stressed realization of the complete quadrilateral with the above coefficients, we need a solution to the following four equations corresponding to the lines

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{p}_0 + \mathbf{p}_1 - 2\mathbf{p}_2 &= 0 \\ -\mathbf{p}_0 - \mathbf{p}_3 + 2\mathbf{p}_4 &= 0 \\ -\mathbf{p}_1 - 2\mathbf{p}_4 + 3\mathbf{p}_5 &= 0 \\ -2\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_3 + 3\mathbf{p}_5 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

such that the points  $\mathbf{p}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_3$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_4$  and  $\mathbf{p}_5$  all lie on a line. For simplicity, we pick the points such that they all lie on the line  $y = 1$ . We can pick the points  $\mathbf{p}_5$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_4$  and  $\mathbf{p}_3$  arbitrarily on the line. The coordinates of the points  $\mathbf{p}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_1$  and  $\mathbf{p}_0$  can be computed from the first three points. One example of points that satisfy the system of equations is  $\mathbf{p}_0 = (-2 : 1 : 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_1 = (8 : 1 : 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_2 = (3 : 1 : 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_3 = (0 : 1 : 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_4 = (-1 : 1 : 1)$  and  $\mathbf{p}_5 = (2 : 1 : 1)$ . With these coordinates, the complete quadrilateral has a single self-stress.

A *weaving* of lines in the plane is a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  together with an assignment of a line in the projective plane that does not go through the origin to each vertex, such that the lines  $\mathbf{l}_i$  and  $\mathbf{l}_j$  meet in a finite point whenever  $(i, j) \in E$ . Let  $(x_{ij}, y_{ij}, 1)$  be the intersection point of  $\mathbf{l}_i$  and  $\mathbf{l}_j$ . A *self-stress* of a weaving is an assignment of a scalar  $s_{ij}$  to each edge  $(i, j) \in E$ , such that  $s_{ij} = -s_{ji}$  and

$$(8) \quad \sum_{j:(i,j) \in E} s_{ij}(x_{ij}, y_{ij}, 1) = \mathbf{0}.$$

In Example 5.2, we find that a dependence in the projective matrix includes a check that the scalars, restricted to the columns for the lines, form a self-stress for the weaving of the lines. See [20, 19]. The converse does not hold.

## 6. SYMMETRIC PROJECTIVE CONFIGURATIONS

Many examples of projective configurations exhibit symmetry. There are several reasons for this; symmetry implies beauty, and usually the symmetry makes it easier to construct the configuration. For example, the  $21_4$  Grünbaum-Rigby configuration, whose description in 1990 [13] began the modern study of configurations, is typically drawn with 7-fold dihedral symmetry. Many papers have constructed interesting examples of configurations (for example, [7, 5, 2, 3, 4, 1], and [12] has many other examples), including those shown in Figures 3 and 4, by leveraging symmetry and geometry to prove that the necessary incidences occur.

In this section, we will consider the effect of symmetry on projective rigidity. We will develop a projective orbit rigidity matrix, which is analogous to the orbit rigidity matrix introduced by B.Schulze and W.Whiteley for studying forced symmetric infinitesimal rigidity of bar-joint

frameworks in Euclidean space [16]. In this context, the projective orbit rigidity matrix will allow us to study the space of realizations of an incidence geometry, subject to a given symmetry.

**6.1. Symmetries, dualities and polarities of projective space.** The projective general group  $PGL(3, \mathbb{R})$  is the group of real invertible  $3 \times 3$ -matrices modulo multiplication with a scalar, and by the fundamental theorem of projective geometry it acts upon the real projective plane as its group of symmetries (its collineation group), because  $\mathbb{R}$  has only trivial field automorphisms.

Any non-degenerate quadratic form  $Q$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  defines a polarity  $\pi_Q : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3)^*$  that sends  $v$  to  $vQ$ . This induces a geometric polarity exchanging the points with the lines of the real projective plane. The image of a projective point  $p$  under a polarity is the polar line of  $p$  for the conic defined by the quadratic form. The identity matrix defines a quadratic form corresponding to the purely imaginary conic  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0$ , and the polarity it defines maps a point to the line with the same homogeneous coordinates as the point.

The correlation group of the real projective plane is the group  $PGL(3, \mathbb{R})$  extended with a polarity. Given one polarity, the other polarities can be obtained by composing with a projective transformation. For example, the polarity defined by the identity matrix  $Q_1 = \text{Id}$ , corresponding to the imaginary conic, can be composed with the projective transformation with matrix representative in  $GL(3, \mathbb{R})$  equal to

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

to obtain the polarity defined by the matrix

$$Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponding to the conic with equation  $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ , because  $Q_2 = Q_1 T$ .

The orthogonal group  $O(3) < PGL(3, \mathbb{R})$  acts upon  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The special orthogonal group  $SO(3)$  is the subgroup of  $O(3)$  consisting of the direct orthogonal isometries. The projective orthogonal group  $PO(3)$  and the projective special orthogonal group  $PSO(3)$  are the corresponding subgroups of  $PGL(3, \mathbb{R})$  and describe the induced actions upon the real projective plane. In odd dimension  $n$ ,  $PO(n) = PSO(n) \cong SO(n)$ . For  $n = 3$  one can find a very nice description of the finite subgroups of this group in [9].

A planar finite projective configuration consists of a finite subset of points and lines of the projective plane. The symmetry group of the configuration is a finite subgroup of  $PGL(3, \mathbb{R})$  that preserves the point set, the line set, and the incidences. A polarity of the configuration is an involutory correlation that preserves the incidences of the configuration but permutes the point and the line sets. A configuration that has a non-trivial polarity is called autopolar. See Figure 10 for an example of an autopolar configuration.

The group consisting of projective correlations (symmetries and polarities) preserving the configuration is called the correlation group of the configuration.

**6.2. Projective orbit rigidity matrix.** In this section we will establish a symmetry-adapted rigidity matrix, called the projective orbit rigidity matrix, whose kernel consists of the infinitesimal motions that exhibit the same symmetry as the configuration. Hence the orbit rigidity matrix can be used to show the existence of symmetry-preserving deformations of symmetric projective configurations.

Throughout this section, we will let  $\Gamma$  denote a subgroup of the group of correlations of a projective configuration such that each element of  $\Gamma$  is either an element of  $PO(3)$  or a






FIGURE 10. An autopolar configuration: the polarity  $\pi_C$  sends the configuration to itself, i.e. it sends the points of the configuration to the lines of the configuration and vice versa.

polarity defined by an orthogonal matrix. We say that an infinitesimal projective motion of the configuration is “ $\Gamma$ -symmetric” if it also has symmetry  $\Gamma$ . More formally, let  $m$  be an element of the kernel of the projective rigidity matrix and let  $\gamma$  be an element of  $\Gamma$ . The vector  $m$  has two entries for each point of the configuration, and two entries for each line of the configuration. Let  $m(p)$  denote the vector consisting of the two elements corresponding to the point  $p$ . We can then require that  $m(\gamma p) = \gamma m(p)$  for all points  $p$  of the configuration.

Similarly, we require that  $m(\gamma l) = \gamma m(l)$  for all lines of the configuration, where  $m(l)$  denotes the vector consisting of the two entries of  $m$  corresponding to a line  $l$  of the configuration. An element  $m$  of the kernel of the rigidity matrix satisfying these conditions for all points and lines and all elements of  $\Gamma$  is said to be  $\Gamma$ -*symmetric*.

6.2.1. *Free actions.* Suppose that we have a configuration of points and lines with symmetry group  $\Gamma$ . For simplicity, we will first assume that no points or lines are fixed by non-trivial elements of  $\Gamma$ . In this case, the projective orbit rigidity matrix takes on a particularly simple form.

Consider an incidence  $(q, \gamma r)$  of the configuration, where  $q$  and  $r$  are representatives of orbits of points and lines under the action of  $\Gamma$ , respectively. Since we assumed that  $m$  is  $\Gamma$ -symmetric, we have that  $m(\gamma(r)) = \gamma m(r)$ , and the equation in the rigidity matrix corresponding to the incidence  $(q, \gamma r)$  is

$$(9) \quad q \cdot \gamma m(r) + \gamma r \cdot m(q) = 0.$$

Since the inner product is invariant under the action of  $\Gamma$ , (9) is equivalent to

$$(10) \quad \gamma^{-1} q \cdot m(r) + \gamma r \cdot m(q) = 0.$$

or, in matrix notation, to

$$(11) \quad (\gamma^{-1} q)^T m(r) + (\gamma r)^T m(q) = 0.$$

For an incidence geometry  $S$  and a configuration of points and lines  $(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  realizing  $S$ , let  $M^\Gamma(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  be the coefficient matrix of the system of equations obtained by considering one equation of the form (10) for each orbit of incidences. The row of  $M^\Gamma(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  corresponding to an incidence  $(q, \gamma r)$ , where  $q \neq r$ , looks as follows:

	$r$		$q$	
$0 \dots 0$	$(\gamma^{-1} q)^T$	$0 \dots 0$	$(\gamma r)^T$	$0$

A row of  $M^\Gamma(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  corresponding to an incidence  $(q, \gamma q)$  looks as follows:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & q & \\ \hline 0 \dots 0 & (\gamma q + \gamma^{-1}q)^T & 0 \dots 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Note that such an incidence can occur if  $\gamma$  is a polarity, because in that case, the image of a point under  $\gamma$  is a line.

We say that  $\bar{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2|P|+2|L|)/|\Gamma|}$  is the *restriction* of a  $\Gamma$ -symmetric infinitesimal projective motion  $m$  if  $m(\gamma q) = \gamma \bar{m}(q)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and all  $q \in P \cup L$ . The elements of the kernel of  $M^\Gamma(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the  $\Gamma$ -symmetric infinitesimal projective motions, as the following result shows.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{p}$  be a configuration of points and lines with symmetry group  $\Gamma$ . Then  $m$  is an element of the kernel of  $M_2^\Gamma(S, \mathbf{p})$  if and only if it is the restriction of a  $\Gamma$ -symmetric infinitesimal projective motion of the configuration.*

*Proof.* Equation (10) is equivalent to all the equations coming from the incidences in the same orbit as  $(q, \gamma r)$ . That is, if  $(\beta q, \beta \gamma r)$  is such an incidence, then the equation coming from the incidence  $(\beta q, \beta \gamma r)$  is

$$(12) \quad \beta q \cdot \beta \gamma \bar{m}(r) + \beta \gamma r \cdot \beta \bar{m}(q) = 0.$$

which is equivalent to Equation (10). If  $m$  is a  $\Gamma$ -symmetric infinitesimal motion, then all equations of the form (16) are satisfied, so the restriction of  $m$  satisfies Equation (10). Similarly, if  $\bar{m}$  is an element of the kernel of  $M_2^\Gamma(S, \mathbf{p})$ , then the vector  $m$  defined by  $m(\gamma q) = \gamma \bar{m}(q)$  satisfies all equations of the form (9). Hence  $m$  is a  $\Gamma$ -symmetric infinitesimal motion with restriction  $\bar{m}$ .  $\square$

**6.2.2. Actions with fixed points, lines, and incidences.** Suppose that we have a symmetric configuration of points and lines with symmetry group  $\Gamma$  such that the action of  $\Gamma$  has some point or line that is fixed by a non-trivial element of  $\Gamma$ .

To set up the correct system of equations for non-trivial elements  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  that fix points, we note that if there is a point  $q$  that is fixed by  $\gamma$ , then in any symmetry-preserving motion of the configuration the point  $q$  should remain in the subspace of the projective plane that is fixed by the action of  $\gamma$ .

Let  $F_\gamma$  be the subspace of the projective plane that is fixed by the action of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . For a point  $q$  of the configuration, we define the subspace

$$U_q = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma q = q} F_\gamma.$$

Note that if  $q$  is only fixed by the identity, then  $U_q$  is all of the projective plane. For each point  $q$  of the configuration, pick a basis  $B$  of  $U_q$ . Let  $M_q$  be the matrix with columns given by  $B$ .

Similarly, we can define the subspace

$$U_l = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma l = l} F_\gamma$$

for each line  $l$  of the configuration. For each line  $l$  of the configuration, let  $M_l$  be a basis matrix of  $U_l$ .

In the following, we let  $m(r) = M_r \hat{m}(r)$  for a  $\dim(U_r)$ -dimensional column vector  $\hat{m}(r)$ . Similar to the free action case, for each incidence  $(q, \gamma r)$  of the configuration, we consider the equation

$$(13) \quad q \cdot \gamma M_r \hat{m}(r) + \gamma r \cdot M_q \hat{m}(q) = 0$$

which, by the orthogonality of  $\gamma$ , is equivalent to

$$(14) \quad \gamma^{-1}q \cdot M_r \hat{m}(r) + \gamma r \cdot M_q \hat{m}(q) = 0$$

or, in matrix notation, to

$$(15) \quad (\gamma^{-1}q)^T M_r \hat{m}(r) + (\gamma r)^T M_q \hat{m}(q) = 0$$

Let  $M^\Gamma(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  be the coefficient matrix of the system of equations where each incidence orbit is represented by an equation of the form (14). The matrix  $M^\Gamma(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  has a row for each orbit of incidences under the action of  $\Gamma$ , and  $\dim(U_r)$  columns for each orbit of points and lines  $r$  under the action of  $\Gamma$ . Explicitly, the row corresponding to the incidence orbit represented by the incidence  $(q, \gamma r)$  has the form:

	$r$		$q$	
$0 \dots 0$	$(\gamma^{-1}q)^T M_r$	$0 \dots 0$	$(\gamma r)^T M_q$	$0$

Note that a point or line cannot be fixed by a polarity  $\gamma$ , and hence  $r = q$  implies that  $M_q$  is the identity matrix. So then we obtain the row for  $(q, \gamma q)$  given in Section 6.2.1.

We will see that the kernel of  $M^\Gamma(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  consists of the  $\Gamma$ -symmetric infinitesimal projective motions.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{p}$  be a  $\Gamma$ -symmetric configuration of points and lines. Then  $\hat{m}$  is an element of the kernel of  $M^\Gamma(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  if and only if  $\bar{m}$  defined by  $\bar{m}(r) = M_r \hat{m}(r)$  for each representative  $r$  of the point and line orbits under the action of  $\Gamma$  is the restriction of a  $\Gamma$ -symmetric infinitesimal projective motion of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(q, \gamma r) \in I$  is a representative of an orbit of incidences.

The equation in  $M^\Gamma(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$  corresponding to  $(q, \gamma r)$  is Equation (14).

Since the action of  $\Gamma$  preserves inner products, Equation (14) is equivalent to Equation (13), which is the equation corresponding to the incidence  $(q, \gamma r)$  in  $M(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$ .

Consider another incidence  $(\beta q, \beta \gamma r)$  in the same orbit. Since the inner product is invariant under the action of  $\Gamma$ , Equation (13) is also equivalent to


$$(16) \quad \beta q \cdot \beta \gamma M_r \hat{m}(r) + \beta \gamma r \cdot \beta M_q \hat{m}(q) = 0.$$

Equation (16) is the equation corresponding to the incidence  $(\beta q, \beta \gamma r)$  in  $M(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$ . Since Equation (13) and Equation (16) are both equivalent to Equation (14), the theorem follows, since Equation (14) is satisfied if and only if  $\hat{m}$  is an element of the kernel of  $M^\Gamma(S, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l})$ , and Equation (13) and Equation (16) are the equations that need to be satisfied for  $\bar{m}$  to be the restriction of a  $\Gamma$ -symmetric projective motion.  $\square$

We conclude this section with two examples.

First, we use the orbit rigidity matrix to analyze the configuration in Figure 11. It has 13 points, 12 lines and 42 incidences. So its rigidity matrix has  $2 \times 13 + 2 \times 12 = 50$  columns and 42 rows, and hence the configuration would be projectively rigid if the rows were independent. The configuration in Figure 11 however, has two non-trivial infinitesimal motions.

The configuration can be constructed with dihedral symmetry  $D_4$  of order 4 as in Figure 11 using reflections in the two perpendicular lines (dashed and dotted) by first picking two points  $q_0$  and  $q_1$  on the dashed line. The dotted line is perpendicular to the dashed line, and passes through the midpoint of  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ . Now, pick a point  $p_0$  not on the symmetry lines, and reflect it in the dashed and dotted lines to get the points  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  and  $p_3$ . The points  $u_0$ ,  $u_1$ ,  $v_0$ ,  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  are the points of intersection of the lines  $q_0 p_0$  and  $q_1 p_1$ ,  $q_0 p_3$  and  $q_1 p_2$ ,  $q_0 p_3$  and  $p_0 p_1$ ,  $q_1 p_2$  and  $p_0 p_1$ ,  $p_0 q_0$  and  $p_2 p_3$  and  $p_1 q_1$  and  $p_2 p_3$  respectively. The point  $c$  is the center of rotation.

FIGURE 11. A configuration with dihedral symmetry  $D_4$ .

There are some projective theorems involved in this configuration. Firstly, the line incident to  $q_0$ ,  $c$  and  $q_1$  is the Pascal line of the hexagon given by the lines  $v_0u_1$ ,  $u_1v_1$ ,  $v_1v_2$ ,  $v_2u_0$ ,  $u_0v_3$  and  $v_0v_3$ . By Pascal's theorem, a Pascal line exists if the points  $v_0$ ,  $u_0$ ,  $v_1$ ,  $v_3$ ,  $u_1$  and  $v_2$  lie on a conic. Secondly, by Brianchon's theorem the diagonals of the hexagon with edges  $u_0v_1$ ,  $v_1v_3$ ,  $v_3u_1$ ,  $u_1v_2$ ,  $v_2v_2$  and  $v_0u_0$  meet at a point if and only if the edges of the hexagon are tangent to a conic. Also by Brianchon's theorem, the diagonals of the hexagon with the edges  $p_0p_1$ ,  $p_1q_1$ ,  $q_1p_2$ ,  $p_2p_3$ ,  $p_3q_0$  and  $q_0p_0$  meet at a point if and only if the edges of the hexagon are tangent to a conic.

The condition of Pascal's theorem and the conditions of the two instances of Brianchon's theorem are necessarily satisfied in any realization of the configuration. Since the configuration can be constructed with  $D_4$  symmetry, these symmetries must imply that the conditions of the theorems are satisfied in the  $D_4$ -symmetric configuration. However, there are realizations of the configuration in Figure 11 that have neither of the reflectional symmetries nor the half-turn symmetry. In general, it is always possible to find projectively equivalent realizations of a symmetric configuration without Euclidean symmetries by applying a trivial projective motion that does not preserve the symmetry. However, for this example, we will see that every realization obtained by applying a non-trivial projective motion to the  $D_4$ -symmetric configuration will be projectively equivalent to a realization with reflectional symmetry.

The configuration has a two-dimensional space of non-trivial infinitesimal motions. Using the orbit rigidity matrix, one can show that there is a six-dimensional space of reflection-symmetric infinitesimal motions with respect to the dashed line. One can also show that there is a six-dimensional space of reflection-symmetric infinitesimal motions with respect to the dotted line. In both cases, there is a four-dimensional space of trivial infinitesimal motions, so for each of the two reflections, there is a two-dimensional space of non-trivial reflection-symmetric infinitesimal motions with respect to that reflection.

We can also set up an orbit rigidity matrix to see that there is a three-dimensional space of  $D_4$ -symmetric infinitesimal motions. The space of trivial  $D_4$ -symmetric infinitesimal motions is two-dimensional, leaving one non-trivial  $D_4$ -symmetric motion.

Consequently, for each choice of reflection, there must be one non-trivial reflection-symmetric infinitesimal motion for that reflection, but not the other. It can be verified that these infinitesimal motions extend to finite continuous motions, and hence for each reflection symmetry (in the dashed or dotted line) there is a realization of the configuration in Figure 11 that has this symmetry, which is not projectively equivalent to the configuration in Figure 11. However,

the configurations with a single reflectional symmetry obtained in this way will be projectively equivalent to each other.

As all non-trivial projective motions preserve one of the reflectional symmetries, all configurations obtained from the configuration in Figure 11 by applying a non-trivial motion will be projectively equivalent to a realization with reflectional symmetry. It is therefore not clear that there *is* a realization of the incidence structure in Figure 11 that is not projectively equivalent to a reflection-symmetric configuration.

Is there, for example, a non-trivial realization of the incidence structure in Figure 11 such that the rigidity matrix has full rank equal to 42? Or, is there a realization of the same incidence structure with only one non-trivial infinitesimal motion? Such realizations would not be projectively equivalent to the realization in Figure 11.




FIGURE 12. A non-trivial motion of the configuration in Figure 10 preserving autopolarity.

Finally, we revisit the configuration in Figure 10 and analyze it using the orbit rigidity matrix.

The polarity  $\pi_C$  maps the point  $p_1$ , with coordinates  $(0, -1)$ , to the line with homogeneous coordinates  $(0 : 1 : 1)$ , which is the tangent line to the circle at the point  $p_1$ . Call this line  $L_1$ . Similarly the point  $p_4$  with coordinates  $(0, 1)$  is mapped by  $\pi_C$  to the line  $(0 : -1 : 1)$ , which is the tangent line to the circle at the point  $p_4$ . Call this line  $L_4$ . The point  $p_2$  with coordinates  $(1, -1)$  is mapped by to the line with homogeneous coordinates  $(-1 : 1 : 1)$ , which is the line through  $p_1$  and  $p_6$ . Call this line  $L_2$ . Similarly, the point  $p_5$ , with coordinates  $(1, 1)$ , is polar to the line through  $p_3$  and  $p_4$ , which has homogeneous coordinates  $(-1 : -1 : 1)$ . Call this line  $L_5$ . The point  $p_3$ , with coordinates  $(2, -1)$ , is polar to the line through  $p_2$  and  $p_4$ , with homogeneous coordinates  $(-2 : 1 : 1)$ . The point  $p_6$ , with coordinates  $(2, 1)$  is polar to the line through  $p_1$  and  $p_5$ , with homogeneous coordinates  $(-2 : -1 : 1)$ . Call these lines  $L_3$  and  $L_6$  respectively.

Under the polarity  $\pi_C$ , there are six orbits  $\{p_i, L_i\}$  of points and lines. There are eight orbits of incidences, namely  $i_0 = \{(p_1, L_1)\}$ ,  $i_1 = \{(p_1, L_2), (p_2, L_1)\}$ ,  $i_2 = \{(p_1, L_3), (p_3, L_1)\}$ ,  $i_3 = \{(p_4, L_4)\}$ ,  $i_4 = \{(p_4, L_5), (p_5, L_4)\}$ ,  $i_5 = \{(p_4, L_6), (p_6, L_4)\}$ ,  $i_6 = \{(p_2, L_6), (p_6, L_2)\}$  and  $i_7 = \{(p_3, L_5), (p_5, L_3)\}$ . The orbit rigidity matrix  $M_2^{(\pi_C)}(S, \mathbf{p})$  is

$$\begin{array}{c}
 i_0 \\
 i_1 \\
 i_2 \\
 i_3 \\
 i_4 \\
 i_5 \\
 i_6 \\
 i_7
 \end{array}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 \{p_1, L_1\} & \{p_2, L_2\} & \{p_3, L_3\} & \{p_4, L_4\} & \{p_5, L_5\} & \{p_6, L_6\} \\
 \begin{pmatrix}
 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0
 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix has a four-dimensional kernel, which implies that there is a 4-dimensional space of infinitesimal motions that preserve autopolarity. One of these motions is non-trivial and extends to a finite motion.

To see this, pin the points  $p_1, p_2, p_4$  and  $p_6$ . The points  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$  have to remain collinear after any projective motion, so the point  $p_3$  can only move along the line  $L_1$ . Suppose that the point  $p_3$  is moved to the point  $p'_3 = (2 + t, -1)$ . The line  $L_5$  then has to be moved to the line between  $p'_3$  and  $p_4$  in order for the incidences to be preserved. Similarly, the point  $p_5$  can only move along the line  $L_4$ . Suppose that the point  $P_5$  is moved to  $p'_5 = (1 + t', 1)$ . Choosing  $t' = \frac{-t}{2+t}$  preserves autopolarity. Furthermore,  $t' = \frac{-t}{2+t}$  is the only possible choice for  $t'$  preserving autopolarity.

Hence the only non-trivial projective motion preserving autopolarity is moving  $p_3$  to  $(2+t, -1)$  and  $p_5$  to  $(1 + \frac{-t}{2+t}, 1)$ , and moving the lines  $L_3$  and  $L_5$  to preserve incidences. Figure 12 illustrates this motion.

## 7. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Finally, we mention some possible future research directions. Some of these open problems will be elaborated on in the companion paper [6].

*Inductive constructions.* There are well-known inductive constructions, known as 0- and 1-extensions, that preserve rigidity of bar-joint frameworks in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In the plane, 0- and 1-extensions are sufficient for inductively constructing all minimally rigid graphs, starting from a single edge. We have shown that operations in the Cayley algebra, i.e. meets and joins, preserve independence in the projective rigidity matrix, and can therefore be used to inductively construct projectively rigid configurations. However, operations in the Cayley algebra are clearly not sufficient for constructing all projectively rigid configurations, so it would be interesting to find more inductive constructions that preserve projective rigidity.

*Generalizations to higher dimension.* Incidence geometries can also be realized in real projective spaces of higher dimension. It would be natural to consider the generalization of projective motions to realizations of incidence geometries and points and hyperplanes in higher-dimensional real projective spaces. Another natural generalization would be to consider realizations of incidence geometries as points and lines in projective spaces of dimension three or higher. Investigating generalizations of projective motions to such realizations is a possible avenue for future research.

*Families of rigid  $v_k$ -configurations.* In Example 4.3, we show a projectively rigid  $20_4$ -configuration. As  $v_k$ -configurations are overconstrained with respect to the count in (4) whenever  $k \geq 4$ , it seems likely that there are more projectively rigid  $v_k$ -configurations. Constructing families of projectively rigid  $v_k$ -configurations, or proving that known families of  $v_k$ -configurations are projectively rigid, is a potential direction for future research.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. W. Berman and J. R. Faudree. Highly incident configurations with chiral symmetry. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 49(3):671–694, 2013.
- [2] L.W. Berman. Movable  $(n_4)$  configurations. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 13(1):Research Paper 104, 30, 2006.
- [3] L.W. Berman. Geometric constructions for 3-configurations with non-trivial geometric symmetry. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 20(3):Paper 9, 29, 2013.
- [4] L.W. Berman. Geometric constructions for symmetric 6-configurations. In *Rigidity and symmetry*, volume 70 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 61–85. Springer, New York, 2014.
- [5] L.W. Berman, P. DeOrsey, J. R. Faudree, T. Pisanski, and A. Žitnik. Chiral astral realizations of cyclic 3-configurations. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 64(2):542–565, 2020.
- [6] L.W. Berman, S. Lundqvist, B. Schulze, B. Servatius, H. Servatius, K. Stokes, and W. Whiteley. Counting for rigidity under projective transformations in the plane. *Fields Communications*, 2025.

- [7] M. Boben and T. Pisanski. Polycyclic configurations. *European J. Combin.*, 24(4):431–457, 2003.
- [8] R. Connelly and S. D. Guest. *Frameworks, tensegrities, and symmetry*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2022.
- [9] J. H. Conway and D. A. Smith. *On quaternions and octonions: their geometry, arithmetic, and symmetry*. A K Peters, Ltd., Natick, MA, 2003.
- [10] H. S. M. Coxeter. Self-dual configurations and regular graphs. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 56:413–455, 1950.
- [11] H. S. M. Coxeter. The Pappus configuration and its groups. *Pi Mu Epsilon J.*, 6(6):331–336, 1977.
- [12] B. Grünbaum. *Configurations of points and lines*, volume 103 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.
- [13] Branko Grünbaum and J. F. Rigby. The real configuration  $(21_4)$ . *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 41(2):336–346, 1990.
- [14] D. Hilbert and S. Cohn-Vossen. *Geometry and the imagination*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 1999.
- [15] T. Pisanski and B. Servatius. *Configurations from a graphical viewpoint*. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. [Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks]. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013.
- [16] B. Schulze and W. Whiteley. The orbit rigidity matrix of a symmetric framework. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 46(3):561–598, 2011.
- [17] B. Schulze and W. Whiteley. Rigidity and scene analysis. In C.D. Toth, J. O’Rourke, and J.E. Goodman, editors, *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, chapter 61. Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, 3rd edition, 2017.
- [18] A. H. Wallace. Algebraic approximation of curves. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 10:242–278, 1958.
- [19] W. Whiteley. Rigidity and polarity. II. Weaving lines and tensegrity frameworks. *Geom. Dedicata*, 30(3):255–279, 1989.
- [20] W. Whiteley. Weavings, sections and projections of spherical polyhedra. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 32(3):275–294, 1991.

(Berman) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA, USA

(Lundqvist) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, UMEA UNIVERSITY, SWEDEN

(Schulze) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LANCASTER UNIVERSITY, UK

(Servatius) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES WPI, USA

(Servatius) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WPI, USA

(Stokes) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, UMEA UNIVERSITY, SWEDEN

(Whiteley) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YORK UNIVERSITY, CANADA