

ON HOLOMORPHIC \mathbb{C}^* -ACTIONS

V. LEÓN AND B. SCÁRDUA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study holomorphic actions of the complex multiplicative group on complex manifolds around a singular (fixed) point. We prove linearization results for the germ of action and also for the whole action under some conditions on the manifold. This can be seen as a follow-up to previous works of M. Suzuki and other authors.

1. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental contribution to the study of holomorphic actions on Stein surfaces, was made by M. Suzuki who introduced the use of techniques of Theory of Foliations, Potential Theory and the Theory of Analytic Spaces (cf. [9] and [10]). Given a holomorphic action φ of the complex multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^* on a complex analytic space N^2 of dimension two, we denote by \mathcal{F}_φ the holomorphic foliation on N^2 induced by φ . In [9] it is proved that if V is Stein then the \mathcal{F}_φ admits a meromorphic first integral. In a very sharp study ([10]) the same author proves that any \mathbb{C}^* -action on the affine space \mathbb{C}^2 is analytically linearizable, *i.e.*, conjugate to an action $s \circ (x, y) = (s^n x, s^m y)$ where $s \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

As a sort of extension of the above result, in [1] the authors consider the classification of a Stein complex analytic space V of dimension two, with a normal singularity $p \in V$, endowed with a \mathbb{C}^* -action φ having a *dicritical singularity* at p , meaning that p is a fixed point such that every non-singular orbit of φ , close enough to p accumulates at and only at p . These are called *quasi-homogeneous singularities* in the framework of Analytic and Algebraic Geometry ([8]).

In [3] the authors study a \mathbb{C}^* -action φ with isolated singularities on a nonsingular complex analytic Stein space V , the action having some nondicritical singularity and without dicritical singularities. In few words it is proved analytic linearization at the level of leaf spaces.

1.1. Suzuki's theory. For our current purposes Suzuki's main result probably is:

Theorem 1 ([10]). *Any analytic \mathbb{C}^* -action on \mathbb{C}^2 is analytically linearizable, *i.e.*, analytically equivalent to an operation of the form $s \circ (x, y) = (s^n x, s^m y)$, $s \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

The classification of holomorphic \mathbb{C} -actions (*i.e.*, holomorphic flows) with proper orbits on \mathbb{C}^2 is also obtained by Suzuki ([10], Theorem 4), and not all of them are linearizable.

1.2. Quasi-homogeneous singularities: Orlik-Wagreich results. A 2-dimensional complex analytic variety V , with a distinguished point $p \in V$, is called a *quasi-homogeneous complex surface singularity*, if it admits a holomorphic action of the complex multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that every non-singular orbit in a neighborhood of p , accumulates only at $p \in V$ (see for instance [8], page 67 and [2, 7]). Such an action is called a

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37F75, 57R30; Secondary 32M25, 32S65.

Key words and phrases. Stein manifold, holomorphic flow, quasihomogeneous singularity, foliation.

good action. The study of algebraic quasi-homogeneous singularities is a main topic in the theory of singularities with major contributions from Saito ([6]), giving an algebraic description in the local context, and from Orlik and Wagreich ([4], [5]). The later studied algebraic affine varieties of dimension two, with an isolated singularity at the origin, under the hypothesis that the variety is invariant by an algebraic action of the form $\sigma_Q(t, (z_0, \dots, z_n)) = (t^{q_0} z_0, \dots, t^{q_n} z_n)$ where $Q = (q_0, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$, i.e. all q_i are positive integers. Algebraic surfaces embedded in \mathbb{C}^3 endowed with such an action are completely classified by them.

1.3. Main results. In this paper we study linearization of \mathbb{C}^* -actions and apply our results to some of the previous works above. We denote by (φ, G, M, p) a holomorphic action φ of a complex Lie group G on a complex manifold M having $p \in M$ as fixed point (not necessarily isolated). Given an element $g \in G$ we shall denote by $\varphi^g: M \rightarrow M$ the (biholomorphic) map $M \ni x \mapsto \varphi(g, x)$. Let (φ, G, M, p) be a holomorphic action, with a fixed point at $p \in M$ and let $\xi: W \ni p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a local chart. Using the natural identification $T^{(1,0)}\mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{C}^n$, we can use the derivative of the φ at p to define the following holomorphic action:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = \psi_{p,\xi}: G \times \mathbb{C}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ (g, x) &\mapsto \left[D\xi(p) \cdot D\varphi^g(p) \cdot (D\xi(p))^{-1} \right] \cdot x. \end{aligned}$$

Although we have used ξ to define ψ , any other chart defines an equivalent action. So we will refer to ψ as *the action by linear transformations* defined by the derivative of φ at p and may write $\psi = (D\varphi)_p$.

In the first part of this work, we study the case $M = \mathbb{C}^n$, $\xi \equiv Id$ and we obtain the following semilocal linearization result below:

Theorem A (Semi-local linearization in the affine space). *Let $(\varphi, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^n, p)$ be a holomorphic action of \mathbb{C}^* on \mathbb{C}^n having $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ as a fixed point and let $(\psi, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be the action $\psi = (D\varphi)_p$ by linear transformations defined by the derivative of φ at p . Then there is an entire map $F: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $F(p) = 0$ and*

$$\psi^z \circ F \equiv F \circ \varphi^z$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Moreover, there is a φ -invariant open set $W \ni p$ in \mathbb{C}^n such that $F|_W: W \rightarrow F(W)$ is a biholomorphism.

Next we study actions on complex manifolds. Let M be a complex manifold of dimension n and $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^* \times M \rightarrow M$ a holomorphic action of the group \mathbb{C}^* on M . Denote by \mathcal{F}_φ the one-dimensional holomorphic foliation with isolated singularities on M induced by φ . The one-dimensional orbits of φ are *leaves* of \mathcal{F}_φ and the *singular set* of $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}_\varphi)$ is contained in the set of fixed points of φ . Following the foliation theory terminology, an isolated singularity p of a one-dimension holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} in dimension two will be called *dicritical* if, for some neighborhood W of p , there are infinitely many leaves of the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_W$ accumulating *only* at p . The closure $\overline{L_W} = L_W \cup \{p\} \subset W$ of any such a local leaf $L_W \subset W$ is an invariant local analytic curve in W , called a *separatrix* of \mathcal{F} through p . Thus, a dicritical singularity exhibits infinitely many separatrices. A singularity $p \in V$ of a good \mathbb{C}^* -action on V is clearly dicritical. With a notion of dicricity similar to the one above we obtain the following linearization result:

Theorem B (Local linearization on a manifold). *Let $(\varphi, \mathbb{C}^*, M, p)$ be a holomorphic action on a complex manifold M , with an isolated fixed point at $p \in M$ such that p is of the dicritical type. Let $(\psi, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be the action by linear transformations defined by*

the derivative of $\psi = (D\varphi)_p$ of φ at p . There are a φ -invariant open set $A \ni p$ in M , a ψ -invariant open set $B \ni 0$ in \mathbb{C}^n and a biholomorphism $T: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\psi^z \circ T \equiv T \circ \varphi^z$$

for each $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

In the last section we apply our techniques to the classification of \mathbb{C}^* -actions on Stein manifolds, in particular we obtain variants of Suzuki's results above (cf. Theorems C and D).

Acknowledgement. This work was partially supported by Faperj and CNPq. On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

2. LOCAL LINEARIZATION OF \mathbb{C}^* -ACTIONS

In this section we prove Theorem A. We shall write ψ_p to denote the action $(D\varphi)_p$. We begin with the following lemma, a sort of local version of Bochner-Cartan theorem for our situation:

Lemma 1. *Let $(\varphi, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^n, p)$ be a holomorphic action with a fixed point at p and let $(\psi_p, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be the action by linear transformations defined by the derivative of φ at p . There is a holomorphic map $F_p: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that:*

- i. $\psi_p^z \circ F_p \equiv F_p \circ \varphi^z, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^*$.
- ii. $F_p(p) = 0$ e $DF_p(p) = Id$.

Proof. Consider the action (holomorphic flow) on \mathbb{C}^n

$$\begin{aligned} \phi: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ (z, x) &\rightarrow \varphi(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z), x). \end{aligned}$$

We define the holomorphic map

$$\begin{aligned} F_p: \mathbb{C}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ x &\mapsto \int_0^1 D\phi^{-s}(p) \cdot [\phi^s(x) - p] ds. \end{aligned}$$

We write F_p in the form

$$F_p(x) = \int_0^1 D\phi^{-s}(p) \cdot \phi^s(x) ds - c(p),$$

where $c(p) = \int_0^1 D\phi^{-s}(p) \cdot p ds$. If $0 \leq t \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} D\phi^t(p) \cdot \int_0^1 D\phi^{-s}(p) \cdot \phi^s(x) ds &= \int_0^1 D\phi^{t-s}(p) \cdot \phi^s(x) ds \\ &= \int_{-t}^{1-t} D\phi^{-u}(p) \cdot \phi^{u+t}(x) du \\ (2.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \int_{-t}^0 \dots + \int_0^{1-t} \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi^1 \equiv Id$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-t}^0 D\phi^{-u}(p) \cdot \phi^{u+t}(x) du &= \int_{-t}^0 D\phi^{-u}(p) \cdot D\phi^{-1}(p) \cdot \phi^1 \circ \phi^{u+t}(x) du \\
&= \int_{-t}^0 D\phi^{-u-1}(p) \cdot \phi^{u+1+t}(x) du \\
(2.2) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \int_{1-t}^1 D\phi^{-\alpha}(p) \cdot \phi^{\alpha+t}(x) d\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \qquad D\phi^t(p) \cdot \int_0^1 D\phi^{-s}(p) \cdot \phi^s(x) ds = \int_0^1 D\phi^{-u}(p) \cdot \phi^{u+t}(x) du.$$

A similar computation shows that $D\phi^t(p) \cdot c(p) = c(p)$. This, together with (2.3) implies that

$$D\phi^t(p) \cdot F_p \equiv F_p \circ \phi^t,$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Now, fix an arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The map

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_x : \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \\
z &\mapsto [D\phi^z(p) \cdot F_p - F_p \circ \phi^z](x)
\end{aligned}$$

is holomorphic. Since $Q_x|_{[0,1]} \equiv 0$, we have that Q_x is identically zero. Since x was taken arbitrarily, the proof is finished. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $(\varphi, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^n, p)$ be a holomorphic action with a fixed point at p and let $(\psi_p, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be the action by linear transformations defined by the derivative of φ at p . Let $F_p : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be the holomorphic map defined in Lemma 1. If $U \ni p$ and $V = F_p(U)$ are open sets in \mathbb{C}^n satisfying*

- (1) F_p is injective in U and
- (2) $\psi_p^z(V) \cap V$ is connected, for each $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$,

then F_p is injective in the saturation $\text{Sat}(U, \varphi)$ of U by (the orbits of) φ .

Proof. The saturation $\text{Sat}(U, \varphi)$ of U by φ is the union of all orbits of φ intersecting U . For each $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$, it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$(2.4) \qquad F_p^{-1} \circ \psi_p^z \equiv \varphi^z \circ F_p^{-1}$$

in some open set $W_z \subset \psi_p^{-z}(V) \cap V$. Using (2) and the Identity Principle, we conclude that (2.4) happens in $\psi_p^{-z}(V) \cap V$. We define the map

$$\begin{aligned}
T : \text{Sat}(V, \psi_p) &\rightarrow \text{Sat}(U, \varphi) \\
y &\mapsto \varphi^{z^{-1}} \circ F_p^{-1} \circ \psi_p^z(y),
\end{aligned}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfies $\psi_p^z(y) \in V$. We need to show that T is well defined. It is obvious if $y \in \text{Fix}(\psi_p)$. Take $y \in \text{Sat}(V, \psi_p) \setminus \text{Fix}(\psi_p)$. Suppose that there exist $\tilde{z} \neq z$ such that $\psi_p^z(y) = x \in V$ and $\psi_p^{\tilde{z}}(y) = \tilde{x} \in V$. In this case, there is a $l \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\psi_p^l(x) = \tilde{x}$ and this implies that

$$\psi_p^{l^{-1}} \circ \psi_p^{\tilde{z}} \circ \psi_p^{z^{-1}}(x) = x,$$

that is, $l^{-1} * \tilde{z} * z^{-1} = h \in \mathbb{C}_{x, \psi_p}^*$. Since $x \in \psi_p^{h^{-1}}(V) \cap V$, it follows from (2.4) that $h \in \mathbb{C}_{F_p^{-1}(x), \varphi}^*$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{\tilde{z}^{-1}} \circ F_p^{-1} \circ \psi_p^{\tilde{z}}(y) &= \varphi^{z^{-1}} \circ \varphi^{h^{-1}} \circ \varphi^{l^{-1}} \circ F_p^{-1} \circ \psi_p^l \circ \psi_p^h \circ \psi_p^z(y) \\ &= \varphi^{z^{-1}} \circ \varphi^{h^{-1}} \circ \varphi^{l^{-1}} \circ F_p^{-1} \circ \overbrace{\psi_p^l \circ \psi_p^z(y)}^{\in V} \\ &= \varphi^{z^{-1}} \circ \varphi^{h^{-1}} \circ F_p^{-1} \circ \psi_p^z(y) \\ &= \varphi^{-z} \circ F_p^{-1} \circ \psi_p^z(y). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that T is well defined and that is holomorphic, since V is open. We define the map

$$\begin{aligned} L : \text{Sat}(U, \varphi) &\rightarrow \text{Sat}(V, \psi_p) \\ y &\mapsto \psi_p^{z^{-1}} \circ F_p \circ \varphi^z(y), \end{aligned}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfies $\varphi^z(y) \in U$. By item (i) of Lemma 1 we have that $L \equiv F_p$. On the other hand $T \circ L \equiv \text{Id}|_{\text{Sat}(U, \varphi)}$ and $L \circ T \equiv \text{Id}|_{\text{Sat}(V, \psi_p)}$, that is, T is the inverse of F_p . \square

Now, we can prove Theorem A:

Proof of Theorem A. Consider the flow action

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ (z, x) &\rightarrow \varphi(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z), x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $X(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ be the complete holomorphic vector field whose flow is ϕ . If we identify X with the map $X(x) = (a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x))$, then the action

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ (z, x) &\rightarrow \psi_p(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z), x) \end{aligned}$$

is the flow of the linear vector field $Y(x) = DX(p) \cdot x$. Since the isotropy of ψ_p at each point contains \mathbb{Z} , a Jordan canonical form for $DX(p)$ has no nilpotent part. Therefore, there is a linear isomorphism A and an action $\phi : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\phi^z(x) = A^{-1} \circ \psi^z \circ A(x) = (\exp(\lambda_1 2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)x_1, \dots, \exp(\lambda_n 2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)x_n),$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let B_0 be an open ball centered at the origin of \mathbb{C}^n .

Claim 1. *For each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\phi^z(B_0) \cap B_0$ is connected.*

Proof. In fact, take $z = a - bi \in \mathbb{C}$ and $y \in \phi^z(B_0) \cap B_0$. There exists $y_1 \in B_0$ such that $\phi^z(y_1) = y$. Let r_{y_1} be the straight line segment joining y_1 and the origin. It is enough to show that $l_y := \phi^z(r_{y_1}) \subset B_0$. So take $r \in r_{y_1}$. Each real coordinate of r has modulus less than the modulus of respective coordinate of y_1 . So the same is valid for their complex coordinates. Since the j -th complex coordinate of $\phi(z, x)$ is

$$(2.5) \quad \exp(\lambda_j 2\pi b) \cdot \exp(\lambda_j 2\pi\sqrt{-1}a) x_j,$$

we conclude that each complex coordinate of $\phi^z(r)$ has modulus less than the modulus of respective coordinate of $\phi^z(y_1) = y \in B_0$. Therefore, $\phi^z(r) \in B_0$ and Claim 1 is proved. \square

Let $F_p : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be the map obtained in Lemma 1. Let $N \ni p$ be an open set in \mathbb{C}^n such that $F_p|_N : N \rightarrow F_p(N)$ is a biholomorphism. Take an open ball B_0 centered at origin in \mathbb{C}^n such that $V := A(B_0) \subset F_p(N)$. We have that

$$\psi^z(V) \cap V = A[A^{-1} \circ \psi^z \circ A(B_0) \cap B_0] = A[\phi^z(B_0) \cap B_0].$$

Therefore, it follows from above claim that $\psi^z(V) \cap V$ is connected for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$. If we take $U := (F_p|_N)^{-1}(V)$, then the desired result follows from Lemma 2. \square

3. ACTIONS OF \mathbb{C}^* ON COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

Now we search for results similar to Theorem A for complex manifolds. With this aim the following definition is given:

Definition 1. Let (φ, G, M, p) and (ψ, G, N, q) be holomorphic actions on complex manifolds M and N . Let $U \ni p$ and $V \ni q$ connected open sets in M and N respectively and let $F : U \rightarrow V$ be a biholomorphism such that $F(p) = q$. We write

$$\varphi \sim_{pq} \psi \text{ by } F : U \rightarrow V$$

if, for each $g \in G$, there exists an open set $W_g \subset (U \cap \varphi^{g^{-1}}(U))$ such that

$$\psi^g \circ F \equiv F \circ \varphi^g \text{ in } W_g.$$

Occasionally, we will use the notation $\varphi \sim_{pq} \psi$ without mentioning the map F .

Remark 1. It is easy to see that \sim is symmetric. More precisely, if $\varphi \sim_{pq} \psi$ by $F : U \rightarrow V$, then $\psi \sim_{qp} \varphi$ by $F^{-1} : V \rightarrow U$.

Remark 2. Suppose that $\varphi \sim_{pq} \psi$ by $F : U \rightarrow V$. Let $D \ni p$ be an connected open set such that $\overline{D} \subset U$. Since p is a fixed point, there exists an open set $W \ni e$ (the neutral element) in G , such that $\varphi^h(D) \subset U$ when $h \in W$. So by Identity Principle, we have that, for each $h \in W$,

$$\psi^h \circ F \equiv F \circ \varphi^h \text{ in } D.$$

In addition, it also follows from Identity Principle that if G is connected, then $F(\text{Fix}(\varphi, D)) \subset \text{Fix}(\psi, F(D))$. So using the symmetry of \sim , we conclude that $F(\text{Fix}(\varphi, D)) = \text{Fix}(\psi, F(D))$.

Definition 2. Let (φ, G, M, p) be a holomorphic action with a fixed point at p and let $(\psi_p, G, \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be the action by linear transformations defined by the derivative of φ at p . If $\varphi \sim_{p0} \psi_p$, we say that φ is *locally linearizable at p* .

A very preliminary result towards Theorem B is the following:

Lemma 3. *Every holomorphic action $(\varphi, \mathbb{C}^*, M, p)$ with a fixed point at p is locally linearizable at p .*

Proof. Consider the action

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{C} \times M &\rightarrow M \\ (z, x) &\rightarrow \varphi(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z), x). \end{aligned}$$

Let (ξ, W) be a local chart at p and let $(\psi_p, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be the action by linear transformations defined by the derivative of φ at p , constructed from ξ . Since p is a fixed point, there exists an open set $U \subset W$ such that $\varphi^t(U) \subset W$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. We define the map

$$\begin{aligned} F = F_{p,\xi} : U &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ x &\mapsto \int_0^1 \psi_p^{-t} \circ \xi \circ \varphi^s(x) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Fixed $t \in [0, 1]$, we can repeat the argument in Lemma 1 to obtain

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_p^t \circ F \equiv F \circ \varphi_p^t \text{ em } \varphi^{-t}(U) \cap U.$$

Since $DF(p) = D\xi(p)$, we can assume that F is injective, reducing U if necessary. Take a connected open set $A \ni 0$ in \mathbb{C} and an open set $B \ni p$ in M such that $\varphi^z(x) \in U$ whenever $x \in B$, $z \in A$. Take $x \in B$ and define the holomorphic map

$$R_x : A \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ z \mapsto [\psi_p^z \circ F - F \circ \varphi^z](x).$$

By (3.1), $R_x(z) = 0$ whenever $z \in [0, 1] \cap A$. Therefore $R_x \equiv 0$ for all $x \in B$, that is,

$$(3.2) \quad \psi_p^z \circ F(x) = F \circ \varphi^z(x) \text{ whenever } x \in B, z \in A \ni 0.$$

The set

$$S = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; \psi_p^z \circ F \equiv F \circ \varphi^z \text{ in some neighborhood of } p \text{ in } M\}$$

is a subgroup of \mathbb{C} , so by (3.2), $A \subset S$. This implies that $S = \mathbb{C}$ and the proof is complete. \square

Definition 3. Let

- (φ, G, M, p) and (ψ, G, N, q) be holomorphic actions;
- $U \ni p$ and $V \ni q$ be open sets in M and N^2 respectively;
- $D \ni p$ be an open set in M such that $\overline{D} \subset U$;
- $F : U \rightarrow V$ be a biholomorphism such that $F(p) = q$.

We write

$$\varphi \simeq_{pq} \psi \text{ by } F_D : U \rightarrow V$$

if, for each $g \in G$, some of the following alternatives occurs:

- (1) $\varphi^g(D) \subseteq U$ and $\psi^g \circ F \equiv F \circ \varphi^g$ in D ,
- (2) $\varphi^g(U) \supseteq U$ and $\psi^g \circ F \equiv F \circ \varphi^g$ in $\varphi^{g^{-1}}(U)$.

Occasionally, we will use the notation $\varphi \simeq_{pq} \psi$ without mentioning the application and the open sets.

Lemma 4. $\varphi \simeq_{pq} \psi$ implies that $\varphi \sim_{pq} \psi$. Moreover, the relation \simeq_{pq} is symmetric: if $\varphi \simeq_{pq} \psi$ by $F_D : U \rightarrow V$, then $\psi \simeq_{qp} \varphi$ by $F_E^{-1} : V \rightarrow U$, where $E = F(D)$.

Proof. The proof is an easy verification. \square

Lemma 5. Let (φ, G, M, p) and (ψ, G, N, q) be holomorphic actions such that $\varphi \sim_{pq} \psi$ by $F : U \rightarrow V$. Suppose that there exists a connected open set $D \subset\subset U$ such that, for each $g \in G$, some of the following alternatives occurs:

- (1) $\varphi^g(D) \subseteq U$,
- (2) $\varphi^g(U) \supseteq U$.

Then, $\varphi \simeq_{pq} \psi$ by $F_D : U \rightarrow V$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the Identity Principle. \square

Lemma 6. If (φ, G, M, p) and (ψ, G, N, q) are holomorphic actions such that $\varphi \simeq_{pq} \psi$ by $F_D : U \rightarrow V$ then there is a biholomorphism $T : \text{Sat}(D, \varphi) \rightarrow \text{Sat}(F(D), \psi)$ that extends $F|_D$ and satisfies

$$\psi \circ T \equiv T \circ \varphi \text{ in } \text{Sat}(D, \varphi).$$

Proof. We define

$$\begin{aligned} T : \text{Sat}(D, \varphi) &\rightarrow \text{Sat}(F(D), \psi) \\ y &\mapsto \psi^{g^{-1}} \circ F \circ \varphi^g(y), \end{aligned}$$

where $g \in G$ satisfies $\varphi^g(y) \in D$. We need to prove that T is well defined. If $y \in \text{Fix}(\varphi)$, this follows from Lemma 4. Take $y \in M \setminus \text{Fix}(\varphi)$ and suppose that there exist $\tilde{g} \neq g$ such that $\varphi^g(y) = x \in D$ e $\varphi^{\tilde{g}}(y) = \tilde{x} \in D$. In this case, there exists a $l \in G$ such that $\varphi^l(x) = \tilde{x}$. We have that $\varphi^{l^{-1}} \circ \varphi^{\tilde{g}} \circ \varphi^{g^{-1}}(x) = x$, that is, $l^{-1} * \tilde{g} * g^{-1} = h \in G_{x, \varphi}$. Since $x \in D \cap \varphi^{h^{-1}}(U)$, so $h \in G_{F(x), \psi}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{\tilde{g}^{-1}} \circ F \circ \varphi^{\tilde{g}}(y) &= \psi^{g^{-1}} \circ \psi^{h^{-1}} \circ \psi^{l^{-1}} \circ F \circ \varphi^l \circ \varphi^h \circ \varphi^g(y) \\ &= \psi^{g^{-1}} \circ \psi^{h^{-1}} \circ \psi^{l^{-1}} \circ F \circ \underbrace{\varphi^l \circ \varphi^g(y)}_{\substack{\in D \subset U \\ \in D}} \\ &= \psi^{g^{-1}} \circ \psi^{h^{-1}} \circ F \circ \varphi^g(y) \\ &= \psi^{g^{-1}} \circ F \circ \varphi^g(y). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that T is well defined and that is holomorphic, since U is open. Given $y \in \text{Sat}(D, \varphi)$, there exist $h \in G$ and $x \in D$ such that $\varphi^h(y) = x$. If $z \in G$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T \circ \varphi^z(y) &= T \circ \underbrace{\varphi^z \circ \varphi^{h^{-1}}(x)}_{\in \text{Sat}(D, \varphi)} = \psi^{g^{-1}} \circ F \circ \underbrace{\varphi^{g * z * h^{-1}}(x)}_{\in D} \\ &= \psi^z \circ \underbrace{\psi^{h^{-1}} \circ F \circ \varphi^h(y)}_T. \end{aligned}$$

By Lema 4, $\psi \simeq_{qp} \varphi$ by $F_E^{-1} : V \rightarrow U$. Thus we can construct analogously the inverse of T , and the proof is complete. \square

Remark 3. Given a holomorphic action $(\varphi, \mathbb{C}^*, M, p)$, consider the action

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathbb{C} \times M &\rightarrow M \\ (z, x) &\rightarrow \varphi(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}z), x). \end{aligned}$$

Let ξ be a local chart at p and let $(\psi_p, \mathbb{C}^*, \mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be the action by linear transformations defined by the derivative of φ at p , constructed from ξ . Let X be the complete holomorphic vector field defined by φ . If we identify $\tilde{X}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ with the map $\tilde{X}(x) = (a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x))$, we have that ψ_p is the flow of the linear vector field $Y(x) = D\tilde{X}(p) \cdot x$. Since the isotropy of ψ_p at each point contains \mathbb{Z} , a Jordan canonical form for $D\tilde{X}(p)$ has no nilpotent part. Therefore, there is a linear isomorphism A such that

$$A^{-1} \cdot \psi_p^z \cdot A(x) = (\exp(\lambda_1 2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)x_1, \dots, \exp(\lambda_n 2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)x_n),$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that p is a fixed point of *the dicritical type* if all λ_i are nonzero with a same signal. This does not contradict the notion of dicriticality introduced above, about having all orbits near p being contained in separatrices of the corresponding foliation \mathcal{F}_φ .

Proof of Theorem B. Take φ , ψ_p and ξ as in Remark 3. We know that (up to linear conjugation)

$$(3.3) \quad \psi_p(z, x) = (\exp(\lambda_1 2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)x_1, \dots, \exp(\lambda_n 2\pi\sqrt{-1}z)x_n),$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $v = a - b\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. The j -th coordinate of $\psi_p(z, x)$ is

$$(3.4) \quad \exp(\lambda_j 2\pi b) \cdot \exp(\lambda_j 2\pi \sqrt{-1} a) x_j.$$

Since p is of the dicritical type, there are no λ_i 's with different signals. Suppose that neither λ_j is negative (the other case is identical). If E is a open ball centered at origin, then

- $\psi_p^z(E) \supset E$ if $b > 0$,
- $\psi_p^z(E) \subset E$ if $b < 0$ and
- $\psi_p^z(E) = E$ if $b = 0$.

By Lemma 3, there is a biholomorphism $F: U \rightarrow V$ such that $\varphi \sim_{p0} \psi_p$ by F . Thus, $\psi_p \sim_{0p} \varphi$ by $F^{-1}: V \rightarrow U$. We can suppose that V is an open ball. If we take an open ball $E \subset\subset V$ centered at origin, then follows from Lemma 5 that $\psi_p \simeq_{0p} \varphi$ by $F_E^{-1}: V \rightarrow U$. So the desired result follows from Lemma 6. \square

4. ACTIONS OF \mathbb{C}^* ON STEIN MANIFOLDS

Our above results lead to natural variants of results proven for holomorphic actions on Stein manifolds. For instance, joining the results in [7] and [3] we can state the following global linearization theorem:

Theorem 2 ([2], Theorem 1.1). *Let X be a complete holomorphic vector field with isolated singularities on a Stein manifold M of dimension $n \geq 2$. Assume that X has isolated singularities and some dicritical singularity with first jet of the form $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$, where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, $\forall j$. If $\text{sing } X$ is finite and $\check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then X is holomorphically conjugate to $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)}$. In particular, M is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n .*

Applying our linearization results to this theorem we obtain:

Theorem C. *Let φ be a \mathbb{C}^* -action with isolated singularities on a Stein manifold M of dimension $n \geq 2$. Assume that φ has an isolated dicritical singularity at $p \in M$. If $\check{H}^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then φ is analytically linearizable, indeed, there is a biholomorphic map $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ which conjugates φ to the linear flow of $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$, where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, $\forall j$. In particular, M is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n .*

Proof. The \mathbb{C}^* -action φ induces a holomorphic flow ψ on M by $\psi_t(x) = \varphi_{e^t}(x)$, $x \in M$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$. The flow ψ is $2\pi\sqrt{-1}$ -periodic and induces a complete holomorphic vector field X on M by $X(x) = \left. \frac{\partial \psi_t(x)}{\partial t} \right|_{(t=0)}$, $\forall x \in M$. By hypothesis $p \in M$ is a dicritical singularity for the action φ and by Theorem B there is an analytic linearization for φ and therefore for X in an invariant neighborhood W of p in M . This implies that X has a first jet at p of the form $X_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)} = a \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$, where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, $\forall j$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Applying then Theorem 2 above we conclude the proof. \square

Next we obtain a sort of extension of Suzuki's linearization theorem in [10] to \mathbb{C}^* -actions on Stein surfaces:

Theorem D. *Let φ be a \mathbb{C}^* -action with isolated singularities on a Stein surface N^2 with $H^2(N^2, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H_1(N^2, \mathbb{C}) = 0$. Then we have the following possibilities:*

- (i) φ has a nondicritical singularity $p \in N^2$ and the action φ admits a holomorphic first integral $F: V \rightarrow R$ ($R = \mathbb{D}$ or $R = \mathbb{C}$) of the form $F = f_1^{n_1} f_2^{n_2}$ where ($f_j = 0$), $j=1,2$, are irreducible curves. The foliation \mathcal{F}_φ is the pull-back of the linear foliation \mathcal{F}_1 given on $\mathbb{C}_{(x,y)}^2$ by the vector field $X = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and corresponding to the action $\rho: \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$, $\rho(s, (x, y)) = (sx, s^{-1}y)$. The map $\mu := (f_1^{n_1}, f_2^{n_2})$ is bijective as a map between leaf spaces.
- (ii) φ has a dicritical singularity, φ is globally linearizable and N^2 is biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2 .

Proof. Because N^2 is Stein there is a meromorphic first integral $f: N^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}P(1)$ for φ on N^2 (cf. [9]). We can also assume that this first integral is primitive and is onto an open Riemann surface $R \in \{\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{D}\}$ in the sense of [10] as explained in [2]. If φ has no fixed point on N^2 then f defines a holomorphic fibration what is not possible thanks to the hypothesis $H_1(N^2, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ (recall that the fibers are \mathbb{C}^* which is topologically a cylinder). Therefore φ must have some fixed point, i.e., some singularity for \mathcal{F}_φ . If the singularity is nondicritical then we apply [2]. If the singularity is dicritical then we apply Theorem C above (essentially, [7]). □

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Camacho, H. Movasati, B. Scárdua: *The Moduli of Quasi-Homogeneous Stein Surface Singularities*. J Geom Anal 19, 244-260 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-008-9062-7>.
- [2] C. Camacho; B. Scárdua: *Dicritical holomorphic flows on Stein manifolds*; Archiv der Mathematik, 89 (2007), 339-349.
- [3] C. Camacho; B. Scardua: *Nondicritical \mathbb{C}^* - actions on two dimensional Stein manifolds*. Manuscripta Mathematica, Volume 129, Number 1 / May, 2009, 91–98.
- [4] P. Orlik; Wagreich: *Isolated singularities of algebraic surfaces with \mathbb{C}^* -action*; Annals of Math. 93, 205-228 (1971).
- [5] P. Orlik; Seifert manifolds. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 291. 1-155 (1970)
- [6] K. Saito: *Quasihomogene isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen*. Invent. Math. 14 (1971), 123–142.
- [7] B. Scardua: *On the classification of holomorphic flows and Stein surfaces*; Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations Vol. 52, No. 1, January 2007, 79-83.
- [8] J. Seade: *On the topology of isolated singularities in analytic spaces*. Progress in Mathematics, 241. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.
- [9] M. Suzuki: *Sur les opérations holomorphes de \mathbb{C} et de \mathbb{C}^* sur un espace de Stein*, Séminaire Norguet, Springer Lect. Notes, 670 (1977), 80-88.
- [10] M. Suzuki: *Sur les opérations holomorphes du groupe additif complexe sur l'espace de deux variables complexes*; Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4e série, t.10, 1977, p. 517 à 546.

V. LEÓN. ILACVN - CICN, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA INTEGRAÇÃO LATINO-AMERICANA, PARQUE TECNOLÓGICO DE ITAIPU, FOZ DO IGUAÇU-PR, 85867-970 - BRAZIL
Email address: `victor.leon@unila.edu.br`

B. SCÁRDUA. INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA - UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO RIO DE JANEIRO, CP. 68530-RIO DE JANEIRO-RJ, 21945-970 - BRAZIL
Email address: `bruno.scardua@gmail.com`