

MULTIPLICITY FREE COVERING OF A GRADED MANIFOLD

ELIZAVETA VISHNYAKOVA

ABSTRACT. We define and study a multiplicity free covering of a graded manifold. As an application of our research we give a new conceptual proof of the theorem about equivalence of categories of graded manifolds and symmetric n -fold vector bundles.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [Vi2] a graded covering of a supermanifold was defined, which is a prolongation of a construction suggested by Donagi and Witten in [DW1, DW2]. In this paper we develop the theory of graded coverings of graded and supermanifolds further. More precisely, we define the category of multiplicity free manifolds, which is equivalent to the category of n -fold vector bundles. Further we prove that for any graded manifold \mathcal{N} there exists unique up to isomorphism multiplicity free covering \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{N} . In more details for any graded manifold \mathcal{N} we construct unique up to isomorphism object \mathcal{P} in the category of multiplicity free manifolds, which satisfies a universal property as in the topological case.

In [CM, JL] for $n = 2$ and in [BGR, HJ, Vi1] for any n , it was proved that the category of graded manifolds is equivalent to the category of symmetric n -fold vector bundles. (The idea of a symmetric vector bundle appeared in [CM] and [BGR]. In [Vi1] it was proved that the category of graded manifolds is equivalent to the category of n -fold vector bundles equipped with some homological vector fields.) As an application of our theory we give a new conceptual proof of this result.

Let us describe our ideas in more details. First of all we replace the category of n -fold vector bundles with an equivalent category of multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ_n , the notion we define. We also consider the category of n -fold vector bundles of type $\Delta \subset \Delta_n$ and the corresponding category of multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ . We show that in the category of such multiplicity free manifolds, any graded manifold \mathcal{N} of type $L = \Delta/S_n$, where S_n is the permutation group, can be assigned its multiplicity free covering \mathcal{P} of type Δ , an object that satisfies some universal property. (Note that it is not clear to us how to construct a universal covering of a graded manifold in the original category of n -fold vector bundles. Ideas of [Vi2] do not work in this case.)

Let $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the covering projection. We show that \mathcal{P} possesses an action of the permutation group S_n , in other words \mathcal{P} is a symmetric multiplicity free manifold. Further we prove that any symmetric multiplicity free manifold can be regarded as a multiplicity free covering of a graded manifold. The same for morphisms. If $\psi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$ is a morphism of graded manifolds, then there exists a unique multiplicity free lift $\Psi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ of ψ , commuting with the covering projections $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathfrak{p}' : \mathcal{P}' \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$ (a consequence of the universal property). The lift Ψ is symmetric. Further for any symmetric morphism $\psi' : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$ there exists a unique morphism $\psi' : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$ such that Ψ' is its multiplicity free lift. This

implies the equivalence of categories of graded manifolds and symmetric multiplicity free manifolds.

Algebraically the equivalence of these categories is related to Chevalley–Shephard–Todd Theorem, see Section 4. Indeed, the main observation here is that the algebra of S_n -invariant polynomials modulo multiplicities is generated by linear S_n -invariant polynomials.

Acknowledgments: E.V. was partially supported by by FAPEMIG, grant APQ-01999-18, FAPEMIG grant RED-00133-21 - Rede Mineira de Matemática, CNPq grant 402320/2023-9. We thank Alejandro Cabrera and Matias del Hoyo for a very useful discussion. We also thank Henrique Bursztyn for discussions and hospitality in IMPA after which we understood the nature of the equivalence of the categories of graded manifolds and symmetric n -fold vector bundles.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Graded manifolds. The theory of graded manifolds is used for example in modern mathematical physics and Poisson geometry. More information about this theory can be found in [F, J, JKPS, KS, R, Vys]. Throughout this paper we work over the fields $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . To define a graded manifold let us consider a \mathbb{Z} -graded finite dimensional vector superspace V of the following form:

$$(1) \quad V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n.$$

We put $L_n := \{0, \beta, 2\beta, \dots, n\beta\}$, where β is a formal even or odd variable, the parity of β is fixed, and

$$L := \{k\beta \in L_n \mid \dim V_k \neq 0\}.$$

We will call L support of V , or we will say that V has type L .

To any homogeneous element $v \in V_i \setminus \{0\}$ we assign the weight $w(v) := i\beta \in L$ and the parity $|v| = k \cdot |\beta| \in \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$, where $|\beta| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the parity of β . Denote by $S^*(V)$ the super-symmetric algebra of V . If $v = v_1 \cdots v_k \in S^*(V)$ is a product of homogeneous elements $v_i \in V_{q_i} \setminus \{0\}$, then as usual we put

$$\begin{aligned} w(v) &= w(v_1) + \cdots + w(v_k) = (q_1 + \cdots + q_k)\beta; \\ |v| &= |v_1| + \cdots + |v_k| \in \mathbb{Z}_2. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$v_1 \cdot v_2 = (-1)^{|v_1||v_2|} v_2 \cdot v_1.$$

Therefore $S^*(V)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector superspace.

Now we are ready to define a graded manifold. Consider a ringed space $\mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})$, where $\mathcal{U}_0 \subset V_0^*$ is an open set and

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}_0} \otimes_{S^*(V_0)} S^*(V),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{U}_0}$ is the sheaf of smooth or holomorphic functions on \mathcal{U}_0 . (Note that the tensor product in (2) is considered in the category of sheaves, so the result $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a sheaf, not only a presheaf.) We call the ringed space \mathcal{U} a *graded domain of type L and of dimension $\{n_k\}$* , where $n_k := \dim V_k$, $k = 0, \dots, n$. Further, let us choose a basis (x_i) , $i = 1, \dots, n_0$, in V_0 and a basis $(\xi_{j_k}^k)$, where $j_k = 1, \dots, n_k$, in V_k for any $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then the system $(x_i, \xi_{j_k}^k)$ is called a system of local graded coordinates of \mathcal{U} . Clearly, x_i has weight 0 and parity $\bar{0}$ and $\xi_{j_k}^k$ has weight $w(\xi_{j_k}^k) = k\beta$ and parity $|\xi_{j_k}^k|$.

The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})_{\bar{0}} \oplus (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})_{\bar{1}}$ is naturally \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded. This sheaf is also \mathbb{Z} -graded in the following sense: for any element $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}(U)$, where $U \subset \mathcal{U}_0$ is open, and any point $x \in U$ there exists an open neighborhood U' of x such that $f|_{U'}$ is a

finite sum of homogeneous polynomials in coordinates $(\xi_{j_k}^k)$ with functional coefficient in (x_i) . The element f defined in U may be an infinite sum of homogeneous elements.

Let \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{U}' be two graded domains with graded coordinates $(x_a, \xi_{b_i}^i)$ and $(y_c, \eta_{d_j}^j)$, respectively. A *morphism* $\Phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'$ of graded domains is a morphism of the corresponding \mathbb{Z} -graded ringed spaces such that $\Phi^*|_{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}'}_0)} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})_0 \rightarrow (\Phi_0)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})_0$ is local, that is it is a usual morphism of smooth or holomorphic domains. Clearly such a morphism is determined by images of local coordinates $\Phi^*(y_c)$ and $\Phi^*(\eta_{d_j}^j)$. Conversely, if we have the following set of functions

$$(3) \quad \Phi^*(y_c) \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})_0(\mathcal{U}_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^*(\eta_{d_j}^j) \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})_j(\mathcal{U}_0), \quad j > 0,$$

such that $(\Phi^*(y_1)(u), \dots, \Phi^*(y_{n_0})(u)) \in \mathcal{U}'_0$ for any $u \in \mathcal{U}_0$, then there exists unique morphism $\Phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'$ of graded domains compatible with (3).

A *graded manifold of type L and of dimension $\{n_k\}$, $k = 0, \dots, n$* , is a \mathbb{Z} -graded ringed space $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{N}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})$, that is locally isomorphic to a graded domain of degree n and of dimension $\{n_k\}$, $k = 0, \dots, n$. More precisely, we can find an atlas $\{U_i\}$ on \mathcal{N}_0 and isomorphisms $\Phi_i : (U_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}|_{U_i}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_i$ of \mathbb{Z} -graded ringed spaces such that $\Phi_i \circ (\Phi_j)^{-1} : \mathcal{U}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_i$ is an isomorphism of graded domains. A *morphism of graded manifolds* $\Phi = (\Phi_0, \Phi^*) : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1$ is a morphism of the corresponding \mathbb{Z} -graded ringed spaces, which is locally a morphism of graded domains.

2.2. n -fold vector bundles. We define an n -fold vector bundle using the language of graded manifolds. This definition of an n -fold vector bundle is equivalent to a classical one as it was shown in [GR, Theorem 4.1], see also [Vo1]. Let us choose n formal generators $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of the same parity $|\alpha_1| = \dots = |\alpha_n| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. In other words all α_i are even or odd. Denote by Δ_n the set of all possible linear combinations of α_i with coefficient 0 or 1. Such linear combinations we will call *multiplicity free*. For example we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \{0, \alpha_1\}, & \Delta_2 &= \{0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\}, \\ \Delta_3 &= \{0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

A subset $\Delta \subset \Delta_n$, which contains 0, we will call a *multiplicity free weight system*. Further the parity $|\delta| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ of any $\delta = \alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_p} \in \Delta$ is the sum of parities of terms α_{i_j} . We denote by $\#\delta$ the *length of the weight* $\delta \in \Delta$. More precisely, we put

$$\#\delta = \#(\alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_p}) = p.$$

Let us take a multiplicity free weight system Δ with fixed parities of α_i . (Recall that we assume that all α_i have the same parity.) Consider a finite dimensional Δ -graded vector space V over \mathbb{K}

$$V = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta} V_{\delta}.$$

We say that elements from $V_{\delta} \setminus \{0\}$ have weight $\delta \in \Delta$ and parity $|\delta| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Further, we denote by $S^*(V)$ the super-symmetric power of V . As for graded manifolds the weight of a product of homogeneous elements is the sum of weights of factors, and the same for parities.

Consider the \mathbb{Z}^n -graded ringed space $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}})$, where $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset V_0^*$, and the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is defined in the following way

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}} := \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}_0} \otimes_{S^*(V_0)} S^*(V).$$

Here $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{V}_0}$ is the sheaf of smooth (the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$) or holomorphic (the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$) functions on $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset V_0^*$. (Note that the tensor product in (4) is considered in the category of sheaves, so the result $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a sheaf, not only a presheaf.) The sheaf

$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is \mathbb{Z}^n -graded in the same sense as the structure sheaf of a graded manifold, see Section 2.1.

Let us choose a basis (x_i) in V_0 , where $i = 1, \dots, \dim V_0$, and a basis $(t_{j_\delta}^\delta)$, where $j_\delta = 1, \dots, \dim V_\delta$, in any V_δ for any $\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$. Then the system $(x_i, t_{j_\delta}^\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}}$ is called the system of local coordinates in \mathcal{V} . We assign the weight 0 and the parity $\bar{0}$ to any x_i and the weight δ and the parity $|\delta|$ to any $t_{j_\delta}^\delta$. We will call the ringed space \mathcal{V} a *graded domain of type Δ , with fixed parity $|\alpha_i| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ (independent on i) and of dimension $\{\dim V_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$ or just a *graded domain of type Δ* .*

A *morphism $\Phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ of graded domains of type Δ* is a morphism of the corresponding \mathbb{Z}^n -graded ringed spaces such that $\Phi^*|_{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}'})_0} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}'})_0 \rightarrow (\Phi_0)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}})_0$ is local, that is it is a usual morphism of smooth or holomorphic domains. Clearly such a morphism is determined by images $\Phi^*(y_c)$ and $\Phi^*(q_{s_\delta}^\delta)$ of local graded coordinates $(y_c, q_{s_\delta}^\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}}$ of \mathcal{V}' . Conversely, if the following set of functions is given

$$(5) \quad \Phi^*(y_c) \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}})_0(\mathcal{V}_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^*(q_{s_\delta}^\delta) \in (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}})_\delta(\mathcal{V}_0),$$

such that $(\Phi^*(y_1)(u), \dots, \Phi^*(y_{\dim V_0})(u)) \in \mathcal{V}'_0$ for any $u \in \mathcal{V}_0$, then there exists unique morphism $\Phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ of domains compatible with (5).

A *graded manifold of type Δ , with fixed parity $|\alpha_i| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ (independent on i) and of dimension $\{\dim V_\delta\}$, $\delta \in \Delta$* , is a \mathbb{Z}^n -graded ringed space $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}})$, that is locally isomorphic to a graded domain of type Δ , with fixed parity $|\alpha_i| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and of dimension $\{\dim V_\delta\}$, $\delta \in \Delta$. More precisely, we can find an atlas $\{V_i\}$ of \mathcal{D}_0 and isomorphisms $\Phi_i : (V_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}|_{V_i}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_i$ of \mathbb{Z}^n -graded ringed spaces such that $\Phi_i \circ (\Phi_j)^{-1} : \mathcal{V}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_i$ is an isomorphism of graded domains of type Δ . Sometimes \mathcal{D} will be just called a *graded manifold of type Δ* .

A *morphism of graded manifolds $\Phi = (\Phi_0, \Phi^*) : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1$ of type Δ* is a morphism of the corresponding \mathbb{Z}^n -graded ringed spaces, which is locally a morphism of graded domains of type Δ . Given a graded manifold of type $\Delta \subset \Delta_n$, then we can define in unique way up to isomorphism an n -fold vector bundle, see [GR, Theorem 4.1]. Any n -fold vector bundle is obtained in this way.

2.3. Multiplicity free manifolds. The category of multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ is a category, which is equivalent to the category of n -fold vector bundles of type Δ . Let Δ be as in Section 2.2. Let $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}})$ be a graded manifold of type Δ . Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$ be a homogeneous element of weight γ . We call the element f *non-multiplicity free*, if γ is not a multiplicity free weight. Now denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$ the sheaf of ideals locally generated by non-multiplicity free elements. For example, if t_1^γ and t_2^γ are local coordinates of \mathcal{D} of weight $\gamma \in \Delta$, then $t_1^\gamma \cdot t_2^\gamma \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}}$, since this product has weight 2γ .

Definition 1. *The ringed space $\widehat{\mathcal{D}} := (\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}})$ is called a *multiplicity free manifold of type Δ* .*

If $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2$ are \mathbb{Z}^n -graded manifolds of type Δ and $\Phi = (\Phi_0, \Phi^*) : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_2$ is a morphism preserving weights, then the morphism $\widehat{\Phi} = (\Phi_0, \widehat{\Phi}^*) : \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_2$, where $\widehat{\Phi}^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_2}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_1}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}_1}$ is naturally defined. We define the category of *multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ* , as the category with objects $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ and with morphisms $\widehat{\Phi}$. Clearly, $\widehat{\Phi}_2 \circ \widehat{\Phi}_1 = \widehat{\Phi}_2 \circ \widehat{\Phi}_1$.

Remark 2. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ be a morphism of graded manifolds of type Δ . Any such morphism is locally defined by the images $\Phi^*(y_c), \Phi^*(q_{s_\delta}^\delta)$ of local coordinates, see (5). Since $\Phi^*(y_c), \Phi^*(q_{s_\delta}^\delta)$ have multiplicity free weights, the morphism $\widehat{\Phi}$ is locally defined by the same formulas. Further, if $\Phi_i : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$, $i = 1, 2$, are two different morphisms, then $\widehat{\Phi}_1 \neq \widehat{\Phi}_2$, since locally these morphisms are different. Since the functor $\mathcal{D} \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$, $\Phi \mapsto \widehat{\Phi}$ defines an equivalence of the category of graded*

manifolds of type Δ and the category of multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ , see Definition 41.

Remark 3. A multiplicity free manifold $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ of type Δ is \mathbb{Z}^n -graded, since the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}}$ is generated by homogeneous elements. Further the structure sheaves of \mathcal{D} and $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ are different. In the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$ we have

$$(\xi_1^{\alpha_1} + \xi_1^{\alpha_2}) \cdot (\xi_2^{\alpha_1} + \xi_2^{\alpha_2}) = \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\alpha_1} + \xi_1^{\alpha_2} \xi_2^{\alpha_1} + \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\alpha_2} + \xi_1^{\alpha_2} \xi_2^{\alpha_2}.$$

In the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{D}}}$ we have

$$(\xi_1^{\alpha_1} + \xi_1^{\alpha_2}) \cdot (\xi_2^{\alpha_1} + \xi_2^{\alpha_2}) = \xi_1^{\alpha_2} \xi_2^{\alpha_1} + \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\alpha_2}.$$

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ be a multiplicity free manifold of type $\Delta \subset \Delta_n$ and \mathcal{N} be a graded manifold of type $L \subset L_n$. The multiplicity free manifold $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded. The grading is given by length of weights $\#\delta$, $\delta \in \Delta$. Assume that parities of formal variables α_i and β , generators of Δ_n and L_n , respectively, are equal. (Recall that all α_i have the same parity.) We define a morphism $\phi = (\phi_0, \phi^*) : \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ as a \mathbb{Z} -graded morphism of ringed spaces such that ϕ_0 is a smooth or holomorphic map. Clearly any such morphism is defined by images of local coordinates.

3. MULTIPLICITY FREE COVERING OF A GRADED MANIFOLD

3.1. Multiplicity free covering of a graded domain. Let Δ_n be as in Section 2.2. We can define an action of the permutation group S_n on Δ_n in the following natural way

$$s \cdot (\alpha_{i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{i_p}) = \alpha_{s \cdot i_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{s \cdot i_p}, \quad s \in S_n.$$

Definition 4. Let $\Delta \subset \Delta_n$ be a multiplicity free weight system. We say that Δ is S_n -invariant, if $\Delta \subset \Delta_n$ is an S_n -invariant set.

Denote by $L_n := \Delta_n/S_n$ the factor space. If $\gamma \in \Delta_n$ has length $\#\gamma = k$, then any element in the S_n -orbit of γ also has length k . Further any weight $\gamma' \in \Delta_n$ of length k is in S_n -orbit of γ . Hence we can identify L_n with the weight system $\{0, \beta, 2\beta, \dots, n\beta\}$, where $k\beta$ is identified with the orbit $S_n \cdot \gamma$ with $\#\gamma = k$ and β is a formal variable of parity $|\alpha_1|$. Now let $\Delta \subset \Delta_n$ be a S_n -invariant weight system and let $L := \Delta/S_n$ be the factor space. Clearly, $L \subset L_n$ is a subset in $\{0, \beta, 2\beta, \dots, n\beta\}$. Note that by construction the parity of $k\beta$ is equal to the parity of $\gamma \in \Delta_n$ with $\#\gamma = k$.

Example 5. Let $\Delta = \{0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \subset \Delta_2$. Then $L = \{0, \beta\}$. For $\Delta_1 = \{0, \alpha_1\}$ we also have $L = \{0, \beta\}$.

Let $\Delta \subset \Delta_n$ be S_n -invariant, $L = \Delta/S_n$ be as above and let \mathcal{U} be a graded domain of type L with local coordinates $(x_i, \xi_{j_k}^k)$, where $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ and $j_k = 1, \dots, n_k$. We can construct a multiplicity free domain \mathcal{V} of type Δ in the following way. We define $\mathcal{V} = (V_0, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}})$ as an multiplicity free domain with local coordinates $\{x_i, t_{j_\delta}^\delta\}$, where $\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ and $j_\delta = 1, \dots, n_{\#\delta}$. We assume that the parity of $t_{j_\delta}^\delta$, where $\#\delta = k$, is equal to the parity of $\xi_{j_k}^k$. Let us define the morphism $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ by the following formula

$$(6) \quad x_i \mapsto x_i, \quad \xi_{j_k}^k \mapsto \sum_{\#\delta=k} t_{j_k}^\delta, \quad k\beta \in L \setminus \{0\},$$

where the sum is taken over all $\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ with $\#\delta = k$.

Example 6. In the case $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ and $\Delta = \{0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$, we have

$$x_i \mapsto x_i, \quad \xi_1^1 \mapsto t_1^{\alpha_1} + t_1^{\alpha_2}, \quad \xi_2^1 \mapsto t_2^{\alpha_1} + t_2^{\alpha_2}, \quad \dots, \quad \xi_{n_1}^1 \mapsto t_{n_1}^{\alpha_1} + t_{n_1}^{\alpha_2},$$

where $\dim V_1 = n_1$.

Let us show that $p : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ satisfies the following universal property in the category of multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ . Let \mathcal{M} be a multiplicity free manifold of type Δ . Then the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded with respect to length of δ . Indeed, we can define its \mathbb{Z} -grading in the following way

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_k = \bigoplus_{\#\delta=k} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})_{\delta}.$$

Here the sum is over $\delta \in \Delta_n$. Let $\psi = (\psi_0, \psi^*) : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be a morphism of ringed spaces preserving \mathbb{Z} -gradation as in Section 2.3. (Recall that we assume that ψ_0 is a usual morphism of manifolds.) Then we can construct the morphism $\Psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ of multiplicity free manifolds in the following way

$$\Psi^*(t_{j\delta}^{\delta}) = \psi^*(\xi_{j\#\delta}^{\#\delta})_{\delta},$$

where $\psi^*(\xi_{j\#\delta}^{\#\delta})_{\delta}$ is the homogeneous component of $\psi^*(\xi_{j\#\delta}^{\#\delta})$ of weight $\delta \in \Delta$. By construction the following diagram is commutative

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{V} & \\ \Psi \nearrow & & \searrow p \\ \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{U} \end{array}$$

since it is commutative on local coordinates. And Ψ is a unique morphism of multiplicity free manifolds, which makes this diagram commutative.

We will call the multiplicity free domain \mathcal{V} a *multiplicity free covering* of a graded domain \mathcal{U} . The reason for this definition is the following theorem. Let L and Δ be as above.

Theorem 7 (Universal property for a multiplicity free covering of a graded domain). *For any graded domain \mathcal{U} of type L there exists a multiplicity free manifold \mathcal{V} of type Δ , constructed above, such that for any multiplicity free manifold \mathcal{M} of type Δ and any morphism $\psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ there exists unique morphism $\Psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ of multiplicity free manifolds such that the diagram (7) is commutative.*

There is an analogue of this theorem for a topological covering. As for other coverings, topological or \mathbb{Z} -covering, see [Vi2], we have the following result.

Theorem 8. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'$ be a morphism of graded domains of type L . Let $p : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ and $p' : \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'$ be their multiplicity free coverings of type Δ constructed above, respectively. Then there exists unique morphism of multiplicity free manifolds $\Phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ of type Δ such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{\exists! \Phi} & \mathcal{V}' \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p' \\ \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{U}' \end{array}$$

Further, a multiplicity free covering \mathcal{V} of type Δ of a graded domain \mathcal{U} of type L is unique up to isomorphism.

We will call the morphism Φ the *multiplicity free lift* of ϕ of type Δ , or just a lift of ϕ . Note that there exists different Δ for the same L , see Example 5.

Proof. To prove this statement we use Theorem 7. Indeed, we put $\psi = \phi \circ p$. Then Φ is a multiplicity free covering of ψ , which there exists and it is unique by Theorem 7. Now assume that \mathcal{U} have two coverings $p : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ and $p : \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$,

both satisfying the universal property (7). Then by above there exist morphisms $\Psi_1 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ and $\Psi_2 : \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_1} & \mathcal{V}' \\ \mathfrak{p} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{p}' \\ \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathcal{U} \end{array} ; \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V} & \xrightarrow{\Psi_2} & \mathcal{V}' \\ \mathfrak{p}' \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathcal{U} \end{array}$$

This implies that $\Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a lift of id . Hence, $\Psi_2 \circ \Psi_1 = \text{id}$, since id is also a lift of id and this lift is unique. Similarly, $\Psi_1 \circ \Psi_2 = \text{id}$. The result follows. \square

Let us give another definition of a multiplicity free covering of a graded domain.

Definition 9. A multiplicity free covering of type Δ of a graded domain \mathcal{U} of type L is a multiplicity free manifold \mathcal{V}' of type Δ together with a morphism $\mathfrak{p}' : \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ such that for any multiplicity free manifold \mathcal{M} of type Δ and a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ there exists unique morphism $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ of multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{V}' \\ \exists! \Phi \nearrow & & \searrow \mathfrak{p}' \\ \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{U} \end{array}$$

In other words a multiplicity free covering of type Δ of \mathcal{U} is a multiplicity free manifold \mathcal{V}' of type Δ together with a covering projection \mathfrak{p}' satisfying the universal property (7).

Now we have two different definitions of a multiplicity free covering of \mathcal{U} . In fact they are equivalent.

Proposition 10. Two definitions of a multiplicity free coverings of type Δ of \mathcal{U} are equivalent.

Proof. We proved that the multiplicity free covering \mathcal{V} constructed above satisfies the universal property (7). We saw, see the proof of Theorem 8, that any object \mathcal{V} satisfying the universal property is unique up to isomorphism. The result follows. \square

Let us show that the correspondence $\psi \mapsto \Psi$ is functorial.

Proposition 11. Let $\psi_{12} : \mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2$ and $\psi_{23} : \mathcal{U}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_3$ be two morphism of graded domains of type L . Denote by $\Psi_{ij} : \mathcal{U}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_j$ the multiplicity free lift of ψ_{ij} of type Δ . Then the multiplicity free lift of $\psi_{23} \circ \psi_{12}$ is equal to $\Psi_{23} \circ \Psi_{12}$. In other words the correspondents $\mathcal{U} \mapsto \mathcal{V}$, $\psi \mapsto \Psi$ is a functor from the category of graded domains of type L to the category of multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ .

Proof. A lift of $\psi_{23} \circ \psi_{12}$ and $\Psi_{23} \circ \Psi_{12}$ both make the diagram (7) commutative. \square

Remark 12. Let \mathcal{V} be a multiplicity free domain of type Δ , where $\Delta \subset \Delta_n$ is S_n -invariant. Denote by $\{x_i, t_{j\delta}^\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}}$ a system of coordinates of \mathcal{V} . Assume that the following action of S_n is defined on \mathcal{V}

$$s \cdot t_{j\delta}^\delta = t_{j s \cdot \delta}^{s \cdot \delta}.$$

This means that for δ, δ' with $\# \delta = \# \delta'$ we have a bijection between local coordinates $t_{j\delta}^\delta$ and $t_{j\delta'}^{\delta'}$, and the coordinates $t_{j\delta}^\delta, t_{j\delta'}^{\delta'}$ have the same parity. (s can be regarded as a \mathbb{Z} -graded morphism of a multiplicity free domain.)

Then \mathcal{V} can be regarded as a multiplicity free covering of a graded domain \mathcal{U} of type $L = \Delta/S_n$. Indeed, we assume that \mathcal{U} has the same base space as \mathcal{V} . To the

system of local coordinates $\{x_i, t_{j_\delta}^\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}}$ we assign the following system of local graded coordinates of \mathcal{U} :

$$\{x_i, \xi_{j_k}^k \mid j_k = 1, \dots, n_\delta, \#\delta = k\}_{k \in L \setminus \{0\}},$$

where $|\xi_{j_k}^k| = |t_{j_\delta}^\delta|$ with $\#\delta = k$. The covering map $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is defined by Formula (6). Clearly \mathcal{V} is a multiplicity free covering of type Δ of a graded domain \mathcal{U} of type L .

3.2. Multiplicity free covering of a graded manifold. Let $\Delta \subset \Delta_n$ be S_n -invariant and $L = \Delta/S_n$ be as in Section 3.1. Using Theorem 8, we will construct a multiplicity free covering \mathcal{P} of type Δ for any graded manifold \mathcal{N} of type L . Let us choose an atlas $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ of \mathcal{N} and denote by $\psi_{ij} : \mathcal{U}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_i$ the transition functions between graded domains. By definition these transition functions satisfy the following cocycle condition

$$\psi_{ij} \circ \psi_{jk} \circ \psi_{ki} = \text{id} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j \cap \mathcal{U}_k.$$

Denote by \mathcal{V}_i the multiplicity free covering of type Δ of \mathcal{U}_i constructed above and by Ψ_{ij} the multiplicity free lift of ψ_{ij} . By Proposition 11, $\{\Psi_{ij}\}$ also satisfy the cocycle condition

$$\Psi_{ij} \circ \Psi_{jk} \circ \Psi_{ki} = \text{id}.$$

Therefore the data $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}$ and $\{\Psi_{ij}\}$ defines a multiplicity free manifold, which we denote by \mathcal{P} .

Remark 13. Note that $\mathcal{P} = \widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ for some graded manifold \mathcal{D} of type Δ . Clearly, \mathcal{V}_i corresponds to graded domains of type Δ . Further, the multiplicity free morphism Ψ_{ji} corresponds to a graded morphism of type Δ , which is given in local coordinates by the same formulas as Ψ_{ji} .

Denote by $\mathfrak{p}_i : \mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_i$ the covering map defined for any i . By construction of the morphisms ψ_{ij} and Ψ_{ij} the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}_j & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{ij}} & \mathcal{V}_i \\ \mathfrak{p}_j \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{p}_i \\ \mathcal{U}_j & \xrightarrow{\psi_{ij}} & \mathcal{U}_i \end{array}.$$

This means that we can define a map $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that in any chart we have $\mathfrak{p}|_{\mathcal{U}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i$. Since the diagram above is commutative, the morphisms \mathfrak{p}_i are compatible, hence the global morphism \mathfrak{p} is well-defined.

Definition 14. The multiplicity free manifold \mathcal{P} of type Δ constructed above for a fixed graded manifold \mathcal{N} of type L together with the morphism $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is called a multiplicity free covering of type Δ of \mathcal{N} .

Now we show that $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ satisfies the universal property.

Theorem 15 (Universal property for a multiplicity free covering of a graded manifold). *The multiplicity free covering $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of type Δ of a graded manifold \mathcal{N} of type L together with the morphism \mathfrak{p} satisfies the following universal property. For any multiplicity free manifold \mathcal{M} of type Δ and any morphism $\phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ there exists unique morphism $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ such that the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{P} & \\ \exists! \Phi \nearrow & & \searrow \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{N} \end{array}.$$

Proof. Let us take an atlas $\{\mathcal{W}_j\}$ of \mathcal{M} and the atlases $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ and $\{\mathcal{V}_i\}$ of \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{P} , respectively, as above. Let us show that for any j there exists unique morphism $\Phi_j : \mathcal{W}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ of multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ such that $\phi|_{\mathcal{W}_j} = \mathfrak{p} \circ \Phi_j$. By Theorem 7 for any i there exists unique morphism $\Phi_{ji} : \mathcal{W}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_i$ of multiplicity free manifolds such that $\phi|_{\mathcal{W}_j} = \mathfrak{p} \circ \Phi_{ji}$. (This composition is defined on an open subset of $(\mathcal{W}_j)_0$.) In other words the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{V}_i & \\ \exists! \Phi_{ji} \nearrow & & \searrow \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathcal{W}_j & \xrightarrow{\phi|_{\mathcal{W}_j}} & \mathcal{U}_i \end{array} .$$

This means that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{V}_i \cap \mathcal{V}_{i'} & \\ \Phi_{ji} = \Phi_{ji'} \nearrow & & \searrow \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathcal{W}_j & \xrightarrow{\phi|_{\mathcal{W}_j}} & \mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_{i'} \end{array} .$$

is commutative for Φ_{ji} and for $\Phi_{ji'}$. Since the multiplicity free lift is unique, we have $\Phi_{ji} = \Phi_{ji'}$ on an open set, where they both are defined. Hence the required multiplicity free morphism $\Phi_j : \mathcal{W}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$, given by the data Φ_{ji} , is well-defined and it is unique.

Further, the morphisms $\Phi_j : \mathcal{W}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and $\Phi_{j'} : \mathcal{W}_{j'} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ both make the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{P} & \\ \Phi_j = \Phi_{j'} \nearrow & & \searrow \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathcal{W}_j \cap \mathcal{W}_{j'} & \xrightarrow{\phi|_{\mathcal{W}_j \cap \mathcal{W}_{j'}}} & \mathcal{N} \end{array} .$$

Since the multiplicity free lift for a domain is unique, we have $\Phi_j|_{\mathcal{W}_j \cap \mathcal{W}_{j'}} = \Phi_{j'}|_{\mathcal{W}_j \cap \mathcal{W}_{j'}}$. We put $\Phi|_{\mathcal{W}_j} = \Phi_j$. Clearly, Φ is the required morphism. The proof is complete. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 15, see also Proposition 11, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 16. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$ be a morphism of graded manifolds of type L and let $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathfrak{p}' : \mathcal{P}' \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$ be their multiplicity free coverings of type Δ constructed above, respectively. Then there exists unique morphism of multiplicity free manifolds $\Phi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ of type Δ such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P} & \xrightarrow{\exists! \Phi} & \mathcal{P}' \\ \mathfrak{p} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{p}' \\ \mathcal{N} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathcal{N}' \end{array}$$

Further, a multiplicity free covering \mathcal{P} of type Δ of a graded manifold \mathcal{N} of type L is unique up to isomorphism. The correspondents $\phi \mapsto \Phi$ is a functor from the category of graded domains of type L to the category of multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ .

Proof. Use the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 8, see also Proposition 11. \square

Definition 17. *We call the morphism Φ the multiplicity free lift of ϕ of type Δ , or just a lift of ϕ if the type is clear from the context.*

Note that there exists different Δ for the same L , see Example 5.

Remark 18. *In fact we showed, that any object satisfying the universal property of Theorem 15, is unique up to isomorphism.*

4. INVARIANT MULTIPLICITY FREE POLYNOMIALS

Let $\Delta \subset \Delta_n$ be S_n -invariant, $L = \Delta/S_n$ and \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{U} together with $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be as in Remark 12. Hence $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is a multiplicity free covering of type Δ . Let $(x_i, \xi_{i_k}^k)$, where $k\beta \in L \setminus \{0\}$, $i = 1, \dots, n_0$ and $i_k = 1, \dots, n_k$, be local coordinates of \mathcal{U} , and $(x_i, t_{i_\delta}^\delta)$, where $\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ and $i_\delta = 1, \dots, n_{\# \delta}$, be local coordinates of \mathcal{V} with parities defined as in Remark 12. Let us study the structure of S_n -invariant multiplicity free polynomials in variables $(t_{i_\delta}^\delta)$. We put

$$\delta_0^k := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \in \Delta, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q)$ be a decomposition of the weight δ_0^k such that $\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_q = \delta_0^k$, where $\gamma_i \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$ are of the following form

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{s_1}; \\ \gamma_2 &= \alpha_{s_1+1} + \dots + \alpha_{s_2}; \\ &\dots \\ \gamma_q &= \alpha_{s_{q-1}+1} + \dots + \alpha_k, \quad \# \gamma_1 \leq \dots \leq \# \gamma_q. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by Λ the set of all possible such decompositions of δ_0^k for any k .

Example 19. *Consider $\delta_0^3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$. The decomposition $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)$ of δ_0^3 is an element of Λ , but $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \notin \Lambda$ since $\# \gamma_1 > \# \gamma_2$. Clearly, $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2) \notin \Lambda$.*

If $\delta = \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_q \in \Delta$ is a decomposition of a multiplicity free weight $\delta \in \Delta$ into a sum of non-zero weights $\delta_i \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$, then for any $s \in S_n$ we put

$$s \cdot (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_q) = (s \cdot \delta_1, \dots, s \cdot \delta_q).$$

We call two such decompositions $\delta = \sum \delta_i$ and $\delta' = \sum \delta'_i$ equal if the sets of weights $\{\delta_i\}$ and $\{\delta'_i\}$ are equal.

Lemma 20. (1) *Let $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_q)$ be a decomposition of a multiplicity free weight*

$$\delta = \alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_k} \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$$

into a sum of non-trivial weights $\delta_i \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$. Then there exists an element $s \in S_n$ and $\gamma \in \Lambda$ such that $s \cdot \mu = \gamma$.

(2) *If $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Lambda$, $\gamma \neq \gamma'$, then $\exists s \in S_n$ such that $s \cdot \gamma = \gamma'$.*

Proof. Let us prove (1). We always can assume that $\# \delta_1 \leq \dots \leq \# \delta_q$. Secondly, δ is multiplicity free, hence we can find $s \in S_n$ such that $(s \cdot \delta_1, \dots, s \cdot \delta_q)$ is of the form (8). Let us prove (2). Let $s \cdot (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q) = (\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_q)$, where γ and γ' have the form (8). Note that the decomposition (8) is completely determined by the numbers s_i . Hence, we must have the equality $\gamma = \gamma'$. \square

Definition 21. *We call a monomial $t_{i_1}^{\gamma_1} \dots t_{i_q}^{\gamma_q}$ primitive, if $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q) \in \Lambda$ and the equality $\# \gamma_{j_1} = \# \gamma_{j_2}$, $j_1 < j_2$, implies $i_{j_1} \leq i_{j_2}$.*

Consider some examples.

Example 22. *The monomials $t_i^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3} \cdot t_j^{\alpha_2}$, $t_i^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \cdot t_j^{\alpha_2}$ and $t_2^{\alpha_1} \cdot t_1^{\alpha_2}$ are not primitive.*

Example 23. *Let $n = 2$. The monomial $t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot t_1^{\alpha_2}$ is primitive. If $t_1^{\alpha_1}$ is even (hence $t_1^{\alpha_2}$ is also even), we have*

$$\sum_{s \in S_2} s \cdot (t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot t_1^{\alpha_2}) = t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot t_1^{\alpha_2} + t_1^{\alpha_2} \cdot t_1^{\alpha_1} = 2t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot t_1^{\alpha_2}.$$

If $t_1^{\alpha_1}$ is odd (hence $t_1^{\alpha_2}$ is also odd), we have

$$\sum_{s \in S_2} s \cdot (t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot t_1^{\alpha_2}) = t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot t_1^{\alpha_2} + t_1^{\alpha_2} \cdot t_1^{\alpha_1} = 0.$$

We generalize the observation of Example 23 in the following lemma.

Lemma 24 (S_n -orbits of primitive monomials). *Let $T = t_{i_1}^{\gamma_1} \cdots t_{i_q}^{\gamma_q}$ be a primitive monomial. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The S_n -orbit of T is 0.*
- (2) *For some $j_1, j_2 \in \{i_1, \dots, i_q\}$ we have $\sharp\gamma_{j_1} = \sharp\gamma_{j_2}$, $i_{j_1} = i_{j_2}$ and $t_{i_{j_1}}^{\gamma_{j_1}}$ is odd. (Note that $t_{i_{j_2}}^{\gamma_{j_2}}$ is also odd in this case.)*

Proof. Let (2) holds. Denote $p := \sharp\gamma_{j_1} - 1$. Then $\gamma_{j_1} = \alpha_{a_1} + \alpha_{a_1+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{a_1+p}$ and $\gamma_{j_2} = \alpha_{b_1} + \alpha_{b_1+1} + \cdots + \alpha_{b_1+p}$. Since T is multiplicity free, we can find $s' \in S_n$ such that $s'(\alpha_{a_1+i}) = \alpha_{b_1+i}$, $s'(\alpha_{b_1+i}) = \alpha_{a_1+i}$ for any $i = 0, \dots, p$ and $s'(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j$, for other j . We have $(s')^2 = \text{id}$. Hence $S' := \{\text{id}, s'\} \subset S_n$ is a subgroup. Therefore, S_n is divided into S' -orbits with respect to the right action S' on S_n , which do not intersect. Hence the S_n -orbit of T can be written as a sum of $s \cdot T + s \cdot (s' \cdot T)$ for some $s \in S_n$. Further, for any $s \in S_n$ we have

$$s \cdot T + s \cdot (s' \cdot T) = s \cdot (T + s' \cdot T) = s \cdot (T - T) = 0.$$

Hence the S_n -orbit of T is equal to 0.

Now assume that S_n -orbit of T is 0, but (2) does not hold. The S_n -orbit of T is a sum of monomials of the form $s \cdot T$. Hence the monomial T has to cancel with a monomial $s \cdot T$ for some $s \in S_n$. This implies that there are at least two weights γ_i, γ_j with $\sharp\gamma_i = \sharp\gamma_j$. Indeed, if $\sharp\gamma_i \neq \sharp\gamma_j$ for any $i \neq j$, then

$$s \cdot t_{i_1}^{\gamma_1} \cdots t_{i_q}^{\gamma_q} = t_{i_1}^{s \cdot \gamma_1} \cdots t_{i_q}^{s \cdot \gamma_q} = -T$$

is possible only if $s \cdot \gamma_i = \gamma_i$ for any i . But in this case $s \cdot T = +T$.

Now assume that all γ_j have the same length. Note that any $s \in S_n$ permutes the weights with the same length. Since γ_j have all the same length, all $t_{i_j}^{\gamma_j}$ are even or they all are odd. If they all are even we may only have

$$t_{i_1}^{s \cdot \gamma_1} \cdots t_{i_q}^{s \cdot \gamma_q} = +T,$$

hence T and $s \cdot T$ cannot cancel. If they all are odd, and $i_1 < \cdots < i_q$ (they are pairwise different), then $t_{i_1}^{\gamma_1} \cdots t_{i_q}^{\gamma_q}$ and $t_{i_1}^{s \cdot \gamma_1} \cdots t_{i_q}^{s \cdot \gamma_q}$ cannot cancel since these monomials contain different variables or in the case $s \cdot \gamma_i = \gamma_i$ for any i , since $t_{i_1}^{s \cdot \gamma_1} \cdots t_{i_q}^{s \cdot \gamma_q} = +T$. In this case the proof is complete.

Let $\sharp\gamma_{j_1} = \cdots = \sharp\gamma_{j_p}$ and other γ_j have different length with γ_{j_1} . We can write $T = T_1 \cdot T_2$, where $T_1 = t_{i_{j_1}}^{\gamma_{j_1}} \cdots t_{i_{j_p}}^{\gamma_{j_p}}$. Assuming

$$s \cdot T = s \cdot T_1 \cdot s \cdot T_2 = -T_1 \cdot T_2,$$

this implies that $s \cdot T_1 = \pm T_1$ and $s \cdot T_2 = \pm T_2$, without loss of generality we may assume that $s \cdot T_1 = -T_1$ and $s \cdot T_2 = +T_2$. By above this implies that (2) holds. \square

Let $F \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}$ be a S_n -invariant \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous function. Since \mathcal{V} is a multiplicity free domain, F is a polynomial in variables $(t_{i_\delta}^\delta)$ with functional coefficients in (x_i) . As any polynomial, F is a sum of (different) monomials of multiplicity free weight.

Lemma 25. *Let $T' = t_{i_1}^{\delta_1} \cdots t_{i_q}^{\delta_q}$, where $\delta_j \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$, be a monomial of a multiplicity free weight. That is $\delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_q$ is multiplicity free. Then the S_n -orbit of T' contains unique primitive monomial T with an integer coefficient.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\sharp\delta_1 \leq \dots \leq \sharp\delta_q$ and that if $\sharp\delta_j = \sharp\delta_{j+1}$, then $i_j \leq i_{j+1}$. Then since T' is multiplicity free, we can find an $s \in S_n$, which sends δ_i to γ_i , where $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_q$ are of the form (8). Clearly $s \cdot T'$ is primitive. Since there is unique primitive monomial with the same set of weights and indexes, such primitive monomial $s \cdot T'$ is unique up to an integer coefficient. \square

Remark 26. *The integer coefficient from Lemma 25 may be 0, see also Lemma 24. In this case the S_n -orbit of T' is equal to 0.*

Definition 27. *A polynomial F in variables $(t_{i_s}^\delta)$ with functional coefficients in (x_i) is called multiplicity free, if it is a sum of multiplicity free monomials.*

Multiplicity free monomials will be also called multiplicity free functions.

Example 28. *The following polynomials are multiplicity free*

$$F_1 = t_1^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + t_1^{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3}; \quad F_2 = t_1^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} t_1^{\alpha_3} + t_1^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3}.$$

Lemma 29. *Any S_n -invariant multiplicity free polynomial can be written in a unique way as a sum of S_n -orbits of primitive monomials.*

Proof. Any multiplicity free polynomial is a sum of different multiplicity free monomials. (We assume that none of these monomials can be added to the other.) Clearly any S_n -invariant polynomial is a sum of orbits of monomials, which do not intersect. According to Lemma 25, the non-zero S_n -orbit of a multiplicity free monomial contain unique primitive monomial. The result follows. \square

Let $(\xi_{jk}^k)_{k \in L \setminus \{0\}}$ be as above, see also Remark 12.

Lemma 30. *Let $T = t_{i_1}^{\gamma_1} \dots t_{i_p}^{\gamma_p}$ be a primitive monomial with $\sharp\gamma_i = k_i > 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{s \in S_n} s \cdot T = M \mathbf{p}^*(\xi_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_p}^{k_p}), \quad M \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}.$$

If the sum of the left hand side is not zero, then such M is unique.

Proof. If the S_n -orbit of T is equal to 0, then by Lemma 24 we have $\mathbf{p}^*(\xi_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_p}^{k_p}) = 0$, since $\xi_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_p}^{k_p} = 0$ because the square of an odd element is 0. Then M is any non-zero number.

Assume now that the S_n -orbit of T is not equal to 0. Then $\xi_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_p}^{k_p} \neq 0$ since it is a product of pairwise different odd variables or of some even variables. We have

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{p}^*(\xi_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_p}^{k_p}) = \mathbf{p}^*(\xi_{i_1}^{k_1}) \dots \mathbf{p}^*(\xi_{i_p}^{k_p}) = \left(\sum_{\sharp\delta_1=k_1} t_{i_1}^{\delta_1} \right) \dots \left(\sum_{\sharp\delta_p=k_p} t_{i_p}^{\delta_p} \right) \pmod{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}}}.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the ideal generated by all non-multiplicity free monomials. The j -sum is taken over all $\delta_j \in \Delta$ with $\delta_j = k_j$.

Note that $k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_p$ and if $k_j = k_{j+1}$, we have $i_j \leq i_{j+1}$. Clearly, $\mathbf{p}^*(\xi_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_p}^{k_p})$ is S_n -invariant and multiplicity free by construction. By Lemma 29, $\mathbf{p}^*(\xi_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_p}^{k_p})$ is a sum of S_n -orbits of primitive monomials. But the sum on the right hand side of (9) contains only one primitive monomial probably with a coefficient. And the primitive element T is present in this sum. Therefore, $\mathbf{p}^*(\xi_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_p}^{k_p})$ and S_n -orbit of T are both S_n -orbits of the same up to non-trivial constant primitive monomial. The result follows. \square

Lemma 31. *The map $\mathbf{p}^* : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is injective.*

Proof. First of all, \mathfrak{p} is identical on functions of weight 0. Secondly, we note that it is sufficient to prove the statement for homogeneous function in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$ of a fixed weight k . Any graded function in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$ of weight k has the following form

$$f = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_s = k} f_{k_1, \dots, k_s}^{i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_s}}(x_i) \xi_{i_{k_1}}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_{k_s}}^{k_s}, \quad 0 < k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_s, \quad k_j \in L \setminus \{0\}.$$

The sum is taken over all possible decompositions of k with required properties and over indexes i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_s} . In this sum we also assume that if $k_a = k_{a+1}$, then $i_{k_a} \leq i_{k_{a+1}}$.

Further, we have

$$(10) \quad \mathfrak{p}^*(f) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_s = k} f_{k_1, \dots, k_s}^{i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_s}}(x_i) \mathfrak{p}^*(\xi_{i_{k_1}}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_{k_s}}^{k_s}).$$

The S_n -invariant multiplicity free sum $\mathfrak{p}^*(f_{k_1, \dots, k_s}^{i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_s}}(x_i) \xi_{i_{k_1}}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_{k_s}}^{k_s})$ contains unique primitive monomial $T_{k_1, \dots, k_s}^{i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_s}}$ probably with a coefficient. It holds the equality of Lemma 30. If the decompositions k_1, \dots, k_s and k'_1, \dots, k'_s of k are different, or sets of indices i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_s} and $i'_{k_1}, \dots, i'_{k_s}$ are different, then the corresponding primitive monomials $T_{k_1, \dots, k_s}^{i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_s}} \neq T_{k'_1, \dots, k'_s}^{i'_{k_1}, \dots, i'_{k_s}}$ are also different. Further, the right hand side of (10) is a sum of orbits, which has the form $\mathfrak{p}^*(f_{k_1, \dots, k_s}^{i_{k_1}, \dots, i_{k_s}}(x_i) \xi_{i_{k_1}}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_{k_s}}^{k_s})$, of primitive monomials, see Lemma 29, and such orbits do not intersect. Hence $\mathfrak{p}^*(f) = 0$, implies that any such orbit is 0. But this is possible only if $\xi_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots \xi_{i_p}^{k_p} = 0$, see proof of Lemma 30. In other words, $\mathfrak{p}(f) = 0$ implies that $f = 0$. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 32. *For any S_n -invariant multiplicity free function F there exists a unique graded polynomial f such that $\mathfrak{p}^*(f) = F$.*

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 29, Lemma 30 and from the fact that \mathfrak{p}^* is injective, see Lemma 31. \square

Let us reformulate the result of Lemma 32 using the language of algebras and generators.

Theorem 33. *The algebra of S_n -invariant polynomials modulo $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is generated by linear homogeneous S_n -invariant multiplicity free polynomials. Any linear homogeneous S_n -invariant multiplicity free polynomial is a sum of S_n -invariant polynomials of the form $\mathfrak{p}^*(\xi_i^k)$.*

In this way Theorem 33 is related to the classical Chevalley–Shephard–Todd Theorem. Indeed, if for example $\Delta = \{0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ with $|\alpha_i| = \bar{0}$, $\dim V_{\alpha_i} = 1$ for any $i > 0$ and $\dim V_0 = 0$, then Theorem 33 is a consequence of Chevalley–Shephard–Todd Theorem for the group S_n . In this case graded functions are generated by even variables t^{α_i} . And it is known (a particular case of Chevalley–Shephard–Todd Theorem) that the algebra of symmetric polynomials with rational coefficients equals the rational polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[p_1, \dots, p_n]$, where

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= t^{\alpha_1} + \dots + t^{\alpha_n}; \\ p_2 &= (t^{\alpha_1})^2 + \dots + (t^{\alpha_n})^2; \\ &\dots \\ p_k &= (t^{\alpha_1})^k + \dots + (t^{\alpha_n})^k. \end{aligned}$$

are the power sum symmetric polynomials. Hence the algebra of symmetric polynomials modulo multiplicity is generated by p_1 .

5. SYMMETRIC MULTIPLICITY FREE MANIFOLDS

First of all let us define a symmetric multiplicity free domain. Let $\Delta \subset \Delta_n$ be S_n -invariant and \mathcal{V} be a multiplicity free domain of type Δ as in Remark 12. Recall that \mathcal{V} has local coordinates $(x_i, t_{j\delta}^\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}}$ and the following action is defined $s \cdot t_j^\delta = t_j^{s \cdot \delta}$, where $s \in S_n$. This implies that we have an action in the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}$. (Note that functions of weight 0 are stable under our action.) An element $F \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is called S_n -invariant, if it is S_n -invariant in the usual sense, that is $s \cdot F = F$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}^{S_n}$ the subsheaf of S_n -invariant functions. More precisely, this subsheaf is defined by

$$V \mapsto [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}(V)]^{S_n},$$

where V is an open subset in \mathcal{V}_0 . A domain \mathcal{V} with a S_n -action is called symmetric.

Let $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$ be two symmetric domains and $\Phi : \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2$ be a multiplicity free morphism. The morphism Φ is called S_n -invariant if

$$s \circ \Phi^* = \Phi^* \circ s$$

for any $s \in S_n$. A multiplicity free manifold \mathcal{M} is called symmetric if we can cover \mathcal{M} with multiplicity free domains \mathcal{V}'_λ such that there exist isomorphisms $\phi_\lambda : \mathcal{V}'_\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\lambda$, where any \mathcal{V}_λ is symmetric, such that the composition $\phi_\mu \circ \phi_\lambda^{-1}$ is S_n -invariant. In this case we can define the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}^{S_n} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ of S_n -invariant elements. Such atlas $\{\mathcal{V}'\}$ we call symmetric. Two symmetric atlases are called equivalent if the transition function between local charts are S_n -invariant. The union of all charts from equivalent atlases we call a symmetric structure on \mathcal{M} .

A morphism $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ is called symmetric if it is S_n -invariant in S_n -invariant local charts. This definition is independent on a choice between equivalent atlases.

6. EQUIVALENCE OF CATEGORIES OF SYMMETRIC MULTIPLICITY FREE MANIFOLDS AND GRADED MANIFOLDS

6.1. Symmetric multiplicity free domains and multiplicity free coverings.

We start with some properties of lifts of graded functions. Let $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be a multiplicity free covering of type Δ of a graded domain \mathcal{U} of type $L = \Delta/S_n$ with local coordinates as in Section 4.

Remark 34. In $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{V}}$ there is a natural action of the group S_n , see Section 5. Therefore any multiplicity free covering \mathcal{V} of a graded domain is a symmetric multiplicity free domain.

Lemma 35. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$. Then $s \cdot \mathfrak{p}^*(f) = \mathfrak{p}^*(f)$.

Proof. This is a consequence of (6). \square

Lemma 36. Let $\mathfrak{p}_i : \mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_i$, where $i = 1, 2$, be multiplicity free coverings of type Δ and $\phi : \mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2$ be a morphism of graded domains of type L . Let $\Phi : \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2$ be a lift of ϕ . Then for any $s \in S_n$ we have

$$s \circ \Phi \circ s^{-1} = \Phi.$$

Proof. Let $s \in S_n$. Then $s \circ \Phi \circ s^{-1}$ is also a lift of ϕ . Indeed, the morphism Φ is a unique morphism such that we have $\Phi^* \circ \mathfrak{p}_2^* = \mathfrak{p}_1^* \circ \phi^*$. Further, we have for any $s \in S_n$ using Lemma 35

$$s \circ \Phi^* \circ \mathfrak{p}_2^* = s \circ \mathfrak{p}_1^* \circ \phi^*; \quad s \circ \Phi^* \circ s^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{p}_2^* = \mathfrak{p}_1^* \circ \phi^*.$$

Hence, $s \circ \Phi^* \circ s^{-1}$ is also a lift of ϕ . Since the lift is unique, we get $s \circ \Phi \circ s^{-1} = \Phi$, or in other words, any lift of a graded morphism is symmetric. \square

Let us prove the following statement.

Theorem 37. *Let \mathcal{V}_i be two multiplicity free domains of type Δ as in Remark 12, where Δ is S_n -invariant, and let \mathcal{U}_i be two graded domain constructed for \mathcal{V}_i as in Remark 12. Consider an S_n -invariant morphism $\Phi : \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2$. Then there exists a unique morphism of graded domains $\phi : \mathcal{U}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2$ such that its multiplicity free lift is Φ .*

Proof. Any morphism of multiplicity free domains is determined by images of local coordinates. Let $(x_i, t_{i\delta}^\delta)$ and $(y_j, q_{j\delta}^\delta)$, where $\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{0\}$, be local graded coordinates on \mathcal{V}_1 and on \mathcal{V}_2 , respectively. Here both x_i, y_j have weight 0. We have for any $k\beta \in L \setminus \{0\}$

$$(11) \quad \Phi^* \left(\sum_{|\delta|=k} q_{j\delta}^\delta \right) = \sum_{|\delta|=k} \Phi^* (q_{j\delta}^\delta).$$

Let $(y_j, \eta_{j_k}^k)$, where $k\beta \in L \setminus \{0\}$, be graded coordinates on \mathcal{U}_2 as in Remark 12. The polynomial $\sum_{|\delta|=k} q_{j\delta}^\delta = \mathfrak{p}^*(\eta_{j_k}^k)$ is S_n -invariant. Since Φ is S_n -invariant, the polynomial on the right hand side of (11) is also S_n -invariant and multiplicity free. By Lemma 32 there exist unique graded homogeneous polynomial $f_{\eta_{j_k}^k}$ of weight $k\beta \in L$ such that

$$\mathfrak{p}^*(f_{\eta_{j_k}^k}) = \sum_{|\delta|=k} \Phi^*(q_{j\delta}^\delta).$$

We put $\phi^*(\eta_{j_k}^k) = f_{\eta_{j_k}^k}$ and $\phi^*(y_j) = \Phi^*(y_j)$. By construction we have $\mathfrak{p}^* \circ \phi^*(\eta_{j_k}^k) = \Phi^* \circ \mathfrak{p}^*(\eta_{j_k}^k)$ and $\mathfrak{p}^* \circ \phi^*(y_j) = \Phi^* \circ \mathfrak{p}^*(y_j)$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}^* \circ \phi^* = \Phi^* \circ \mathfrak{p}^*$. \square

6.2. Symmetric multiplicity free manifolds and multiplicity free coverings. We start this section with the following theorem.

Theorem 38. *Let \mathcal{N} be a graded manifold of type $L = \Delta/S_n$ and $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be its multiplicity free covering of type Δ . Then \mathcal{P} is a symmetric multiplicity free manifold.*

Further let $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathfrak{p}' : \mathcal{P}' \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$ be multiplicity free coverings of type Δ of graded manifolds \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' of type L , respectively. Let $\phi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$ be a morphism of graded manifolds. By Theorem 16 there exists unique multiplicity free lift $\Phi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ of type Δ . Then the morphism Φ is S_n -invariant.

Proof. The multiplicity free covering \mathcal{P} of type Δ was constructed in Section 3.2. By definition \mathcal{P} can be covered by symmetric domains, see Remark 34. Further the transition functions between these symmetric domains are S_n -invariant, see Lemma 35. This implies the first statement. Secondly, the morphism Φ is S_n -invariant, since it is locally S_n -invariant, see Lemma 35. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 39. *Let we have a symmetric multiplicity free manifold \mathcal{M} . Then \mathcal{M} can be regarded as a covering of a certain graded manifold \mathcal{N} .*

Proof. To see this let us cover \mathcal{M} with symmetric charts \mathcal{V}_i as in Section 5. As we saw in Remark 12, any \mathcal{V}_i is a multiplicity free covering of a graded domain \mathcal{U}_i . Further if $\Psi_{ji} : \mathcal{V}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_j$ are transition functions, which are S_n -invariant, then by Theorem 37, there exist unique morphisms $\psi_{ji} : \mathcal{U}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_j$ such that $\psi_{ji} \circ \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}_j \circ \Psi_{ji}$.

Denote $\mathcal{V}_{ijk} := \mathcal{V}_i \cap \mathcal{V}_j \cap \mathcal{V}_k$. Then \mathcal{V}_{ijk} is a multiplicity free covering of $\mathcal{U}_{ijk} := \mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j \cap \mathcal{U}_k$ of type Δ for the covering map $\mathfrak{p}_i : \mathcal{V}_{ijk} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{ijk}$. Now consider the composition

$$\Psi_{ij} \circ \Psi_{jk} \circ \Psi_{ki} = \text{id}.$$

It is a S_n -invariant automorphism of \mathcal{V}_{ijk} . Hence by Theorem 37 there exists unique graded automorphism ψ_{ijk} of \mathcal{U}_{ijk} commuting with \mathfrak{p}_i . Consider the following

commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathcal{V}_i & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{ki}} & \mathcal{V}_k & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{jk}} & \mathcal{V}_j & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{ij}} & \mathcal{V}_i \\
 \mathfrak{p}_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{p}_k & & \downarrow \mathfrak{p}_j & & \downarrow \mathfrak{p}_i \\
 \mathcal{U}_i & \xrightarrow{\psi_{ki}} & \mathcal{U}_k & \xrightarrow{\psi_{jk}} & \mathcal{U}_j & \xrightarrow{\psi_{ij}} & \mathcal{U}_i
 \end{array}$$

From one side $\psi_{ijk} = \text{id}$. From other side it is equal to $\psi_{ij} \circ \psi_{jk} \circ \psi_{ki}$. Since such a automorphism is unique, we get $\psi_{ij} \circ \psi_{jk} \circ \psi_{ki} = \text{id}$. In other words the data $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$ and $\{\psi_{ij}\}$ defines a graded manifold \mathcal{N} . The covering map $\mathfrak{p}|_{\mathcal{U}_i} = \mathfrak{p}_i$ is also well-defined, since it commutes with the transition functions. \square

Let $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathfrak{p}' : \mathcal{P}' \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$ be multiplicity free coverings of type Δ of graded manifold \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N}' of type L , respectively.

Theorem 40. *Let $\phi_i : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$, $i = 1, 2$, be morphisms of graded manifolds with the same lift Φ . Then $\phi_1 = \phi_2$. Further, if $\Psi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$ is a symmetric morphism of multiplicity free manifolds then there exists a morphism $\psi : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}'$ of graded manifolds such that the lift of ψ is Ψ .*

Proof. Let us prove the first statement. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{N}' = \mathcal{U}'$ are graded domains, and $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{V}'$ are multiplicity free domains. By Theorem 37, we have $\phi_1 = \phi_2$. Further, again by Theorem 37, the morphism ψ exists locally and in any chart it is unique. Hence ψ is globally defined. \square

6.3. Equivalence of the category of symmetric multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ and graded manifolds of type $L = \Delta/S_n$. Let us remind a definition of equivalence of categories.

Definition 41. *Two categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' are called equivalent if there is a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ such that:*

- F is full and faithful, this is $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_1, c_2)$ is in bijection with $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'}(Fc_1, Fc_2)$.
- F is essentially surjective, this is for any $a \in \mathcal{C}'$ there exists $b \in \mathcal{C}$ such that a is isomorphic to $F(b)$.

Above we saw that the correspondence: graded manifold \mathcal{N} to its multiplicity free covering \mathcal{P} of type Δ , see Section 3.2, and a graded morphism ϕ to its multiplicity free lift Φ of type Δ , see Theorem 16, is a functor from the category of graded manifolds of type $L = \Delta/S_n$ to the category of symmetric multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ . We denote this functor by Cov .

Theorem 42. *The functor Cov is an equivalence of the category of graded manifolds of type $L = \Delta/S_n$ and the category of symmetric multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ .*

Proof. By Theorem 38 the functor Cov is a functor from the category of graded manifolds of type $L = \Delta/S_n$ to the category of symmetric multiplicity free manifolds of type Δ . From Theorem 39 it follows that Cov is essentially surjective. The functor Cov is full and faithful by Theorem 40. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ber] *F. A. Berezin.* Introduction to algebra and analysis with anticommuting variables. V. P. Palamodov, ed., Moscow State University Press, Moscow, 1983. Expanded transl. into English: Introduction to superanalysis. A. A. Kirillov, ed., D. Reidel, Dordrecht, 1987.

- [BGR] *Bruce A., Grabowski J. and Rotkiewicz M.* Polarisation of graded bundles. SIGMA 12 (2016), 106, 30 pages.
- [CM] *del Carpio-Marek. F.* Geometric structure on degree 2 manifolds. PhD-thesis, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 2015.
- [DW1] *R. Donagi, E. Witten* Supermoduli Space Is Not Projected. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 90 (2015) 19-72.
- [DW2] *R. Donagi, E. Witten* Super Atiyah classes and obstructions to splitting of supermoduli space. Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly Volume 9 (2013) Number 4, Pages: 739 – 788.
- [GR] *Grabowski, J., Rotkiewicz, M.* Higher vector bundles and multi-graded symplectic manifolds, J. Geom. Phys., Volume 59, Issue 9, 2009, Pages 1285-1305.
- [F] *M. Fairon.* Introduction to graded geometry. European Journal of Mathematics, Volume 3, pages 208–222, (2017).
- [HJ] *M. Heuer, M.J. Lean.* A geometrisation of N -manifolds. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées 184(9), 2024.
- [J] *Shuhan Jiang.* Monoidally graded manifolds, Journal of Geometry and Physics, Volume 183, January 2023.
- [JL] *M. Jotz Lean.* The geometrization of N -manifolds of degree 2. Journal of Geometry and Physics, 133, 113 – 140, 2018.
- [JKPS] *B. Jubin, A. Kotov, N. Poncin, V. Salnikov,* DIFFERENTIAL GRADED LIE GROUPS AND THEIR DIFFERENTIAL GRADED LIE ALGEBRAS, Volume 27, pages 497–523, (2022).
- [KS] *A. Kotov, V. Salnikov.* THE CATEGORY OF Z -GRADED MANIFOLDS: WHATHAPPENS IF YOU DO NOT STAY POSITIVE. 2021. hal-03445075.
- [L] *Leites D.A.* Introduction to the theory of supermanifolds. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 1980, Volume 35, Issue 1(211), 3-57.
- [R] *Roytenberg, D.* On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids. Contemp. Math., Vol. 315, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2002).
- [Vi1] *E. Vishnyakova.* Graded manifolds of type Δ and n -fold vector bundles, Letters in Mathematical Physics 109 (2), 2019, 243-293.
- [Vi2] *E. Vishnyakova.* Graded covering of a supermanifold, arXiv:2212.09558.
- [Vo1] *Voronov, Th.Th.* Q -Manifolds and Mackenzie Theory. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 2012, Volume 315, Issue 2, pp 279-310.
- [Vys] *J. Vysoky.* Global theory of graded manifolds, Reviews in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 34, No. 10, 2250035 (2022).