

A note on the regularity and the existence of Riemannian splines

D. Corona, R. Giambò, P. Piccione

July 29, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, we present a comprehensive proof concerning the regularity of critical points for the spline energy functional on Riemannian manifolds, even for the general higher-order case. Although this result is widely acknowledged in the literature, a detailed proof was previously absent. Our proof relies on a generalization of the DuBois-Reymond Lemma. Furthermore, we establish the existence of minimizers for the spline energy functional in cases where multiple interpolation points are prescribed alongside just one velocity.

MSC(2020): 49J15.

Key words and phrases: Riemannian cubic; spline interpolation.

1 Introduction

This paper analyzes the regularity and existence of spline curves on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) , defined variationally as the critical points of the *spline energy functional* $f: \Gamma \rightarrow M$ that reads as follows:

$$f(\gamma) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 g(D_t \dot{\gamma}(t), D_t \dot{\gamma}(t)) dt, \quad (1.1)$$

where Γ is a suitable subspace of the Sobolev functions $W^{2,2}([0, 1], M) = H^2([0, 1], M)$ and D_t denotes the usual covariant derivative given by g (more formally, by its Levi-Civita connection). Usually, Γ is the set of curves that pass through some prescribed points of the manifolds at prescribed time instants (interpolation conditions), with some fixed velocities on some of these points. When M is an euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , the critical points of such a functional are the cubic splines, namely piecewise third degree polynomials, from which this functional takes its name.

This problem has been introduced in the Riemannian setting by L. Noakes, G. Heinzinger and B. Paden in [13], where Γ is the set of *smooth* curves with prescribed initial and final points and velocities. Under this regularity assumption on the curves one considers, [13, Theorem 1] states that if γ is a critical point of f then

$$D_t^3 \dot{\gamma}(t) + R(D\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))\dot{\gamma}(t) = 0, \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, 1], \quad (1.2)$$

where R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of the metric g and D_t^3 denotes the third order covariant derivative. The fourth-order differential equation (1.2) is derived from the critical point

condition. This is done by starting from the first variation formula of f , performing explicit computations, using the integration by parts formula, and then applying the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations, which allows to obtain a differential equation from an integral one.

However, if one assume a priori that the critical point γ has only the H^2 -regularity, which is the “natural” regularity coming from the definition of f , the integration by parts is not allowed, and the above procedure prematurely stops. Indeed, by standard computations, the first variation of f at γ in the direction ξ is as follows:

$$df(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_0^1 \left(g(D_t \dot{\gamma}, D_t^2 \xi) - g(R(\dot{\gamma}, D_t \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, \xi) \right) dt, \quad (1.3)$$

where, at this point in the presentation, one can assume that $\xi: [0, 1] \rightarrow TM$ is a smooth vector field on γ with compact support in $(0, 1)$. If γ is only of class H^2 , then $D_t \dot{\gamma}$ is a vector field on γ with L^2 regularity. Since the minimal regularity assumption to perform the integration by parts is the existence of a weak derivative, the following step,

$$\int_0^1 g(D_t \dot{\gamma}, D_t^2 \xi) dt = \int_0^1 g(D_t^3 \dot{\gamma}, \xi) dt,$$

cannot be executed since $D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}$ does not exist for a curve of class H^2 .

While the literature on Riemannian splines is extensive due to their numerous applications in control theory, robotics, computational graphics, geometric mechanics, to name a few, it appears that the regularity problem is often circumvented by imposing certain smoothness conditions on the curves under consideration. For example, the pioneering work [13] considers only smooth functions, while [4], where multiple interpolation points are considered, assumes to work with C^1 piecewise smooth curves, as done also in [2]. Jumping to more recent works, [9] provides a regularity result for the minimizers of a slightly different functional (the one that weights the spline energy functional with the path energy functional). Starting from the H^2 -regularity, [9, Theorem 2.19] states that the minimizers are in $C^{2, \frac{1}{2}}([\delta, 1 - \delta], M)$, for any $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$. However, this is still not sufficient to perform an integration by parts and, consequently, to obtain (1.2). Indeed, if a minimizer γ is of class $C^{2, \frac{1}{2}}$, then its second order derivative is a vector field of class $C^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$ which can not admit a weak derivative. In the one dimensional Riemannian setting, a typical function with the above properties is the well-known Weierstrass function, which is Hölder continuous but it is not absolutely continuous. If one requires the $C^{0, \frac{1}{2}}$ -regularity, then the real parameters a, b in the Weierstrass function

$$W(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \cos(b^k \pi t),$$

have to be chosen such that $b = 1/a^2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in (0, 1)$ and $1/a > 1 + \frac{3}{2}\pi$. With these parameters, $W \in C^{0, \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ but, as it doesn't admit a derivative at any point, it is not absolutely continuous.

The study of the splines on Riemannian manifolds has also application in the collision avoidance of multiple agents, as investigated, for instance, in [1, 3, 8], where the spline energy functional is combined both with the path energy one and with a distance function between multiple curves. Once again, in [1, 8] the regularity issue is not directly tackled, since the functional space is given by the C^1 piecewise smooth curves. In [3], the functional space is given among the curves with H^2 -regularity and it is stated that the critical points are smooth; however, the proof is omitted, mentioning the results in [1], where the piecewise smoothness is assumed.

In recent years, the spline problem has also garnered interest for the challenging and intriguing study of its flow, known as *elastic flow*, which involves the evolution of curves following the negative gradient of the spline energy functional, leading to the analysis of a fourth-order parabolic quasilinear PDE. The study of this flow began in [14], but for a detailed survey and references, we refer to [12] and the works cited therein. Even within this framework, the admissible curves exhibit more than H^2 -regularity. For instance, both in [12] and in [15], they are of class H^4 . A similar analysis was recently carried out in [10], where the elastic flow is studied in a broader context. Even in this scenario, when constrained to the original spline energy functional, the considered curves are of class C^2 and piecewise $C^{4,\alpha}$, with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Finally, let us observe that in [6] and [7], the regularity of the critical curves is not assumed a priori; however, its proof is given, without further details, invoking a “standard” bootstrap method. The bootstrap method, usually used to prove the regularity of critical points of a Lagrangian action functional, requires integration by parts in the “wrong” direction, namely the only one which is possible under the regularity assumed at the beginning. For example, starting from (1.3), one should obtain the following:

$$df(\gamma)([\xi]) = \int_0^1 \left(g(D_t \dot{\gamma}, D_t^2 \xi) - g(\mu(t), D_t^2 \xi) \right) dt, \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mu(t)$ is a vector field on γ whose second order covariant derivative is $R(\dot{\gamma}, D_t \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}$, hence it is of class H^2 , and $\mu(0) = 0, D_t \mu(0) = 0$. It then applies the DuBois-Reymond Lemma, which, for the reader’s convenience, is reported here in the simpler setting of real functions (see, e.g., [5, Corollary 1.25]).

DuBois-Reymond Lemma. Let $u \in L_{loc}^1((a, b), \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\int_a^b u(t)\eta(t)dt = 0, \quad \forall \eta \in C_c^\infty([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \int_a^b \eta(t)dt = 0.$$

Then, there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u = c$ a.e. in (a, b) .

The main problem to apply the “standard” bootstrap method is as follows. From the critical point condition $df(\gamma) = 0$, hence from the null condition on (1.4), one can’t infer that $D_t \dot{\gamma}(t) = v + \mu(t)$ a.e. on $[0, 1]$ for some *parallel* vector v field on γ (i.e., $D_t v \equiv 0$), obtaining that $D_t \dot{\gamma}$ is of class H^2 (so that one can infer the spline equation (1.2) as previously described). This is not possible because the set of possible variations one can apply to γ it is strictly contained within the set of the variations required to apply the DuBois-Reymond Lemma. Indeed, if ξ has compact support in $(0, 1)$, not only $\int_0^1 D_t^2 \xi(t)dt = 0$, which is obtained from the condition that the covariant derivative of ξ is 0 at the extreme points, but we have the additional constraints that ξ has to be null at the extremes. As a consequence, the “standard” bootstrap method mentioned in [6, 7] does not work, or rather, it requires some further clarification.

In this paper, we demonstrate that a bootstrap method can be indeed successfully applied by utilizing a generalization of the DuBois-Reymond Lemma, namely Lemma 2.3, thereby proving the regularity of critical points. More formally, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M^n, g) be an n -dimensional smooth and complete Riemannian manifold. Let $\{p_0, \dots, p_N\} \subset M$ be a set of $N + 1$ fixed points, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = 1$ a partition of the unit interval, and let us fix an index $j \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, together with a vector $v \in T_{p_j}M$. Let*

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \in H^2([0, 1], M) : \gamma(t_i) = p_i, \forall i = 0, \dots, N, \dot{\gamma}(t_j) = v \right\},$$

and $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by (1.1). Then, if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is a critical point of f , it is smooth on any interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$. As a consequence, for any $i = 1, \dots, N$ we have

$$D_t^3 \dot{\gamma}(t) + R(D_t \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) \dot{\gamma}(t) = 0, \quad \text{for any } t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]. \quad (1.5)$$

Moreover, both $\gamma|_{[0, t_j]}$ and $\gamma|_{[t_j, 1]}$ are of class C^2 ; if $t_j \neq 0$, then $D_t \gamma(0) = 0$, and if $t_j \neq 1$, then $D_t \gamma(1) = 0$.

Remark 1.2. The regularity results remain analogous even when velocities are prescribed at multiple points. In such cases, a critical point γ will be of class C^2 within any interval delimited by two instants where velocity is prescribed. The proof for this follows the same argument as that used in Theorem 1.1, with the crucial observation that each point where velocity is prescribed must be treated similarly to the only one in Theorem 1.1. To maintain clarity and avoid heavy notation that might obscure the main proof steps, we focus on using only one point with prescribed velocity. If no velocity is prescribed, and a critical point exists, then it is of class C^2 on the whole unit interval, and the covariant derivative of its velocity vanishes at the extrema.

Remark 1.3. The above regularity theorem holds even if M is a differentiable manifold of class C^4 and the metric tensor g is of class C^3 , as these are the minimal (reasonable) assumptions so that (1.5) can be explicitly written in local charts.

The regularity ensured by Theorem 1.1 can be generalized for higher-order Riemannian splines, the so-called k -splines, which are, for any integer $k \geq 2$, the critical points of the energy functional $f_k: \Gamma \subset H^k([0, 1], M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f_k(\gamma) := \int_0^1 g(D_t^{k-1} \dot{\gamma}, D_t^{k-1} \dot{\gamma}) dt. \quad (1.6)$$

Once again, if the regularity result is ensured, then applying the integration by parts formula the necessary number of times and the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations leads to an ODE of order $2k$ on any interval $I \subset [0, 1]$ delimited by some interpolation conditions. However, even in this case, we couldn't find satisfactory regularity results for the critical points of the functional (1.6), as usually one works in the set of piecewise smooth curves or, at least, assuming the C^{2k-2} regularity on each interval of the admissible curves (see, e.g., [11, 10]). Therefore, we provide the following result, which is based on the further generalization of the DuBois-Reymond Lemma presented in Lemma 4.3.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (M^n, g) be an n -dimensional smooth and complete Riemannian manifold. Let $\{p_0, \dots, p_N\} \subset M$ be a set of $N + 1$ fixed points, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = 1$ a partition of the unit interval, and let us fix an index $j \in \{0, \dots, N\}$, together with $k - 1$ vectors $v_\ell \in T_{p_j} M$, for $\ell = 1, \dots, k - 1$. Let*

$$\Gamma_k = \left\{ \gamma \in H^k([0, 1], M) : \gamma(t_i) = p_i, \forall i = 0, \dots, N, D_t^{\ell-1} \dot{\gamma}(t_j) = v_\ell, \forall \ell = 1, \dots, k - 1 \right\},$$

and $f_k: \Gamma_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by (1.6). Then, if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is a critical point of f_k , it is smooth on any interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$. As a consequence, for any $i = 1, \dots, N$ we have

$$D_t^{2k-1} \dot{\gamma}(t) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-2} (-1)^\ell R(D_t^{2k-\ell-3} \dot{\gamma}(t), D_t^\ell \dot{\gamma}(t)) \dot{\gamma}(t) = 0, \quad \text{for any } t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i). \quad (1.7)$$

Moreover, both $\gamma|_{[0, t_j]}$ and $\gamma|_{[t_j, 1]}$ are of class C^{2k-2} ; if $t_j \neq 0$, then $D_t^{k+\ell-2} \dot{\gamma}(0) = 0$, for $\ell = 1, \dots, k - 1$, and similarly for $t_j \neq 1$.

Remark 1.5. Theorem 1.4 can also be stated and proved in a slightly more general setting, by fixing the covariant derivatives of the curves at different points and by using different orders, where the latter are obviously always between 1 and $k - 1$. More formally, for each $\ell = 1, \dots, k - 1$, one can choose an index $j_\ell \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ and an order of derivative $w_\ell \in \{0, \dots, k - 2\}$, and define

$$\Gamma_k = \left\{ \gamma \in H^k([0, 1], M) : \gamma(t_i) = p_i, \forall i = 0, \dots, N, D_t^{w_\ell} \dot{\gamma}(t_{j_\ell}) = v_\ell, \forall \ell = 1, \dots, k - 1 \right\},$$

where each v_ℓ belongs to $T_{p_{j_\ell}} M$. In this setting, (1.7) still holds, but the last regularity results stated in the theorem should be modified accordingly. However, for the sake of clarity and simplicity, we confine ourselves to the setting given in the theorem.

Beside a comprehensive proof of the regularity of critical points for the spline energy functional, this paper contains an existence result for the minimizers of the same functional. The existence result we give stems from the analysis of [9, Lemma 2.15], where it is proven that, in general, a minimizer of the spline energy functional can not exist if only the interpolation points are prescribed. For the reader's convenience, the counterexample provided as a proof of [9, Lemma 2.15] is reported and discussed in details in Example 5.1. Based on that remarkable example, [9] justifies the introduction of additional constraints to obtain the existence of minimizers, which are as follows:

- *natural* boundary conditions, i.e., $D_t \dot{\gamma}(0) = D_t \dot{\gamma}(1) = 0$;
- *periodic* boundary conditions, i.e., $p_0 = p_N$ and $\dot{\gamma}(0) = \dot{\gamma}(1)$;
- *Hermite* boundary conditions, i.e., the prescription of *the initial and final velocities* of the curves.

Additionally, [9] weights the spline energy functional with the path energy, namely looking for the minimizers of

$$F^\sigma(\gamma) := f(\gamma) + \sigma \int_0^1 g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) dt,$$

for some $\sigma > 0$. Indeed, in [9, Theorem 2.19], the existence of a minimizer for F^σ is guaranteed if $\sigma > 0$ and if one of the above constraints is assumed.

A direct inspection of the construction provided to show the non-existence of a minimizer in [9, Lemma 2.15] (see also Example 5.1) suggests that, if *just one* velocity is prescribed, then a minimizer should exist, even when $\sigma = 0$. More formally, we have the following theorem, whose setting is depicted in Figure 1.

Theorem 1.6. *Let (M^n, g) be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold. Let $\{p_0, \dots, p_N\} \subset M$ be a set of $N + 1$ fixed points and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = 1$ a partition of the unit interval. Let us fix a vector $v \in T_{p_0} M$ and let*

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \in H^2([0, 1], M) : \gamma(t_i) = p_i, \forall i = 0, \dots, N, \dot{\gamma}(0) = v \right\}.$$

Then, the functional $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by (1.1) admits a minimizer.

Remark 1.7. Prescribing the velocity at the initial time doesn't affect the generality of the theorem. Indeed, if one prescribes the velocity at an internal time instant $t_j \neq \{0, 1\}$, then Theo-




Figure 1: The setting of Theorem 1.6: on a Riemannian manifold M , we prescribe $N + 1$ points, denoted by p_0, \dots, p_N , together with the initial velocity $v \in T_{p_0}M$.

rem 1.6 ensures the existence of two minimizers of f in the two time intervals $[0, t_j]$ and $[t_j, 1]$, say $\gamma_1: [0, t_j] \rightarrow M$ and $\gamma_2: [t_j, 1] \rightarrow M$. For the sake of precision, this follows also from the invariance of the spline energy functional with respect to backward reparametrization of the curves. By Theorem 1.1, the curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ obtained by gluing together γ_1 and γ_2 is of class H^2 , as its second order derivative could have just one step discontinuity at time t_j . Therefore, γ is a minimizer of f .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a proof of Theorem 1.1 in the one-dimensional case, allowing the key steps of the proof to be appreciated without excessive notation. Section 3 then provides a detailed proof of Theorem 1.1, encompassing all necessary details for the Riemannian setting. Section 4 is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 1.4, beginning again with the analogous result in the one dimensional setting. Finally, Section 5 focuses on the proof of Theorem 1.6.

2 One dimensional setting

In this section, we provide the regularity result for the critical points of the spline energy functional for one dimensional curves, namely maps defined on $[0, 1]$ with values in \mathbb{R} . Let $N + 1 \geq 2$ be the number of fixed points, which we denote by $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_N \in \mathbb{R}$, and let us choose a partition of the unit interval $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = 1$. We impose that every curve we consider should pass through the point p_i at the time t_i , for every $i = 0, \dots, N$, and we prescribe the velocity of the curves at just *one* point, i.e., there exists $j \in \{0, \dots, N\}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}$ such that every curve we consider has a derivative equal to v at the time t_j . More formally, our functional space is defined as follows:

$$\Gamma := \left\{ \gamma \in H^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : \gamma(t_i) = p_i, \forall i = 0, \dots, N, \dot{\gamma}(t_j) = v \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $H^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of Sobolev real functions defined on $[0, 1]$ that admits a second order weak derivative which belongs to the Lebesgue space $L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$. The main objective of this section is studying the regularity of the critical points of the spline energy functional $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which in this case reads simply as follows:

$$f(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \ddot{\gamma}^2(t) dt.$$

By some standard computations, the set of admissible variations is given by

$$V := \left\{ \xi \in H^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : \xi(t_i) = 0, \forall i = 0, \dots, N, \dot{\xi}(t_j) = 0 \right\},$$

so that, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the differential of f at γ , that we denote by $df(\gamma): V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is

$$df(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_0^1 \ddot{\gamma}(t) \ddot{\xi}(t) dt.$$

Remark 2.1. It is important to notice that if γ is a critical point of f , we cannot apply the DuBois-Raymond lemma to deduce its regularity. Let us proceed with the computation to better highlight this statement, which is a key observation for all the subsequent discussion about the regularity of the critical points. Assume that γ is a critical point, so that $df(\gamma)[\xi] = 0$ for every $\xi \in V$. Let us fix $i = 1, \dots, N$ and restrict our analysis on the variations with compact support in (t_{i-1}, t_i) , so we have

$$df(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \ddot{\gamma}(t) \ddot{\xi}(t) dt = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in V, \text{ supp } \xi \subset (t_{i-1}, t_i).$$

Notice that, if $\text{supp } \xi \subset (t_{i-1}, t_i)$, then $\dot{\xi}(t_{i-1}) = \dot{\xi}(t_i) = 0$, so that $\int_0^1 \ddot{\xi} dt = 0$. By the DuBois-Raymond lemma, if we can consider *all* the variations ξ such that $\int_0^1 \ddot{\xi} dt = 0$, then we can conclude that $\ddot{\gamma} = c$ a.e. on (t_{i-1}, t_i) , hence we obtain a regularity result. However, we have to take into account also the condition $\xi(t_{i-1}) = \xi(t_i) = 0$, so *not all* the variations required for the application of the DuBois-Raymond lemma are available.

Building on the previous remark, we need a characterization result about the admissible variations.

Lemma 2.2. *For every $\xi \in V$ and $i = 1, \dots, N$, we have*

$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \dot{\xi} dt = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$t_i \dot{\xi}(t_i) - t_{i-1} \dot{\xi}(t_{i-1}) = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} t \ddot{\xi} dt. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. The condition (2.2) naturally arises from the hypotheses that $\xi(t_i) = 0$ for each $i = 0, \dots, N$. Indeed, since $\xi(t_0) = 0$, we have

$$\xi(t_1) = \xi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dot{\xi} dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \dot{\xi} dt = 0,$$

and similarly for all subsequent indices. Then, (2.3) follows directly from (2.2) and the integration by parts formula as follows:

$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} t \ddot{\xi}(t) dt = t_i \dot{\xi}(t_i) - t_{i-1} \dot{\xi}(t_{i-1}) - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \dot{\xi} dt = t_i \dot{\xi}(t_i) - t_{i-1} \dot{\xi}(t_{i-1}).$$

□

To state the next result, we need some further notation. Let us define

$$V_0 := \left\{ \xi \in V : \dot{\xi}(t_i) = 0, \forall i = 0, \dots, N \right\} \subset V.$$

By Lemma 2.2, if $\xi \in V_0$ then for every $i = 0, \dots, N-1$ we have

$$\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \ddot{\xi}(t) dt = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} t \ddot{\xi}(t) dt = 0,$$

and viceversa, since $\dot{\xi}(t_j) = 0$. In other words, setting

$$W_0([a, b], \mathbb{R}) := \left\{ \eta \in L^2([a, b], \mathbb{R}) : \int_a^b \eta(t) dt = 0 \text{ and } \int_a^b t \eta(t) dt = 0 \right\},$$

for every interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$V_0 = \left\{ \xi \in V : \ddot{\xi}|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]} \in W_0([t_{i-1}, t_i], \mathbb{R}) \text{ for every } i = 1, \dots, N \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Now, we are ready to state the following result, which generalizes the DuBois-Reymond lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *If $u \in L^2([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ is such that*

$$\int_a^b u(t) \eta(t) dt = 0, \quad \forall \eta \in W_0([a, b], \mathbb{R}),$$

then there exist two constants $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u(t) = c_1 t + c_0$ a.e. in $[a, b]$.

Proof. Setting

$$A([a, b], \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ u \in L^2([a, b], \mathbb{R}) : u(t) = c_1 t + c_0, \text{ for some } c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

by definition of $W_0([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ we have that $A([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ and $W_0([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ are orthogonal in $L^2([a, b], \mathbb{R})$. To conclude the proof, let us show that $L^2([a, b], \mathbb{R}) = A([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \oplus W_0([a, b], \mathbb{R})$. This can be achieved by proving that for every $u \in L^2([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, there exist exactly two real numbers c_0, c_1 such that

$$u - c_1 t - c_0 \in W_0([a, b], \mathbb{R}).$$

A straightforward computation shows that c_0, c_1 must solve the following system:

$$\begin{cases} c_1 \frac{(b-a)^2}{2} + c_0(b-a) = \int_a^b u(t) dt, \\ c_1 \frac{(b-a)^3}{3} + c_0 \frac{(b-a)^2}{2} = \int_a^b t u(t) dt, \end{cases}$$

whose determinant is different from 0 if $a \neq b$, and so c_0 and c_1 are unique. □

By directly applying Lemma 2.3, we have the following regularity result in the one dimensional setting.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be a critical point for f , where Γ is defined by (2.1). Then, for every $i = 1, \dots, N$ the restriction $\gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ is smooth and*

$$\frac{d^4}{dt^4} \gamma(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i). \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, both $\gamma|_{[0, t_j]}$ and $\gamma|_{[t_j, 1]}$ are of class C^2 ; if $t_j \neq 0$ then $\ddot{\gamma}(0) = 0$ and if $t_j \neq 1$ then $\ddot{\gamma}(1) = 0$.

Proof. As an initial step, let us select an index $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and demonstrate that the restriction of γ to $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$ is smooth. We consider the subset of V_0 consisting of variations ξ with compact support within the interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$. Given that γ is a critical point for the functional f , it follows that

$$df(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \ddot{\gamma}(t) \ddot{\xi}(t) dt = 0,$$

for every ξ within this particular subset of V_0 . As ξ is an element of V_0 , according to (2.4) $\ddot{\xi}$ belongs to $W_0([t_{i-1}, t_i], \mathbb{R})$. Conversely, for any $\eta \in W_0([t_{i-1}, t_i], \mathbb{R})$, there exists a ξ in this subset of V_0 such that $\ddot{\xi}(t) = \eta(t)$ for all $t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]$. This equivalence is achieved by integrating η twice and adopting zero as the integration constants. By Lemma 2.3 we obtain two constants $c_0^i, c_1^i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\ddot{\gamma}(t) = c_1^i t + c_0^i, \quad \text{a.e. in } (t_{i-1}, t_i).$$

Therefore, $\gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ is smooth and (2.5) holds for every $i = 0, \dots, N - 1$.

To demonstrate the final part of the proposition, consider any $\xi \in V$. Given that $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is a critical point and exhibits smoothness over each interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$, integrating by parts within each interval yields to the following equation:

$$df(\gamma)[\xi] = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \ddot{\gamma}(t) \ddot{\xi}(t) dt = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\ddot{\gamma}(t_i^-) \dot{\xi}(t_i) - \ddot{\gamma}(t_{i-1}^+) \dot{\xi}(t_{i-1}) \right) = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in V,$$

where $\ddot{\gamma}(t^-)$ and $\ddot{\gamma}(t^+)$ denote the second-order left and right derivatives of γ at time t , respectively. The integral terms vanish because $d^3\gamma/dt^3$ remains constant and $\dot{\xi}$ has a zero mean value over each interval.

Given that for any $i \neq j$, there exists $\xi \in V$ such that $\dot{\xi}(t_i) \neq 0$ and $\dot{\xi}(t_k) = 0$ for all $k \neq i$, it follows that

$$\ddot{\gamma}(t_i^-) = \ddot{\gamma}(t_i^+), \quad \text{if } i \neq j,$$

which indicates that both sections of γ , specifically $\gamma|_{[0, t_j]}$ and $\gamma|_{[t_j, 1]}$, are of class C^2 . When t_j does not coincide with 0 and 1, we deduce that $\ddot{\gamma}(0) = 0$ and $\ddot{\gamma}(1) = 0$, respectively. \square

3 The regularity result in the Riemannian setting

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1, thus generalizing the results achieved by Proposition 2.4 in the one-dimensional setting to the more general context of Riemannian manifolds.

From now on, let (M^n, g) is a smooth n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold, endowed with a smooth metric tensor g . For any absolute continuous curve $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow M$ and any vector field η along γ of class L^1 , we denote by

$$\iint_a^b \eta(t) dt$$

the *covariant integral of η along γ* , that is the unique vector field μ along γ such that $\mu(a) = 0$ and $D_t \mu(t) = \eta(t)$ for every $t \in [a, b]$. Hence, for any vector field ξ of class H^1 along γ , we have

$$\xi(t) = \xi_t(a) + \iint_a^t D_t \xi(\tau) d\tau, \quad (3.1)$$

where we denote by $\xi_t(a) \in T_{\gamma(t)}M$ the parallel transport of the vector $\xi(a) \in T_{\gamma(a)}M$ along the curve γ at time $t \in [a, b]$.

Let $\Gamma \subset H^2([0, 1], M)$ and $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as in Theorem 1.1. Due to the constraints of the curves we are considering, the set of admissible variations depends on each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and is the following:

$$V_\gamma := \left\{ \xi \in H^2([0, 1], TM) : \xi(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}M, \forall t \in [0, 1], \right. \\ \left. \xi(t_i) = 0, \forall i = 0, \dots, N, \quad D_t \xi(t_j) = 0 \right\}.$$

In other words, V_γ is the set of vector fields along γ of Sobolev class H^2 vanishing at each time t_i , for $i = 0, \dots, N$, and with first covariant derivative equal to 0 at time t_j .

We are concerning about the regularity and existence of the critical points of $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, hence of those curves such that

$$df(\gamma)[\xi] = 0, \quad \forall \xi \in V_\gamma.$$

By standard arguments (see, e.g., [6]), it can be proved that the differential $df(\gamma): V_\gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ reads as follows:

$$df(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_0^1 \left(g(D_t \dot{\gamma}, D_t^2 \xi) - g(R(\dot{\gamma}, D_t \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}, \xi) \right) dt,$$

where $R: TM \times TM \times TM \rightarrow TM$ denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of the manifold. Even in this case, due to the constraints on the admissible variations, we can't employ the DuBois Raymond's lemma to prove the regularity of the critical points. Similarly to the one dimensional case, we proceed as follows. For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let us consider

$$V_{\gamma,0} = \{ \xi \in V_\gamma : D_t \xi(t_i) = 0, \forall i = 0, \dots, N \},$$

and let us define the following vector field along γ ,

$$\eta_\gamma(t) := \iint_0^t \left(\iint_0^\tau R(\dot{\gamma}, D_s \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma} ds \right) d\tau, \quad (3.2)$$

so that $\eta_\gamma(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}M$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$ and the second order covariant derivative of η_γ is $R(\dot{\gamma}, D_t \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}$. With this notation, by integrating by parts (in the admissible direction) we obtain

$$df(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_0^1 g(D_t \dot{\gamma} - \eta_\gamma(t), D_t^2 \xi) dt, \quad \forall \xi \in V_{\gamma,0}. \quad (3.3)$$

We are going to prove that, if $df(\gamma)[\xi] = 0$ for every $\xi \in V_{\gamma,0} \subset V_\gamma$, in particular if γ is a critical point, then γ is smooth on every time interval $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$. As a first step, we give the following characterization result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\gamma \in H^2([a, b], M)$ and let $\xi \in V_{\gamma,0}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$. Then, for every $i = 1, \dots, N$ we have*

$$\iint_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} D_t^2 \xi \, dt = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\iint_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} t D_t^2 \xi \, dt = 0.$$

Proof. Since $D_t \xi(t_i) = 0$ for any $i = 0, \dots, N$, (3.4) directly follows from (3.1). Now, let us fix $i = 1, \dots, N$. Since $\xi(t_{i-1}) = \xi(t_i) = 0$, and $D_t \xi(t_{i-1}) = 0$, we have

$$0 = \iint_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} D_t \xi(t) \, dt = \iint_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left(\iint_{t_{i-1}}^t D_\tau^2 \xi(\tau) \, d\tau \right) dt.$$

Then, by using an integration by parts, we obtain

$$\iint_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} t D_\tau^2 \xi(t) \, dt = - \iint_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left(\iint_{t_i}^t D_\tau^2 \xi(\tau) \, d\tau \right) dt = 0.$$

□

As a consequence of the previous lemma, let us introduce the following notation. Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and let $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow M$ be a curve of class H^2 . Defining $W_{\gamma,0}([a, b], M)$ as follows,

$$W_{\gamma,0}([a, b], M) := \left\{ \eta \in L^2([a, b], TM) : \eta(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}M, \iint_a^b \eta(t) \, dt = 0, \iint_a^b t, \eta(t) \, dt = 0 \right\},$$

we are ready to state the generalization of the DuBois-Reymond lemma in the Riemannian setting.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\mu: [a, b] \rightarrow TM$ is a L^1 vector field along a continuous curve $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow M$ such that*

$$\int_a^b g(\mu, \eta) \, dt = 0, \quad \forall \eta \in W_{\gamma,0}([a, b], M),$$

then there exist two parallel vector fields ν and ζ along γ such that

$$\mu(t) = \nu(t) + t\zeta(t), \quad \text{a.e. in } (a, b). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. By definition of $W_{\gamma,0}$, any μ given by (3.5), with ν and ζ two arbitrary parallel vector fields along γ , is orthogonal to $W_{\gamma,0}$. Indeed, for any $\eta \in W_{\gamma,0}$ and a vector field ν along the curve γ with zero covariant derivative we have

$$\int_a^b g(\nu, \eta) \, dt = g\left(\nu(b), \iint_a^b \eta \, dt\right) - \int_a^b g\left(D_t \nu, \iint_a^t \eta \, ds\right) dt = 0,$$

since the both terms vanish. Similarly, for any vector field ζ along γ with zero covariant derivative we obtain

$$\int_a^b g(t\zeta, \eta) dt = \int_a^b g(\zeta, t\eta) dt = g(\zeta(b), \int_a^b t\eta dt) - \int_a^b g(D_t\zeta, \int_a^t s\eta ds) dt = 0.$$

Therefore, it remains to prove that any arbitrary vector field ρ along γ can be obtained as a direct sum of an element in $W_{\gamma,0}$ and one given by (3.5). In other words, we need to show the existence and uniqueness of two parallel vector fields ν and ζ along γ such that $\rho - \nu - t\zeta$ belongs to $W_{\gamma,0}$, namely

$$\int_a^b (\nu + t\zeta) dt = \int_a^b \rho dt \quad \text{and} \quad \int_a^b (t\nu + t^2\zeta) dt = \int_a^b t\rho dt.$$

Denoting by $\nu_b, \zeta_b \in T_{\gamma(b)}M$ the two vectors that uniquely determined the parallel vector fields ν and ζ , respectively, we have that $\rho - \nu - t\zeta$ belongs to $W_{\gamma,0}$ if and only if there exist $\nu_b, \zeta_b \in T_{\gamma(b)}M$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (b-a)\nu_b + \frac{(b-a)^2}{2}\zeta_b = \int_a^b \rho dt, \\ \frac{(b-a)^2}{2}\nu_b + \frac{(b-a)^3}{3}\zeta_b = \int_a^b t\rho dt. \end{cases}$$

Since the last system uniquely provides the two vectors ν_b, ζ_b (unless $b \neq a$), the thesis is obtained. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The main steps of the proof are the same as those of Proposition 2.4; however, in this setting, we can appreciate the iterative procedure of the bootstrap method.

Let us fix a time interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$, with $i = 1, \dots, N$. Since $\gamma \in \Gamma$ is a critical point, for any $\xi \in V_{\gamma,0}$ with compact support in (t_{i-1}, t_i) , by (3.3) we have

$$df(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t\dot{\gamma} - \eta_\gamma(t), D_t^2\xi) dt = 0,$$

where we recall that η_γ is the vector field along γ given by (3.2). Using Lemma 3.1, we have that $D_t^2\xi \in W_{\gamma,0}([t_{i-1}, t_i], M)$. Therefore, by the generality of ξ and using Lemma 3.2, we have the existence of two parallel vector fields ν^i, ζ^i along $\gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ such that

$$D_t\dot{\gamma}(t) - \eta_\gamma(t) = \nu^i(t) + t\zeta^i(t), \quad \text{a.e. in } (t_{i-1}, t_i). \quad (3.6)$$

Now, the iterative procedure of the bootstrap method can start. Since η_γ is of class H^2 and ν^i and ζ^i are parallel vector fields, from the previous equation we have that $D_t\dot{\gamma}$ has H^2 -regularity on $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$, hence γ is of class H^4 on this interval. Since by definition of η_γ we have

$$D_t^2\eta_\gamma(t) = R(\dot{\gamma}(t), D_t\dot{\gamma}(t))\dot{\gamma}(t), \quad \forall t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i], \quad (3.7)$$

and $\gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ is of class H^4 , we have that η_γ is actually of class H^4 and, by using again (3.6), $\gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ is of class H^6 . By applying alternatively (3.6) and (3.7), we have that $\gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ belongs to $H^{2k}([t_{i-1}, t_i], M) \subset C^{2k-1}([t_{i-1}, t_i], M)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, hence it is smooth on $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$. Since the above procedure can be applied for every $i = 1, \dots, N$, the desired regularity result is achieved.

As a consequence, by taking the second order covariant derivative on both sides of (3.6), we obtain the spline equation (1.5).

To prove the last part of the theorem, it suffices to compute the first variation of γ for every vector field $\xi \in V_\gamma$. By the above regularity result, we can integrate by parts the first variation formula and, recalling that $\xi(t_i) = 0$ for every $i = 0, \dots, N$, and that (1.5) holds on every interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} df(\gamma)[\xi] &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left(g(D_t \dot{\gamma}, D_t^2 \xi) - g(R(\dot{\gamma}, D_t \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma}, \xi) \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N g(D_t \dot{\gamma}, D_t \xi) \Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left(g(D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}, D_t \xi) + g(R(\dot{\gamma}, D_t \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma}, \xi) \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N g(D_t \dot{\gamma}, D_t \xi) \Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^3 \dot{\gamma} - R(\dot{\gamma}, D_t \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma}, \xi) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(g(D_t \dot{\gamma}(t_i^-), D_t \xi(t_i^-)) - g(D_t \dot{\gamma}(t_{i-1}^+), D_t \xi(t_{i-1}^+)) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since for every index $i \neq j$, $i \neq 0, N$, we can choose $\xi \in V_\gamma$ such that $D_t \xi$ does not vanish only at that index, for such a variation we obtain

$$g(D_t \dot{\gamma}(t_i^-) - D_t \dot{\gamma}(t_i^+), D_t \xi(t_i)) = 0,$$

and by the arbitrariness of ξ we obtain the joining condition $D_t \dot{\gamma}(t_i^-) = D_t \dot{\gamma}(t_i^+)$, for every $i \neq j$. This implies that both $\gamma|_{[0, t_j]}$ and $\gamma|_{[t_j, 1]}$ are of class C^2 . Finally, if $j \neq 0$ or $j \neq 1$, then an analogous argument shows that $D_t \dot{\gamma}(0) = 0$ or $D_t \dot{\gamma}(1) = 0$, respectively, and we are done. \square

4 Regularity of k -splines

This section generalizes the previous regularity results for the k -splines, for every integer $k \geq 2$. As we did for the splines, at first we show it in the one dimensional setting, where the notation is not overwhelming, and then we give it in the Riemannian setting, thus proving Theorem 1.4.

For the more general case of the k -splines, Lemma 3.2 is not sufficient to start the bootstrap method if $k \geq 3$, hence a generalization of that result is required to obtain the desired regularity, which in the one dimensional is the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Let k be a non-negative integer. Let $u \in L^1([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ such that*

$$\int_a^b u(t) \eta(t) dt = 0,$$

for any $\eta \in C_c^\infty((a, b), \mathbb{R})$ that satisfies

$$\int_a^b t^\ell \eta(t) dt = 0, \quad \forall \ell = 0, \dots, k.$$

Then, there exist $k + 1$ constants $c_0, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$u(t) = c_k t^k + \dots + c_1 t + c_0 = \sum_{\ell=0}^k c_\ell t^\ell, \quad a.e. \text{ in } [a, b].$$

Proof. The proof relies on an induction argument. When $k = 0$, the statement is nothing but the DuBois-Reymond Lemma.

Assume that the thesis holds for k and let us show it for $k + 1$. Let $\xi \in C_c^\infty((a, b), \mathbb{R})$ be such that

$$\int_a^b t^\ell \xi(t) dt = 0, \quad \forall \ell = 0, \dots, k,$$

and we fix a function $\phi \in C_c^\infty((a, b), \mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_a^b \phi(t) dt = 1$. Moreover, let us define

$$c = \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} \int_a^b t^{k+1} \xi(t) dt \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, we define $\eta \in C_c^\infty((a, b), \mathbb{R})$ as follows:

$$\eta(t) = \xi(t) + c \phi^{(k+1)}(t),$$

where

$$\phi^{(k+1)}(t) = \frac{d^{k+1}}{dt^{k+1}} \phi(t).$$

By using the integration by parts formula ℓ times, for every $\ell = 0, \dots, k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b t^\ell \eta(t) dt &= \int_a^b t^\ell \xi(t) dt + c \int_a^b t^\ell \phi^{(k+1)}(t) dt \\ &= (-1)^\ell c \ell! \int_a^b \phi^{(k+1-\ell)}(t) dt = (-1)^\ell c \ell! (\phi^{(k-\ell)}(b) - \phi^{(k-\ell)}(a)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the same procedure and by definition of c , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b t^{k+1} \eta(t) dt &= \int_a^b t^{k+1} \xi(t) dt + c \int_a^b t^{k+1} \phi^{(k+1)}(t) dt \\ &= \int_a^b t^{k+1} \xi(t) dt + (-1)^{k+1} c (k+1)! \int_a^b \phi(t) dt = \int_a^b t^{k+1} \xi(t) dt + (-1)^{k+1} c (k+1)! = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have that $\int_a^b t^\ell \eta(t) dt = 0$ for any $\ell = 0, \dots, k + 1$, and by hypothesis we have

$$\int_a^b u(t) \eta(t) dt = 0.$$

Setting,

$$c_{k+1} = -\frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} \int_a^b u(t) \phi^{(k+1)}(t) dt$$

we can expand the previous equality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_a^b u(t)\eta(t)dt &= \int_a^b u(t)\xi(t)dt + c \int_a^b u(t)\phi^{(k+1)}(t)dt \\
&= \int_a^b u(t)\xi(t)dt + \left(\frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} \int_a^b t^{k+1}\xi(t)dt \right) \int_a^b u(t)\phi^{(k+1)}(t)dt \\
&= \int_a^b (u(t) - c_{k+1}t^{k+1})\xi(t)dt = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrariness of ξ and by the induction hypothesis, we then obtain the existence of $k+1$ constants $c_0, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$u(t) - c_{k+1}t^{k+1} = c_k t^k + \dots + c_0, \quad \text{a.e. in } (a, b).$$

Therefore, the thesis holds also for $k+1$ and this ends the proof. \square

Thanks to the previous generalization, we have the following regularity result in the one dimensional setting.

Proposition 4.2. *Let k be a positive integer and let $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us also fix $p_0, \dots, p_N \in \mathbb{R}$ for some positive integer N and let us fix $j \in \{0, \dots, N\}$. Setting*

$$\Gamma_k = \left\{ \gamma \in H^k([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : \gamma(t_i) = p_i, \forall i = 0, \dots, N, \gamma^{(\ell)}(t_j) = v_\ell, \forall \ell = 1, \dots, k-1 \right\}$$

and $f_k : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$f_k(\gamma) = \int_0^1 |\gamma^{(k)}(t)|^2 dt.$$

If γ is a critical point of f_k , then, for every $i = 1, \dots, N$ the restriction $\gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ is smooth and

$$\frac{d^{2k}}{dt^{2k}} \gamma(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]. \quad (4.1)$$

Moreover, both $\gamma|_{[0, t_j]}$ and $\gamma|_{[t_j, 1]}$ are of class C^{2k-2} ; if $t_j \neq 0$ then $\gamma^{(k+\ell-1)}(0) = 0$ for every $\ell = 1, \dots, k-1$, and similarly if $t_j \neq 1$.

Proof. By standard computations, it can be shown that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$, the set of admissible variations is given by

$$V_k = \left\{ \xi \in H^k([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : \xi(t_i) = 0, \forall i = 0, \dots, N, \xi^{(\ell)}(t_j) = 0, \forall \ell = 1, \dots, k-1 \right\}.$$

Let us fix $i = 1, \dots, N$ and a variation $\xi \in V$ such that $\text{supp } \xi \subset (t_{i-1}, t_i)$. Therefore, $\xi^{(\ell)}(t_{i-1}) = \xi^{(\ell)}(t_i) = 0$ for every $\ell = 0, \dots, k-1$, and this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} t^\ell \xi^{(k)}(t)dt &= (-1)^\ell \ell! \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \xi^{(k-\ell)}(t)dt \\
&= (-1)^\ell \ell! (\xi^{(k-\ell-1)}(t_i) - \xi^{(k-\ell-1)}(t_{i-1})) = 0, \quad \forall \ell = 1, \dots, k-1.
\end{aligned}$$

By a standard computation, we have

$$df_k(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \gamma^{(k)}(t) \xi^{(k)}(t) dt = 0,$$

and therefore, by Lemma 4.1, we have that

$$\gamma^{(k)}(t) = c_{k-1}^i t^{k-1} + \dots + c_1^i t + c_0^i, \quad \text{a.e. in } (t_{i-1}, t_i),$$

for some real constants c_{k-1}^i, \dots, c_0^i . Hence, $\gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ is smooth and (4.1) holds, for every $i = 1, \dots, N$.

Now, let ξ be a general variation. A careful application of the integration by parts formula leads to the following equality:

$$df_k(\gamma)[\xi] = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} (-1)^\ell \left(\gamma^{(k+\ell-1)}(t_i^-) \xi^{(k-\ell)}(t_i) - \gamma^{(k+\ell-1)}(t_{i-1}^+) \xi^{(k-\ell)}(t_{i-1}) \right) \right) = 0.$$

For every $i \neq j$, $i \neq 0, N$, and for every $\ell = 1, \dots, k-1$, there exists $\xi \in V_k$ such that $\xi^{(k-\ell)}(t_i) \neq 0$ only for these i and ℓ . In this case we have

$$df_k(\gamma)[\xi] = (-1)^\ell \left(\gamma^{(k+\ell-1)}(t_i^-) - \gamma^{(k+\ell-1)}(t_i^+) \right) \xi^{(k-\ell)}(t_i) = 0,$$

meaning that

$$\gamma^{(k+\ell-1)}(t_i^-) = \gamma^{(k+\ell-1)}(t_i^+), \quad \forall \ell = 1, \dots, k-1, \forall i \neq j, i \neq 0, N,$$

from which we infer that both $\gamma|_{[0, t_j]}$ and $\gamma|_{[t_j, 1]}$ are of class C^{2k-2} . If $t_j \neq 0$, by choosing a variation $\xi \in V_k$ such that $\text{supp } \xi \subset [0, t_1)$ we obtain

$$df_k(\gamma)[\xi] = \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \gamma^{(k+\ell-1)}(0) \xi^{(k-\ell)}(0) = 0,$$

from which we infer that $\gamma^{(k+\ell-1)}(0) = 0$ for every $\ell = 1, \dots, k-1$, and an analogous result can be obtained if $t_j \neq 1$. \square

4.1 Regularity of k -splines in the Riemannian setting

This section provides a proof of Theorem 1.4. As for the above regularity result in the one dimensional setting, we need a generalization of the DuBois-Reymond Lemma, which in this case is the following.

Lemma 4.3. *Let k be a non-negative integer. If $\mu: [a, b] \rightarrow TM$ is a vector field of class L^1 along an absolute continuous curve $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow M$ such that*

$$\int_a^b g(\mu(t), \eta(t)) dt = 0,$$

for any $\eta \in C_c^\infty((a, b), \mathbb{R})$ that satisfies

$$\int_a^b t^\ell \eta(t) dt = 0, \quad \forall \ell = 0, \dots, k,$$

then there exist $k + 1$ constants parallel vector fields along γ , denoted by ζ_0, \dots, ζ_k , such that

$$\mu(t) = t^k \zeta_k(t) + \dots + t \zeta_1(t) + \zeta_0(t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^k t^\ell \zeta_\ell(t), \quad \text{a.e. in } (a, b). \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. The proof follows the same lines of the one of Lemma 4.1, namely it uses an induction argument and a direct construction of the first term, namely of the parallel vector field that appears with the higher order in (4.2).

If $k = 0$, then the thesis is the DuBois-Reymond Lemma in the Riemannian setting. Thus, let us assume that the thesis holds for k and let us prove it for $k + 1$.

Let $\xi: [a, b] \rightarrow TM$ be a smooth vector field along γ with compact support in (a, b) such that

$$\int_a^b t^\ell \xi(t) dt = 0, \quad \forall \ell = 0, \dots, k.$$

Let us fix a function $\phi \in C_c^\infty((a, b), \mathbb{R})$ such that $\int_a^b \phi(t) dt = 1$. Let $c_\xi: [a, b] \rightarrow TM$ be the parallel vector field along γ such that

$$c_\xi(b) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} \int_a^b t^{k+1} \xi(t) dt \in T_{\gamma(b)} M.$$

With this notation, let us define the vector field $\eta: [a, b] \rightarrow TM$ as follows:

$$\eta(t) := \xi(t) + \phi^{(k+1)}(t) c_\xi(t).$$

For any $\ell = 0, \dots, k$, we have

$$\int_a^b t^\ell \eta(t) dt = \int_a^b t^\ell \xi(t) dt + \int_a^b \phi^{(k+1)}(t) t^\ell c_\xi(t) dt = (-1)^\ell \ell! \int_a^b \phi^{(k+1-\ell)}(t) c_\xi(t) dt = 0,$$

where, since c_ξ is a parallel vector field, we have $D_t(t^\ell c_\xi(t)) = \ell t^{\ell-1} c_\xi(t)$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b t^{k+1} \eta(t) dt &= \int_a^b t^{k+1} \xi(t) dt + (-1)^{k+1} (k+1)! \int_a^b \phi(t) c_\xi(t) dt \\ &= \int_a^b t^{k+1} \xi(t) dt + (-1)^{k+1} (k+1)! c_\xi(b) = \int_a^b t^{k+1} \xi(t) dt - \int_a^b t^{k+1} \xi(t) dt = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by hypothesis, we have

$$\int_a^b g(\mu(t), \eta(t)) dt = 0,$$

hence

$$\int_a^b g(\mu(t), \xi(t) + \phi^{(k+1)}(t) c_\xi(t)) dt = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Let $\zeta_{k+1}: [a, b] \rightarrow TM$ be the parallel vector field such that

$$\zeta_{k+1}(b) = -\frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} \int_a^b \phi^{(k+1)}(t) \mu(t) dt,$$

and let us notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b g(\mu(t), \phi^{k+1}(t) c_\xi(t)) dt &= \int_a^b g(\phi^{k+1}(t) \mu(t), c_\xi(t)) dt \\ &= g\left(\int_a^b \phi^{(k+1)}(t) \mu(t) dt, c_\xi(b)\right) = g\left(\int_a^b \phi^{(k+1)}(t) \mu(t) dt, \frac{(-1)^k}{(k+1)!} \int_a^b t^{k+1} \xi(t) dt\right) \\ &= -g\left(\zeta_{k+1}(b), \int_a^b t^{k+1} \xi(t) dt\right) = -\int_a^b g(\zeta_{k+1}(t), t^{k+1} \xi(t)) dt = -\int_a^b g(t^{k+1} \zeta_{k+1}(t), \xi(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (4.3) we obtain the following identity:

$$\int_a^b g(\mu(t) - t^{k+1} \zeta_{k+1}(t), \xi(t)) = 0.$$

By the arbitrariness of ξ and the inductive hypothesis, there exist $k+1$ constant vector fields along γ , say ζ_0, \dots, ζ_k such that

$$\mu(t) = t^{k+1} \zeta_{k+1}(t) + \dots + t \zeta_1(t) + \zeta_0(t), \quad \text{a.e. in } [a, b],$$

and we are done. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. In the setting provided by Theorem 1.4, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$ the set of admissible variations is

$$V_{k,\gamma} := \left\{ \xi \in H^k([0, 1], TM) : \xi(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}M, \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad \xi(t_i) = 0, \forall i = 0, \dots, N, \right. \\ \left. D_t^\ell \xi(t_j) = 0, \forall \ell = 1, \dots, k-1 \right\},$$

and the differential $df_k(\gamma): V_{k,\gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by the following formula (cf. [7, Proposition 3.1]):

$$df_k(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_0^1 g\left(D_t^{k-1} \dot{\gamma}, D_t^k \xi + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-2} D_t^\ell (R(\xi, \dot{\gamma}) D_t^{k-\ell-2} \dot{\gamma})\right) dt. \quad (4.4)$$

Due to the lengthy computations and heavy notation involving the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor R , we will first show the regularity result for the case $k=3$. This approach allows us to clearly present the key steps of the proof without being overwhelmed by excessive notation. We will then extend the results to higher values of k .

Let $k=3$; as usual, for a given $i=1, \dots, N$, let us consider a variation $\xi \in V_{k,\gamma}$ with compact support in (t_{i-1}, t_i) . Then, by applying the symmetric and skew-symmetric properties of the

curvature tensor R and of its covariant derivative, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
df_k(\gamma)[\xi] &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}, D_t^3 \xi) dt + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}, R(\xi, \dot{\gamma}) D_t \dot{\gamma}) dt + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}, D_t(R(\xi, \dot{\gamma}) D_t \dot{\gamma})) dt \\
&= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}, D_t^3 \xi) dt + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}, R(\xi, \dot{\gamma}) D_t \dot{\gamma}) dt \\
&\quad + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g\left(D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}, (D_t R)(\xi, \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma} + R(D_t \xi, \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma} + R(\xi, D_t \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma} + R(\xi, \dot{\gamma}) D_t \dot{\gamma}\right) dt \\
&= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}, D_t^3 \xi) dt - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(R(D_t \dot{\gamma}, D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma}, \xi) dt \\
&\quad - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g\left((D_t R)(\dot{\gamma}, D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma} + R(\dot{\gamma}, D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}) D_t \dot{\gamma} + R(D_t \dot{\gamma}, D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma}, \xi\right) dt \\
&\quad - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(R(\dot{\gamma}, D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma}, D_t \xi) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

For the sake of simplicity, we can rewrite the previous equation as follows:

$$df_k(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}, D_t^3 \xi) dt - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(h_3(\dot{\gamma}, D_t \dot{\gamma}, D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}), \xi) dt - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(h_2(\dot{\gamma}, D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}), D_t \xi) dt,$$

where both h_3 and h_2 are vector fields along γ of class L^2 . As a consequence, setting

$$\eta_3^i(t) = - \iint_{t_{i-1}}^t \left(\iint_{t_{i-1}}^\tau \left(\iint_{t_{i-1}}^s h_3(\dot{\gamma}, D_\sigma \dot{\gamma}, D_\sigma^2 \dot{\gamma}) d\sigma \right) ds \right) d\tau, \quad \forall t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i],$$

and

$$\eta_2^i(t) = \iint_{t_{i-1}}^t \left(\iint_{t_{i-1}}^\tau w(\dot{\gamma}, D_s^2 \dot{\gamma}) ds \right) d\tau, \quad \forall t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i],$$

by integrating by parts we obtain

$$df(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}(t) - \eta_3^i(t) - \eta_2^i(t), D_t^3 \xi(t)) dt = 0.$$

As a consequence, by Lemma 4.3 we obtain the existence of three parallel vector fields along $\gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$, say ζ_0^i , ζ_1^i , and ζ_2^i , such that

$$D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}(t) = \eta_3^i(t) + \eta_2^i(t) + t^2 \zeta_2^i(t) + t \zeta_1^i(t) + \zeta_0^i(t), \quad \forall t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i].$$

At this step, the bootstrap iterative procedure can start: since η_2^i is of class H^2 and η_3^i is of class H^3 , we obtain that $D_t^2 \dot{\gamma}$ is of class H^2 , so $\dot{\gamma}$ is of class H^4 in $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$; but then, η_2^i is of class H^3 , and so $\dot{\gamma}^i$ is of class H^5 , and so forth. By this iteration, we have that γ is actually smooth in $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$.

For higher-order derivatives, a similar procedure will lead to the desired regularity results: let $k \geq 2$; for every $\ell = 0, \dots, k-2$, we denote by $\Omega_\ell \subset \mathbb{N}^4$ the set of (ordered) quadruples of

non-negative integers whose sum is equal to ℓ . Hence, $\omega \in \Omega_\ell$ if $\omega = (\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3, \omega^4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ and $\omega^1 + \omega^2 + \omega^3 + \omega^4 = \ell$. With this notation, for any $\xi \in V_{k,\gamma}$ with compact support in (t_{i-1}, t_i) , from (4.4) we infer the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} df_k(\gamma)[\xi] &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g\left(D_t^{k-1}\dot{\gamma}, D_t^k\xi + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-2} D_t^\ell(R(\xi, \dot{\gamma})D_t^{k-\ell-2}\dot{\gamma})\right) dt \\ &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^{k-1}\dot{\gamma}, D_t^k\xi) dt + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g\left(D_t^{k-1}\dot{\gamma}, \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\ell} ((D_t^{\omega^1}R)(D_t^{\omega^2}\xi, D_t^{\omega^3}\dot{\gamma})D_t^{k-\ell-2+\omega^4}\dot{\gamma})\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the linearity of the integral operator and the symmetric and skew-symmetric properties of the curvature tensor R , we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} df_k(\gamma)[\xi] &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^{k-1}\dot{\gamma}, D_t^k\xi) dt + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\ell} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g\left(D_t^{k-1}\dot{\gamma}, (D_t^{\omega^1}R)(D_t^{\omega^2}\xi, D_t^{\omega^3}\dot{\gamma})D_t^{k-\ell-2+\omega^4}\dot{\gamma}\right) dt \\ &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^{k-1}\dot{\gamma}, D_t^k\xi) dt - \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-2} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_\ell} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g\left((D_t^{\omega^1}R)(D_t^{k-\ell-2+\omega^4}\dot{\gamma}, D_t^{k-1}\dot{\gamma})D_t^{\omega^3}\dot{\gamma}, D_t^{\omega^2}\xi\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, by rearranging the terms we obtain $k-1$ vector fields along γ , say h_2, h_3, \dots, h_k , each depending on $\dot{\gamma}$ and its covariant derivatives up to order $k-1$ and, consequently, they are of class L^2 , such that

$$df_k(\gamma)[\xi] = \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^{k-1}\dot{\gamma}, D_t^k\xi) dt + \sum_{\ell=2}^k \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(h_\ell, D_t^{k-\ell}\xi) dt.$$

Now, for each $\ell = 2, \dots, k$ we apply the integration by part formula ℓ times, obtaining a vector field η_ℓ^i of class H^ℓ , that with opportune changes of signs lead to the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} df_k(\gamma)[\xi] &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(D_t^{k-1}\dot{\gamma}, D_t^k\xi) dt - \sum_{\ell=2}^k \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g(\eta_\ell^i, D_t^k\xi) dt \\ &= \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} g\left(D_t^{k-1}\dot{\gamma} - \sum_{\ell=2}^k \eta_\ell^i, D_t^k\xi\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the bootstrap iterative procedure can start: by Lemma 4.3 we obtain k parallel vector fields along γ , say $\zeta_0^i, \dots, \zeta_{k-1}^i$, such that

$$D_t^{k-1}\dot{\gamma}(t) = \sum_{\ell=2}^k \eta_\ell^i(t) + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} t^l \zeta_l^i(t), \quad \forall t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i].$$

As a consequence, $D_t^{k-1}\dot{\gamma}$ has the same regularity as the least regular vector field on the right-hand side of the previous equation, namely $\eta_2^i \in H^2([t_{i-1}, t_i], TM)$. But then η_2^i is of class H^4 and so on. Therefore, by this iterative procedure we conclude that $\gamma|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ is a smooth function, for every $i = 1, \dots, N$.

From this regularity, one can integrate by parts the first variation formula (4.4) and then apply the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations to obtain (1.7).

Finally, the C^{2k-2} regularity of $\gamma|_{[0,t_j]}$ and $\gamma|_{[t_j,1]}$ and the conditions at the extrema can be obtained from iterative integration by parts of (4.4), when applied to a general $\xi \in V_{k,\gamma}$ and set to zero by the criticality condition of γ , and by (1.7), using a similar procedure employed in the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 4.2. \square

5 Existence of minimizers

As stated in the introduction, the existence result we provide stems from a direct inspection of the counter-example provided as a proof of [9, Lemma 2.15], which states that a minimizer of f can not exist if only the interpolation points are prescribed. For the reader's convenience, and because of its importance in the subsequent discussion, we report here the above counterexample.

Example 5.1. Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the following 2-dimensional cylinder, endowed with the standard Euclidean metric induced by \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$M = \left\{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \right\},$$

so that the ‘‘perimeter’’ of M has length equal to one. Let us set $(t_0, t_1, t_2) = (0, r, 1)$, where $r \in (0, 1)$ is an *irrational* number, and let $p_0 = p_2 = (\frac{1}{2\pi}, 0, 0)$, $p_1 = (-\frac{1}{2\pi}, 0, 0)$. This setting is illustrated in Figure 2. Now, let us prove that a minimizer of the spline energy functional among the smooth curves passing through that points at these times doesn't exist. This can be done by showing the existence of a minimizing sequence, i.e., a sequence whose functional converges to its infimum, but the infimum cannot be achieved.

First of all, let us notice that for any curve on M , its projection on the plane $z \equiv 0$ has lower spline energy. More formally, for any curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow M$, $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t), \gamma_3(t))$, setting $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t), 0)$, we have $f(\tilde{\gamma}) \leq f(\gamma)$. So, in our interpolation problem, we can restrict our analysis on the curves that lie on the $z \equiv 0$ plane (see Figure 2).

Secondly, using the standard identification of S^1 with \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , the problem can be cast in the following setting:

$$\inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \ddot{\gamma}^2(t) dt : \gamma \in H^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}), \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(r) \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \gamma(1) \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

as represented in Figure 2. By the irrationality of r , any straight line joining the point $(0, 0)$ with a point in $(0, \mathbb{Z})$ doesn't pass through $(r, \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})$. Hence, the spline energy is strictly greater than zero for any curve that satisfies the prescribed interpolation conditions, meaning that the infimum of the above problem is greater than or equal to 0, and that the minimum, if it exists, can't be zero. However, if we consider the sequence of parabolas $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma_k(0) = 0$, $\gamma_k(1) = k$ and $\gamma_k(r) = m + 1/2$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ will be determined later, a direct computation shows that

$$\int_0^1 \ddot{\gamma}_k^2(t) dt = 4 \frac{(m + \frac{1}{2} - kr)^2}{(r^2 - r)^2}.$$

By Dirichlet's approximating theorem, there exists a subsequence $(\gamma_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence $m_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(m_j + \frac{1}{2} - k_j r \right) = 0,$$




Figure 2: The setting of Example 5.1 (left) and its representation in the plane (right): On the plane, it can be observed how the straight lines (dashed in the figure) joining $(0,0)$ with points $(0, \mathbb{Z})$ can pass arbitrarily close to points in $(r, \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})$, thus requiring small perturbations to pass through those points, which implies a small increase in the spline functional.

see Figure 2. Hence, we have that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_{k_j}) = 0$, so that $(\gamma_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a minimizing sequence and the infimum is indeed 0, which can't be a minimum. Therefore, a minimizer for the spline energy functional with the above interpolation constraints does not exist.

Remark 5.2. As one can infer by a direct inspection of Example 5.1, the construction of the minimizing sequence is obtained by a small perturbation of straight lines that are approximating the interpolation conditions, and such a sequence of maps can exist only if no velocity is prescribed, since the initial velocity of such a sequence of functions has to diverge. Indeed, we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\dot{\gamma}_{k_j}| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(k_j - \frac{2m_j + 1 - 2k_j r}{r^2 - r} \right) = +\infty.$$

Remark 5.2 suggests that, if at least one of the velocities of the curves is prescribed, for example the initial one, then in Example 5.1 the set of points $(r, \mathbb{Z} + 1/2)$ that can be achieved without increasing “too much” the spline energy functional is finite. This is the heuristically observation that suggested the existence result formally given by Theorem 1.6.

Remark 5.3. One can be easily convinced that if natural or periodic boundary conditions are prescribed, then a minimizer of f can not exist when $\sigma = 0$. Considering once again the problem given in Example 5.1, this can be understood by observing that suitable small perturbations of

the straight lines passing through $(0, 0)$ and $(1, k)$ lead to a sequence of curves $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the interpolation conditions and both the natural and periodic boundary conditions, all while keeping the increase in the spline energy functional relatively low. Consequently, we still have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_k) = 0$, indicating the absence of a minimizer.

For the sake of precision, let us explicitly construct one of these sequences. Let $(\omega_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of straight lines passing through $(0, 0)$ and $(1, k_j)$; as previously done, let us also consider a sequence $(m_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha_j := m_j + \frac{1}{2} - k_j r \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

As a consequence, the distance between the point $(r, m_j + \frac{1}{2})$ and the line ω_{k_j} is converging to zero. Let us choose $\delta > 0$ such that $[r - \delta, r + \delta] \subset (0, 1)$ and a function $\phi \in C^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ such that $\text{supp } \phi \subset (r - \delta, r + \delta)$, and $\phi(r) = 1$. Then, every function $\gamma_j \in C^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ defined by $\gamma_j = \omega_{k_j} + \alpha_j \phi$ satisfies both the natural and the periodic boundary conditions, since $\dot{\gamma}_j(0) = \dot{\gamma}_j(1) = 0$ and $\dot{\gamma}_j(0) = \dot{\gamma}_j(1) = k_j$, respectively, and it satisfies the interpolation condition at r by construction. Moreover,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_j^2 \int_0^1 \phi^2(t) dt = 0,$$

hence $(\gamma_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a minimizing sequence, but the problem doesn't admit a minimum.

Remark 5.4. Before giving the proof of Theorem 1.6, let us make an important observation about the number of constraints and the number of parameters we have. We give it having in mind the one dimensional setting, but the same arguments hold for the more general Riemannian setting. From the regularity result (i.e., Theorem 1.1), we know that a minimizer is a cubic spline that, in each interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$ can be uniquely determined by 4 parameters. Therefore, each cubic spline is characterized by $4N$ parameters.

On the other hand, the constraints we impose on the problem give a total of $4N$ constraints on the parameters of the cubic splines, independently on where the velocity is imposed. Let us explicitly count them in the case of prescribed initial velocity. Since the curve has to pass through the points $p_0, \dots, p_N \in M$, each cubic polynomial is constrained on the extreme points, and this provides $2N$ constraints. Moreover, the first one has prescribed velocity, while the last one, because of the natural boundary condition $\dot{\gamma}(1) = 0$, has another constraint, so that we have $2N + 2$ constrained parameters. Moreover, by Theorem 1.1, the minimizer is a function of class C^2 , hence on each of the points p_1, \dots, p_{N-1} we must impose other 2 constraints, i.e., $\dot{\gamma}(t_i^-) = \dot{\gamma}(t_i^+)$ and $\ddot{\gamma}(t_i^-) = \ddot{\gamma}(t_i^+)$, and this regularity condition provides $2(N - 1)$ constraints. Summing up, the total number of the constraints is $2N + 2 + 2(N - 1) = 4N$, so the minimizer is uniquely determined.

If the velocity is prescribed at one interior time $t_j \in (0, 1)$, then two natural boundary conditions have to be taken into account, so that the number of constraints at the extrema doesn't change; because both $\dot{\gamma}(t_j^-)$ and $\dot{\gamma}(t_j^+)$ must be equal to v , we have an additional constraint, but at the same time we lose one constraint on the regularity, since in t_j the minimizer has only the C^1 -regularity. Therefore, even in this case, we have a total of $4N$ constraints, and the minimizer is unique.

5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.6

The proof of Theorem 1.6 follows the direct method of the calculus of variations. Specifically, we will prove the coercivity of the functional $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which ensures the weak convergence of a

minimizing sequence (more formally, of one of its subsequences). Additionally, we will demonstrate that the functional is weakly lower semicontinuous, meaning that if γ_k weakly converges to $\tilde{\gamma}$, then $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_k) \geq f(\tilde{\gamma})$, so that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a minimizer. These results have already been obtained in [6, Section 3] for the case of initial and final prescribed points and velocities, but a close inspection of the arguments shows that the same procedure applies in our setting. For the reader's convenience, and for a self-contained work, we provide the proof here with the necessary modifications.

Let $\Gamma \subset H^2([0, 1], M)$ be given as in Theorem 1.6. We start with the following coercivity result, whose proof relies on the boundary condition on the velocity at the initial time, i.e., $\dot{\gamma}(0) = v$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$ be such that $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f(\gamma_k) < +\infty$. Then, $g(\dot{\gamma}_k, \dot{\gamma}_k)$ is uniformly bounded and $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ admits a subsequence that weakly converges, with respect to the H^2 -norm, to a curve in Γ .*

Proof. The proof follows along the lines of [6, Lemma 3.1]. Let $c^2 = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f(\gamma_k)$ and define, for every k , $H_k : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $H_k(t) := g(\dot{\gamma}_k(t), \dot{\gamma}_k(t))$. Since $\dot{\gamma}_k(0) = v$ for every k , setting $h_0 = g(v, v)$, we obtain the following estimate, which also uses the classical Hölder inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(t) &= h_0 + \int_0^t \frac{d}{d\tau} H_k(\tau) d\tau \leq h_0 + 2 \int_0^1 \left| g(D_\tau \dot{\gamma}_k, \dot{\gamma}_k) \right| d\tau \\ &\leq h_0 + 2(f(\gamma_k))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 g(\dot{\gamma}_k, \dot{\gamma}_k) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq h_0 + 2c \|H_k\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

By the above inequality, taking the supremum over $t \in [0, 1]$, we obtain that $\|H_k\|_{L^\infty}$ is uniformly bounded.

Let us show the existence of a subsequence that weakly converges to a curve in Γ . As a first step, let us notice that the uniform boundness of $\|H_k\|_{L^\infty}$ implies the weak convergence with respect to the H^1 -norm of a subsequence of $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, which we denote again by $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, to a curve $\tilde{\gamma}$. Moreover, by the Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem, this convergence is also uniform.

Now, using a finite cover of $\tilde{\gamma}$, we will prove the weak H^2 -convergence. Let $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_L \subset [0, 1]$ a finite collection of closed interval such that $[0, 1] = \cup_{\ell=1}^L I_\ell$, and each $\tilde{\gamma}|_{I_\ell}$ is inside a (open) local chart (U_ℓ, φ_ℓ) for $k = 1, \dots, K$. More precisely, this means that, for every k , U_ℓ is an open connected subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $\varphi_\ell : U_\ell \rightarrow M$ is a (smooth) diffeomorphism and $\tilde{\gamma}(I_\ell) \subset \varphi_\ell(U_\ell)$. Since γ_n is uniformly convergent to $\tilde{\gamma}$, without loss of generality we can assume that also $\gamma_k(I_\ell) \subset \varphi_\ell(U_\ell)$ for every ℓ and for every k . Let us denote by $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and \tilde{x} the parametrizations of $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$, respectively, in these local charts, independently on ℓ , namely $\gamma_k(t) = \varphi_\ell(x_k(t))$ for every k and for every $t \in I_\ell$, and similarly for $\tilde{\gamma}$. We will prove that, for every ℓ , $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ weakly converges in the H^2 -norm to \tilde{x} , up to subsequences, and this will end the proof. Hence, it suffices to prove that $\|\ddot{x}_k\|_{L^2(I_\ell)}$ is uniformly bounded, independently on ℓ .

By the uniform convergence of $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ to $\tilde{\gamma}$, there exists a compact subset of M that contains all the images of γ_k . This implies that, setting

$$g_\ell(v, w) = g(d\varphi_\ell(v), d\varphi_\ell(w)), \quad \forall v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

we can assume the existence of two constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that

$$\alpha \|\ddot{x}_k\|^2 \leq g_\ell(\ddot{x}_k, \ddot{x}_k) \leq \beta \|\ddot{x}_k\|^2, \quad \forall \ell = 1, \dots, L.$$

As a consequence, we need to prove that

$$\int_{I_\ell} g_k(\ddot{x}_k, \dot{x}_k) dt$$

is uniformly bounded, independently on k . In every local chart, the components of the $D_t \dot{\gamma}_k$ are given by a formula of the following kind

$$\ddot{x}_k + \Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k),$$

where $\Gamma_\ell: U_\ell \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous map homogeneous of degree 2 with respect to the second variable, which can be explicitly computed from the Christoffel symbols of the connection in the local coordinates. By the homogeneity of degree 2 of the maps Γ_ℓ , and by the uniform boundness of $g(\dot{\gamma}_k, \dot{\gamma}_k) = g_\ell(\dot{x}_k, \dot{x}_k)$, we infer the existence of a constant $a > 0$ such that

$$\int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k), \Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k)) dt \leq a^2, \quad \forall \ell = 1, \dots, L.$$

Since $f(\gamma_k) \leq c^2$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we then obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} c^2 \geq f(\gamma_k) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_\ell} g(D_t \dot{\gamma}_k, D_t \dot{\gamma}_k) dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{x}_k + \Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k), \ddot{x}_k + \Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k)) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{x}_k, \ddot{x}_k) dt + \int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{x}_k, \Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k)) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k), \Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{x}_k, \ddot{x}_k) dt - \left(\int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{x}_k, \ddot{x}_k) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k), \Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k)) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{x}_k, \ddot{x}_k) dt - a \left(\int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{x}_k, \ddot{x}_k) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

from we we infer

$$\int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{x}_k, \ddot{x}_k) dt \leq (a + \sqrt{a^2 + 2c^2})^2.$$

Since the right hand term is independent on $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \in 1, \dots, L$, we are done. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Since f is lower bounded, it admits a minimizing sequence $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Gamma$ such that

$$m := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_k) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$f(\gamma_k) \leq m + 1, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 5.5, $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ weakly converges with respect to the H^2 -norm to a curve $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma$, up to subsequences. Therefore, it suffices to prove that f is weakly lower semicontinuous with respect to this norm, so that

$$f(\tilde{\gamma}) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(\gamma_k) = m, \tag{5.1}$$

namely, $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a minimizer of f .

Using again the localization argument employed in the proof of Lemma 5.5, together with its notation, let $(U_\ell, \varphi_\ell)_{\ell=1, \dots, L}$ a collection of local charts that covers $\tilde{\gamma}([0, 1])$. Taking a subsequence if necessary, we can also assume that $(U_\ell, \varphi_\ell)_{\ell=1, \dots, L}$ covers also the image of each γ_k . Then, (5.1) is obtained by proving that

$$\int_{I_\ell} g(D_t \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}, D_t \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}) dt \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I_\ell} g(D_t \dot{\gamma}_k, D_t \dot{\gamma}_k) dt, \quad \forall \ell = 1, \dots, L. \quad (5.2)$$

Since $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ in $H^2(I_\ell, U_\ell)$, it converges to x with respect to C^1 -norm and, as a consequence, $\Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k)$ converges to $\Gamma_\ell(\tilde{x}, \dot{\tilde{x}})$ with respect to the L^∞ norm, so strongly with respect to L^2 , meaning that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k), \Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k)) dt = \int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\Gamma_\ell(\tilde{x}, \dot{\tilde{x}}), \Gamma_\ell(\tilde{x}, \dot{\tilde{x}})) dt, \quad \forall \ell = 1, \dots, L.$$

Moreover, thanks to the weak convergence of \ddot{x}_k to $\ddot{\tilde{x}}$ in the L^2 -norm, we have also that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{x}_k, \Gamma_\ell(x_k, \dot{x}_k)) dt = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{x}_k, \Gamma_\ell(\tilde{x}, \dot{\tilde{x}})) dt = \int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{\tilde{x}}, \Gamma_\ell(\tilde{x}, \dot{\tilde{x}})) dt,$$

for every $\ell = 1, \dots, L$. Summing up, (5.2) reduces as follows:

$$\int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{\tilde{x}}, \ddot{\tilde{x}}) dt \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I_\ell} g_\ell(\ddot{x}_k, \ddot{x}_k) dt, \quad \forall \ell = 1, \dots, L,$$

which is nothing but the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm in L^2 , hence we are done. \square

Acknowledgements

D. Corona thanks the partial support of INdAM (Italian National Institute of High Mathematics), Grant: “mensilità di borse di studio per l'estero per l'a.a. 2023-2024”.

D. Corona and R. Giambò thank the partial support of GNAMPA INdAM (Italian National Institute of High Mathematics), Project: CUP-E53C22001930001.

D. Corona and P. Piccione thank the partial support of FAPESP (Projeto Temático Fapesp 2022/16097-2).

References

- [1] M. Assif, R. Banavar, A. Bloch, M. Camarinha, and L. Colombo. Variational collision avoidance problems on Riemannian manifolds. In *2018 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*, pages 2791–2796, 2018.
- [2] M. Camarinha, F. Silva Leite, and P. Crouch. On the geometry of Riemannian cubic polynomials. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 15(2):107–135, 2001.
- [3] L. J. Colombo and J. R. Goodman. A decentralized strategy for variational collision avoidance on complete riemannian manifolds. In J. A. Gonçalves, M. Braz-César, and J. P. Coelho, editors, *CONTROLO 2020*, pages 363–372, Cham, 2021. Springer International Publishing.

- [4] P. Crouch and F. S. Leite. Geometry and the dynamic interpolation problem. In *1991 American Control Conference*, pages 1131–1136, 1991.
- [5] B. Dacorogna. *Introduction to the calculus of variations*. Imperial College Press, 3rd edition, 2014. Translated from the 1992 French original.
- [6] R. Giambò, F. Giannoni, and P. Piccione. An analytical theory for Riemannian cubic polynomials. *IMA J. Math. Control Inform.*, 19(4):445–460, 2002.
- [7] R. Giambò, F. Giannoni, and P. Piccione. Optimal control on Riemannian manifolds by interpolation. *Math. Control Signals Systems*, 16(4):278–296, 2004.
- [8] J. R. Goodman and L. J. Colombo. Collision avoidance of multiagent systems on Riemannian manifolds. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 60(1):168–188, 2022.
- [9] B. Heeren, M. Rumpf, and B. Wirth. Variational time discretization of Riemannian splines. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 39(1):61–104, 2019.
- [10] C.-C. Lin and D. T. Tran. Higher-order Riemannian splines and the interpolation problem: an approach of gradient flows, 2024. [arXiv:2313.10513](https://arxiv.org/abs/2313.10513).
- [11] L. Machado, F. Silva Leite, and K. Krakowski. Higher-order smoothing splines versus least squares problems on riemannian manifolds. *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, 16(1):121–148, 2010.
- [12] C. Mantegazza, A. Pluda, and M. Pozzetta. A survey of the elastic flow of curves and networks. *Milan J. Math.*, 89(1):59–121, 2021.
- [13] L. Noakes, G. Heinzinger, and B. Paden. Cubic splines on curved spaces. *IMA J. Math. Control Inform.*, 6(4):465–473, 1989.
- [14] A. Polden. *Curves and Surfaces of Least Total Curvature and Fourth-Order Flows*. PhD thesis, Universität Tübingen, 1996.
- [15] M. Pozzetta. Convergence of elastic flows of curves into manifolds. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 214:Paper No. 112581, 53, 2022.

D. Corona

Università degli Studi di Camerino
School of Science and Technology
Via Madonna delle Carceri 9
62032 – Camerino (MC), Italy
E-mail: `dario.corona@unicam.it`

R. Giambò

Università degli Studi di Camerino
School of Science and Technology
Via Madonna delle Carceri 9
62032 – Camerino (MC), Italy
E-mail: `roberto.giambo@unicam.it`

P. Piccione

Zhejiang Normal University,
School of Mathematical Sciences,
321004, Jinhua-ZJ,
People's Republic of China.
Permanent Address:
Universidade de São Paulo
Departamento de Matemática
Rua do Matão 1010
São Paulo, SP 05508-090, Brazil
E-mail: `paolo.piccione@usp.br`