

GEOMETRIC LANGLANDS FOR IRREGULAR THETA CONNECTIONS AND EPIPELAGIC REPRESENTATIONS

TSAO-HSIEN CHEN, LINGFEI YI

ABSTRACT. From a stable vector of a stable grading on a simple Lie algebra, Yun defined a rigid automorphic datum that encodes an epipelagic representation, and also an irregular connection on the projective line called θ -connection. We show that under geometric Langlands correspondence, θ -connection corresponds to the Hecke eigensheaf attached the rigid automorphic datum, assuming the stable grading is inner and its Kac coordinate s_0 is positive. We provide numerous applications of the main result including physical and cohomological rigidity of θ -connections, global oper structures, and a de Rham analog of Reeder-Yu's predictions on epipelagic Langlands parameters.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Set up	5
3. Local monodromy at 0	8
4. Local monodromy of θ -connections at ∞	12
5. Localopers with slope bounded by $\frac{1}{m}$	14
6. Proof of Theorem 5	16
7. Applications	20
8. The Conjecture for $s_0 = 0$	26
References	28

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Epipelagic representations and θ -connections.

1.1.1. Let G be a split connected simple and simply connected algebraic group over a local field K with residue field k . Consider a parahoric subgroup $P \subset G(K)$ and its Moy-Prasad filtration $P \supset P(1) \supset P(2) \supset \dots$. The first quotient $L_P := P/P(1)$ is a reductive group, while the other subquotients are abelian and unipotent. Let $V_P := P(1)/P(2)$, on which L_P has adjoint action. If a dual element $\phi \in V_P^*$ has closed orbit and finite stabilizer under L_P action, then we say ϕ is *stable*. Let ψ be an additive character on k , then $\psi \circ \phi$ gives a character on $P(1)$ by inflation. In [RY14], Reeder and Yu showed that the compactly induced representation $\mathrm{ind}_{P(1)}^{G(K)}(\psi \circ \phi)$ is a direct sum of irreducible supercuspidal representations [RY14, Proposition 5.2], which they call *epipelagic representations*.

An important observation is that stable vectors in V_P^* are closely related to stable gradings of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}/k of G . In this article, we will restrict to the case of inner gradings. If the parahoric subgroup P is defined from a rational point x in the Bruhat-Tits building, let m be the smallest positive integer such that $\check{\lambda} := mx$ is a cocharacter. Then $\mathrm{Ad}_{\check{\lambda}(\zeta_m)}$ defines an order m grading $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \mathfrak{g}_i$. Let $G_0 \subset G$ be the subgroup with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 , which acts adjointly on

Date: October 10, 2024.

\mathfrak{g}_i . There exists isomorphism $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_1) \simeq (L_P, V_P)$ [RY14, Theorem 4.1] that preserves the adjoint actions. Therefore, stable elements $\phi \in V_P^*$ are equivalent to elements in $\mathfrak{g}_1^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ that are stable with respect to the action of G_0 . The graded Lie algebras and G_0 -orbits in \mathfrak{g}_1 have been studied by Vinberg [Vin76].

1.1.2. When K has positive characteristic, i.e. it is the field of Laurant series over a finite field, Yun [Yun16] constructed a globalization of epipelagic representations using the method of *rigid automorphic data*. Explicitly, let $X = \mathbb{P}^1/k$ be the projective line over finite field k . Let $F = k(t)$ be the function field of X , with ring of adèle \mathbb{A}_F . Let P be a parahoric subgroup of $G(k((t^{-1})))$ that has a stable functional $\phi \in V_P^*$. Let $P^{\text{opp}} \subset G(k((t)))$ be a parahoric subgroup that is opposite to P . Yun showed that there exists unique up to scalar nonzero automorphic form on $G(\mathbb{A}_F)$ that is unramified over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \infty\}$, fixed by P^{opp} , and is $(P(1), \psi \circ \phi)$ -equivariant. Such a pair $((P^{\text{opp}}, \mathbf{1}), (P(1), \psi \circ \phi))$ is called an *epipelagic automorphic datum*. Moreover, such a form will automatically be a Hecke eigenform.

We can lift this form to a Hecke eigensheaf \mathcal{A}_ϕ on the moduli stack of G -bundles over \mathbb{P}^1 with level structures at $0, \infty$ associated to P^{opp} and $P(2)$, see §2.1 for details. Then under geometric Langlands correspondence, the Hecke eigenvalues of \mathcal{A}_ϕ give rise to a \check{G} -local system \mathcal{E}_ϕ on $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \infty\}$, where \check{G} is the dual group of G . In particular, when $P = I$ is the Iwahoric subgroup, above recovers the construction in [HNY13].

1.1.3. When $k = \mathbb{C}$, we have a de Rham analog of the above global construction, replacing all the sheaves with D -modules. Then the Hecke eigen D -module \mathcal{A}_ϕ gives rise to a de Rham \check{G} -local system \mathcal{E}_ϕ , i.e. a meromorphic connection on the trivial principal \check{G} -bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 with singularities at $0, \infty$. When $P = I$, Zhu [Zhu17] proved that \mathcal{E}_ϕ coincides with the irregular connection constructed by Frenkel and Gross in [FG09], which is now called the *Frenkel-Gross connection*.

1.1.4. In an unpublished work, Yun constructed a generalization of Frenkel-Gross connection from a stable grading of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of \check{G} and a stable vector in the degree one subspace \mathfrak{g}_1 , which is called a θ -connection, c.f. [Che17] or section §2.2 below. In [Che17], Chen proved under a condition on the stable grading that these connections are *cohomologically rigid* [Che17, Theorem 5.2]. The constraint on the stable grading is that the associated Kac coordinate s_0 is nonzero.

1.2. The geometric Langlands correspondence between θ -connections and epipelagic automorphic datum. There exists a bijection between the stable gradings of \mathfrak{g} and its dual Lie algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that the stable orbits in V_P^* and $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ can be matched bijectively (see Lemma 3):

$$(1) \quad V_P^{*,\text{st}}/L_P \simeq \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}}/\check{G}_0.$$

Then it is conjectured in [Che17, Conjecture 1.1], originally by Yun, that in the de Rham setting, eigenvalue \mathcal{E}_ϕ coming from an epipelagic automorphic datum is the same as the θ -connection defined from the corresponding stable grading and stable orbit. When $P = I$, this is the main result of [Zhu17].

Our main result confirms the conjectural correspondence between epipelagic automorphic data and θ -connections for a large family of stable gradings:

Theorem 1. (*Theorem 5*) *Consider a stable inner grading of order m on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ with Kac coordinate $s_0 > 0$ such that the unique stable inner grading of order m on \mathfrak{g} also satisfies $s_0 > 0$. Let $X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ be a stable vector and $\phi \in V_P^*$ a stable functional such that the corresponding orbits match under the bijection in (1). Then*

- (i) *The Hecke eigenvalue \mathcal{E}_ϕ is isomorphic to the θ -connection ∇^X .*
- (ii) *The θ -connection ∇^X is physically rigid.*

A stable inner grading is uniquely determined by the order m . According to the tables in [RLYG12, §7] classifying stable gradings, the order m of the stable inner gradings on \mathfrak{g} considered in Theorem 1 are as follows:

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A_n, & m = n + 1, \\ B_n, & m = n \text{ even or } m = 2n, \\ C_n, & m = n \text{ even or } m = 2n, \\ D_n, & m = n \text{ even or } m = 2n - 2, \\ E_6, & m = 6, 9, 12, \\ E_7, & m = 6, 14, 18, \\ E_8, & m = 6, 10, 12, 15, 20, 24, 30, \\ F_4, & m = 4, 6, 8, 12, \\ G_2, & m = 3, 6. \end{array} \right.$$

Remark 2. (i) When $m = h$ is the Coxeter number of G , where $P = I$ is the Iwahori subgroup, ∇^X recovers the Frenkel-Gross connection. In this case the theorem is known: part (i) from [Zhu17] and part (ii) from [Yi22].

(ii) We expect that Theorem 1 is true for all stable inner grading on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $s_0 > 0$, where the stable inner grading on \mathfrak{g} of the same order may have $s_0 = 0$. According to the tables in [RLYG12, §7] the only missing case is $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ and $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. We provide evidence in Lemma 10.

(iii) In the case of stable gradings on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $s_0 = 0$, we discover that the θ -connection ∇^X might not have the same monodromy with \mathcal{E}_ϕ at 0, see Section 7.3.3. In Section 8, we propose a version of geometric Langlands correspondence for epipelagic automorphic data and θ -connections with $s_0 = 0$ and provide an example when $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{so}_7$ in Section 8.2.

We will have a more detailed discussion on the the necessity of imposing the condition $s_0 > 0$ and situations where it can be relaxed in Remark 6.

1.3. Idea of proof. Theorem 1 generalizes Zhu's result for Frenkel-Gross connection. However, many arguments in [Zhu17] cannot be generalized to θ -connections. The issue is that the proof in *loc. cit.* made use of the obvious global oper structure of Frenkel-Gross connection and the flatness of the relevant Hitchin map. Here the oper structure on a connection is what makes it possible to apply Beilinson-Drinfeld's construction of the Hecke eigensheaf of the connection and the flatness would insure that the Hecke eigensheaf is non-zero.

Roughly speaking, to prove Theorem 1.(i) we begin with a θ -connection ∇^X , and construct a (non-zero) Hecke eigensheaf of it that is $(P(1), \mathcal{L}_\phi)$ -equivariant. By rigidity, this must be the Hecke eigensheaf for epipelagic rigid automorphic datum. Thus ∇^X must coincide with the Hecke eigenvalue \mathcal{E}_ϕ .

However, since a general θ -connection ∇^X has no obvious global oper structure and the Hitchin map in our general setting is not always flat, we cannot construct the Hecke eigensheaf exactly as in [Zhu17]. To this end, we adopt an argument from a work of the second author [Yi22], where he constructed the Hecke eigensheaf of certain irregular connection using only its generic oper structure. Then we use a technique from [BL23, Fæ24] on tamely ramified geometric Langlands to produce a nonzero Hecke eigensheaf on the correct stack. See Outline 7 for a more detailed description of the proof.

In the above proof of part (i), we actually only used the local monodromies of ∇^X . Thus the automorphic sheaf we have constructed is also a Hecke eigensheaf for any connection with the same local monodromies as ∇^X . Part (ii) of the theorem follows immediately.

1.4. Applications. From Theorem 1, we can deduce a series of corollaries.

1.4.1. *Epipelagic Langlands parameters.* In [RY14, §7.1], Reeder and Yu made predictions on the Langlands parameters of epipelagic representations. In §7.1 we confirm the de Rham analog of their predictions as a corollary of our global result. We also deduce the de Rham version of some results in [FG24] on Euler characteristics of generalized Kloosterman sheaves for classical groups with respect to the standard representation. In §7.5, we briefly mention some potential applications to the positive characteristic local and global epipelagic Langlands parameters, which is the subject of a work in progress of the second author with Daxin Xu.

1.4.2. *Cohomological rigidity.* In §7.2, we give a new proof of cohomological rigidity of θ -connections that is slightly simpler than the one in [Che17]. Then we automatically obtain the cohomological rigidity of the global eigenvalues \mathcal{E}_ϕ from that of θ -connections.

1.4.3. *Monodromy at 0.* For a θ -connection ∇^X , we can see its log monodromy at 0 is given by the residue X_1 . It follows from Theorem 1 that X_1 matches with the log monodromy of \mathcal{E}_ϕ at 0. By [Yun16, Theorem 4.5], we conclude that $X_1 \in \underline{u}_P$, where \underline{u}_P is the Richardson class attached the dual parabolic \hat{P} if G is not of type B_n or C_n , see §7.3. This is non-obvious from the definition of X_1 . In the case of classical groups this fact can be verified directly case-by-case. For exceptional groups, the argument we know at the moment uses the Langlands correspondence.

1.4.4. *Global oper structures.* In our proof of Theorem 1, we have mentioned that one main difference from the proof of [Zhu17] for Frenkel-Gross connection is that θ -connections have no obvious global oper structures. In §7.4, we show as a corollary of the proof of our main theorem that θ -connections actually do have nice global oper structures.

1.5. Potential generalizations.

1.5.1. The construction of epipelagic representations in [RY14] does not require the group G to be split and simply connected: they only need G to be a connected reductive group that splits over a tamely ramified extension of the local field. Also, in [Yun16] G is just a quasi-split reductive group. Besides, θ -connections can be constructed from stable gradings that are not necessarily inner. Thus the correspondence between epipelagic automorphic data and θ -connections can be naturally extended to quasi-split groups and general stable gradings. However, the notion of opers, the Feigin-Frenkel isomorphism, and the construction of Hecke eigensheaves using Beilinson-Drinfeld's localization method have not been developed for quasi-split groups and twisted Lie algebras. Thus our method cannot yet be applied to the twisted case. But it would be interesting to explore whether the twisted correspondence can be associated to the untwisted case by going to a covering of the curve.

1.5.2. Another assumption we made is that we require the stable inner grading has Kac coordinate $s_0 > 0$. On the automorphic side this corresponds to that the parahoric subgroup P is contained in the hyperspecial subgroup $G(\mathcal{O})$. One reason is that the construction of θ -connections needs some modifications: when $s_0 = 0$, there are some stable vectors X such that the monodromy at 0 of the associated θ -connection ∇^X does not match with that of the eigenvalue \mathcal{E}_ϕ of the epipelagic Hecke eigensheaf, i.e. the class \underline{u}_P . Therefore they cannot be isomorphic. Another reason is that even if we only restrict to the stable vectors X that do give the correct local monodromies, in our proof we used an argument in [Fæ24] that relies on the relation between parabolic affine Hecke category and sheaves on the parabolic Springer resolution in [BL23]. But for parahoric subgroups not coming from a parabolic subgroup, to the knowledge of the authors there is even no conjecture on a similar description of the parahoric affine Hecke category. It is to be explored what should be the correct

statement for $s_0 = 0$, on which we provide some discussions in §8. Note that we do not need the parahoric Hecke category to formulate the conjectural correspondence.

1.5.3. In [KS21], Kamgarpour and Sage constructed a collection of cohomological rigid connections that generalize Frenkel-Gross connection, but do not cover other θ -connections. One family in their construction generalizes Airy equation from general linear groups to simple algebraic groups. Meanwhile, a family of rigid automorphic data has been constructed in [JKY23] for any simple reductive group that corresponds to Airy local systems for general linear groups. It is conjectured that the above two families should match under geometric Langlands. The method in the current paper may be applied to the above case up to a better understanding of the Feigin-Frenkel isomorphism for local opers with irregular singularities.

More generally, all the aforementioned connections belong to the family considered in [JY23]. We hope to extend our method to this generality in the future.

1.6. **Organization of the article.** In §2, we set up notations, take a more detailed review of our main players: epipelagic automorphic data and θ -connections, and give a precise statement of the Langlands correspondence between them, see Lemma 3 and Theorem 5. In §4 and §5, we first analyze the local monodromies of θ -connections at the irregular singularity, then we discuss their possible local oper structures. In §6, we prove the main result Theorem 5. In §7, we give a series of applications and some counterexamples. In §8, we discuss some a potential correction to the Theorem 5 in the $s_0 = 0$ case.

1.7. **Acknowledgment.** The authors thank Gurbir Dhillon, Joakim Færgeman and Sam Raskin for useful discussions. T.-H. Chen also thanks the NCTS-National Center for Theoretical Sciences at Taipei where parts of this work were done. The research of T.-H. Chen is supported by NSF grant DMS-2143722. L. Yi is supported by Start-Up grant of Fudan University.

2. SET UP

Let G be a simple, simply-connected complex algebraic group. Denote the Lie algebra of G by \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{C} be the projective line, t a coordinate at 0, $s = t^{-1}$ a coordinate at ∞ , function field $F = \mathbb{C}(t)$. Denote the formal disk and punctured formal disk at $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$ by D_x, D_x^\times , denote the local field and ring of integers at x by F_x, \mathcal{O}_x . Let \check{G} be the dual group of G , with Lie algebra $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$.

2.1. **Epipelagic rigid automorphic data.** Consider a standard parahoric subgroup P of $G((s))$. Let $P(1), P(2)$ be the first two Moy-Prasad subgroups of P , and let $L_P \subset P$ be the natural lift of the Levi quotient $L_P \simeq P/P(1)$. Denote $V_P = P(1)/P(2)$. A parahoric subgroup P is called *admissible* if V_P^* contains a nonzero element with closed L_P -orbit and finite stabilizer in L_P . Such a parahoric subgroup uniquely correspond to a regular elliptic number m , c.f. [Yun16, §2.6]. Let $V_P^{*,\text{st}}$ be the locus of stable linear forms, and take arbitrary $\phi \in V_P^{*,\text{st}}$. Let $P^{\text{opp}} \subset G((t))$ be the parahoric subgroup opposite to P , $\mathbf{1}$ be the trivial connection on P^{opp} . Denote by \mathcal{L}_ϕ the pullback of the exponential D -module to $P(1)$ via quotient $P(1) \rightarrow P(1)/P(2)$ and ϕ . We call the pair $(P^{\text{opp}}, \mathbf{1}; P(1), \mathcal{L}_\phi)$ an *epipelagic automorphic datum*.

Let \mathcal{G} be the group scheme on \mathbb{P}^1 such that $\mathcal{G}|_{D_0} = P^{\text{opp}}$, $\mathcal{G}|_{D_\infty} = P(2)$, $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathbb{G}_m} = G \times \mathbb{G}_m$. Let $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the moduli stack of \mathcal{G} -bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 . In [Yun16, Proposition 2.11, §3], Yun showed that any epipelagic automorphic datum is *rigid*, i.e. there exists a unique irreducible holonomic D -module \mathcal{A}_ϕ on $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ that is $(P(1), \mathcal{L}_\phi)$ -equivariant with respect to the action of $P(1)$ on $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ at ∞ . Explicitly, let $j : V_P = P(1)/P(2) \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the embedding given by the action of $P(1)$ on the trivial \mathcal{G} -bundle. By rigidity we have clean extension $\mathcal{A}_\phi = j_! \mathcal{L}_\phi = j_* \mathcal{L}_\phi$. In the *loc. cit.*, Yun showed that \mathcal{A}_ϕ is a Hecke eigensheaf. We denote the eigenvalue of \mathcal{A}_ϕ by \mathcal{E}_ϕ , which is a \check{G} -connection on \mathbb{G}_m .

2.2. θ -connections. On the other hand, let θ be a stable inner grading of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the unique class corresponding to the regular elliptic number m via [RLYG12, Corollary 14]. Let $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \subset \check{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the degree one subspace with respect to θ , and $\check{G}_0 \subset \check{G}$ the fixed reductive subgroup. Let $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}}$ be the locus of stable vectors, i.e. those whose \check{G}_0 -conjugacy class is closed with finite centralizer. Take arbitrary $X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}}$. In [Che17], following an unpublished work of Yun, Chen defined a \check{G} -connection ∇^X on \mathbb{G}_m from X called a θ -connection. When the particular Kac coordinate s_0 of θ is nonzero, Chen proved that ∇^X is cohomologically rigid [Che17, Theorem 5.2].

Precisely, assume θ is a torsion inner automorphism given by the adjoint action of $\exp(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{X}_*(\check{T}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is a barycenter of a facet, such that $\check{\lambda} = mx \in \mathbb{X}_*(\check{T})$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is minimal. The point x can be conjugated by affine Weyl group into the closure of fundamental alcove, thus we will assume x is in this closure. Denote the grading defined by $\check{\lambda}$ on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ by

$$(3) \quad \check{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_k \check{\mathfrak{g}}(k).$$

This gives a grading on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$:

$$(4) \quad \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1 = \bigoplus_{k \equiv 1 \pmod{m}} \check{\mathfrak{g}}(k).$$

Write X as $X = \sum_k X_k$, $X_k \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1(k)$. Let β be the highest root, $\alpha_0 = 1 - \beta$, $s_0 = m\alpha_0(x)$. Here the Kac coordinate $s_0 = 0$ or 1 . By [Che17, Lemma 2.1], those k with $X_k \neq 0$ satisfy $s_0 - m \leq k \leq 1$ and $m|k - 1$. Thus indeed $X = X_1 + X_{1-m}$. The following is called the θ -connection attached to X :

$$(5) \quad \nabla^X := d + \sum_k X_k t^{\frac{1-k}{m}} \frac{dt}{t} = d + (X_1 + X_{1-m}t) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

2.3. The Langlands correspondence.

Lemma 3. *There exists a natural isomorphism*

$$(6) \quad V_P^* // L_P \simeq \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1 // \check{G}_0$$

that restricts to a bijection between stable conjugacy classes:

$$(7) \quad V_P^{*,\text{st}} // L_P \simeq \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}} // \check{G}_0$$

Proof. Let (G_0, \mathfrak{g}_1) be the Vinberg's pair for grading on \mathfrak{g} associated to the regular elliptic number m . Then we have isomorphism $(G_0, \mathfrak{g}_1) \simeq (L_P, V_P)$ that preserves the adjoint action. Denote $\mathfrak{c}^* = \mathfrak{g}^* // G \simeq \mathfrak{t}^* // W$ and $\check{\mathfrak{c}} = \check{\mathfrak{g}} // \check{G} \simeq \check{\mathfrak{t}} // W$. The equality $\mathfrak{t}^* = \check{\mathfrak{t}}$ induces isomorphism $\mathfrak{c}^* \simeq \check{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Observe that the projection $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_i \mathfrak{g}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1$ induces the dual map $\mathfrak{g}_1^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. The composition $\mathfrak{g}_1^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* // G = \mathfrak{c}^*$ factors through $\mathfrak{g}_1^* // G_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}^*$. On the other hand, map $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{c}}$ factors through $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1 // \check{G}_0 \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{c}}$. Fix a set of homogeneous generators $\{F_i\}$ of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]^G$, which also gives a set of homogeneous generators of $\mathbb{C}[\check{\mathfrak{g}}]^{\check{G}}$ via $\mathfrak{c}^* \simeq \check{\mathfrak{c}}$. From [Pan05, Theorem 3.6.(i)], we know the above two maps are closed embeddings:

$$\mathfrak{g}_1^* // G_0 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{c}^*, \quad \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1 // \check{G}_0 \hookrightarrow \check{\mathfrak{c}},$$

where the images are both equal to the closed subspace defined by the vanishing of all the generators F_i whose degree is not divisible by m . Here we use that $\mathfrak{g}_1^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^*$ is just the degree one eigenspace of the inverse grading θ^{-1} . This induces the desired isomorphism

$$V_P^* // L_P \simeq \mathfrak{g}_1^* // G_0 \simeq \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1 // \check{G}_0.$$

The above isomorphism (6) induces a bijection between semisimple conjugacy classes in V_P^* and $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$. By [RLYG12, Lemma 13], it induces a bijection between stable classes. \square

Remark 4. Such an isomorphism for $P = I$ is used in [XZ22, Theorem 4.3.3].

Theorem 5. *Let θ be a stable inner grading of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ of order m such that the Kac coordinates of the associated point x satisfy $s_0 > 0$, and the same is true for the order m stable inner grading of \mathfrak{g} .*

(i) *Let $\phi \in V_P^{*,\text{st}}$ be a stable functional, $X = X(\phi)$ be a representative of the stable vector orbit given by the image of ϕ under (6). There is an isomorphism of \check{G} -connections:*

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{E}_\phi \simeq \nabla^X.$$

(ii) *The θ -connection ∇^X is physically rigid, i.e. determined by its restrictions to D_0^\times and D_∞^\times up to isomorphism. Moreover, ∇^X is also the only \check{G} -connection on \mathbb{G}_m whose monodromy at 0 is in the closure of that of ∇^X , and is locally isomorphic to ∇^X over D_∞^\times .*

Remark 6.

(i) We will see that the proof of the theorem applies as long as $s_0 > 0$ for the stable inner grading on \mathfrak{g} and the monodromy at 0 of ∇^X is contained in the closure of that of \mathcal{E}_ϕ . The condition $s_0 > 0$ for the grading on \mathfrak{g} is used only in a descent step in §6.4 to overcome a technical difficulty. In particular, the local results in §4 and §5 apply to any stable inner grading.

(ii) The conditions $s_0 > 0$ for the stable inner gradings of the same order on \mathfrak{g} and $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ are consistent as long as the root system is self dual, i.e. except in type B_n, C_n . According to the tables in [RLYG12, §7], stable gradings on \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} all satisfy $s_0 > 0$, while on \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} there are both stable gradings with $s_0 = 0$ or $s_0 > 0$. In view of the above discussion, there are four situations:

- (1) The gradings on both \mathfrak{g} and $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ satisfy $s_0 > 0$. The theorem holds in this case.
- (2) The gradings on both \mathfrak{g} and $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ satisfy $s_0 = 0$. This can happen only away from type B_n, C_n . In this case, we discover that ∇^X might not have the same monodromy with \mathcal{E}_ϕ at 0, see Section 7.3.3. In Section 8, we propose a version of geometric Langlands correspondence for epipelagic automorphic data and θ -connections allowing $s_0 = 0$ and provide an example when $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{so}_7$ in Section 8.2.
- (3) On \mathfrak{g} , $s_0 > 0$, while on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$, $s_0 = 0$. This happens only if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$. In this case, the monodromies of ∇^X and \mathcal{E}_ϕ at 0 can either match or not. See §3.4 for examples of ∇^X with matching monodromy at 0, and §8.2 for ∇^X whose monodromy does not match.
- (4) On \mathfrak{g} , $s_0 = 0$, while on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$, $s_0 > 0$. This happens only if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ and $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. From Lemma 10, we will see the monodromies at 0 always match in this case. We expect the theorem holds as well in this situation, as the current use of condition $s_0 > 0$ on \mathfrak{g} is only to tackle a technical difficulty.

2.4. Outline of the proof of Theorem 5. The proof generally follows the strategy in [Yi22]:

Outline 7. Let $\phi \in V_P^{*,\text{st}}$ be a stable functional, $X = X(\phi) \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}}$ an associated stable vector. Let \underline{c}_P be the two-sided cell in the affine Weyl group W_{aff} that contains the longest element of the Weyl group of the reductive quotient of P . Under Lusztig's bijection, \underline{c}_P corresponds to an unipotent conjugacy class $\underline{u}_P \in \check{G}$, c.f. [Yun16, §4.1].

- (1) Show that ∇^X has regular singularity at 0 with unipotent monodromy in the closure of \underline{u}_P , and has irregular singularity at ∞ with slope $\frac{1}{m}$, and $\nabla^X|_{D_\infty^\times}$ is irreducible.
- (2) By Arinkin's result, we can take a generic oper structure of ∇^X , i.e. $\chi \in \text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(U)$ for some $U \subset \mathbb{G}_m$, $S = \mathbb{G}_m - U = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\}$. Denote $z_0 = 0$, $z_\infty = \infty$.
- (3) Use the localization functor to obtain a Hecke eigensheaf \mathcal{A} on $\text{Bun}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}$ with eigenvalue ∇^X .
- (4) It follows formally from the construction that \mathcal{A} is (V_P, ϕ) -equivariant. We need to further show it is nonzero and holonomic. The argument uses the results in [BL23, Fæ24] on tamely ramified geometric Langlands.

(5) The part (i) of the theorem follows immediately. Part (ii) follows from the proof of part (i).

We will see that some ingredients used in [Yi22] are either unknown or even no longer hold in the current more general situation. We will apply some new arguments to overcome the difficulties, which can be applied in more general situations.

3. LOCAL MONODROMY AT 0

3.1. Matching monodromies at 0. One ingredient of the proof of Theorem 5 is that the local monodromy of ∇^X at 0 should be contained in the closure of the local monodromy of \mathcal{E}_ϕ at 0.

For ∇^X , it is clear from (5) that its local monodromy at 0 is just $\exp(X_1)$.

For \mathcal{E}_ϕ , by [Yun16, Theorem 4.5] its local monodromy at 0 is the class \underline{u}_P given by Lusztig's bijection between two-sided cells of affine Weyl group of G and unipotent conjugacy classes of \check{G} . Under the assumption $s_0 > 0$ for the stable grading on \mathfrak{g} , \underline{u}_P can be described as follows. In this case $P \subset G(\mathcal{O})$ is the preimage of a standard parabolic subgroup $P_0 \subset G$ under reduction map. Let $\hat{P}_0 \subset \check{G}$ be the dual standard parabolic subgroup. Then by [Yun16, Proposition 4.8.(2)], \underline{u}_P is the Richardson class of \hat{P}_0 . Denote by $U_{\hat{P}_0}$ the unipotent radical of \hat{P}_0 with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_{\hat{P}_0}$, then the closure of \underline{u}_P is $\text{Ad}_{\check{G}}U_{\hat{P}_0}$.

Proposition 8. *Any stable vector $X = X_1 + X_{1-m}$ satisfies $X_1 \in \text{Ad}_{\check{G}}\mathfrak{u}_{\hat{P}_0}$.*

Proof. First consider when the root system of \mathfrak{g} is self-dual, which is the case if G is not of type B_n, C_n . Recall the \mathbb{Z} -grading (3) on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ defined by $\check{\lambda} = mx$ refines the stable grading. Then $\check{\lambda}$ defines a standard parabolic subgroup $\hat{P} \subset \check{G}$ with unipotent radical $U_{\hat{P}}$. Their Lie algebras are given by

$$\hat{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \check{\mathfrak{g}}(k), \quad \mathfrak{u}_{\hat{P}} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \check{\mathfrak{g}}(k).$$

Since the root system is self-dual and $s_0 > 0$, we have $\hat{P}_0 = \hat{P}$. Thus $X_1 \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}(1) \subset \mathfrak{u}_{\hat{P}} = \mathfrak{u}_{\hat{P}_0}$.

When \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n or C_n , if $m = 2n$ is the Coxeter number, \hat{P}_0 is a Borel subgroup, where the statement is trivial. Otherwise, there are only two cases where both stable gradings on \mathfrak{g} and $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ of the same order satisfy $s_0 > 0$: when $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, $m = n$ is even; or when $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $m = n$ is even. We will verify by hand in Lemma 10 and Lemma 11 that X_1 always has the same Jordan type as the Richardson class \underline{u}_P . \square

We will need the following observation in the explicit computation:

Lemma 9. *For a stable grading θ on $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_i \check{\mathfrak{g}}_i$, a vector $X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ is stable if and only if it is regular semisimple.*

Proof. By [RLYG12, Lemma 13], we only need to show that for $X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ regular semisimple, θ has no fixed point on the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{z}(X)$. Let $\mathfrak{c} \subset \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ be the Cartan subspace containing X . Then $\mathfrak{z}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathfrak{z}(X)$ is a θ -stable Cartan subalgebra. Since the grading is stable, there exists stable vector $Y \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$. Since all the Cartan subspaces of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ are all conjugated by \check{G}_0 , there exists $g \in \check{G}_0$ such that $\text{Ad}_g(Y) \in \mathfrak{c}$. Thus $\mathfrak{z}(X) = \text{Ad}_g(\mathfrak{z}(Y))$. By [RLYG12, Lemma 13], $\mathfrak{z}(Y)^\theta = 0$. As $\theta(g) = g$, we get $\mathfrak{z}(X)^\theta = \text{Ad}_g(\mathfrak{z}(Y)^\theta) = 0$. This shows that X is stable. \square

3.2. $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$.

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ be a dimension $2n$ complex vector space with a fixed basis

$$e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e_{-n}, \dots, e_{-1}.$$

where $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$ consists of $m - 1$ blocks in the upper triangular part, $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1 - m)$ consists of a single block in the lower left corner. For simplicity of notations, in the following we will denote an element of $\check{G}(0)$ by $g \simeq (M_1, \dots, M_{m/2})$, and an element of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ (resp. $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$) by $X \simeq (A_1, \dots, A_{m/2}; A_m)$ (resp. $X_1 \simeq (A_1, \dots, A_{m/2})$).

Let $X = X_1 + X_{1-m} \simeq (A_1, \dots, A_{m/2}; A_m) \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ be a stable vector. By Lemma 9, X is regular semisimple, thus has only nonzero eigenvalues, i.e. X is invertible. Thus each A_i must be invertible.

Let $g \simeq (M_1, \dots, M_{m/2}) \in \check{G}(0)$. The adjoint action of $\check{G}(0)$ on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ is given by

$$\text{Ad}_g X_1 \simeq (M_1 A_1 M_1^{-1}, \dots, M_{m/2-1} A_{m/2-1} M_{m/2-1}^{-1}, M_{m/2} A_{m/2} s M_{m/2}^t s).$$

Since $A_m = s A_m^t s$, we see $s A_m = (s A_m)^t$ is symmetric. Thus under the congruence transformation by some invertible matrix $s M_{m/2} s$, we have $(s M_{m/2} s) s A_{m/2} (s M_{m/2} s)^t = I$, which is equivalent to $M_{m/2} A_{m/2} s M_{m/2}^t s = s$. By inductively choosing $M_i = M_{i+1} A_i^{-1}$, any X_1 can be conjugated by g to the following matrix:

$$(9) \quad X_1^\circ \simeq (I, \dots, I, s).$$

Finally, the Jordan form of X_1° consists of k many $m \times m$ Jordan blocks. This matches with the table of \underline{u}_P in [Yun16, §4.9] for $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}$. We conclude $\exp(X_1) \in \underline{u}_P$. \square

3.3. $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $m = n$ is even.

Let $V = \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ be a dimension $2n + 1$ complex vector space with a fixed basis

$$e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, e_0, e_{-n}, \dots, e_{-1}.$$

Denote the Gram matrix by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} & & s \\ & 2 & \\ s & & \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\text{SO}_{2n+1} = \{M \in \text{GL}_{2n+1} \mid M J M^t = J\}, \quad \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} = \{M \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2n+1} \mid M J + J M^t = 0\}.$$

Explicitly:

$$\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & -s x^t & B \\ 2y & 0 & 2x \\ C & -s y^t & D \end{pmatrix} \mid D = -s A^t s, B = -s B^t s, C = -s C^t s, x, y \in M_{1 \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

A Cartan subalgebra can be given by

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{\text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, 0, -a_n, \dots, -a_1) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Let χ_i be the projection from \mathfrak{h} to a_i . The roots are $\pm \chi_i$, $\chi_i \pm \chi_j$, $i \neq j$. If an element $X \in \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ is regular semisimple, then after conjugated into \mathfrak{h} , it is non-vanishing under all the roots. Equivalently, apart from one zero eigenvalue, the rest of its $2n$ eigenvalues are all distinct from each other and are nonzero.

Lemma 11. *Let $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ and consider the stable grading of even order $m = n$ on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then for any stable vector $X = X_1 + X_{1-m}$, $\exp(X_1) \in \underline{u}_P$.*

Proof. By [RLYG12, Table 12], the Kac coordinates of this grading are given by

$$s_i = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 0, 1, 3, 5, \dots, n-3, n-1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, the Jordan form of X_1° has one size 1 block, one size $n - 1$ block, and one size $n + 1$ block. This matches with the table in [Yun16, §4.9] for $\check{G} = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$, $m = n$ even. \square

3.4. More examples. For stable gradings on $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ where $s_0 = 0$, the stable grading on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ with the same order always has $s_0 > 0$. We give examples of stable vectors $X = X_1 + X_{1-m}$ that satisfies $\exp(X_1) \in \underline{u}_P$. However, we cannot yet show this for arbitrary stable vectors.

3.4.1. $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $s_0 = 0$, $k = \frac{2n}{m} > 2$ is even. From [RLYG12, Tabel 12], the Kac coordinates are given by

$$s_i = \begin{cases} 1, & i = kr - \frac{k}{2}, 1 \leq r \leq \frac{n}{k} = \frac{m}{2}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When $m > 2$, the shape of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ is similar as that for $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$ and $m = n$ even, except the sizes of blocks are now given by $A_1 \in M_{k/2 \times k}(\mathbb{C})$, $A_i \in M_{k \times k}(\mathbb{C})$ for $2 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1$, $A_{m/2} \in M_{k \times (k+1)}(\mathbb{C})$, $A_{m+1} \in M_{k \times k/2}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $A_1 = (I, 0_{k/2 \times k/2})$, $A_i = I$ for $2 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1$, $A_{m/2} = (I, (1, 0, \dots, 0)^t)$, $A_{m+1} = (I, 0_{k/2 \times k/2})^t$.

When $m = 2$, the only difference is that $A_1 = A_{m/2} \in M_{k/2 \times (k+1)}(\mathbb{C})$, where we let $A_1 = (I, (1, 0, \dots, 0)^t, 0_{k/2 \times k/2})^t$.

It is straightforward to check that X is regular semisimple and X_1 has the same Jordan type as \underline{u}_P given in the table in [Yun16, §4.9].

3.4.2. $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}$, $s_0 = 0$, $k = \frac{2n}{m} > 1$ is odd. From [RLYG12, Tabel 12], the Kac coordinates are given by

$$s_i = \begin{cases} 1, & i = kt - \frac{k-1}{2}, 1 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{k} = \frac{m}{2}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The shape of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ is similar as the case of k even, except the sizes of blocks are now given by $A_1 \in M_{(k+1)/2 \times k}(\mathbb{C})$, $A_i \in M_{k \times k}(\mathbb{C})$ for $2 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2}$, $A_{m+1} \in M_{k \times (k+1)/2}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $A_1 = (I, 0_{(k+1)/2 \times (k-1)/2})$, $A_i = I$ for $2 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2}$, $A_{m+1} = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \end{pmatrix}$ where $A = (0_{(k-1)/2 \times 1}, I) \in M_{(k-1)/2 \times (k+1)/2}(\mathbb{C})$ and $B = E_{(k+1)/2, 1} \in M_{(k+1)/2 \times (k+1)/2}(\mathbb{C})$.

It is straightforward to check that X is regular semisimple and X_1 has the same Jordan type as \underline{u}_P given in the table in [Yun16, §4.9].

4. LOCAL MONODROMY OF θ -CONNECTIONS AT ∞

In this section we do not require $s_0 > 0$.

Proposition 12. *Let $X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\mathrm{st}}$ be a stable vector for a stable grading of order m , ∇^X the associated θ -connection. Let $\nabla_\infty^X = \nabla^X|_{D_\infty^\times}$ be the restriction to $D_\infty^\times = \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{C}((s))$, $s = t^{-1}$.*

(i) *The slope of ∇_∞^X is $\frac{1}{m}$.*

(ii) *Let $\nabla_\infty^{X, \mathrm{Ad}}$ be the associated $\mathrm{GL}(\check{\mathfrak{g}})$ -connection for adjoint representation. The irregularity of $\nabla_\infty^{X, \mathrm{Ad}}$ is*

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\nabla_\infty^{X, \mathrm{Ad}}) = \frac{|\Phi|}{m}.$$

(iii) *Let $u = s^{1/m}$. The canonical form of ∇_∞^X is*

$$(11) \quad d - mX \frac{du}{u^2}.$$

- (iv) *The wild inertia group of ∇_∞^X is the smallest torus S whose Lie algebra contains X . Let \check{T}_X be the unique maximal torus containing S . Then a generator of the tame inertia group, which is contained in $N_{\check{G}}(\check{T}_X)$, maps into the unique \mathbb{Z} -regular elliptic class of order m in the Weyl group $W = N_{\check{G}}(\check{T}_X)/\check{T}_X$.*
- (v) *The connection ∇_∞^X is irreducible.*

Proof. (i): Let $s = t^{-1}$ be a coordinate around ∞ . The restriction of the θ -connection ∇^X (5) to D_∞^\times is

$$\nabla_\infty^X = d - \sum_k X_k s^{\frac{k-1}{m}} \frac{ds}{s} = d - (X_1 + X_{1-m} s^{-1}) \frac{ds}{s}.$$

Recall $x = m\check{\lambda}$ defines the grading, where $\check{\lambda} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \check{T}$. Take substitution $s = u^m$ and apply gauge transformation by $\check{\lambda}(u^{-1})$, we get

$$(12) \quad d - m(X_1 + X_{1-m}) \frac{du}{u^2} - \check{\lambda} \frac{du}{u},$$

where $X_1 + X_{1-m} = X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ is a regular semisimple vector. Thus the maximal slope of ∇_∞^X is $\frac{1}{m}$.

(ii): Let $\check{\mathfrak{t}}_X$ be the centralizer of X , which is a Cartan subalgebra. Let $\check{G}[u]_1 = \ker(\check{G}[u] \xrightarrow{u=0} \check{G})$. From [BV83, §9.3 Proposition 2], the above connection can be $\check{G}[u]_1$ -gauge transformed to a connection of the form

$$d - mX \frac{du}{u^2} + (y + uY(u)) \frac{du}{u}$$

where $y \in \check{\mathfrak{t}}_X$, $Y(u) \in \check{\mathfrak{t}}_X[[u]]$. We can eliminate $Y(u)$ using the gauge transform by $\exp(\int Y(u)) \in \check{T}_X[[u]]$. Note that $\int Y(u) \in u\check{\mathfrak{t}}_X[[u]]$, so $\exp(\int Y(u)) \in \check{T}_X[[u]]$ is well defined. Thus ∇_∞^X is $\check{G}((u))$ -gauge equivalent to a connection of the following form:

$$(13) \quad d - mX \frac{du}{u^2} + y \frac{du}{u}, \quad y \in \check{\mathfrak{t}}_X.$$

From above we obtain that the adjoint irregularity of ∇_∞^X is $\frac{|\Phi|}{m}$.

(iii): Recall we have shown that ∇_∞^X can be gauge transformed by an element $g \in \check{G}(\mathbb{C}((u)))$ to a canonical form (13):

$$d - mX \frac{du}{u^2} + y \frac{du}{u} = d - X s^{-1 - \frac{1}{m}} ds + \frac{1}{m} y s^{-1} ds, \quad y \in \check{\mathfrak{t}}_X.$$

We want to show $y = 0$. Applying [BV83, §8.6 Proposition] to $\check{\mathfrak{t}}_X$, we can assume y is weakly \mathbb{Z} -reduced in $\check{\mathfrak{t}}_X$ in the sense of [BV83, §8.6 Definition]. Thus we can apply [BV83, §9.8 Proposition] to conclude that the element $\sigma = g(\zeta_m u)g(u)^{-1}$ is constant, i.e. contained in \check{G} , and it satisfies

$$\sigma^m = 1, \quad \text{Ad}_\sigma y = y, \quad \text{Ad}_\sigma X = \zeta_m^{-1} X.$$

Let $\gamma = \sigma^{-1} \in \check{G}$. Since X is an eigenvector of γ , γ normalizes the centralizer \check{T}_X of X , i.e. $\gamma \in N_{\check{G}}(\check{T}_X)$. Note that the product $\sigma\check{\lambda}(\zeta_m)$ fixes X , thus $\sigma\check{\lambda}(\zeta_m) \in \check{T}_X$. Therefore $\gamma = \sigma^{-1}$ has the same image in $W = N_{\check{G}}(\check{T}_X)/\check{T}_X$ as $\check{\lambda}(\zeta)$, i.e. in the unique \mathbb{Z} -regular elliptic order m class. Therefore γ has no nonzero fixed vector in $\check{\mathfrak{t}}_X$, and $y = 0$.

(iv): Denote the differential Galois group for a Laurent field K by $\pi_{\text{diff}}(K)$. We have exact sequence [Kat87, 2.6.1.2]

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{C}((u))) \rightarrow \pi_{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{C}((s))) \rightarrow \mu_m \rightarrow 1.$$

Let $\rho : \pi_{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{C}((s))) \rightarrow \check{G}$ be the representation corresponding to ∇_{∞}^X , then $\rho|_{\pi_{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{C}((u)))}$ corresponds to (11). Let S be the smallest subtorus $S \subset \check{T}_X$ whose Lie algebra contains X . We know from [Kat87, 2.6.4.2] that $\rho(\pi_{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{C}((u))))$ is a connected torus, which is contained in S . Also, the Lie algebra of $\rho(\pi_{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{C}((u))))$ must contain X . Thus $\rho(\pi_{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{C}((u)))) = S$.

Moreover, let $\gamma : u \rightarrow \zeta_m u$ be an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}((u))$ that generates $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}((u))/\mathbb{C}((s))) \simeq \mu_m$. Denote a preimage of γ in $\pi_{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{C}((s)))$ still by γ , then it has adjoint action on $\pi_{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{C}((u)))$. We see $\rho(\pi_{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{C}((s))))$ is generated by S and $\rho(\gamma)$.

Precomposing $\rho : \pi_{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{C}((u))) \rightarrow \check{G}$ given by (11) with adjoint action by γ , we get

$$d - mX\zeta_m^{-1}\frac{du}{u^2}.$$

Since $\rho \circ \text{Ad}_{\gamma} = \text{Ad}_{\rho(\gamma)} \circ \rho : \pi_{\text{diff}}(\mathbb{C}((u))) \rightarrow S \hookrightarrow \check{G}$, the adjoint action of $\rho(\gamma)$ on $\text{Lie}S$ satisfies

$$\text{Ad}_{\rho(\gamma)}X = \zeta_m^{-1}X.$$

Therefore $\rho(\gamma) \in N_{\check{G}}(\check{T}_X)$. Note that X is also an eigenvector with eigenvalue ζ_m^{-1} for $\check{\lambda}(\zeta_m)^{-1}$. Thus $\rho(\gamma)\check{\lambda}(\zeta_m)$ fixes X , $\rho(\gamma)\check{\lambda}(\zeta_m) \in \check{T}_X$, $\rho(\gamma)$ and $\check{\lambda}(\zeta_m)^{-1}$ have the same image in the Weyl group $W = N_{\check{G}}(\check{T}_X)/\check{T}_X$, which belongs to the unique \mathbb{Z} -regular elliptic order m class.

(v): The differential Galois group of ∇_{∞}^X is generated by the smallest torus S whose Lie algebra contains the regular semisimple element X , together an element $\rho(\gamma)$ that normalizes the maximal torus \check{T}_X containing S , whose image in the Weyl group $N_{\check{G}}(\check{T}_X)/\check{T}_X$ belongs to the \mathbb{Z} -regular elliptic class corresponding to the stable grading θ . Then the proof of irreducibility is the same as [Yi22, Lemma 7]. \square

5. LOCAL OPERS WITH SLOPE BOUNDED BY $\frac{1}{m}$

Recall that χ is a generic oper structure of ∇^X . Thus $\chi_{\infty} := \chi|_{D_{\infty}^{\times}} \in \text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(D_{\infty}^{\times})$ is an oper structure of ∇_{∞}^X . In this section we study some properties of χ_{∞} . We do not require $s_0 > 0$ in this section.

5.1. Let $\text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(D_{\infty})_{\leq 1/m}$ be the space of opers with slope smaller or equal to $\frac{1}{m}$. Since ∇_{∞}^X has slope $\frac{1}{m}$, $\chi_{\infty} \in \text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(D_{\infty})_{\leq 1/m}$. This space of opers can be described as a central support. Let $\check{\mathfrak{Z}}$ be the center of the completed enveloping algebra of affine Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at critical level. Feigin-Frenkel isomorphism gives $\text{Spec}\check{\mathfrak{Z}} \simeq \text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(D_{\infty}^{\times})$. Denote $\text{Vac}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)} = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)+\mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}}^{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}\mathbf{1}$ and $\check{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)} = \text{Im}(\check{\mathfrak{Z}} \rightarrow \text{End}\text{Vac}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)})$.

Lemma 13. *For an admissible parahoric P , $\text{Spec}\check{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)}$ coincides with $\text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(D_{\infty})_{\leq 1/m}$ inside $\text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(D_{\infty}^{\times})$.*

Proof. From [RLYG12, Corollary 14], any stable grading is principal. Thus the lemma follows from [Zhu17, Lemma 16] ¹. \square

5.2. We collect some corollaries of results in [BV83, §9] we will need. A formal \check{G} -connection ∇ on D_{∞}^{\times} can be written as

$$(14) \quad d + (A_r s^r + A_{r+1} s^{r+1} + \dots) ds, \quad A_i \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

A canonical form of ∇ is an equation of the following form:

$$(15) \quad d + (D_{r_1} s^{r_1} + \dots + D_{r_N} s^{r_N} + C s^{-1}) ds,$$

where $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_N < -1$ are rational numbers, $D_i, C \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}$ are mutually commutative elements, and D_i 's are all semisimple. The main Theorem of [BV83, §9.5] tells us a formal connection

¹An alternative proof can be given by the argument in [KXY22, Proposition 28.(i)]

∇ can always be transformed to a canonical form by some element in $\check{G}(\mathbb{C}((s^{1/b})))$ for some $b \in \mathbb{N}^+$, and the polar part D_i 's of the canonical form is unique up to a constant transform.

Lemma 14. *Let $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a reductive Lie algebra. For a formal connection ∇ with equation (14), if $A_r \neq 0$ is nilpotent and $r < -1$, then $r < r_1$ in its canonical form (15)*

Proof. This follows from [BV83, Proposition 9.4]. Note that we can always transform the connection to let it satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 9.4 using [BV83, §9.3 Proposition 2]. \square

Proposition 15. *Let ∇ be an irregular formal connection with equation (14), where $r < -1$ and $A_r \neq 0$. Let $A_r = X_s + X_n$ be the Jordan decomposition, where X_s is semisimple, X_n is nilpotent, and $[X_s, X_n] = 0$. Assume $X_s \neq 0$. Then in a canonical form (15) of ∇ , $r_1 = r$ and $D_{r_1} = X_s$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{z}(X_s)$ be the centralizer of X_s , which is a Levi subalgebra. By [BV83, §9.3 Proposition 2], we can transform (14) over $\mathbb{C}((s))$ without changing r and A_r so that $A_{r+k} \in \mathfrak{z}(X_s)$, $\forall k \geq 1$. Thus we may assume $A_{r+k} \in \mathfrak{z}(X_s)$ from the beginning. Write

$$\nabla = (d + (X_n s^r + A_{r+1} s^{r+1} + \dots) ds) + X_s s^r ds.$$

Let $Z(X_s)$ be the connected centralizer of X_s in \check{G} , which is a Levi subgroup. Regard $d + (X_n s^r + A_{r+1} s^{r+1} + \dots) ds$ as a formal $Z(X_s)$ -connection, it can be transformed using $Z(X_s)(\mathbb{C}((s^{1/b})))$ for some b to a canonical form:

$$d + (D_{r_2} s^{r_2} + \dots + D_{r_N} s^{r_N} + C s^{-1}) ds, \quad D_{r_i}, C \in \mathfrak{z}(X_s).$$

Apply Lemma 14 to $\mathfrak{z}(X_s)$ and the above connection, we get $r < r_2$. Since $Z(X_s)(\mathbb{C}((s^{1/b})))$ fixes X_s , we obtain that ∇ is equivalent to

$$d + (X_s s^r + D_{r_2} s^{r_2} + \dots + D_{r_N} s^{r_N} + C s^{-1}) ds.$$

This is a canonical form of ∇ , which proves the proposition. \square

5.3. From [Zhu17, Proposition 15]², we have commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(V_P)^{LP} & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U(V_P) & \hookrightarrow & \text{End}(\text{Vac}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)}) \end{array}$$

By Lemma 13, the restriction of the generic oper χ_∞ factors through $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)}$.

Proposition 16. *The following two characters coincide:*

$$U(V_P)^{LP} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)} \xrightarrow{\chi_\infty} \mathbb{C}, \quad U(V_P)^{LP} \rightarrow U(V_P) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{C},$$

where ϕ corresponds to X under (6).

Proof. Let ∇_∞ be the underlying connection of χ_∞ . We can write it in the oper canonical form:

$$(16) \quad \nabla_\infty = d - (p_{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n v_i(s) p_i) ds, \quad v_i(s) = \sum_j v_{ij} s^{-j-1}, \quad v_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since the underlying connection ∇_∞ has slope $\frac{1}{m}$, we know from [Zhu17, Lemma 16] that $v_{ij} \neq 0$ only if $j \leq d_i + \lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor - 1$.

²An alternative proof can be given by the argument in [KXY22, Proposition 28.(i)]

Under the Feigin-Frenkel isomorphism $\mathfrak{Z} \simeq \text{Fun Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D_{\infty}^{\times})$, the coefficients v_{ij} map to a set of Segal-Sugawara operators S_{ij} that topologically generate \mathfrak{Z} . From the proof of [KXY22, Proposition 28.(i)], we can see

$$(17) \quad U(V_P)^{L_P} = \mathbb{C}[S_{i, d_i + \frac{d_i}{m} - 1}, m|d_i].$$

Identify $\check{\mathfrak{c}} \simeq p_{-1} + \sum_i \mathbb{C}p_i$ via Kostant section. Under the composition $V_P^*/L_P \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{c}^* \simeq \check{\mathfrak{c}} \simeq p_{-1} + \sum_i \mathbb{C}p_i$, the generators $\{S_{i, d_i + \frac{d_i}{m} - 1}, m|d_i\}$ map to the coefficients of $\{p_i, m|d_i\}$ in the Kostant section.

Now taking substitution $s = u^m$, replacing j with $j + d_i - 1$ in the oper form (16), and applying the gauge transform by $\check{\rho}(u^{m+1})$, we obtain equation

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & d - m(u^{-2}p_{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \leq \lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor} v_{i, j+d_i-1} u^{d_i-mj-2} p_i) du - \check{\rho} \frac{du}{u} \\ & = d - m(p_{-1} + \sum_{m|d_i} v_{i, d_i + \lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor - 1} p_i) \frac{du}{u^2} + A \frac{du}{u}, \quad A \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}[[u]]. \end{aligned}$$

Since ∇_{∞} has maximal slope $\frac{1}{m}$, we know from the slope formula foropers that at least one of $v_{i, d_i + \lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor - 1} p_i$ in the above is nonzero. Therefore the leading term $-m(p_{-1} + \sum_{m|d_i} v_{i, d_i + \lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor - 1} p_i)$ is non-nilpotent. Applying Proposition 15, we obtain that in the canonical form of ∇^X in terms of u , the order $r = -2$, and the coefficient of the leading term is conjugated to the semisimple part of $-m(p_{-1} + \sum_{m|d_i} v_{i, d_i + \lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor - 1} p_i)$.

On the other hand, we have shown that the canonical form of $\nabla^X|_{\mathbb{C}((u))}$ is given by (11), i.e. $d - mX \frac{du}{u^2}$. Thus the semisimple part of $p_{-1} + \sum_{m|d_i} v_{i, d_i + \lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor - 1} p_i$ is conjugated to X . Denote $\pi : \check{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{g}}//\check{G} = \check{\mathfrak{c}}$. Then $\pi(p_{-1} + \sum_{m|d_i} v_{i, d_i + \lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor - 1} p_i) = \pi(X)$. Now the character $U(V_P)^{L_P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)} \xrightarrow{X_{\infty}} \mathbb{C}$ maps generators $S_{i, d_i + \lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor - 1}$ to $v_{i, d_i + \lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor - 1}$, thus corresponds to $\pi(X)$ under $V_P^*/L_P \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{c}^* \simeq \check{\mathfrak{c}}$. This is exactly the definition of ϕ . The proof is complete. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 5

6.1. General strategy. Let θ be the given stable inner grading on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $s_0 > 0$, such that the stable inner grading on \mathfrak{g} of the same order also satisfies $s_0 > 0$. Let $X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}}$ be any stable vector, with associated θ -connection ∇^X . Let $\phi \in V_P^{*, \text{st}}$ be a stable functional that matches with X under (7). Let \mathcal{A}_{ϕ} be the irreducible holonomic D -module on $\text{Bun}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ that is $(V_P, \mathcal{L}_{\phi})$ -equivariant, where \mathfrak{G} is the group scheme with level structure P^{opp} at 0 and $P(2)$ at ∞ . Then \mathcal{A}_{ϕ} is Hecke eigen with an eigenvalue \mathcal{E}_{ϕ} . Recall that \mathcal{E}_{ϕ} is regular singular at 0 with monodromy class \underline{u}_P .

Now let ∇' be any \check{G} -connection on \mathbb{G}_m that has a regular singularity at 0 with monodromy in the closure of \underline{u}_P , and has an irregular singularity at ∞ where $\nabla'|_{\infty} \simeq \nabla^X|_{\infty}$. By Proposition 8, ∇^X is one of such ∇' .

We will construct a nonzero complex \mathcal{A} of holonomic D -modules on $\text{Bun}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ that is $(V_P, \mathcal{L}_{\phi})$ -equivariant and is Hecke eigen with eigenvalue ∇' . Once the complex \mathcal{A} has been constructed, by rigidity \mathcal{A} is a complex of shifts of copies of \mathcal{A}_{ϕ} . Thus its eigenvalue must be \mathcal{E}_{ϕ} , i.e. $\nabla' \simeq \mathcal{E}_{\phi}$. In particular, $\nabla^X \simeq \mathcal{E}_{\phi}$. This proves all statements of Theorem 5.

6.2. A preliminary Hecke eigensheaf. It remains to construct the desired Hecke eigen complex \mathcal{A} for ∇' . We first perform a similar construction as in [Yi22], following the notations in the *loc. cit.*. By Proposition 12.(v), $\nabla'|_{\infty} \simeq \nabla^X|_{\infty}$ is irreducible, so that ∇' itself is irreducible. By

[Ari16, Corollary 1.1], there exists $U = \mathbb{G}_m - \{z_1, \dots, z_N\}$ such that $\nabla'|_U$ has an oper structure $\chi \in \text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(U)$.

At $z = 0$, ∇' has unipotent monodromy. As in [Yi22, 4.2.1], $\chi_0 = \chi|_{D_0^\times} \in \text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D_0^\times)$ lands inside a subspace $\text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{RS}}(D_0)_{\varpi(-\lambda_0-\rho)}$ of opers on D_0^\times with regular singularities and residue $\varpi(-\lambda_0 - \rho)$, where λ_0 is an integral coweight of G , ρ the half sum of positive coroots, c.f. §2.1.2 of *loc. cit.*.

At $z = z_i$, ∇' has trivial monodromy. As in [Yi22, 4.2.2], $\chi_i = \chi|_{D_{z_i}^\times} \in \text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D_{z_i}^\times)$ lands inside a subspace $\text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{reg}}(D_{z_i})_{\varpi(-\lambda_i-\rho)}$ of opers on $D_{z_i}^\times$ with trivial monodromy and residue $\varpi(-\lambda_i - \rho)$, where λ_i is a dominant integral coweight of G , c.f. §2.1.2 of *loc. cit.*.

At $z = \infty$, recall from Proposition 12.(i) that ∇' has slope $\frac{1}{m}$. As in [Yi22, 4.2.3], $\chi_\infty = \chi|_{D_\infty^\times} \in \text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D_\infty^\times)$ lands inside a subspace $\text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D_\infty)_{\leq 1/m}$ of opers on D_∞^\times with slope at most $\frac{1}{m}$, c.f. §2.1.2 of *loc. cit.*. Moreover, by Lemma 13 and Proposition 16, the composition

$$U(V_P)^{L_P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)} \simeq \text{Fun Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D_\infty)_{\leq 1/m} \xrightarrow{\chi_\infty} \mathbb{C}$$

coincides with the character given by $\phi \in V_P^*$.

Let $\mathcal{G}' := \mathcal{G}(I^{\text{opp}}, P(2))$ be the group scheme on \mathbb{P}^1 such that $\mathcal{G}'(\mathcal{O}_0) = I^{\text{opp}}$ is the opposite Iwahori subgroup, $\mathcal{G}'(\mathcal{O}_\infty) = P(2)$, and $\mathcal{G}'|_{\mathbb{G}_m} = G \times \mathbb{G}_m$ is constant. Let Loc be the multiple points localization functor for $S = \{0, z_1, \dots, z_N, \infty\}$ as defined in [Yi22, §3]. Consider the following D -module on $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'}$:

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{A}' := \omega_{\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'}}^{-1/2} \otimes \text{Loc}(\mathbb{M}_{w_0\lambda_0}^{\text{opp}} / \ker \chi_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}, i} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda_i} / \ker \chi_i \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Vac}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)} / \ker \phi),$$

where $\ker \chi_i \subset \mathfrak{Z}$ and $\ker \phi \subset U(V_P)$ act on the corresponding $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules. Here $\mathbb{M}_{w_0\lambda_0}^{\text{opp}}$ is opposite Verma module at 0 with highest weight $w_0\lambda_0$ where w_0 is the longest element of Weyl group, \mathbb{V}_{λ_i} is Weyl module at z_i with highest weight λ_i , $\text{Vac}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)}$ is vacuum module at ∞ , $\omega_{\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'}}$ is the canonical sheaf on $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'}$, c.f. [Yi22, §2.1.1, §3.1] for notations.

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}_* := & \text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(U) \times_{\text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D_0^\times)} \text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{RS}}(D_0)_{\varpi(-\lambda_0-\rho)} \times_{i, \text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D_{z_i}^\times)} \text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\text{reg}}(D_{z_i})_{\varpi(-\lambda_i-\rho)} \\ & \times_{\text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D_\infty^\times)} \text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}(D_\infty)_{\leq 1/m}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above discussion, we can see $\chi \in \text{Op}_*$.

By [Yi22, Lemma 3, Lemma 4], we have

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{A}' \simeq \omega_{\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'}}^{-1/2} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'}}} ((\mathcal{D}'_{\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'}}} \mathcal{L}_{w_0\lambda_0} \otimes_{i, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'}}} \mathcal{V}_{\lambda_i}) \otimes_{\text{Fun Op}_* \otimes_{U(V_P)^{L_P}} U(V_P)} \mathbb{C}_{\chi, \phi}),$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{\chi, \phi}$ is the character of $\text{Fun Op}_* \otimes_{U(V_P)^{L_P}} U(V_P)$ defined by χ and ϕ , $\mathcal{L}_{w_0\lambda_0}$ is a line bundle on $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'}$ as in [Yi22, Lemma 3], \mathcal{V}_{λ_i} is a vector bundle on $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'}$ as in [Yi22, Lemma 4] whose fibers are isomorphic to the irreducible representation of \check{G} with highest weight λ_i . Note that since we do not know the flatness property as in [Yi22, Proposition 5], \mathcal{A}' may be a complex of D -modules.

6.3. Nonvanishing.

Lemma 17. *The complex \mathcal{A}' is nonzero.*

Proof. It suffices to show $\mathcal{A}'_0 := H^0(\mathcal{A}')$ is nonzero. We prove this by showing that its associated graded as a $\mathcal{O}_{T^*\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'}}$ -module is nonzero. Recall the relevant orbit $V_P = P(1)/P(2) \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is an open substack. Let $\pi : \text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the projection. Denote $P^- = P^{\text{opp}} \cap G[t, t^{-1}]$ and $I^- = I^{\text{opp}} \cap G[t, t^{-1}]$. In view of the Birkhoff decomposition $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}} = [P^- \backslash L_\infty G / P(2)]$ and $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}'} = [I^- \backslash L_\infty G / P(2)]$, we have $\pi^{-1}(P(1)/P(2)) = [I^{\text{opp}} \backslash P^{\text{opp}} P(1) / P(2)] \simeq [B_{L_P}^- \backslash L_P P(1) / P(2)]$ where

$B_{L_P}^-$ is the opposite Borel of L_P . Let U_{L_P} be the unipotent radical of positive Borel of L_P , then $I(1)/P(2) = U_{L_P}P(1)/P(2)$ is an open substack of $\pi^{-1}(V_P)$, thus an open substack of $\text{Bun}_{g'}$.

From the open embedding $I(1)/P(2) \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{g'}$, we get open embedding $T^*(I(1)/P(2)) \rightarrow T^*\text{Bun}_{g'}$. So $(\text{gr}\mathcal{A}'_0)_{T^*(I(1)/P(2))} = \text{gr}(\mathcal{A}'_0|_{I(1)/P(2)})$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\text{gr}(\mathcal{A}'_0|_{I(1)/P(2)})$ is nonzero. Since $I(1)/P(2)$ is an affine space, $\omega_{\text{Bun}_{g'}}^{-1/2}$, $\mathcal{L}_{w_0\lambda_0}$ and \mathcal{V}_{λ_i} can be trivialized over it. Thus we obtain

$$\text{gr}(\mathcal{A}'_0|_{I(1)/P(2)}) \simeq (\mathcal{O}_{T^*(I(1)/P(2))} \otimes_{\mathbb{C},i} V_{\lambda_i}) \otimes_{\text{grFun Op}_* \otimes_{\text{Fun}} V_P^*/L_P \text{Fun}} V_P^* \text{gr } \mathbb{C}_{\chi,\phi}.$$

By the same proof as [Yi22, Lemma 6], we have

$$\text{grFun Op}_* \simeq \bigotimes_i N_{\lambda_i} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Fun Hit}_{g'}$$

where $N_{\lambda_i} \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a shift of quantum argument algebra that has free cyclic action on V_{λ_i} , and $\text{Hit}_{g'}$ is the global Hitchin base of $\text{Bun}_{g'}$. By the discussion on page 263 and Proposition 13 of [Zhu17], we know the local Hitchin images with level structure I^{opp} and $P(2)$ are affine spaces. Thus it is easy to see $\text{Hit}_{g'}$ is also an affine space with finite and positive dimension with \mathbb{G}_m -action. We get

$$\text{gr}(\mathcal{A}'_0|_{I(1)/P(2)}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T^*(I(1)/P(2))} \otimes_{\text{Fun Hit}_{g'} \otimes_{\text{Fun}} V_P^*/L_P \text{Fun}} V_P^* \mathbb{C}_0.$$

where \mathbb{C}_0 is the character corresponding to the unique \mathbb{G}_m -fixed point $0 \in \text{Hit}_{g'} \times_{V_P^*/L_P} V_P^*$. Here the tensor product comes from the diagram

$$(21) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} T^*(I(1)/P(2)) & \hookrightarrow & T^*\text{Bun}_{g'} & \longrightarrow & V_P^* \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Hit}_{g'} & \longrightarrow & \text{Hit}(D_\infty)_{\mathfrak{p}(2)} \longrightarrow V_P^*/L_P \end{array}$$

where the top arrow is moment map, the lower right arrow is as in [Zhu17, Proposition 14].

Consider the zero section $I(1)/P(2) \hookrightarrow T^*(I(1)/P(2)) \simeq I(1)/P(2) \times (\text{Lie}(I(1))/\text{Lie}(P(2)))^*$. Observe that the zero section maps to 0 both under moment map

$$T^*(I(1)/P(2)) \rightarrow (\text{Lie}(I(1))/\text{Lie}(P(2)))^* \xrightarrow{\text{res}} V_P^*$$

and the Hitchin map $T^*(I(1)/P(2)) \rightarrow T^*\text{Bun}_{g'} \rightarrow \text{Hit}_{g'}$. Thus zero section is in the support of $\text{gr}(\mathcal{A}'_0|_{I(1)/P(2)})$. In particular, $\text{gr}(\mathcal{A}'_0|_{I(1)/P(2)})$ is nonzero. A priori, \mathcal{A}'_0 is nonzero, thus \mathcal{A}' is nonzero. \square

6.4. Descent to parahoric level at 0. Using results of [BL23] in the same way as in the proof of [Fæ24, Theorem 4.7.4.1], especially step 2, we can find a nonzero complex \mathcal{A} on $\text{Bun}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ that is Hecke eigen with eigenvalue ∇' , and is (V_P, \mathcal{L}_ϕ) -equivariant.

Explicitly, consider the forgetful function $\text{oblv} : D(\text{Bun}_{g'}) \rightarrow D(\text{Bun}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and the averaging functor $\text{Av}_* : D(\text{Bun}'_{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow D(\text{Bun}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ between categories of D -modules. Let I_0 be the Iwahori subgroup inside loop group $G(F_0)$. By [BL23, Lemma 6.7], [Fæ24, Theorem 1.3.6.1], and the construction of [Fæ24, 1.4.1.1], from that the monodromy at 0 of the eigenvalue of \mathcal{A}' is contained in \underline{u}_P , we can deduce that \mathcal{A}' is in the cocompletion of the image of the action

$$D(I_0 \backslash G(F_0)/I_0) \otimes D(\text{Bun}_{\mathfrak{g}}) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{oblv}} D(I_0 \backslash G(F_0)/I_0) \otimes D(\text{Bun}_{g'}) \xrightarrow{\text{conv},*} D(\text{Bun}_{g'})$$

where the second arrow is the convolution action. In particular, there exists $\mathcal{H} \in D(I_0 \backslash G(F_0)/I_0)$ such that $\mathcal{A} := \text{Av}_*(\mathcal{H} \star \mathcal{A}') \in D(\text{Bun}_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is nonzero.

Since all the operations in the above are only at 0, they commute with the Hecke action over $U \subset \mathbb{G}_m$ and V_P -action at ∞ . Therefore \mathcal{A} also has Hecke eigenvalue ∇' over U and is (V_P, \mathcal{L}_ϕ) -equivariant, giving the desired complex on $\text{Bun}_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

6.5. Holonomicity. Denote by i the closed embedding into Bun_G of the complement of open substack V_P . We have distinguished triangle

$$i_* i^! \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow j_* j^* \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{[1]}.$$

Since \mathcal{A} is (V_P, \mathcal{L}_ϕ) -equivariant, $i^! \mathcal{A} = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{A} \simeq j_* j^* \mathcal{A}$. Since $j^* \mathcal{A}$ is (V_P, \mathcal{L}_ϕ) -equivariant, it must be holonomic. So $\mathcal{A} \simeq j_* j^* \mathcal{A}$ is holonomic and (V_P, \mathcal{L}_ϕ) -equivariant, thus must be a complex of copies of the irreducible D -module \mathcal{A}_ϕ . This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

6.6. A few remarks.

6.6.1. One may want to directly construct a Hecke eigensheaf on Bun_G , rather than first construct it on $\text{Bun}_{G'}$. To this end, we need to know that the local oper χ_0 whose underlying connection has regular singularity with monodromy class inside \underline{u}_P is in the central support of a P -integrable module of the affine Kac-Moody algebra, then apply the localization functor to this module. When P is Iwahori (resp. hyperspecial), we know the central supports of P -integrable modules are exactly the regular singular opers with unipotent (resp. trivial) monodromy. But this relation for P in between is still an open conjecture. We are informed that some work in progress of Færgeman and Dhillon may imply the above desired relation when combined with [BL23, Theorem 6.1, Theorem 6.2].

6.6.2. We expect that the complex \mathcal{A} is just one copy of \mathcal{A}_ϕ concentrated in degree 0, rather than a complex of copies. This would follow from a conjectural description of a version of shift of quantum argument algebra for parahoric subgroup P in terms of the simple factors of the Levi subgroup L_P .

6.6.3. Unlike [Zhu17, Lemma 17, Lemma 18], the moment map $\mu : T^* \text{Bun}_G \rightarrow V_P^*$ can fail to be flat when P is strictly larger than I . In fact, we shall see that μ is flat if and only if $P = I$.

Let $\mathcal{G}(0, 1) = \mathcal{G}(P^{\text{opp}}, P(1))$. Then $\text{Bun}_G \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}(0,1)}$ is a V_P -bundle, and $\dim \text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}(0,1)} = 0$. We have Hamiltonian reduction

$$T^* \text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}(0,1)} \simeq \mu^{-1}(0)/V_P.$$

Suppose $\mu : T^* \text{Bun}_G \rightarrow V_P^*$ is flat. Since we have open embedding $V_P \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_G$, there is open embedding $T^* V_P \rightarrow T^* \text{Bun}_G$. We can see that generically, fibers of μ contain V_P as open subscheme, thus have dimension $\dim V_P$. If μ is flat, its fiber dimension is constant, so that $\dim \mu^{-1}(0) = \dim V_P$ and $\dim T^* \text{Bun}_G = 0 = 2 \dim \text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}(0,1)}$. So the flatness of μ is equivalent to the goodness of $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}(0,1)}$. However, the later is not true if P is larger than I . To see this, consider Birkhoff decomposition:

$$\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}(0,1)} = \bigsqcup_{\tilde{w} \in W_P \backslash \tilde{W}} P^- \backslash P^- \tilde{w} L_P P(1) / P(1).$$

The goodness condition is equivalent to that

$$\text{codim}\{y \in \text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}(0,1)} \mid \dim \text{Aut}(y) = n\} \geq n, \quad \forall n > 0.$$

In the strata $P^- \backslash P^- \tilde{w} L_P P(1) / P(1)$, all the objects have the same automorphism group $P^- \cap \tilde{w} P(1) \tilde{w}^{-1}$, whose dimension is close to $\ell(\tilde{w})$ up to a constant determined by G and P . Thus there are only finitely many strata whose automorphism groups have dimension equal to the same given number n . Denote

$$Y_n = \{\tilde{w} \in W_P \backslash \tilde{W} \mid \dim(P^- \cap \tilde{w} P(1) \tilde{w}^{-1}) = n\}.$$

Any $P^- \backslash P^- \tilde{w} L_P P(1) / P(1)$ can be regarded as a $P^- \cap \tilde{w} P(1) \tilde{w}^{-1}$ -gerbe over $\tilde{w}^{-1} P^- \tilde{w} \cap L_P \backslash L_P$. Thus the inequality of goodness becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 - (\max_{\tilde{w} \in Y_n} \dim(\tilde{w}^{-1} P^- \tilde{w} \cap L_P \backslash L_P) - n) &\geq n \\ \Leftrightarrow \dim(\tilde{w}^{-1} P^- \tilde{w} \cap L_P) &= \dim L_P, \quad \forall \tilde{w} \in Y_n \\ \Leftrightarrow \tilde{w} L_P \tilde{w}^{-1} &\subset P^-, \quad \forall \tilde{w} \in Y_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for the goodness to hold, the above should hold for all but finitely many \tilde{w} . However, when $P \neq I$, we can construct infinitely many \tilde{w} that fails the above relation. In this case there exists nonzero affine root $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Phi(L_P)$. Write $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + n_\alpha \cdot \delta$. Let x be the point in the apartment defining P . For $\tilde{w} = w \cdot v \in W \times X_*(T)$, if the above inclusion holds, then

$$\tilde{w} \tilde{\alpha}(x) = (w\alpha)(x) + n_\alpha + \alpha(v) \leq 0.$$

Fix w . Since $\alpha \neq 0$, there exists v_0 such that $\alpha(v_0) > 0$. For any $v = \ell v_0$, $\ell \gg 0$, the above inequality fails. Thus $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is not good, and μ is not flat.

7. APPLICATIONS

In the following we exhibit a series of applications of Theorem 5.

7.1. Epipelagic Langlands parameters. In positive characteristic case, the automorphic representation generated by the Frobenius trace function of the unique irreducible (V_P, \mathcal{L}_ϕ) -equivariant perverse sheaf on $\text{Bun}_{\mathcal{G}}$ has epipelagic representation of [RY14] as its local component at ∞ . Thus the monodromy at ∞ of the Hecke eigenvalue \mathcal{E}_ϕ of the (V_P, \mathcal{L}_ϕ) -equivariant D -module \mathcal{A}_ϕ is the de Rham analog of the epipelagic Langlands parameter.

7.1.1. In [RY14, §7.1], Reeder and Yu made predictions on the epipelagic Langlands parameters. We can deduce the following de Rham analog of their predictions from our main result:

Proposition 18. *For a stable inner grading with $s_0 > 0$ and $\phi \in V_P^{*,\text{st}}$, let $\nabla_\infty = \mathcal{E}_\phi|_\infty$ be the de Rham epipelagic Langlands parameter.*

- (i) *The monodromy group of ∇_∞ has zero fixed vectors on \mathfrak{g} .*
- (ii) *The slope of ∇_∞ is $\frac{1}{m}$, and its adjoint irregularity is $\text{Irr}(\nabla_\infty^{\text{Ad}}) = \frac{|\Phi|}{m}$.*
- (iii) *The image of wild inertia maps into a maximal torus \tilde{T} such that a generator of the tame inertia group maps to the order m \mathbb{Z} -regular elliptic class in $W = N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{T})/\tilde{T}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.(i), we have $\mathcal{E}_\phi \simeq \nabla^X$ for some $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1^{\text{st}}$.

For (ii), the adjoint irreducibility of $\nabla^X|_\infty$ is known from (i), (ii) of Proposition 12.

For (iii), the wild inertia image and generator of tame inertia of $\nabla^X|_\infty$ are given in Proposition 12.(iv).

For (i), any vector in \mathfrak{g} fixed by the wild inertia commutes with X , thus is in the Cartan subalgebra $\text{Lie}(\tilde{T}_X)$. The elements in $\text{Lie}(\tilde{T}_X)$ that are further fixed by the tame generator must be zero, since the action of tame generator is elliptic. \square

7.1.2. In [FG24], Fu and Gu computed the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{E}_\phi^{\text{St}}$, where G is a classical group, and St is the standard representation of \check{G} . From the isomorphism $\nabla^X \simeq \mathcal{E}_\phi$, we deduce the following de Rham analog of the part of [FG24, Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3] satisfying our assumption of the stable grading:

Proposition 19. *Let G be of type B_n , C_n , or D_n . Then we have*

$$-\chi_c(\mathcal{E}_\phi^{\text{St}}) = \begin{cases} \frac{2n}{m}, & G \text{ is type } B_n, C_n, \\ \frac{2n}{m}, & G \text{ is type } D_n, X \text{ has only nonzero eigenvalues,} \\ \frac{2n-2}{m}, & G \text{ is type } D_n, X \text{ has exactly } 2n-2 \text{ nonzero eigenvalues.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. From [FG24, Lemma 3.1], $-\chi_c(\mathcal{E}_\phi^{\text{St}}) = \text{Irr}_\infty(\mathcal{E}_\phi^{\text{St}})$. By Proposition 12.(iii), $\text{Irr}_\infty(\mathcal{E}_\phi^{\text{St}})$ is the number of nonzero eigenvalues of regular semisimple element X on St divided by m . For G of type B_n or C_n , regular semisimple elements have $2n$ nonzero eigenvalues on St . For G of type D_n , regular semisimple elements have $2n-2$ or $2n$ nonzero eigenvalues on St . The statement follows. \square

7.2. Cohomological rigidity.

Proposition 20. *The \check{G} -connection $\mathcal{E}_\phi \simeq \nabla^X$ is cohomologically rigid, i.e.*

$$H^*(\mathbb{G}_m, j_{!*} \nabla^{X, \text{Ad}}) = 0,$$

where $j : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the open embedding.

Proof. This is proved by Yun in [Yun16, Proposition 5.2] assuming (i) and (ii) of the above Proposition 18. \square

Remark 21.

- (1) Note that this gives a proof of the cohomological rigidity of θ -connection ∇^X that is different from the one in [Che17].
- (2) In [HJ24], Hohl and Jakob constructed the moduli space of θ -connections (they call generalized Kloosterman connections) and show that this space is at most a finite set. This should provide another proof of the cohomological rigidity of θ -connections without assuming $s_0 > 0$.

7.3. Local monodromy of θ -connections at 0. By [Yun16, Theorem 4.5, Proposition 4.8.(2)], the local monodromy of \mathcal{E}_ϕ at 0 is \underline{u}_P , which is the Richardson class of the dual parabolic \hat{P}_0 . Thus the local monodromy at 0 of the corresponding θ -connection ∇^X must also be this Richardson class. We can refine this a bit more.

7.3.1. From Lemma 9, we can see the space of stable vectors in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$ is the same as regular semisimple elements in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$, which is open dense in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$. Write a stable vector X as $X = X_1 + X_{1-m}$ according to \mathbb{Z} -grading (3). The projection $p : X \mapsto X_1, \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}} \subset \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$ has open dense image in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$ consisting of $\check{G}(0)$ -orbits. Denote by $\check{G}(0)$ the reductive subgroup of \check{G} whose Lie algebra is $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(0) \subset \check{\mathfrak{g}}$. By [Vin76, Proposition 2], there are finitely many $\check{G}(0)$ -orbits in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$. Since they have 0 in the closure of the adjoint action of $\check{\lambda}(\mathbb{G}_m) \subset \check{T}$, these elements are all nilpotent. Let \mathcal{O}_θ be the unique open dense $\check{G}(0)$ -orbit in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$. Then $p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\theta) = \check{\mathfrak{g}}(1-m) \times \mathcal{O}_\theta$ is open dense in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$. Define

$$(22) \quad \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}, \circ} := \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}} \cap p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_\theta).$$

From the above discussion, $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}, \circ}$ is open dense in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}}$. Note that when $s_0 > 0$, $\check{G}(0) = \check{G}_0$.

7.3.2. Recall the monodromy of ∇^X at 0 is $\exp(X_1)$. We will give a characterization of the nilpotent conjugacy class of X_1 , which turns out to be independent of the choice of X .

Inspired by the discussion in [Che17, §4.3], we show the following:

Proposition 22. *Assume the Kac coordinates both stable inner gradings on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ and \mathfrak{g} of order m satisfy $s_0 > 0$.*

- (i) *Let $X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}}$ be any stable vector. Then its component X_1 in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$ belongs to \mathcal{O}_θ .*
- (ii) *$\exp(\mathcal{O}_\theta) \subset \underline{u}_P$, where \underline{u}_P is the Richardson class for the dual parabolic subgroup \hat{P}_0 .*

Proof. When G is of type B_n or C_n , the proposition has been verified in Lemma 10 and Lemma 11.

When G is of other types, the dual parabolic \hat{P}_0 coincides with the parabolic \hat{P} defined from $\check{\lambda}$. Denote by $L_{\hat{P}}, U_{\hat{P}}$ the standard Levi subgroup and unipotent radical of \hat{P} , with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_{\hat{P}} = \text{Lie}(U_{\hat{P}})$. Denote by $u_{\hat{P}}$ the unique open dense \hat{P} -orbit in $\mathfrak{u}_{\hat{P}}$ where $\exp(u_{\hat{P}}) = \underline{u}_P \cap U_{\hat{P}}$. Observe that $L_{\hat{P}} = \check{G}(0) = \check{G}_0$ and $\mathfrak{u}_{\hat{P}} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \check{\mathfrak{g}}(k)$.

We have seen that there exists stable X such that $X_1 \in \mathcal{O}_\theta$. By $\nabla^X \simeq \mathcal{E}_\phi$, $\exp(X_1) \in \underline{u}_P$. Thus $\exp(\mathcal{O}_\theta) \subset \underline{u}_P$, $\mathcal{O}_\theta \subset u_{\hat{P}} \cap \check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$.

On the other hand, for any stable vector X , $\check{G}(0) \cdot X_1 \subset \check{\mathfrak{g}}(1) \cap u_{\hat{P}}$. Observe that the projection of $u_{\hat{P}} = \hat{P} \cdot X_1 = U_{\hat{P}} \cdot (\check{G}(0) \cdot X_1) \subset \mathfrak{u}_{\hat{P}}$ to $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$ is $\check{G}(0) \cdot X_1$, which must be open dense in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$. Thus it coincides with \mathcal{O}_θ . We conclude $X_1 \in u_{\hat{P}} \cap \check{\mathfrak{g}}(1) = \mathcal{O}_\theta$. \square

Remark 23.

- (1) When \check{G} is of classical type, i.e. A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n , the regular semisimple elements are those matrices whose eigenvalues are nonzero under roots. Then the proposition can be verified directly case-by-case.
- (2) When \check{G} is of exceptional type, i.e. E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2 , there is a direct argument for part (ii). By [RLYG12, Proposition 26], we know in exceptional types there exists a distinguished nilpotent element $A \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$ such that $\text{ad}(A) : \check{\mathfrak{g}}(0) \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$ is a bijection, and there exists vector $M \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}(1-m)$ such that $M + A \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}}$. From this we know $\check{G}(0) \cdot A$ is dense in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$, thus $\check{G}(0) \cdot A = \mathcal{O}_\theta$.

For part (ii), we know from [RLYG12, Proposition 26, Remark 2] that \mathcal{O}_θ corresponds to the regular elliptic class representing θ in the Weyl group via the Kazhdan-Lusztig map, and from the last sentence of [Yun16, §1.2] that the regular elliptic class corresponds to \underline{u}_P via Lusztig's map. The main theorem of [Yun21] tells us the Kazhdan-Lusztig map is a section of Lusztig's map. Thus \mathcal{O}_θ is in the same conjugacy class as \underline{u}_P .

Corollary 24. *We have equalities*

$$(23) \quad \dim C_{\check{G}}(X_1) = \dim \mathfrak{g}_0 = \frac{|\Phi|}{m}.$$

Proof. Note that by [Yun16, Lemma 4.6], for $u \in \underline{u}_P$, the Springer fiber \mathcal{B}_u has dimension equals to $\ell(w_P)$. On the one hand, the length of longest element w_P is the number of positive roots in the reductive quotient $L_P \simeq G_0$: $\ell(w_P) = \Phi^+(G_0)$. On the other hand, the dimension of Springer fiber is $\dim \mathcal{B}_u = \frac{1}{2}(\dim C_{\check{G}}(u) - r)$, where r is the rank of \check{G} . Thus we have $\frac{1}{2}(\dim C_{\check{G}}(u) - r) = \Phi^+(G_0)$, which is equivalent to $\dim C_{\check{G}}(u) = \dim \mathfrak{g}_0$. This proves the first equality.

The last equality comes from realizing the stable automorphism as the adjoint action of an order m element that lifts the \mathbb{Z} -regular elliptic class of order m , see the proof of [Yun16, Proposition 5.2]. \square

7.3.3. Counterexamples.

- (1) Let $\check{G} = \text{SO}_7$. Consider the stable grading of $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{so}_7$ of order $m = 2$, which has $s_0 = 0$. In this case, $p(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}})$ contains two $\check{G}(0)$ -orbits, where \underline{u}_P is not the open orbit \mathcal{O}_θ in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$ but rather the smaller orbit. Therefore Proposition 22 fails. See §8.2 for more details.
- (2) Let $\check{G} = \text{SO}_{2n}$. Consider the stable inner grading of $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}$ with $s_0 = 0$ and of order $m = \frac{2n}{k}$, where $k > 2$ is an even divisor of n , i.e. the third class of [RLYG12, Table 14]. In this case, $p(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}})$ contains more than one $\check{G}(0)$ -orbits, so that Proposition 22.(i) fails. Moreover, \underline{u}_P is also not the open orbit \mathcal{O}_θ , but rather the smallest $\check{G}(0)$ -orbit in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$.
- (3) Let $\check{G} = G_2$. Consider the stable grading of \mathfrak{g}_2 with $s_0 = 0$ and of order $m = 2$, the third class of [RLYG12, Table 7]. In this case there are three nonzero $\check{G}(0)$ -orbits in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$, whose

stabilizers in $\check{G}(0)$ have dimensions 0, 1, 2 respectively. These three orbits all belong to $\mathfrak{p}(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}})$. However, \underline{u}_P corresponds to the orbit with stabilizer dimension one, i.e. neither the open orbit \mathcal{O}_θ nor the minimal orbit.

- (4) Consider an inner grading of positive rank on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $s_0 > 0$ that is not necessarily stable. We compare the Richardson class defined by $\check{\lambda} = mx$, i.e. the class \underline{u}_P when the grading is stable with $s_0 > 0$, and the orbit \mathcal{O}_θ . Since

$$\text{Stab}_{\hat{P}}(X_1) = \{ug \in \hat{P} \mid u = \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} Y_i\right), Y_i \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}(i), [Y_i, X_1] = 0; g \in \check{G}(0), g \cdot X_1 = X_1\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P} \cdot X_1 \text{ is open dense in } \mathfrak{u}_{\hat{P}} &\Leftrightarrow \dim \hat{P} \cdot X_1 = \dim \mathfrak{u}_{\hat{P}} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \dim \text{Stab}_{\hat{P}}(X_1) = \dim \check{G}(0) - \dim \check{G}(0) \cdot X_1 + \sum_{i \geq 1} \dim \check{\mathfrak{g}}(i) - \dim[\check{\mathfrak{g}}(i), X_1] \\ &= \dim \check{G}(0) + \sum_{i \geq 0} \dim \check{\mathfrak{g}}(i+1) - \dim[\check{\mathfrak{g}}(i), X_1] \\ &= \dim \check{G}(0) \\ &\Leftrightarrow [\check{\mathfrak{g}}(i), X_1] = \check{\mathfrak{g}}(i+1), \quad \forall i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

A necessary condition for the above to hold is that

$$\dim \check{\mathfrak{g}}(i) \geq \dim \check{\mathfrak{g}}(i+1), \quad \forall i \geq 0.$$

However, this is not true in general³. Consider the grading on $\check{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}_{2n+1}$ defined by the adjoint action of a diagonal matrix t satisfying $\alpha_i(t) = 1$, $i \neq n, n+1$; $\alpha_n(t) = \alpha_{n+1}(t) = \exp(2\pi i/3)$. Here α_i are simple roots. The order of this grading is 3. It is easy to see that this grading has positive rank: taking an element $X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1$, X^3 is a block diagonal matrix contained in $\mathfrak{gl}_n \times \mathfrak{gl}_1 \times \mathfrak{gl}_n$. We can choose X so that X^3 has nonzero component in \mathfrak{gl}_1 . Then $X^{3n} \neq 0$, which cannot happen if $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_{2n+1}$ is nilpotent. For this grading, $\check{G}(0) \simeq \text{GL}_n \times \text{GL}_1 \times \text{GL}_n$, $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1) \simeq M_{n \times 1} \oplus M_{1 \times n}$, $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(2) \simeq M_{n \times n}$. Thus $\dim \check{\mathfrak{g}}(1) < \dim \check{\mathfrak{g}}(2)$.

We can see that in the above example where $s_0 > 0$ and the grading has positive rank, any nilpotent class in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$ is not the Richardson class.

7.4. Global oper structures of θ -connections.

Although θ -connections have no obvious oper structures except in the case of Frenkel-Gross connection where $m = h$, we show as a corollary of our main theorem that they actually do have global oper structures.

7.4.1. *Opers and Hitchin bases.* Recall that $P^{\text{opp}} \subset G(\mathcal{O}_0)$. Its Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{p}^{\text{opp}} \subset \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}^{\text{opp}} + \mathbb{C}1$ is a subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}((t)) + \mathbb{C}1$. Denote $\text{Vac}_{\mathfrak{p}^{\text{opp}}} = \text{Ind}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}^{\text{opp}} + \mathbb{C}1}}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathbf{1}$ and $\text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}, P^{\text{opp}}}(D_0)_0 = \text{Spec}(\text{Im}(\mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{Vac}_{\mathfrak{p}^{\text{opp}}}))$). Consider the following space of opers:

$$(24) \quad \text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} := \text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times_{\text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(D_0^\times)} \text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}, P^{\text{opp}}}(D_0)_0 \times_{\text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(D_\infty^\times)} \text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(D_\infty)_{\leq 1/m}.$$

The restriction to ∞ gives $\text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(D_\infty)_{\leq 1/m}$. From discussion in §5, we have morphism $U(V_P)^{L_P} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}(2)} \simeq \text{Fun} \text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}}(D_\infty)_{\leq 1/m}$. Composing with these, we get a morphism

$$(25) \quad p : \text{Op}_{\check{\mathfrak{g}}} \rightarrow V_P^* // L_P.$$

³The inequality is always true for $i = 0$, see the proof of [BC76, Proposition 3.1]

We will show that the above is an isomorphism. For this, we need some preparation on Hitchin base. For any $k \geq 0$, let $\text{Vac}_{\mathfrak{p}(k)} := \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{p}(k)+\mathbb{C}1}^{\mathfrak{g}} \mathbf{1}$ and

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}(k)} := \text{Im}(\mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \text{End}(\text{Vac}_{\mathfrak{p}(k)}) \simeq \text{Vac}_{\mathfrak{p}(k)}^{P(k)} \subset \text{Vac}_{\mathfrak{p}(k)}).$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}(k)^\perp \subset \mathfrak{g}((t))dt$ be the \mathcal{O} -lattice that is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{p}(k)$, as in [Zhu17, §4.3]. Observe that $\text{gr}\text{Vac}_{\mathfrak{p}(k)} \simeq \text{Fun } \mathfrak{p}(k)^\perp$. Thus $\text{gr}\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathfrak{p}(k)}$ is isomorphic to the functions on the closure of the image of the local Hitchin map for $\mathfrak{p}(k)$ as in [Zhu17, Proposition 10]:

$$(26) \quad \mathfrak{p}(k)^\perp \rightarrow \text{Hit}(D^\times) \simeq \bigoplus_i \omega_F^{d_i},$$

where $F = \mathbb{C}((t))$, and the last isomorphism is via invariant polynomials defined by Kostant section $p_{-1} + \sum_i \mathbb{C}p_i \simeq \mathfrak{g} // G$. By [Zhu17, Proposition 10], the above map factors through

$$(27) \quad \text{Hit}(D)_{\mathfrak{p}(k)} := \bigoplus_i \omega_{\mathcal{O}}^{d_i} (d_i - \lceil \frac{d_i(1-k)}{m} \rceil).$$

In particular, we have

$$(28) \quad \text{Hit}(D)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigoplus_i \omega_{\mathcal{O}}^{d_i} (d_i - \lceil \frac{d_i}{m} \rceil), \quad \text{Hit}(D)_{\mathfrak{p}(2)} = \bigoplus_i \omega_{\mathcal{O}}^{d_i} (d_i + \lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor).$$

Zhu showed in [Zhu17, Corollary 12, Proposition 13] that for $k = 1, 2$, $\mathfrak{p}(k)^\perp$ maps onto $\text{Hit}(D)_{\mathfrak{p}(k)}$. Also, it is well known that for $P = I$, \mathfrak{i}^\perp maps onto $\text{Hit}(D)_i$.

Proposition 25. *Let P be a parahoric subgroup corresponding to a principal grading. Then the image closure $\text{Im}(\mathfrak{p}^\perp \rightarrow \text{Hit}(D^\times))$ is $\text{Hit}(D)_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Proof. It suffices to make slight modification to the proof of [Zhu17, Proposition 13]. Note that the inclusion of image into $\text{Hit}(D)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is known from [Zhu17, Proposition 10]. It remains to show surjectivity.

Since P corresponds to a principal grading θ , there exists a θ -adapted principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\{e, f, h\}$, i.e. $e \in \mathfrak{g}_1, f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, h \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Let u be a m -th root of t , $t = u^m$. Let x_P be the barycenter of the facet defining P , $\eta = mx_P \in X_*(T)$. The adjoint action of $\eta(u)$ gives isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{p}^\perp \simeq \mathfrak{p}(1) \frac{dt}{t} \simeq \prod_{j \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_j \otimes u^j \frac{du}{u}.$$

Let q_1, \dots, q_n be a homogeneous basis of \mathfrak{g}^f , such that $q_j \in \mathfrak{g}_{-(d_j-1)}$. Recall we define the splitting of Hitchin base using Kostant section. We can write an arbitrary element of $\text{Hit}(D)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as

$$\sum_i t^{\lceil \frac{d_i}{m} \rceil - d_i} c_i(t) (dt)^{d_i} = \sum_i u^{m \lceil \frac{d_i}{m} \rceil} c_i(u^m) (\frac{du}{u})^{d_i}, \quad c_i(t) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]].$$

By $e + \mathfrak{g}^f \simeq \mathfrak{c}$, the above element has a preimage $X \frac{dt}{t}$ under Hitchin map of the form

$$X = e + \sum_i u^{m \lceil \frac{d_i}{m} \rceil} c_i(u^m) q_i.$$

Our goal is to find a preimage in \mathfrak{p}^\perp . However, $X \frac{dt}{t}$ is not in this space. It suffices to show that we can conjugate X into $\mathfrak{p}(1) \simeq \prod_{j \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_j \otimes u^j$. Observe that h has integral eigenvalues on \mathfrak{g} , thus it can be given by a cocharacter $\frac{h}{2} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G^{ad}$. Consider a lift g of $\frac{h}{2}(u)$ to $G((u))$, which exists because $G \rightarrow G^{ad}$ is faithfully flat. Then

$$\text{Ad}_g X = ue + \sum_i u^{m \lceil \frac{d_i}{m} \rceil - d_i + 1} c_i(u^m) q_i$$

which belongs to $\prod_{j \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_j \otimes w^j$. \square

Remark 26. In fact, the image of local Hitchin map has been computed in [BK18] when P is of parabolic type and G is either of classical type or of type G_2 . In *loc. cit.*, the Hitchin map is computed using the characteristic polynomial. Let $m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq m_n$ be fundamental degrees with multiplicity of Levi L_P . Here 1 is also counted as trivial degree, with possible multiplicity. Then apart from some abnormal cases in type D, the local Hitchin image is as follows:

Theorem 27 (Theorem 7 [BK18]). *In terms of coefficients of characteristic polynomial, the closure of local Hitchin image is given by $\bigoplus_i \omega_{\mathfrak{O}}^{d_i}(d_i - m_i)$.*

Observe that when G is of type A, B, C, G_2 , under the standard representation, the Kostant section of G embeds into that of GL_N . Moreover, for a vector $X = p_{-1} + \sum_i a_i p_i$ in the Kostant section of \mathfrak{gl}_N , we have

$$\det(\lambda I + X) = \lambda^N + \sum_i c_i a_i \lambda^i,$$

where c_i are nonzero constants. Thus the Hitchin image in terms of Kostant sections or characteristic polynomials are the same in these situations.

In view of the above discussion, when G is of type A, B, C, G_2 and P is a parabolic preimage, to verify Proposition 25, it suffices to check $m_i = \lceil \frac{d_i}{m} \rceil$, which can be done case-by-case using the tables in [RLYG12, §7].

The above might be true for any principal parahoric subgroup. But beyond this it should not hold: the Hitchin image in the conjecture depends only on the order m , but there are parahorics with the same order m whose Levi quotients have different set of fundamental invariants, thus have different Hitchin image in the case of Theorem 27.

The above calculation works equally when we replace \mathfrak{p} with $\mathfrak{p}^{\mathrm{opp}}$. Define $\mathrm{Hit}(D_0)_{\mathfrak{p}^{\mathrm{opp}}}$ in the same way as for \mathfrak{p} . Consider the following global Hitchin base:

$$(29) \quad \mathrm{Hit}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1) := \mathrm{Hit}(\mathbb{G}_m) \times_{\mathrm{Hit}(D_0^\times)} \mathrm{Hit}(D_0)_{\mathfrak{p}^{\mathrm{opp}}} \times_{\mathrm{Hit}(D_\infty^\times)} \mathrm{Hit}(D_\infty)_{\mathfrak{p}(2)}.$$

Denote the set of fundamental degrees divisible by m by

$$(30) \quad S_m := \{d_i \mid d_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m}\}.$$

Combining Proposition 25 and [Zhu17, Proposition 13], we get:

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hit}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1) &= \bigoplus_i \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \omega^{d_i}((d_i - \lceil \frac{d_i}{m} \rceil) \cdot 0 + (d_i + \lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor) \cdot \infty)) \\ &\simeq \bigoplus_i \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(\lfloor \frac{d_i}{m} \rfloor - \lceil \frac{d_i}{m} \rceil)) \simeq \mathbb{A}^{|S_m|}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, recall from [Zhu17, Proposition 14] that we have surjective morphism

$$\mathrm{Hit}(D_\infty)_{\mathfrak{p}(2)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{d_i \in S_m} \omega_{\mathfrak{O}}^{d_i}(d_i + \frac{d_i}{m}) / \omega_{\mathfrak{O}}^{d_i}(d_i + \frac{d_i}{m} - 1) \simeq V_P^* // L_P.$$

Combining the discussion above, we obtain the following corollary of Proposition 25:

Corollary 28. *The composition $\mathrm{Hit}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hit}(D_\infty)_{\mathfrak{p}(2)} \rightarrow V_P^* // L_P$ is an isomorphism.*

7.4.2. Global oper structures.

Lemma 29. *The morphism p in (25) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Recall from the discussion in §5 that $\mathfrak{Z}_{p(2)}$ is a free algebra generated by a collection of Segal-Sugawara operators $S_{i,j}$, and $U(V_P)^{LP}$ is generated a subset of those generators $S_{i,d_i+\frac{d_i}{m}-1}$ where d_i is divisible by m as in (17). Thus the map $\text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g},\lambda_0} \rightarrow \text{Spec}\mathfrak{Z}_{p(2)} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Spec}U(V_P)^{LP}$ is first writing a global oper χ into its oper canonical form at ∞ , i.e. $\chi_\infty = d + (p_{-1} + \sum_i v_i(s)p_i)ds$, $v_i(s) = \sum_j v_{ij}s^{-j-1}$, then taking the coefficients $v_{i,d_i+\frac{d_i}{m}-1}$ for $m \mid d_i$.

From the same proof as [Yi22, Lemma 6], we have

$$(32) \quad \text{grFun Op}_{\mathfrak{g},\lambda_0} \simeq \text{Fun Hit}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq V_P^* // L_P.$$

Thus $\text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq V_P^* // L_P$. □

Proposition 30. *Any θ -connection ∇^X has a global oper structure given by $p^{-1}(\phi)$, where X and ϕ match under (6).*

Proof. For any stable classes $\phi \in V_P^* // L_P$, let $\chi_\phi = p^{-1}(\phi) \in \text{Op}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let X correspond to ϕ under (6), with associated θ -connection ∇^X . We can repeat the construction in §6.2 and §6.3 with I^{opp} replaced by P^{opp} to get a (V_P, ϕ) -equivariant nonzero Hecke eigen complex $\mathcal{A}_{\chi,\phi}$ on $\text{Bun}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, whose eigenvalue is both \mathcal{E}_ϕ and the underlying connection of χ_ϕ . By Theorem 5, we know $\mathcal{E}_\phi \simeq \nabla^X$. We conclude that ∇^X is the underlying connection of χ_ϕ . □

7.5. Positive characteristic case. The proof in [XZ22] should be applicable in our case, giving a Frobenius structure on p -adic θ -connection, whose eigenvalue should correspond to the ℓ -adic epipelagic eigenvalue. Thus we may deduce the properties of ℓ -adic epipelagic L-parameters, obtained by Kaletha [Kal15] using completely different methods. But this should require some extra work: the p -adic lifting is sensitive to the explicit coefficients of the connection, and properties like slopes cannot always be naively lifted to the p -adic connection.

8. THE CONJECTURE FOR $s_0 = 0$

For \mathcal{E}_ϕ to be matched with ∇^X , their monodromies at 0 must match, i.e. $X_1 \in u_{\check{P}}$. However, we have seen in §7.3.3 that this can fail when $s_0 = 0$. In the following we propose a modification of Theorem 5 and exhibit an evidence.

8.1. A conjectural description of degree one component of stable vectors. Recall the projection $p : \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1 \rightarrow \check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$. Recall that the image $\pi(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}})$ always contains the open $\check{G}(0)$ -orbit \mathcal{O}_θ . When $s_0 > 0$, the image consists of this single orbit. However, when $s_0 = 0$, the image can contain more than one $\check{G}(0)$ -orbit. Note $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = \check{\mathfrak{g}}(0) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{g}}(m) \oplus \check{\mathfrak{g}}(-m)$. The following conjectural description of the smallest orbit in the image generalizes Proposition 22 to the case of $s_0 = 0$.

Conjecture 31. *Let θ be a stable grading on $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$, with associated parahoric subgroup P .*

- (i) *There is a $\check{G}(0)$ -orbit $\mathcal{O}_P \subset p(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}})$ satisfying $\exp(\mathcal{O}_P) \subset \underline{u}_P$.*
- (ii) *For any $X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}}$, there exists $g \in \exp(\check{\mathfrak{g}}(m)) \subset \check{G}_0$ such that $p(\text{Ad}_g X) \in \mathcal{O}_P$.*

In view of the above conjecture, we formulate the following generalization of Theorem 5:

Conjecture 32. *Let θ be a stable inner grading of $\check{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that the Kac coordinates of the associated point x .*

- (i) Let $\phi \in V_P^{*,\text{st}}$ be a stable functional. By Conjecture 31, there exists stable vector $X = X(\phi)$ that matches with ϕ under (6) such that $p(X) \in \mathcal{O}_P$. Then there is an isomorphism of \check{G} -connections:

$$(33) \quad \mathcal{E}_\phi \simeq \nabla^X.$$

- (ii) The θ -connection ∇^X is physically rigid, i.e. determined by its restrictions to D_0^\times and D_∞^\times up to isomorphism.

Corollary 33. For any $X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}}$, then construction of the connection ∇^X depends only on the \check{G}_0 -orbit of X up to isomorphism.

8.2. An example of Conjecture 31 for $\check{G} = \text{SO}_7$. Consider the order $m = 2$ stable grading on \mathfrak{so}_7 belonging to the fourth class of [RLYG12, Table 12], where $s_0 = 0$. In this case, $\check{G}(0) \simeq \text{GL}_2 \times \text{SO}_3$, $\check{G}_0 \simeq \text{SO}_4 \times \text{SO}_3$, and $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1) \simeq M_{2 \times 3}(\mathbb{C})$. We can easily verify the following fact:

Lemma 34.

$$p(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}}) = \mathcal{O}_\theta \sqcup \mathcal{O}_P, \quad \text{where } \exp(\mathcal{O}_P) \subset \underline{u}_P.$$

Precisely, for $X_1 \in p(\check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}})$, X_1 has rank 4 and $(X_1)^2$ has rank either one or two. The orbit \mathcal{O}_θ are those whose square have rank two; \mathcal{O}_P are those whose square have rank one, which has the same Jordan type $(2, 2, 3)$ as \underline{u}_P given in [Yun16, §4.9]. Note that nonzero entries in $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(1)$ are spanned by entries $\mathbb{C}E_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2, 3 \leq j \leq 5$ and $3 \leq i \leq 5, 6 \leq j \leq 7$. Thus nonzero entries of $p(\text{Ad}_g X)^2$ support in the 2-by-2 block on the upper right corner. Let q be the projection to the 2-by-2 block on the upper right corner. Note that a nonzero 2-by-2 matrix has rank one iff it has vanishing determinant. To sum up, we conclude that

$$\forall X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}}, p(X) \in \mathcal{O}_P \text{ iff } \det(q(p(X)^2)) = 0.$$

Observe that $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(m) = \check{\mathfrak{g}}(2)$ consists of the root space of highest root, which we denote by γ . Explicitly, $\check{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma$ consists of matrices with nonzero elements in the 2-by-2 block on the upper right corner, where this block is a diagonal matrix of trace zero. Denote by E_γ the root vector whose only nonzero entry in the first row equals to 1.

The rest of Conjecture 31 amounts to the following statement:

$$\forall X \in \check{\mathfrak{g}}_1^{\text{st}} \text{ with } p(X) \in \mathcal{O}_\theta, \exists a \in \mathbb{C} \text{ s.t. } \det(q(p(\text{Ad}_{\exp(aE_\gamma)} X)^2)) = 0.$$

Since the adjoint action of $\check{G}(0)$ preserves $\check{\mathfrak{g}}(m)$, we may fix $p(X)$. Assume

$$(34) \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_6 & -b_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -2b_4 & -2b_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_2 & -b_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & b_1 & b_3 & b_5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_2 & b_4 & b_6 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For X to be stable, which is equivalent to being regular semisimple, we look at its characteristic polynomial:

$$(35) \quad \det(\lambda I + X) = \lambda[\lambda^6 - 2(b_1 + b_6)\lambda^4 + ((b_1 + b_6)^2 - 4b_2b_5 - 4b_3b_4)\lambda^2 + 4((b_1 + b_6)b_3b_4 - b_5b_4^2 - b_2b_3^2)].$$

For X to be regular semisimple, the above polynomial needs to have one zero root and six distinct nonzero roots.

On the other hand,

$$q(p(\text{Ad}_{\exp(aE_\gamma)} X)^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 2ab_5 + 2a^2(b_1b_5 + b_3^2) & 1 + a(b_1 - b_6) - a^2(b_1b_6 + 2b_3b_4 + b_2b_5) \\ 1 + a(b_1 - b_6) - a^2(b_1b_6 + 2b_3b_4 + b_2b_5) & -2ab_2 + 2a^2(b_2b_6 + b_4^2) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

(36)

$$\begin{aligned} \det(p(\pi(\text{Ad}_{\exp(aE_\gamma)}X)^2)) = & [4(b_1b_5 + b_3^2)(b_2b_6 + b_4^2) - (b_2b_5 + 2b_3b_4 + b_1b_6)]a^4 \\ & + [4(b_5(b_2b_6 + b_4^2) - b_2(b_1b_5 + b_3^2)) + 2(b_1 - b_6)(b_2b_5 + 2b_3b_4 + b_1b_6)]a^3 \\ & + [2(b_2b_5 + 2b_3b_4 + b_1b_6) - 4b_2b_5 - (b_1 - b_6)^2]a^2 \\ & - 2(b_1 - b_6)a - 1. \end{aligned}$$

To verify the conjecture, for any given b_i 's such that the polynomial (35) has distinct roots, we need to find $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the above polynomial (36) vanishes. Suppose this cannot be done. Then all the coefficients of a^k in (36) are zero. We show that in this case polynomial (35) will have repeated roots.

Vanishing of the coefficients of (36) means

$$\begin{cases} b_1 = b_6, \\ b_2b_5 = b_1^2 + 2b_3b_4, \\ b_5b_4^2 = b_2b_3^2, \\ 2b_1b_2b_3^2 = 3b_3^2b_4^2 + 2b_1^2b_3b_4. \end{cases}$$

First, it is not hard to see that if $b_4 = 0$, then we can deduce from the above that $b_1 = b_6 = b_2b_3 = b_2b_5 = 0$. Then (35) has at least two zero roots. Thus we may assume $b_4 \neq 0$. Similarly, we may assume $b_1 \neq 0$. Then we can solve from the above that

$$\begin{cases} b_1^2 = -\frac{9}{4}b_3b_4, \\ b_2 = -\frac{3b_4^2}{4b_1}, \\ b_5 = -\frac{3b_3^2}{4b_1}. \end{cases}$$

Take these back into (35), we obtain

$$\lambda^{-1} \det(\lambda I + X) = (\lambda^2)^3 - 4b_1(\lambda^2)^2 + \frac{16}{3}b_1^2\lambda^2 - \frac{64}{27}b_1^3.$$

Take substitution $\lambda^2 = b_1t/3$, we obtain the following polynomial:

$$t^3 - 12t^2 + 48t - 64.$$

Recall that a cubic polynomial $t^3 + bt^2 + ct + d$ has repeated roots iff its discriminant $\Delta = b^2c^2 - 4c^3 - 4b^3d - 27d^2 + 18bcd$ vanishes. This is indeed the case for the above polynomial. This completes the verification of Conjecture 31 in this case.

REFERENCES

- [Ari16] D. Arinkin, *Irreducible connections admit generic oper structures* (2016), available at 1602.08989.
- [BV83] D. G. Babbitt and V. S. Varadarajan, *Formal reduction theory of meromorphic differential equations: a group theoretic view*, Pacific J. Math. **109** (1983), no. 1, 1–80.
- [BC76] P. Bala and R. W. Carter, *Classes of unipotent elements in simple algebraic groups. I*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **79** (1976), no. 3, 401–425.
- [BD97] A. Beilinson and V. Drinfeld, *Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves* (1997). <https://www.math.uchicago.edu/~mitya/langlands/hitchin/BD-hitchin.pdf>.
- [BK18] D. Baraglia and M. Kamgarpour, *On the image of the parabolic Hitchin map*, Q. J. Math. **69** (2018), no. 2, 681–708.
- [BL23] R. Bezrukavnikov and I. Losev, *Dimensions of modular irreducible representations of semisimple Lie algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (2023), no. 4, 1235–1304, DOI 10.1090/jams/1017.
- [Che17] T.-H. Chen, *Vinberg's θ -groups and rigid connections*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **23** (2017), 7321–7343.
- [Fæ24] J. Færgeman, *Motivic realization of rigid G -local systems on curves and tamely ramified geometric Langlands* (2024), available at 2405.18268.

- [Fre07] E. Frenkel, *Langlands correspondence for loop groups* **103** (2007), xvi+379.
- [FFR09] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, and L. Rybnikov, *On the endomorphisms of Weyl modules over affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level*, Lett. Math. Phys. **88** (2009), no. 1-3, 163–173.
- [FG09] E. Frenkel and B. Gross, *A rigid irregular connection on the projective line*, Ann. of Math. (2) **170** (2009), no. 3, 1469–1512.
- [FG24] Y. Fu and M. Gu, *Euler characteristics of the generalized Kloosterman sheaves for symplectic and orthogonal groups* (2024), available at [2407.19700](#).
- [HNY13] J. Heinloth, B. C. Ngô, and Z. Yun, *Kloosterman sheaves for reductive groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **177** (2013), 241–310.
- [HJ24] A. Hohl and K. Jakob, *Stokes phenomenon of Kloosterman and Airy connections* (2024), available at [2404.09582](#).
- [JKY23] K. Jakob, M. Kamgarpour, and L. Yi, *Airy sheaves for reductive groups*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **126** (2023), no. 1, 390–428, DOI [10.1112/plms.12494](#).
- [JY23] K. Jakob and Z. Yun, *A Deligne-Simpson problem for irregular G -connections over \mathbb{P}^1* (2023), available at [2301.10967](#).
- [Kal15] T. Kaletha, *Epipelagic L -packets and rectifying characters*, Invent. Math. **202** (2015), no. 1, 1–89, DOI [10.1007/s00222-014-0566-4](#).
- [KS21] M. Kamgarpour and D.S. Sage, *Rigid connections on \mathbb{P}^1 via the Bruhat-Tits building*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **122** (2021), no. 3, 359–376, DOI [10.1112/plms.12346](#).
- [KY21] M. Kamgarpour and L. Yi, *Geometric Langlands for Hypergeometric sheaves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **374** (2021), 8435–8481.
- [KXY22] M. Kamgarpour, D. Xu, and L. Yi, *Hypergeometric sheaves for classical groups via geometric Langlands* (2022), available at [2201.08063](#).
- [Kat87] Nicholas M. Katz, *On the calculation of some differential Galois groups*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987), no. 1, 13–61.
- [RY14] M. Reeder and J. Yu, *Epipelagic representations and invariant theory*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (2014), no. 2, 437–477.
- [RLYG12] M. Reeder, P. Levy, J. Yu, and B. Gross, *Gradings of positive rank on simple Lie algebras*, Transform. Groups **17** (2012), no. 4, 1123–1190.
- [Pan05] D. I. Panyushev, *On invariant theory of θ -groups*, Journal of Algebra **283** (2005), no. 2, 655–670.
- [Vin76] E. B. Vinberg, *The Weyl group of a graded Lie algebra*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **40** (1976), no. 3, 488–526, 709.
- [XZ22] D. Xu and X. Zhu, *Bessel F -isocrystals for reductive groups*, Invent. math. (2022).
- [Yi22] L. Yi, *On the physical rigidity of Frenkel-Gross connection* (2022), available at [2201.11850](#).
- [Yun16] Z. Yun, *Epipelagic representations and rigid local systems*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **22** (2016), 1195–1243.
- [Yun21] ———, *Minimal reduction type and the Kazhdan-Lusztig map*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **32** (2021), no. 6, 1240–1274.
- [Zhu17] X. Zhu, *Frenkel-Gross’ irregular connection and Heinloth-Ngô-Yun’s are the same*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **23** (2017), no. 1, 245–274.

TSAO-HSIEN CHEN, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, TWIN CITIES, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455

E-mail address: chenth@umn.edu

LINGFEI YI, SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: yilingfei@fudan.edu.cn