# Large-scale Regional Traffic Signal Control Based on Single-Agent Reinforcement Learning

Qiang Li<sup>a</sup>, Jin Niu<sup>a</sup>, Qin Luo<sup>a</sup> and Lina Yu<sup>a, 1</sup> <sup>a</sup>College of Urban Transportation and Logistics, Shenzhen Technology University, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518118, China

Abstract. In the context of global urbanization and motorization, traffic congestion has become a significant issue, severely affecting the quality of life, environment, and economy. This paper puts forward a single-agent reinforcement learning (RL)-based regional traffic signal control (TSC) model. Different from multi - agent systems, this model can coordinate traffic signals across a large area, with the goals of alleviating regional traffic congestion and minimizing the total travel time. The TSC environment is precisely defined through specific state space, action space, and reward functions. The state space consists of the current congestion state, which is represented by the queue lengths of each link, and the current signal phase scheme of intersections. The action space is designed to select an intersection first and then adjust its phase split. Two reward functions are meticulously crafted. One focuses on alleviating congestion and the other aims to minimize the total travel time while considering the congestion level. The experiments are carried out with the SUMO traffic simulation software. The performance of the TSC model is evaluated by comparing it with a base case where no signal-timing adjustments are made. The results show that the model can effectively control congestion. For example, the queuing length is significantly reduced in the scenarios tested. Moreover, when the reward is set to both alleviate congestion and minimize the total travel time, the average travel time is remarkably decreased, which indicates that the model can effectively improve traffic conditions. This research provides a new approach for large-scale regional traffic signal control and offers valuable insights for future urban traffic management.

Keywords. Traffic signal control (TSC), Reinforcement learning (RL), Dreamer, Probe vehicle, Queue length

# **1** Introduction

In recent decades, urbanization and motorization have boomed globally, leading to a sharp rise in traffic volume. Consequently, traffic congestion has become a major issue, affecting residents' quality of life, traffic safety, the environment, and the economy. Intersections, as key points of road networks, are prone to traffic bottlenecks. This situation has driven the development of advanced Traffic Signal Control (TSC)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding Author: Lina Yu, yulina@sztu.edu.cn.

systems, as an effective TSC strategy is crucial for ensuring smoother traffic, reducing congestion, and enhancing urban mobility.

Thanks to the rapid growth of artificial intelligence, a machine-learning method called reinforcement learning (RL) has become prominent. It effectively makes up for the inherent limitations of traditional model-based control methods [1]. More recently, the remarkable success of deep learning (DL) has created new opportunities, enabling RL to deal with complicated, high-dimensional challenges. An increasing number of studies have utilized the deep reinforcement learning (DRL) framework to handle difficult tasks related to TSC, demonstrating its excellent adaptability and effectiveness in dynamic traffic environments [2], [3], [4].

When it comes to the large-scale regional control of multiple intersections, researchers generally believe that due to the rapid expansion of the scale of the state space and action space expansion of the scale of the state space and action space, it is extremely challenging to achieve effective control with a single agent. As a result, the focus has shifted towards multi-agent control systems, which have shown remarkable potential in terms of scalability [2], [4], [5].

However, adopting multi-agent systems is merely a second-best solution for addressing scalability. Firstly, regional traffic control is essentially centrally controlled by a single control center. This center is capable of observing the traffic conditions of all roads within the region and coordinating the control of all intersections. This is fundamentally different from the task coordination and control of drones or Automated Guided Vehicles (AGVs). Secondly, in the multi-agent approach, each agent has a limited scope of observation and achieves coordinated control through artificially generated message transmission. This is significantly inconsistent with the nature of regional signal control problems.

In this work, we propose a single-agent RL-based regional TSC model that generates a cooperative large-scale multi-intersection traffic-signal scheme. This model has the following remarkable advantages:

- It can coordinate traffic signals over a considerably large area. In the experimental section, this paper demonstrates the coordinated governance of a region with 25 intersections. Additionally, in the future research part, multiple methods are proposed to potentially increase the number of intersections that can be controlled.
- It can effectively relieve traffic congestion within the region and, on this basis,

strive to minimize the total travel time as much as possible.

- This model is compatible with the floating-vehicle data collection technology. Currently, floating-vehicle data is the only data source that can capture the traffic situations on most urban roads.
- Since a single agent is used, the DreamerV3 algorithm can be applied. This algorithm is characterized by its outstanding data-efficient capabilities and a remarkably simple yet highly effective hyperparameter-tuning process.

## **2 TSC Environment**

We present a single-agent RL model to tackle regional TSC problems. In an RLbased TSC model, a traffic controller (Agent) endeavors to learn an optimal policy for coordinating the traffic signal schemes of intersections within the region. Its objective is to maximize the expected cumulative rewards through interactions with the regional traffic simulation model (Environment). At each interaction step (when the simulation time is t), the traffic controller receives an observable state (State) from the simulation model and generates new traffic signal schemes (Action) based on the state and the current policy. Subsequently, the traffic simulation model updates the traffic signal schemes based on the new schemes, and then proceeds to the next step (simulation time becomes  $t + t_c$ , where  $t_c$  is a predefined control interval), returning new traffic state along with a reward. Through multiple iterations of the above process, the agent eventually learns the optimal strategy to meet the control objectives of the TSC problem.

The elements of RL for the TSC problem, including state space, action space, and reward function, are defined as follows.

#### 2.1 State space

The state space consists of two components: the current congestion state and the current signal phase scheme.

#### a) Current congestion state

The congestion state is represented by the queue length for each link (section) at current time. The congestion state is a L vector, where L represents the number of

links in a region.

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_k^l \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

where  $q_k^l$  is queue length on the link  $l \in L$  at time k, k is current time. The queue length is bounded by 0 and  $q_{ub}$ , which is predetermined.

The queue length is defined as the number of vehicles that are compelled to stop at the straight lanes of a downstream intersection due to a red light. It can be estimated using probe vehicle data and is closely correlated with the congestion level [6].

#### b) Current signal phase scheme

The current signal phase scheme is represented by signal phase split for each intersection of the region. This is a M vector, where M represents the number of signal intersections in the region:

$$[s_{\rm m}] \tag{2}$$

where  $s_m$  represents the signal phase split at the intersection  $m \in M$ . The signal phase split is bounded by  $s_{lb}$  and  $s_{ub}$ , and these values are predetermined.

In this model, each intersection is controlled by a four-phase signal (see Figure 1). It is assumed that the signal cycle, left-turn phase time, yellow time, all-red time and offset time are predetermined and remain constant. The signal phase split is the only adjustable variable and defined as the sum of the north-south phases' time, which includes the north-south straight/right turn phase (Phase 1) and the left-turn phase (Phase 2). Since the signal cycle is constant, adjusting the signal phase split can control traffic flow at upstream and downstream intersections, thereby managing congestion levels. As illustrated in Figure 1, if congestion occurs on the link indicated by the arrow (from intersection m to m + 1), the congestion can be alleviated by reducing the number of vehicles entering this link by increasing the signal phase split at the upstream intersection (intersection m), or/and by increasing the number of vehicles exiting this link by decreasing the signal phase split at the downstream intersection (intersection m + 1).



Figure 1. The signal phase split [7]

### 2.2 Action space

The action is divided into two stages: first, an intersection is selected, and then the phase split of that intersection is adjusted.

For an intersection, the agent has three possible actions, and the action space is defined as  $A_{intersection} = \{0,1,2\}$ . Actions 0, 1, and 2 refer to adjusting the current signal phase split by  $-\Delta s$ , 0, and  $\Delta s$ , respectively, where  $\Delta s$  is a predefined value.

If there are M intersections, the size of the action space is  $M \times 3$ . It is worth noting that this design ensures that as the number of intersections increases, the action space will not experience explosive growth. In contrast, if the timing of all intersections is adjusted simultaneously, the corresponding action space would be  $3^M$ , which would lead to an explosive expansion of the action space.

#### 2.3 Reward

We have designed two types of rewards, corresponding to two distinct objectives. The first objective is to alleviate the congestion on each road section within the controlled area, and the second objective is to minimize the total travel time as much as possible while controlling the congestion level of each road section.

#### 1) Reward to alleviate the congestion

The queue length is chosen as the indicator of the congestion level. The reward is formulated as a function of the queue length for each link in the region. To achieve the

goal of alleviating congestion, a penalty weight is incorporated in cases of severe congestion.

The reward for a region is calculated as the sum of the rewards of its constituent links, with the reward for an individual link defined as shown in Eq. 4.

$$\begin{cases} q \leq q_{lc} \text{ (Free flow): } reward = 0\\ q_{lc} \leq q \leq q_{hc} \text{ (Light congestion): } reward = -q\\ q \geq q_{hc} \text{ (Heavy congestion): } reward = -(w_{cp} \times q) \end{cases}$$
(3)

Where q is the queue length,  $q_{lc}$  and  $q_{hc}$  are the thresholds for light and heavy congestion, respectively.  $w_{cp}$  is the penalty weight associated with heavy congestion. The values of  $q_{lc}$ ,  $q_{hc}$  and  $w_{cp}$  are constants determined in advance.

#### 2) Reward to minimize the total travel time

The reward for a region is calculated as the sum of the rewards of its constituent links, with the reward for an individual link defined as shown in Eq. 5.

$$\begin{cases} q \leq q_{lc} \text{ (Free flow): } reward = 0 \\ q_{lc} \leq q \leq q_{hc} \text{ (Light congestion): } reward = -(t_{avg} \times f_{sat} \times t_{eg\_u}/t_{eg\_d}) \text{ (4)} \\ q \geq q_{hc} \text{ (Heavy congestion): } reward = -(w_{cp} \times t_{avg} \times f_{sat}) \end{cases}$$

Where q represents the queue length,  $q_{lc}$  and  $q_{hc}$  are the thresholds for light and heavy congestion, respectively.  $t_{avg}$  denotes the average link travel time and  $f_{sat}$ represents the saturated flow at the default phase split.  $t_{eg}$  and  $t_{eg_d}$  are effect green time at upstream intersection and default effect green time at upstream intersection, respectively.  $w_{cp}$  is the penalty weight associated with heavy congestion. The values of  $q_{lc}$ ,  $q_{hc}$  and  $w_{cp}$  are constants determined in advance.

It should be noted that in the above formula, the actual traffic flow is not adopted. Instead, the calculated value based on the saturated flow  $(f_{sat} \times t_{eg}/t_{eg_d})$  for light congestion) is used to replace the actual flow, mainly to reduce the impact of flow fluctuations under the same phase split. Moreover, since  $w_{cp}$  is a relatively large penalty value,  $f_{sat}$  is used to replace the traffic flow during heavy congestion, and no actual effect green was used for correction.

## 3 DreamerV3

In this paper, the DreamerV3 algorithm is employed to train the optimal policy.

Most reinforcement learning algorithms require extensive interaction with the environment to learn optimized policies. This characteristic renders them impractical for large-scale tasks, as the amount of data and computational resources needed for such interactions can be prohibitively high.

Recently, modern world models have shown extraordinary potential in enabling data-efficient learning within simulated environments and video games. Studies by Hafner et al. [8], [9], [10] have demonstrated this prowess. DreamerV3, as the latest iteration of these models, possesses highly attractive features that are particularly conducive to policy learning, as emphasized in [10]. Firstly, DreamerV3 synthesizes comprehensive dynamical knowledge about the environment. It can anticipate the future consequences of potential actions through imagination. By doing so, it reduces the need for excessive interaction with the real-world environment. This not only saves computational resources but also speeds up the learning process, as the agent can "simulate" different scenarios in its "mind" and learn from them without actually executing the actions in the real environment. Secondly, DreamerV3 only requires the adjustment of two hyperparameters: the training ratio and the model size. An in-depth evaluation has revealed that a higher training ratio leads to a significant improvement in data efficiency. A larger model size not only achieves better final performance but also enhances data efficiency. This simplicity in hyperparameter tuning makes DreamerV3 more accessible and less time - consuming for researchers and practitioners.

Finally, within the framework of representing the environmental state, DreamerV3 integrates predictive information (the recurrent state  $h_t$  in the RNN model). This predictive information contains richer data compared to relying solely on current state or historical trends. Its incorporation accelerates the learning process and improves the effectiveness of traffic congestion control. By leveraging this additional information, the agent can make more informed decisions and adapt to traffic changes more rapidly.

The DreamerV3 algorithm consists of two components: World Model Learning and Actor Critic Learning [9], [10], [11].



Figure 2. The DreamerV3 algorithm [10]

DreamerV3 learns a world model to obtain rich representations of the environment and enable imagination training by predicting future representations and rewards for potential actions. The world model is implemented as a Recurrent State - Space Model (RSSM). Firstly, an encoder converts sensory inputs  $x_t$  to stochastic representations  $z_t$ . Then, a sequence model with recurrent state  $h_t$  forecasts the sequence of these representations given last actions  $a_{t-1}$ . The concatenation of  $h_t$  and  $z_t$  forms the model state from which we forecast rewards  $r_t$  and episode continuation states  $c_t \in$ {0,1} and reconstruct the inputs to ensure informative representations.

The actor and critic networks learn behaviors from abstract sequences imagined by the world model. The actor and critic operate on model states  $s_t \doteq \{h_t, z_t\}$  and thus benefit from the rich representations learned by the world model.

## 4 Experiment design

### 4.1 Simulation model

The experiments in this study are conducted using SUMO (Simulation of Urban MObility), a highly sophisticated and flexible traffic simulation software. SUMO comes equipped with a specialized interface known as libsumo. This interface offers users extensive capabilities, allowing them to precisely control the simulation process, retrieve real-time traffic data, and implement signal schemes within the SUMO

environment through Python scripts. This seamless integration of Python with SUMO provides researchers with a powerful tool for conducting in-depth traffic-related experiments.

In this experiment, the mesoscopic mode of SUMO is utilized. This mode offers a significant advantage in terms of computational efficiency, operating nearly 100 times faster than the microscopic mode. By adopting the mesoscopic mode, researchers can simulate large-scale traffic scenarios in a relatively short time, enabling them to explore a wide range of traffic conditions and evaluate different traffic signal control strategies more comprehensively.

The geometry of the region under study is depicted in Figure 3. Each link within this region has three lanes, which expand to four lanes at the downstream intersection. This design reflects the typical traffic infrastructure found in many urban areas, where the need to accommodate different traffic volumes at intersections is crucial. Each approach at the intersection consists of two straight lanes, one left-turn lane, and one right-turn lane.

Every intersection in the region is managed by a four-phase signal system, and the order of these stages is predetermined. The time duration for the signal cycle, the leftturn stage time, the yellow light time, and the all-red light time are all fixed throughout the simulation. Specifically, they are set to 100 seconds, 8 seconds, 2 seconds, and 2 seconds respectively. The initial signal phase split is set to 50 seconds, which serves as a starting point for evaluating the effectiveness of the proposed traffic signal control model.



The Region Diagram

### 4.2 Parameter setting

Table 1 presents a summary of the parameters for the RL-based TSC model.

When the signal phase split is set at its initial value of 50 seconds, the saturated flow of the straight lanes within a single signal cycle is estimated to be 50 vehicles. This saturated flow level is used to establish the upper bound of the queue length, denoted as  $q_{ub}$ . In this scenario, the queued vehicles occupy the entire green time, and any newly arriving vehicles will encounter a red light and be forced to stop at the downstream intersection. This situation represents an extreme congestion state, which is important to define for accurately measuring and controlling traffic congestion.

The thresholds for light and heavy congestion,  $q_{lc}$  and  $q_{hc}$ , are set at 20% and 50% of this saturated flow level, respectively. These thresholds serve as critical reference points for the model to distinguish different congestion levels.

The interval at which actions occur,  $t_c$ , is set to 25 seconds, which is one-quarter of the 100-second signal cycle. Given that each action in the model only modifies the signal timing of one intersection, an overly long interval could potentially lead to a delay in responding to traffic changes, thereby affecting the control effect. Therefore, a relatively short action interval of 25 seconds is chosen in this study. This allows the model to react more promptly to dynamic traffic situations and make timely adjustments to the signal timings.

Parameter	Value
$q_{ub}$	50 no. of vehicles
$q_{lc}$ and $q_{hc}$	10 and 25 no. of vehicles
$s_{lb}$ and $s_{ub}$	30 s and 70 s
$\Delta s$	3 s
w <sub>cp</sub>	10
t <sub>c</sub>	25 s

Table 1. RL-based TSC method parameters

The RL models are trained over multiple episodes. Each episode is simulated for a duration of 16,200 seconds, with an initial 1,800-second warm-up phase incorporated. During this warm-up period, the signal plan remains unchanged, and vehicles are gradually introduced onto the road network. This process helps to establish a stable initial state for the traffic simulation, ensuring that the training results are not skewed by initial transient effects.

The DreamerV3 algorithm, sourced from the Ray RLlib library framework, is employed to train the optimal policy. This algorithm selection is based on its proven effectiveness in handling complex RL tasks, as well as its compatibility with the proposed TSC model.

For this research, a high-performance computing platform is utilized. It is equipped with an Intel Core i9 - 14900K processor, which features 8 high-performance cores and 16 energy-efficient cores, resulting in a total of 32 threads. Additionally, the platform is integrated with an NVIDIA RTX A6000 48GB graphics card.

## **5** Simulation results and discussions

## 5.1 Hyperparameter tuning for DreamerV3

For DreamerV3, two hyperparameters, namely the training ratio and the model size, need to be adjusted. The training ratio represents the proportion of replayed steps to environment steps. Thus, a higher training ratio generally leads to a substantial improvement in data efficiency. The authors of this paper investigated the hyperparameter tuning in traffic control problems. According to the literature [12], it suffices to consider models with a model size of S. Moreover, by prioritizing a medium-scale training ratio, the time required for hyperparameter tuning can be significantly reduced.

Figure 4 presents the training curves of the model with a model size of S and training ratios of 64, 128, and 256, respectively, under the condition that the reward is set solely to relieve congestion. To better distinguish the performance of various hyperparameters at the end of training, the training curves after 36 hours were magnified. As shown in the figure, all three training ratios can complete the training within 48 hours. Additionally, as indicated in the DreamerV3 literature [13], the larger the training ratio, the more likely it is for the model to reach a higher episode reward more rapidly.

Figure 5 shows the training curves of the model with a model size of S and training ratios of 64, 128, and 256, respectively, when the reward is set to relieve congestion

and minimize the total travel time. A similar trend can be observed. First, under the more complex reward setting, all three training ratios can complete the training within 48 hours. Second, generally speaking, the larger the training ratio, the faster the model can reach a higher episode reward. However, there is also a slight difference. When the training ratio is 64, the training curve fluctuates significantly. Even towards the end of the training, it still shows occasional large fluctuations.



Figure 4 Training curves when reward aims solely at congestion alleviation



Figure 5 Training curves when the reward seeks to alleviate congestion and minimize total travel time

## 5.2 Performance of TSC

The performance of the Traffic Signal Control (TSC) model was evaluated by comparing it with the base case, in which no adjustments were made to the signal-timing schema. This evaluation was carried out in two scenarios. In both scenarios, the TSC model was trained using the DreamerV3 algorithm. The model had a size of S and

a training ratio of 128. In Strategy I, the reward was set solely to alleviate congestion, while in Strategy II, the reward was designed to both alleviate congestion and minimize the total travel time.

Figure 6 depicts the distribution of queuing lengths of each road segment within one episode under Strategy I. The total number of queuing length data is equal to the product of the number of road segments and the number of signal cycles. That is, 80 multiplied by 144 equals 11,520. As shown in the figure, under the base case, the queuing length exceeded 50 on multiple occasions. Given that the through-traffic capacity of vehicles within one cycle is approximately 50, in these cases, all vehicles have to queue up due to the red light, which can be regarded as severe congestion. In contrast, under Scenario 1, the queuing length did not exceed 40, and cases where it exceeded 30 were also relatively few, indicating that the goal of excellent congestion control has been achieved. Figure 7 illustrates the distribution of queuing lengths of each road segment within one episode under Strategy II. The results are similar to those in Figure 6, so they will not be elaborated on further.



Figure 6 Queue length distribution when reward aims solely at congestion alleviation



Figure 7 Queue length distribution when the reward seeks to alleviate congestion and minimize total travel time

The following figure shows the average travel time of an episode under the base case and the control of Strategy II. The average travel time is calculated by dividing the total travel time by the number of vehicles. As shown in the figure, under the control of Strategy II, the total travel time has been significantly reduced (to 63% of that in the base case). Of course, at this stage, we cannot prove that this is the minimum value of the travel time. We can only prove that when the reward is set to control congestion while minimizing the total travel time, the training can be successfully completed.



Figure 8 Total travel time comparison

## **6** Conclusion

This study introduced a single-agent reinforcement learning-based regional traffic signal control (TSC) model, leveraging the DreamerV3 algorithm to address traffic

congestion and travel time issues in large-scale urban intersections. The proposed model offers several distinct advantages over traditional multi-agent systems. It can coordinate traffic signals across a wide area, as demonstrated in the 25-intersection region experiment, and has the potential to manage even more intersections in future research.

The model's state space, action space, and reward functions were carefully defined. The state space incorporated both congestion states and signal phase schemes, while the action space was designed to adjust intersections' phase splits in a non-explosive manner. The two reward functions, one for congestion alleviation and the other for travel time minimization, effectively guided the model's learning process.

The DreamerV3 algorithm was a key component, with its ability to learn from limited data, simple hyperparameter tuning, and integration of predictive information. Hyperparameter tuning experiments showed that larger training ratios generally led to better performance, enabling the model to reach higher rewards more quickly.

Simulation results show that the TSC model significantly reduced queue lengths compared to the base case, achieving effective congestion control. When the reward function aimed to minimize total travel time in addition to alleviating congestion, the average travel time decreased substantially, reaching 63% of the base case value.

In the future, we can introduce graph neural networks. While reducing the dimensionality of the state space, they can preserve the topological relationships of the network, thus further expanding the controllable range.

## Reference

- C. Wu, I. Kim, and Z. Ma, "Deep Reinforcement Learning Based Traffic Signal Control: A Comparative Analysis," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 220, pp. 275–282, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2023.03.036.
- M. Noaeen *et al.*, "Reinforcement learning in urban network traffic signal control: A systematic literature review," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 199, p. 116830, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2022.116830.
- [3] K. Zhang, Z. Cui, and W. Ma, "A survey on reinforcement learning-based control for signalized intersections with connected automated vehicles," *Transport Reviews*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 1187–1208, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.1080/01441647.2024.2377637.
- [4] H. Zhao, C. Dong, J. Cao, and Q. Chen, "A survey on deep reinforcement learning approaches for traffic signal control," *Engineering Applications of Artificial*

Intelligence, vol. 133, p. 108100, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.engappai.2024.108100.

- [5] C. Chen *et al.*, "Toward A Thousand Lights: Decentralized Deep Reinforcement Learning for Large-Scale Traffic Signal Control," *AAAI*, vol. 34, no. 04, pp. 3414– 3421, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1609/aaai.v34i04.5744.
- [6] Q. Li, H. Hu, and L. Miao, "Queue Length Estimation Using Probe Vehicle Data for a Congested Arterial Road," in CICTP 2015 - Efficient, Safe, and Green Multimodal Transportation - Proceedings of the 15th COTA International Conference of Transportation Professionals, Beijing, China, 2015, pp. 2295–2306. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/9780784479292.213
- [7] L. Liu, X. Zhuang, and Q. Li, "Adaptive Traffic Signal Control for Urban Corridor Based on Reinforcement Learning," in *Computational and Experimental Simulations in Engineering*, K. Zhou, Ed., Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2025, pp. 25–35.
- [8] D. Hafner, T. Lillicrap, J. Ba, and M. Norouzi, "Dream to Control: Learning Behaviors by Latent Imagination," *ArXiv*, 2020, [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.01603
- [9] D. Hafner, T. Lillicrap, M. Norouzi, and J. Ba, "Mastering Atari with Discrete World Models." ArXiv, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.02193
- [10] D. Hafner, J. Pasukonis, J. Ba, and T. Lillicrap, "Mastering Diverse Domains through World Models," ArXiv, 2024, [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.04104
- [11] D. R. Ha and J. Schmidhuber, "World Models," *ArXiv*, vol. abs/1803.10122, 2018,
  [Online]. Available: https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:4807711
- [12] Q. Li, Y. Lin, Q. Luo, and L. Yu, "DreamerV3 for Traffic Signal Control: Hyperparameter Tuning and Performance," 2025. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.02279
- [13] D. Hafner, J. Pasukonis, J. Ba, and T. Lillicrap, "Mastering Diverse Domains through World Models," Jan. 10, 2023, *arXiv*: arXiv:2301.04104. Accessed: Nov. 23, 2023. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2301.04104