

# INVARIANCE AND NEAR INVARIANCE FOR NON-CYCLIC SHIFT SEMIGROUPS

YUXIA LIANG AND JONATHAN R. PARTINGTON

ABSTRACT. This paper characterises the subspaces of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  simultaneously invariant under  $S^2$  and  $S^{2k+1}$ , where  $S$  is the unilateral shift, then further identifies the subspaces that are nearly invariant under both  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^{2k+1})^*$  for  $k \geq 1$ . More generally, the simultaneously (nearly) invariant subspaces with respect to  $(S^m)^*$  and  $(S^{k+m+\gamma})^*$  are characterised for  $m \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ , which leads to a description of (nearly) invariant subspaces with respect to higher order shifts. Finally, the corresponding results for Toeplitz operators induced by a Blaschke product are presented. Techniques used include a refinement of Hitt's algorithm, the Beurling–Lax theorem, and matrices of analytic functions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Study of the structure of invariant subspaces for special classes of operators has produced a series of significant theorems and examples. These successfully build relations between holomorphic function theory, operator theory, and functional analysis. In particular, Beurling in 1949 proved the celebrated theorem on nontrivial shift-invariant subspaces being expressed as  $\theta H^2(\mathbb{D})$  for inner functions  $\theta$  belonging to the Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  over the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  (see, e.g. [18, A. Cor. 1.4.1]). In what follows, the unilateral shift  $S$  on the  $\mathbb{C}^n$ -vector-valued Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n)$  is defined as  $Sf(z) = zf(z)$  and its adjoint is  $S^*f(z) = (f(z) - f(0))/z$ . Beurling's theorem has led to an enormous amount of work and stimulated fruitful research in different directions.

Now for  $T$  an isometry on  $H^2$ , a nearly  $T^*$ -invariant subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  is one that satisfies the property

$$f \in T(H^2) \cap \mathcal{M} \implies T^*f \in \mathcal{M}.$$

For  $T = S$  this means that if  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $f(0) = 0$  then  $S^*f \in \mathcal{M}$ .

In 1988, Hitt defined the nearly  $S^*$ -invariant subspaces of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  in order to classify the shift-invariant subspaces of the Hardy space of an annulus in [11]. This was also viewed as a generalisation of Hayashi's results on Toeplitz kernels in [10]. Sarason continued to explore nearly  $S^*$ -invariant subspaces in [21, 22] to further reveal the relations with Toeplitz kernels, deepening the understanding of specific subspaces of Hardy spaces.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B38, 47A15, 30H10.

*Key words and phrases.* nearly invariant subspace, shift, simultaneous invariance, Blaschke product.

Motivated by describing near invariance corresponding to division by other functions than  $z$ , we recently considered composition by inner functions. To be precise, we explored the near  $S^*$ -invariance for the range of Toeplitz kernels under composition operators induced by inner functions, and identified the minimal Toeplitz kernel and model space containing the range (see [17]). Earlier, Hartmann and Ross creatively combined truncated Toeplitz operators with nearly invariant subspaces of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  to describe the boundary behaviour of functions in [9], which led to many striking applications (see, e.g. [2, 8, 19]). Meanwhile, Aleman, Baranov, Belov and Hedenmalm formulated the characterizations of the backward shift-invariant and nearly invariant subspaces in radial and non-radial weighted Fock-type spaces of entire functions in [1], inspiring the extension of investigation on nearly invariant subspace into more analytic spaces.

In recent years, many generalizations and applications of near invariance have enriched the literature. Regarding the vector-valued Hardy space, Chalendar, Chevrot and Partington determined the corresponding characterizations of nearly invariant subspaces in [6]. Afterwards, the relations of near invariance and vectorial-valued Toeplitz kernels were systematically studied by C amara and Partington in [3, 4, 5]. In order to consider more general operators, we posed the definitions of nearly invariant subspaces for a left invertible operator  $T$  (see [14]) and more general  $C_0$  semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  (see [15]). Especially, we employed the model spaces and reproducing kernels to develop a study of cyclic subspaces generated by a single Hardy class function for strongly continuous multiplication semigroups on Hardy space and described the structure of the nearly invariant subspaces for discrete semigroups generated by several (even infinitely many) automorphisms of  $\mathbb{D}$  (see [16]). These investigations lead to developments of the branch of operator theory on Hilbert spaces.

Last but not least, we met several interesting examples in the above investigations. In particular, these two examples inspire our later discussions. We write  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  and let  $\text{span } M$  denote the closed linear span generated by the set  $M$ .

*Example 1.1.* (1) Denote the subspace

$$\mathcal{M}_1 := \text{span}\{z^{2n}z^{3m} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \text{span}\{1, z^2, z^3, z^4, z^5, \dots\} = H^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus \mathbb{C}z.$$

Then  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is invariant under  $S^2$  and  $S^3$  but not invariant under  $S$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is nearly invariant under  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^3)^*$ , but not nearly  $S^*$ -invariant.

(2) Denote similarly the subspace

$$\mathcal{M}_2 := \text{span}\{z^{3n}z^{5m} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0\} = \text{span}\{1, z^3, z^5, z^6, z^8, \dots\}.$$

It is easy to check  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is invariant under  $S^3$  and  $S^5$  but not invariant under  $S$ ,  $S^2$ ,  $S^4$  or  $S^7$ . Meanwhile,  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is nearly invariant under  $(S^3)^*$  and  $(S^5)^*$ , but not nearly  $T^*$ -invariant with  $T = S$ ,  $S^2$ ,  $S^4$  or  $S^7$ .

The above examples naturally lead us to ask:

Which subspaces of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  are invariant under both  $S^2$  and  $S^3$  (or under both  $S^3$  and  $S^5$ ) apart from the obvious  $\theta H^2(\mathbb{D})$  with inner  $\theta$ ?

We may pose a more general question:

*Which subspaces of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  are invariant under both  $S^m$  and  $S^n$  with  $n > m$  apart from the obvious  $\theta H^2(\mathbb{D})$  with inner  $\theta$ ?*

We employ vector-valued Hardy space, an isometric isomorphism, inner matrices, Beurling-type spaces and model spaces to formulate its characterization and further explore the simultaneous near invariance for non-cyclic shift semigroups.

Especially, the isometric  $m \times m$ -matrix denoted by

$$\Sigma_{m,\gamma}^k := \begin{pmatrix} O_{\gamma \times (m-\gamma)} & S^{k+1} I_{\gamma \times \gamma} \\ S^k I_{(m-\gamma) \times (m-\gamma)} & O_{(m-\gamma) \times \gamma} \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m}, \quad (1.1)$$

plays an important role in the subsequent discussions on the invariance under  $S^m$  and  $S^{km+\gamma}$  with  $m \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ . Here  $O_{m \times n}$  and  $I_{m \times n}$  stand for the  $m \times n$  zero matrix and identity matrix, respectively. Moreover, the space  $H_{r \times r}^\infty$  is the collection of all bounded analytic  $r \times r$  matrix-valued functions for  $r \geq 1$  in the sequel.

The plan of the paper is the following. Section 2 is dedicated to characterizing the subspaces invariant under both  $S^2$  and  $S^{2k+1}$  with  $k \geq 1$ , which implies the  $S^2$  and  $S^3$ -invariance as a corollary. Meanwhile, several nontrivial examples are exhibited to show  $S^2$  and  $S^3$ -invariance but not  $S$ -invariance. After that, we continue to deduce the formulae for nearly invariant subspaces with respect to  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^{2k+1})^*$  with  $k \geq 1$  in Section 3. Section 4 is committed to the (near) invariance under  $(S^m)^*$  and  $(S^{mk+\gamma})^*$  for  $m \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ . As an application, (near) invariance is also explored for the non-cyclic shift semigroups generated by three or more elements. Finally, we extend the corresponding characterizations to semigroups generated by Toeplitz operators with different powers of  $m$ -degree Blaschke products  $B_m$  and their conjugates as symbols.

## 2. INVARIANCE UNDER BOTH $S^2$ AND $S^{2k+1}$

In this section, we formulate characterizations of the simultaneous invariant subspaces with respect to  $S^2$  and  $S^{2k+1}$  with  $k \geq 1$  using the matrix  $\Sigma_{2,1}^k$  (with  $m = 2, \gamma = 1$  in (1.1)) and the Beurling–Lax Theorem on vector-valued Hardy spaces. Recall the  $\mathbb{C}^n$ -vector-valued Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n)$  consists of all analytic  $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  such that the norm

$$\|F\| = \left( \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|F(re^{it})\|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Writing  $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$  with  $f_i : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , it holds that

$$F \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n) \text{ if and only if } f_i \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . And then for  $0 \leq r \leq n$ , let  $\Phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^n)$  be an analytic function in the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  with values in the algebra of bounded operators from  $\mathbb{C}^r$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Further  $\Phi$  is called an operator-valued inner function if  $\Phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^n))$ , namely  $\Phi$  is bounded, satisfies  $\Phi(e^{it})$  is an isometry almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{T}$ . We first

recall the Beurling–Lax Theorem in the vector-valued Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n)$  (see, e.g. [13, 20]).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{K} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n)$  be an  $S$ -invariant subspace. Then there is an  $r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq n$  and an inner function  $\Phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^n))$  such that  $\mathcal{K} = \Phi H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ .*

Meanwhile, the model space  $K_\Phi := H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n) \ominus \Phi H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$  is  $S^*$ -invariant in  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^n)$  for  $0 \leq r \leq n$ .

An analysis of  $S^2$ -invariance has been made by various techniques, for example in [7] and [12], but we shall find it convenient to proceed differently. We note that a function in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  has the form  $f_0(z^2) + zf_1(z^2)$  with  $f_0, f_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Define the isometric isomorphism  $T_2 : H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$  by

$$T_2(f_0(z), f_1(z)) = f_0(z^2) + zf_1(z^2) \quad (2.1)$$

for  $(f_0, f_1) \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ . Since

$$S^2(f_0(z^2) + zf_1(z^2)) = (Sf_0)(z^2) + z(Sf_1)(z^2),$$

then the following commutative diagram holds

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2) & \xrightarrow{S} & H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2) \\ \downarrow T_2 & & \downarrow T_2 \\ H^2(\mathbb{D}) & \xrightarrow{S^2} & H^2(\mathbb{D}). \end{array} \quad (2.2)$$

So the operator  $S^2$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  corresponds to the shift  $S$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2)$  via the isometric isomorphism  $T_2$  in (2.1). Using Theorem 2.1, we formulate the  $S$ -invariant subspaces in  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2)$  as follows.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be an  $S$ -invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ . Then there is an  $r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq 2$  and an inner function  $\Theta_{2 \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^2))$  such that  $\mathcal{N} = \Theta_{2 \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ .*

By Proposition 2.2, we can proceed to the  $S^2$  and  $S^{2k+1}$ -invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *For  $k \geq 1$ , let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an invariant subspace under both  $S^2$  and  $S^{2k+1}$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then there is an  $r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq 2$  and an inner function  $\Theta_{2 \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^2))$  satisfying  $\Theta_{2 \times r}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^k \Theta_{2 \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  such that  $\mathcal{M} = T_2(\Theta_{2 \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r))$ . Here  $T_2 : H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is an isometric isomorphism defined by  $T_2(f_0(z), f_1(z)) = f_0(z^2) + zf_1(z^2)$ .*

*Proof.* For the case  $r = 0$ , the result holds trivially. By Proposition 2.2, we denote the inner  $2 \times r$ -matrix with  $1 \leq r \leq 2$  as

$$\Theta_{2 \times r} := \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{11} & \theta_{1r} \\ \theta_{21} & \theta_{2r} \end{pmatrix}_{2 \times r}$$

satisfying

$$\Theta_{2 \times r}^* \Theta_{2 \times r} = 1. \quad (2.3)$$

Then Proposition 2.2 implies the  $S$ -invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2)$  behaves as

$$\Theta_{2 \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r) = \{ \Theta_{2 \times r} F_{r \times 1} : F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r) \}.$$

Mapping  $\Theta_{2 \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$  into  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , the corresponding  $S^2$ -invariant subspace is

$$T_2(\Theta_{2 \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)) = \{ (1, z) (\Theta_{2 \times r} F_{r \times 1})(z^2) : F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r) \}. \quad (2.4)$$

For  $k \geq 1$ ,  $S^{2k+1}$  maps  $T_2(\Theta_{2 \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r))$  into

$$\begin{aligned} S^{2k+1} T_2(\Theta_{2 \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)) &= \{ (z^{2k+1}, z^{2k+2}) (\Theta_{2 \times r} F_{r \times 1})(z^2) : F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r) \} \\ &= \{ (1, z) (\Sigma_{2,1}^k \Theta_{2 \times r} F_{r \times 1})(z^2) : F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where the inner  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\Sigma_{2,1}^k$  is defined by (1.1) with  $m = 2, \gamma = 1$ .

Employing (2.3) and  $\Theta_{2 \times r}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^k \Theta_{2 \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$ , for each  $F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ , it holds that

$$\Sigma_{2,1}^k \Theta_{2 \times r} F_{r \times 1} = \Theta_{2 \times r} G_{r \times 1},$$

with some  $G_{r \times 1} := (\Theta_{2 \times r}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^k \Theta_{2 \times r}) F_{r \times 1} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r) \subset H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ . This, together with (2.4) and (2.5), yields  $S^{2k+1} T_2(\Theta_{2 \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)) \subset T_2(\Theta_{2 \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r))$ , so the desired result follows, ending the proof.  $\square$

Using  $\mathcal{M} = T_2(\Theta_{2 \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r))$  in Theorem 2.3, a function  $f_0(z^2) + z f_1(z^2) \in \mathcal{M}^\perp$  if and only if

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix}, \Theta_{2 \times r} F_{r \times 1} \right\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r).$$

Now we conclude the result on  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^{2k+1})^*$ -invariant subspaces.

**Proposition 2.4.** *For  $k \geq 1$ , let  $\mathcal{N}$  be an invariant subspace under both  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^{2k+1})^*$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then there is an  $r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq 2$  and an inner function  $\Theta_{2 \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^2))$  satisfying  $\Theta_{2 \times r}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^k \Theta_{2 \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  such that  $\mathcal{N} = T_2(K_{\Theta_{2 \times r}})$ . Here  $T_2 : H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is an isometric isomorphism defined by  $T_2(f_0(z), f_1(z)) = f_0(z^2) + z f_1(z^2)$ .*

Letting  $k = 1$  in Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.4, the characterizations on both  $S^2$  and  $S^3$ -invariant subspace, and both  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^3)^*$ -invariant subspace follow.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an invariant subspace under both  $S^2$  and  $S^3$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then there is an  $r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq 2$  and an inner function  $\Theta_{2 \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^2))$  satisfying  $\Theta_{2 \times r}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^1 \Theta_{2 \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  such that  $\mathcal{M} = T_2(\Theta_{2 \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r))$ . And  $\mathcal{M}^\perp = T_2(K_{\Theta_{2 \times r}})$  is invariant under both  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^3)^*$ . Here  $T_2 : H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is an isometric isomorphism defined by  $T_2(f_0(z), f_1(z)) = f_0(z^2) + z f_1(z^2)$ .*

Using Corollary 2.5, we give several nontrivial examples of invariant subspaces under both  $S^2$  and  $S^3$  that are not invariant under  $S$ .

*Example 2.6.* (1) For  $r = 2$  and let  $\Theta_{2 \times 2} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  are inner functions in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Corollary 2.5 indicates that the  $S^2$  and  $S^3$ -invariant subspace  $\mathcal{M} \subset H^2(\mathbb{D})$  can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{M} = \{f(z) = f_0(z^2) + zf_1(z^2), \Theta_{2 \times 2}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^1 \Theta_{2 \times 2} \in H_{2 \times 2}^\infty, f_0 \in \theta H^2(\mathbb{D}), f_1 \in \phi H^2(\mathbb{D})\}.$$

The condition

$$\Theta_{2 \times 2}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^1 \Theta_{2 \times 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta^* S^2 \phi \\ \phi^* S \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in H_{2 \times 2}^\infty$$

yields that  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  are inner functions satisfying the divisions  $\theta | S^2 \phi$  and  $\phi | S \theta$ .

Hence we further assume  $\theta(z) = z^j \psi(z)$  and  $\phi(z) = z^k \psi(z)$ , where  $\psi(0) \neq 0$  and  $j \leq k + 2$  and  $k \leq j + 1$ , so that  $-1 \leq j - k \leq 2$ . That is, the matrix  $\Theta_{2 \times 2}$  can be

$$\psi(z) \begin{pmatrix} z^k & 0 \\ 0 & z^k \end{pmatrix}, \psi(z) \begin{pmatrix} z^{k+1} & 0 \\ 0 & z^k \end{pmatrix}, \psi(z) \begin{pmatrix} z^{k+2} & 0 \\ 0 & z^k \end{pmatrix}, \psi(z) \begin{pmatrix} z^k & 0 \\ 0 & z^{k+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.6)$$

with inner  $\psi(0) \neq 0$  and integer  $k \geq 0$ . Meanwhile, there are 4 types of generalized Beurling-type space invariant under both  $S^2$  and  $S^3$  with  $\Theta_{2 \times 2}$  given in (2.6).

However, these subspaces are not  $S$ -invariant. To be precise, let  $f(z) = f_0(z^2) + zf_1(z^2) \in \mathcal{M}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Sf(z) = zf_0(z^2) + (Sf_1)(z^2) \in \mathcal{M} &\implies \begin{pmatrix} Sf_1 \\ f_0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Theta_{2 \times 2} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2) \\ \implies \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Theta_{2 \times 2} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2) &\implies \Theta_{2 \times 2}^* \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z\theta^* & 0 \\ 0 & \phi^* \end{pmatrix} \in H_{2 \times 2}^\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Meanwhile, Corollary 2.5 illustrates there are 4 types of  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^3)^*$ -invariant subspace, which can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{M}^\perp = \{f(z) = f_0(z^2) + zf_1(z^2), \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} \in K_{\Theta_{2 \times 2}} \text{ with } \Theta_{2 \times 2} \text{ in (2.6)}\}.$$

(2) For  $r = 1$  and let  $\Theta_{2 \times 1}(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ 2\theta \end{pmatrix}$  with inner function  $\theta$ , Corollary 2.5 implies the nontrivial  $S^2$  and  $S^3$ -invariant subspace is

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(1 + 2z)f(z^2) : f \in \theta H^2(\mathbb{D})\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Corollary 2.5 also indicates the  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^3)^*$ -invariant subspace behaving as

$$\mathcal{M}^\perp = \{f(z) = f_0(z^2) + zf_1(z^2), \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix} \in K_{\Theta_{2 \times 1}}\}.$$

However, the subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  in (2.7) is not invariant under  $S$ . This is because

$$\begin{aligned} S(1 + 2z)f(z^2) = 2(Sf)(z^2) + zf(z^2) \in \mathcal{M} &\implies \begin{pmatrix} 2S \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} f \in \Theta_{2 \times 1} H^2(\mathbb{D}) \\ \implies \Theta_{2 \times 1}^* \begin{pmatrix} 2S \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 2(z + 1)\theta^* \in H^\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

*Remark 2.7.* Invariance under  $S^2$  and  $S^3$  implies invariance under all powers of  $S$  except perhaps  $S$  itself, so this would be the smallest class of this type strictly containing the Beurling-type spaces.

### 3. NEAR INVARIANCE UNDER BOTH $(S^2)^*$ AND $(S^{2k+1})^*$

In this section, let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a nearly  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^{2k+1})^*$ -invariant subspace for  $k \geq 1$ , and denote

$$X := \mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap S^2 H^2(\mathbb{D})).$$

Let  $\hat{k}_0(z)$  and  $\hat{k}_1(z)$  be the orthogonal projections of 1 and  $z$  on  $X$ , respectively. We apply the Schmidt orthogonalization on the set  $\{\hat{k}_0(z), \hat{k}_1(z)\}$  and then normalize them to obtain a normalized and orthogonal set denoted as  $\{e_0(z), e_1(z)\}$ . For simplicity, we denote

$$E_2(z) := \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \end{pmatrix} (z). \quad (3.1)$$

Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is nearly  $(S^2)^*$ -invariant, an arbitrary  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  can be written in the form

$$f(z) = (a_0, b_0)E_2(z) + S^2 f_1(z).$$

Considering  $f_1 \perp e_0$  and  $f_1 \perp e_1$ , the near  $(S^2)^*$ -invariance of  $\mathcal{M}$  implies  $f_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ . Meanwhile,  $\|f\|^2 = |a_0|^2 + |b_0|^2 + \|f_1\|^2$ . Then we repeat this process for  $f_1$ , getting that

$$f_1(z) = (a_1, b_1)E_2(z) + S^2 f_2(z) \quad (3.2)$$

with  $f_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\|f_1\|^2 = |a_1|^2 + |b_1|^2 + \|f_2\|^2$ . Continuing recursively, we obtain

$$f_j(z) = (a_j, b_j)E_2(z) + S^2 f_{j+1}(z)$$

with  $f_{j+1} \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\|f_j\|^2 = |a_j|^2 + |b_j|^2 + \|f_{j+1}\|^2$ . Linking these equations together gives

$$f(z) = \left( \sum_{l=0}^j a_l z^{2l}, \sum_{l=0}^j b_l z^{2l} \right) E_2(z) + z^{2(j+1)} f_{j+1}(z)$$

and

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{l=0}^j |a_l|^2 + \sum_{l=0}^j |b_l|^2 + \|f_{j+1}\|^2.$$

Now the sequence  $\{z^{2(j+1)} f_{j+1}\}$  satisfies  $\langle z^{2(j+1)} f_{j+1}, z^m \rangle = 0$  for all  $m < 2(j+1)$ . Thus  $\langle z^{2(j+1)} f_{j+1}, p \rangle \rightarrow 0$  for all polynomials  $p$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . These form a dense set, and so, since the functions  $z^{2(j+1)} f_{j+1}$  are bounded in norm by  $\|f\|$  for  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , they converge weakly to zero. Hence  $f$  is the weak limit of the sequence

$$g_j(z) := \left( \sum_{l=0}^j a_l z^{2l}, \sum_{l=0}^j b_l z^{2l} \right) E_2(z)$$

and since  $\|g_j\| \leq \|f\|$  for each  $j$ , it follows from the Radon–Riesz theorem that  $f$  is the norm limit of the functions  $g_j$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . To be specific, the fact that  $g_j$  converges weakly to  $f$ , yields that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle g_j - f, g_j - f \rangle &= \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\|g_j\|^2 + \|f\|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle g_j, f \rangle) \\ &\leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|g_j\|^2 + \|f\|^2 - 2\|f\|^2 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

so the desired result follows. Consequently, we may write

$$f(z) = \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l (z^2)^l, \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b_l (z^2)^l \right) E_2(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (3.3)$$

where the sums converge in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  norm and

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |a_l|^2 + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |b_l|^2.$$

Meanwhile, observing from (3.2) and (3.3), it follows that

$$f_1(z) = \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_{l+1} (z^2)^l, \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b_{l+1} (z^2)^l \right) E_2(z) \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (3.4)$$

We express the above as saying that  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  if and only if  $f(z) = (k_0, k_1)(z^2)E_2(z)$  with

$$\|f\|^2 = \|k_0\|^2 + \|k_1\|^2, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $(k_0, k_1)(z)$  lies in a subspace  $K \subset H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ .

In view of (3.5), we see that  $K \subset H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2)$  is closed. Furthermore, (3.4) implies

$$f_1(z) = (S^*k_0, S^*k_1)(z^2)E_2(z) \in \mathcal{M},$$

that is to say  $(S^*k_0, S^*k_1)(z) \in K$ . So  $K$  is  $S^*$ -invariant in  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ .

Conversely, if

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(k_0, k_1)(z^2)E_2(z) : (k_0, k_1)(z) \in K\}$$

is a closed subspace of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , where  $K$  is  $S^*$ -invariant in  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is nearly  $(S^2)^*$ -invariant in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

Since  $K$  is  $S^*$ -invariant in  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ , Theorem 2.1 implies there is  $0 \leq r \leq 2$  and an inner function  $\Theta_{2 \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^2))$  such that

$$K = K_{\Theta_{2 \times r}} := H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2) \ominus \Theta_{2 \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r).$$

And then there is an isometric mapping  $J_2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{2 \times r}}$  defined by

$$J_2(G(z^2)E_2(z)) = G(z) \text{ with } G \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2).$$

In sum, we describe the nearly  $(S^2)^*$ -invariant subspaces in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .



**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a nearly  $(S^2)^*$ -invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , and  $E_2(z)$  be the  $2 \times 1$  matrix whose columns are the normalization and orthogonalization of the reproducing kernel and the first-derivative kernel for  $\mathcal{M}$  at 0. Then there exists an isometric mapping*

$$J_2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{2 \times r}} \text{ defined by } G(z^2)E_2(z) \mapsto G(z), \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\Theta_{2 \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^2))$  is inner for  $0 \leq r \leq 2$ .

Using Proposition 3.1, we continue to explore the simultaneously near  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^{2k+1})^*$ -invariant subspaces. The following theorem also provides all nearly invariant subspaces for the non-cyclic shift semigroup  $\{(S^*)^{2n}(S^*)^{(2k+1)m} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \geq 1\}$  generated by two elements  $(S^*)^2$  and  $(S^*)^{2k+1}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *For  $k \geq 1$ , let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a nearly  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^{2k+1})^*$ -invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , and  $E_2(z)$  be the  $2 \times 1$  matrix whose columns are the normalization and orthogonalization of the reproducing kernel and the first-derivative kernel for  $\mathcal{M}$  at 0. Then there exists an isometric mapping*

$$J_2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{2 \times r}} \text{ defined by } G(z^2)E_2(z) \mapsto G(z),$$

where inner  $\Theta_{2 \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^2))$  satisfies  $\Theta_{2 \times r}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^k \Theta_{2 \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  for  $0 \leq r \leq 2$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1 and  $J_2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{2 \times r}}$  in (3.6), we can express  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  as

$$f(z) = (k_0, k_1)(z^2)E_2(z) \quad (3.7)$$

with  $(k_0, k_1)(z) \in K_{\Theta_{2 \times r}}$  and  $E_2(z)$  given in (3.1) by  $e_0(z)$  and  $e_1(z)$ . So we suppose

$$k_0(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l z^l, \quad k_1(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b_l z^l, \quad e_0(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} u_l z^l \text{ and } e_1(z) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} v_l z^l$$

with  $u_0 \neq 0$  and  $v_1 \neq 0$ .

Next we use  $\Theta_{2 \times r}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^k \Theta_{2 \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  to give the proof for the nearly  $(S^{2k+1})^*$ -invariance of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Hence we assume that  $f(0) = f'(0) = \dots = f^{(2k)}(0) = 0$ , yielding that

$$a_l = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq l \leq k \text{ and } b_l = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq l \leq k-1.$$

At this time, it holds that

$$f(z) = \left( \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} a_l (z^2)^l, \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} b_l (z^2)^l \right) E_2(z).$$

And then the decomposition  $[(S^{2k+1})^* f](z)$  behaves as

$$\begin{aligned} [(S^{2k+1})^* f](z) &= z^{-(2k+1)} \left( \left( \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} a_l (z^2)^l \right) e_0(z) + \left( \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} b_l (z^2)^l \right) e_1(z) \right) \\ &= [((S^{k+1})^* k_0)(z^2)](S e_0)(z) + [((S^k)^* k_1)(z^2)](S^* e_1)(z) \\ &= ((S^{k+1})^* k_0, (S^k)^* k_1)(z^2) \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & S^* \end{pmatrix} E_2(z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

So (3.7) together with (3.8) imply that we need to show

$$((S^{k+1})^*k_0, (S^k)^*k_1)(z) \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & S^* \end{pmatrix} \in K_{\Theta_{2 \times r}} \quad (3.9)$$

to prove the near  $(S^{2k+1})^*$ -invariance of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Using the commutative diagram (2.2), (3.9) is translated into  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  behaving as

$$\begin{aligned} & ((S^{k+1})^*k_0, (S^k)^*k_1)(z^2) \begin{pmatrix} S & 0 \\ 0 & S^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix} \\ &= ((S^{k+1})^*k_0, (S^k)^*k_1)(z^2) \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= z((S^{k+1})^*k_0)(z^2) + ((S^k)^*k_1)(z^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Afterwards, mapping the function in (3.10) back to  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ , the desired result (3.9) is equivalently changed into

$$((S^k)^*k_1, (S^{k+1})^*k_0)(z) = (\Sigma_{2,1}^k)^* \begin{pmatrix} k_0 \\ k_1 \end{pmatrix} (z) \in K_{\Theta_{2 \times r}}. \quad (3.11)$$

Since  $\Theta_{2 \times r}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^k \Theta_{2 \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$ , for any  $F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ , it holds that

$$\Sigma_{2,1}^k \Theta_{2 \times r} F_{r \times 1}(z) = \Theta_{2 \times r} G_{r \times 1}(z) \quad (3.12)$$

for some  $G_{r \times 1} := (\Theta_{2 \times r}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^k \Theta_{2 \times r}) F_{r \times 1} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r) \subset H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ . Now for all  $F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ , using (3.12) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle (\Sigma_{2,1}^k)^* \begin{pmatrix} k_0 \\ k_1 \end{pmatrix}, \Theta_{2 \times r} F_{r \times 1} \right\rangle &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} k_0 \\ k_1 \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma_{2,1}^k \Theta_{2 \times r} F_{r \times 1} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} k_0 \\ k_1 \end{pmatrix}, \Theta_{2 \times r} G_{r \times 1} \right\rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

due to  $(k_0, k_1)(z) \in K_{\Theta_{2 \times r}}$ . So (3.11) is true and then  $\mathcal{M}$  is nearly  $(S^{2k+1})^*$ -invariant, ending the proof.  $\square$

From Proposition 3.1, a corollary on near  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^3)^*$ -invariance is deduced.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a nearly  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^3)^*$ -invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , and  $E_2(z)$  be the  $2 \times 1$  matrix whose columns are the normalization and orthogonalization of the reproducing kernel and the first-derivative kernel for  $\mathcal{M}$  at 0. Then there exists an isometric mapping*

$$J_2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{2 \times r}} \text{ defined by } G(z^2)E_2(z) \mapsto G(z),$$

where inner  $\Theta_{2 \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^2))$  satisfies  $\Theta_{2 \times r}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^1 \Theta_{2 \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  for  $0 \leq r \leq 2$ .

In the sequel, we represent several nearly  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^3)^*$ -invariant subspace by  $\Sigma_{2,1}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S^2 \\ S & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . However, these subspaces are not nearly  $S^*$ -invariant in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

*Example 3.4.* Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{(1+z)(a+bz^2) : a, b \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , which is not nearly  $S^*$ -invariant. By calculations, we obtain  $E_2(z) = \begin{pmatrix} (1+z)/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(a+bz^2, f(z^2))E_2(z) : a, b \in \mathbb{C}, f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})\}.$$

And there exists an isometric mapping

$$J_2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{2 \times 1}} \text{ defined by } (a+bz^2, f(z^2))E_2(z) \mapsto (a+bz, f(z))$$

with

$$K_{\Theta_{2 \times 1}} = \{(a+bz, f(z)) : a, b \in \mathbb{C}, f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})\} \text{ and } \Theta_{2 \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} z^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\Theta_{2 \times 1}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^1 \Theta_{2 \times 1} = 0 \in H^\infty$ , Corollary 3.3 implies  $\mathcal{M}$  is a nearly  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^3)^*$ -invariant subspace.

*Example 3.5.* Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{a(1+z+z^2) + bz(1+2z) : a, b \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , which is not nearly  $S^*$ -invariant. By calculations, we deduce  $E_2(z) = \begin{pmatrix} (5+2z-z^2)/\sqrt{30} \\ z(1+2z)/\sqrt{5} \end{pmatrix}$  and then we represent

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(a, b)E_2(z) : a, b \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

So there exists an isometric mapping

$$J_2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{2 \times 1}} \text{ defined by } (a, b)E_2(z) \mapsto (a, b),$$

with

$$K_{\Theta_{2 \times 1}} = \{(a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{C}\} \text{ and } \Theta_{2 \times 1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Corollary 3.3, together with  $\Theta_{2 \times 1}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^1 \Theta_{2 \times 1} = (z+z^2)/2 \in H^\infty$ , implies  $\mathcal{M}$  is nearly  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^3)^*$ -invariant.

*Example 3.6.* Let  $\mathcal{M} = \{a(1+z) + bz(1+z) + cz^3(1+z) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}\}$ , which is not nearly  $S^*$ -invariant. By calculations, we take  $E_2(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2-z)(1+z) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}z(1+2z) \end{pmatrix}$ . And then

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(\alpha + \beta z^2, \gamma - 2\beta z^2)E_2(z) : \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Similarly, there exists an isometric mapping

$$J_2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{2 \times 1}} \text{ defined by } (\alpha + \beta z^2, \gamma - 2\beta z^2)E_2(z) \mapsto (\alpha + \beta z, \gamma - 2\beta z),$$

where

$$K_{\Theta_{2 \times 1}} = \{(\alpha + \beta z, \gamma - 2\beta z) : \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}\} \text{ and } \Theta_{2 \times 1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} z^2 \\ z^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

At this time,  $\Theta_{2 \times 1}^* \Sigma_{2,1}^1 \Theta_{2 \times 1} = (z+z^2)/2 \in H^\infty$ , Corollary 3.3 implies  $\mathcal{M}$  is a nearly  $(S^2)^*$  and  $(S^3)^*$ -invariant subspace.

## 4. INVARIANCE AND NEAR INVARIANCE UNDER HIGH ORDER SHIFTS

In this section, we continue to explore the (nearly) invariant subspaces for two high order shifts, denoted as  $S^m$  and  $S^n$  with  $n > m$ . The subspaces invariant under both  $S^m$  and  $S^n$ , or both  $(S^m)^*$  and  $(S^n)^*$  are firstly completely characterized. We note the nontrivial cases include  $n = mk + \gamma$  with  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ . So the invariant subspaces for both  $S^3$  and  $S^{3k+\gamma}$  with  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, 2\}$  can be deduced as a corollary.

In what follows, we aim to address the invariance with respect to  $S^m$  and  $S^{km+\gamma}$  and the (near) invariance under their conjugations for  $m \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ . At this time, an arbitrary function  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$  has the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} z^l f_l(z^m) \text{ with } f_l \in H^2(\mathbb{D}) \text{ for } l = 0, 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Define the isometric isomorphism  $T_m : H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$  by

$$T_m(f_0(z), f_1(z), \dots, f_{m-1}(z)) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} z^l f_l(z^m) \quad (4.1)$$

with  $(f_0, \dots, f_{m-1})(z) \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$ . Since

$$S^m \left( \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} z^l f_l(z^m) \right) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} z^l (S f_l)(z^m).$$

Then the commutative diagram below holds

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) & \xrightarrow{S} & H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) \\ \downarrow T_m & & \downarrow T_m \\ H^2(\mathbb{D}) & \xrightarrow{S^m} & H^2(\mathbb{D}). \end{array} \quad (4.2)$$

So the operator  $S^m$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  corresponds to  $S$  on  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$  via the isometric isomorphism  $T_m$  in (4.1). Using Theorem 2.1, the  $S$ -invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$  is expressed as  $\Theta_{m \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$  with an inner function  $\Theta_{m \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^m))$  for some  $0 \leq r \leq m$ .

**4.1.  $S^m$  and  $S^{km+\gamma}$ -invariant subspaces.** In this subsection, we continue illustrating the  $S^m$  and  $S^{km+\gamma}$ -invariant subspaces in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *For  $m \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ , let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an invariant subspace under both  $S^m$  and  $S^{km+\gamma}$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then there is an  $r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq m$  and an inner function  $\Theta_{m \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^m))$  satisfying  $\Theta_{m \times r}^* \Sigma_{m, \gamma}^k \Theta_{m \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  such that  $\mathcal{M} = T_m(\Theta_{m \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r))$ . Here  $T_m : H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is an isometric isomorphism defined by  $T_m(f_0(z), \dots, f_{m-1}(z)) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} z^l f_l(z^m)$ .*

*Proof.* The result holds trivially for the case  $r = 0$ . Recall the  $S$ -invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$  expressed as

$$\Theta_{m \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r) = \{ \Theta_{m \times r} F_{r \times 1} : F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r) \},$$

where  $\Theta_{m \times r}$  is an inner  $m \times r$ -matrix satisfying

$$\Theta_{m \times r}^* \Theta_{m \times r} = 1, \text{ for } 1 \leq r \leq m. \quad (4.3)$$

Translating  $\Theta_{m \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$  into  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , the  $S^m$ -invariant subspace is

$$T_m(\Theta_{m \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)) = \{(1, z, \dots, z^{m-1}) (\Theta_{m \times r} F_{r \times 1})(z^m) : F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)\}.$$

Now  $S^{km+\gamma}$  maps  $T_m(\Theta_{m \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r))$  into

$$\begin{aligned} & S^{km+\gamma} T_m(\Theta_{m \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)) \\ &= \left\{ (z^{km+\gamma}, z^{km+\gamma+1}, \dots, z^{(k+1)m+\gamma-1}) (\Theta_{m \times r} F_{r \times 1})(z^m) : F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (1, z, \dots, z^{m-1}) \left( \Sigma_{m,\gamma}^k \Theta_{m \times r} F_{r \times 1} \right) (z^m) : F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

with the inner  $m \times m$  matrix  $\Sigma_{m,\gamma}^k$  in (1.1). Considering  $\Theta_{m \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^m))$  satisfies  $\Theta_{m \times r}^* \Sigma_{m,\gamma}^k \Theta_{m \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  and (4.3), for each  $F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ , we obtain

$$\Sigma_{m,\gamma}^k \Theta_{m \times r} F_{r \times 1}(z) = \Theta_{m \times r} G_{r \times 1}(z)$$

for some  $G_{r \times 1} := (\Theta_{m \times r}^* \Sigma_{m,\gamma}^k \Theta_{m \times r}) F_{r \times 1} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r) \subset H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ . This and (4.4) yield  $S^{km+\gamma} T_m(\Theta_{m \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)) \subset T_m(\Theta_{m \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r))$ , finishing the proof.  $\square$

Based on Theorem 4.1,  $\mathcal{M}^\perp$  is invariant under  $(S^m)^*$  and  $(S^{km+\gamma})^*$ . Noting that  $\sum_{l=0}^{m-1} z^l f_l(z^m) \in \mathcal{M}^\perp$  if and only if

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ \vdots \\ f_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \Theta_{m \times r} F_{r \times 1} \right\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r). \quad (4.5)$$

From (4.5), we conclude the  $(S^m)^*$  and  $(S^{km+\gamma})^*$ -invariant subspaces as below.

**Theorem 4.2.** *For  $m \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ , let  $\mathcal{N}$  be an invariant subspace under both  $(S^m)^*$  and  $(S^{km+\gamma})^*$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then there is an  $r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq m$  and inner function  $\Theta_{m \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^m))$  satisfying  $\Theta_{m \times r}^* \Sigma_{m,\gamma}^k \Theta_{m \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  such that  $\mathcal{N} = T_m(K_{\Theta_{m \times r}})$ . Here  $T_m : H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is an isometric isomorphism defined by  $T_m(f_0(z), \dots, f_{m-1}(z)) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} z^l f_l(z^m)$ .*

**4.2. Near invariance under both  $(S^m)^*$  and  $(S^{km+\gamma})^*$ .** In this subsection, let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a nearly  $(S^m)^*$  and  $(S^{km+\gamma})^*$ -invariant subspace, and denote  $X_m := \mathcal{M} \ominus (\mathcal{M} \cap S^m H^2(\mathbb{D}))$  with  $m \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ . Let  $\hat{k}_i(z)$  be the orthogonal projections of  $z^i$  on  $X_m$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ . Apply the Schmidt orthogonalization on the set  $\{\hat{k}_i(z) : 0 \leq i \leq m-1\}$  and then normalize them to obtain a normalized and mutually orthogonal set denoted as  $\{e_0(z), e_1(z), \dots, e_{m-1}(z)\}$ . For simplicity, we write the vectors

$$E_m(z) := \begin{pmatrix} e_0 \\ e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} (z) \text{ and } A(l) := (a_0^l, a_1^l, \dots, a_{m-1}^l) \text{ for } l \geq 0.$$

Firstly, considering the near  $(S^m)^*$ -invariance, an arbitrary  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  has the form

$$f(z) = A(0)E_m(z) + S^m f_1(z).$$

Since  $f_1 \perp e_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ , the near  $(S^m)^*$ -invariance implies  $f_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ . Meanwhile, it holds that  $\|f\|^2 = |A(0)|^2 + \|f_1\|^2$ . So we repeat this process for  $f_1$ , getting that

$$f_1(z) = A(1)E_m(z) + S^m f_2(z) \quad (4.6)$$

with  $f_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\|f_1\|^2 = |A(1)|^2 + \|f_2\|^2$ . Continuing recursively, we get

$$f_j(z) = A(j)E_m(z) + S^m f_{j+1}(z)$$

with  $f_{j+1} \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\|f_j\|^2 = |A(j)|^2 + \|f_{j+1}\|^2$ .

Combining these equations together, it yields that

$$f(z) = \left( \sum_{l=0}^j (z^m)^l A(l) \right) E_m(z) + (z^m)^{(j+1)} f_{j+1}(z)$$

and

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{l=0}^j |A(l)|^2 + \|f_{j+1}\|^2.$$

Utilizing the similar proof process of (3.3), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (z^m)^l A(l) \right) E_m(z) \\ &= \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_0^l (z^m)^l, \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_1^l (z^m)^l, \dots, \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_{m-1}^l (z^m)^l \right) E_m(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where the sums converge in  $H^2$  norm and  $\|f\|^2 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |A(l)|^2$ . Observing from (4.6) and (4.7), it holds that

$$f_1(z) = \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (z^m)^l A(l+1) \right) E_m(z) \in \mathcal{M}. \quad (4.8)$$

Hereafter, we denote

$$\Phi(z) := (\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{m-1})(z) \quad (4.9)$$

with  $\phi_i(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_i^l z^l$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ . By (4.7), we alternatively express that  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  if and only if  $f(z) = \Phi(z^m)E_m(z)$  with

$$\|f\|^2 = \|\Phi\|^2, \quad (4.10)$$

and  $\Phi(z)$  lies in an  $S^*$ -invariant subspace  $K \subset H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$ . The closedness of  $K$  can be deduced from (4.10), and (4.8) entails  $K$  is  $S^*$ -invariant.

Conversely, if

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ \Phi(z^m)E_m(z) : \Phi(z) \in K \}$$

is a closed subspace of  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , where  $K$  is  $S^*$ -invariant in  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is nearly  $(S^m)^*$ -invariant in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

Since  $K$  is  $S^*$ -invariant in  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$ , Theorem 2.1 implies there is  $0 \leq r \leq m$  and an inner function  $\Theta_{m \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^m))$  such that

$$K = K_{\Theta_{m \times r}} := H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) \ominus \Theta_{m \times r} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r).$$

So there exists an isometric mapping  $J_m : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{m \times r}}$  defined by

$$J_m(G(z^m)E_m(z)) = G(z), \text{ for } G \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m).$$

In sum, we characterize the nearly  $(S^m)^*$ -invariant subspaces in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  as below.

**Proposition 4.3.** *For  $m \geq 3$ , let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a nearly  $(S^m)^*$ -invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , and  $E_m(z)$  be the  $m \times 1$  matrix whose columns are the normalization and orthogonalization of the reproducing kernel and the first  $(m-1)$  derivative kernels for  $\mathcal{M}$  at 0. Then there exists an isometric mapping*

$$J_m : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{m \times r}} \text{ defined by } G(z^m)E_m(z) \mapsto G(z),$$

where  $\Theta_{m \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^m))$  is inner for  $0 \leq r \leq m$ .

By Proposition 4.3, we continue to present the nearly  $(S^m)^*$  and  $(S^{km+\gamma})^*$ -invariant subspaces in the following theorem. It also includes all nearly invariant subspaces for the non-cyclic shift semigroup  $\{((S^m)^*)^n((S^{km+\gamma})^*)^l : n, l \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \geq 1\}$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** *For  $m \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ , let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a nearly  $(S^m)^*$  and  $(S^{km+\gamma})^*$ -invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , and  $E_m(z)$  be the  $m \times 1$  matrix whose columns are the normalization and orthogonalization of the reproducing kernel and the first  $(m-1)$  derivative kernels for  $\mathcal{M}$  at 0. Then there exists an isometric mapping*

$$J_m : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{m \times r}} \text{ defined by } G(z^m)E_m(z) \mapsto G(z),$$

where inner  $\Theta_{m \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^m))$  satisfies  $\Theta_{m \times r}^* \Sigma_{m, \gamma}^k \Theta_{m \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  for  $0 \leq r \leq m$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.3 and  $J_m : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{m \times r}}$ , we express any  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  as

$$f(z) = \Phi(z^m)E_m(z) = \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_0^l (z^m)^l, \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_1^l (z^m)^l, \dots, \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_{m-1}^l (z^m)^l \right) E_m(z),$$

with  $\Phi(z) \in K_{\Theta_{m \times r}}$  given in (4.9) and  $\phi_i(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_i^l z^l$  for  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ .

Our aim is to show  $\mathcal{M}$  is nearly  $(S^{km+\gamma})^*$ -invariant under the condition  $\Theta_{m \times r}^* \Sigma_{m, \gamma}^k \Theta_{m \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  for  $0 \leq r \leq m$ . So we assume that  $f(0) = f'(0) = \dots = f^{(km+\gamma-1)}(0) = 0$ , which yields that

$$\begin{cases} a_i^l = 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq k-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq i \leq m-1, \\ a_i^k = 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq \gamma-1. \end{cases}$$

At this time, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} [(S^{km+\gamma})^* f](z) &= ((S^{k+1})^* \phi_0, \dots, (S^{k+1})^* \phi_{\gamma-1}, (S^k)^* \phi_\gamma, \dots, (S^k)^* \phi_{m-1})(z^m) \\ &\quad \cdot \begin{pmatrix} S^{m-\gamma} I_{\gamma \times \gamma} & O_{\gamma \times (m-\gamma)} \\ O_{(m-\gamma) \times \gamma} & (S^*)^\gamma I_{(m-\gamma) \times (m-\gamma)} \end{pmatrix} E_m(z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

So (4.11), combined with the mapping  $J_m$ , implies that we need to verify

$$\begin{aligned} & ((S^{k+1})^*\phi_0, \dots, (S^{k+1})^*\phi_{\gamma-1}, (S^k)^*\phi_\gamma, \dots, (S^k)^*\phi_{m-1})(z) \\ & \cdot \begin{pmatrix} S^{m-\gamma}I_{\gamma \times \gamma} & O_{\gamma \times (m-\gamma)} \\ O_{(m-\gamma) \times \gamma} & (S^*)^\gamma I_{(m-\gamma) \times (m-\gamma)} \end{pmatrix} \in K_{\Theta_{m \times r}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

to prove the near  $(S^{k+m+\gamma})^*$ -invariance of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

By (4.2), we can translate the left side of (4.12) into  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$  behaving as

$$\begin{aligned} & ((S^{k+1})^*\phi_0, \dots, (S^{k+1})^*\phi_{\gamma-1}, (S^k)^*\phi_\gamma, \dots, (S^k)^*\phi_{m-1})(z^m) \\ & \cdot \begin{pmatrix} S^{m-\gamma}I_{\gamma \times \gamma} & O_{\gamma \times (m-\gamma)} \\ O_{(m-\gamma) \times \gamma} & (S^*)^\gamma I_{(m-\gamma) \times (m-\gamma)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \\ \vdots \\ z^{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = ((S^{k+1})^*\phi_0, \dots, (S^{k+1})^*\phi_{\gamma-1}, (S^k)^*\phi_\gamma, \dots, (S^k)^*\phi_{m-1})(z^m) \begin{pmatrix} z^{m-\gamma} \\ \vdots \\ z^{m-1} \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ z^{m-\gamma-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = ((S^k)^*\phi_\gamma)(z^m) + \dots + z^{m-\gamma-1}((S^k)^*\phi_{m-1})(z^m) \\ & \quad + z^{m-\gamma}((S^{k+1})^*\phi_0)(z^m) + \dots + z^{m-1}((S^{k+1})^*\phi_{\gamma-1})(z^m). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Afterwards, mapping the function in (4.13) back to  $H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$ , the desired result (4.12) is equivalently changed into

$$\begin{aligned} & ((S^k)^*\phi_\gamma, \dots, (S^k)^*\phi_{m-1}, (S^{k+1})^*\phi_0, \dots, (S^{k+1})^*\phi_{\gamma-1})(z) \\ & = (\Sigma_{m,\gamma}^k)^* \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 \\ \phi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} (z) \in K_{\Theta_{m \times r}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

In view of  $\Theta_{m \times r}^* \Sigma_{m,\gamma}^k \Theta_{m \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  and (4.3), for any  $F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ , it follows that

$$\Sigma_{m,\gamma}^k \Theta_{m \times r} F_{r \times 1}(z) = \Theta_{m \times r} G_{r \times 1}(z) \quad (4.15)$$

with some  $G_{r \times 1} := (\Theta_{m \times r}^* \Sigma_{m,\gamma} \Theta_{m \times r}) F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ . Thus for all  $F_{r \times 1} \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r)$ , using (4.15) we conclude that

$$\left\langle (\Sigma_{m,\gamma}^k)^* \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 \\ \phi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \Theta_{m \times r} F_{r \times 1} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 \\ \phi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \Sigma_{m,\gamma}^k \Theta_{m \times r} F_{r \times 1} \right\rangle$$



$$= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 \\ \phi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}, \Theta_{m \times r} G_{r \times 1} \right\rangle = 0,$$

due to  $(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{m-1})(z) \in K_{\Theta_{m \times r}}$ . Hence (4.14) holds and then  $\mathcal{M}$  is nearly  $(S^{km+\gamma})^*$ -invariant, ending the proof.  $\square$

As an application, we remark the simultaneously (near) invariance for discrete semigroups generated by three elements as below. Besides, more general cases follow similarly.

*Remark 4.5.* For  $m \geq 2$ ,  $n = k_n m + \gamma_n < l = k_l m + \gamma_l$  with  $n \nmid l$ ,  $k_n, k_l \geq 1$  and  $\gamma_n, \gamma_l \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ . The simultaneous invariance under  $S^m$ ,  $S^n$  and  $S^l$ , and the simultaneous invariance (or simultaneously near invariance) under  $(S^m)^*$ ,  $(S^n)^*$  and  $(S^l)^*$  can be respectively obtained from Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2 (or Theorem 4.4) with  $\Theta_{m \times r}^* \Sigma_{m, \gamma}^k \Theta_{m \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  for  $k = k_n$ ,  $\gamma = \gamma_n$  and  $k = k_l$ ,  $\gamma = \gamma_l$ .

We end this section with a subspace simultaneously invariant under  $S^3$ ,  $S^4$  and  $S^5$ .

*Example 4.6.* Let  $\mathcal{M} = \text{span}\{1, z^3, z^4, z^5, z^6, \dots\} = \mathbb{C} \oplus z^3 H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . It is clear  $\mathcal{M}$  is not  $S$  and  $S^2$ -invariant. We claim that  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $S^3$ ,  $S^4$  and  $S^5$ -invariant. To be precise, each  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$  has the form  $f(z) = f_0(z^3) + z f_1(z^3) + z^2 f_2(z^3)$ . So any  $g \in \mathcal{M}$  behaves as

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= g(0) + z^3(f_0(z^3) + z f_1(z^3) + z^2 f_2(z^3)) \\ &= g(0) + (S f_0)(z^3) + z(S f_1)(z^3) + z^2(S f_2)(z^3) \\ &:= g_0(z^3) + z g_1(z^3) + z^2 g_2(z^3), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_0(z) := g(0) + (z f_0)(z)$ ,  $g_1(z) := (z f_1)(z)$ ,  $g_2(z) := (z f_2)(z)$ . Therefore, we take

$$\Theta_{3 \times 3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z \end{pmatrix}_{3 \times 3}, \quad (4.16)$$

and infer that  $\mathcal{M} = T_3(\Theta_{3 \times 3} H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^3))$ , where  $\Theta_{3 \times 3}$  satisfies

$$\Theta_{3 \times 3}^* \Sigma_{3,1}^1 \Theta_{3 \times 3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & z^3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in H_{3 \times 3}^\infty, \quad \Theta_{3 \times 3}^* \Sigma_{3,2}^1 \Theta_{3 \times 3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in H_{3 \times 3}^\infty.$$

Meanwhile,  $\mathcal{M}^\perp = \text{span}\{z, z^2\} = T_3(K_{\Theta_{3 \times 3}})$  is  $(S^3)^*$ ,  $(S^4)^*$  and  $(S^5)^*$ -invariant with  $\Theta_{3 \times 3}$  given in (4.16).

## 5. INVARIANCE AND NEAR INVARIANCE FOR THE BLASCHKE PRODUCT CASE

In this section, we are concerned with the (near) invariance for non-cyclic semigroups generated by Toeplitz operators induced by different powers of an  $m$ -degree Blaschke

product  $B_m$ , which can be expressed as

$$B_m(z) = \lambda \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{z - z_j}{1 - \overline{z_j}z}$$

where  $|\lambda| = 1$  and  $|z_j| < 1$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . And the Toeplitz operator  $T_{B_m} : H^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is defined as  $T_{B_m}f = B_m f$ . At this time, the dimension of the subspace  $K_{B_m} := H^2(\mathbb{D}) \ominus T_{B_m} H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is  $m$ . For simplicity, we denote  $K := H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) \ominus z H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$ , which is also an  $m$ -dimensional subspace. Using the Wold Decomposition, it leads to

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} B_m^i K_{B_m} \text{ and } H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} z^i K.$$

Then there exists a unitary mapping  $U : H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$  defined by

$$U(B_m^i e_j) = z^i \delta_j^m, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\{e_j : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  and  $\{\delta_j^m : 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  are orthonormal basis of  $K_{B_m}$  and  $K$ , respectively. Combining (5.1) with the diagram (4.2), the following commutative diagram holds.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(\mathbb{D}) & \xrightarrow{T_{B_m}} & H^2(\mathbb{D}) \\ \downarrow U & & \downarrow U \\ H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) & \xrightarrow{S} & H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m) \\ \downarrow T_m & & \downarrow T_m \\ H^2(\mathbb{D}) & \xrightarrow{S^m} & H^2(\mathbb{D}), \end{array}$$

This satisfies  $S^m(T_m U) = (T_m U)T_{B_m}$  and then  $(S^m)^n(T_m U) = (T_m U)(T_{B_m})^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So we conclude that

$$(S^m)^n = (T_m U)(T_{B_m})^n(U^*T_m^{-1}) \text{ or } (T_{B_m})^n = (U^*T_m^{-1})(S^m)^n(T_m U) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (5.2)$$

Now assume  $(S^m)^n \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , (5.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (S^m)^n \mathcal{M} &= (T_m U)(T_{B_m})^n(U^*T_m^{-1})\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M} \\ \implies (T_{B_m})^n[(U^*T_m^{-1})\mathcal{M}] &\subset [(U^*T_m^{-1})\mathcal{M}], \end{aligned}$$

yielding that  $(U^*T_m^{-1})\mathcal{M}$  is a  $(T_{B_m})^n$ -invariant subspace. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{N}$  is a  $(T_{B_m})^n$ -invariant subspace, then  $(T_m U)\mathcal{N}$  is an  $(S^m)^n$ -invariant subspace.

On the other hand, let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a nearly  $((S^m)^*)^n$ -invariant subspace, it follows that  $(U^*T_m^{-1})\mathcal{N}$  is a nearly  $(T_{B_m}^*)^n$ -invariant subspace. To confirm this, we let  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $(T_{B_m})^n f \in (U^*T_m^{-1})\mathcal{N}$ , we need to prove  $f \in (U^*T_m^{-1})\mathcal{N}$ . By (5.2), we have that

$$(T_{B_m})^n f = (U^*T_m^{-1})(S^m)^n(T_m U)f \in (U^*T_m^{-1})\mathcal{N},$$

verifying  $(S^m)^n(T_m U)f \in \mathcal{N}$  and then  $(T_m U)f \in \mathcal{N}$  due to the near  $((S^m)^*)^n$ -invariance of  $\mathcal{N}$ . And hence  $f \in (U^*T_m^{-1})\mathcal{N}$ . Conversely, if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a nearly  $(T_{B_m}^*)^n$ -invariant subspace, then  $(T_m U)\mathcal{M}$  is nearly  $((S^m)^*)^n$ -invariant. Summarizing the above, a vital proposition follows as below.

**Proposition 5.1.** *For  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $B_m$  be an  $m$ -degree Blaschke product in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is an  $(S^m)^n$ -invariant (or a nearly  $((S^m)^*)^n$ -invariant) subspace if and only if  $(U^*T_m^{-1})\mathcal{M}$  is a  $(T_{B_m})^n$  (or nearly  $((T_{B_m})^*)^n$ )-invariant subspace.*

Now we keep on studying the simultaneous invariance under  $(T_{B_m})^n$  and  $(T_{B_m})^l$  and simultaneously near invariance under their conjugations with  $n < l$ . The nontrivial case includes  $l = nk + \gamma$ , for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\gamma \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . It also exhibits all nearly invariant subspaces for the discrete semigroup generated by two elements  $(T_{B_m}^*)^n$  and  $(T_{B_m}^*)^{nk+\gamma}$ . By (5.2), the above questions are equivalently converted into the corresponding subspaces for  $S^{mn}$  and  $(S^m)^{nk+\gamma} = S^{mnk+m\gamma}$  and their conjugations with  $k \geq 1$ ,  $\gamma \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . Hence employing Proposition 5.1, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 with  $m := mn$  and  $\gamma := m\gamma$ , the simultaneous invariance follows.

**Corollary 5.2.** *For  $m \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , let  $B_m$  be an  $m$ -degree Blaschke product and  $\mathcal{M}$  be an invariant subspace under both  $(T_{B_m})^n$  and  $(T_{B_m})^{kn+\gamma}$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then there is an  $r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq mn$  and an inner function  $\Theta_{mn \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^{mn}))$  satisfying  $\Theta_{mn \times r}^* \Sigma_{mn, m\gamma}^k \Theta_{mn \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  such that*

$$\mathcal{M} = (U^*T_m^{-1})[T_{mn}(\Theta_{mn \times r}H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^r))].$$

And

$$\mathcal{M}^\perp = (U^*T_m^{-1})[T_{mn}(K_{\Theta_{mn \times r}})]$$

is invariant under both  $(T_{B_m}^*)^n$  and  $(T_{B_m}^*)^{kn+\gamma}$ . Here  $U$  is given in (5.1) and  $T_{mn} : H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^{mn}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{D})$  is defined by

$$T_{mn}(f_0(z), \dots, f_{mn-1}(z)) = \sum_{l=0}^{mn-1} z^l f_l(z^{mn}).$$

Meanwhile, Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 4.4, with  $m := mn$  and  $\gamma := m\gamma$ , yield the simultaneously near invariance.

**Corollary 5.3.** *For  $m \geq 1$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 1$  and  $\gamma \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ , let  $B_m$  be an  $m$ -degree Blaschke product and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a nearly  $(T_{B_m}^*)^n$  and  $(T_{B_m}^*)^{kn+\gamma}$ -invariant subspace in  $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , and  $E_{mn}(z)$  be the  $mn \times 1$  matrix whose columns are the normalization and orthogonalization of the reproducing kernel and the first  $(mn-1)$  derivative kernels for  $\mathcal{M}$  at 0. Then there exists an isometric mapping*

$$J_{mn} : (T_m U)\mathcal{M} \rightarrow K_{\Theta_{mn \times r}} \text{ defined by } G(z^{mn})E_{mn}(z) \mapsto G(z),$$

where inner  $\Theta_{mn \times r} \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^r, \mathbb{C}^{mn}))$  satisfies  $\Theta_{mn \times r}^* \Sigma_{mn, m\gamma}^k \Theta_{mn \times r} \in H_{r \times r}^\infty$  for  $0 \leq r \leq mn$ ,  $T_m$  and  $U$  are given in (4.1) and (5.1), respectively.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aleman, A. Baranov, Y. Belov and H. Hedenmalm, Backward shift and nearly invariant subspaces of Fock-type spaces, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, 10(2022), 7390-7419.
- [2] K. Arshad, S. Lata and D. Singh, Nearly invariant Brangesian subspaces, arxiv preprint, 2023, arXiv:2311.04510.

- [3] M.C. Câmara and J.R. Partington, Near invariance and kernels of Toeplitz operators, *Journal d'Analyse Math.*, 124(2014), 235–260.
- [4] M.C. Câmara and J.R. Partington, Finite-dimensional Toeplitz kernels and nearly-invariant subspaces, *J. Operator Theory*, 75(1)(2016), 75–90.
- [5] M.C. Câmara and J.R. Partington, Toeplitz kernels and model spaces. The diversity and beauty of applied operator theory, 139-153, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, 268, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [6] I. Chalendar, N. Chevrot and J.R. Partington, Nearly invariant subspaces for backwards shifts on vector-valued Hardy spaces, *J. Operator Theory*, 63(2)(2010), 403–415.
- [7] E.A. Gallardo-Gutiérrez and J.R. Partington, Multiplication by a finite Blaschke product on weighted Bergman spaces: commutant and reducing subspaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 515(1)(2022), Paper No. 126383.
- [8] S. Garcia, J. Mashreghi and W.T. Ross, *Introduction to model spaces and their operators*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [9] A. Hartmann and W.T. Ross, Truncated Toeplitz operators and boundary values in nearly invariant subspaces, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 7(1)(2013), 261–273.
- [10] E. Hayashi, The kernel of a Toeplitz operator, *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 9(4)(1986), 588–591.
- [11] D. Hitt, Invariant subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}^2$  of an annulus, *Pacific J. Math.*, 134(1)(1988), 101–120.
- [12] T.L. Lance and M.I. Stessin, Multiplication invariant subspaces of Hardy spaces, *Canad. J. Math.*, 49(1)(1997), 100–118.
- [13] P.D. Lax, Translation invariant spaces, *Acta Math.*, 101(1959), 163–178.
- [14] Y. Liang and J.R. Partington, Nearly invariant subspaces for operators in Hilbert spaces, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 15(5)(2021), Paper No. 5.
- [15] Y. Liang and J.R. Partington, Nearly invariant subspaces for shift semigroups, *Sci. China Math.*, 65(9)(2022), 1895–1908.
- [16] Y. Liang and J.R. Partington, Cyclic nearly invariant subspaces for semigroups of isometries, *Math. Zeitschrift*, 307 (2024), Paper No. 58.
- [17] Y. Liang and J.R. Partington, Composition operators between Toeplitz kernels, arxiv preprint, arXiv:2405.19875 (2024).
- [18] N. Nikolski, *Operators, functions, and systems: an easy reading. Vol. 1*, volume 92 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. Hardy, Hankel, and Toeplitz, Translated from the French by Andreas Hartmann.
- [19] R. O’Loughlin, Nearly invariant subspaces with applications to truncated Toeplitz operators, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 14(8)(2020), 10–24.
- [20] J.R. Partington, *Linear operators and linear systems*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 60. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [21] D. Sarason, Nearly invariant subspaces of the backward shift, *Contributions to operator theory and its applications* (Mesa, AZ, 1987), 481–493, *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* 35, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1988.
- [22] D. Sarason, Kernels of Toeplitz operators, *Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl.*, 71(1994), 153–164.

YUXIA LIANG

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TIANJIN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300387, P.R. CHINA.

*Email address:* liangyx1986@126.com

JONATHAN R. PARTINGTON

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS, LEEDS LS2 9JT, UNITED KINGDOM.

*Email address:* J.R.Partington@leeds.ac.uk