L^2 -Convergence of the Population Principal Components in the Approximate Factor Model

Philipp $Gersing^*$

August 22, 2024

Abstract

We prove that under the condition that the eigenvalues are asymptotically well separated and stable, the normalised principal components of a *r*-static factor sequence converge in mean square. Consequently, we have a generic interpretation of the principal components estimator as the normalised principal components of the statically common space. We illustrate why this can be useful for the interpretation of the PC-estimated factors, performing an asymptotic theory without rotation matrices and avoiding singularity issues in factor augmented regressions.

Index terms— Approximate Factor Model

1 Introduction

Let $(y_{it} : i \in \mathbb{N}, t \in \mathbb{Z}) \equiv (y_{it})$ be a zero mean stationary stochastic process indexed in time t and cross-section i. The approximate factor model introduced by Chamberlain and Rothschild (1983); Chamberlain (1983); Stock and Watson (2002a,b); Bai and Ng (2002) relies on a decomposition of the form

$$y_{it} = C_{it} + e_{it} = \Lambda_i F_t + e_{it},\tag{1}$$

$$y_t^n = C_t^n + e_t^n = \Lambda^n F_t + e_t^n \quad \text{(vector representation)} \tag{2}$$

where F_t are factors of dimension r (usually small), the Λ_i 's are pervasive loadings with $\Lambda^n = (\Lambda'_1, ..., \Lambda'_n)'$, C_{it} is the "common component" and e_{it} is the contemporaneously weakly correlated "idiosyncratic component".

Clearly, the "true factors" are latent and can only be identified up to a non-singular transformation. It is well known (Bai and Ng, 2002; Barigozzi, 2022) that in this setup

$$\left\|\hat{F}_{t}^{n}-\hat{H}_{n}F_{t}\right\|\to0$$
 in probability, (3)

here \hat{F}_t^n denotes factors estimated by the normalised principal components and \hat{H}_n is a non-singular transformation depending on n.

Furthermore, Bai and Ng (2013) has shown (see also Barigozzi, 2022) that under the restrictions that the factors F_t have unit sample variance and $(\Lambda^n)'\Lambda^n$ is diagonal for

^{*}Department of Statistics and Operations Research, University of Vienna

all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the "rotation matrix" \hat{H}_n converges to the unit matrix in probability, so the normalised principal components of C_t^n , say $F_t^{n,C}$, so

$$\left\|\hat{F}_t^n - F_t^{n,C}\right\| \to 0$$
 in probability,

since $\hat{H}_n \to J$ where J is a "sign switch" $r \times r$ matrix with -1, 1 on the diagonal entries. Suppose in the following the signs are fixed.

In this scenario however, the factors $F_t^{n,C}$ and the loadings Λ_i for any fixed *i* depend on *n*: Clearly, in general the normalised principal components change, if we add a new variable to the cross-section. In this paper we show that $F_t^{n,C}$ has a limit in mean square, say F_t (which is the same as the limit of $F_t^{n,y}$). So

$$\left\|\hat{F}_t^n - F_t^\infty\right\| \le \underbrace{\left\|\hat{F}_t^n - F_t^{n,C}\right\|}_{\stackrel{P}{\to 0}} + \underbrace{\left\|F_t^{n,C} - F_t^\infty\right\|}_{\stackrel{L^2}{\to 0}} \stackrel{P}{\to} 0,$$

Therefore there exists F_t^{∞} what we may call the normalised principal components of the statically common space - independent of n, to which the principal components estimator \hat{F}_t^n is converging.

This result may be useful e.g. for the following:

- (i) We do not need to use "rotation matrices" in the asymptotic theory for factor analysis involving $n, T \to \infty$
- (ii) We have refined the interpretation of what the principal components estimator is actually targeting
- (iii) Suppose that the number of primitive shocks/ dynamic factors, say q, is less than r. If we stack lags of F_t , say $F_k^-(t) = (F'_t, ..., F'_{t-k})'$ regression on $F_k^-(t)$ can be tricky as the variance of $F_k^-(t)$ may be singular. Instead we may simply use the first q principal components, say $f_t = (F_{1t}, ..., F_{qt})'$ to approximate the infinite past of F_t or the infinite past of its primitive shocks respectively. Note that if F_t would depend on n, in an asymptotic scenario where $(n, T) \to \infty$, we must assume that a fixed subset of $F_k^-(t)$ has non-singular variance.

2 General Setup

We suppose that the observed process (y_{it}) has the representation as in (1), (2). Let A be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Denote by $\mu_j(A)$ the *j*-th largest eigenvalue of a matrix A and set $M(A) \equiv \text{diag}(\mu_1(A), ..., \mu_r(A))$. Denote by P(A) the matrix consisting of the first orthonormal row eigenvectors (corresponding to the *r* largest eigenvalues of A). Let $\mathbb{E} y_t^n(y_t^n)' \equiv \Gamma_y^n$ with $y_t^n = (y_{1t}, ..., y_{nt})'$. The normalised principal components of y_t^n are

$$F_t^{n,y} \equiv M^{-1/2}(\Gamma_y^n)P(\Gamma_y^n)y_t^n.$$

$$F_t^{n,C} \equiv M^{-1/2}(\Gamma_C^n)P(\Gamma_C^n)C_t^n$$

For simplicity we suppose that the loadings are such that $\mathbb{E} F_t F'_t = I_r$ which can always be achieved by a constant (independent of n) rotation matrix applied to the factors. Furthermore the following assumptions are made: **A 1** (r-Static Factor Structure) There exists a natural number $r < \infty$, such that

- (i) $\sup_n \mu_r(\Gamma_C^n) = \infty$ (pervasive loadings)
- (*ii*) $\sup_n \mu_1(\Gamma_e^n) < \infty$.

Note that part (ii) is also implied by assumptions made for the idiosyncratic component as in Bai and Ng (2002). This is shown in Barigozzi (2022).

To ensure that the dynamic principal components converge in mean square we need to reinforce A1(i) into

A 2 (Asymptotic stability of the eigenvalues)

$$M(\Gamma_C^n)/n \to D_{\Gamma} > 0$$

This is for example the case if we assume exchangeability (Barigozzi and Hallin, 2024) of the loadings $\Lambda_i \sim IID$ so there is a law of large numbers:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\Lambda_{i}\Lambda_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{(\Lambda^{n})^{\prime}\Lambda^{n}}{n}\to\Gamma_{\Lambda}.$$

The eigenvalues divided by the rate converge to diagonal matrix D_{Γ} , i.e. they are asymptotically well separated. Convergence and separation are both crucial for L^2 convergence of the normalised principal components.

3 The Convergence Result

Lemma 1

Under Assumption A1, we have

(i)
$$\left\| p_j(\Gamma_y^n) - p_j(\Gamma_C^n) \right\| = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/2}), \text{ for } j = 1, ..., r.$$

(ii) $\mu_j(\Gamma_y^n) - \mu_j(\Gamma_C^n) = \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ for } 1 \le j \le r.$
(iii) $M(\Gamma_y^n)/n \to D_{\Gamma}, n \to \infty.$

Proof. (i) Consider for any fixed n a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix Γ^n . Suppose we perturb Γ^n such that $\hat{\Gamma}^n = \Gamma^n + \varepsilon_n B^n$, where the entries of B^n are in modulus smaller than one. Let $p_l \equiv p_l(\Gamma^n), \mu_j \equiv \mu_j(\Gamma^n)$ for l = 1, ..., n, suppressing dependence on n in the notation. From Wilkinson (1965), section 2,(10.2) we obtain the expansion that if ε_n is sufficiently small, that

$$p_j(\hat{\Gamma}^n) - p_j(\Gamma^n) = \sum_{l \neq j, l=1}^n \frac{p_l\left(\hat{\Gamma}^n - \Gamma^n\right) p'_j}{\mu_j - \mu_j} p_l \ \varepsilon_n + \cdots$$

therefore $\left\| p_j(\hat{\Gamma}^n) - p_j(\Gamma^n) \right\|^2 = \sum_{l \neq j, l=1}^n \left(\frac{p_l\left(\hat{\Gamma}^n - \Gamma^n\right) p'_j}{\mu_j - \mu_j} \right)^2 \varepsilon_n^2 + \cdots,$

where the higher order terms are smaller than the first order terms and can be neglected and the second equation follows by orthogonality of the eigenvectors and while the higher order terms are negligent.

Apply this to $\tilde{\Gamma}^n = \Gamma_y^n$ and $\Gamma^n = \Gamma_C^n$, so $p_l \equiv p_l(\Gamma_y^n), \mu_j \equiv \mu_j(\Gamma_y^n)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left\| p_{j}(\Gamma_{y}^{n}) - p_{j}(\Gamma_{C}^{n}) \right\|^{2} &= \sum_{l \neq j, l=1}^{n} \left(\frac{p_{l}(\Gamma_{e}^{n}) p_{j}'}{\mu_{j} - \mu_{j}} \right)^{2} \varepsilon_{n}^{2} + \cdots \\ \sum_{l \neq j, l=1}^{n} \left(\frac{p_{l}(\Gamma_{e}^{n}) p_{j}'}{\mu_{j} - \mu_{j}} \right)^{2} &\leq \left(\sup_{n} \mu_{1}(\Gamma_{e}^{n}) \right)^{2} \sum_{l \neq j, l=1}^{n} \sup_{l \leq n} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{j} - \mu_{j}} \right)^{2} \\ &\leq n^{-2} \ nB_{e}^{2} \ \sup_{l \leq n} \left(\frac{1}{n^{-1}(\mu_{j} - \mu_{j})} \right)^{2} \quad \text{by A1} \\ &\leq n^{-2} \ nB_{e}^{2} \ \max_{l \neq j, 1 \leq l, j \leq r} \left(\frac{1}{c_{l}^{-} - c_{j}^{+}} \right)^{2} = \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) \quad \text{by A2,(i)}, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality holds for n large enough.

(ii) For the eigenvalues, we use the expansion from Wilkinson (1965), section 2, (5.5) with coefficients k_1, k_2, \dots and $\varepsilon = \mathcal{O}(1)$ such that

$$\mu_1(\Gamma_y^n) - \mu_1(\Gamma_C^n) = k_1\varepsilon + k_2\varepsilon^2 + \cdots$$

$$k_1 = p_1(\Gamma_C^n) \left(\Gamma_y^n - \Gamma_C^n\right) p_1'(\Gamma_C^n) = p_1(\Gamma_C^n) \left(\Gamma_e^n\right) p_1'(\Gamma_C^n) \le \mu_1(\Gamma_e^n) = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

where the higher order terms are negligent.

(iii) It readily follows with $\mu_j(\Gamma_C^n) = \mu_j(\Lambda^n(\Lambda^n)') = \mathcal{O}(n)$ and under A2, that $M(\Gamma_y^n)/n \to D_{\Gamma}$.

The normalised static principal components (NSPC) of any random vector of dimension n, supposing that the eigenvalues are different, are unique up to a change in sign. Suppose now, we fix the sign. Clearly, the NSPCs do in general not converge if n is successively increased, since a new cross-sectional unit may always alter the direction of the principal components. However, in the case of the approximate factor model and under the condition of asymptotic stability of the first r-eigenvalues (which implies that they are well separated) convergence is ensured.

Consequently, under these assumptions the limit of the NSPC have a meaningful interpretation as "normalised principal components of the static aggregation space". The limit of the first NSPC is the "strongest direction" in the static aggregation space, the limite of the second NSPC is the "strongest direction orthologonal to the first" and so on...

Theorem 1

Under Assumptions A1 and A2, the normalised static principal components of y_t^n and C_t^n converge in mean square to the normalised principal components of the aggregation space, say F_t^{∞} .

Proof. We want to show that

$$F_t^{n,y} \equiv M^{-1/2}(\Gamma_y^n) P(\Gamma_y^n) y_t^n = M^{-1/2}(\Gamma_y^n) P(\Gamma_y^n) \Lambda^n F_t + M^{-1/2}(\Gamma_y^n) P(\Gamma_y^n) e_t^n$$

converges. Since $M^{-1/2}(\Gamma_y^n)P(\Gamma_y^n)$ is a static averaging sequence (see Gersing, 2023, Theorem 2.2.6) the second term converges to zero in mean square. We are left with showing convergence of the first term.

Consider the eigen-decompositions:

$$P_{\Lambda}^{n}\Gamma_{\Lambda}^{n}(P_{\Lambda}^{n})' = D_{\Lambda}^{n} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\Lambda}\Gamma_{\Lambda}P_{\Lambda}' = D_{\Lambda}$$

and set $\tilde{P}_{n} \equiv D_{\Lambda}^{-1/2}P_{\Lambda}\frac{(\Lambda^{n})'}{\sqrt{n}}$ and $P_{n} \equiv (D_{\Lambda}^{n})^{-1/2}P_{\Lambda}^{n}\frac{(\Lambda^{n})'}{\sqrt{n}}$

It follows that P_n are orthonormal row-eigenvectors of Γ_C^n since

$$P_n P'_n = I_r$$

$$P_n \frac{1}{n} \Gamma_C^n = (D_\Lambda^n)^{-1/2} P_\Lambda^n \frac{(\Lambda^n)'}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\Lambda^n (\Lambda^n)'}{n}$$

$$= (D_\Lambda^n)^{-1/2} P_\Lambda^n \Gamma_\Lambda^n \frac{(\Lambda^n)'}{\sqrt{n}} = (D_\Lambda^n)^{-1/2} D_\Lambda^n P_\Lambda^n \frac{(\Lambda^n)'}{\sqrt{n}} = D_\Lambda^n P_n$$

In order two show that ψ_t^n converges, it is enough to show that

$$\underline{\psi}_t^n \equiv P(\Gamma_y^n) \frac{\Lambda^n}{\sqrt{n}} F_t$$

converges, which is the case whenever $P(\Gamma_y^n) \frac{\Lambda^n}{\sqrt{n}}$ converges to a constant finite $r \times r$ matrix. So

$$P(\Gamma_y^n)\frac{\Lambda^n}{\sqrt{n}} = \underbrace{\tilde{P}_n \frac{\Lambda^n}{\sqrt{n}}}_{(I)} + \underbrace{\left[P(\Gamma_y^n) - P_n\right] \frac{\Lambda^n}{\sqrt{n}}}_{(II)} + \underbrace{\left[P_n - \tilde{P}_n\right] \frac{\Lambda^n}{\sqrt{n}}}_{(III)}.$$

where $\frac{\Lambda^n}{\sqrt{n}} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and

$$(I) = D_{\Lambda}^{-1/2} P_{\Lambda} \Gamma_{\Lambda}^{n} \to D_{\Lambda}^{-1/2} P_{\Lambda} \Gamma_{\Lambda} = D_{\Lambda}^{1/2} P_{\Lambda}.$$

The second term goes to zero by Lemma 1. For the third term note that

$$P_n - \tilde{P}_n = \left[\left(D_\Lambda^n \right)^{-1/2} P_\Lambda^n - D_\Lambda^{-1/2} P_\Lambda \right] \frac{(\Lambda^n)'}{\sqrt{n}}$$

which goes to zero since $\Gamma_{\Lambda}^n \to \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ and the eigenvalues and vectors are continuous functions of the matrix entries.

4 Conclusion

We refine the interpretation of what the principal component estimator is targeting by showing that the population normalised principal components actually have a limit in mean square. This is tied to the assumption that the eigenvalues of the common component variance matrix divided by n are distinct which allows convergence of the eigenvectors.

References

- Bai, J. and Ng, S. (2002). Determining the number of factors in approximate factor models. *Econometrica*, 70(1):191–221.
- Bai, J. and Ng, S. (2013). Principal components estimation and identification of static factors. *Journal of econometrics*, 176(1):18–29.
- Barigozzi, M. (2022). On estimation and inference of large approximate dynamic factor models via the principal component analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01921.
- Barigozzi, M. and Hallin, M. (2024). The dynamic, the static, and the weak factor models and the analysis of high-dimensional time series. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.10653.
- Chamberlain, G. (1983). Funds, factors, and diversification in arbitrage pricing models. Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society, pages 1305–1323.
- Chamberlain, G. and Rothschild, M. (1983). Arbitrage, factor structure, and meanvariance analysis on large asset markets. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, pages 1281–1304.
- Gersing, P. (2023). *Reconciling the Theory of Static and Dynamic Factor Sequences*. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Wien.
- Stock, J. H. and Watson, M. W. (2002a). Forecasting using principal components from a large number of predictors. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 97(460):1167–1179.
- Stock, J. H. and Watson, M. W. (2002b). Macroeconomic forecasting using diffusion indexes. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 20(2):147–162.
- Wilkinson, J. (1965). Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem. Clarendon Press, Oxford.