

On reflection maps from n-space to n+1-space

Gama, M.B. and Silva, O.N.

Abstract

In this work we consider some problems about a reflected graph map germ f from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. A reflected graph map is a particular case of a reflection map, which is defined using an embedding of \mathbb{C}^n in \mathbb{C}^p and then applying the action of a reflection group G on \mathbb{C}^p . In this work, we present a description of the presentation matrix of $f_*\mathcal{O}_n$ as an \mathcal{O}_{n+1} -module via f in terms of the action of the associated reflection group G . We also give a description for a defining equation of the image of f in terms of the action of G . Finally, we present an upper (and also a lower) bound for the multiplicity of the image of f and some applications.

1 Introduction

In this work we consider some problems on reflection maps from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Reflection maps have recently emerged in the literature and have proven to be a very interesting subject for singularity theory, with interesting properties and challenging problems to be explored. These kind of maps were introduced by Peñafort-Sanchis in [16] where several interesting problems are considered such as Lê's Conjecture, normal crossings and \mathcal{A} -finite determinacy. Reflection maps were also used to produce the first known counterexample of Ruas's conjecture ([19] see also [22]). In order to explain what a reflection map is, let's first introduce some ingredients and notation.

Let $GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$ be the group of all invertible linear maps from \mathbb{C}^p to \mathbb{C}^p (the general linear group), and $U(\mathbb{C}^p)$ be the group of unitary automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^p . A reflection in \mathbb{C}^p is a linear map $g : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ which is unitary, has finite order (as an element of $GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$) and the set of points fixed by the action of g has dimension $p - 1$. A finite subgroup G of $U(\mathbb{C}^p)$ is a (unitary) reflection group if it is generated by reflections. The cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_d and the dihedral \mathcal{D}_{2m} are typical examples of reflection groups (as long as they are considered with convenient representations in $GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$, see Remark 2.7). There is a vast theory regarding reflection groups, and we will only explain in this work we need to get our results. A general reference for the topic is [5] (see also [7]) where one can find a detailed description of reflection groups and also the classification of irreducible reflection groups obtained by Shephard-Todd in 1954 (see [21]).

In few words, a reflection map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ is defined by Peñafort-Sanchis in [16] simply as the composition of the orbit map $w : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ of the group G with a embedding $\rho : \mathbb{C}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$, i. e., $f = w \circ \rho$.




Figure 1: The C_5 singularity viewed as a reflection map

We remark that according to Peñafort-Sanchis, the formulation of a precise definition of a reflection map is attributed to Fernández de Bobadilla. A reflected graph is a particular case of a reflection map. These kind of maps were also introduced by Peñafort-Sanchis in [16] and play a very important role in the theory of reflection maps. For instance, Peñafort-Sanchis shows that with a certain hypothesis each reflection map germ is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to a reflected graph map germ (see [16, Prop. 3.2]). Let $h : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ be any holomorphic map and G a reflection group acting on \mathbb{C}^p . In few words, we can say that a reflected graph map $(w, h) : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{p+r}$ is the reflection map obtained by taking the graph embedding h , given by $x \mapsto (x, h(x))$, and letting G act on $\mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^r$, trivially on the second factor.

The objective of this work is to study some problems about reflected graph map germs from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, where h is a map germ from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$. Before we describe the problems we will deal with, let's look at some historical cases.

The simplest class of examples of reflected graph maps are the fold maps. For instance, the C_5 -singularity of Mond's list ([13, p.378]) defined by $f(x, y) = (x, y^2, xy^3 - x^5y)$ (see Figure 1). Historically, fold maps are the first examples of reflection maps that we find in the literature. One of the first to study the subject was Mond in 1985 (see [14]). A fold map germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ is a reflected graph map germ where $\rho : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ is defined by $\rho(x, y) = (x, y, h(x, y))$ and $w : (\mathbb{C}^3, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ is the orbit map of the group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times G_0$, where G_0 is trivial group and $w(X, Y, Z) = (X, Y^2, Z)$.

Later, in 2008, Marar and Nuño-Ballesteros introduced in [8] the double fold maps. These maps are similar to the folds maps, but for this class, w is the orbit map of the group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $f = w \circ \rho$ takes the form $f(x, y) = (x^2, y^2, h(x, y))$. A detailed study of double fold maps is given in [17]. A typical example of a double fold map germ is the one given by $f(x, y) = (x^2, y^2, x^3 + y^3 + xy)$ (see Figure 2).




Figure 2: The reflection map $f(x, y) = (x^2, y^2, x^3 + y^3 + xy)$ (real points).

Note that the image of f above is a singular surface in \mathbb{C}^3 . If (X, Y, Z) denotes a system of coordinates in \mathbb{C}^3 then a defining equation for the image of f is

$$X^2Y^2 - 2XYZ^2 + Z^4 - 2X^4Y - 2XY^4 - 8X^2Y^2Z - 2X^3Z^2 - 2Y^3Z^2 + X^6 - 2X^3Y^3 + Y^6 = 0. \quad (1)$$

We remark that determining a defining equation for the image of a map germ in general is not an easy task. In this way, let G be a reflection group acting in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$, trivially on the second factor, through an orbit map $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n, Z)$. Let $h : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a holomorphic function. Consider a reflected graph map germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, $f(\mathbf{x}) = (w_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, w_n(\mathbf{x}), h(\mathbf{x}))$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. We have that the action of G on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$ induces an action on the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n, Z]$. Thus a natural question in this setting is:

Question 1: How can we describe a defining equation for the image of a reflected graph map germ f from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ in terms of the action of G on h ?

When $n = 2$, a defining equation for the image of a reflection map is described in [1] in the case of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_d (with $d \leq 4$) and the group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. In [22, Ch. 4] the case of the groups $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ and \mathbb{Z}_4 is considered. However, none of these works describe a defining equation of the image in terms for the action of G on h .

Also in the case where $n = 2$, Marar and Nuño-Ballesteros present a defining equation for the image of f in the case of the group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ (see the proof of [8, Prop. 3.1]). The technique used by them is to consider the fact that one can see the pushforward $f_*\mathcal{O}_2$ as an \mathcal{O}_3 -module, via composition with f . In the sequence, using Mond-Pellikaan algorithm (see [12]) they determine a presentation matrix for $f_*\mathcal{O}_2$ and then they find a defining equation for the image as the 0-Fitting ideal of $f_*\mathcal{O}_2$, which is simply the determinant of the presentation matrix of $f_*\mathcal{O}_2$. For instance, a presentation matrix for $f = (x^2, y^2, x^3 + y^3 + xy)$ (with relation to the basis $1, x, y, xy$) is given by

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -Z & X & Y & 1 \\ X^2 & -Z & X & Y \\ Y^2 & Y & -Z & X \\ XY & Y^2 & X^2 & -Z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the defining equation for $f = (x^2, y^2, x^3 + y^3 + xy)$ described in (1) is precisely the determinant of the matrix M above. Thus a natural question in this setting is:

Question 2: Let $f(\mathbf{x}) = (w(\mathbf{x}), h(\mathbf{x}))$ be a reflected graph map germ as in Question 1. How can we describe the presentation matrix for the pushforward $f_*\mathcal{O}_n$ in terms of the action of G on h ?

Note that finding the presentation matrix for $f_*\mathcal{O}_n$ may not be an easy task. For the computations one can use the software SINGULAR [3] and the implementation of Mond-Pellikaan's algorithm given by Hernandez, Miranda, and Peñafort-Sanchis in [6]. However, depending on the complexity of f , even with the help of a usual computer, the calculations can take days and in some cases cannot be completed due to lack of computer memory. This is the case, for example, of maps whose coordinate functions have high multiplicities.

In this work we present answers for both questions. For Question 2, in a few words, we describe the presentation matrix for $f_*\mathcal{O}_n$ as a product of three matrices, where its entries depend on the action of G on h (see Theorem 3.3). We note that one importance of obtaining a presentation matrix of $f_*\mathcal{O}_n$ is the fact that the Fitting ideals give a convenient analytic structure not for only the image of f but for also the image of the (source) multiple points $\mathcal{D}^k(f)$ of f (see [12]).

For Question 1, we consider a reflection group G of order d and a reflected graph map $f(\mathbf{x}) = (w(\mathbf{x}), h(\mathbf{x}))$ as in Question 1. Thus we present the following result (see Theorem 4.2).

Theorem 1.1 *A defining equation for the image of $f(\mathbf{x}) = (w(\mathbf{x}), h(\mathbf{x}))$ (given by the 0-Fitting ideal of the presentation matrix $f_*(\mathcal{O}_n)$) is given by the following alternating sum*

$$F(X_1, \dots, X_n, Z) = Z^d - Q_{d-1}Z^{d-1} + Q_{d-2}Z^{d-2} + \dots + (-1)^{d-1}Q_1Z + (-1)^dQ_0. \quad (2)$$

The description of Q_{d-k} in Theorem 1.1 is given in terms of a G -invariant polynomial $q_{d-k}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ which can be seen as a symmetric polynomial in the variables h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d , where h_i denotes the action of an element g_i of G on h (see Lemma 4.1). We remark that another way to obtain the defining equation for the image of a reflection map is presented in [2] using sections of the orbit map which is a different technique from the one used by us in this work.

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1 we obtain an upper bound for the multiplicity of f , where f is a reflected graph map germ. More precisely, write

$$F = F_m + F_{m+1} + \cdots + F_k + \cdots$$

where each F_k is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k and $F_m \neq 0$. The integer m is called the multiplicity of $\mathbf{V}(F)$ in 0 and is denoted by $m(\mathbf{V}(F), 0)$. Note that by the defining equation (2) in Theorem 1.1 we obtain as a corollary that $m(f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) \leq d$, where d is the order of the reflection group G . Now, consider a reflection map germ (not necessarily a reflected graph one) $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. A natural question is:

Question 3: How big can the multiplicity of the image of f be? In other words, is there an upper bound for the multiplicity of the image of a reflection map?

In this work, we present an answer to Question 3. Ordering the degrees in the form $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_{n+1}$, we show (see Theorem 5.3) that

$$d_1 d_2 \cdots d_n \leq m(f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) \leq d_2 d_3 \cdots d_{n+1}.$$

Finally, as an application of our results, in the case of reflected graph map germs we present another proof for a defining equation for the double point hypersurface of f obtained by in Borges Zampiva, Peñafort-Sanchis, Oréface Okamoto and Tomazella in [2, Th. 5.2]. In order to illustrate our results we introduce in Section 6.2 a new class of map germs called “*2m-dihedral map germs*”. We show the presentation matrix for the pushforward $f_*\mathcal{O}_2$ and a defining equation for the image of a 6-dihedral map germ in an explicit way (see Proposition 6.7). In [8, Th. 3.4], Marar and Nuño-Ballesteros showed that there are no finitely determined quasihomogeneous (with distinct weights) double folds map germs, i.e, f is a reflection graph map germ with reflection group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. We finish this work by presenting an extension of this result (see Lemma 6.9) for the group $\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s$, with $r, s \geq 2$.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we assume that $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ is a finite holomorphic map germ, unless otherwise stated. Throughout this paper, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $(\mathbf{X}, Z) = (X_1, \dots, X_n, Z)$ are used to denote systems of coordinates in \mathbb{C}^n (source) and \mathbb{C}^{n+1} (target), respectively. Through, we use the standard notation of singularity theory as the reader can find in [11].

2.1 Reflection groups and reflection maps

Consider $GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$ the group of all invertible linear transformations of \mathbb{C}^p . Let Id be the identity element of $GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$. A linear representation of a group G with representation space \mathbb{C}^p is a homomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$. If $\psi : G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$ is a representation, we say that G acts on \mathbb{C}^p and we call \mathbb{C}^p a G -module [20]. The action of $g \in G$ on $v \in \mathbb{C}^p$ is defined by $gv := \psi(g)v$ and we usually denote gv by $g \bullet v$. For $g \in GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$, we have $Fix\ g := \{v \in \mathbb{C}^p \mid g \bullet v = v\}$.

Definition 2.1 *A reflection on \mathbb{C}^p is a linear map $g : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$, satisfying:*

- (i) g is unitary.
- (ii) g has finite order.
- (iii) $\dim Fix\ g = p - 1$.

Let $U(\mathbb{C}^p)$ be the group of unitary automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^p . A subgroup G of $U(\mathbb{C}^p)$ is said to be a reflection group if it is generated by reflections. If g is a reflection, the subspace $H := Fix\ g$ is a hyperplane, called the reflecting hyperplane of g .

We note that the action of G on \mathbb{C}^p induces an action of G on a polynomial function, as we will see in the next definition.

Definition 2.2 Let $g \in GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$ and $P \in S := \mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p]$ be a polynomial function, we define the action of g into P by

$$(g \bullet P)(v) := P(g^{-1}(v)), \text{ for all } v \in \mathbb{C}^p.$$

We say that $P \in S$ is G -invariant if $g \bullet P = P$ for all $g \in G$. The algebra of the invariants of G is the algebra of G -invariant polynomial functions

$$J := S^G = \{P \in S \mid g \bullet P = P \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

The following lemma that can be found for instance in [5, Lemma 3.17]. It gives us a way to obtain the action of a reflection of G on an element of S .

Lemma 2.3 If g is a reflection in $GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$ and if $H := \text{Fix } g$ is its reflecting hyperplane (with $H = \text{Ker } L_H$), then for all $P \in S$ there exists $Q \in S$ such that

$$g \bullet P = P + L_H Q.$$

This is a linear action that preserves the degree and algebraic structure of S .

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that if g is a reflection, then $\frac{P - g \bullet P}{L_H} = -Q \in S$. So we can consider the operator $\Delta_{g_i} : S \rightarrow S$ given by

$$\Delta_{g_i}(P) = \frac{P - g_i \bullet P}{L_H}$$


where g_i is a reflection of G . This operator is also known as *Demazure operator*.

Consider now the operator $Av : S \rightarrow J$, given by

$$Av(P) := \frac{1}{|G|} \left(\sum_{g \in G} g \bullet P \right).$$

This operator is also known as *Reynolds operator*. It is clear from the definition that $Av(P) \in J$ and that $Av(P)$ is either 0 or has the same degree as P . Moreover, for $P \in J$ we have $Av(P) = P$ and therefore $Av^2 = Av$. Thus Av is a projection of S onto J . In fact, a somewhat stronger statement is true, namely that for $P \in J$ and $Q \in S$ we have $Av(PQ) = PAv(Q)$ so that Av is a J -module homomorphism.

The orbit map w of a group G acting on \mathbb{C}^p determines a way of “folding” \mathbb{C}^p , gluing an orbit of G to a point [16]. Let’s look at the following geometric illustration.



Since G acts on \mathbb{C}^p then by Shephard-Todd’s Theorem (see for instance [21]) we have that the algebra of G -invariant polynomial functions J can be generated by p homogeneous polynomials.

Definition 2.4 The orbit map of a reflection group G is a map $w : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ whose coordinate functions are homogeneous polynomials w_1, w_2, \dots, w_p in S that generate J . The degrees d_1, \dots, d_p of G are the degrees of w_1, w_2, \dots, w_p , respectively.

The map w is unique up to invertible polynomial transformations in the target. Furthermore, it is well known that the degrees of G does not depend on the choice of the set of generators w_1, \dots, w_p for J . Since we work with objects which are invariant under such transformations, the choice of w does not matter. This justifies us abusively calling w “the orbit map” of G .

Example 2.5 Consider the generators of the dihedral group D_{2m} in $GL(\mathbb{C}^2)$,

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad e \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{m}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, the orbit map $w : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ of D_{2m} is given by

$$(x, y) \mapsto (xy, x^m + y^m).$$

One of the most important results about the orbit map is Noether’s Theorem [15] which allows us to conclude that the set $w^{-1}(w(v))$ is the orbit of G in v , i.e, the set $G_v : \{g \bullet v \mid g \in G\}$.

Theorem 2.6 [Noether] For any $v \in \mathbb{C}^p$, $w^{-1}(w(v)) = G_v$.

Considering $G = \mathbb{Z}_4$, we have the following illustration of Noether’s Theorem




Figure 3: Orbit map of a reflection group.

Remark 2.7 It is important to mention that whether a group is a reflection group or not depends on its representation in $GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$. For example, the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_d generated by the matrix

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

is a reflection group, since K is a reflection. On the other hand, the group generated by the matrix

$$K' = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}} & 0 \\ 0 & \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d}}\right)^k \end{bmatrix},$$

with $\gcd(k, d) = 1$, is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_d . However, it is not generated by reflections, therefore it is not a reflection group.

Let G be a reflection group acting on \mathbb{C}^p . For $i = 1, \dots, \ell$, denote by $Fix\ g_i := H_i$ all the reflected hyperplanes of G , where g_i is a reflection of G . Let e_i be the order of the cyclic group which fix H_i and let $L_{H_1}, \dots, L_{H_\ell}$ be linear forms such that $H_i := Ker\ L_{H_i}$. An important relation between the orbit map and the defining equations of the reflected hyperplanes of G is given by the following proposition which can be found for instance in [21, Th. 9.8].

Proposition 2.8 *For some non-zero constant c we have that*

$$\det(jac(w)) = c \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} L_{H_i}^{e_i-1}.$$

Definition 2.9 *Let G be a reflection group G acting on \mathbb{C}^p and $h : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ be any holomorphic map.*

- (a) *A G -reflection map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ is a map given by the composition of an embedding $\rho : \mathbb{C}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ with the orbit map $w : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ of G , i.e., $f = w \circ \rho$.*
- (b) *The G -reflected graph of h is the map $(w, h) : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{p+r}$, given by $f(\mathbf{x}) = (w(\mathbf{x}), h(\mathbf{x}))$.*

The G -reflected graph $f = (w, h)$ is the G -reflection map obtained by taking the graph embedding h , given by $x \mapsto (\mathbf{x}, h(\mathbf{x}))$, and letting G act on $\mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^r$, trivially on the second factor (a trivial extension of the action to \mathbb{C}^{p+r}). To simplify, we will refer a “ G -reflection map” just by “reflection map”, when G is clear in the context. Throughout this work we will only consider G -reflected graphs in the case where $r = 1$ and $p = n$. For a G -reflection map, most of the time throughout this work we will consider only the case where $p = n + 1$.

3 The presentation matrix for reflection maps

In this section, we will consider a reflection group G acting on \mathbb{C}^n and a holomorphic function $h : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We consider an extension of the action of G on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$, simply asking G to act trivially on the second factor, in this sense we also consider an orbit map $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n, Z)$. We will study a reflection graph from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ given by $f = (w_1, \dots, w_n, h)$. In this context, we will provide an answer to Question 2 in the Introduction, i.e., we will present a presentation matrix for the pushforward $f_*\mathcal{O}_n$ as an \mathcal{O}_{n+1} -module, via composition with f , where f is a reflected graph map germ. We note that our way to construct the presentation matrix depends only on the action of G on h .

Before to do this, lets introduce some notation. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ be a finite analytic map germ. By the Weierstrass preparation theorem (see e.g. [11, Th. D.1]), \mathcal{O}_n is a finite \mathcal{O}_{n+1} -module via f . Let r_1, r_2, \dots, r_ℓ be elements in \mathcal{O}_n and denote the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_{n+1} by \mathbf{m} . Suppose that classes of r_1, \dots, r_ℓ in $\mathcal{O}_n/f^*\mathbf{m}$ generate it as a vector space over $\mathbb{C} = \mathcal{O}_{n+1}/\mathbf{m}$, then r_1, \dots, r_ℓ generate \mathcal{O}_n as \mathcal{O}_{n+1} -module via f . It is clear that we may take $r_1 = 1$, and we shall do so in all of what follows. A presentation of \mathcal{O}_n over \mathcal{O}_{n+1} is an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{n+1}^r \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathcal{O}_{n+1}^s \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathcal{O}_n \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

of \mathcal{O}_{n+1} -modules. It follows as a particular case of the results in [12] that $r = s = \ell$ in (3). We say that λ in (3) is the presentation matrix of $f^*\mathcal{O}_n$. We note that Mond and Pellikaan also present an algorithm to construct a presentation (for details, see [12, Section 2.2]).

Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_d\}$ be a reflection group of order d acting on \mathbb{C}^n . We will adopt the notation $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ for the orbit map of G , $(\mathbf{X}, Z) = (X_1, \dots, X_n, Z)$ for the coordinates of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} (target) and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ for the coordinates of \mathbb{C}^n (source). We denote by \mathcal{R} the set of all reflections of G .

Recall that a reflected graph map germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, $f(\mathbf{x}) = (w(\mathbf{x}), h(\mathbf{x}))$, is obtained as the composition $f = w \circ \rho$, where ρ is the embedding $(\mathbf{x}, h(\mathbf{x}))$ and w is the orbit map of G . Denote by $\mathcal{Y} = \rho(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and $\mathcal{X} = w(\mathcal{Y})$. Note that $\mathcal{X} = f(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbf{V}(F(\mathbf{X}, Z))$, where $F(\mathbf{X}, Z)$ is a defining equation for the image of f which is obtained as the determinant of the presentation matrix of $f_*(\mathcal{O}_n)$. In this setting, clearly a defining equation for $(\mathcal{Y}, 0)$ is $Z - h(X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$. By Noether's Theorem (see Theorem 2.6)

we have that $w^{-1}(w(\mathcal{Y})) = \bigcup_{i=1}^d g_{i\bullet} \mathcal{Y}$, i.e., the pre-image of \mathcal{X} by w consist of the orbit of \mathcal{Y} on the action of

G . Set $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}} := w^{-1}(w(\mathcal{Y}))$. We will show in the following lemma that a defining equation for $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ is given by

$$(Z - g_{1\bullet} h(\mathbf{X})) \cdot (Z - g_{2\bullet} h(\mathbf{X})) \cdots (Z - g_{d\bullet} h(\mathbf{X})) = 0 \quad (4)$$

The following Lemma will be a key tool to prove Theorem 4.2 where we will present a defining equation for the image of f . We will show also that the defining equation $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ described in (4) coincides with the pullback of the defining equation $F(\mathbf{X}, Z)$ of $(\mathcal{X}, 0)$ by w , which will be denoted by $F(w_1, \dots, w_n, Z) = F(\mathbf{w}, Z)$.

Lemma 3.1 *With the notation above, let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, $f(\mathbf{x}) = (w(\mathbf{x}), h(\mathbf{x}))$ be a reflected graph map germ, then $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}} = w^{-1}(w(\mathcal{Y})) = \mathbf{V}(F(\mathbf{w}, Z))$. Furthermore,*

$$F(\mathbf{w}, Z) = \prod_{k=1}^d (Z - g_{k\bullet}(h(\mathbf{x}))). \quad (5)$$

Proof. Note that $(\mathcal{X}, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ is defined by a single equation (the determinant of the presentation matrix $\lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ of $f_*\mathcal{O}_n$ as a \mathcal{O}_{n+1} -module via f , denoted by $F(\mathbf{X}, Z)$). Since $F(\mathbf{X}, Z)$ is a defining equation for \mathcal{X} , it follows that the pullback $F(\mathbf{w}, Z)$ of $F(\mathbf{X}, Z)$ by w is a defining equation for $w^{-1}(w(\mathcal{Y}))$. Let's show that $F(\mathbf{w}, Z)$ can be factored as in (5).

By [5, Cor. 3.9] we have that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}}{\langle w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n \rangle} = |G| = d.$$

Let $1 = r_1, r_2, \dots, r_d$ be the generators of $\frac{\mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{x}\}}{\langle \mathbf{w} \rangle}$ as a \mathbb{C} -vector space. By [5, Lemma 3.28] there are p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d in $\mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{x}\}$ such that

$$h := r_1 p_1(w) + r_2 p_2(w) + \dots + r_d p_d(w),$$

Note that $p_i(\mathbf{w}) = p_i(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ are uniquely determined by h and the orbit map w . Now we will follow Mond-Pellikaan's algorithm (see [12, Section 2.2]) to construct a presentation matrix $\lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ of $f_*\mathcal{O}_n$ as a \mathcal{O}_{n+1} -module via f . Let's first consider the matrix

$$\alpha[\mathbf{X}] = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \cdots & \alpha_{1,d} \\ \alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} & \alpha_{2,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{2,d} \\ \alpha_{3,1} & \alpha_{3,2} & \alpha_{3,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{3,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{d,1} & \alpha_{d,2} & \alpha_{d,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{d,d} \end{bmatrix}_{d \times d},$$

where $\alpha_{i,j}(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{X}\}$ and satisfy the relation

$$r_i \cdot h = \sum_{j=1}^d (\alpha_{i,j}(\mathbf{w})) \cdot r_j, \quad \text{with } i = 1, \dots, d. \quad (6)$$

We remark that $\alpha_{i,j}$ are uniquely determined by h and w . Thus, the presentation matrix $\lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ has entries of the form

$$\lambda_{i,j}(\mathbf{X}, Z) = \alpha_{i,j}(\mathbf{X}) \text{ if } i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{i,i}(\mathbf{X}, Z) = \alpha_{i,i}(\mathbf{X}) - Z.$$

In other words,

$$\lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z] = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} - Z & \alpha_{1,2} & \alpha_{1,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{1,d} \\ \alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} - Z & \alpha_{2,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{2,d} \\ \alpha_{3,1} & \alpha_{3,2} & \alpha_{3,3} - Z & \cdots & \alpha_{3,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{d,1} & \alpha_{d,2} & \alpha_{d,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{d,d} - Z \end{bmatrix},$$

Having defined the presentation matrix $\lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ according to Mond-Pellikaan's algorithm, now we would like to factorize $F(\mathbf{w}, Z)$ as in (5). Substituting \mathbf{X} for \mathbf{w} in $\lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ and $\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$, we obtain the matrices $\lambda[\mathbf{w}, Z]$ and $\alpha[\mathbf{w}]$, i.e., $\lambda[\mathbf{w}, Z]$ ($\alpha[\mathbf{w}]$, respectively) is the pullback of $\lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ ($\alpha[\mathbf{X}]$, respectively) by w . Clearly, the determinant of $\lambda[\mathbf{w}, Z]$ is equal to $F(\mathbf{w}, Z)$.

Let $\mathbb{K} := \text{Frac}(\mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{x}\})$ and consider $\alpha[\mathbf{w}]$ as a matrix with entries in \mathbb{K} . Note that $\lambda[\mathbf{w}, Z] = (\alpha[\mathbf{w}] - ZId)$, where Id is the identity matrix. Therefore $F(\mathbf{w}, Z)$ is precisely the characteristic polynomial of $\alpha[\mathbf{w}]$. Let's find the eigenvalues of $\alpha[\mathbf{w}]$. Consider $Id = g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d$ the elements of reflection group G . For all $i = 1, \dots, d$ we obtain from (6) that

$$\alpha_{i,1}(\mathbf{w}) \cdot r_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{i,d}(\mathbf{w}) \cdot r_d = h \cdot r_i. \quad (7)$$

Note that $\alpha_{i,j}(\mathbf{w}) = \alpha_{i,j}(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ is invariant under the action of G for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus, applying the action of $g_k \in G$ in (7), we obtain that

$$\alpha_{i,1}(\mathbf{w}) \cdot g_{k\bullet}(r_1) + \cdots + \alpha_{i,d}(\mathbf{w}) \cdot g_{k\bullet}(r_d) = g_{k\bullet}(h) \cdot g_{k\bullet}(r_i)$$

from which we conclude that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \alpha_{1,2} & \alpha_{1,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{1,d} \\ \alpha_{2,1} & \alpha_{2,2} & \alpha_{2,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{2,d} \\ \alpha_{3,1} & \alpha_{3,2} & \alpha_{3,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{3,d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{d,1} & \alpha_{d,2} & \alpha_{d,3} & \cdots & \alpha_{d,d} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} g_{k\bullet}(r_1) \\ g_{k\bullet}(r_2) \\ g_{k\bullet}(r_3) \\ \vdots \\ g_{k\bullet}(r_d) \end{bmatrix} = g_{k\bullet}(h) \begin{bmatrix} g_{k\bullet}(r_1) \\ g_{k\bullet}(r_2) \\ g_{k\bullet}(r_3) \\ \vdots \\ g_{k\bullet}(r_d) \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this way for all $k = 1, \dots, d$ we have that $(g_{k\bullet}(r_1), g_{k\bullet}(r_2), \dots, g_{k\bullet}(r_d))$ is an eigenvector of $\alpha[\mathbf{w}]$ with respective eigenvalue $g_{k\bullet}(h)$.

Consider the matrix E where the columns are the eigenvectors $(g_{k\bullet}(r_1), g_{k\bullet}(r_2), \dots, g_{k\bullet}(r_d))$, i.e.

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} g_{1\bullet}r_1 & g_{2\bullet}r_1 & \cdots & g_{d\bullet}r_1 \\ g_{1\bullet}r_2 & g_{2\bullet}r_2 & \cdots & g_{d\bullet}r_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{1\bullet}r_{d-1} & g_{2\bullet}r_{d-1} & \cdots & g_{d\bullet}r_{d-1} \\ g_{1\bullet}r_d & g_{2\bullet}r_d & \cdots & g_{d\bullet}r_d \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows by Gutkin's Theorem (see [5, Th. 10.13], where the matrix $A_{i,j}$ in Definition 10.6 of [5] is the matrix E in our setting) that the determinant of E is a non zero polynomial. Hence, the set of these eigenvectors are linearly independent. Therefore,

$$\det \lambda[\mathbf{w}, Z] := F(\mathbf{w}, Z) = \prod_{k=1}^d (Z - g_{k\bullet}(h(\mathbf{x}))). \quad (8)$$

Which completes the proof. ■

Remark 3.2 (a) In the sequel, given a matrix $M = (m_{i,j}(w_1, \dots, w_n))$ where each $m_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}[w_1, \dots, w_n]$ (i.e., $m_{i,j}$ is G -invariant), we denote by $w^*(M)$ the matrix obtained by exchanging w_i for X_i in $m_{i,j}$, i.e., $w^*(M) = (m_{i,j}(X_1, \dots, X_n))$.

(b) Let $\lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ be the presentation matrix of $f_*\mathcal{O}_n$ as a \mathcal{O}_{n+1} -module and $\lambda[\mathbf{w}, Z]$ as in Lemma 3.1. With the notation above consider the matrices E and A below

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} g_{1\bullet}r_1 & g_{2\bullet}r_1 & \cdots & g_{d\bullet}r_1 \\ g_{1\bullet}r_2 & g_{2\bullet}r_2 & \cdots & g_{d\bullet}r_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{1\bullet}r_{d-1} & g_{2\bullet}r_{d-1} & \cdots & g_{d\bullet}r_{d-1} \\ g_{1\bullet}r_d & g_{2\bullet}r_d & \cdots & g_{d\bullet}r_d \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} g_{1\bullet}h & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & g_{2\bullet}h & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & g_{d\bullet}h \end{bmatrix}.$$

As we say in the proof of Lemma 3.1, note that E is the eigenvector matrix of $\lambda[\mathbf{w}, 0]$ and the elements that appear on the diagonal of the matrix A are the eigenvalues of $\lambda[\mathbf{w}, 0]$. This motivates the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3 Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, $f(\mathbf{x}) = (w(\mathbf{x}), h(\mathbf{x}))$, be a reflected graph map germ. Consider the matrices E and A as above and set $A_Z := (A - ZId)$. The presentation matrix of $f_*\mathcal{O}_n$ as a \mathcal{O}_{n+1} -module $\lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ is expressed as the product of the matrices E , A and E^{-1} , i.e.

$$\lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z] = w^*(E \cdot A_Z \cdot E^{-1}).$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.1 we have that $E^{-1}\lambda[\mathbf{w}, 0]E = A$. In other words, the matrix E diagonalizes the matrix $\lambda[\mathbf{w}, 0]$. Thus,

$$\lambda[\mathbf{w}, Z] = EAE^{-1} - ZId = E(A - ZId)E^{-1} = E \cdot A_Z \cdot E^{-1}.$$

Now the result follows by the fact that $w^*(\lambda[\mathbf{w}, Z]) = \lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z]$. ■

Note that in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we obtained that the determinant of the matrix E is distinct to zero. Actually, we can say more about the determinant of the matrix E . First, let's establish some notation. Consider a reflection group G of order d acting on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$ (trivially on the second factor). Denote by H_1, \dots, H_ℓ the (distinct) reflection hyperplanes of G . Let L_{H_i} be a linear form from \mathbb{C}^{n+1} to \mathbb{C} such that $H_i = \mathbf{V}(L_{H_i})$ for all i . Let g_{H_i} be a generator of the cyclic group which fixes H_i and denote the order of g_{H_i} by e_i . The following proposition gives us an expression for the determinant of E .

Proposition 3.4 Let G be a finite reflection group and $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ be a reflected graph map, then for some non-zero constant c , we have

$$\det(E) = c \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} L_{H_i}^{|G|(e_i-1)/2}$$

Proof. The proof follows by the proof of Gutkin's Theorem in [5, Th. 10.13], where the matrix $A_{i,j}$ in Definition 10.6 of [5] is the matrix E in our setting and the space \mathcal{H} of all derivations of the determinant of the Jacobian is generated by the generators of the coinvariant algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ (in our notation, r_1, \dots, r_d). ■

Corollary 3.5 Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, $f(\mathbf{x}) = (w(\mathbf{x}), h(\mathbf{x}))$, be a reflected graph map germ. Then

$$\lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z] = w^* \left(\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} L_{H_i}^{|G|(e_i-1)/2}} E \cdot A_Z \cdot \text{Adj}(E) \right)$$

where $\text{Adj}(E)$ denotes the adjoint matrix of E (the transpose of the cofactor matrix of E).

Example 3.6 Consider a double fold map germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ given by $(x, y) \longmapsto (x^2, y^2, h(x, y))$. We can write $h(x, y) = xp_1 + yp_2 + xyp_3$, where $p_i = p_i(x^2, y^2)$. Note that $r_1 = 1$, $r_2 = x$, $r_3 = y$ and $r_4 = xy$ generates $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(x^2, y^2)$ as a \mathbb{C} -complex vector space. The matrices E , A_Z and $\text{Adj}(E)$ are

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ x & -x & x & -x \\ y & y & -y & -y \\ xy & -xy & -xy & xy \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{Adj}(E) = \begin{bmatrix} 4x^2y^2 & 4xy^2 & 4x^2y & 4xy \\ 4x^2y^2 & -4xy^2 & 4x^2y & -4xy \\ 4x^2y^2 & 4xy^2 & -4x^2y & -4xy \\ 4x^2y^2 & -4xy^2 & -4x^2y & 4xy \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$A_Z = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 - Z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 - Z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_3 - Z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_4 - Z \end{bmatrix},$$

where $h_1 = xp_1 + yp_2 + xyp_3$, $h_2 = -xp_1 + yp_2 - xyp_3$, $h_3 = xp_1 - yp_2 - xyp_3$ e $h_4 = -xp_1 - yp_2 + xyp_3$.

The group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ has two reflecting hyperplanes given by $L_{H_1} = \mathbf{V}(x)$ and $L_{H_2} = \mathbf{V}(y)$. All reflections of G have order 2, therefore $e_1 = e_2 = 2$. By Proposition 3.4 we obtain that $\det(E) = cx^2y^2$ for some constant c . Actually, $\det(E) = 16x^2y^2$.

Making the product $E \cdot A_Z \cdot \text{Adj}(E)$ and multiplying the entries of the resulting matrix by $1/16x^2y^2$ we obtain the following matrix

$$\lambda[w, Z] = \begin{bmatrix} -Z & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ x^2p_1 & -Z & x^2p_3 & p_2 \\ y^2p_2 & y^2p_3 & -Z & p_1 \\ x^2y^2p_3 & y^2p_2 & x^2p_1 & -Z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, making the change of $x^2 \mapsto X$, $y^2 \mapsto Y$ in $\lambda[w, Z]$ we obtain the presentation matrix

$$\lambda[X, Y, Z] = \begin{bmatrix} -Z & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ Xp_1 & -Z & Xp_3 & p_2 \\ Yp_2 & Yp_3 & -Z & p_1 \\ XYp_3 & Yp_2 & Xp_1 & -Z \end{bmatrix}.$$

which is exactly the same matrix $\lambda[X, Y, Z]$ that appears in ([8]).

4 The image of a reflected graph map

In this section we will present an answer to Question 1 in Introduction, i.e., we will present a defining equation for the image of a reflected graph map germ $f = (w, h)$ from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ in terms of the action of the reflection group G on h . Before presenting this result, We will present a motivating example that will illustrate our answer to Question 1.

Consider the reflection group $G = \mathbb{Z}_4$ acting on $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ (trivially on the second factor) with orbit map $w = (x, y^4, z)$. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ be a reflected graph map germ, given by

$$f(x, y) = (x, y^4, yp_1 + y^2p_2 + y^3p_3),$$

where $p_i = p_i(x, y^4)$. Note that $r_1 = 1$, $r_2 = y$, $r_3 = y^2$ and $r_4 = y^3$ generates $\mathbb{C}[x, y]/(x, y^4)$ as a complex vector space. Applying Theorem 3.3 we obtain a presentation matrix of $f_*(\mathcal{O}_2)$ as a \mathcal{O}_3 -module via f given by

$$\lambda[X, Y, Z] = \begin{bmatrix} -Z & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ Yp_3 & -Z & p_1 & p_2 \\ Yp_2 & Yp_3 & -Z & p_1 \\ Yp_1 & Yp_2 & Yp_3 & -Z \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, calculating the determinant of $\lambda[X, Y, Z]$, a defining equation for the image of f is given by

$$F(X, Y, Z) = Z^4 + Q_2Z^2 - Q_1Z + Q_0.$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &= -4Yp_1p_3 - 2p_2^2. \\ Q_1 &= 4Yp_1^2p_2 + 4Y^2p_2p_3^2. \\ Q_0 &= Y^2(p_2^4 - 4p_1p_2^2p_3 + 2p_1^2p_3^2) - Y^3p_3^4 - Yp_1^4. \end{aligned}$$

Now, set $q_i(x, y) := w_*(Q_i) = Q_i(x, y^4)$. Thus we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(x, y) &= -4y^4p_1p_3 - 2p_2^2. \\ q_1(x, y) &= 4y^4p_1^2p_2 + 4y^8p_2p_3^2. \\ q_0(x, y) &= y^8(p_2^4 - 4p_1p_2^2p_3 + 2p_1^2p_3^2) - y^{12}p_3^4 - y^4p_1^4. \end{aligned}$$

where now we have $p_i = p_i(x, y^4)$.

A curious fact is that we can express each q_i in terms of symmetric polynomials in the “variables” h_i , more precisely:

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(x, y) &= h_1h_2 + h_1h_3 + h_1h_4 + h_2h_3 + h_3h_4. \\ q_1(x, y) &= h_1h_2h_3 + h_1h_2h_4 + h_1h_3h_4 + h_2h_3h_4. \\ q_0(x, y) &= h_1h_2h_3h_4. \end{aligned}$$

where, $h_i = g_{i\bullet}(xp_1 + y^2p_2 + y^3p_3)$, more precisely, $h_1 = yp_1 + y^2p_2 + y^3p_3$, $h_2 = -yp_1 + y^2p_2 - y^3p_3$, $h_3 = iyp_1 - y^2p_2 - iy^3p_3$ and $h_4 = -iyp_1 - y^2p_2 + iy^3p_3$.

Note that in particular, the polynomials q_i are invariant under the action of G . We will show in this section that this same behaviour happens for any reflected graph map germ.

Lemma 4.1 *Let G be a reflection group and h be a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$. Let d be the order of G and denote by $g_1 = Id, g_2, \dots, g_d$ the elements of G . Denote $h_i := g_{i\bullet}h$, that is, h_i is the action of g_i on h . Then for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ the polynomial*

$$q_{d-k} := \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_d} h_{i_1} h_{i_2} \cdots h_{i_k}$$

is invariant under the action of G . In particular, $q_{d-k} \in \mathbb{C}[w_1, \dots, w_d]$.

Proof. For all $l \geq 1$ consider the power sum symmetric polynomial $m_l = h_1^l + h_2^l + \dots + h_d^l \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$. Consider the ring $A := \mathbb{C}[h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d]$ and let $Sym(A)$ be the set of symmetric polynomials in the “variables” h_1, h_2, \dots, h_d . Note that by definition $q_{d-k} \in Sym(A)$ for each k . A well-known result states that any

symmetric polynomial in h_1, \dots, h_d can be expressed as a polynomial expression with rational coefficients in the power sum symmetric polynomials m_1, \dots, m_d . In other words, the m_i 's are the generators of the symmetric polynomial algebra $Sym(A)$, that is,

$$Sym(A) = \mathbb{C}[m_1, \dots, m_d].$$

Since $q_{d-k} \in Sym(A)$, there is $Q_{d-k} \in \mathbb{C}[m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d]$, such that $q_{d-k} = Q_{d-k}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d)$. From the Reynolds operator, it follows that each m_i is invariant under the action of G . Therefore, q_{d-k} is also invariant under the action of G . ■

Now, since each q_{d-k} is invariant under the action of G , i.e, we can think that q_{d-k} is in $\mathbb{C}[w_1, \dots, w_d]$. Therefore, we can define $w^*(q_{d-k}(w_1, \dots, w_d)) =: Q_{d-k}(X_1, \dots, X_d)$, i.e, we can change the “variable” w_i by the (target) variable X_i in q_{d-k} . We are now able to present a defining equation for the image of a reflected graph map germ.

Theorem 4.2 *Let G be a reflection group of order d . Let $f = (\mathbf{w}, h)$ be a reflected graph map germ from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. The image of f is given as the zero set of F (which is the determinant of the presentation matrix $f_*(\mathcal{O}_n)$) where F is described as the following alternating sum*

$$F(\mathbf{X}, Z) = Z^d - Q_{d-1}Z^{d-1} + Q_{d-2}Z^{d-2} + \dots + (-1)^{d-1}Q_1Z + (-1)^dQ_0, \quad (9)$$

where $Q_{d-k} = q_{d-k}(\mathbf{X})$, and q_{d-k} is described in Lemma 4.1.

Proof. Consider the image of f given by the zero set of $F(\mathbf{X}, Z)$, where F denotes the determinant of the presentation matrix $\lambda[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ of $f_*\mathcal{O}_n$ as a \mathcal{O}_{n+1} -module via f . Consider the pullback $F(\mathbf{w}, Z)$ of $F(\mathbf{X}, Z)$ by w . It follows by Lemma 3.1 that

$$F(\mathbf{w}, Z) = \prod_{k=1}^d (Z - g_{k\bullet}(h(\mathbf{x}))). \quad (10)$$

Considering $h_k := g_{k\bullet}h$ as in Lemma 4.1, we have that

$$F(\mathbf{w}, Z) = (Z - h_1)(Z - h_2) \cdots (Z - h_d). \quad (11)$$

Expanding the expression (11) we obtain that

$$F(\mathbf{w}, Z) = Z^d - q_{d-1}Z^{d-1} + q_{d-2}Z^{d-2} + \dots + (-1)^{d-1}q_1Z + (-1)^dq_0.$$

Since the polynomials q_{d-k} are invariant, we can consider $w^*(q_{d-k}(w_1, \dots, w_d)) := Q_{d-k}(X_1, \dots, X_d)$, therefore

$$F(\mathbf{X}, Z) = Z^d - Q_{d-1}Z^{d-1} + Q_{d-2}Z^{d-2} + \dots + (-1)^{d-1}Q_1Z + (-1)^dQ_0. \quad (12)$$

as desired. ■

5 The multiplicity of a reflection map germ

In this section we will present an upper (and also a lower) bound for the multiplicity of the image of a generically 1-to-1 reflection map germ f from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, in general, not necessarily a reflected graph map one. In order to present our result, let us first recall the notion of multiplicity.

Consider a germ of analytic function $F : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ reduced at the origin with $F \neq 0$. Let $(\mathbf{V}(F), 0)$ be the germ of the zero set of F at the origin. Write

$$F = F_m + F_{m+1} + \dots + F_k + \dots$$

where each F_k is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k and $F_m \neq 0$. The integer m is called the multiplicity of $\mathbf{V}(F)$ in 0 and is denoted by $m(\mathbf{V}(F), 0)$. Clearly the multiplicity of $m(\mathbf{V}(F), 0)$ is greater than or equal to 1. An important property of the multiplicity is that $m(\mathbf{V}(F), 0) = 1$ if and only if $(\mathbf{V}(F), 0)$ is non singular.

Once we have the notion of multiplicity in hand, let's return to the Question 3 in Introduction. Consider a reflection map germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. If $f = (w, h)$ is a singular generically 1-to-1 reflected graph map germ, then by Theorem 4.2 we have that

$$F(\mathbf{X}, Z) = Z^d - Q_{d-1}Z^{d-1} + Q_{d-2}Z^{d-2} + \cdots + (-1)^{d-1}Q_1Z + (-1)^dQ_0 \quad (13)$$

is a defining equation for the image of f , i.e, $f(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbf{V}(F)$. Since f is generically 1-to-1, we have that F is reduced (see [12, Prop. 3.1]). As a consequence, we obtain that

$$2 \leq m(f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) \leq d = |G|. \quad (14)$$

However, if f is a reflection map germ (not necessarily a reflected graph map germ one), it is not clear how big the multiplicity of the image can be. We will present in Theorem 5.3 an upper bound (and also a lower bound) that generalizes the one given in (14). First, let's present an auxiliary lemma.

Recalling the notation, if $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, $f = w \circ \rho$ is a reflection map, then $\rho : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ denotes an embedding and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_{n+1})$ denotes the orbit map of the reflection group G of order d . The image of ρ is denoted by $(\mathcal{Y}, 0) = (\rho(\mathbb{C}^n), 0)$, the image of f (and also w) is denoted by $(\mathcal{X}, 0) = (w(\mathcal{Y}), 0) = (f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0)$. We will denote the degree of a map g by $\deg(g)$.

Lemma 5.1 *Consider a reflection map germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, $f = w \circ \rho$. Let $\pi = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ be a generic linear projection from $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Consider the image of f with the Fitting structure, that is, $(f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) = (\mathbf{V}(\mathcal{F}_0(f_*\mathcal{O}_n)), 0)$ and $L : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a non singular analytic function such that $(\rho(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) = (\mathbf{V}(L), 0)$. If f is generically 1-to-1 then*

$$m(f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\langle L, l_1 \circ w, \dots, l_n \circ w \rangle}.$$

Proof. Let $\pi : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a generic linear projection, $\pi(\mathbf{x}, x_{n+1}) = (l_1(\mathbf{x}, x_{n+1}), \dots, l_n(\mathbf{x}, x_{n+1}))$. We have that

$$\deg(\pi \circ w|_{\mathcal{Y}}) = \deg(w|_{\mathcal{Y}}) \cdot \deg(\pi|_{\mathcal{X}}) \quad \text{and} \quad m(f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) = \deg(\pi|_{\mathcal{X}}).$$

Furthermore,

$$1 = \deg(f) = \deg(\rho \circ w|_{\mathcal{Y}}) = \deg(\rho) \cdot \deg(w|_{\mathcal{Y}}) = \deg(w|_{\mathcal{Y}}).$$

Hence, $\deg(\pi \circ w|_{\mathcal{Y}}) = \deg(\pi|_{\mathcal{X}})$. Therefore, $m(f(\mathbb{C}^n)_{red}) = \deg(\pi \circ w|_{\mathcal{Y}})$. Finally, we have that

$$\deg(\pi \circ w|_{\mathcal{Y}}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}, 0}}{\langle l_1(x), \dots, l_n(w) \rangle} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\langle L, l_1 \circ w, \dots, l_n \circ w \rangle}. \quad (15)$$

which concludes the proof. ■

Let $(\mathcal{Y}_1, 0), \dots, (\mathcal{Y}_{n+1}, 0)$ be germs of hypersurfaces in $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. Let L_1, \dots, L_{n+1} be germs of analytic functions from $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ such that $(\mathcal{Y}_i, 0) = (\mathbf{V}(L_i), 0)$. We will denote the intersection multiplicity of $(\mathcal{Y}_1, 0), \dots, (\mathcal{Y}_{n+1}, 0)$ at 0 by $i(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n+1})$. If the intersection $(\mathcal{Y}_1, 0) \cap \dots \cap (\mathcal{Y}_{n+1}, 0)$ is just the origin, then the intersection multiplicity is a finite number and can be calculated as

$$i(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n+1}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\langle L_1, L_2, \dots, L_{n+1} \rangle}$$

Remark 5.2 We remark that the intersection multiplicity of hypersurfaces is greater than or equal to the product of the multiplicities of each hypersurface, i.e.

$$i(\mathcal{Y}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_{n+1}) \geq m(\mathcal{Y}_1, 0) \cdot m(\mathcal{Y}_2, 0) \cdots m(\mathcal{Y}_{n+1}, 0). \quad (16)$$

with equality if and only if the intersection $(\mathcal{Y}_1, 0) \cap \cdots \cap (\mathcal{Y}_{n+1}, 0)$ is transversal, i.e., the intersection of tangent cones of $(\mathcal{Y}_j, 0)$ is also just the origin. See [4, Ch. 7] for details on the intersection multiplicity of hypersurfaces.

Theorem 5.3 Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, $f = \rho \circ w$, be a generically 1-to-1 reflection map germ, G be a reflection group acting on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Let $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_{n+1}$ be the degrees of G . Then,

$$d_1 d_2 \cdots d_n \leq m(f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) \leq d_2 d_3 \cdots d_{n+1} \leq |G|.$$

Proof. Let $L : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a non singular analytic function such that $(\rho(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) = (\mathbf{V}(L), 0)$ and let $\pi : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a generic linear projection, $\pi(\mathbf{x}, x_{n+1}) = (l_1(\mathbf{x}, x_{n+1}), \dots, l_n(\mathbf{x}, x_{n+1}))$. Set $l_j \circ w := \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \widetilde{b}_{j,i} w_i$ where $\widetilde{b}_{j,i} \neq 0$ and note that $d_i = m(\mathbf{V}(w_i), 0)$. By Lemma 5.1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} m(f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\langle L, l_1 \circ w, \dots, l_n \circ w \rangle} \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\langle L, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \widetilde{b}_{1,i} w_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \widetilde{b}_{2,i} w_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \widetilde{b}_{n,i} w_i \rangle} \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\langle L, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \widetilde{b}_{1,i} w_i, \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} b_{2,i} w_i, \dots, \sum_{i=n}^{n+1} b_{n,i} w_i \rangle} \\ &= i(\mathcal{Y}, \mathbf{V}(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \widetilde{b}_{1,i} w_i), \mathbf{V}(\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} b_{2,i} w_i), \dots, \mathbf{V}(\sum_{i=n}^{n+1} b_{n,i} w_i)) \\ &\geq d_1 d_2 \cdots d_n \end{aligned}$$

where the equality in the third line follows by an isomorphism between local rings and the inequality in the last line follows by (16). Note that $b_{1,i} = \widetilde{b}_{1,i}$ and $\widetilde{b}_{j,i}$ with $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ is written in terms of the $\widetilde{b}_{k,i}$ with $k < j$. Thus, since π is generic then $b_{j,i} \neq 0$.

Now, we need to obtain an upper bound for the multiplicity of the image of f . Since $(\mathcal{Y}, 0) = (\mathbf{V}(L), 0)$ is smooth, after a linear change of coordinates in $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, we can assume without loss of generality that L is regular in the first coordinate, i.e., $L = x_1 + x_1^k p - q$, where $p, q \in \mathcal{O}_{n+1}$, $k \geq 1$ and x_1 is not a factor of q . Since $(1 + x_1^{k-1} p)$ is an invertible element in \mathcal{O}_{n+1} , we can assume also that $L = x_1 - q$, where $m(\mathbf{V}(q), 0) \geq 2$ and x_1 does not divide q or $q = 0$.

Assume that $m(f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) > d_1 d_2 \cdots d_n$. Therefore, by Remark 5.2 we obtain that the intersection

$$(\mathcal{Y}, 0) \cap (\mathbf{V}(l_1 \circ w), 0) \cap \cdots \cap (\mathbf{V}(l_n \circ w), 0) \quad (17)$$

is not transversal. Since the Krull dimension of the local ring $\frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\langle L, l_1 \circ w, \dots, l_n \circ w \rangle}$ is zero, it follows by Krull's principal ideal theorem that the dimension of the germ of variety $(C, 0)$ given by

$$(C, 0) = \left(\mathbf{V} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{1,i} w_i, \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} b_{2,i} w_i, \dots, \sum_{i=n}^{n+1} b_{n,i} w_i \right), 0 \right)$$

is 1, i.e., $(C, 0)$ is a germ of curve in $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. In particular, the intersection of the tangent cones of $\mathbf{V} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{1,i} w_i \right), \dots, \mathbf{V} \left(\sum_{i=n}^{n+1} b_{n,i} w_i \right)$ have dimension 1 (it is a finite union of lines in $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$). This implies that the tangent cones of $\mathbf{V} \left(\sum_{i=r}^{n+1} b_{r,i} w_i \right)$ and $\mathbf{V} \left(\sum_{i=s}^{n+1} b_{s,i} w_i \right)$, with $r \neq s$, does not have a common irreducible component (of dimension n). Therefore, since the intersection in (17) is not transversal, there is a unique index j such that x_1 is a factor of w_j . Set $w_j = x_1 \widetilde{w}_j$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} m(f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\left\langle x_1 - q, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{1,i} w_i, \dots, \sum_{i=n}^{n+1} b_{n,i} w_i \right\rangle} \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\left\langle x_1 - q, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{1,i} w_i, \dots, (b_{j,j} w_j + \dots + b_{j,n+1} w_{n+1}), \dots, \sum_{i=n}^{n+1} b_{n,i} w_i \right\rangle} \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\left\langle x_1 - q, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{1,i} w_i, \dots, (x_1 b_{j,j} \widetilde{w}_j + \dots + b_{j,n+1} w_{n+1}), \dots, \sum_{i=n}^{n+1} b_{n,i} w_i \right\rangle} \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{n+1}}{\left\langle x_1 - q, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{1,i} w_i, \dots, (q b_{j,j} \widetilde{w}_j + \dots + b_{j,n+1} w_{n+1}), \dots, \sum_{i=n}^{n+1} b_{n,i} w_i \right\rangle} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Trans. Inter.}}{=} m(L) \cdot m(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{1,i} w_i) \cdot \dots \cdot m(q b_{j,j} \widetilde{w}_j + \dots + b_{j,n+1} w_{n+1}) \cdot \dots \cdot m(\sum_{i=n}^{n+1} b_{n,i} w_i). \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by the fact that the intersection between the varieties related in the fourth line is transversal. Note that

$$m(q b_{j,j} \widetilde{w}_j + \dots + b_{j,n+1} w_{n+1}) = \min\{m(q \widetilde{w}_j), m(b_{j,j+1} w_{j+1} + \dots + b_{j,n+1} w_{n+1})\} \leq d_{n+1}$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} m(f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) &= m(L) \cdot m(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_{1,i} w_i) \cdot \dots \cdot m(q b_{j,j} \widetilde{w}_j + \dots + b_{j,n+1} w_{n+1}) \cdot \dots \cdot m(\sum_{i=n}^{n+1} b_{n,i} w_i) \\ &= 1 \cdot d_1 \cdot \dots \cdot d_{j-1} \cdot m(q b_{j,j} \widetilde{w}_j + \dots + b_{j,n+1} w_{n+1}) \cdot \dots \cdot d_n \\ &\leq d_1 \cdot \dots \cdot d_{j-1} \cdot d_{n+1} \cdot d_{j+1} \cdot \dots \cdot d_n \leq d_2 d_3 \cdot \dots \cdot d_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

Corollary 5.4 *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ be a reflected graph map germ, G reflection group acting on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$ (trivially on the second factor). Denote by $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$ and $d_{n+1} = 1$ the degrees of G with $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_n$. Then,*

$$d_1 d_2 \cdot \dots \cdot d_{n-1} \leq m(f(\mathbb{C}^n), 0) \leq d_1 \cdot \dots \cdot d_n = d = |G|,$$

6 Some applications and examples

In this section we will present some applications of our results. In the first part we will present an alternative proof of a result of (see [2, Th. 5.2]) about a defining equation for the double point space of a

reflected graph map germ. In the second part, we will introduce the notion of a dihedral map germ and we will apply our results to describe the presentation matrix and a defining equation for the image of maps of this kind. Finally, in the last part we extend a result given by Marar and Nuño-Ballesteros (see [8, Th. 3.4]) about the non-existence of quasihomogeneous (with distinct weights) finitely determined reflection map germs, for some reflection groups.

6.1 Double point spaces

When we study a finite map f from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ with $n \leq p$ the multiple point spaces of f play an important role in the study of its geometry.

A first natural question is if we can describe a defining equation for the hypersurface of double points $\mathcal{D}(f)$ in the source in terms of the action of G over h .

Recently, Borges Zampiva, Peñafort-Sanchis, Oréface Okamoto and Tomazella present an answer to this question in a more general context. They present a defining equation for $\mathcal{D}(f)$ which depends only of the action of G over h (see [2, Th. 5.2]). As our first application, we will apply Lemma 3.1 to present an alternative proof of the formula presented in [2] in the case of reflected graph map germs. To convenience of the reader we will recall the notion of the double point space of a map germ. We follow [13] to describe the double point set of a map germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$, with $n < p$.

Let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ be a homomorphic map, where $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is an open subset and $n < p$. Now let's define the set of double points of f , denoted by $\mathcal{D}^2(f)$. Denote the diagonals of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^p$ by Δ_n and Δ_p and denote the ideal schemes of defining Δ_n and Δ_p by $\mathcal{I}_n, \mathcal{I}_p$. Write points of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ as (x, x') . It is clear that for each $i = 1, \dots, p$,

$$f_i(x) - f_i(x') \in \mathcal{I}_n,$$

so there exist $\alpha_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq n+1$, such that

$$f_i(x) - f_i(x') = \sum \alpha_{i,j}(x - x')(x_j - x'_j).$$

If $f(x) = f(x')$ and $x \neq x'$, then clearly every $n \times n$ minor of the matrix $\alpha = [\alpha_{i,j}]$ must vanish at (x, x') . Now denoting by $\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha)$ the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}}$ generated by the $n \times n$ minors of α .

Definition 6.1 *Let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ be as above, then the lifting of the double point space of f is the complex space*

$$\mathcal{D}^2(f) = \mathbf{V}((f \times f)^*\mathcal{I}_p + \mathcal{R}_n(\alpha)).$$

Note that at a point (x, x') that is not on the diagonal Δ_n , $\mathcal{I}^2(f)$ is generated by the $f_i(x) - f_i(x')$ functions. Furthermore, the restriction of $\mathcal{I}^2(f)$ to diagonal Δ_n is the ideal generated by the smallest $n \times n$ of the Jacobian matrix of f , so $\Delta_n \cap \mathcal{D}^2(f)$ is the singular set of f .

Definition 6.2 *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ be a finite map germ, where $n \leq p$.*

(a) *Let us denote by I_p and $R_n(\alpha)$ the stalks of the sheaf in 0 and \mathcal{I}_p and $\mathcal{R}_n(\alpha)$. Taking a representative of f we define the lifting of the double points of the map germ f as the complex space germ*

$$\mathcal{D}^2(f) := \mathbf{V}((f \times f)^*I_p + R_n(\alpha)).$$

(b) *The mapping $\pi : (\mathcal{D}^2(f), 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, given by $(x, x') \mapsto x$, is finite. The source double point space $\mathcal{D}(f)$ is defined as the image of π with the analytic structure given by the 0-Fitting ideal of $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}^2(f)}$, that is,*

$$\mathcal{D}(f) := \mathbf{V}(\mathcal{F}_0(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}^2(f)})).$$

Now we will present an alternative proof for [2, Th. 5.2] in the case of a reflected graph map germ. We recall that \mathcal{R} denotes the set of all reflections of G and Δ_{g_k} denotes the Demazure operator (see Section 2.1).

Proposition 6.3 ([2]) *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, $f(\mathbf{x}) = (w(\mathbf{x}), h(\mathbf{x}))$ be a reflected graph map germ, then*

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = \mathbf{V} \left(\left(\prod_{g_k \in \mathcal{R}} \Delta_{g_k}(h) \right) \left(\prod_{Id \neq g_k \notin \mathcal{R}} (h - g_{k\bullet}h) \right) \right). \quad (18)$$

Proof. Denote by $g_1 = Id, g_2, \dots, g_d$ the elements of G . By Theorem 4.2 we obtain that a defining equation for the determinant of the image of f is given by

$$F(\mathbf{X}, Z) = Z^d - Q_{d-1}Z^{d-1} + Q_{d-2}Z^{d-2} + \dots + (-1)^{d-1}Q_1Z + (-1)^dQ_0, \quad (19)$$

Note that replace \mathbf{X} by \mathbf{w} in (19) and then derive the resulting expression with respect to the variable Z is equivalent to derive (19) with respect to variable Z and then replace \mathbf{X} with \mathbf{w} , i.e., the order of execution of these operations does not matter. By Lemma 3.1 we have that

$$F(\mathbf{w}, Z) = \prod_{k=1}^d (Z - g_{k\bullet}(h(\mathbf{x}))).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial F(\mathbf{w}, Z)}{\partial Z} = \frac{\partial (Z - g_{1\bullet}h(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial Z} \left[\prod_{k=2}^d (Z - g_{k\bullet}(h(\mathbf{x}))) \right] + (Z - g_{1\bullet}h(\mathbf{x})) \frac{\partial \left[\prod_{k=2}^d (Z - g_{k\bullet}(h(\mathbf{x}))) \right]}{\partial Z},$$

Note that $g_{1\bullet}h(\mathbf{x}) = h(\mathbf{x})$, replacing Z by h we obtain that

$$\frac{\partial F(\mathbf{w}, h)}{\partial Z} = (1 - h(\mathbf{x})) \left[\prod_{k=2}^d (h(\mathbf{x}) - g_{k\bullet}(h(\mathbf{x}))) \right]. \quad (20)$$

By [18] (see also [1, Prop. 2.5]) we obtain that

$$(\mathcal{D}(f), 0) = \left(\mathbf{V} \left(\frac{\prod_{k=2}^d (h(\mathbf{x}) - g_{k\bullet}h(\mathbf{x}))}{\det(Jac(\mathbf{w}))} \right), 0 \right).$$

Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_l be the hyperplanes *Fix* g , where g runs through the reflections of G and call these the reflecting hyperplanes of G . For each i , let e_i be the order of the cyclic group G_{H_i} fixing H_i pointwise and let $L_{H_1}, L_{H_2}, \dots, L_{H_l}$ be linear forms such that $H_i = Ker L_{H_i}$. We have that

$$\frac{\prod_{g_k \in \mathcal{R}} (h(\mathbf{x}) - g_{k\bullet}h(\mathbf{x}))}{\det(Jac(\mathbf{w}))} = \frac{\prod_{g_k \in \mathcal{R}} (h(\mathbf{x}) - g_{k\bullet}h(\mathbf{x}))}{c \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} L_{H_i}^{e_i-1}} = \prod_{g_k \in \mathcal{R}} \Delta_{g_k}(h).$$

where \mathcal{R} denotes the set of all reflections of G (see for instance [5, Lemma 9.7]). Therefore,

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = \mathbf{V} \left(\left(\prod_{g_k \in \mathcal{R}} \Delta_{g_k}(h) \right) \left(\prod_{Id \neq g_k \notin \mathcal{R}} (h - g_{k\bullet}h) \right) \right),$$

as which concludes the proof. ■

Example 6.4 Consider $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $f(x, y) = (x^2, y^2, h(x, y))$, where

$$h(x, y) = xp_1(x^2, y^2) + yp_2(x^2, y^2) + xyp_3(x^2, y^2).$$

Note that the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ can be represented in $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ by the following matrices

$$Id = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad e \quad g_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, y) - g_{1\bullet}h(x, y) &= 2(xp_1 + yp_2). \\ h(x, y) - g_{2\bullet}h(x, y) &= 2y(p_2 + xp_3). \\ (x, y) - g_{3\bullet}h(x, y) &= 2x(p_1 + yp_3). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\det(\text{Jac}(x^2, y^2)) = 4xy$. Thus, from Proposition 6.3, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = \mathbf{V}((yp_3 + p_1)(xp_3 + p_2)(xp_1 + yp_2)). \quad (21)$$

We remark that the defining equation that appears in (21) for $\mathcal{D}(f)$ is exactly the same presented in [8, Prop. 3.1].

Corollary 6.5 With the notation used in Proposition 6.3 let $f = (\mathbf{w}, h)$ be a reflected graph map germ from $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. Suppose that h is regular, say

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n + R(\mathbf{x}),$$

with degree $R(\mathbf{x}) > 1$ and $R(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ or $R \equiv 0$. Suppose that $H := \mathbf{V}(\sum_{i=1}^n a_ix_i)$ is not a hyperplane of G (equivalently $H \cap (\text{Jac}(w)) \neq H$ as a set). Then,

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = \mathbf{V} \left(\prod_{Id \neq g_k \notin \mathcal{R}} (h(\mathbf{x}) - g_{k\bullet}h(\mathbf{x})) \right).$$

Proof. Denote by g_2, g_3, \dots, g_l the reflections of G . By [5, Lemma 3.17 and Th. 9.8] we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{g_k \in \mathcal{R}} \Delta_{g_k}(h(\mathbf{x})) &= \frac{\prod_{k=2}^l (h(\mathbf{x}) - g_{k\bullet}h(\mathbf{x}))}{\det(\text{Jac}(w))} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{k=2}^l \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_jx_j + R(\mathbf{x}) - g_{k\bullet}(\sum_{j=1}^n a_jx_j + R(\mathbf{x})) \right)}{\det(\text{Jac}(w))} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{k=2}^l \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_jx_j - g_{k\bullet}(\sum_{j=1}^n a_jx_j) \right) + \prod_{k=2}^l (R(\mathbf{x}) - g_{k\bullet}(R(\mathbf{x})))}{\det(\text{Jac}(w))} \\ &= \frac{-c \cdot \det(\text{Jac}(w)) - Q(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \det(\text{Jac}(w))}{\det(\text{Jac}(w))} \\ &= -c - Q(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

where c is a constant and degree $Q(\mathbf{x}) > 1$ or $Q(\mathbf{0}) = 0$. The hypothesis that H is not a reflecting hyperplane of G implies that $c \neq 0$. The proof now follows by the fact that $-c - Q(\mathbf{x})$ is an invertible element in the ring of formal series $\mathbb{C}\{\mathbf{x}\}$ ■

Example 6.6 Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ be a reflected graph map germ, given by

$$f(x, y) = (x^2, y^2, x - 3y + y^3).$$

Using the notation in Example 6.4, by Corollary 6.5 we obtain that

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = \mathbf{V}(h(x, y) - g_{1\bullet}h(x, y)) = \mathbf{V}(x - 3y + y^3).$$

Note that in this case we do not need to consider all elements of G to calculate a defining equation for $\mathcal{D}(f)$, only those that are not reflections (excluding of course the identity of the group).

6.2 Dihedral map germs

Inspired by the work of Marar and Nuño-Ballesteros in [8] where they introduce the double fold map germs, in this section we will introduce the “*dihedral map germs*”. Consider the generators of the dihedral group D_{2m} in $GL(\mathbb{C}^2)$ given by in Example 2.5,

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{e} \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{m-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

where $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$.

Note that with this representation D_{2m} is a reflection group. The orbit map for the group D_{2m} acting on \mathbb{C}^2 is $w(x, y) = (x^m + y^m, xy)$.

We say that $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ is a $2m$ -dihedral map germ, or simply “a dihedral map germ”, if f is a reflected graph map germ in the following form

$$f(x, y) = (x^m + y^m, xy, h(x, y)).$$

Note that $r_1 = 1, r_2 = x, r_3 = x^2, \dots, r_m = x^{m-1}$ and $r_{m+k} = y^k$ with $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{O}_2/(x^m + y^m, xy)$ as a \mathbb{C} -vector space. By taking coordinate changes and using the Malgrange preparation theorem we can write h in the form

$$h(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} x^j p_j + \sum_{k=1}^m y^k p_{m-1+k}$$

where $p_i = p_i(x^m + y^m, xy)$ and we suppose that $h(0, 0) = 0$. As an example, we will present in the next result a study of a 6-dihedral map germ, i.e., using the D_6 group. We consider the following representation in $GL(\mathbb{C}^2)$ of the dihedral group D_6 :

$$g_1 = Id = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, g_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, g_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^2 \end{bmatrix}, g_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta \end{bmatrix}, g_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \zeta \\ \zeta^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, g_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \zeta^2 \\ \zeta & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

where $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ and $\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1 = 0$.

The orbit map for the group D_6 acting on \mathbb{C}^2 is $w(x, y) = (x^3 + y^3, xy)$. Thus, the reflection map $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ for the dihedral group D_6 is given by $f(x, y) = (x^3 + y^3, xy, h(x, y))$, where $h(x, y) = xp_1 + x^2p_2 + yp_3 + y^2p_4 + y^3p_5$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &:= g_{1\bullet} h &= h. \\ h_2 &:= g_{2\bullet} h &= yp_1 + y^2p_2 + xp_3 + x^2p_4 + x^3p_5. \\ h_3 &:= g_{3\bullet} h &= \zeta xp_1 + \zeta^2 x^2 p_2 + \zeta^2 yp_3 + \zeta y^2 p_4 + y^3 p_5. \\ h_4 &:= g_{4\bullet} h &= \zeta^2 xp_1 + \zeta x^2 p_2 + \zeta yp_3 + \zeta^2 y^2 p_4 + y^3 p_5. \\ h_5 &:= g_{5\bullet} h &= \zeta yp_1 + \zeta^2 y^2 p_2 + \zeta^2 xp_3 + \zeta x^2 p_4 + x^3 p_5. \\ h_6 &:= g_{6\bullet} h &= \zeta^2 yp_1 + \zeta y^2 p_2 + \zeta xp_3 + \zeta^2 x^2 p_4 + x^3 p_5. \end{aligned}$$

Recall from Lemma 4.1 that we can define

$$\begin{aligned} q_5(xy, x^3 + y^3) &:= h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4 + h_5 + h_6. \\ q_4(xy, x^3 + y^3) &:= h_1 h_2 + h_1 h_3 + h_1 h_4 + \dots + h_5 h_6. \\ q_3(xy, x^3 + y^3) &:= h_1 h_2 h_3 + h_1 h_2 h_4 + \dots + h_4 h_5 h_6. \\ q_2(xy, x^3 + y^3) &:= h_1 h_2 h_3 h_4 + h_1 h_2 h_3 h_5 + \dots + h_3 h_4 h_5 h_6. \\ q_1(xy, x^3 + y^3) &:= h_1 h_2 h_3 h_4 h_5 + h_1 h_2 h_3 h_4 h_6 + \dots + h_2 h_3 h_4 h_5 h_6. \\ q_0(xy, x^3 + y^3) &:= h_1 h_2 h_3 h_4 h_5 h_6. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.7 Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ be a 6-dihedral map germ and write it in the form

$$f(x, y) = (x^3 + y^3, xy, xp_1 + x^2p_2 + yp_3 + y^2p_4 + y^3p_5).$$

where $p_i = p_i(x^3 + y^3, xy)$. Then

(a) The presentation matrix for $f_*\mathcal{O}_2$ as an \mathcal{O}_3 -module via f is

$$\lambda[X, Y, Z] = \begin{bmatrix} -Z & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & p_5 \\ p_2X + p_3Y & -Z & p_1 & p_4Y & p_5Y & -p_2 \\ p_1X + p_4Y^2 & p_2X + p_3Y & -Z & p_5Y^2 & -p_2Y & -p_1 \\ p_1Y & p_2Y & -p_5Y & p_5X - Z & p_3 & p_4 \\ p_2Y^2 & -p_5Y^2 & -p_4Y & p_4X + p_1Y & p_5X - Z & p_3 \\ -p_5Y^3 & -p_4Y^2 & -p_3Y & p_3X + p_2Y^2 & p_4X + p_1Y & p_5X - Z \end{bmatrix}.$$

where $p_i = p_i(X, Y)$.

(b) A defining equation for the image of f is

$$F(X, Y, Z) = Z^6 - Q_5Z^5 + Q_4Z^4 - Q_3Z^3 + Q_2Z^2 - Q_1Z + Q_0.$$

where $Q_{6-k}(X, Y) := w^*(q_{6-k}(x^3 + y^3, xy))$, i.e, we simply change the “variables” $x^3 + y^3$ and xy by the (target) variable X and Y in q_{6-k} described just above this proposition.

(c) A defining equation for the double point curve $\mathcal{D}(f)$ of f is

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = \mathbf{V}(f_1f_2f_3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= -p_1 + p_3 - p_2x + p_4x - p_2y + p_4y + p_5x^2 + p_5xy + p_5y^2. \\ f_2 &= p_1^2 + p_1p_3 + p_3^2 + 2p_1p_2x + p_2p_3x + p_1p_4x - p_3p_4x - p_1p_2y + p_2p_3y + p_1p_4y \\ &\quad + 2p_3p_4y + p_2^2x^2 + p_2p_4x^2 + p_4^2x^2 - 2p_1p_5x^2 - p_3p_5x^2 - p_2^2xy - p_2p_4xy - p_4^2xy \\ &\quad + p_1p_5xy - p_3p_5xy + p_2^2y^2 + p_2p_4y^2 + p_4^2y^2 + p_1p_5y^2 + 2p_3p_5y^2 - 2p_2p_5x^3 - p_4p_5x^3 \\ &\quad + 2p_2p_5x^2y + p_4p_5x^2y - p_2p_5xy^2 - 2p_4p_5xy^2 + p_2p_5y^3 + 2p_4p_5y^3 + p_5^2x^4 \\ &\quad - p_5^2x^3y - p_5^2xy^3 + p_5^2y^4. \\ f_3 &= p_1^2x^2 + p_1p_3xy + p_3^2y^2 + p_1p_2x^3 + 2p_2p_3x^2y + 2p_1p_4xy^2 + p_3p_4y^3 + p_2^2x^4 + p_2p_4x^2y^2 \\ &\quad + p_4^2y^4. \end{aligned}$$

(d) The multiplicity of the image of f satisfies

$$2 \leq m(f(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)) \leq 6.$$

Proof. (a) Consider the basis $r_1 = 1, r_2 = x, r_3 = x^2, r_4 = y, r_5 = y^2$ and $r_6 = y^3$ for $\mathcal{O}_2/(x^3 + y^3, xy)$. Using the same notation as in Remark 3.2 we obtain that

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ x & y & \zeta x & \zeta^2 x & \zeta y & \zeta^2 y \\ x^2 & y^2 & \zeta^2 x^2 & \zeta x^2 & \zeta^2 y^2 & \zeta y^2 \\ y & x & \zeta^2 y & \zeta y & \zeta^2 x & \zeta x \\ y^2 & x^2 & \zeta y^2 & \zeta^2 y^2 & \zeta x^2 & \zeta^2 x^2 \\ y^3 & x^3 & y^3 & y^3 & x^3 & x^3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_Z = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 - Z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 - Z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & h_3 - Z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & h_4 - Z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h_5 - Z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h_6 - Z \end{bmatrix},$$

The reflections of D_6 are g_2, g_5 and g_6 and their respective reflecting hyperplanes are $L_{H_1} = x - y$, $L_{H_2} = x - \zeta y$ and $L_{H_3} = x - \zeta^2 y$. Each reflection of D_6 has order 2. By Proposition 3.4 we obtain that $\det(E) = 27(x^3 - y^3)^3$. The adjoint matrix of E is

$$\text{adj}(E) = 9(x^3 - y^3)^2 \begin{bmatrix} x^3 & x^2 & x & -y^2 & -y & -1 \\ -y^3 & -y^2 & -y & x^2 & x & 1 \\ x^3 & \zeta^2 x^2 & \zeta x & -\zeta y^2 & -\zeta^2 y & -1 \\ x^3 & \zeta x^2 & \zeta^2 x & -\zeta^2 y^2 & -\zeta y & -1 \\ -y^3 & -\zeta^2 y^2 & -\zeta y & \zeta x^2 & \zeta^2 x & 1 \\ -y^3 & -\zeta y^2 & -\zeta^2 y & \zeta^2 x^2 & \zeta x & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Applying Corollary 3.5, we obtain that a presentation matrix of $f_*(\mathcal{O}_2)$ as a \mathcal{O}_3 -module via f is given by

$$\lambda[X, Y, Z] = \begin{bmatrix} -Z & p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 & p_5 \\ p_2 X + p_3 Y & -Z & p_1 & p_4 Y & p_5 Y & -p_2 \\ p_1 X + p_4 Y^2 & p_2 X + p_3 Y & -Z & p_5 Y^2 & -p_2 Y & -p_1 \\ p_1 Y & p_2 Y & -p_5 Y & p_5 X - Z & p_3 & p_4 \\ p_2 Y^2 & -p_5 Y^2 & -p_4 Y & p_4 X + p_1 Y & p_5 X - Z & p_3 \\ -p_5 Y^3 & -p_4 Y^2 & -p_3 Y & p_3 X + p_2 Y^2 & p_4 X + p_1 Y & p_5 X - Z \end{bmatrix}$$

as desired.

(b) It follows by Theorem 4.2. To prove (c), we can apply Proposition 6.3 to obtain

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = \mathbf{V} \left(\frac{(h - g_2 \bullet h)(h - g_3 \bullet h)(h - g_4 \bullet h)(h - g_5 \bullet h)(h - g_6 \bullet h)}{3(x - y)(x - \zeta y)(x - \zeta^2 y)} \right).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} h - g_2 \bullet h &= (y - x)(-p_1 + p_3 + p_2(x + y) + p_4(x + y) - p_5(x^2 + xy + y^2)), \\ h - g_3 \bullet h &= p_1(x - \zeta^2 x) + p_2(x^2 - \zeta x^2) + p_3(y - \zeta y) + p_4(y^2 - \zeta^2 y^2), \\ h - g_4 \bullet h &= p_1(x - \zeta x) + p_2(x^2 - \zeta^2 x^2) + p_3(y - \zeta^2 y) + p_4(y^2 - \zeta y^2), \\ h - g_5 \bullet h &= p_1(x - \zeta y) + p_2(x^2 - \zeta^2 y^2) + p_3(y - \zeta^2 x) + p_4(y^2 - \zeta x^2) + p_5(y^3 - x^3), \\ h - g_6 \bullet h &= p_1(x - \zeta^2 y) + p_2(x^2 - \zeta y^2) + p_3(y - \zeta x) + p_4(y^2 - \zeta^2 x^2) + p_5(y^3 - x^3). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, a calculation shows that $\mathcal{D}(f) = \mathbf{V}(f_1 f_2 f_3)$. The proof of (d) follows by Corollary 5.4. ■

Remark 6.8 A straightforward (but tedious) calculation can be done to present the Q_i 's coefficients in Proposition 6.7(b) explicitly. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} q_5 &= h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4 + h_5 + h_6 = 3p_5(x^3 + y^3), \text{ and} \\ q_4 &= -6xyp_1p_3 - 3p_1p_2(x^3 + y^3) - 3p_3p_4(x^3 + y^3) - 6x^2y^2p_2p_4 + 3p_5^2(x^3 + y^3)^2 + 3p_5^2x^3y^3 \end{aligned}$$

therefore $Q_5(X, Y) = 3Xp_5$ and $Q_4(X, Y) = -6Yp_1p_3 - 3Xp_1p_2 - 3Xp_3p_4 - 6Y^2p_2p_4 + 3X^2p_5^2 + 3Y^3p_5^2$. In the same way, we obtain from q_3, q_2, q_1 and q_0 that

$$Q_3(X, Y) = -X(-p_1^3 - p_3^3 - Xp_3^2 - Xp_4^3 + 9Xp_1p_2p_5 + 3Xp_3p_4p_5 - X^2p_5^2) - XY(-3p_2^2p_3 - 3p_1p_4^2 + 12p_1p_3p_5 + 12Y^2p_2p_4p_5 - 6Y^2p_3^2) - Y^2(-6p_2p_3^2 - 6p_1^2p_4 + 2Yp_2^2 + 2Yp_4^2 - 12Yp_1p_2p_5 + 12Yp_3p_4p_5).$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(X, Y) &= 9XYp_1^2p_2p_3 + 9Y^2p_1^2p_3^2 + 9X^2p_1p_2p_3p_4 + 9XYp_1p_3^2p_4 + 3X^2p_1^3p_5 + 9Y^3p_1^2p_2^2 + 9XY^2p_1p_2^2p_4 \\ &+ 9XY^2p_2p_3p_4^2 + 9Y^3p_3^2p_4^2 - 6Y^3p_1^3p_5 + 3X^3p_2^2p_5 + 9X^2Yp_2^2p_3p_5 + 9XY^2p_2p_3^2p_5 + 6Y^3p_3^3p_5 + 9XY^2p_1^2p_4p_5 \\ &- 9X^3p_1p_2p_5^2 - 9X^2Yp_1p_3p_5^2 + 9Y^4p_2^2p_4^2 - 9XY^3p_2^2p_5 - 18Y^4p_2^2p_3p_5 + 18Y^4p_1p_4^2p_5 + 3XY^3p_4^3p_5 + 18XY^3p_1p_2p_5^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$-9X^2Y^2p_2p_4p_5^2 - 18XY^3p_3p_4p_5^2 + 3X^2Y^3p_5^4 + 3Y^6p_5^4.$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(X, Y) = & -[3XYp_1^4p_3 + 3X^2p_1p_2p_3^3 + 3XYp_1p_3^4 + 3X^2p_1^3p_3p_4 + 6Y^3p_1^4p_2 + 3X^2Yp_1p_2^3p_3 + 18XY^2p_1p_2^2p_3^2 + \\ & 12Y^3p_1p_2p_3^3 + 12XY^2p_1^3p_2p_4 + 12Y^3p_1^3p_3p_4 + 3X^3p_1^3p_3p_4 + 9X^2Yp_2^2p_3^2p_4 + 12XY^2p_2p_3^3p_4 + 6Y^3p_3^4p_4 + 9X^2Yp_1^2p_2p_4^2 + \\ & 18XY^2p_1^2p_3p_4^2 + 3X^3p_1p_2p_4^3 + 3X^2Yp_1p_3p_4^3 - 9X^2Yp_1^2p_2p_3p_5 - 9XY^2p_1^2p_3^2p_5 - 9X^3p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5 \\ & - 9X^2Yp_1p_2^3p_4p_5 - 3X^3p_1^3p_5^2 + 3XY^3p_1p_2^4 + 12Y^4p_1p_2^3p_3 + 3X^2Y^2p_2^4p_4 + 12Y^4p_1p_3p_4^3 + 3X^2Y^2p_2p_4^4 + 3XY^3p_3p_4^4 - \\ & 9XY^3p_1^2p_2^2p_5 - 9X^2Y^2p_1p_2^2p_4p_5 - 9X^2Y^2p_2p_3p_4^2p_5 - 9XY^3p_2^2p_2^2p_5 + 9XY^3p_1^3p_5^2 - 3X^4p_2^3p_5^2 - 9X^3Yp_2^2p_3p_5^2 - \\ & 9X^2Y^2p_2p_3^2p_5^2 - 3XY^3p_3^3p_5^2 - 9X^2Y^2p_1^2p_4p_5^2 + 3X^4p_1p_2p_3^3 + 3X^3Yp_1p_3p_3^3 - 6Y^5p_1^4p_4 - 6Y^5p_2p_4^4 - 9XY^4p_2^2p_4^2p_5 + \\ & 12X^2Y^3p_2^2p_5^2 + 27XY^4p_2^2p_3p_5^2 - 9XY^4p_1p_2^2p_5^2 - 3X^2Y^3p_1p_2p_5^3 + 3X^3Y^2p_2p_4p_5^3 + 3X^2Y^3p_3p_4p_5^3 - 6Y^6p_2^3p_5^2 - \\ & 6Y^6p_4^3p_5^2 - 12Y^6p_1p_2p_5^3 + 12Y^6p_3p_4p_5^3 - 3XY^6p_5^5 + 18Y^5p_2p_3^2p_5^2 + 18Y^5p_1^2p_4p_5^2]. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0(X, Y) = & X^2p_1^3p_3^3 + Y^3p_1^6 + 3XY^2p_1^3p_2p_3^2 - 2Y^3p_1^3p_3^3 + X^3p_2^3p_3^3 + 3X^2Yp_2^2p_3^4 + 3XY^2p_2p_3^5 + Y^3p_3^6 + \\ & 3XY^2p_1^5p_4 + 3XY^2p_1^2p_3^3p_4 + 3X^2Yp_1^4p_4^2 + X^3p_1^3p_4^3 - 3X^2Yp_1^4p_3p_5 - 3X^3p_1^3p_3p_4p_5 + XY^3p_1^3p_2^3 + 6Y^4p_1^3p_2^2p_3 + \\ & 3X^2Y^2p_2^4p_3^3 + 6XY^3p_2^3p_3^3 + 3Y^4p_2^2p_4^3 + 3X^2Y^2p_1^2p_3^2p_4 + 9XY^3p_1^2p_2^2p_3p_4 + 18Y^4p_1^2p_2p_3^2p_4 + 3Y^4p_1^4p_4^2 + \\ & 3X^3Yp_1p_2^2p_4^2 + 9X^2Y^2p_1p_2^2p_3p_4^2 + 9XY^3p_1p_2p_3^2p_4^2 + 6Y^4p_1p_3^3p_4^2 + 6XY^3p_1^3p_4^3 + X^4p_2^3p_4^3 + 3X^3Yp_2^2p_3p_4^3 + \\ & 3X^2Y^2p_2p_3^2p_4^3 + XY^3p_3^3p_4^3 + 3X^2Y^2p_1^2p_4^4 - 3XY^3p_1^4p_2p_5 + 6Y^4p_1^4p_3p_5 - 3X^3Yp_1p_2^3p_3p_5 - 9X^2Y^2p_1p_2^2p_3^2p_5 - \\ & 12XY^3p_1p_2p_3^3p_5 - 6Y^4p_1p_3^4p_5 - 3X^2Y^2p_1^3p_2p_4p_5 - 3X^4p_2^3p_3p_4p_5 - 9X^3Yp_2^2p_3^2p_4p_5 - 9X^2Y^2p_2p_3^3p_4p_5 - \\ & 3XY^3p_3^4p_4p_5 - 9X^2Y^2p_1^2p_3p_4^2p_5 + X^4p_1^3p_5^3 + 3XY^4p_2^5p_3 + 3Y^5p_2^4p_3^2 - 6Y^5p_1^2p_3^3p_4 - 9XY^4p_1p_3^2p_4^2 - 18Y^5p_1p_2^2p_3p_4^2 - \\ & 4X^2Y^3p_2^3p_4^3 - 9XY^4p_2^2p_3p_4^3 - 6Y^5p_2p_3^2p_4^3 + 3Y^5p_1^2p_4^4 + 3XY^4p_1p_4^5 - 3X^2Y^3p_1p_4^2p_5 - 12Y^5p_1^3p_2p_4p_5 - \\ & 3X^3Y^2p_2^4p_4p_5 + 3X^2Y^3p_2^3p_3p_4p_5 + 18XY^4p_2^2p_3^2p_4p_5 + 12Y^5p_2p_3^3p_4p_5 - 18XY^4p_1^2p_2p_4^2p_5 - 3X^2Y^3p_1p_2p_4^3p_5 - \\ & 12XY^4p_1p_3p_4^3p_5 + 9XY^4p_1^2p_2p_3p_5^2 + 9Y^5p_1^2p_3^2p_5^2 + 9X^2Y^3p_1p_2p_3p_4p_5^2 + 9XY^4p_1p_3^2p_4p_5^2 - 4X^2Y^3p_1^3p_5^3 + \\ & X^5p_2^3p_5^3 + 3X^4Yp_2^2p_3p_5^3 + 3X^3Y^2p_2p_3^2p_5^3 + X^2Y^3p_3^3p_5^3 + 3X^3Y^2p_1^2p_4p_5^3 + Y^6p_2^6 + 2Y^6p_2^3p_4^3 + Y^6p_4^6 + 6Y^6p_1p_4^2p_5 + \\ & 9XY^5p_2^4p_4p_5 + 12Y^6p_2^3p_3p_4p_5 - 12Y^6p_1p_2p_4^3p_5 - 3XY^5p_2p_4^4p_5 - 6Y^6p_3p_4^4p_5 + 9Y^6p_1^2p_2^2p_5^2 + 9XY^5p_1p_2^2p_4p_5^2 + \\ & 9XY^5p_2p_3p_4^2p_5^2 + 9Y^6p_3^2p_4^2p_5^2 + 2Y^6p_1^3p_5^3 - 5X^3Y^3p_2^3p_5^3 - 12X^2Y^4p_2^2p_3p_5^3 - 9XY^5p_2p_3^2p_5^3 - 2Y^6p_3^3p_5^3 - \\ & 9XY^5p_1^2p_4p_5^3 + 3X^2Y^4p_1p_4^2p_5^3 - 3X^3Y^3p_1p_2p_5^4 - 3X^2Y^4p_1p_3p_5^4 + 9Y^7p_2^2p_4^2p_5^2 + 5XY^6p_2^3p_5^3 + 6Y^7p_2^2p_3p_5^3 - \\ & 6Y^7p_1p_4^2p_5^3 + XY^6p_3^3p_5^3 + 9XY^6p_1p_2p_5^4 + 6Y^7p_1p_3p_5^4 - 3X^2Y^5p_2p_4p_5^4 - 3XY^6p_3p_4p_5^4 + 6Y^8p_2p_4p_5^4 + Y^9p_5^6. \end{aligned}$$

6.3 Quasihomogeneous reflection maps

Another application of our results is about quasihomogeneous map germs. A polynomial $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is *quasihomogeneous* if there are positive integers b_1, \dots, b_n , with no common factor and an integer d such that $p(k^{b_1}x_1, \dots, k^{b_n}x_n) = k^d p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The number b_i is called the weight of the variable x_i and d is called the weighted degree of p . In this case, we say p is of type $(d; b_1, \dots, b_n)$. This definition extends to polynomial map germs $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ by just requiring each coordinate function f_i to be quasihomogeneous of type $(d_i; b_1, \dots, b_n)$, for fixed weights b_1, \dots, b_n . In particular, for a quasihomogeneous map germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ we say that it is quasihomogeneous of type $(d_1, d_2, d_3; b_1, b_2)$.

Marar and Nuño-Ballesteros studied in [8] the case where $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ is a corank 2 quasihomogeneous and finitely determined map germ. They say that the mere existence of this kind of maps is quite a surprise. Indeed, the three adjectives create tremendous restrictions and examples seems hard to find. They showed that if $f = (x^2, y^2, h(x, y))$ is finitely determined, then f is in fact homogeneous, i.e. $b_1 = b_2 = 1$ (see [8, Th. 3.4]). In particular, there is no finitely determined quasihomogeneous double map germ with distinct weights. On the other hand, examples of finitely determined homogeneous (where $b_1 = b_2 = 1$) reflected graph map germs exist, see for instance [8, Example 3.6] and [16, Example 16]. Thus,

we can consider the following question:

Question: *Is there any corank 2 reflected graph map germ $f = (w_1, w_2, h)$ from $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ to $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ such that f is finitely determined and quasihomogeneous with distinct weights?*

Since the coordinate functions w_1 and w_2 to the orbit map $w = (w_1, w_2)$ of G are always homogeneous (see [5, Ch. 9]), we will restrict ourselves to studying this question only for the group $\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s$, where the orbit map $w = (x^r, x^s)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s$ can be considered also as a quasihomogeneous map. If $f(x, y) = (x^r, y^s, h(x, y))$ then the corank 2 hypothesis implies that $r, s \geq 2$ and $h \in \mathfrak{m}^2$, where \mathfrak{m} denotes the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_2 . The following lemma can be viewed as an extension of [8, Th. 3.4] for the reflection group $\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s$. We will consider $\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s$ as a subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ generated by the reflections

$$R' = \begin{bmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad S' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi \end{bmatrix}.$$

where θ (respectively ξ) is a primitive r -th (respectively, s -th) root of unity.

Denote the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_s$ by $g_{i,j}$ where $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, with $g_{1,1} = Id$, the identity matrix. Note that after a eventual reordering of the indices i, j we have that $g_{i,j}(x, y) = (\theta^i x, \xi^j y)$.

Lemma 6.9 *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ be a reflected graph map germ given by $f(x, y) = (x^r, y^s, h(x, y))$ with $r, s \geq 2$. If f is quasihomogeneous and finitely determined then f is homogeneous.*

Proof. The case where $r, s = 2$ was considered in [8, Th. 3.4]. Therefore, we can suppose that $(r, s) \neq (2, 2)$. Denote the weight of x by a and the weight of y by b . By hypothesis we have that f is quasihomogeneous, therefore we can write h in the form

$$h(x, y) = x^\alpha y^\beta (c_k (x^b)^k + c_{k-1} (x^b)^{k-1} y^a + \dots + c_1 x^b (y^a)^{k-1} + c_0 (y^a)^k) \quad (22)$$

for some non-negative integers α, β, k , where $c_0, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $c_0, c_k \neq 0$. We will show that $\alpha, \beta = 0$.

Suppose that $\beta \neq 0$, then the restriction of f to $\mathbf{V}(y)$ is r -to-1 (see [19, Lemma 6.1]). Since f is finitely determined, it follows that $1 \leq r \leq 2$. If we suppose that $\alpha \neq 0$, we obtain with a similar argument that $1 \leq s \leq 2$. Hence, if $r, s \geq 3$ then $\alpha = \beta = 0$. Let us consider the following remaining cases:

Case a.1: $r = 2$ and $s \geq 3$.

Since $s \geq 3$ we have that $\alpha = 0$. Suppose that $\beta \geq 1$, then by Proposition 6.3 and (22) we obtain that

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = \mathbf{V}(y^{\beta(2s-1)-(s-1)} \lambda_1(x, y))$$

for some $\lambda_1(x, y)$ in \mathcal{O}_2 . Now, note that $3 \leq \beta s + \beta(s-1) - (s-1) = \beta(2s-1) - (s-1)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}(f)$ is not reduced, thus it follows by [9, Cor. 3.5] that f is not finitely determined, a contradiction. Hence, we obtain that $\beta = 0$.

Case a.2: $s = 2$ and $r \geq 3$. The proof of this case is similar to the one given to show Case a.1.

Finally, we will show that the weights of f are equal, i.e., $a = b = 1$. By Proposition 6.3 and (22) again we obtain that

$$\mathcal{D}(f) = \mathbf{V}(x^{b(r-1)-(r-1)} y^{a(s-1)-(s-1)} \lambda_2(x, y))$$

for some $\lambda_2(x, y)$ in \mathcal{O}_2 . Therefore, since f is finitely determined it follows that

$$0 \leq (r-1)(b-1) \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq (s-1)(a-1) \leq 1. \quad (23)$$

From (23), we obtain that if $r \geq 3$ then $b = 1$. On the other hand, if $s \geq 3$ then $a = 1$. Hence, if $r, s \geq 3$ then $a = b = 1$. Let us consider the following remaining cases:

Case b.1: $r = 2$ and $s \geq 3$.

Note that in this case $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3) = (x^2, y^s, c_k(x^b)^k + \dots + c_0(y^a)^k)$ with $c_0, c_k \neq 0$. From (23) we obtain that $a = 1$ and $1 \leq b \leq 2$. Suppose that $b = 2$, then the weighted degree of f_1, f_2 and f_3 are $2, 2s$ and $2k$. By [10] that the number $C(f)$ of cross-caps of f is given by

$$C(f) = \frac{1}{2} ((2s-1)(2k-2) + (2s-1)) \quad (24)$$

which is not an integer number, since the numerator of (24) is an odd integer number. In particular, this implies that f is not finitely determined, a contradiction. Therefore, we obtain that $a = b = 1$.

Case b.2: $s = 2$ and $r \geq 3$. The proof of this case is similar to the one given to show Case b.1. ■

We note that for the group $\mathbb{Z}_1 \times \mathbb{Z}_d$, where \mathbb{Z}_1 denotes the trivial group, it is not hard to find quasihomogeneous finitely determined map germs which are not homogeneous. For instance, $f(x, y) = (x, y^4, y^6 + xy)$ is an example of a corank 1 finitely determined map germ which is quasihomogeneous of type $(5, 4, 6; 1, 5)$.

Remark 6.10 *All figures used in this work were created by the authors using the software Surfer [23].*

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Juan José Nuño-Ballesteros and Guillermo Peñafort-Sanchis for many valuable comments on this work. Milena Barbosa Gama acknowledges support by CAPES. Otoniel Nogueira da Silva acknowledges support by CNPq grant Universal 407454/2023-3.

References

- [1] Bruce, J. W. and Marar, W. L.: *Images and varieties*. Topology, 3. J. Math. Sci. **82** 3633-3641, (1996).
- [2] Borges Zampiva, J.R., Penafort-Sanchis, G., Oréface-Okamoto, B., Tomazella, J.N.: *Double points and image of reflection maps*, preprint [arXiv:2312.06792v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.06792v2).
- [3] Decker, W.; Greuel, G.-M.; Pfister, G.; Schönemann, H.: SINGULAR 4-0-2, *A computer algebra system for polynomial computations*, <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>, (2019).
- [4] Fulton, W.: *Intersection Theory*, Springer Verlag, (1984), Berlin.
- [5] Lehrer, G. I. and Taylor, D. E.: *Unitary reflection groups*. Cambridge University Press **20** (2009).
- [6] Hernandez, M. E.; Miranda, A. J.; Peñafort-Sanchis, G.: *A presentation matrix algorithm for $f_*\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$* . Topology and its Applications, **234**, 440–451, (2018).
- [7] Humphreys, J.: *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **29** (1990)
- [8] Marar, W. L. and Nuño-Ballesteros, J.J.: *A note on finite determinacy for corank 2 map germs from surfaces to 3-space*. Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.. Cambridge University Press **145** 153-163, (2008).
- [9] Marar, W. L., Nuño-Ballesteros, J.J. and Peñafort-Sanchis, G.: *Double point curves for corank 2 map germs from \mathbb{C}^2 to \mathbb{C}^3* . Topology Appl. **159** 526-536 (2012).
- [10] Mond, D.: *The number of vanishing cycles for a quasihomogeneous mapping from \mathbb{C}^2 to \mathbb{C}^3* ; Quart. J. Math. Oxford **42** (2), (1991) 335–345.

- [11] Mond, D., Nuño-Ballesteros, J.J.: *Singularities of Mappings*. volume 357 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer-Verlag, New York, first edition, (2020).
- [12] Mond, D., Pellikaan, R.: *Fitting ideals and multiple points of analytic mappings*, Separata de: E. R. de Arellano (Ed.) Algebraic Geometry and Complex Analysis, Pátzcuaro 1987. Springer-Verlag, Lecture Notes in Math., sér. 1414, 107-161, (1989).
- [13] Mond, D.: *Some remarks on the geometry and classification of germs of maps from surfaces to 3-space*. Topology **26** 361-383, (1987).
- [14] Mond, D.: *On the classification of germs of maps from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^3* . Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **3** 333-369, (1985).
- [15] Noether, E.: *Der endlichkeitssatz der invarianten endlicher gruppen*. Math. Ann. **77** 89-93, (1916).
- [16] Peñafort Sanchis, G.: *Reflection maps*. Math. Ann., **378** 559-598, (2020).
- [17] Peñafort Sanchis, G.: *The geometry of double fold maps* Jour. Sing., **10** 250-263, (2014).
- [18] Piene, R. *Ideals associated to a desingularization*. Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, **732** 503–517, (1979).
- [19] Ruas, M.A.S.; Silva, O.N.: *Whitney equisingularity of families of surfaces in \mathbb{C}^3* , Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **166**, no. 2, (2019) 353–369.
- [20] Serre, J. P.: *Linear representations of finite groups*. New York: Springer, **42**, (1977).
- [21] Shephard, G., Tood, J. A.: *Finite unitary reflection groups*. Can. J. Math. **6** 274-304, (1954).
- [22] Silva, O.N.: *Surfaces with non-isolated singularities*, PhD thesis, São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, (2017), available on <http://www.teses.usp.br/teses/disponiveis/55/55135/tde-10052017-085440/pt-br.php> Accessed 14 June 2024.
- [23] Surfer, <https://imaginary.org/program/surfer>

• Gama, M.B.

milena.gama@academico.ufpb.br

Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-900, João Pessoa, PB, Brazil.

• Silva, O.N.

otoniel.silva@academico.ufpb.br

Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-900, João Pessoa, PB, Brazil.