

Ultra-high-energy event KM3-230213A constraints on Lorentz Invariance Violation in neutrino sector

Petr Satunin¹

¹*Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences,
60th October Anniversary Prospect 7a, Moscow 117312, Russia*

We discuss the constraints on superluminal neutrino Lorentz Invariance Violation (LIV) parameters from the observation of the ultra-high-energy event KM3-230213A by KM3NeT collaboration in cases of linear $n = 1$ and quadratic $n = 2$ LIV scenarios. Assuming extragalactic origin of the event, we obtain the constraints on LIV mass scale $\Lambda_{n=1} = 5.4 \times 10^{30}$ GeV and $\Lambda_{n=2} = 3.5 \times 10^{19}$ GeV from the absence of neutrino splitting.

a. Introduction Recently KM3NeT collaboration reported [1] the event called KM3-230213A that has been identified with astrophysical neutrino of energy 220_{-100}^{+570} PeV. This energy is almost two orders of magnitude larger than the previous most energetic neutrino event detected at IceCube. The origin of the neutrino event KM3-230213A is an intriguing question: galactic [2], extragalactic [3] and cosmogenic [4] possible origins are discussed. Such ultra high energy of the event allows us to test some fundamental principles such as Lorentz Invariance at an unprecedented level. Small departures of LI appear in some models of quantum gravity, see [5] for a review.

The most sensitive to high energies models of Lorentz Invariance Violation (LIV) are characterized by the class of dispersion relations

$$E^2 = m^2 + k^2 \left(1 + s_n \left(\frac{k}{\Lambda} \right)^n \right), \quad (1)$$

where $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ respond to linear and quadratic LIV correspondingly; Λ is the energy scale at which LI is broken. s_n is the sign which strongly influences the LIV phenomenology: $s_n = +1$ (-1) called superluminal (subluminal) LIV which lead to superluminal (subluminal) velocity of a given particle. Besides, LIV leads to the modification of thresholds and cross-sections of particle processes; superluminal LIV in general leads to several decay channels of a given particle absent in LI case; subluminal LIV, in contrast, suppresses some interactions present in LI.

In this letter we show that the detection of the neutrino event leads to neutrino (meta)stability (or long lifetime) which in turn leads to the absence of concrete decay channels. Consequently, we limit ourselves to consider *superluminal* neutrino. The corresponding decay channels are neutrino splitting $\nu \rightarrow \nu\nu\bar{\nu}$, discussed in [6, 7] and neutrino pair production $\nu \rightarrow \nu e^+ e^-$. Before the numerical consideration of the processes, let us provide the best current bound¹ on superluminal LIV from IceCube [9],

$$\Lambda = 1.4 \times 10^{17} \text{ GeV}, \quad n = 2. \quad (2)$$

b. EFT Lagrangian In order to consider cross-sections of neutrino-involved processes one should start from effective field theory (EFT) Lagrangian. The minimal LIV addition to the Standard Model (SM) Lagrangian, preserving $SU(2)_L$ gauge symmetry reads,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{LIV}}^{(\nu),n} = \frac{1}{\Lambda^n} \bar{l}_L^\alpha \gamma^0 (iD_0)^{n+1} l_L^\alpha, \quad (3)$$

where $l_L^\alpha = (\nu_\alpha, l_\alpha)_L$ is the left lepton doublet, α counts the lepton generations, D_0 is the time part of the SM covariant derivative. Note that $n = 1$ scenario is CPT-odd while $n = 2$ is CPT-even. Considering only quadratic over neutrino part of (3), one obtains the dispersion relation,

$$E^2 = k^2 \pm \frac{k^3}{\Lambda}, \quad n = 1, \quad (4)$$

$$E^2 = k^2 + \frac{k^4}{\Lambda^2}, \quad n = 2. \quad (5)$$

Note that for $n = 1$ the sign before the LIV term is different for neutrino and antineutrino. Although the Lagrangian (3) includes modified interactions, the main LIV effect on decay widths is due to modified kinematics. Next, we discuss the processes.

c. Neutrino splitting $\nu \rightarrow \nu\nu\bar{\nu}$ In order to take a numerical value of the neutrino width associated with neutrino splitting we follow the analytical result of Carmona et al [7] obtained in the collinear approximation neglecting neutrino masses. The width of the neutrino splitting does not depend on flavour and reads [7],

$$\Gamma_{\nu \rightarrow \nu\nu\bar{\nu}} \approx G_F^2 \frac{E^5}{6\pi^3} \left(\frac{E}{\Lambda} \right)^{3n} c^{(\nu)}. \quad (6)$$

Here $c^{(\nu)} \approx 0.024$, G_F is the Fermi constant. More detailed characteristic is the neutrino energy losses, see [7]. We leave this approach for future more detailed analysis. Solving eq. (6) with respect to Λ , one obtains

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases} (1.3 \cdot 10^{-4} G_F^2 L E^5)^{1/3} \times E, & n = 1, \\ (1.3 \cdot 10^{-4} G_F^2 L E^5)^{1/6} \times E, & n = 2, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $L = \Gamma_{\nu \rightarrow \nu\nu\bar{\nu}}^{-1}$ is the mean free path of neutrino associated to the splitting. We take it as the distance to the possible source.

¹ Originally $c_6 > -5.2 \cdot 10^{-35}$ GeV⁻² in terms of the Data Tables [8].

Further we use the median value of KM3-230213A energy, $E = 220$ PeV, and consider three scenario of neutrino origin: galactic, extragalactic and cosmogenic ones. In the galactic scenario, we take $L = 10$ kpc, so eq. (7) reads,

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases} 5.4 \times 10^{29} \text{ GeV}, & n = 1, \\ 1.1 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}, & n = 2. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Assuming extragalactic origin of neutrino² we can take $L = 10$ Mpc, obtaining

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases} 5.4 \times 10^{30} \text{ GeV}, & n = 1, \\ 3.5 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}, & n = 2. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Assuming cosmogenic origin we can put $L = 100$ Mpc, obtaining

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases} 1.2 \times 10^{31} \text{ GeV}, & n = 1, \\ 5.1 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}, & n = 2. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Splitting cannot produce significant excess at lower energy in a spectrum decreasing with energy like $\sim E^{-2}$. In fact, splitting of neutrino of energy E into 3 particles of energy $E/3$ add 3 particles to the spectrum which consists of $(E/(E/3))^2 = 9$ particles.

d. Neutrino e^+e^- pair production For aforementioned values of Λ and E one cannot neglect the electron mass values, so one should estimate the threshold effect, which is in general complicated for non-equal 3 particles in the final state. Consider collinear kinematics, neutrino of energy and x-projection of momentum $(k + \frac{k^3}{2\Lambda^2}, k)$, to neutrino, electron a positron each of them takes $k/3$ momentum. Finally, the threshold of the effective decay reads,

$$\Lambda_{thr} = \frac{E^2}{\sqrt{6}m_e} = 4.0 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}, \quad n = 2. \quad (11)$$

For larger Λ the process does not occur, for lower Λ the calculation are similar to the neutrino splitting. Carmona et al [7] calculated the corresponding width in case of zero electron mass; the width is that of eq. (7) multiplied by 0.13. Finally, for our values of parameters $\nu \rightarrow \nu e^+e^-$ is subleading with respect to neutrino splitting.

e. LIV constraints from the muon neutrino production in pion decay Consider the opposite process, the production of muon neutrino. In the majority of models neutrino is produced very fast in the process of pion decay, $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$. If the process is kinematically forbidden, no neutrino are produced. Two-particle decay threshold rule reads,

$$m_{eff,\nu}^2 \equiv \frac{E^4}{\Lambda^2} = m_\pi^2 - m_\mu^2 = (91 \text{ MeV})^2, \quad n = 2.$$

² cf. other ultra high energy events came from that distance [10].

From that we obtain we constraint,

$$\Lambda > 5.1 \times 10^{18} \text{ GeV}, \quad n = 2. \quad (13)$$

It is an order of magnitude weaker than the splitting ones, (8)-(10)

f. Conclusion We estimated constraints on LIV in the neutrino sector from the event KM3-230213A. It turns out that the best constraints came from the absence of the neutrino splitting. We considered separately the cases for neutrino of galactic, extragalactic and cosmogenic origin. Since it is concluded in [2] that the galactic origin is unlikely, we may take the constraint (9) as the conservative one, precisely

$$\Lambda = \begin{cases} 5.4 \times 10^{30} \text{ GeV}, & n = 1, \\ 3.5 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}, & n = 2. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

In order to obtain more precise statistical significant constraints, the models of galactic, extragalactic or cosmogenic sources are required as well as an accurate calculation of neutrino energy losses.

g. Acknowledgements The author thanks Grigory Rubtsov and Mikhail Kuznetsov for prompt discussions.

-
- [1] S. Aiello et al, Observation of an ultra-high-energy cosmic neutrino with km3net, *Nature* **638**, 376 (2025).
 [2] O. Adriani *et al.*, On the Potential Galactic Origin of the Ultra-High-Energy Event KM3-230213A, (2025), [arXiv:2502.08387](https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.08387) [astro-ph.HE].
 [3] P. Baldini *et al.* (KM3NeT, MessMapp Group, Fermi-LAT, Owens Valley Radio Observatory 40-m Telescope

- Group, SVOM), Characterising Candidate Blazar Counterparts of the Ultra-High-Energy Event KM3-230213A, (2025), [arXiv:2502.08484](https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.08484) [astro-ph.HE].
 [4] O. Adriani *et al.* (KM3NeT), On the potential cosmogenic origin of the ultra-high-energy event KM3-230213A, (2025), [arXiv:2502.08508](https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.08508) [astro-ph.HE].
 [5] A. Addazi *et al.*, Quantum gravity phenomenology at the dawn of the multi-messenger era—A review, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **125**, 103948 (2022),

- arXiv:2111.05659 [hep-ph].
- [6] G. Somogyi, I. Nándori, and U. D. Jentschura, Neutrino Splitting for Lorentz-Violating Neutrinos: Detailed Analysis, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 035036 (2019), arXiv:1904.10505 [hep-ph].
- [7] J. M. Carmona, J. L. Cortés, J. J. Relancio, and M. A. Reyes, Decay of superluminal neutrinos in the collinear approximation, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 043001 (2023), arXiv:2210.02222 [hep-ph].
- [8] V. A. Kostelecky and N. Russell, Data Tables for Lorentz and CPT Violation, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 11 (2011), arXiv:0801.0287 [hep-ph].
- [9] F. W. Stecker, S. T. Scully, S. Liberati, and D. Mattingly, Searching for Traces of Planck-Scale Physics with High Energy Neutrinos, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 045009 (2015), arXiv:1411.5889 [hep-ph].
- [10] M. Y. Kuznetsov, A nearby source of ultra-high energy cosmic rays, *JCAP* **04**, 042, arXiv:2311.14628 [astro-ph.HE].