

# Patterns and Collective Behavior in Granular Media: Theoretical Concepts

Igor S. Aranson\*

Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S. Cass Av, Argonne, IL 60439

Lev S. Tsimring†

Institute for Nonlinear Science, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

Granular materials are ubiquitous in our daily lives. While they have been a subject of intensive engineering research for centuries, in the last decade granular matter attracted significant attention of physicists. Yet despite a major efforts by many groups, the theoretical description of granular systems remains largely a plethora of different, often contradicting concepts and approaches. Authors give an overview of various theoretical models emerged in the physics of granular matter, with the focus on the onset of collective behavior and pattern formation. Their aim is two-fold: to identify general principles common for granular systems and other complex non-equilibrium systems, and to elucidate important distinctions between collective behavior in granular and continuum pattern-forming systems.

<b>Contents</b>		
<b>I. Introduction</b>	1	
A. Preliminary remarks	1	
B. Fundamental microscopic interactions	2	
<b>II. Overview of dynamic behavior in granular matter</b>	3	
A. Pattern formation in vibrated layers	3	
B. Gravity-driven granular flows	4	
C. Flows in rotating cylinders	4	
D. Grains with complex interactions	4	
<b>III. Main theoretical concepts</b>	5	
A. Kinetic theory and hydrodynamics	5	
B. Phenomenological models	7	
C. Molecular dynamics simulations	7	
<b>IV. Patterns in sub-monolayers. Clustering, Coarsening and Phase Transitions</b>	8	
A. Clustering in Freely Cooling Gases	8	
B. Patterns in Driven Granular Gases	9	
C. Coarsening of clusters	10	
<b>V. Surface waves and patterns in vibrated multilayers of granular materials</b>	11	
A. Chladni patterns and heaping	11	
B. Standing wave patterns	11	
C. Simulations of vibrated granular layers	12	
D. Continuum theories	13	
<b>VI. Patterns in gravity-driven dense granular flows</b>	16	
A. Avalanches in thin granular layers	16	
1. Partially fluidized flows	16	
2. Two-phase flow approach of granular avalanches	17	
3. Avalanche shape	18	
B. Statistics of avalanches and sandpile model	18	
C. Instabilities in granular chute flows	19	
D. Pattern-forming instabilities in rotating cylinders	20	
<b>VII. Models of granular segregation</b>	21	
A. Granular stratification	21	
B. Axial segregation in rotating drums	22	
C. Other examples of granular segregation	25	
<b>VIII. Granular materials with complex interactions</b>	26	
A. Patterns in solid-fluid mixtures	26	
B. Vortices in vibrated rods	28	
C. Electrostatically driven granular media	30	
1. Coarsening of clusters	30	
2. Dynamics of patterns in a fluid-filled cell	31	
D. Magnetic particles	32	
<b>IX. Overview and Perspectives</b>	33	
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	35	
<b>References</b>	35	

## I. INTRODUCTION

### A. Preliminary remarks

Granular materials are ubiquitous in our daily lives and basic to many industries. Yet understanding their dynamic behavior remains a major challenge in physics, see for review de Gennes (1999); Duran (1999); Gollub and Langer (1999); Jaeger *et al.* (1996); Kadanoff (1999); Nedderman (1992); Ottino and Khakhar (2000); Rajchenbach (2000); Ristow (1999). Granular materials are collections of discrete macroscopic solid grains with sizes large enough that Brownian motion is irrelevant (energy of 1 mm grain moving with typical velocity of 1 cm/sec exceeds the thermal energy at least by 10 orders of magnitude). Since thermodynamic fluctuations do not play a role, for granular systems to remain active they have to gain energy either from shear or vibration and are thus far from equilibrium. External volume forces (gravity, electric and magnetic fields) and flows of interstitial fluids such as water or air may also be used to activate the grains. When subjected to a large enough driving force, a granular system may exhibit a transition from a granular

\*Electronic address: aronson@msd.anl.gov

†Electronic address: ltsimring@ucsd.edu

solid to a liquid and various ordered patterns of grains may develop. Understanding fundamentals of granular materials draws upon and gives insights into many fields at the frontier of modern physics: plasticity of solids, fracture and friction; complex systems from equilibrium such as colloids, foams, suspensions, and biological self-assembled systems. Moreover, particulate flows are central to a large number of industries including the chemical, pharmaceutical, food, metallurgical, agricultural and construction industries. Beyond these industrial applications, particle laden-flows are widespread in nature, for example dune migration, erosion/deposition processes, landslides, underwater gravity currents and coastal geomorphology, etc.

From a theoretical point of view, it is sometimes useful to employ an analogy between granular matter and ordinary condensed matter and to regard the grains as the equivalent of (classical) atoms. However, this analogy is far from complete, since the dissipative nature of grain interactions is the source of many differences between the two “kinds” of matter. In particular, dissipation is responsible for the fact that most states of granular matter are metastable. The typical macroscopic size of the grains renders thermal fluctuations negligible and most standard thermodynamic concepts inapplicable. Whereas the behavior of dilute granular systems (rapid granular gases) can often be explained using the framework of kinetic theory (see e.g. Brilliantov and Pöschel (2004)), the quantitative theory of dense granular assemblies is far less developed.

In recent years several comprehensive reviews and monographs have appeared on the subject of granular physics, see (Aradian *et al.*, 2002; Brilliantov and Pöschel, 2004; Duran, 1999; Jaeger *et al.*, 1996; Kudrolli, 2004; Ottino and Khakhar, 2000; Rajchenbach, 2000; Ristow, 2001). Yet in most of them the focus has been on actual phenomena and experiments rather than on theoretical concepts and approaches to the problems of granular physics. Furthermore, the scope of granular physics has become so broad that we chose to limit ourselves with reviewing the recent progress in a subfield of granular *pattern formation* leaving out many interesting and actively developing subjects. We loosely define pattern formation as a dynamical process leading to the spontaneous emergence of nontrivial spatially non-uniform structure which is weakly dependent on initial and boundary conditions. According to our working definition, we include in the scope of the review the patterns in thin layers of vibrated grains (Sec. IV,V), patterns in gravity-driven flows (Sec. VI), granular stratification and banding (Sec. VII), as well as a multitude of patterns found in granular assemblies with complex interactions (Sec. VIII). Before delving into details of theoretical modelling of these pattern-forming systems, we present a brief overview of the relevant experimental findings and main theoretical concepts (Sec. II and III).

## B. Fundamental microscopic interactions

Probably the most fundamental microscopic property of granular materials is irreversible energy dissipation in the course of interaction (collision) between the particles. For the case of so-called dry granular materials, i.e. when the interaction with interstitial fluid such as air or water is negligible, the encounter between grains results in dissipation of energy while total mechanical momentum is conserved. In contrast to the interaction of particles in molecular gases, the collisions of macroscopic grains is generally *inelastic*. There are several well-accepted models addressing the specifics of energy dissipation in the course of collision, see for details e.g. Brilliantov and Pöschel (2004). The simplest case corresponds to in-deformable (hard) frictionless particles with fixed restitution coefficient  $0 < e < 1$  characterizing the fraction of energy lost in the course of collision. The relation between the velocities after the collisions ( $\mathbf{v}'_{1,2}$ ) and before the collision ( $\mathbf{v}_{1,2}$ ) for two identical spherical particles is given by

$$\mathbf{v}'_{1,2} = \mathbf{v}_{1,2} \mp \frac{1+e}{2} [\mathbf{n}_{12}(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2)] \mathbf{n}_{12}. \quad (1)$$

Here  $\mathbf{n}_{12}$  is the unit vector pointed from the center of particle 1 to the center of particle 2 at the moment of collision. The case of  $e = 1$  corresponds to the elastic collisions (particles exchange their velocities) and  $e = 0$  characterizes fully inelastic collisions. For  $0 < e < 1$  the total energy loss is of the form

$$\Delta E = -\frac{1-e^2}{4} |\mathbf{n}_{12}(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2)|^2.$$

Modelling collisions between particles by a fixed restitution coefficient is very simple and intuitive, however this approximation can be questionable in certain cases. For example, approximation of granular media by a gas of hard particles with fixed  $e$  often yields non-physical behavior such as inelastic collapse (McNamara and Young, 1996): divergence of the number of collisions in a finite time, see Subsec. IV.A. In fact, the restitution coefficient is known to depend on the relative velocities of colliding particles and approaches unity as  $|\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2| \rightarrow 0$ . This dependence is captured by the visco-elastic modelling of particle collision (see e.g. Ramirez *et al.* (1999)). For non-spherical grains the restitution coefficient may also depend on the point of contact (Goldsmith, 1964).

Tangential friction forces play an important role in the dynamics of granular matter, especially in dense systems. Friction forces are hysteretic and history dependent (the contact between two grains can be either stuck due to dry friction or sliding depending on the history of interaction). This strongly nonlinear behavior makes the analysis of frictional granular materials extremely difficult. In the majority of theoretical studies, the simplest Coulomb law is adopted: friction is independent on sliding velocity as long as tangential force exceeds the certain threshold (Walton, 1993). However, the main problem is represented by calculation of the static friction

forces. It is well known that frictional contact forces among solid particles exhibit indeterminacy in case of multiple contacts per particle because there are less force balance constraints than stress components (see, e.g. McNamara *et al.* (2004); Unger *et al.* (2005)). To resolve this indeterminacy in simulations, various approximate algorithms have been proposed. In soft particle molecular dynamics simulations the most widely used approach to calculating friction forces is the spring-dashpot model (Cundall and Strack, 1979; Schäffer *et al.*, 1996). Another approach is taken in the contact dynamics method. By assuming that all particles are rigid and treating all contacting particles as performing instantaneous collisions (even those which are in fact in persistent contact), one can compute the contact forces generated during these collisions based on local force balance and impenetrability of the particles constraints (see Brendel *et al.* (2004); Moreau (1994)).

Viscous drag forces due to interaction with interstitial fluid often affect the dynamics of granular materials. Gas-driven particulate flows is an active research area in the engineering community, see e.g. Jackson (2000). Fluid-particle interactions are also involved in many geophysical processes, e.g. dune formation (Bagnold, 1954). Whereas interaction of small individual particles with the fluid is well-understood in terms of Stokes law, collective interaction and mechanical momentum transfer from particles to fluid remains an open problem. Various phenomenological constitutive equations are used in the engineering community to model fluid-particulate flows, see e.g. Duru *et al.* (2002).

Finally, small particles can acquire electric charge of magnetic moment. In this situation fascinating collective behavior emerge due to competition between short-range collisions and long-range electromagnetic forces, see e.g. Aranson *et al.* (2000); Blair *et al.* (2003a); Sapozhnikov *et al.* (2003). Effects of complex interparticle interactions on pattern formation in granular systems will be discussed in Sec. VIII.

## II. OVERVIEW OF DYNAMIC BEHAVIOR IN GRANULAR MATTER

In this Section we give a brief overview of the main experiments illustrating the dynamical behavior of granular media and the phenomena to be discussed in greater depth in the following Sections. We classify the experiments according to the way energy is injected into the system: vibration, gravity, or shear.

### A. Pattern formation in vibrated layers

Quasi-two-dimensional sub-monolayers of grains subjected to vertical vibration exhibit a surprising bimodal regime characterized by a dense cluster of closely packed almost immobile grains surrounded by gas of agitated

FIG. 1 Top view of dense immobile cluster coexisting with dilute granular gas, from Olafsen and Urbach (1998).

FIG. 2 A typical clustering configuration in two dimensions, restitution coefficient 0.6, number of particles 40,000, from Goldhirsch and Zanetti (1993).

particles, (Olafsen and Urbach, 1998), Fig. 1. This clustering transition occurs when the magnitude of vibration is reduced (the system is “cooled down”) which is reminiscent to the clustering instability observed in non-driven (freely cooling) gas of inelastic particles discovered by Goldhirsch and Zanetti (1993), Fig. 2. Detailed consideration of clustering phenomena in sub-monolayer systems is given in Sec. IV.

Multilayers of granular materials subject to vertical vibration exhibit spectacular pattern formation. In a typical experimental realization a layer of granular material about 10-30 particle diameters thick is energized by precise vertical vibration produced by an electromagnetic shaker. Depending on experimental conditions, plethora of patterns can be observed, from stripes and squares to hexagons and interfaces, see Fig. 3. While the first observations of patterns in vibrated layers were made more than two centuries ago by Chladni (1787) and Faraday (1831), the current interest in these problems was initiated by Douady *et al.* (1989); Fauve *et al.* (1989) and culminated in the discovery by Umbanhowar *et al.* (1996) of a remarkable localized object, oscillon, Fig. 4. Detailed consideration of these observations and their modelling efforts is given in Sec. V.

In another set of experiments pattern formation was studied in a horizontally vibrated system, see e.g. Liffman *et al.* (1997); Ristow (1997); Tennakoon *et al.* (1998). While there are certain common features, such as sub-harmonic regimes and instabilities, horizontally vibrated systems do not show richness of behavior typical for the vertically vibrated systems, and nontrivial flow regimes are typically localized near the walls. When the granular matter is polydisperse, vertical or horizontal shaking often leads to segregation. The most well-known manifestation of this segregation is the so-called “Brazil nut” effect when large particles float to the surface of a granular layer under vertical shaking (Rosato *et al.*, 1987). Horizontal shaking is also known to produce interesting segregation band patterns oriented orthogonally to the direction of shaking (Mullin, 2000, 2002) (see Fig. 5).

FIG. 3 Representative patterns in vertically vibrated granular layers for various values of frequency and amplitude of the vibration: stripes, squares, hexagons, spiral, interfaces, and localized oscillons, from Umbanhowar *et al.* (1996).

FIG. 4 Localized oscillon in vertically vibrate granular layer, from (Umbanhowar *et al.*, 1996).

FIG. 5 Sequence of snapshots of a layer of copper balls/poppy seeds mixture in a horizontally shaken cavity (frequency 12.5 Hz, amplitude 2 mm) at times 5 min, 10 min, 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, 6 h, from Mullin (2000).

## B. Gravity-driven granular flows

Gravity-driven systems such as chute flows and sandpiles often exhibit nontrivial patterns and spatio-temporal structures. Possibly the most spectacular are avalanches observed in the layers of granular matter if the inclination exceeds the critical angle (static angle of repose). Avalanches were a subject of continued research for many decades, however only recently it was established that the avalanche shape depends sensitively on the thickness of the layer and the inclination angle: triangular downhill avalanches in thin layers and balloon-shaped avalanches in thicker layers which expand both uphill and downhill, see Fig. 6 and Daerr (2001); Daerr and Douady (1999). Gravity-driven granular flows are prone to a variety of non-trivial secondary instabilities in granular chute flow: fingering (Pouliquen *et al.*, 1997), see Fig. 7, longitudinal vortices in rapid chute flows (Börzsönyi and Ecke, 2005; Forterre and Pouliquen, 2001), see Fig. 8, long modulation waves (Forterre and Pouliquen, 2003), and others.

Rich variety of patterns and instabilities has also been found in underwater flows of granular matter: transverse instability of an avalanche fronts, fingering, pattern formation in the sediment behind the avalanche, etc. (see Daerr *et al.* (2003); Malloggi *et al.* (2005a,b)). Whereas certain pattern forming mechanisms are specific to the water-granulate interaction, one also finds striking similarities with the behavior of “dry” granular matter.

## C. Flows in rotating cylinders

Energy is often supplied into a granular system through the shear which is driven by the moving walls of the container. One of the most commonly used geometries for this class of systems is a horizontal cylinder rotated around its axis, or rotating drum. Rotating drums partly filled with granular matter are often used in chemical engineering for mixing and separation of particles. Flows in rotating drums recently became a subject

FIG. 6 Sequence of images illustrating evolution of avalanches in thin layers on incline. Three images on left: triangular avalanche in thin layer, point **b** in Fig. 24. Three right images: up-hill avalanche in thicker layer, point **c** in Fig. 24, from Daerr and Douady (1999)

FIG. 7 Fingering instability in chute flow. (a) Schematics of the instability mechanism, the arrows represent trajectory of coarse particles. Images taken from front (b) and bottom (c) illustrating accumulation of coarse particles between the advancing fingers, from Pouliquen *et al.* (1997).

FIG. 8 Development of longitudinal vortices in the rapid granular flow down rough incline, from Forterre and Pouliquen (2001).

of active research in the physics community. For not too high rotating rates the flow regime in the drum is separated into an almost solid-body rotation in the bulk of the drum and a localized fluidized layer near the free surface (Fig. 9). Slowly rotating drums exhibit oscillations related to the gradual increase of free surface angle to the static angle of repose and subsequent fast relaxation to a lower dynamic repose angle via an avalanche. Transition to steady flow is observed for the higher rotation rate (Rajchenbach, 1990). Scaling of various flow parameters with the rotation speed (e.g. the width of the fluidized layer etc) and development of correlations in “dry” and “wet” granular matter was recently studied by Tegzes *et al.* (2002, 2003).

Rotating drums are typically used to study size segregation in binary mixtures of granular materials. Two types of size segregation can be distinguished: radial and axial. Radial segregation is a relatively fast process and occurs after a few revolutions of the drum. As a result of radial segregation larger particles are expelled to the periphery and a core of smaller particles is formed in the bulk (Khakhar *et al.*, 1997; Metcalfe *et al.*, 1995; Metcalfe and Shattuck, 1998; Ottino and Khakhar, 2000), see Fig. 10.

Axial segregation, occurring in the long drums, happens on a much longer time scale (hundreds of revolutions). As a result of axial segregation, bands of segregated materials are formed along the drum axis (Hill and Kakalios, 1994, 1995; Zik *et al.*, 1994), see for illustration Fig. 11. The segregated bands exhibit slow coarsening behavior. Even more surprisingly, under certain conditions axial segregation patterns show oscillatory behavior and travelling waves (Choo *et al.*, 1997; Fiodor and Ottino, 2003). Possible mechanisms leading to axial segregation are discussed in Sec. VII.

## D. Grains with complex interactions

Novel collective behaviors emerge when the interactions between the grains have additional features caused by shape anisotropy, interstitial fluid, magnetization or

FIG. 9 Schematics of flow structure in the cross-section of rotating drum, from Khakhar *et al.* (1997)

FIG. 10 Radial size segregation in a rotating drum, courtesy of Wolfgang Losert.

FIG. 11 Long rotating drum showing axial size segregation, from <http://www.physics.utoronto.ca/nonlinear/>

electrical charge, etc. In this situation short-range collisions, the hallmark of “traditional” granular systems, can be augmented by long-range forces.

Remarkable patterns including multiple rotating vortices of nearly vertical rods are observed in the system of vibrated rods by Blair *et al.* (2003a), see Fig. 12. The rods jump on their ends slightly tilted and drift in the direction of the tilt.

Mechanically (Blair and Kudrolli, 2003b) or electrostatically (Snezhko *et al.*, 2005) driven magnetic grains exhibit formation of long chains, isolated rings or interconnecting networks, see Fig. 13. In this situation magnetic dipole-dipole interaction augments hard-core collisions.

Ordered clusters and nontrivial dynamic states were observed by Thomas and Gollub (2004); Voth *et al.* (2002) in a small system of particles vibrated in liquid (Fig. 14). It was shown that fluid-mediated interaction between particles in a vibrating cavity leads to both long-range attraction and short-range repulsion. A plethora of nontrivial patterns including rotating vortices, pulsating rings, chains, hexagons etc was observed by Sapozhnikov *et al.* (2003a) in the system of conducting particles in dc electric field immersed in poor electrolyte (Fig. 15). The nontrivial competition between electrostatic forces and self-induced electrohydrodynamic flows determines the structure of emerging pattern.

Granular systems with complex interactions serve as a natural bridge to seemingly different systems such as foams, dense colloids, dusty plasmas, ferrofluids and many others.

### III. MAIN THEORETICAL CONCEPTS

Physics of granular media is a diverse and eclectic field incorporating many different concepts and ideas, from hydrodynamics to the theory of glasses. Consequently, many different theoretical approaches have been proposed to address observed phenomena.

FIG. 12 Select patterns observed in the system of vertically vibrated rods with the increase of vibration amplitude: a) nematic-like gas phase; b) moving domains of nearly vertical rods; c) multiple rotating vortices; d) single vortex, from Blair *et al.* (2003a).

FIG. 13 Structures formed in submonolayer of magnetic microparticles subjected to alternating magnetic field. Select structures such as rings, compact clusters, and chains are shown in the top panel. Changes in the pattern morphology with the increase of magnetic field frequency are illustrated by the three bottom images, from Snezhko *et al.* (2005).

FIG. 14 Regular arrangements of particles near the bottom of a vibrated container filled with water when both attraction and repulsion are important. All images are taken taken at the frequency  $f = 20$  Hz and for different value of dimensionless acceleration or for different initial conditions: (a) & (b)  $\Gamma = 3$ ; (c) & (d)  $\Gamma = 3.7$  and  $\Gamma$ : (e)  $\Gamma = 3.9$  and (f)  $\Gamma = 3.5$ , from Voth *et al.* (2002).

#### A. Kinetic theory and hydrodynamics

Kinetic theory deals with the equations for the probability distributions functions describing the state of granular gas. The corresponding equations, similar to Boltzmann equations for rarefied gases, can be rigorously derived for the dilute gas of inelastically colliding particles with fixed restitution coefficient, although certain generalizations are known, (Goldstein and Shapiro, 1995; Jenkins and Zhang, 2002). Kinetic theory is formulated in terms of the Boltzmann-Enskog equation for the probability distribution function  $f(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}, t)$  to find the particles with the velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  at point  $\mathbf{r}$  at time  $t$ . In the simplest case of identical frictionless spherical particles of radius  $d$  with fixed restitution coefficient  $e$  it assumes the following form

$$(\partial_t + (\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \nabla)) f((\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{r}_1, t) = I[f] \quad (2)$$

with the binary collision integral  $I[f]$  in the form

$$I = d^2 \int d\mathbf{v}_2 \int d\mathbf{n}_{12} \Theta(-\mathbf{v}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{12}) |\mathbf{v}_{12} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{12}| \times \\ \chi f(\mathbf{v}_1'', \mathbf{r}_1, t) f(\mathbf{v}_2'', \mathbf{r}_1 - d\mathbf{n}_{12}, t) \\ - f(\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{r}_1, t) f(\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{r}_1 + d\mathbf{n}_{12}, t) \quad (3)$$

where  $\chi = 1/e^2$ ,  $\Theta$  is theta-function, and pre-collision velocities  $v_{1,2}$  and “inverse collision” velocities  $v_{1,2}''$  are related as follows

$$\mathbf{v}_{1,2}'' = \mathbf{v}_{1,2} \mp \frac{1+e}{2e} [\mathbf{n}_{12}(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2)] \mathbf{n}_{12} \quad (4)$$

(cf. Eq. (1)). This equation is derived with the usual “molecular chaos” approximation which implies that all correlations between colliding particles are neglected.

FIG. 15 Representative patterns obtained for different values of applied field and concentration of ethanol in electrostatically driven granular system: static clusters (a) and honeycombs (b) and dynamic vortices (c) and pulsating rings (d), from Sapozhnikov *et al.* (2003a)

One should keep in mind, however, that in dense granular systems this approximation can be rather poor due to excluded volume effects and inelasticity of collisions introducing velocity correlations among particles (see, for example, Brilliantov and Pöschel (2004)).

Hydrodynamic equations are obtained by truncating the hierarchy of moment equations obtained from the Boltzmann equation (2) via an appropriately modified Chapman-Enskog procedure (see, e.g., (Brey *et al.*, 1998; Garzó and Dufty, 1999; Jenkins and Richman, 1985)). As a result, a set of continuity equations for mass, momentum and fluctuation kinetic energy (or “granular temperature”) is obtained. However, in contrast to conventional hydrodynamics, the applicability of granular hydrodynamics is often questionable because typically there is no separation of scale between microscopic and macroscopic motions<sup>1</sup>, see e.g. Tan and Goldhirsch (1998).

The mass, momentum and energy conservation equations in granular hydrodynamics have the form

$$\frac{D\nu}{Dt} = -\nu\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}, \quad (5)$$

$$\nu \frac{D\mathbf{u}}{Dt} = -\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \nu \mathbf{g}, \quad (6)$$

$$\nu \frac{DT}{Dt} = -\boldsymbol{\sigma} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} - \varepsilon, \quad (7)$$

where  $\nu$  is the filling fraction (the density of granular material normalized by the density of grains),  $\mathbf{u}$  is the velocity field,  $T = (\langle \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{u} \rangle^2)/2$  is the granular temperature,  $D/Dt = \partial_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)$  is the material derivative,  $\mathbf{g}$  is the gravity acceleration,  $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$  is the stress tensor,  $\mathbf{q}$  is the energy flux vector,  $\dot{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha u_\beta + \partial_\beta u_\alpha$  is the strain rate tensor, and  $\varepsilon$  is the energy dissipation rate. Eqs. (5)-(7) are structurally similar to the Navier-Stokes equations for conventional fluids except for the last term in the equation for granular temperature  $\varepsilon$  which accounts for the energy loss due to inelastic collisions.

These three equations have to be supplemented by the constitutive relations for the stress tensor  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ , energy flux  $\mathbf{q}$ , and the energy dissipation rate  $\varepsilon$ . For dilute systems, a linear relations between stress  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  and strain rate  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$  is obtained,

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = [p + (\mu - \lambda)\text{Tr}\dot{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}]\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \mu\dot{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{q} = -\kappa\nabla T. \quad (9)$$

In the kinetic theory of two-dimensional gas of slightly inelastic hard disks by Jenkins and Richman (1985), these equations are closed with the following equation

of state

$$p = \frac{4\nu T}{\pi d^2} [1 + (1 + e)G(\nu)], \quad (10)$$

and the expressions for the shear and bulk viscosities

$$\mu = \frac{\nu T^{1/2}}{2\pi^{1/2}dG(\nu)} \left[ 1 + 2G(\nu) + \left( 1 + \frac{8}{\pi} \right) G(\nu)^2 \right] \quad (11)$$

$$\lambda = \frac{8\nu G(\nu)T^{1/2}}{\pi^{3/2}d}, \quad (12)$$

the thermal conductivity

$$\kappa = \frac{2\nu T^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/2}dG(\nu)} \left[ 1 + 3G(\nu) + \left( \frac{9}{4} + \frac{4}{\pi} \right) G(\nu)^2 \right], \quad (13)$$

and the energy dissipation rate

$$\varepsilon = \frac{16\nu G(\nu)T^{3/2}}{\pi^{3/2}d^3}(1 - e^2). \quad (14)$$

The radial pair distribution function  $G(\nu)$  for a dilute 2D gas of elastic hard disks can be approximated by the formula (Song *et al.*, 1989)

$$G_{CS}(\nu) = \frac{\nu(1 - 7\nu/16)}{(1 - \nu)^2} \quad (15)$$

(this is a two-dimensional analog of the famous Carnahan-Starling formula (Carnahan and Starling, 1969) for elastic spheres). This formula is expected to work for densities roughly below 0.7. For high density granular gases, this function has been calculated using free volume theory by Buehler *et al.* (1951),

$$G_{FV} = \frac{1}{(1 + e) [(\nu_c/\nu)^{1/2} - 1]} \quad (16)$$

where  $\nu_c \approx 0.82$  is the density of the random close packing limit. Luding (2001) proposed a global fit

$$G_L = G_{CS} + (1 + \exp(-(\nu - \nu_0)/m_0))^{-1}(G_{FV} - G_{CS})$$

with empirically fitted parameters  $\nu_0 \approx 0.7$  and  $m_0 \approx 10^{-2}$ . However, even with this extension, the continuum theory comprised of Eqs.(5)-(14) cannot describe the force chains which transmit stress via persistent contacts remaining in the dense granular flows, as well as the hysteretic transition from solid to static regimes and coexisting solid and fluid phases.

The granular hydrodynamics is probably the most universal (however not always the most appropriate) tool for modelling large-scale collective behavior in driven granular matter. Granular hydrodynamics equations in the form (5),(6),(7) and their modifications are widely used in the engineering community to describe a variety of large-scale granular flows, especially for design of gas-fluidized bed reactors (Gidaspow, 1994). In the physics community granular hydrodynamics is used to understand various instabilities in

<sup>1</sup> Except the case of almost elastic particles with the restitution coefficient  $e \rightarrow 1$

relatively small-scale flows, such as flow past obstacle (Rericha *et al.*, 2002), convection (Livne *et al.*, 2002a,b), floating clusters (Meerson *et al.*, 2003), longitudinal rolls (Forterre and Pouliquen, 2002, 2003), patterns in vibrated layers (Bougie *et al.*, 2005) and others. However, Eqs. (5)-(7) are often used far beyond their applicability limits, viz. dilute flows. Consequently, certain parameters and constitutive relations need to be adjusted heuristically in order to accommodate observed behavior. For example, Bougie *et al.* (2002) had to introduce artificial non-zero viscosity in Eq. (6) for  $\nu \rightarrow 0$  in order to avoid artificial blowup of the solution. Similarly, Losert *et al.* (2000) introduced the viscosity diverging as density approaches the close packed limit as  $(\nu - \nu_c)^\beta$  with  $\beta \approx 1.75$  being the fitting parameter in order to describe the structure of dense shear granular flows.

## B. Phenomenological models

A generic approach to the description of dense granular flows was suggested by Aranson and Tsimring (2001, 2002) who proposed to treat the shear stress mediated fluidization of granular matter as a phase transition. For this purpose an order parameter characterizing the local state of granular matter and the corresponding phase field model were introduced. According to the model, the order parameter has its own relaxation dynamics and defines the static and dynamic contributions to the shear stress tensor. This approach is discussed in more details in Sec. VI.A.1.

Another popular approach is based on the two-phase description of granular flow, one phase corresponding to rolling grains and the other phase to static ones. This approach, so-called the BCRE model, was suggested by Bouchaud *et al.* (1994, 1995) for description of surface gravity driven flows. The BCRE model has direct relation to depth-averaged hydrodynamic equations (so-called Saint-Venant model) popular in the engineering community. Note that BCRE and Saint-Venant models can be derived in a certain limit from the more general order parameter model mentioned above, for detail see Sec. VI.A.2.

Many pattern-forming systems are often described by generic amplitude equations such as Ginzburg-Landau or Swift-Hohenberg equations (Aranson and Kramer, 2002; Cross and Hohenberg, 1993). This approach allows to explain many generic features of patterns, however in any particular system there are peculiarities which need to be taken into account. This often requires modifications to be introduced into the generic models. This approach was taken by Aranson and Tsimring (1998); Aranson *et al.* (1999a); Crawford and Riecke (1999); Tsimring and Aranson (1997); Venkataramani and Ott (1998) in order to describe patterns in a vibrated granular layer. Details of these approaches can be found in Sec. V.D.

In addition, a variety of tools of statistical physics

are applied to diverse phenomena occurring in granular systems. For example, celebrated theory of Lifshitz and Slyozov (1958) developed for coarsening phenomena in equilibrium systems was successfully applied to coarsening of clusters in granular systems (Aranson *et al.*, 2002), see Section VIII.C.

## C. Molecular dynamics simulations

Realistic simulation of granular matter consisting of thousands of particles remains a challenge for physics and computer science. Due to simplicity of microscopic interaction laws (at least for “dry” and non-cohesive granular matter) and relatively small number of particles in granular flows as compared to atomic and molecular systems, the molecular dynamics simulations or discrete element models have a potential to address adequately many phenomena occurring in the granular systems.

There exist three fundamentally different approaches, so-called soft particles simulation method; event driven algorithm and the contact dynamics method for rigid particles. For the review on various molecular dynamics simulation methods we recommend Luding (2004); Pöschel and Schwager (2005); Rapaport (1995).

In the soft particle algorithm, all forces acting on a particle either from walls or other particles or external forces are calculated based on the positions of the particles. Once the forces are found, the time is advanced by the explicit integration of the corresponding Newton equations of motion. Various models are used for calculating normal and tangential contact forces. In majority of implementations, the normal contact forces are determined from the particle overlap  $\Delta_n$  which is defined as the difference of the distance between the centers of mass of two particles and the sum of their radii. The normal force  $\mathbf{F}_n$  is either proportional to  $\Delta_n$  (linear Hookian contact) or proportional to  $\Delta_n^{3/2}$  (Hertzian contact). In the spring-dashpot model, additional dissipative force proportional to the normal component of the relative velocity is added to model inelasticity of grains. A variety of approaches are used to model tangential forces, the most widely accepted of them being Cundall-Strack algorithm (Cundall and Strack, 1979), in which the tangential contact is modelled by a dissipative linear spring whose force  $\mathbf{F}_t = -k_t \Delta_t - m/2 \gamma_t \mathbf{v}_t$  (here  $\Delta_t$  is the relative tangential displacement and  $\mathbf{v}_t$  is the relative tangential velocity,  $k_t, \gamma_t$  are model constants). It is truncated when its ratio to the normal force  $|\mathbf{F}_t|/|\mathbf{F}_n|$  reaches the friction coefficient  $\mu$  according to the Coloumb law. Soft-particles methods are relatively slow and used mostly for the analysis of dense flows when generally faster event-driven algorithms are not applicable, see e.g. Landry *et al.* (2003); Silbert *et al.* (2002a,b,c); Volfson *et al.* (2003a,b).

In the event-driven algorithm, the particles are considered infinitely rigid and move freely (or driven by macroscopic external fields) in the intervals between (in-

stantaneous) collisions. The algorithm updates velocities and positions of the two particles involved in a binary collision (in the simplest frictionless case, according to Eq. (1)), and then finds the time of the next collision and velocities and positions of all particles at that time according to Newton's law. Thus, the time is advanced directly from one collision to the next, and so variable time step is dictated by the interval between the collisions. While event-driven methods are typically faster for dilute rapid granular flows, they become impractical for dense flows where collisions are very frequent and furthermore particles develop persistent contacts. As a related numerical problem, event-driven methods are known to suffer from so-called "inelastic collapse" when the number of collisions between particles diverges in finite time (McNamara and Young, 1996). There are certain modifications to this method which allow to circumvent this problem by introducing velocity dependent restitution coefficient (see, e.g. Bizon *et al.* (1998a)), but still event-driven methods are mostly applied to rapid granular flows, see e.g. Ferguson *et al.* (2004); Khain and Meerson (2004); McNamara and Young (1996); Nie *et al.* (2002).

Contact dynamics is a discrete element method like soft-particles and event-driven ones, with the equations of motion integrated for each particle. Similarly to event-driven algorithm and unlike soft-particles method, particle deformations are suppressed by considering particles infinitely rigid. The contact dynamics method considers all contacts occurring within a certain short time interval as simultaneous, and computes all contact forces by satisfying simultaneously all kinematic constraints imposed by impenetrability of the particles and the Coulomb friction law. Imposing kinematic constraints requires contact forces (constraint forces) which cannot be calculated from the positions and velocities of particles alone. The constraint forces are determined in such a way that constraint-violating accelerations are compensated. For comprehensive review on the contact dynamics see Brendel *et al.* (2004).

Sometimes different molecular dynamics methods are often applied to the same problem. Lois *et al.* (2005); Radjai *et al.* (1998); Staron *et al.* (2002) applied contact dynamics methods and Silbert *et al.* (2002a,b); Volfson *et al.* (2003a,b) used soft-particles technique for the analysis of instabilities and constitutive relations in dense granular systems. Patterns in vibrated layers were studied by event-driven simulations by Bizon *et al.* (1998a); Moon *et al.* (2003) and by soft particles molecular dynamics simulations by Nie *et al.* (2000); Prevost *et al.* (2004).

## IV. PATTERNS IN SUB-MONOLAYERS. CLUSTERING, COARSENING AND PHASE TRANSITIONS

### A. Clustering in Freely Cooling Gases

Properties of granular gases are dramatically different from the properties of molecular gases due to inelasticity of collisions between the grains. This leads to the emergence of correlation between colliding particles and violation of the molecular chaos approximation. This in turn gives rise to various pattern-forming instabilities. Perhaps the simplest system exhibiting nontrivial pattern formation in the context of granular matter is freely cooling granular gas: isolated system of inelastically colliding particles. The interest to freely cooling granular gases was triggered by the discovery of clustering by Goldhirsch and Zanetti (1993): spontaneously forming dense clusters emerge as a result of instability of initially homogeneous cooling state, see Fig. 2. This instability, which can be traced in many other granular systems, has a very simple physical interpretation: local increase of the density of granular gas results in the increase in the number of collisions, and, therefore, further dissipation of energy and decrease in the granular temperature. Due to proportionality of pressure to the temperature, the decrease of temperature will consequently decrease local pressure, which, in turn, will create a flux of particles towards this pressure depression, and further increase of the density. This clustering instability has interesting counterparts in astrophysics: clustering of self-gravitating gas (Shandarin and Zeldovich, 1989) and "radiative instability" in optically thin plasmas (Meerson, 1996) resulting in interstellar dust condensation.

According to Goldhirsch and Zanetti (1993), the initial stage of clustering can be understood in terms of the instability of a homogeneously cooled state described by the density  $\nu$  and granular temperature  $T$ . This state is characterized by zero hydrodynamic velocity  $v$ , and the temperature evolution follows from the energy balance equation

$$\partial_t T \sim -T^{3/2} \quad (17)$$

which results in the Haff's cooling law  $T \sim t^{-2}$  (Haff, 1983). However, the uniform cooling state becomes unstable in large enough systems masking Haff's law. The discussion of the linear instability conditions can be found e.g. in Babic (1993); Brilliantov and Pöschel (2004). For the case of particles with fixed restitution coefficient  $e$ , the analysis in the framework of linearized hydrodynamics equations (5)-(7) yields the critical wavenumber  $k^*$  for the clustering instability

$$k^* \sim \sqrt{1 - e^2} \quad (18)$$

As one sees, the length scale of the clustering instability diverges in the limit of elastic particles  $e \rightarrow 1$ .

The clustering instability in a system of grains with constant restitution coefficient results in the inelastic



collapse discussed in the previous Section. Whereas the onset of clustering can be well-understood in the framework of granular hydrodynamics (see, e.g. Babic (1993); Brilliantov and Pöschel (2004); Goldhirsch (2003); Hill and Mazenko (2003)), certain subtle features (e.g. scaling exponents for temperature) are only assessed within molecular dynamics simulation because the hydrodynamic description often breaks in dense cold clusters. One recent theoretical approach to the description of the late stages of clustering instability consists in introducing additional regularization into the hydrodynamic description due to the finite size of particles (Efrati *et al.*, 2005; Nie *et al.*, 2002).

Nie *et al.* (2002) argued that cluster formation and coalescence in freely cooling granular gases can be heuristically described by the Burgers equation for hydrodynamic velocity  $v$  with random initial conditions:

$$\partial_t v + v \nabla v = \mu_0 \nabla^2 v \quad (19)$$

where  $\mu_0$  is effective viscosity (which is different from the shear viscosity in hydrodynamic equations). In this context clustering is associated with the formation of shocks in the Burgers equation. Perhaps not surprising, a very similar approach was applied for description of the gas of “sticky” particles for the description of the large-scale matter formation in the Universe (Gurbatov *et al.*, 1985; Shandarin and Zeldovich, 1989).

Meerson and Puglisi (2005) conducted molecular dynamics simulations of the clustering instability of a freely cooling dilute inelastic gas in a quasi-one-dimensional setting. This problem was also examined in the framework of granular hydrodynamics by Efrati *et al.* (2005). It was observed that, as the gas cools, stresses become negligibly small, and the gas flows only by inertia. Hydrodynamic description reveals a finite-time singularity, as the velocity gradient and the gas density diverge at some location. The molecular dynamics studies show that finite-time singularities, intrinsic in such flows, are arrested only when close-packed clusters are formed. It was confirmed that the late-time dynamics and coarsening behavior are describable by the Burgers equation (19) with vanishing viscosity  $\mu_0$ . Correspondingly, the average cluster mass grows as  $t^{2/3}$  and the average velocity decreases as  $t^{-1/3}$ . Due to the clustering long-term temperature evolution is  $T \sim t^{-2/3}$  which is different from Haff’s law  $T \sim t^{-2}$  derived for the spatially-homogeneous cooling. Efrati *et al.* (2005) argue that flow by inertia represents a generic intermediate asymptotic of unstable free cooling of dilute granular gases consistent with the Burgers equation (19) description of one-dimensional gas of “sticky particles” suggested by Nie *et al.* (2002).

While there is a qualitative similarity between Burgers shocks and clusters in granular materials at least in one dimension, the applicability of the Burgers equation for the description of granular media is still an open question, especially in two and three dimensions. The main problem is that the Burgers equation can be derived from the hydrodynamic equations only in one dimensional sit-

uation, in two and three dimensions the Burgers equation assumes *zero vorticity*, which possibly oversimplifies the problem and may miss important physics. In fact, molecular dynamics simulations illustrate the development of large-scale vortex flows in the course of clustering instability (Catuto and Marconi, 2004; van Noije and Ernst, 2000).

Finally, Das and Puri (2003) proposed a phenomenological description of the long-term clusters evolution in granular gases. Using the analogy between clustering in granular gases and phase-ordering dynamics in two-component mixtures, Das and Puri (2003) postulated generalized Cahn-Hilliard equations for the evolution of density  $\nu$  and complex velocity  $\psi = v_x + iv_y$  (see e.g. (Bray, 1994))

$$\partial_t \nu = (-\nabla^2)^m [\nu - \nu^3 + \nabla^2 \nu] \quad (20)$$

$$\partial_t \psi = (-\nabla^2)^m [\psi - |\psi|^2 \psi + \nabla^2 \psi] \quad (21)$$

with  $m \rightarrow 0+$  which characterize globally-conserved dynamics of  $\nu$  and  $\psi$  similar to that considered in Sec. VIII.C.1. Das and Puri (2003) argue that this choice is most appropriate due to the non-diffusive character of particles motion and is consistent with the observed morphology of clusters. While it might be very challenging to derive Eqs. (20),(21) from the first principles or to deduce them from hydrodynamic equations, the connection to phase-ordering dynamics is certainly deserves further investigation.

## B. Patterns in Driven Granular Gases

Discovery of the clustering instability stimulated a large number of experimental and theoretical studies, even experiments in low gravity conditions (Falcon *et al.*, 1999). Since “freely cooling granular gas” is difficult to implement in the laboratory, most experiments were performed in the situation when the energy is injected in the granular system in one or another way. Kudrolli *et al.* (1997) studied two-dimensional granular assemblies interacting with a horizontally vibrating (or “hot”) wall. In agreement with granular hydrodynamics, maximum gas density occurs *opposite* to the vibrating wall, see Fig. 16. The experimental density distributions are consistent with the modified hydrodynamic approach proposed by Grossman *et al.* (1997). Khain and Meerson (2002); Khain *et al.* (2004a); Livne *et al.* (2002a,b), studied the dynamics of granular gases interacting with a hot wall analytically using granular hydrodynamic theory for rigid disks in the formulation of Jenkins and Richman (1985) and predicted a novel phase-separation or van der Waals-type instability of the one-dimensional density distribution. This instability, reproduced later by molecular dynamics simulations (Argentina *et al.*, 2002) is different from the usual convection instability as it occurs without gravity and is driven by the coarsening mechanism. Simulations indicated a profound role of fluctuations. One may expect that noise amplification near the

FIG. 16 Sample image showing dense cold cluster formed opposite the driving wall (at the bottom), total number of particles 1860, from Kudrolli *et al.* (1997).

instability thresholds in granular systems will be very important due to non-macroscopic number of grains. In the context of phase-separation instability Meerson *et al.* (2004) raised the non-trivial question of the origin of giant fluctuations and break-down of hydrodynamic description in granular systems near the threshold of instability (see also (Bougie *et al.*, 2005; Goldman *et al.*, 2004) on the effect of fluctuations in multilayers). Remarkably, for the granular gas confined between two oscillating walls Khain and Meerson (2004) predicted on the basis of event-driven simulations a novel oscillatory instability for the position of the dense cluster. These predictions, however, have not yet been confirmed experimentally, most likely due to the relatively small aspect ratio of available experimental cells.

Olafsen and Urbach (1998) pioneered experiments with sub-monolayers of particles subject to vertical vibration<sup>2</sup>. Their studies revealed a surprising phenomenon: formation of a dense closely-packed cluster co-existing with dilute granular gas, see Fig. 1. The phenomenon bears a strong resemblance to the first-order solid/liquid phase transition in equilibrium systems. Similar experiments by Losert *et al.* (1999) discovered propagating fronts between gas-like and solid-like phases in vertically vibrated sub-monolayers. Such fronts are expected in extended systems in the vicinity of the first order phase transition, e.g. solidification fronts in supercooled liquids. Prevost *et al.* (2004) performed experiments with vibrated granular gas confined between two plates. Qualitatively similar phase coexistence was found. The cluster formation in vibro-fluidized sub-monolayers shares many common features with processes in freely cooling granular gases because it is also caused by the energy dissipation due to inelasticity of collisions. However, there is a significant difference: the instability described in Subsec. IV.A is insufficient to explain the phase separation. A very important additional factor is *bistability* and coexistence of states due to the nontrivial density dependence of the transfer rate of particle's vertical to horizontal momentum. Particles in a dense closed-packed cluster likely obtain less horizontal momentum than in a moderately dilute gas because in the former particle vibrations are constrained to the vertical plane by interaction with neighbors. In turn, in a very dilute gas the vertical to horizontal momentum transfer is also inhibited due to lack of particle collisions. Another factor here is that vibration is not fully equivalent to the interaction with a heat bath. It is well known that even a single particle

interacting with a periodically vibrating plate exhibits coexistence of dynamic and static states (Losert *et al.*, 1999).

There were several simulation studies of clustering and phase coexistence in vibrated granular submonolayers. Nie *et al.* (2000); Prevost *et al.* (2004) reproduced certain features of cluster formation and two-phase co-existence by means of large-scale three-dimensional molecular dynamics simulations. Since realistic three-dimensional simulations are still expensive and extremely time-consuming, simplified modelling of the effect of a vibrating wall by a certain multiplicative random forcing on individual particles was employed by Cafiero *et al.* (1999). While the multiplicative random forcing is an interesting theoretical idea, it has to be used with caution as it is not guaranteed to reproduce subtle details of particle dynamics, especially the sensitive dependence of the vertical to horizontal momentum transfer as the function of the density.

### C. Coarsening of clusters

One of the most intriguing questions in the context of phase coexistence in vibrofluidized granular sub-monolayers is a possibility of Ostwald-type ripening and coarsening of clusters similar to that observed in equilibrium systems (Lifshitz and Slyozov, 1958, 1961). In particular, the scaling law for the number of macroscopic clusters is of special interest because it gives a deep insight into the similarity between equilibrium thermodynamic systems and non-equilibrium granular systems. The experiments (Losert *et al.*, 1999; Olafsen and Urbach, 1998; Prevost *et al.*, 2004; Sapozhnikov *et al.*, 2003) demonstrated emergence and growth of multiple clusters but did not have sufficient aspect ratio to address the problem of coarsening in a quantitative way.

Nevertheless, as it was suggested by Aranson *et al.* (2000), statistical information on out-of-equilibrium Ostwald ripening can be obtained in a different granular system: electrostatically driven granular media. This system permits one to operate with extremely small particles and obtain a very large number of macroscopic clusters. In this system the number of clusters  $N$  decays with time as  $N \sim 1/t$ . This law is consistent with interface-controlled Ostwald ripening in two dimensions, see (Wagner, 1961). Whereas mechanisms of energy injections are different, both vibrofluidized and electrostatically-driven systems show similar behavior: macroscopic phase separation, coarsening, transition from two- to three-dimensional cluster growth, etc (Sapozhnikov *et al.*, 2003). In Aranson *et al.* (2002) the theoretical description of granular coarsening was developed in application to the electrostatically driven grains, however we postpone the description of this theory to Sec. VIII.C. We anticipate that a theory similar to that formulated in Aranson *et al.* (2002) can be applicable to

<sup>2</sup> Sub-monolayer implies less than 100% percent coverage by particles of the bottom plate.

mechanically fluidized granular materials as well. The main difference there is the physical mechanism of energy injection which will possibly affect the specific form of the conversion rate function  $\phi$  in Eq. (69) in Sec. VIII.C.

## V. SURFACE WAVES AND PATTERNS IN VIBRATED MULTILAYERS OF GRANULAR MATERIALS

### A. Chladni patterns and heaping

Driven granular systems often manifest collective fluid-like behavior: shear flows, convection, surface waves, and pattern formation (see e.g. Jaeger *et al.* (1996)). Surprisingly, even very thin (less than ten) layers of sand under excitation exhibit pattern formation which is quite similar (however with some important differences) to the corresponding patterns in fluids. One of the most fascinating examples of these collective dynamics is the appearance of long-range coherent patterns and localized excitations in vertically-vibrated thin granular layers.

Experimental studies of vibrated layers of sand have a long and illustrious history, beginning from the seminal works by Chladni (1787) and Faraday (1831) in which they used a violin bow and a membrane to excite vertical vibrations in a thin layer of grains. The main effect observed in those early papers, was “heaping” of granular matter in mounds near the nodal lines of the membrane oscillations. This behavior was immediately (and correctly) attributed to the “acoustic streaming”, or nonlinear detection of the nonuniform excitation of grains by membrane modes. One puzzling result by Chladni was that a very thin powder would collect at the anti-nodal regions where the amplitude of vibrations is maximal. As Faraday demonstrated by evacuating the container, this phenomenology is caused by the role of air permeating the grains in motion. Evidently, the interstitial gas becomes important as the terminal velocity of a free fall  $v_t = \nu g d^2 / 18\mu$  becomes of the order of the plate velocity, and this condition is fulfilled for 10 – 20  $\mu m$  particles on a plate vibrating with frequency 50 Hz and acceleration amplitude  $g$ .

In subsequent years the focus of attention was diverted from dynamical properties of thin layers of vibrated sand, and only in the last third of the 20th century physicists returned to this old problem equipped with new experimental capabilities. The dawn of the new era was marked by the studies of heaping by Jenny (1964). In subsequent papers (Dinkelacker *et al.*, 1987; Douady *et al.*, 1989; Evesque *et al.*, 1989; Laroche *et al.*, 1989; Walker, 1982), more research has been performed of heaping with and without interstitial gas, with somewhat controversial conclusions on the necessity of ambient gas for heaping (see, e.g. (Evesque, 1990)). Eventually, after more careful analysis Pak *et al.* (1995) concluded that heaping indeed disappears as the pressure of the ambient gas tends to zero or the particle size increases.

This agreed with numerical molecular dynamics simulations (Gallas *et al.*, 1992a,b,c; Gallas and Sokolowski, 1993; Luding *et al.*, 1994; Taguchi, 1992) which showed no heaping without interstitial gas effects. Recent studies of deep layers ( $50 < N < 200$ ) of small particles ( $10 < d < 200\mu m$ ) by Duran (2000, 2001); Falcon *et al.* (1999a) showed a number of interesting patterns and novel instabilities caused by interstitial air. In particular, Duran (2001) observed formation of isolated droplets of grains after periodic taping similar to the Rayleigh-Taylor instability in ordinary fluids.

Jia *et al.* (1999) proposed a simple model for heap formation which is motivated by these experiments. In a discrete lattice version of the model, the decrease in local density due to vibrations is modelled by the random creation of empty sites in the bulk. The bulk flow is simulated by the dynamics of empty sites, while the surface flow is modelled by rules similar to the sandpile model (see Sec. VI.B). This model reproduced both convection inside the powder and the heap formation for sufficiently large probability of empty site formation (which mimics the magnitude of vibration). Jia *et al.* (1999) also proposed the continuum model which has a simple form of a nonlinear reaction-diffusion equation, for the local height of the sandpile

$$\partial_t h = D\nabla^2 h + \Omega h - \beta h^2. \quad (22)$$

However this model is perhaps too generic and lacks the specific physics of the heaping process.

### B. Standing wave patterns

While heaping may or may not appear depending on the gas pressure and the particle properties at small vertical acceleration, at higher vertical acceleration patterns of standing waves emerge in thin layers. They were first reported by Douady *et al.* (1989); Fauve *et al.* (1989) in a quasi two-dimensional geometry. These waves oscillated at the half of the driving frequency, which indicates the sub-harmonic resonance characteristic for parametric instability. This first observation spurred a number of experimental studies of standing waves in thin granular layers in two and three dimensional geometries (Aranson *et al.*, 1999b; Clément *et al.*, 1996; Melo *et al.*, 1994, 1995; Mujica and Melo, 1998; Umbanhowar *et al.*, 1996). Importantly, these studies were performed in evacuated containers, which allowed to obtain reproducible results not contaminated by heaping. Fig. 3 shows a variety of regular patterns observed in vibrated granular layers under vibration (Melo *et al.*, 1994). As a result of these studies, the emerging picture of pattern formation appears as follows.

The particular pattern is determined by the interplay between driving frequency  $f$  and acceleration of the container  $\Gamma = 4\pi^2 \mathcal{A} f^2 / g$  ( $\mathcal{A}$  is the amplitude of oscillations,  $g$  is the gravity acceleration) (Melo *et al.*, 1994, 1995). The layer of grains remains flat for  $\Gamma < 2.4$  more-less

FIG. 17 Phase diagram of various regimes in vibrated granular layers, from Melo *et al.* (1995).

independent of driving frequency. At higher  $\Gamma$  patterns of standing waves emerge. At small frequencies  $f < f^*$  (for experimental conditions of Melo *et al.* (1995),  $f^* \approx 45$  Hz) the transition is subcritical, leading to the formation of square wave patterns, see Fig.3b. For higher frequencies  $f > f^*$  the selected pattern is quasi-one-dimensional stripes (Fig. 3a), and the transition becomes supercritical. In the intermediate region  $f \sim f^*$ , localized excitations (*oscillons*, Fig.4) and various bound states of oscillons (Fig.3f) were observed within the hysteretic region of the parameter plane. Both squares and stripes, as well as oscillons, oscillate at the half of the driving frequency, which indicates the parametric mechanism of their excitation. The wavelength of the cellular patterns near the onset scales linearly with the depth of the layer and diminishes with the frequency of vibration (Umbanhowar and Swinney, 2000). The frequency corresponding to the strip-square transition was shown to depend on the particle diameter  $d$  as  $d^{-1/2}$ . This scaling suggests that the transition is controlled by the relative magnitude of the energy influx from the vibrating plate  $\propto f^2$  and the gravitational dilation energy  $\propto gd$ . At higher acceleration ( $\Gamma > 4$ ), stripes and squares become unstable, and hexagons appear instead (Fig. 3c). Further increase of acceleration at  $\Gamma \approx 4.5$  converts hexagons into a domain-like structure of flat layers oscillating with frequency  $f/2$  with opposite phases. Depending on parameters, interfaces separating flat domains, are either smooth or “decorated” by periodic undulations (Fig. 3e). For  $\Gamma > 5.7$  various quarter-harmonic patterns emerge. The complete phase diagram of different regimes observed in a three-dimensional container is shown in Fig. 17. For even higher acceleration ( $\Gamma > 7$ ) the experiments reveal surprising phase bubbles and spatio-temporal chaos oscillating approximately at one fourth the driving frequency (Moon *et al.*, 2002).

Subsequent investigations revealed that periodic patterns share many features with convective rolls in Rayleigh-Bénard convection, for example skew-varicose and cross-roll instabilities (de Bruyn *et al.*, 1998).

### C. Simulations of vibrated granular layers

The general understanding of the standing wave patterns in thin granular layers can be gained by the analogy with ordinary fluids. The Faraday instability in fluids and corresponding pattern selection problems have been studied theoretically and numerically in great detail (see e.g. Zhang and Viñals (1997)). The primary mechanism of instability is the parametric resonance between the spatially uniform periodic driving at frequency  $f$  and two counter-propagating gravity waves at frequency  $f/2$ . However, this instability in ordinary flu-

FIG. 18 Comparison between subharmonic patterns in experiment (left) and three dimensional molecular dynamics simulations (right) of 30000 particles in a square vibrated container for different frequencies and amplitudes of vibration, from Bizon *et al.* (1998a).

ids leads to a supercritical bifurcation and square wave patterns near offset, and as a whole the corresponding phase diagram lacks the richness of the granular system. Of course this can be explained by the fact that there are many qualitative differences between granular matter and fluids, such as presence of strong dissipation, friction and the absence of surface tension in the former. Interestingly, localized oscillon-type objects were subsequently observed in vertically vibrated layers of non-Newtonian fluid (Lioubashevski *et al.*, 1999), and stipe patterns were observed in highly viscous fluid (Kiyashko *et al.*, 1996). The theoretical understanding of the pattern formation in a vibrated granular system presents a challenge, since unlike fluid dynamics there is no universal theoretical description of dense granular flows analogous to the Navier-Stokes equations. In the absence of this common base, theoretical and computational efforts in describing these patterns followed several different directions. Aoki *et al.* (1996) were first to perform molecular dynamics simulations of patterns in the vibrated granular layer. They concluded that grain-grain friction is necessary for pattern formation in this system. However, as noted by Bizon *et al.* (1997), this conclusion is a direct consequence of the fact that the algorithm of Aoki *et al.* (1996), which is based on the Lennard-Jones interaction potential and velocity dependent dissipation, leads to the restitution coefficient of particles approaching unity for large collision speeds rather than decreasing according to experiments.

Bizon *et al.* (1998a,b) performed event-driven simulations of colliding grains on a vibrated plate assuming constant restitution (see also Luding *et al.* (1996) for earlier two-dimensional event-driven simulations). It was demonstrated that even without friction, patterns do form in the system, however only supercritical bifurcation to stripes is observed. It turned out that friction is necessary to produce other patterns observed in experiments, such as squares and  $f/4$  hexagons. Simulations with frictional particles reproduced the majority of patterns observed in experiments and many features of the bifurcation diagram (with the important exception of the oscillons). Bizon *et al.* (1998a) set out to match an experimental cell and a numerical system, maintaining exactly the same size container and sizes and the number of particles. After fitting only two parameters of the numerical model, Bizon *et al.* (1998a) were able to find a very close quantitative agreement between various patterns in the experimental cell and patterns in simulations throughout the parameter space of the experiment (frequency of driving, amplitude of acceleration, thickness of the layer), see Fig. 18.

Shinbrot (1997) proposed a model which combined ideas from molecular dynamics and continuum modelling. Specifically, the model ignored vertical component of particle motion and assumed that impact with the plate adds certain randomizing horizontal velocity to the individual particles. The magnitude of the random component being added at each impact served as a measure of impact strength. After the impact particles were allowed to travel freely in the horizontal plane for a certain fraction of a period after which they inelastically collide with each other (a particle acquires momentum averaged over all particles in its neighborhood). This model did reproduce a variety of patterns seen in experiments (stripes, squares, and hexagons) for various values of control parameters (frequency of driving and impact strength), however it did not describe some of the experimental phenomenology (localized objects as well as interfaces), besides it also produced a number of intricate patterns not seen in experiments.

#### D. Continuum theories

The first continuum models of pattern formation in vibrating sand were purely phenomenological. In the spirit of weakly-nonlinear perturbation theories Tsimring and Aranson (1997) introduced the complex amplitude  $\psi(x, y, t)$  of sub-harmonic oscillations of the layer surface,  $h = \psi \exp(i\pi ft) + c.c.$ . The equation for this function on the symmetry grounds in the lowest order was written as

$$\partial_t \psi = \gamma \psi^* - (1 - i\omega)\psi + (1 + ib)\nabla^2 \psi - |\psi|^2 \psi - \nu \psi. \quad (23)$$

Here  $\gamma$  is the normalized amplitude of forcing at the driving frequency  $f$ . The linear terms in Eq. (23) can be obtained from the complex growth rate for infinitesimal periodic layer perturbations  $h \sim \exp[\Lambda(k)t + ikx]$ . Expanding  $\Lambda(k)$  for small  $k$ , and keeping only two leading terms in the expansion  $\Lambda(k) = -\Lambda_0 - \Lambda_1 k^2$  gives rise to the linear terms in Eq. (23), where  $b = \text{Im}\Lambda_1 / \text{Re}\Lambda_1$  characterizes ratio of dispersion to diffusion and parameter  $\omega = -(\text{Im}\Lambda_0 + \pi f) / \text{Re}\Lambda_0$ , characterizes the frequency of the driving.

The only difference between this equation and the Ginzburg-Landau equation for the parametric instability (Coulet *et al.*, 1990) is the coupling of the complex amplitude  $\psi$  to the “slow mode”  $\nu$  which characterizes local dissipation in the granular layer ( $\nu$  can be interpreted as coarse-grained layer’s number density). This slow mode obeys its own dynamical equation

$$\partial_t \nu = \alpha \nabla \cdot (\nu \nabla |\psi|^2) + \beta \nabla^2 \nu. \quad (24)$$

This equation describes re-distribution of the averaged thickness due to the diffusive flux  $\propto -\nabla \nu$ , and an additional flux  $\propto -\nu \nabla |\psi|^2$  is caused by the spatially nonuniform vibrations of the granular material. This coupled model was used by Aranson and Tsimring (1998);

FIG. 19 Phase diagram showing primary stable patterns derived from Eqs. (23),(24). Points indicate stable oscillons obtained by numerical solution of Eqs. (23),(24),  $\eta = \alpha/\beta$ ,  $\mu = \langle \nu \rangle$  is average density, and  $\epsilon \sim \gamma - \gamma_c$  is supercriticality parameter, from Tsimring and Aranson (1997).

FIG. 20 Radially-symmetric oscillon solution of Eqs.(23),(24) for  $\gamma = 1.8, \mu = 0.567, b = 2, \omega = \alpha = 1, \eta = 5/\gamma$ , from Tsimring and Aranson (1997).

Tsimring and Aranson (1997) to describe the pattern selection near the threshold of the primary bifurcation. The phase diagram of various patterns found in this model is shown in Fig. 19. At small  $\alpha \langle \nu \rangle \beta^{-1}$  (which corresponds to low frequencies and thick layers), the primary bifurcation is subcritical and leads to the emergence of square patterns. For higher frequencies and/or thinner layers, transition is supercritical and leads to roll patterns. At intermediate frequencies stable localized solutions of Eqs.(23),(24) corresponding to isolated *oscillons* and a variety of bound states were found in agreement with experiment. The mechanism of oscillon stabilization is related to the oscillatory asymptotic behavior of the tails of the oscillon (see Fig. 20), since this underlying periodic structure provides pinning for the circular front forming the oscillon. Without such pinning, the oscillon solution could only exist at a certain unique value of a control parameter (e.g.  $\gamma$ ), and would either collapse or expand otherwise.

Let us note that stable localized solutions somewhat resembling oscillons have recently been found in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with additional linear dissipation and parametric driving (Barashenkov *et al.*, 2002).

Phenomenological model (23),(24) also provides a good description of patterns away from the primary bifurcation - hexagons and interfaces (Aranson *et al.*, 1999a). In high-frequency limit the slow mode dynamics can be neglected ( $\nu$  becomes enslaved by  $\psi$ ), and the dynamics can be described by a single parametric Ginzburg-Landau equation (23).

It is convenient to shift the phase of the complex order parameter via  $\tilde{\psi} = \psi \exp(i\phi)$  with  $\sin 2\phi = \omega/\gamma$ . The equations for real and imaginary part  $\tilde{\psi} = A + iB$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t A &= (s - 1)A - 2\omega B - (A^2 + B^2)A + \nabla^2(A - bB), \\ \partial_t B &= -(s + 1)B - (A^2 + B^2)B + \nabla^2(B + bA), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where  $s^2 = \gamma^2 - \omega^2$ . At  $s < 1$ , Eqs. (25) has only one trivial uniform state  $A = 0, B = 0$ , At  $s > 1$ , two new uniform states appear,  $A = \pm A_0, B = 0, A_0 = \sqrt{s - 1}$ . The onset of these states corresponds to the period doubling of the layer flights sequence, observed in experiments (Melo *et al.*, 1994, 1995) and predicted by the simple inelastic ball model (Mehta and Luck, 1990; Melo *et al.*, 1994, 1995). Signs  $\pm$  reflect two relative phases of layer flights with respect to container vibrations.

Weakly-nonlinear analysis reveals that the uniform states  $\pm A_0$  lose their stability with respect to finite-wavenumber perturbations at  $s < s_c$ , and the nonlinear interaction of growing modes leads to hexagonal patterns. The reason for this is that the non-zero base state  $A = \pm A_0$  lacks the up-down symmetry  $\psi \rightarrow -\psi$  and the corresponding amplitude equations contains quadratic terms which are known to favor hexagons close to onset (see, e.g. Cross and Hohenberg (1993)). In the regime when the uniform states  $A = \pm A_0, B = 0$  are stable, there is an interface solution connecting these two asymptotic states. This interface may exhibit transversal instability which leads to decorated interfaces (see experimental Fig. 3e). Due to symmetry, the interfaces are immobile, however breaking the symmetry of driving can lead to interface motion. This symmetry breaking can be achieved by additional subharmonic driving at frequency  $f/2$ . The interface will move depending on the relative phases of  $f$  and  $f/2$  harmonics of driving. This interface drift was predicted in (Aranson *et al.*, 1999a) and observed in the subsequent work (Aranson *et al.*, 1999b). As it was noted by Aranson *et al.* (1999b) (see also later work by Moon *et al.* (2003)), moving interfaces can be used to separate granular material of different sizes. The stability and transition between flat and decorated interfaces was studied theoretically and experimentally by Blair *et al.* (2000). It was shown that non-local effects are responsible for the saturation of transverse instability of interfaces. Moreover, new localized solutions (“superoscillons”) were found for large accelerations. In contrast with conventional oscillons existing on the flat background oscillating with driving frequency  $f$ , i.e. in our notation  $\psi = 0$ , the superoscillons exist on the background of the flat period-doubled solution  $\psi \neq 0$ .

Another description of the primary pattern-forming bifurcation was done by Crawford and Riecke (1999) in the framework of the generalized Swift-Hohenberg equation

$$\partial_t \psi = R\psi - (\partial_x^2 + 1)^2 \psi + b\psi^3 - c\psi^5 + \varepsilon \nabla \cdot [(\nabla \psi)^3] - \beta_1 \psi (\nabla \psi)^2 - \beta_2 \psi^2 \nabla^2 \psi. \quad (26)$$

Here the (real) function  $\psi$  characterizes the amplitude of the oscillating solution, so implicitly it is assumed that the whole pattern always oscillates in phase. Terms proportional to  $\varepsilon$  have been added to the standard Swift-Hohenberg equation first introduced for description of convective rolls (see, e.g. Cross and Hohenberg (1993)) since they are known to favor square patterns, and extended fifth-order local nonlinearity allowed to simulate subcritical bifurcation for  $R < 0$ . This equation also describes both square and stripe patterns depending on the magnitude of  $\varepsilon$  and for negative  $R$  has a stable oscillon-type solution.

Even more generic approach was taken by Venkataramani and Ott (1998, 2001) who argued that the spatio-temporal dynamics of patterns generated by parametric forcing can be understood in the framework of a discrete-time, continuous space system

which locally exhibits a sequence of period-doubling bifurcations and whose spatial coupling operator selects a certain spatial scale. In particular they studied the discrete-time system

$$\xi_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{L}[M(\xi_n(\mathbf{x}))] \quad (27)$$

where local mapping  $M(\xi)$  is described by a Gaussian map

$$M(\xi) = \tilde{r} \exp[-(\xi - 1)^2/2]$$

and the linear spatial operator  $\mathcal{L}$  has an azimuthally symmetric Fourier transform

$$f(k) = \text{sign}[k_c^2 - k^2] \exp[k^2(1 - k^2/2k_0^2)/2].$$

Here  $k$  is the wavenumber,  $k_c, k_0$  are two inverse length scales characterizing the spatial coupling, and  $\tilde{r}$  describes the amplitude of forcing. While this choice of the spatial operator appears rather arbitrary, it leads to a phase diagram on the plane  $(k_c/k_0, r)$  which is similar to the experimental one.

Several authors (Cerdea *et al.*, 1997; Eggers and Riecke, 1999; Park and Moon, 2002) attempted to develop a quasi two-dimensional fluid-dynamics-like continuum description of the vibrated sand patterns. These models deal with mass and momentum conservation equations which are augmented by specific constitutive relations for the mass flux and pressure. Cerdea *et al.* (1997) assumed that during impact particles acquire horizontal velocities proportional to the gradient of local thickness, then during the flight that move freely with these velocities and redistribute mass, and during the remainder of the cycle the layer diffusively relaxes on the plate. The authors found that a flat layer is unstable with respect to square pattern formation, however the transition is supercritical. In order to account for the subcritical character of the primary bifurcation to square patterns, the authors postulated the existence of a certain critical slope (related to the repose angle) below which the free flight initiated by the impact does not occur. They also observed the existence of localized excitations (oscillons and bound states), however they appeared only as transients in the model. Park and Moon (2002) generalized this model by explicitly writing the momentum conservation equation and introducing the equation of state for the hydrodynamic pressure which is proportional to the square of the velocity divergence. This effect provides saturation of the free-flight focusing instability and leads to a squares-to-stripes transition at higher frequencies which was missing in the original model (Cerdea *et al.*, 1997). By introducing multiple free-flight times and contact times Park and Moon (2002) were also able to reproduce hexagonal patterns and superlattices.

Full three-dimensional continuum simulations based on the granular hydrodynamics equations (5),(6),(7) were performed by Bougie *et al.* (2005). Quantitative agreement was found between this description and event-driven molecular dynamics simulations and experiments

FIG. 21 Dispersion relation for stipes near the onset according to continuum granular hydrodynamics equations and molecular dynamics simulations compared with experimental data, from Bougie *et al.* (2005).

in terms of the wavelength dependence on the vibration frequency (Fig.21) although the authors had to introduce a certain regularization procedure in the hydrodynamic equations in order to avoid artificial numerical instabilities for  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ . Since standard granular hydrodynamics does not take into account friction among particles, the simulations only yielded stripe pattern, in agreement with earlier molecular dynamics simulations. Furthermore, the authors found a small but systematic difference ( $\sim 10\%$ ) between the critical value of plate acceleration in fluid-dynamical and molecular dynamics simulations which could be attributed to the role of fluctuations near the onset. Proper account of inter-particle friction and fluctuations within the full hydrodynamics description still remains an open problem (see more on that in Section VI).

Fluctuations are expected to play a significantly greater role in granular hydrodynamics than in usual fluids, because the total number of particles involved in the dynamics per characteristic spatial scale of the problem is many orders of magnitude smaller than the Avogadro number. The apparatus of fluctuating hydrodynamics which was developed in particular for description of transition to rolls in Rayleigh-Bénard convection (Swift and Hohenberg, 1977), has been recently applied to the granular patterns (Bougie *et al.*, 2005; Goldman *et al.*, 2004). The Swift-Hohenberg theory is based on the equation for the order parameter  $\psi$ ,

$$\partial_t \psi = [\epsilon - (\nabla^2 + k_0^2)]\psi - \psi^3 + \eta(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad (28)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the bifurcation parameter,  $k_0$  is the wavenumber corresponding to the most unstable perturbations, and  $\eta$  is the Gaussian  $\delta$  correlated noise term with intensity  $F$ . The Swift-Hohenberg theory predicts that noise offsets the bifurcation value of the control parameter from the mean-field value  $\epsilon_{MF} = 0$  to the critical value  $\epsilon_c \propto F^{2/3}$ . Furthermore, the Swift-Hohenberg theory describes the transition to the linear regime which is expected to work far away from the bifurcation point for small noise intensity when the magnitude of noise-excited modes scales as  $|\epsilon - \epsilon_c|^{-1/2}$ , while the time coherence of fluctuations and the amplitude of spectral peaks decays as  $|\epsilon - \epsilon_c|^{-1}$ . Fitting the Swift-Hohenberg equation (28) to match the transition in vibrated granular layer, Bougie *et al.* (2005); Goldman *et al.* (2004) found a good agreement with molecular dynamics simulations and experiments (see, e.g., Fig.22). Interestingly, the magnitude of the fitted noise term in Eq.(28)  $F \approx 3.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$  turned out to be an order of magnitude greater than for convective instability in a fluid near a critical point (Oh and Ahlers, 2003). This discrepancy could stem from the fact that the Swift-Hohenberg the-

FIG. 22 Comparison between the Swift-Hohenberg theory and experiment for noise peak intensity (a), total noise power (b) and the correlation time (c). Symbols - experiment, solid lines - Swift-Hohenberg theory, dashed lines - linear theory for small noise magnitude, from Goldman *et al.* (2004).

FIG. 23 Select patterns in a shallow fluidized bed with periodically modulated air flow for different flow parameters, from Li *et al.* (2003)

ory, developed for ordinary fluids, is formally valid for the second-order phase transition, whereas in granular system the transition to square patterns is of the first order type. Consequently, the nonlinear terms can be important near the transition point and may distort the scaling for the noise amplitude.

There have been attempts to connect patterns in vibrated layers with the phenomenon of granular “thermoconvection”. Since high-frequency vibration in many aspects is similar to “hot” wall, it was argued that one should expect granular temperature gradients, density inversion, and, consequently convection instability similar to that observed in heated from below liquid layers. The theoretical analysis based on granular hydrodynamic equations (5),(6),(7) supports the existence of a convective instability in a certain range of parameters (He *et al.*, 2002; Khain and Meerson, 2003). Multiple convection roles were observed in molecular dynamics simulations (Paolotti *et al.*, 2004; Sunthar and Kumaran, 2001). However, the experiments are not conclusive enough (Wildman *et al.*, 2001). In particular it appears very hard to discriminate between convection induced by vibration and convective flows induced by walls, see e.g. (Garcimarin *et al.*, 2002; Pak and Behringer, 1993).

Vibrated bottom plate is not the only way to induce parametric patterns in thin granular layers. Li *et al.* (2003) demonstrated that periodically modulated airflow through a shallow fluidized bed also produces interesting patterns in the granular layer which oscillate at half the driving frequency (Fig. 23). While the physical mechanism of interaction between the airflow and grains is quite different from the collisional energy transfer in vibrated containers, phenomenological models based on the principal symmetry of the problem should be able to describe the gas-driven granular layer as well. In case of the parametric Ginzburg-Landau model Eq. (23), the order parameter would correspond to the amplitude of the subharmonic component of the surface deformation, and the driving term would be related to the amplitude of the flow modulation. Moreover, variations of the mean flow rate act similar to the variations of the gravitational acceleration in the mechanical system, which may give an additional means to control the state of the system.

FIG. 24 Stability diagram for avalanches in thin granular layers, see also Fig. 6, from Daerr and Douady (1999)

## VI. PATTERNS IN GRAVITY-DRIVEN DENSE GRANULAR FLOWS

In this Section we overview theoretical models for various pattern-forming instabilities in dense gravity-driven granular flows.

### A. Avalanches in thin granular layers

Gravity-driven particulate flows are a common occurrence in nature (dune migration, erosion/deposition processes, land slides, underwater gravity currents and coastal geomorphology) and in various industrial applications having to do with handling granular materials, including their storage, transport, and processing. One of the most spectacular (and often very dangerous) forms of gravity-driven granular flows is the avalanche. Avalanches occur spontaneously when the slope of the granular material exceeds a certain angle (static angle of repose) or they can be initiated at somewhat smaller angle by applying a finite perturbation. Laboratory studies of avalanches are often carried out in rotating drums (see below) or in a chute geometry when a layer of sand is tilted at a certain fixed angle. Daerr and Douady (1999) conducted experiments with a thin layer of granular matter on sticky (velvet) inclined plane, see Fig. 24. Surprising diversity of avalanche behavior was observed in this seemingly simple system: triangular avalanches developed in thin layers ( $h$  is the layer thickness) and for small inclination angles  $\phi$ , whereas in thicker layers or steeper angles  $\phi$  the avalanches assumed balloon shaped with upper edge of the avalanche propagating up-hill, see Fig. 6. According to Rajchenbach (2002a, 2003) the rear front of the balloon-like avalanche propagates uphill with the velocity roughly one half of the downhill velocity of the head front, and the velocity of the head is also two times larger than the depth-averaged flow velocity. The stability diagram is outlined in Fig. 24: a granular layer is stable below solid line (so-called  $h_{stop}$  limit according to Pouliquen (1999)), spontaneous avalanching was observed above the dashed line. Between dashed and solid lines the layer exhibits bistable behavior: finite perturbation can trigger an avalanche, otherwise the layer remains stable. The dotted line with  $\times$ -symbols indicates the transition between triangular and balloon avalanches.

#### 1. Partially fluidized flows

The avalanche dynamics described above is an example of a wide class of *partially fluidized* granular flows. In such flows part of grains flows past each other while other grains maintain static contacts with their neighbors. The

description of such flows still represents a major challenge for the theory. In particular, one is faced with the problem of constructing the constitutive relation for the stress tensor  $\sigma$ . In dense quasi-static flows a significant part of the stresses is transmitted through quasi-static contacts between particles as compared with short collisions in dilute flows.

Stimulated by the non-trivial avalanche dynamics in experiments by Daerr (2001); Daerr and Douady (1999), Aranson and Tsimring (2001, 2002) suggested a generic continuum description of partially fluidized granular flows. According to this theory, the ratio of the static part  $\sigma^s$  to the fluid part  $\sigma^f$  of the full stress tensor is controlled by the order parameter  $\rho$ . The order parameter is scaled in such a way that in granular solid  $\rho = 1$  and in well developed flow (granular liquid)  $\rho \rightarrow 0$ . On the “microscopic level” the order parameter is defined as a fraction of the number of static (or persistent) contacts of the particles  $Z_s$  to total number of the contacts  $Z$ ,  $\rho = \langle Z_s/Z \rangle$  within a mesoscopic volume which is large with respect to the particle size but small compared with characteristic size of the flow.

Due to a strong dissipation in dense granular flows the order parameter  $\rho$  is assumed to obey purely relaxational dynamics controlled by the Ginzburg-Landau-type equation for the generic first order phase transition,

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} = D\nabla^2\rho - \frac{\partial F(\rho, \delta)}{\partial \rho}. \quad (29)$$

Here  $D$  is the diffusion coefficient.  $F(\rho, \delta)$  is a free energy density which is postulated to have two local minima at  $\rho = 1$  (solid phase) and  $\rho = 0$  (fluid phase) to account for the bistability near the solid-fluid transition.

The relative stability of the two phases is controlled by the parameter  $\delta$  which in turn is determined by the stress tensor. The simplest assumption consistent with the Mohr-Coloumb yield criterion is to take it as a function of  $\phi = \max|\sigma_{mn}/\sigma_{nn}|$ , where the maximum is sought over all possible orthogonal directions  $m$  and  $n$  (we consider here only two-dimensional formulation of the model, an objective three dimensional generalization was recently proposed by Gao *et al.* (2005)). Furthermore, there are two angles which characterize the fluidization transition in the bulk of granular material, an internal friction angle  $\tan^{-1}\phi_1$  such that if  $\phi > \phi_1$  the static equilibrium is unstable, and the “dynamic repose angle”  $\tan^{-1}\phi_0$  such that at  $\phi < \phi_0$ , the “dynamic” phase  $\rho = 0$ , is unstable. Values of  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$  depend on microscopic properties of the granular material, and in general they do not coincide. Aranson and Tsimring (2001, 2002) adopted the simple algebraic form of the control parameter  $\delta$ ,

$$\delta = (\phi^2 - \phi_0^2)/(\phi_1^2 - \phi_0^2). \quad (30)$$

Order parameter equation (29) has to be augmented by boundary conditions. While this is a complicated issue in general, a simple but meaningful choice is to take no-flux boundary conditions at free surfaces and smooth walls,



FIG. 25 Comparison of theoretical and experimental phase diagrams. Lines obtained from theory, symbols depicts experimental data from Ref. (Daerr and Douady, 1999). Solid line and circles limit the range of existence of avalanches, line and triangles correspond to the linear stability boundary of the static chute, and the line and crosses denote the boundary between triangular and balloon avalanches. Inset: Schematic representation of a chute flow geometry, from Aranson and Tsimring (2001, 2002)

FIG. 26 Sequence of images demonstrating the evolution of a triangular avalanche (a-c) and up-hill avalanche (d-f) obtained from numerical solution of Eqs. (32),(31), from Aranson and Tsimring (2001, 2002)

and solid phase condition  $\rho = 1$  near sticky or rough walls.

For the flow of thin granular layers on inclined planes Eqs. (6), (29) can be simplified. Using the no-slip boundary condition at the bottom and no-flux condition at the top of the layer and fixing the lowest-mode structure of the order parameter in the direction perpendicular to the bottom of the chute ( $z = 0$ , see Inset to Fig. 25),  $\rho = 1 - A(x, y) \sin(\pi z/h)$ ,  $h$  is the local layer thickness,  $A(x, y)$  is slowly-varying function, one obtains equations governing the evolution of thin layer (Aranson and Tsimring, 2001, 2002):

$$\partial_t h = -\alpha \partial_x (h^3 A) + \frac{\alpha}{\phi} \nabla (h^3 A \nabla h), \quad (31)$$

$$\partial_t A = \lambda A + \nabla_{\perp}^2 A + \frac{8(2-\delta)}{3\pi} A^2 - \frac{3}{4} A^3 \quad (32)$$

where  $\nabla_{\perp}^2 = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$ ,  $\lambda = \delta - 1 - \pi^2/4h^2$ ,  $\alpha \approx 0.12\mu^{-1}g \sin \bar{\varphi}$ ,  $\mu$  is the shear viscosity,  $\bar{\varphi}$  is the chute inclination,  $\phi = \tan \bar{\varphi}$ . Control parameter  $\delta$  includes correction due to change in the local slope  $\delta = \delta_0 + \beta h_x$ ,  $\beta \approx 1/(\phi_1 - \phi_0) \approx 1.5 - 3$  depending on the value of  $\phi$ . The last term in Eq. (31) is also due to change of local slope angle  $\varphi$  and is obtained from the expansion  $\varphi \approx \bar{\varphi} + h_x$ . This term is responsible for the saturation of the avalanche front slope (without it the front would be arbitrarily steep). While it was not included in original publications (Aranson and Tsimring, 2001, 2002), this term is important for large wavenumber cut-off of long-wave instability observed by Forterre and Pouliquen (2003), see Sec. VI.C. Numerical and analytic solutions of Eqs. (31),(32) exhibit strong resemblance with experiment: triangular avalanches in thin layers and balloon-like avalanches in thicker layers, see Fig. 26. The corresponding phase diagram agrees quantitatively with an experimental one having only one fitting parameter (viscosity  $\mu$ ), Fig. 25.

In subsequent work (Aranson and Tsimring, 2002), this theory was generalized to other dense shear granular flows including flows in rotating drums, two- and three-dimensional shear cells, etc. The

model also was tested in soft-particle molecular dynamics simulations (Volfson *et al.*, 2003a,b, 2004). Orpe and Khakhar (2005) used the partial fluidization model of (Aranson and Tsimring, 2001, 2002; Volfson *et al.*, 2003a) for the description of velocity profiles three-dimensional shear flows in a rotating drum. The comparison between experimental data and theory shows that the partial fluidization model describes reasonably well entire velocity profile and the flow rheology, however experimental methods for independently estimating the order parameter model are needed. Gao *et al.* (2005) recently developed an objective (coordinate system independent) formulation of the partial fluidization theory which allows for the straightforward generalization to three-dimensional systems.

## 2. Two-phase flow approach of granular avalanches

Another approach treating near-surface granular flows as two-phase systems was developed by a number of authors, see e.g. (Bouchaud *et al.*, 1994, 1995; Boutreux *et al.*, 1998; Douady *et al.*, 1999; Khakhar *et al.*, 2001; Mehta, 1994) and many others. For review on recent models of surface flows see (Aradian *et al.*, 2002). All these models distinguish rolling and static phases of granular flow described by the set of coupled equations for the evolution of thicknesses of both phases,  $R$  and  $h$ , respectively. The phenomenological theory by Bouchaud *et al.* (1994, 1995); Mehta (1994) (often called BCRE theory) provides an intuitive description of the flow. In shallow granular layers, even simpler depth-averaged granular hydrodynamic equations (so-called Saint-Venant models) often provides quite accurate description, see (Douady *et al.*, 1999; Khakhar *et al.*, 2001; Lajeunesse *et al.*, 2004; Savage and Hutter, 1989).

In the most general and compact form the BCRE theory can be represented by a pair of equations for evolution of  $R$  and  $h$ ,

$$\partial_t h = \Gamma(h, R) \quad (33)$$

$$\partial_t R = v_d \partial_x R - \Gamma(h, R) \quad (34)$$

where  $\Gamma(h, R)$  is the *exchange term*, or a conversion rate between rolling and static grains, and  $v_d$  is the downhill grain velocity. Physical meaning of the BCRE model is very simple: Eq. (33) expresses the increase in the height due to deposition of rolling grains, and Eq. (34) describes advection of rolling fraction by the flow with velocity  $v_d$  and depletion due to conversion to static fraction. The limitations and generalizations of the BCRE model are discussed by Aradian *et al.* (2002); Boutreux *et al.* (1998).

Douady *et al.* (2002) applied the following two-phase model to describe avalanches in thin granular layers:

$$\partial_t h + 2U \partial_x h = \frac{g}{\Gamma} (\tan \phi - \mu(h)) \quad (35)$$

$$\partial_t \zeta + 2U \partial_x h = 0 \quad (36)$$

where  $\zeta = R+h$  is the position of free surface,  $U$  is depth-averaged velocity of the flow. In addition to BCRE model Eqs. (35),(36) include two phenomenological functions:  $\bar{\Gamma}$  characterizes the mean velocity gradient of a single bead on incline, and  $\mu(h)$  describes depth-dependent friction with the bottom. According to Douady *et al.* (2002) a three-dimensional version of Eqs. (35),(36) describes transition from triangular to uphill avalanches, however details of the transition depend sensitively on the choice of functions  $\bar{\Gamma}$  and  $\mu(h)$ .

Depth-averaged description in the form of Eqs. (35),(36) was used by Börzsönyi *et al.* (2005) to address the difference between shapes of avalanches for sand and glass particles in a chute flow. The authors reduced Eqs. (35),(36) to the modified Burgers equation

$$\partial_t h + a(h)\partial_x h = \mu(h)\partial_x^2 h \quad (37)$$

where function  $a(h) \sim h^{3/2}$  and effective viscosity  $\mu \sim \sqrt{h}$ . This description connects avalanches with the ‘‘Burgers’’ shocks. Eq. (37) implies that all avalanches will eventually decay, in contrast to experiments indicating that only small avalanches decay whereas large avalanches grow and/or form stationary waves (Daerr, 2001a; Daerr and Douady, 1999). This discrepancy is likely due to the fact that reduction of the full model (35),(36) to the single equation (37) does not take into account the bistable nature of granular flows.

While two-phase description of granular flow is simple and rather intuitive, it can be problematic when a clear-cut separation between rolling and static phases is absent, especially near the onset of motion. The order parameter approach can be more appropriate in this situation. Furthermore, the two-phase equations can be derived from the partial fluidization model described in the Sec. VI.A.1 as a sharp-interface limit of the continuum order parameter model (Aranson and Tsimring, 2002).

### 3. Avalanche shape

On the basis of simple kinematic considerations Rajchenbach (2002b) suggested an analytic expression for the shape of triangular and balloon-like avalanches. For the balloon-like avalanches the shape is given by the envelope of the expanding circles with the center drifting downhill:

$$x^2 + \left(y - 2\bar{v}t + \frac{5}{2}\bar{v}\tau\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\bar{v}\tau\right)^2, \quad 0 < \tau < t \quad (38)$$

where  $\bar{v}$  is the velocity of the rear front. For the triangular avalanches the shape is given by the envelope of dilating ellipses

$$\left(\frac{\bar{v}x}{2v_\perp}\right)^2 + \left(y - \frac{3}{2}\bar{v}t\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\bar{v}t\right)^2. \quad (39)$$

Here  $v_\perp$  is perpendicular velocity. While these heuristic relations are consistent with experimental observation,

FIG. 27 Top: Total area overrun by the avalanche (solid line), compared with experimental image from (Daerr and Douady, 1999). Bottom: superimposition of avalanche boundaries given by Eq. (39) for three different moments of time, from Rajchenbach (2002b)

see Fig. 27, their connection to continuum dynamical models of granular flows remains to be understood.

## B. Statistics of avalanches and sandpile model

It is well known that in real sandpiles avalanches can vary widely in size. The wide distribution of scales in real avalanches stimulated Bak *et al.* (1987) to introduce a ‘‘sandpile cellular automaton’’ as a paradigm model for the *self-organized criticality*, the phenomenon which occurs in slowly driven non-equilibrium spatially extended systems when they asymptotically reach a critical state characterized by a power-law distribution of event sizes. The set of rules which constitute the sandpile model is very simple. Unit size ‘‘grains’’ are dropped one by one on a one-dimensional lattice in random places and form vertical stacks. If a local slope (the difference between heights of two neighboring stacks) exceeds a certain threshold value, a grain hops from the higher to the lower stack. This may trigger an ‘‘avalanche’’ of subsequent hops until the sandpile returns to the stable state. After that another grain is dropped and the relaxation process repeats. The size of an avalanche is determined by the number of grains set into motion by adding a single grain to a sandpile. This model asymptotically reaches a critical state in which the mean angle is equal to the critical slope, and avalanches have a universal power-law distribution of sizes,  $P(s) \propto s^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \approx 1.5$ .

The relevance of this model and its generalizations to the real avalanches is still the matter of debate. The sandpile model by Bak *et al.* (1987) is defined via a single repose angle and so its asymptotic behavior has the properties of the critical state for a second-order phase transition. Real sandpiles are characterized by two angles of repose and thus exhibit features of the first-order phase transition. Moreover, concept of self-organized criticality is related to a power-law distribution of avalanche sizes, thus reliable experimental verification of self-organized criticality requires accumulation of very large statistics of avalanche events and a large-scale experimental setup. Finite size effects should strongly affect the power-law behavior.

Experiments with avalanches in slowly rotating drums (Jaeger *et al.*, 1989; Rajchenbach, 2000) and chute flows (Lemieux and Durian, 2000) do not confirm the scale-invariant distribution of avalanches. In other experiments with large mono-disperse glass beads dropped on a conical sandpile Costello *et al.* (2003) claimed existence of the self-organized criticality with  $\alpha \approx 1.5$ . Characteristics of the size distribution depended on the geometry

of the sandpile, physical and geometrical properties of grains, and the way the grains are dropped on the pile, contrary to the universal concept of self-organized critical behavior. Self-organized criticality was also claimed in the avalanche statistics in three-dimensional pile of anisotropic grains (long rice), however a smaller scaling exponent  $\alpha \approx 1.2$  was measured for the avalanche size distribution (Aegerter *et al.*, 2004). Interestingly, rice piles were observed to demonstrate roughening dynamics of their surface as the distribution of active sites in the self-organized critical state shows a self-affine structure with the fractal exponent  $d_B = 1.85$  (Aegerter *et al.*, 2004). This is consistent with the theoretically predicted mapping between self-organized criticality and roughening observed for example in Kardar-Parizi-Zhang model (Paczuski and Boettcher, 1996).

One can argue that real sandpiles should not exhibit self-organized criticality in a strict sense due to hysteresis and the existence of two critical repose angles. However, since the difference between the angles is relatively small, one cannot exclude power-law type behavior in the *finite range* of avalanche sizes. This circumstance possibly explains significant scatter in experimental results and scaling exponents for avalanche size distribution and the dependence on grain shape and material properties.

### C. Instabilities in granular chute flows

Granular chute flows exhibit a variety of pattern-forming instabilities, including fingering (Malloggi *et al.*, 2005a; Pouliquen *et al.*, 1997), longitudinal vortices (Börzsönyi and Ecke, 2005; Forterre and Pouliquen, 2001, 2002), long surface waves (Forterre and Pouliquen, 2003), segregation and stratification (Gray and Hutter, 1997a; Makse *et al.*, 1997b), etc.

Pouliquen *et al.* (1997) studied experimentally a granular chute flow on a rough inclined plane. Experiments performed with polydisperse sand particles demonstrated fingering instability of the front propagating down the slope, similar to that observed in fluid films flowing down inclined plane (Troian *et al.*, 1989; Zhou *et al.*, 2005). However, similar experiments with smooth monodisperse glass beads exhibited no instability. The authors argued that the instability was due to a flow-induced size segregation in a polydisperse granular matter. The segregation indeed was found near the avalanche front. However, similar experiments (Malloggi *et al.*, 2005a,b) showed a fingering front instability without a significant size segregation. Thus, the question of the mechanism of fingering instability is still open.

Experiments by Börzsönyi and Ecke (2005); Forterre and Pouliquen (2001, 2002) show the development of longitudinal vortices in rapid chute flows, see Fig. 8. The vortices develop for large inclination angles and large flow rates in the regime of accelerating flow when the flow thickness decreases and the mean flow velocity increases along the chute. Forterre and Pouliquen

FIG. 28 Density profiles  $\nu(z)$  as function of distance from the chute bottom  $z$  for different values of mean flow velocity, from Forterre and Pouliquen (2002)

FIG. 29 Phase diagram in mean density ( $\bar{\nu}$ ) and flow thickness ( $h$ ) plane delineating different flow instabilities. Smaller  $\bar{\nu}u$  corresponds to faster flow, from Forterre and Pouliquen (2002)

(2001) proposed an explanation of this phenomenon in terms of granular “thermoconvection”. Namely, rapid granular flow has a high shear near the rough bottom which leads to the local increase of granular temperature and consequently creates a density inversion. In turn, the density inversion trigger a convection instability similar to that in ordinary fluids. The critical instability wavelength  $\lambda_C$  is determined by the depth of the layer  $h$  (in experiment  $\lambda_c \approx 3h$ ).

In a subsequent work Forterre and Pouliquen (2002) studied the formation of longitudinal vortices and the stability of granular chute flows in the framework of granular hydrodynamics Eqs.(5)-(7). The inverse density profile appears when a heuristic boundary condition at the bottom relating slip velocity and heat flux is introduced. Steady-state solution of Eqs.(5)-(7) indeed yields an inverse density profile (Fig. 28) which turns out to be unstable with respect to short-wavelength perturbations for large flow velocities, see Fig. 29. While the linear stability analysis captured many important features of the phenomenon, there are still open questions. The stability analysis was performed for the steady flow whereas the instability occurs in the regime of accelerating flow. Possibly due to this assumption the linear stability analysis yielded oscillatory instability near the onset of vortices, whereas for the most part, the vortices appear to be steady. Another factor which is ignored in the theory is the air drag. The high flow velocity in the experiment (about 1-2 m/sec) is of the order of the terminal velocity of an individual grain in air, and therefore air drag may affect the granular flow.

Forterre and Pouliquen (2003) presented an experimental study of the long-surface-wave instability developing in granular flows on a rough inclined plane, Fig. 30. This instability was known from previous studies (Davies, 1990; Savage, 1979), however no precise characterization of the instability had been performed. Forterre and Pouliquen (2003) measured the threshold and the dispersion relation of the instability by imposing a controlled perturbation at the entrance of the flow and measuring its evolution down the slope, see Fig. 31. The results are compared with the prediction of a linear

FIG. 30 Long-surface wave instability observed in flow of sand down rough incline, from Forterre and Pouliquen (2003)

FIG. 31 Experimental dispersion relation for the long surface wave instability. Shown spatial growth rate as function of the frequency of forcing wave, from Forterre and Pouliquen (2003)

FIG. 32 The growth rate of small perturbations  $\sigma$  vs wavelength  $k$  derived from Eqs. (31),(32) for  $\beta = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 0.025$ ,  $\delta = 1.1$ . Instability occurs near  $h_{stop}$  curve in Figs. 24,25 ( $h = 2.9$ ) and disappears with further increase of  $h$ .

stability analysis conducted in the framework of depth-averaged Saint-Venant-type equations similar to those described in Sec. VI.A.2:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t h + \partial_x(uh) &= 0 \\ \partial_t(uh) + \alpha \partial_x(u^2 h) &= (\tan \theta - \mu(u, h) - \partial_x h) gh \cos(\theta) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where  $h$  is local thickness,  $\theta$  is inclination angle,  $u$  is depth-averaged flow velocity,  $\mu(h, u)$  is a function describing effective depth and velocity dependent bottom friction,  $\alpha \sim O(1)$  is a constant determined by the velocity profile within the layer. According to Forterre and Pouliquen (2003), the instability is similar to the long-wave instability observed in classical fluids but with characteristics that can dramatically differ due to the specificity of the granular rheology. The theory is able to predict quantitatively the stability threshold and the phase velocity of the waves but fails to describe the observed cutoff of the instability at high wavenumbers. Most likely, one needs to include higher order terms, such as  $\partial_x^2 h$  in the first Eq. (40) in order to account for the cutoff.

The order parameter theory based on Eqs. (31),(32) also reproduces the long-surface wave instability. Furthermore, linearizing Eqs. (31),(32) near the steady flowing solution  $A = A_0 + \tilde{a} \exp[\sigma t + ikx]$ ,  $h = h_0 + \tilde{h} \exp[\sigma t + ikx]$ , after simple algebra one obtains that the growth rate of linear perturbations  $\sigma$  is positive only in a band restricted by some critical wavenumber and only in the vicinity of  $h_{stop}$ , see Fig. 32. With the increase of  $h$ , i.e. the granular flux, the instability disappears, in agreement with experiments. The nonlinear saturation of the instability results in the development of a sequence of avalanches, which is generally non-periodic, see Fig. 33. The structure shows slow coarsening due to merging of the avalanches. This instability is a possible candidate mechanism of the formation of inhomogeneous deposit structure behind the front of an avalanche.

Conway *et al.* (2003) studied free-surface waves in granular chute flows near a frictional boundary. The

FIG. 33 Typical profiles of height  $h$  and order parameter  $A$  in the regime of long-surface wave instability for  $\beta = 2$ ,  $\alpha = 0.025$ ,  $\delta = 1.1$ . Starting from generic initial conditions  $h = h_0$ ,  $A = const$  plus small noise, a sequence of avalanches develops.

experiments showed that the sub-boundary circulation driven by the velocity gradient plays an important role in the pattern formation, suggesting a similarity between wave generation in granular and fluid flows.

A Kelvin-Helmholtz-like shear instability in chute flows was observed by Goldfarb *et al.* (2002), when two streams of sand flowing on an inclined plane with different velocities were in side-by-side contact with each other. For sufficiently high chute angles and shear rates the interface remains flat. The instability of the interface develops when the chute angle and/or the shear rate is reduced. This instability has been reproduced in soft-particle molecular dynamics simulations by Ciamarra *et al.* (2005) who also observed that in a poly-disperse medium this instability leads to grain segregation (See below Sect. VII).

#### D. Pattern-forming instabilities in rotating cylinders

Granular media in rotating horizontal cylinders (drums) often show behavior similar to chute flows. For very small rotation rates (as defined by small Froude number  $Fr = \omega^2 R/g$ , where  $\omega$  is the angular velocity of drum rotation and  $R$  its radius), well separated in time avalanches occur when the slope of the free surface exceeds a certain critical angle  $\theta_c$  whereby diminishing this angle to a smaller *static repose angle*  $\theta_s$  (Jaeger *et al.*, 1989; Rajchenbach, 1990; Tegzes *et al.*, 2002, 2003). The difference between  $\theta_c$  and  $\theta_s$  is usually a few degrees. At an intermediate rotation speed, a continuous flow of sand emerges instead of discrete avalanches through a hysteretic transition, similar to the transition in chute flows at large rates of grain deposition (Lemieux and Durian, 2000). In the bulk, the granular material rotates almost as a solid body with some internal slipping. As moving grains reach the free surface they slide down within a thin near-surface layer (Zik *et al.*, 1994) (see sketch in Fig. 9). The surface has a nearly flat shape; the arctangent of its average slope defines the so-called *dynamic angle of repose*  $\theta_d$ .

There are various models addressing the nature of the transition from discrete avalanches to the continuum flow. Linz and Hänggi (1995) proposed a phenomenological model based on a system of equation for the angle of repose  $\phi$  and mean flow velocity  $v$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{v} &= g(\sin \phi - k(v) \cos \phi) \chi(\phi, v) \\ \dot{\phi} &= \bar{\omega} - av \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where  $\bar{\omega}$  is the rotation frequency of the drum,  $k(v) = b_0 + b_2 v^2$  is the velocity dependent friction coefficient,  $\chi(\phi, v)$  is some cut-off function, and  $a, b_0, b_2$  are parameters of the model. Despite the simplicity, the model yields qualitatively correct transition from discrete avalanches to continuous flow with the increase of rotation rate  $\bar{\omega}$ , and also predicts logarithmic relaxation of the free surface angle in the presence of vibration.

The transition from avalanches to flow naturally arises in the framework of the partial fluidization theory, (Aranson and Tsimring, 2002). In this case one can derive a system of coupled equations for the parameter  $\delta$  (which is related to the surface local angle  $\phi$ , see Eq. (30)) and the width of fluidized layer  $z_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t z_0 &= \partial_s^2 z_0 + F(z_0, \delta) - \bar{v} \partial_s z_0 \\ \partial_t \delta &= \bar{\omega} + \partial_s^2 J\end{aligned}\quad (42)$$

where  $s$  is the coordinate along the slope of the granular surface inside the drum,  $J = f(z_0)$  is the downhill flux of grains,  $\bar{v}$  is averaged velocity in flowing layer, and functions  $F$ ,  $f$  and  $v_0$  are derived from Eq. (29). This model bears resemblance to the BCRE-type models of surface granular flows which were applied to rotating drums by Khakhar *et al.* (1997); Makse (1999).

Eqs. (42) exhibit stick-slip type oscillations of the surface angle for slow rotation rates and a hysteretic transition to a steady flow for larger rates. Eqs. (42) yields the following scaling for the width of the flowing layer  $z_0$  in the middle of the drum vs rotation frequency:  $z_0 \sim \bar{\omega}^{2/3}$ , which is consistent with experiment (Tegzes *et al.*, 2002, 2003). After integration over  $s$  Eqs. (42) can be reduced to a system of two coupled equations for averaged drum angle  $\langle \delta \rangle$  and averaged flow thickness  $\langle z_0 \rangle$  somewhat similar to the model of Linz and Hänggi (1995).

Granular flows in long rotating drums under certain conditions also exhibit fingering instability (Fried *et al.*, 1998; Shen, 2002). Similarity between fingering in rotating drums and chute flows (Forterre and Pouliquen, 2002) suggests that mechanisms described in the Section VI.C can be responsible for this effect, see also Section VII.

## VII. MODELS OF GRANULAR SEGREGATION

One of the most fascinating features of heterogeneous (i.e., consisting of different distinct components) granular materials is their tendency to segregate under external agitation rather than to mix, as one would expect from the naive entropy consideration. This property is ubiquitous in Nature (see, e.g. (Iverson, 1997)) and has important technological implications (Cooke *et al.*, 1976). In fact, some aspects of segregation of small and large particles can be understood on equilibrium thermodynamics grounds (Asakura and Oosawa, 1958). Since the excluded volume for small particles around large ones becomes smaller when large grains clump together, separated state possesses lower entropy. However, granular systems are driven and strongly dissipative, and this simple equilibrium argument can only be applied qualitatively. The granular segregation is more widespread than it would be dictated by thermodynamics. In fact, any variation in mechanical properties of particles (size, shape, density, surface roughness, etc.) may lead to their segregation. At least for bi-disperse rapid dilute flows the granular segregation can be rigorously treated in the

FIG. 34 Granular stratification in a flow down heap, from Makse *et al.* (1997b).

framework of kinetic theory of dissipative gases, see Subsec. III.A. Jenkins and Yoon (2002) employed kinetic theory for a binary mixture for spheres or disks in gravity and derived a simple segregation criterion based on the difference of partial pressures for each type of particles due to the difference in size and/or mass.

Segregation has been observed in most flows of granular mixtures, including granular convection (Knight *et al.*, 1993), hopper flows (Gray and Hutter, 1997a; Makse *et al.*, 1997b; Samadani *et al.*, 1999; Samadani and Kudrolli, 2001), flows in rotating drums (Choo *et al.*, 1997; Hill, 1997; Zik *et al.*, 1994), and even in freely cooling binary granular gases (Catuto and Marconi, 2004). Segregation among large and small particles due to shaking has been termed “Brazil nut effect” (Rosato *et al.*, 1987). The phenomenon of granular segregation was discovered long time ago, and several “microscopic” mechanisms have been proposed to explain its nature, including inter-particle collisions (Brown, 1939), percolation (Williams, 1976), and others. In certain cases, separation of grains produces interesting patterns. For example, if a binary mixture of particles which differ both in size *and* in shape is poured down on a plane, a heap which consists of thin alternating layers of separated particles is formed (Gray and Hutter, 1997a; Makse *et al.*, 1997b), see Fig. 34. Rotating of mixtures of grains with different sizes in long drums produces well separated bands of pure mono-disperse particles (Chicarro *et al.*, 1997; Choo *et al.*, 1997; Hill, 1997; Zik *et al.*, 1994), Fig. 11. In this Section we only address models of pattern formation due to segregation (stratification and banding), without discussing other manifestations of granular segregation.

### A. Granular stratification

Granular stratification occurs when a binary mixture of particles with different physical properties is slowly poured on a plate (Gray and Hutter, 1997a; Koeppel *et al.*, 1998; Makse *et al.*, 1997b). More specifically, it occurs when larger grains have additionally larger roughness resulting in a larger repose angle and the flux of falling particles is small enough to cause intermittent avalanches down the slopes of the heap. The basic mechanism of stratification is related to the avalanches acting as *kinetic sieves* (Savage, 1988, 1993). During an avalanche, voids are continuously being created within flowing near-surface layer, and small particles are more likely to fall into them. This creates a downward flux of smaller particles which is compensated by the upward flux of larger particles in order to maintain a zero total particle flux across the flowing layer. Other models of granular segregation in a thin flowing layer (Dolgunin *et al.*, 1998;

FIG. 35 Cellular automata model of granular stratification, from Makse *et al.* (1997a).

Khakhar *et al.*, 1997, 1999) lead to a similar result. Each avalanche leads to the formation of a new pair of layers in which the grains of different sorts are separated (see Fig. 34). This pair of layers grows from the bottom of the pile by upward propagation of a kink at which small particles are stopped underneath large ones. However, when the larger particles were smooth and small particles were rough, instead of stratification only large scale segregation with small particles near the top and large particles near the bottom was observed.

Makse *et al.* (1997a,b) proposed a cellular automata model which generalized the classical sandpile model (Bak *et al.*, 1987) (see Section VI.B). In this model, a sandpile is built on a lattice, and rectangular grain have identical horizontal size but different heights (see Fig. 35a). Grains are released at the top of the heap sequentially, and they are allowed to roll down the slope. A particle would become rolling if the local slope (defined as the height difference between neighboring columns) exceeds the repose angle. To account for difference in grain properties, four different repose angles  $\theta_{\alpha\beta}$  were introduced for grains of type  $\alpha$  rolling on a substrate of type  $\beta$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2\}$  where 1 and 2 stand for small and large grains, respectively). Normally,  $\theta_{21} < \theta_{12}$  because of the geometry (small grains tend to get trapped by large grains), and one-component repose angles usually lie within this range,  $\theta_{21} < \theta_{11}, \theta_{22} < \theta_{12}$ . However the ratio of  $\theta_{11}, \theta_{22}$  depends on the relative roughness of the grains. For  $\theta_{21} < \theta_{11} < \theta_{22} < \theta_{12}$  (large grains are more rough), the model yields stratification in agreement with experiment (Fig. 35b). If, on the other hand,  $\theta_{22} < \theta_{11}$  (which corresponds to smaller grains being more rough), the model yields only large-scale segregation: large particles collect at the bottom of the sandpile.

This physical model can also be recast in the form of continuum equations (Boutreux and de Gennes, 1996; Makse *et al.*, 1997a) which generalize the single-species BCRE model of surface granular flows (Bouchaud *et al.*, 1994) (see Section VI):

$$\partial_t R_\alpha = -v_\alpha \partial_x R_\alpha + \Gamma_\alpha, \quad (43)$$

$$\partial_t h = - \sum_\alpha \Gamma_\alpha, \quad (44)$$

where  $R_\alpha(x, t)$ ,  $v_\alpha$  are the thickness and velocity of rolling grains of type  $\alpha$ ,  $h(x, t)$  is the instantaneous profile of the sandpile, and  $\Gamma_\alpha$  characterizes interaction between the rolling grains and the substrate of static grains. In the same spirit as in the discrete model, the interaction function  $\Gamma_\alpha$  is chosen in the form

$$\Gamma_\alpha = \begin{cases} \gamma_\alpha [\theta_l - \theta_\alpha(\phi_\beta)] R_\alpha \\ \gamma_\alpha \phi_\alpha [\theta_l - \theta_\alpha(\phi_\beta)] R_\alpha \end{cases}. \quad (45)$$

Here  $\phi_\alpha(x, t)$  is the volume fraction of grains of type  $\alpha$ , and  $\theta_l = -\partial_x h$  is the local slope of the sandpile. This

FIG. 36 Granular avalanche-induced stratification in rotating drum observed for low rotation rates, from Gray and Hutter (1997a).

form of the interaction terms implies that the grains of type  $\alpha$  become rolling if the local slope exceeds the repose angle  $\theta_\alpha(\phi_\beta)$  for this type on a surface with composition  $\phi_\beta(x, t)$ . Assuming that the generalized repose angles  $\theta_\alpha(\phi_\beta)$  are linear functions of the concentration

$$\theta_1(\phi_2) = (\theta_{12} - \theta_{11})\phi_2 + \theta_{11}, \quad (46)$$

$$\theta_2(\phi_2) = (\theta_{12} - \theta_{11})\phi_2 + \theta_{21}. \quad (47)$$

Eqs. (43)-(45) possess a stationary solution in which the heap is separated into two regions where  $\theta_2(\phi_2) < \theta < \theta_1(\phi_2)$  and  $\theta < \theta_2(\phi_2) < \theta_1(\phi_2)$ . This solution corresponds to small grains localized near the top and small grains near the bottom with a continuous transition between the two regions. However, Makse *et al.* (1997b) showed that this stationary solution is unstable if  $\delta = \theta_{22} - \theta_{11} > 0$  and gives rise to the stratification pattern.

Similar effect of stratification patterns was observed experimentally in a thin slowly rotating drum which is more than half filled with a similar binary mixture (Gray and Hutter, 1997a), see Fig. 36. Periodic avalanches, occurring in the drum, lead to formation of strata by the same mechanism described above.

## B. Axial segregation in rotating drums

The most common system in which granular segregation is studied is a rotating drum, or a partially filled cylinder rotating around its horizontal axis (see Section VI.D). When a polydisperse mixture of grains is rotated in a drum, strong *radial segregation* usually occurs within just a few revolutions. Small and rough particles aggregate to the center (*core*) of the drum, large and smooth particles rotate around the core (see Figs. 10 and 9). Since there is almost no shear flow in the bulk, the segregation predominantly occurs within a thin fluidized near-surface layer. For long narrow drums with the length much exceeding the radius, radial segregation is often followed by the *axial segregation* occurring at later stages (after several hundred revolutions) when the angle of repose of small particles exceeds that of large particles. As a result of axial segregation, a pattern of well segregated bands is formed (Hill, 1997; Zik *et al.*, 1994) (see, e.g., Fig. 11) which slowly merge and coarsen. Depending on the rotation speed, coarsening can either saturate at a certain finite bandwidth at low rotation speeds when discrete avalanches provide granular transport (Frette and Stavans, 1997) or at higher rotation rates in a continuous flow regime it can lead to a final state in which all sand is separated in two bands (Fiodor and Ottino, 2003; Zik *et al.*, 1994).

The axial segregation has been well known in the engineering community, it was apparently first observed by Oyama in 1939 (Oyama, 1939). The mechanism of axial segregation is apparently related to the different friction properties of grains which lead to different *dynamical angles of repose*. The latter are defined as the angle of the slope in the drum corresponding to continuous flow regime, however in real drums the free surface has a more complicated S-shape (Elperin and Vikhansky, 1998; Makse, 1999; Orpe and Khakhar, 2001; Zik *et al.*, 1994). According to Zik *et al.* (1994) (see also (Levine, 1999)), if there is a local increase in concentration of particles with higher dynamic repose angle, the local slope there will be higher, and that will lead to a local bump near the top of the free surface and a dip near the bottom. As the particles tend to slide along the steepest descent path, more particles with higher repose angle will accumulate in this location, and the instability will develop. Zik *et al.* (1994) proposed a quantitative continuum model of axial segregation based on the equation for the conservation equation for the relative concentration of the two components (“glass” and “sand”),  $c(z, t) = (\rho_A - \rho_B)/(\rho_A + \rho_B)$ ,

$$\partial_t c = -\frac{C}{\rho_T}(\tan \theta_A - \tan \theta_B) \partial_z (1 - c^2) \langle (1 + y_x^2) \frac{y_z}{y_x} \rangle. \quad (48)$$

Here  $x$  and  $z$  are Cartesian horizontal coordinates across and along the axis of the drum,  $y(x, z, t)$  describes the instantaneous free surface inside the drum,  $\rho_T = \rho_A + \rho_B$ ,  $C$  is a constant related to gravity and effective viscosity of granular material in the flowing layer. The term in angular brackets denotes the axial flux of the glass beads averaged over the cross-section of the drum. The profile of the free surface in turn should depend on  $c(z, t)$ . If  $\langle (1 + y_x^2) y_z / y_x \rangle < 0$ , linearization of Eq.(48) leads to the diffusion equation with negative diffusion coefficient which exhibits segregation instability with growth rate proportional to the square of the wavenumber. It is easy to see that the term in angular brackets vanishes for a straight profile  $y_x = \text{const}(x)$ . However, for the experimentally observed S-shaped profile of the free surface Zik *et al.* (1994) calculated that the instability condition is satisfied when the drum is more than half full. While experiments show that axial segregation in fact observed even for less than 50% filling ratio, the model gives a good intuitive picture for the mechanism of the instability.

Recent experiments (Choo *et al.*, 1997, 1998; Fiodor and Ottino, 2003; Hill, 1997) have revealed interesting new features of axial segregation. Hill (1997) performed magnetic resonance imaging studies (Hill, 1997) which demonstrated that in fact the bands of larger particles usually have a core of smaller particles. More recent experiments by Fiodor and Ottino (2003) showed that small particles formed a shish kebab-like structure with bands connected by a rod-like core, while large particles formed disconnected rings. Choo *et al.* (1997, 1998) found that at early stages, the small-scale perturbations propagate across the drum in both direc-

FIG. 37 Space-time diagram of the surface of long rotating drum demonstrating oscillatory size segregation. The plot shows the full length of the drum and extends over 2,400 sec, or 1,850 revolutions. Black bands correspond to 45-250  $\mu\text{m}$  black sand and white bands correspond to 300-850  $\mu\text{m}$  table salt, from Choo *et al.* (1997).

tions (this was clearly evidenced by the experiments on the dynamics of pre-segregated mixtures (Choo *et al.*, 1997)), while at later times more long-scale static perturbations take over and lead to the emergence of quasi-stationary bands of separated grains (see Fig. 37). The slow coarsening process can be accelerated in a drum of a helical shape (Zik *et al.*, 1994). Alternatively, the bands can be locked in an axisymmetrical drum with the radius modulated along the axis (Zik *et al.*, 1994).

In order to account for the oscillatory behavior of axial segregation at the initial stage, Aranson and Tsimring (1999); Aranson *et al.* (1999b) generalized the model of Zik *et al.* (1994). The key assumption was that besides the concentration difference, there is an additional slow variable which is involved in the dynamics. Aranson and Tsimring (1999); Aranson *et al.* (1999b) conjectured that this variable is the instantaneous slope of the granular material (dynamic angle of repose) which unlike Eq.(48) is not slaved to the relative concentration  $c$ , but obeys its own dynamics. The equations of the model read

$$\partial_t c = -\partial_z (-D \partial_z c + g(c) \partial_z \theta), \quad (49)$$

$$\partial_t \theta = \alpha(\Omega - \theta + f(c)) + D_\theta \partial_{zz} \theta + \gamma \partial_{zz} c. \quad (50)$$

The first term in the r.h.s. of Eq.(49) describes diffusion flux (mixing), and the second term describes differential flux of particles due to the gradient of the dynamic repose angle. This term is equivalent to the r.h.s. of Eq.(48) with a particular function  $g(c) = G_0(1 - c^2)$ . For simplicity, the constant  $G_0$  can be eliminated by rescaling of distance  $x \rightarrow x/\sqrt{G_0}$ . The sign + before this term means that the particles with the larger static repose angle are driven towards greater dynamic repose angle. This differential flux gives rise to the segregation instability. Since this segregation flux vanishes with  $g(c) |c| \rightarrow 1$  (which correspond to pure  $A$  or  $B$  states), it provides a natural saturation mechanism for the segregation instability.

Parameter  $\Omega$  in the second equation is the normalized angular velocity of the drum rotation, and  $f(c)$  is the static angle of repose which is an increasing function of the relative concentration (Koeppel *et al.*, 1998) (for simplicity it can be assumed linear,  $f(c) = F + f_0 c$ ). The constant  $F$  can be eliminated by the substitution  $\theta \rightarrow \theta - F$ . The first term in the r.h.s. of Eq.(50) describes the local dynamics of the repose angle ( $\Omega$  increases the angle, and  $-\theta + f(c)$  describes the equilibrating effect of the surface flow), and the term  $D_\theta \partial_{xx} \theta$  describes axial diffusive relaxation. The last term,  $\gamma \partial_{xx} c$ , represents the

FIG. 38 Dispersion relation  $\lambda(k)$  for segregation instability (left) and comparison of the frequency of band oscillations  $Im(\lambda)$  with experiment (right), from Aranson and Tsimring (1999).

FIG. 39 Space-time diagrams demonstrating initial band oscillations and consequent coarsening, from Aranson and Tsimring (1999).

lowest-order non-local contribution from an inhomogeneous distribution of  $c$  (the first derivative  $\partial_x c$  cannot be present due to reflection symmetry  $x \rightarrow -x$ ). This term gives rise to the transient oscillatory dynamics of the binary mixture.

Linear stability analysis of a homogeneous state  $c = c_0$ ;  $\theta_0 = \Omega + f_0 c_0$  reveals that for  $g_0 f_0 > \alpha D$  long-wave perturbations are unstable, and if  $g_0 \gamma > (D_\theta - D)^2/4$ , short-wave perturbations oscillate and decay (two eigenvalues  $\lambda_{1,2}$  are complex conjugate with negative real part), see Fig. 38. This agrees with the general phenomenology observed by Choo *et al.* (1997) both qualitatively and even quantitatively (Fig. 38b). The results of direct numerical solution of the full model (49),(50) are illustrated by Fig. 39. It shows that short-wave initial perturbations decay and give rise to more long-wave non-oscillatory modulation of concentration which eventually leads to well-separated bands. At long times (Fig. 39b) bands exhibit slow coarsening with the number of bands decreasing logarithmically with time (see also Fiodor and Ottino (2003); Frette and Stavans (1997); Levitan (1998)). This scaling follows from the exponentially weak interaction between interfaces separating different bands (Aranson and Tsimring, 1999; Fraerman *et al.*, 1997).

While these continuum models of axial segregation showed a good qualitative agreement with the data, recent experimental observations demonstrate that the theoretical understanding of axial segregation is far from complete (Ottino and Khakhar, 2000). The interpretation of the second slow variable as the local dynamic angle of repose implies that in the unstable mode the slope and concentration modulation should be in phase, whereas in the decaying oscillatory mode, these two fields have to be shifted in phase. Further experiments (Khan *et al.*, 2004) showed that while the in-phase relationship in the asymptotic regime holds true, the quadrature phase shift in the transient oscillatory regime is not observed. That lead Khan *et al.* (2004) to hypothesize that some other slow variable other than the angle of repose (possibly related to the core dynamics) may be involved in the transient dynamics. However, so far experiments failed to identify which second dynamical field is necessary for oscillatory transient dynamics, so it remains an open problem. Another recent experimental observation by Khan and Morris (2005) suggested that instead of normal diffusion assumed in Eqs.(49),(50), a

slower subdiffusion of particles in the core takes place,  $\langle r \rangle \sim t^\gamma$  with the scaling exponent  $\gamma$  close to 0.3. The most plausible explanation is that the apparent subdiffusive behavior is in fact a manifestation of *nonlinear* concentration diffusion which can be described by equation

$$\partial_t c = \partial_z D(c) \partial_z c. \quad (51)$$

For example, for the generic concentration-dependent diffusion coefficient  $D \sim c$ , the asymptotic scaling behavior of the concentration  $c(z, t)$  is given by the self-similar function  $c \sim F(z/t^\alpha)/t^\alpha$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$  with the scaling exponent  $\alpha = 1/3$  close to 0.3 observed experimentally. Experimentally observed scaling function  $F(x/t^\alpha)$  appears to be consistent with that of Eq. (51) except for the tails of the distribution where  $c \rightarrow 0$  and the assumption  $D \sim c$  is possibly violated. Normal diffusion behavior corresponding to  $D = const$  and  $\alpha = 1/2$  is in strong disagreement with the experiment.

Newey *et al.* (2004) conducted studies of axial segregation in ternary mixtures of granular materials. It was found that for certain conditions bands of ternary mixtures oscillate axially. In contrast to the experiments of Choo *et al.* (1997, 1998), the oscillations of bands appear spontaneously from initially mixed state, which strongly indicates the supercritical *oscillatory instability*. While in binary mixtures the oscillations have the form of periodic mixing/demixing of bands, in the ternary mixtures the oscillations are in the form of periodic band displacements. It is likely that the mechanism of band oscillations in ternary mixtures is very different from that of binary mixtures. One of possible explanations could be that the third mixture component provides an additional degree of freedom necessary for oscillations. To demonstrate that we write phenomenological equations for the concentration differences  $C_A = c_1 - c_2$  and  $C_B = c_2 - c_3$ , where  $c_{1,2,3}$  are the individual concentrations. By analogy with Eq. (49) we write the system of coupled equations for the concentration differences  $C_{A,B}$  linearized near the fully mixed state:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t C_A &= D_A \partial_z^2 C_A + \mu_A \partial_z^2 C_B, \\ \partial_t C_B &= D_B \partial_z^2 C_B + \mu_B \partial_z^2 C_A. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

If the cross-diffusion terms have opposite signs, i.e.  $\mu_A \mu_B < 0$ , the concentrations  $C_{A,B}$  will exhibit oscillations in time and in space. Obviously this mechanism is intrinsic to ternary systems and has no counterpart in binary mixtures.

Parallel to the theoretical studies, molecular dynamics simulations have been performed (Rapaport, 2002; Shoichi, 1998; Taberlet *et al.*, 2004). Simulations allowed researchers to probe the role of material parameters which would be difficult to access in laboratory experiments. In particular, Rapaport (2002) addressed the role of particle-particle and wall-particle friction coefficients separately. It was found that the main role



FIG. 40 Granular Taylor vortices observed in vertically rotating air-fluidized cylinder filled with binary mixture. Left image depicts entire cylinder height and width, and right image shows the dependence of concentration of small particles along the bed height, from Shinbrot (2004).

is played by the friction coefficients between the particles and the cylinder walls: if the friction coefficient between large particles and the wall is greater than that for smaller particles, the axial segregation always occur irrespective of the ratio of particle-particle friction coefficients. However, if the particle-wall coefficients are equal, the segregation may still occur if the friction among large particles is greater than among small particles. Taberlet *et al.* (2004) studied axial segregation in a system of grains made of identical material differing only by size. The simulations revealed rapid oscillatory motion of bands, which is not necessarily related to the slow band appearance/disappearance observed in experiments of Choo *et al.* (1997, 1998); Fiodor and Ottino (2003).

A different type of discrete element modelling of axial segregation was proposed by Yanagita (1999). This model builds upon the lattice-based sandpile model and replaces a rotating drum by a three-dimensional square lattice. Drum rotation is modelled by correlated displacement of particles on the lattice: particles in the back are shifted upward by one position, and the particles at the bottom are shifted to fill the voids. This displacement steepens the slope of the free surface, and once it reaches a critical value, particles slide down according to the rules similar to the sandpile model of Bak *et al.* (1987) but taking into account different critical slopes for different particles. This model despite its simplicity reproduced both radial and axial segregation patterns and therefore elucidated the critical components needed for adequate description of the phenomenon.

### C. Other examples of granular segregation

As we have seen in the previous Section, granular segregation occurs in near-surface shear granular flows, such as in silos, hoppers, and rotating drums. However, other types of shear granular flows may also lead to segregation. For example, Taylor-Couette flow of granular mixtures between two rotating cylinders leads to formation of Taylor vortices and then in turn to segregation patterns (Shinbrot, 2004), see Fig. 40.

Pouliquen *et al.* (1997) observed granular segregation in a thin granular flow on an inclined plane. In this case, segregation apparently occurs as a result of an instability in which concentration mode is coupled with hydrodynamic mode. As a result, segregation occurs simultaneously with a fingering instability of the avalanche front (Fig. 7). As an implicit evidence of this relation between segregation and fingering instability, Pouliquen *et al.* (1997) found that mono-disperse granular material does

not exhibit fingering instability. However, other experiments (Shen, 2002) indicate that in other conditions (more rapid flows), fingering instability may occur even in flows of mono-disperse granular materials. Thus, the segregation is likely a consequence rather than the primary cause of the fingering instability.

An interesting recent example of pattern formation caused by granular segregation in a horizontally shaken layer of binary granular mixture was presented by Mullin (2000, 2002); Reis and Mullin (2002). After several minutes of horizontal shaking with frequency 12.5 Hz and displacement amplitude 1 mm (which corresponds to the acceleration amplitude normalized by gravity  $\Gamma = 0.66$ ), stripes were formed orthogonal to the direction of shaking. The width of the stripes was growing continuously with time as  $d \propto t^{0.25}$ , thus indicating slow coarsening (Fig. 5). This power law is consistent with the diffusion-mediated mechanism of stripe merging. Reis and Mullin (2002) argued on the basis of experimental results on patterned segregation in horizontally shaken layers that the segregation bears features of the second-order phase transition. Critical slow-down was observed near the onset of segregation. The order parameter is associated with the combined filling fraction  $C$ , or the layer compacity,

$$C = \frac{N_s A_s + N_l A_l}{S} \quad (53)$$

where  $N_{s,l}$  are numbers of particles in each species,  $A_{s,l}$  are projected two-dimensional areas of the respective individual particles, and  $S$  is the tray area. Ehrhard *et al.* (2005) proposed a simple numerical model to describe this phenomenon of segregation in horizontally vibrated layers. The model is based on a two-dimensional system of hard disks of mass  $m_\alpha$  and radius  $R_\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2$  denote the species)

$$m_\alpha \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{\alpha i} = -\gamma_i (\mathbf{v}_{\alpha i} - \mathbf{v}_{tray}(t)) + \zeta_{\alpha i}(t) \quad (54)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is the particles velocity  $\mathbf{v}_{tray}(t) = A_0 \sin(\omega t)$  is oscillating tray velocity,  $\gamma$  provides linear damping, and  $\zeta_{\alpha i}$  is Gaussian white noise acting independently on each disk. The model reproduced segregation instability and subsequent coarsening of stripes. More realistic discrete element simulations were recently performed by Ciamarra *et al.* (2005). In these simulations a binary mixture of round disks of identical sizes but two different frictions with the bottom plate (in fact, velocity-dependent viscous drag was assumed), separated in alternating bands perpendicular to the oscillation direction irrespectively on initial conditions: both random mixed state and separated along the direction of oscillations state were used. Using particles of the same size eliminated the thermodynamic “excluded volume” mechanism for segregation, and the authors argued that the mechanism at work is related to the dynamical shear instability similar to the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability in ordinary fluids. It was confirmed by a numerical observation of the interfacial instability when two monolayers of grains with different friction constant were placed

in contact along a flat interface parallel to the direction of horizontal oscillations. Similar instability is apparently responsible for ripple formation (Scherer *et al.*, 1999; Stegner and Wesfreid, 1999).

Pooley and Yeomans (2004) proposed theoretical description of this experiment based on continuum model for periodically-driven two isothermal ideal gases which interact through frictional force. It was shown analytically that segregated stripes form spontaneously above critical forcing amplitude. While the model reproduces the segregation instability, apparently it does not exhibit coarsening of stripes observed in the experiment. Moreover, applicability of the isothermal ideal gas model to this experiment where the particles are almost at rest is an open question.

Similar coarsening effect in granular segregation in a particularly simple geometry was studied by Aumaitre *et al.* (2001). They investigated the dynamics of a monolayer of grains of two different sizes in a dish shaken in a horizontal “swirling” motion. They observed that large particles tend to aggregate near the center of the cavity surrounded by small particles. The qualitative explanation of this effect follows from simple thermodynamic considerations (see above). Indeed, direct tracing of particle motion showed that the pressure in the area near the large particles is smaller than outside. But small particles do not follow the gradient of pressure and assemble near the center of the cavity because this gradient is counterbalanced by the force from large particles. The inverse of force acting on large particles leads to their aggregation near the center of the cavity. Aumaitre *et al.* (2001) proposed a more quantitative model of segregation based on the kinetic gas theory and found satisfactory agreement with experimental data.

Burtally *et al.* (2002) studied spontaneous separation of vertically vibrated mixtures of particles of similar sizes but different densities (bronze and glass spheres). At low frequencies and at sufficient vibrational amplitudes, a sharp boundary between the lower layer of glass beads and the upper layer of the heavier bronze spheres was observed. At higher frequencies, the bronze particles emerge as a middle layer separating upper and lower glass bead layers. The authors argue that the effect of air on the granular motion is a relevant mechanism of particle separation. A somewhat similar conclusion was achieved by Möbius *et al.* (2001) in experiments with vertically-vibrated column of grains containing a large “intruder” particle.

Arndt *et al.* (2005); Fiodor and Ottino (2003) performed detailed experiments on axial segregation in slurries, or bi-disperse grain-water mixtures. A mixture of two types of spherical glass beads of two sizes were placed in a water-filled tube at the volume ratio 1:2. Authors found that both rotation rate and filling fraction play an important role in band formation. Namely, bands are less likely to form at lower fill levels (20-30%) and slower rotation rates (5-10 rpm). They mostly appear near the ends of the drum. At higher fill levels and rotation rates,

bands form faster, and there are more of them throughout the drum. Arndt *et al.* (2005); Fiodor and Ottino (2003) also studied the relation between the bands visible on the surface, and the core of small beads, and found that for certain fill levels and rotation speeds, the core remains prominent at all times, while in other cases the core disappears completely between bands of small particles. They also observed an interesting oscillatory instability of interfaces between bands at high rotation speeds. All these phenomena still await theoretical modelling.

## VIII. GRANULAR MATERIALS WITH COMPLEX INTERACTIONS

### A. Patterns in solid-fluid mixtures

Presence of interstitial fluid significantly complicates the dynamics of granular materials. Hydrodynamic flows lead to the viscous drag and anisotropic long-range interaction between particles. Even small amounts of liquid leads to cohesion among the particles which can have a profound effect on macroscopic properties of granular assemblies such as angle of repose, avalanching, ability to segregate, etc. (see for example Sec. VII.B and Li and McCarthy (2005); Samadani and Kudrolli (2000, 2001); Tegzes *et al.* (2002)).

In this Section we will discuss the case when the volume fraction of fluid in the two-phase system is large, and the grains are completely immersed in fluid. This is relevant for many industrial applications, as well as for geophysical problems such as sedimentation, erosion, dune migration, etc.

One of the most technologically important examples of particle-laden flows is a fluidized bed. Fluidized beds have been widely used since German engineer Fritz Winkler invented the first fluidized bed for coal gasification in 1921. Typically, a vertical column containing granular matter is energized by a flow of gas or liquid. Fluidization occurs when the drag force exerted by the fluid on the granulate exceeds gravity. A uniform fluidization, the most desirable regime for most industrial applications, turns out to be prone to bubbling instability: bubbles of clear fluid are created spontaneously at the bottom, traverse the granular layer and destroy the uniform state (Jackson, 2000). Instabilities in fluidized beds is an active area of research in the engineering community, see (Gidaspow, 1994; Jackson, 2000; Kunii and Levenspiel, 1991). A shallow fluidized bed shows many similarities with mechanically vibrated layers, see Section V. In particular, modulations of airflow studied by Li *et al.* (2003) result in formation of subharmonic square and stripe patterns (see Fig. 23) similar to those in mechanically-vibrated systems (Melo *et al.*, 1994, 1995; Umbanhowar *et al.*, 1996).

Wind and water driven granular flows play important roles in geophysical processes. Wind-blown sand forms dunes and beaches. The first systematic study of air-

borne (or aeolian) sand transport was conducted by R. Bagnold during World War II, see Bagnold (1954) who identified two primary mechanisms of sand transport: saltation and creep, and proposed the first empiric relation for the sand flux  $q$  driven by wind shear stress  $\tau$ :

$$q = C_B \frac{\nu_a}{g} \sqrt{\frac{d}{D}} u_*^3 \quad (55)$$

where  $C_B = \text{const}$ ,  $\nu_a$  is air density,  $d$  is the grain diameter,  $D = 0.25$  mm is a reference grain size, and  $u_* = \sqrt{\tau/\nu_a}$  is wind friction velocity. Later many refinements of Eq.(55) were proposed, see e.g. (Pye and Tsoar, 1991).

Nishimori and Ouchi (1993) proposed a simple theory which describes formation of ripples as well as dunes. The theory is based on a lattice model which incorporates separately saltation and creep processes. The model operates with the height of sand at each lattice site at discrete time  $n$ ,  $h_n(x, y)$ . The full time step includes two substeps. The saltation substep is described as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{h}_n(x, y) &= h_n(x, y) - q \\ \bar{h}_n(x + L(h(x, y)), y) &= h_n(x + L(h_n(x, y)), y) + q \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where  $q$  is the height of grains being transferred from one (coarse grained) position  $(x, y)$  to the other position  $(x + L, y)$  on the lee side (wind is assumed blowing in the positive  $x$  direction),  $L$  is the flight length in one saltation which characterizes the wind strength. It is assumed that  $q$  is conserved. Since the saltation length  $L$  depends on multiple factors, the following simple approximation is accepted

$$L = L_0 + b h_n(x, y) \quad (57)$$

with  $L_0$  measuring wind velocity and  $b = \text{const}$ . The creep substep involves spatial averaging over neighboring sites in order to describe the surface relaxation due to gravity,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{n+1}(x, y) &= \bar{h}_n(x, y) + \\ D \left[ \frac{1}{6} \sum_{NN} \bar{h}(x, y) + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{NNN} \bar{h}(x, y) - \bar{h}(x, y) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where  $\sum_{NN}$  and  $\sum_{NNN}$  denote summation over the nearest neighbors and next nearest neighbors correspondingly, and  $D = \text{const}$  is the surface relaxation rate. Despite its simplicity, simulation of the model reproduced formation of ripples and consequently arrays of barchan (crescent shaped) dunes, see Fig. 41. Nishimori and Ouchi (1993) found that above certain threshold an almost linear relation holds between the selected wavelength of the dune pattern and the “wind strength”  $L$ .

In the long-wave limit Eqs. (57)-(59) can be reduced to more traditional continuum models considered below.

FIG. 41 Sand ripple pattern (top panel) and barchan dunes (lower panel) obtained from simulations of Eqs. (57)-(59) Nishimori and Ouchi (1993)

FIG. 42 Interaction and coarsening of one-dimensional dune system, from Prigozhin (1999)

In the continuum description of the evolution of the sand surface, the profile  $h$  is governed by the mass conservation equation

$$\nu_s \partial_t h = -\nabla \mathbf{q}, \quad (59)$$

where  $\nu_s$  is the density of sand and  $\mathbf{q}$  is the sand flux. In order to close Eqs. (55),(59), several authors proposed different phenomenological relations between shear stress at the bed surface  $\tau$  and the height  $h$ , see e.g. (Andreotti *et al.*, 2002; Hersen *et al.*, 2004; Kroy *et al.*, 2002a,b; Nishimori and Ouchi, 1993; Prigozhin, 1999).

There are many theories generalizing Nishimori and Ouchi (1993) approach, see e.g. (Caps and Vaanderwalle, 2001). Prigozhin (1999) described the evolution of dunes by a system of two equations similar to the BCRE model discussed earlier in Sec. VI (Bouchaud *et al.*, 1994, 1995). One equation describes the evolution of the local height  $h$  while another equation describes the density  $R$  of particles rolling above the stationary sand bed profile (reptating particles),

$$\partial_t h = \Gamma(h, R) - f \quad (60)$$

$$\partial_t R = -\nabla \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{Q} - \Gamma(h, R) \quad (61)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the rolling-to-steady sand transition rate,  $\mathbf{J}$  is the horizontal projection of the flux of rolling particles,  $\mathbf{Q}$  accounts for the influx of falling reptating grains, and  $f$  is the erosion rate. With an appropriate choice of rate functions  $\Gamma, f, Q$  and  $J$ , Eqs. (61) can reproduce many observed features of dune formation, such as initial instability of flat state, asymmetry of the dune profiles, coarsening and interaction of dunes, etc., see Fig. 42.

Thus, simplified models such as (Kroy *et al.*, 2002a; Nishimori and Ouchi, 1993; Prigozhin, 1999) have been successful in explaining many features of individual dune growth and evolution, see Fig. 43. However we should note that up to date none of the dune models have been able to address satisfactorily the wavelength selection in large-scale dune fields (Hersen *et al.*, 2004).

The phenomenon qualitatively similar to the dune formation occurs in an oscillatory fluid flow above a granular layer: sufficiently strong flow oscillations produce

FIG. 43 Evolution of two barchan dunes described by Eqs. (55), (59). Small dune is undersupplied and eventually shrinks, from Hersen *et al.* (2004)

FIG. 44 Fingering instability of planar avalanche in the underwater flow, figure on the left zooms on individual finger, from Malloggi *et al.* (2005a)

so-called vortex ripples on the surface of the underlying granular layer. These ripples are familiar to any beachgoer. Vortex ripple formation was first studied by Ayrton (1910); Bagnold (1956), and recently by Scherer *et al.* (1999); Stegner and Wesfreid (1999) and others. It was found that ripples emerge via a hysteretic transition, and are characterized by a near-triangular shape with slope angles close to the repose angle. The characteristic size of the ripples  $\lambda$  is directly proportional to the displacement amplitude of the fluid flow  $a$  (with a proportionality constant  $\approx 1.3$ ) and is roughly independent on the frequency.

Andersen *et al.* (2001) introduced order parameter models for describing the dynamics of sand ripple patterns under oscillatory flow based on the phenomenological mass transport law between adjacent ripples. The models predict the existence of a stable band of wave numbers limited by secondary instabilities and coarsening of small ripples, in agreement with experimental observations.

Langlois and Valance (2005) studied underwater ripple formation on a two-dimensional sand bed sheared by viscous fluid. The sand transport is described by generalization of Eq. (55) taking into account both the local bed shear stress and the local bed slope. Linear stability analysis revealed that ripple formation is attributed to a growing longitudinal mode. The weakly nonlinear analysis taking into account resonance interaction of only three unstable modes revealed a variety of steady two-dimensional ripple patterns drifting along the flow at some speed.

Experiments in dune formation have been recently performed in water (Betat *et al.*, 1999). While water-driven and wind driven dunes and ripples have similar shape, the underlying physical processes are likely not the same due to a different balance between gravity and viscous drag in air and water.

Spectacular erosion patterns in sediment granular layers were observed in experiments with underwater flows (Daerr *et al.*, 2003; Malloggi *et al.*, 2005a). In particular, a fingering instability of flat avalanche fronts was observed, see Fig. 44. These patterns are remarkably similar to those in thin films on inclined surfaces, both with clear and particle-laden fluids (Troian *et al.*, 1989; Zhou *et al.*, 2005). In the framework of lubrication approximation the evolution of fluid film thickness  $h$  is described by the following dimensionless equation following from the mass conservation law:

$$\partial_t h + \nabla \cdot \{ [h^3 \nabla \nabla^2 h] - \bar{D} h^3 \nabla h \} + \partial_x h^3 = 0 \quad (62)$$

where dimensionless parameter  $\bar{D}$  is inversely proportional to water surface tension. The instability occurs

FIG. 45 Upper panel: Self-supporting knolls formed in water/glass beads suspension in a horizontally rotating cylinder (side and end views). Lower panel: Computational results: schematics of the flow (a); the height of computed knoll structures (b,c), from Duong *et al.* (2004)

for small  $\bar{D}$  values, i.e. in the large surface tension limit. However, despite visual similarity the physical mechanism leading to this fingering instability is not obvious: in fluid films the instability is driven (and stabilized) by the surface tension, whereas in the underwater granular flow fluid surface tension plays no role.

Duong *et al.* (2004) studied formation of periodic arrays of knolls in a slowly rotating horizontal cylinder filled with granular suspension, see Fig. 45. The solidified sediment knolls co-exist with freely circulating fluid. The authors applied variable viscosity fluid which formally allows simultaneous treatment of solid and liquid phase. In this model the effective flow viscosity  $\mu_s$  diverges at the solid packing fraction  $\phi_{rcp}$ ,

$$\mu_s = \frac{\mu_0}{(1 - \phi/\phi_{rcp})^b} \quad (63)$$

where  $\mu_0$  is the clear fluid viscosity and  $b$  is an empirical coefficient. The model qualitatively reproduces the experiment, see Fig. 45. An interesting question in this context is whether there is a connection to the experiment by Shen (2002) where somewhat similar structures were obtained for the flow of “dry” particles in a horizontally rotating cylinder.

As it was mentioned in Sec. VII.C, Conway *et al.* (2004) reported that an air-fluidized vertical column of bi-disperse granular media sheared between counter-rotating cylinders exhibits formation of nontrivial vortex structure strongly reminiscent of Taylor vortices in conventional fluid, see e.g. (Andereck *et al.*, 1986). Authors argue that vortices in fluidized granular media, unlike Taylor vortices in fluid, are accompanied by the novel segregation-mixing mechanism specific for granular systems, see Fig. 40. Interestingly, no vortices were observed in a similar experiment in Couette geometry with monodisperse glass beads (Losert *et al.*, 2000).

Ivanova *et al.* (1996) studied patterns in a horizontal cylinder filled with sand/liquid mixture and subject to horizontal vibration. For certain vibration parameters standing wave patterns were observed at the sand/liquid interface. Authors argue that these wave patterns are similar to the Faraday ripples found at liquid/liquid interface under vertical vibration.

## B. Vortices in vibrated rods

In Section V we reviewed instabilities and collective motion in mechanically vibrated layers. In most experiments the particle shape was not important. However, strong particles anisotropy may give rise to non-trivial

FIG. 46 Phase diagram for the system of vertically-vibrated rods, driving frequency 50 Hz. Vortices are observed for sufficiently high filling fraction  $n_f$  and above critical acceleration  $\Gamma$ , from Blair *et al.* (2003a)

FIG. 47 Azimuthal velocity of the vortex vs distance from the center for different parameter values, from Blair *et al.* (2003a)

effects. Villarruel *et al.* (2000) observed onset of nematic order in packing of long rods in a narrow vertical tube subjected to vertical tapping. The rods initially compactify into a disordered state with predominantly horizontal orientation, but at later times (after thousands of taps) they align vertically, first along the walls, and then throughout the volume of the pipe. The nematic ordering can be understood in terms of the excluded volume argument put forward by Onsager (1949).

Blair *et al.* (2003a) studied the dynamics of vibrated rods in a shallow large aspect ratio system. Surprisingly, they found that vertical alignment of rods at large enough filling fraction  $n_f$  and the amplitude of vertical acceleration ( $\Gamma > 2.2$ ) can occur in the bulk, and it does not require side walls. Eventually, most of the rods align themselves vertically in a monolayer synchronously jumping on the plate, and engage in a correlated horizontal motion in the form of propagating domains of tilted rods, multiple rotating vortices etc, see Fig. 12 and Fig. 46. The vortices exhibit almost rigid body rotation near the core, and then the azimuthal velocity falls off, Fig. 47. The vortices merge in the course of their motion, and eventually a single vortex is formed in the cell.

Experiments showed that the rod motion occurs when the rods are tilted from the vertical, and it always occurs in the direction of tilt. In subsequent work Volfson *et al.* (2004) experimentally demonstrated that the correlated transport of bouncing rods is also found in quasi-one-dimensional geometry, and explained this effect using molecular dynamics simulations and a detailed description of inelastic frictional contacts between the rods and the vibrated plate. Effectively, bouncing rods become self-propelled objects similar to other self-propelled systems, for which large-scale coherent motion is often observed (bird flocks, fish schools, chemotactic microorganism aggregation, etc., see e.g. Grégoire and Chaté (2004); Helbing *et al.* (2000); Helbing (2001); Toner and Tu (1995)).

Aranson and Tsimring (2003) developed a phenomenological continuum theory describing coarsening and vortex formation in the ensemble of interacting rods. Assuming that the motion of rods is overdamped due to the bottom friction, the local horizontal velocity  $\mathbf{v} = (v_x, v_y)$  of rods is of the form

$$\mathbf{v} = -(\nabla p - \alpha \mathbf{n} f_0(n) \nu) / \zeta \nu, \quad (64)$$

where  $\nu$  is the density,  $p$  is the hydrodynamic pressure, the tilt vector  $\mathbf{n} = (n_x, n_y)$  is the projection of the rod

FIG. 48 Sequence of images illustrating coalescence of vortices in the model of vibrated rods, the field  $|\mathbf{n}|$  is shown, black dots corresponds to vortex cores where  $|\mathbf{n}| = 0$ , from Aranson and Tsimring (2003)

director on the  $(x, y)$  plane normalized by the rod length, i.e  $n = |\mathbf{n}|$ , and  $\zeta$  is friction coefficient. According to Blair *et al.* (2003a); Volfson *et al.* (2004), the rods drift is determined by the average tilt of neighboring rods, thus the term  $\alpha \mathbf{n} f_0(n) \nu$  accounts for the average driving force from the vibrating bottom on the tilted rod. Eq. (64) combined with the mass conservation law yields

$$\partial_t \nu = -\text{div}(\mathbf{v}\nu) = \zeta^{-1} \text{div}(\nabla p - \alpha \mathbf{n} f_0(n) \nu). \quad (65)$$

To account for the experimentally observed phase separation and coarsening Aranson and Tsimring (2003) employed the Cahn-Hilliard approach (see (Bray, 1994) for review) by assuming that pressure  $p$  can be obtained from the variation of a generic bistable “free energy” functional  $F$  with respect to the density field  $\nu$ ,  $p = \delta F / \delta \nu$ .

To close the description the equation for the evolution of tilt  $\mathbf{n}$  is added on generic symmetry arguments:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{n} = & f_1(\nu) \mathbf{n} - |\mathbf{n}|^2 \mathbf{n} + \\ & + f_2(\nu) (\xi_1 \nabla^2 \mathbf{n} + \xi_2 \nabla \text{div} \mathbf{n}) + \beta \nabla \nu. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Here  $f_{1,2}$  are certain functions of  $\nu$ ,  $\xi_{1,2}$  characterize diffusion coupling between the neighboring rods. Since the tilt field is not divergence-free, from the general symmetry considerations both  $\xi_{1,2} \neq 0$ <sup>3</sup>.

Numerical and analytic studies of Eqs. (65),(66) revealed phase coexistence, nucleation and coalescence of vortices in accord with the experiment, see Fig. 48.

An interesting experiment with anisotropic chiral particles was performed by Tsai *et al.* (2005). The role of particles was played by bend-wire objects which rotated in a preferred direction under vertical vibration. The experiments demonstrated that individual angular rotation of the particles was converted into the collective angular momentum of the granular gas of these chiral objects. The theoretical description of this system was formulated in the framework of two phenomenological equations for the density  $\nu$  and center-of-mass momentum density  $\nu \mathbf{v}$  and the spin angular momentum density  $l = \mathcal{I} \Omega$  arising from the rotation of particles around their center of mass,  $\Omega$  is the particle’s rotation frequency. Whereas the equations for density and velocity are somewhat similar to those for the vibrated rod system, the equation for the spin momentum clearly has no counterpart in the vibrated rod system and was postulated in the following form:

$$\partial_t l + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} l = \tau - \Gamma^\Omega - \Gamma(\Omega - \omega) + D_\Omega \nabla^2 \Omega \quad (67)$$

<sup>3</sup> These constants are analogous to the first and second viscosity in ordinary fluids, see e.g. (Landau and Lifshits, 1959)

where  $\tau$  is the source of the angular rotation (due to chirality of particles),  $\omega$  is coarse-grained or collective angular velocity,  $\Gamma^\Omega$  and  $\Gamma$  are dissipative coefficients due to friction and  $D_\Omega$  is the angular momentum diffusion. Eq. (67) predicts, in agreement with the experiment, the onset of collective rotation of the gas of particles. Possibly, it also exhibits non-trivial spatio-temporal dynamics similar to those in the system of vibrated rods. However, due to the small number of particles (about 350) in the experiment the nontrivial collective regimes were not reported.

### C. Electrostatically driven granular media

Large ensembles of small particles display fascinating collective behavior when they acquire an electric charge and respond to competing long-range electromagnetic and short-range contact forces. Many industrial technologies face the challenge of assembling and separating such single- or multi-component micro and nano-size ensembles. Traditional methods, such as mechanical vibration and shear, are ineffective for very fine powders due to agglomeration, charging, etc. Electrostatic effects often change statistical properties of granular matter such as energy dissipation rate (Sheffler and Wolf, 2002), velocity distributions in granular gases (Aranson and Olafsen, 2002; Kohlstedt *et al.*, 2005), agglomeration rates in suspensions (Dammer and Wolf, 2004), etc.

Aranson *et al.* (2000, 2002); Sapozhnikov *et al.* (2003a, 2004) studied electrostatically driven granular matter. This method relies on the collective interactions between particles due to a competition between short range collisions and long-range electromagnetic forces. Direct electrostatic excitation of small particles offers unique new opportunities compared to traditional techniques of mechanical excitation. It enables one to deal with extremely fine nonmagnetic and magnetic powders which are not easily controlled by other means.

In most experimental realizations, several grams of mono-dispersed conducting micro-particles were placed into a 1.5 mm gap between two horizontal  $30 \times 30$  cm<sup>2</sup> glass plates covered by transparent conducting layers of indium tin-dioxide. Typically 45  $\mu\text{m}$  Copper or 120  $\mu\text{m}$  Bronze spheres were used. Experiments were also performed with much smaller 1  $\mu\text{m}$  particles, (Sapozhnikov *et al.*, 2004). An electric field perpendicular to the plates was created by a high voltage source (0-3 kV) connected to the inner surface of each plate. Experiments were performed in air, vacuum, or in the cell filled with non-polar weakly-conducting liquid.

The basic principle of the electro-cell operation is as follows. A particle acquires an electric charge when it is in contact with the bottom conducting plate. It then experiences a force from the electric field between the plates. If the upward force induced by the electric field exceeds gravity, the particle travels to the upper plate, reverses charge upon contact, and is repelled down to the

bottom plate. This process repeats in a cyclical fashion. In an air-filled or evacuated cell, the particle remains immobile at the bottom plate if the electric field  $E$  is smaller than the first critical field  $E_1$ . For  $E > E_1$  an isolated particle leaves the plate and starts to bounce. However, if several particles are in contact on the plate, screening of the electric field reduces the force on individual particles, and they remain immobile. A simple calculation shows that for the same value of the applied electric field the force acting on isolated particles exceeds by a factor of two the force acting on the particle inside the dense monolayer. However, if the field is larger than a second critical field value,  $E_2 > E_1$ , all particles leave the plate, and the system of particles transforms into an uniform gas-like phase. When the field is decreased below  $E_2$  ( $E_1 < E < E_2$ ), in air-filled or evacuated cells localized clusters of immobile particles spontaneously nucleate to form a static clusters (precipitate) on the bottom plate (Aranson *et al.*, 2000). The clusters exhibit the Ostwald-type ripening (Bray, 1994; Meerson, 1996), see also Subsec. IV.C.

#### 1. Coarsening of clusters

Results for the electrostatically driven system yielded the following asymptotic scaling law, see Fig. 50:

$$N \sim \frac{1}{t} \quad (68)$$

where  $N$  is the number of clusters and  $t$  is time. Accordingly, the average cluster area  $\langle A \rangle$  increases with time as  $\langle A \rangle \sim t$ . This behavior is consistent with the *interface-controlled* Ostwald ripening (Meerson, 1996).

A theoretical description of coarsening in an electrostatically driven granular system was developed by Aranson *et al.* (2000), Sapozhnikov *et al.* (2003). The theory was formulated in terms of the Ginzburg-Landau-type equation for the number density of immobile particles (precipitate or solid)  $n$

$$\partial_t n = \nabla^2 n + \phi(n, n_g) \quad (69)$$

where  $n_g$  is the number density of bouncing particles (gas)  $n_g$ , and  $\phi(n, n_g)$  is a function characterizing a solid/gas conversion rate. The effectiveness of the solid/gas transitions is controlled by the local gas concentration  $n_g$ . It was assumed that the gas concentration is almost constant because the particle's mean free pass in the gas state is very large. The gas concentration  $n_g$  is coupled to  $n$  due to total mass conservation constraint

$$S n_g + \int \int n(x, y) dx dy = M, \quad (70)$$

where  $S$  is the area of domain of integration, and  $M$  is the total number of particles. Function  $\phi(n, n_g)$  is chosen in such a way as to provide bistable local dynamics of concentration corresponding to the hysteresis of the

FIG. 49 Illustration of phase separation and coarsening dynamics. (a)-(c) Numerical solution of Eqs. (69), (70), white corresponds to dense clusters, black to dilute gas. (d)-(f) show experimental results, from Aranson *et al.* (2002).

gas/solid transition. The above description yields a very similar temporal evolution of clusters (see Fig. 49) and produces a correct scaling for the number of clusters Eq. (68).

In the so-called sharp interface limit when the size of clusters is much larger than the width of interfaces between clusters and granular gas, Eq. (69) can be reduced to equations for the cluster radii  $R_i$  (assuming that clusters have circular form):

$$\frac{dR_i}{dt} = \kappa \left( \frac{1}{R_c(t)} - \frac{1}{R_i} \right), \quad (71)$$

where  $R_c$  is critical cluster size,  $\kappa$  is effective surface tension (experimental measurements of cluster surface tension were conducted by Sapozhnikov *et al.* (2003)). The critical radius  $R_c$  is a certain function of the granular gas concentration  $n_g$  that enters Eqs. (71) through the conservation law Eq. (70) which in two dimensions reads

$$n_g S + \pi \sum_{i=1}^N R_i^2 = M. \quad (72)$$

The statistical properties of Ostwald ripening can be understood in terms of the probability distribution function  $f(R, t)$  of cluster sizes. Following Lifshitz and Slyozov (1958, 1961); Wagner (1961) and neglecting cluster merger, one obtains in the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  that the probability distribution  $f(R, t)$  satisfies the continuity equation

$$\partial_t f + \partial_R (\dot{R} f) = 0. \quad (73)$$

From the mass conservation in the limit of small gas concentration Eq. (72) one obtains an additional constraint:

$$\pi \int_0^\infty R^2 f(R, t) dR = M \quad (74)$$

Eqs. (73),(74) have a self-similar solution in the form

$$f(R, t) = \frac{1}{t^{3/2}} F \left( \frac{R}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \quad (75)$$

For the total number of clusters  $N = \int_0^\infty f dR$  the scaling Eq.(75) yields  $N \sim 1/t$ , which appears to be in a good agreement with the experiment, see Fig. 50. However, the cluster size distribution function appears to be in a strong disagreement, see Fig. 51. In particular, Lifshitz and Slyozov (1958, 1961); Wagner (1961) theory predicts the distribution with a cut-off (dotted line) whereas the experiment yields the function with an exponential tail. A much better agreement with the experiment was obtained when binary coalescence of clusters

FIG. 50 Average cluster area  $\langle A(t) \rangle$  (a) and inverse number of clusters  $1/N(t)$  vs time in air-filled cell. The straight line in (b) shows theoretical prediction  $1/N \sim t$ , from Sapozhnikov *et al.* (2005)

FIG. 51 Scaled cluster size distribution function  $F(\xi)$  with  $\xi = R/\sqrt{t}$ . The squares show experimental results, the dotted line shows analytic result from Lifshitz-Slyozov-Wagner theory (Wagner, 1961), and solid line shows  $F$  obtained from the theory accounting for binary coalescence, from Sapozhnikov *et al.* (2005)

was incorporated in the Lifshitz-Slyozov-Wagner theory (Conti *et al.*, 2002; Sapozhnikov *et al.*, 2005). The coalescence events become important for a finite area fraction of the clusters.

Ben-Naim and Krapivsky (2003) applied an exchange growth model to describe coarsening in granular media. In this theory the cluster growth rates are controlled only by the cluster area ignoring shape effects. Assuming that the number of particles in a cluster evolves via uncorrelated exchange of single particles with an other cluster the following equation for the density of clusters containing  $k$  particles can be derived:

$$\frac{dA_k}{dt} = \sum_{i,j} A_i A_j K_{ij} (\delta_{k,i+1} + \delta_{k,i-1} - 2\delta_{k,i}) \quad (76)$$

where  $A_k$  is the probability to find a cluster containing  $k$  particles,  $K_{ij}$  the exchange kernel and  $\delta_{k,i}$  is the Kronecker symbol. For the choice of homogeneous kernel  $K_{ij} = (ij)^\lambda$  with  $\lambda = 1$  this theory predicts correct scaling of the cluster size with time  $R \sim \sqrt{t}$  and exponential decay of the cluster size distribution function, as in the experiment. The choice of  $\lambda = 1$  is equivalent to the assumption that the exchange rate is determined by the size of the cluster. In the theory by Sapozhnikov *et al.* (2005) the cluster evolution is governed by the evaporation/deposition of particles at the interface of the cluster and controlled by the overall pressure of the granular gas. Thus, both theories predict the same scaling behavior, however the underlying assumptions are very different. A possible explanation for this may be that while the exchange growth model ignores the curvature of the cluster interface and the dependence on exchange rate on the pressure of granular gas, the agreement is obtained by tuning the adjustable parameter  $\lambda$ .

## 2. Dynamics of patterns in a fluid-filled cell

Sapozhnikov *et al.* (2003a) performed experiments with electrostatically driven granular media immersed in a weakly conducting non-polar fluid (toluene-ethanol mixture). Depending on the applied electric field and the ethanol concentration (which controls the conductivity of the fluid), a plethora of static and dynamic patterns

were discovered, see Fig. 15. For relatively low concentrations of ethanol (below 3%), the qualitative behavior of the liquid-filled cell is not very different from that of the air-filled cell: clustering of immobile particles and coarsening were observed between two critical field values  $E_{1,2}$  with the clusters being qualitatively similar to that of the air cell. However, when the ethanol concentration is increased, the phase diagram becomes asymmetric with respect to the direction of the electric field. Critical field magnitudes,  $E_{1,2}$ , are larger when the electric field is directed downward (“+” on the upper plate) and smaller when the field is directed upward (“-” on the upper plate). This difference increases with ethanol concentration. The observed asymmetry of the critical fields is apparently due to an excess negative charge in the bulk of the liquid.

The situation changes dramatically for higher ethanol concentrations: increasing the applied voltage leads to the formation of two new immobile phases: honeycomb (Fig. 15b) for the downward direction of the applied electric field, and two-dimensional crystal-type states for the upward direction.

A further increase of ethanol concentration leads to the appearance of a novel dynamic phase - condensate (Fig. 15c,d) where almost all particles are engaged in a circular vortex motion in the vertical plane, resembling Rayleigh-Bénard convection. The condensate co-exists with the dilute granular gas. The direction of rotation is determined by the polarity of the applied voltage: particles stream towards the center of the condensate near the top plate for the upward field direction and vice versa. The evolution of the condensate depends on the electric field direction. For the downward field, large structures become unstable due to the spontaneous formation of voids (Fig. 15d). These voids exhibit complex intermittent dynamics. In contrast, for the upward field, large vortices merge into one, forming an asymmetric object which often performs composite rotation in the horizontal plane. The pattern formation in this system is most likely caused by self-induced electro-hydrodynamic micro-vortices created by the particles in weakly-conducting fluids. These micro-vortices create long-range hydrodynamic vortex flows which often overwhelm electrostatic repulsion between likely-charged particles and introduce attractive dipole-like hydrodynamic interactions. Somewhat similar micro-vortices are known in driven colloidal systems, see e.g. (Yeh *et al.*, 1997).

Aranson and Sapozhnikov (2004) developed a phenomenological continuum theory of pattern formation for metallic micro-particles in a weakly conducting liquid subject to an electric field. Based on the analogy with the previously developed theory of coarsening in air-field cell (Aranson *et al.*, 2002), the model is formulated in terms of conservation laws for the number densities of immobile particles (precipitate)  $n_p$  and bouncing particles (gas)  $n_g$  averaged over the thickness of the cell:

$$\partial_t n_p = \nabla \mathbf{J}_p + f, \quad \partial_t n_g = \nabla \mathbf{J}_g - f. \quad (77)$$

FIG. 52 Sequence of snapshots illustrating evolution of pulsating rings (top row) and rotating vortices (bottom row) obtained from numerical solution of Eqs. (77),(79), from Aranson and Sapozhnikov (2004)

Here  $J_{p,g}$  are the mass fluxes of precipitate and gas respectively and the function  $f$  describes gas/precipitate conversion which depends on  $n_{p,g}$ , electric field  $E$  and local ionic concentration  $c$ . The fluxes are written as:

$$\mathbf{J}_{p,g} = D_{p,g} \nabla n_{p,g} + \alpha_{p,g}(E) \mathbf{v}_\perp n_{p,g} (1 - \beta(E) n_{p,g}), \quad (78)$$

where  $v_\perp$  is horizontal hydrodynamic velocity,  $D_{p,g}$  are precipitate/gas diffusivities. The last term, describing particles advection by fluid, is reminiscent of the Richardson-Zaki relation for a drag force frequently used in the engineering literature (Richardson and Zaki, 1954). The factor  $(1 - \beta(E) n_{p,g})$  describes the saturation of flux at large particle densities  $n \sim 1/\beta$  due to the decrease of void fraction. Terms  $\sim \alpha_{p,g}$  describe advection of particles by the fluid. Interestingly, in the limit of very large gas diffusion  $D_g \gg D_p$  and without advection terms ( $\alpha_{p,g} = 0$ ) the model reduces to Eqs. (69) and (70) applied for air-filled cell (Aranson *et al.*, 2002).

Eqs. (77) are coupled to the cross section averaged Navier-Stokes equation for vertical velocity  $v_z$ :

$$n_0 (\partial_t v_z + \mathbf{v} \nabla v_z) = \mu \nabla^2 v_z - \partial_z p + E_z q \quad (79)$$

where  $n_0$  is the density of liquid (we set  $n_0 = 1$ ),  $\mu$  is the viscosity,  $p$  is the pressure, and  $q$  is the charge density. The last term describes the electric force acting on charged liquid. Horizontal velocity  $v_\perp$  is obtained from  $v_z$  using the incompressibility condition  $\partial_z v_z + \nabla_\perp v_\perp = 0$  in the approximation that vertical vorticity  $\Omega_z = \partial_x v_y - \partial_y v_x$  is small compared to in-plane vorticity. This assumption allows one to find the horizontal velocity as a gradient of quasi-potential  $\phi$ :  $\mathbf{v}_\perp = -\nabla_\perp \phi$ .

For an appropriate choice of the parameters the model Eqs. (77),(79) yields qualitatively correct phase diagram and the patterns observed in the experiment, see Figs. 15 and 52.

#### D. Magnetic particles

Electric and magnetic interactions allow introduction of controlled long-range forces in granular systems. Blair *et al.* (2003a); Blair and Kudrolli (2003b); Stambaugh *et al.* (2004a,b) performed experimental studies with vibrofluidized magnetic particles. Several interesting phase transitions were reported, in particular, the formation of dense two-dimensional clusters and loose quasi-one-dimensional chains and rings. Blair *et al.* (2003a) considered pattern formation in a mixture of magnetic and non-magnetic (glass) particles of equal mass. The glass particles played the role of “phonons”, their concentration allowed an adjustment of the typical fluctuation velocity of the magnetic subsystem. The



FIG. 53 Phase diagram illustrating various regimes in magnetic granular media,  $T$  is the temperature determined from the the width of velocity distribution,  $\Phi$  is surface coverage fraction of glass particles, from Blair and Kudrolli (2003b)

phase diagram delineating various regimes in this system is shown in Fig. 53. While the phase diagram shows some similarity with equilibrium dipolar fluids (such as phase coexistence), most likely there are differences due to the non-equilibrium character of granular systems.

Stambaugh *et al.* (2004a) performed experiments with relatively large particles (about 1.7 cm), and near the closed-packed density. It was found that particles form hexagonal closed-packed clusters in which the magnetic dipoles lay in the plane and assume circulating vortical patterns. For lower density ring patterns were observed. Experiments with mixture of particles with two different magnetic moments revealed segregation effects (Stambaugh *et al.*, 2004b). The authors argue that the static configurational magnetic energy is the primary factor in pattern selection.

Experiments by Blair and Kudrolli (2003b); Stambaugh *et al.* (2004a,b) were limited to a small number (about  $10^3$ ) of large particles due to the intrinsic limitation of the mechanical vibrofluidization technique. Snezhko *et al.* (2005) performed experimental studies of 90  $\mu\text{m}$  Nickel micro-particles subjected to electrostatic excitation, see also Subsec. VIII.C. The electrostatic system allowed researchers to perform experiments with a very large number of particles (of the order of  $10^6$ ) and a large aspect ratio of the experimental cell. Thus the transition between small chains and large networks (Fig. 13) was addressed in detail. An abrupt divergence of the chain length was found when the frequency of field oscillations decreased, resulting in the formation of a giant interconnected network.

Studies of the collective dynamics and pattern formation of magnetic particles are still in the early phases. While it is natural to assume that magnetic interaction plays a dominant role in pattern selection, further computational and theoretical studies of pattern formation in systems of driven dipolar particles are necessary. Besides a direct relevance for the physics of granular media, studies of magnetic granular media may provide an additional insight into the behavior of dipolar hard sphere fluids where the nature of solid/liquid transitions is still debated (de Gennes and Pincus, 1970; Levin, 1999). Vibration or electrostatically fluidized magnetic particles can also be viewed as a macroscopic model of a ferrofluid, where similar experiments are technically difficult to perform.

## IX. OVERVIEW AND PERSPECTIVES

Studies of granular materials are intrinsically interdisciplinary and they borrow ideas and methods from

other fields of physics such as statistical physics, mechanics, fluid dynamics, and the theory of plasticity. On the flip side, progress in understanding granular matter can be often applied to seemingly unrelated physical systems, such as ultra-thin liquid films, foams, colloids, emulsions, suspensions, and other soft condensed matter systems. The common feature shared by these systems is the discrete microstructure directly influencing macroscopic behavior. For example, the order parameter description similar to that of Sec. VI.A.1 was applied to stick-slip friction in ultra-thin films, (Aranson *et al.*, 2002c; Carlson and Batista, 1996; Israelachvili *et al.*, 1988; Urbach *et al.*, 2004).

Lemaitre (2002); Lemaitre and Carlson (2004) applied the idea of shear-transformation zone (STZ) pioneered by Falk and Langer (1998) for amorphous solids both to granular matter and to the boundary lubrication problem in confined fluid. In this theory the plastic deformation is represented by a population of mesoscopic regions which may undergo non-affine deformations in response to stress. Concentration of STZs in amorphous material is somewhat similar to the order parameter (relative concentration of defects) introduced by Aranson *et al.* (2002c). A conceptually similar approach was proposed by Staron *et al.* (2002) who described the onset of fluidization as a percolation of the contact network with fully mobilized friction. Whereas derivation of the constitutive relations from first-principle microscopic rules is still a formidable challenge, these approaches are promising for understanding of not only the boundary lubrication problem, but also onset of motion in dense granular matter.

Flowing liquid foams and emulsions share many similarities with granular matter: they have internal discrete structure (bubbles and drops play the role of grains), and two different mechanisms are responsible for the transmission of stresses: elastic for small stress and viscoplastic above certain yield stress. However, there are additional complications: bubbles are highly deformable and, unlike granular matter, a number of particles may change due to the coalescence of bubbles.

Foams and granular materials often exhibit similar behavior, such as non-trivial stress relaxation and power-law distribution of rearrangement events (Dennin and Knobler, 1997). Stick-slip behavior was reported both for sheared foams (Lauridsen *et al.*, 2002) and granular materials (Nasuno *et al.*, 1997). Remarkably, recent experiments with two dimensional foams (Lauridsen *et al.*, 2004) and three dimensional emulsions (Coussot *et al.*, 2002a,b; DaCruz, 2002) strongly suggest the coexistence between flowing (liquid) and jammed (solid) states reminiscent of that in granular matter. Furthermore, avalanche behavior reminiscent of granular flows down an inclined plane (Daerr and Douady, 1999) was reported by Coussot *et al.* (2002a) for clay suspensions, see Fig. 54. There are many approaches treating foams, gel and suspensions as complex fluids with specific stress-strain constitutive relation. For example,

FIG. 54 Sequence of snapshots illustrating evolution of clay suspension drop poured over sandpaper, from Coussot *et al.* (2002a)

FIG. 55 A possible phase diagram for jamming. The jammed region, near the origin, is enclosed by the depicted surface. The line in the temperature-load plane is speculative, and indicates how the yield stress might vary for jammed systems in which there is thermal motion, from Liu and Nagel (1998)

Fuchs and Cates (2002) used the analogy between glasses and dense colloidal suspensions and applied the mode coupling approach to understand the nonlinear rheology and yielding. Similar approaches can be possibly useful for granular materials (Schofield and Oppenheim, 1994).

Liu and Nagel (1998) suggested that a broad class of athermal soft matter systems (glasses, suspensions, granular materials) shows a universal critical behavior in the vicinity of solid-fluid or *jamming* transition, see Fig. 55. Whether jammed systems indeed have common features that can be described by a universal phase diagram is an open issue. An interesting question in this context is a possibility of thermodynamic description of driven, macroscopic, athermal systems like granular materials and foams in terms of some kind of effective temperature. Studies of interacting particles under shear (Corvin *et al.*, 2005; Makse and Kurchan, 2002; O’Hern *et al.*, 2004; Ono *et al.*, 2002; Xu and O’Hern, 2005) indicate that indeed under certain conditions it is possible to define an effective temperature (for example, from the equivalent of the Einstein-Stokes relation) for a broad class of athermal systems from comparison of the mechanical linear response with the corresponding time-dependent fluctuation-dissipation relation. However, the possibility of developing nonequilibrium thermodynamics of the basis of the effective temperature is under debate.

Granular systems exhibit many similarities with traffic flows and collective motion of self-propelled particles such as swimming bacteria, fish schools, bird flocks, etc., see for review (Helbing, 2001). In particular, jamming transition in granular media and traffic jams show similar features, such as hysteresis, and clusters formation. Moreover, continuum models of traffic flows are often cast in the form of modified Navier-Stokes equation with density-dependent viscosity, similar to granular hydrodynamics.

Let us discuss briefly some open questions in the physics of granular matter.

- Static vs. dynamic description. Commonly accepted models of rapid granular flows (granular hydrodynamics) and quasi-static dense flows (elastic and visco-plastic models) are very different, see e.g. Goldenberg and Goldhirsch (2002). However, near the fluidization transition, and in dense partially-fluidized flows, the differences between these two regimes become less obvious. The fluidization of

sheared granular materials has many features of a first-order phase transition. The phenomenological partial fluidization theory in principle can be a bridge between the static and dynamic descriptions. The order parameter related to the local coordination number appears to be one of the hidden fields required for a consistent description of granular flows. One important question in this regard is the universality of the fluidization transition in different granular systems and geometries. On the opposite side of the fluidization transition, the static state of the granular matter can be described by the order parameter related to the percentage of static contacts with fully activated dry friction (critical contacts) (Staron *et al.*, 2002). It was shown that once these contacts form a percolation cluster, the granular pack slips and fluidization occurs. It is of obvious interest to relate this “static” order parameter and the “dynamics” order parameter discussed above. We see one of the main future challenges in the systematic derivation of the continuum theory valid both for flowing and static granular matter.

- Statistical mechanics of dense granular systems. Clearly, discrete grain structure plays a major role in the dynamics and inherent stochasticity of granular response. The number of particles in a typical granular assembly is large ( $10^6$  or more) but it is much smaller than the Avogadro number. Traditional tools of statistical physics do not apply to dense granular systems since grains do not exhibit thermal Brownian motion. One of the alternative ways of describing statistics of granular media was suggested by Edwards and Grinev (1998) in which they proposed that volume rather than energy serves as the extensive variable in a static granular system, so that the role of temperature is played by the compactivity which is the derivative of the volume with respect to the usual entropy. Recent experiments (Makse and Kurchan, 2002; Schröter *et al.*, 2005) aim to test this theory experimentally. Connecting Edwards theory with granular hydrodynamics will be an interesting challenge for future studies.
- Realistic simulations of three-dimensional granular flows. Even the most advanced simulations of granular flows in three dimensions (Silbert, 2005; Silbert *et al.*, 2003) are limited to relatively small samples (e.g.  $100 \times 40 \times 40$  particles box) and are very time consuming. The granular problems are inherently very stiff: while the collisions between particles are very short ( $O(10^{-4} \text{ sec})$ ), the collective processes of interest may take many seconds or minutes. As a result, to the time step limitations a simulation of realistic hard particles is not feasible: the “simulations” particles have elastic moduli several orders of magnitude smaller than sand or glass. The particle softness may introduce un-

physical artifacts in the overall picture of the motion. Different approaches to handling this problem will be necessary to advance the state of the art in simulations. New opportunity can be offered by the equation-free simulation method proposed by Kevrekidis *et al.* (2004). Another area of simulations which needs further refinements is an accurate account of dry friction. In the absence of a better solution current methods (see for review (Luding, 2004)) employ various approximate techniques to simulation dry friction, and accuracy of these methods can be questionable.

- Complex interactions. Understanding of dynamics of granular systems with complex interactions is certainly an intriguing and rapidly developing field. While interaction of grains with interstitial fluid is a traditional part of engineering research, effects of particle anisotropy, long-range electromagnetic interactions mediating collisions, adhesion, agglomeration and many others constitute a formidable challenge for theorists and a fertile field of future research.
- Granular physics on a nano-scale. There is a persistent trend in the industry such as powder metallurgy, pharmaceutical and various chemical technologies towards operating with smaller and smaller particles. Moreover, it was recognized recently that micro- and nano-particles can be useful for fabrication of desired ordered structures and templates for a broad range of nanotechnological applications through self-assembly processes. Self-assembly, the spontaneous organization of materials into complex architectures, constitutes one of the greatest hopes of realizing the challenge to create ever smaller nanostructures. It is a particularly attractive alternative to traditional approaches such as lithography and electron beam writing. Reduction of the particle size to micro- and nano -scales shifts the balance between forces controlling particle interaction because the dominant interactions depend on the particle size. While for macroscopic grains the dynamics are governed mostly by the gravity, collisional and frictional forces, for micro- and nano-particles the dominant interactions include long-range electromagnetic forces, short- range van der Waals interactions, etc. Nevertheless, some concepts and ideas developed in the “traditional” granular physics were successfully applied to understand dynamic self-assembly of microparticles (Sapozhnikov *et al.*, 2003a, 2004) and even biological microtubules (Aranson and Tsimring, 2005). We expect to see more and more efforts in this direction.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dmitrii Volfson, Alexey Snezhko, Maksim Sapozhnikov, Jie Li, Adrian Daerr, Bob Behringer, Jerry Gollub, Thomas Halsey, Denis Ertas, Harry Swinney, Jeff Olafsen, Eli Ben-Naim, Valerii Vinokur, Wai Kwok, George Crabtree, Paul Umbanhowar, Francisco Melo, Eric Clement, Jacques Prost, Philippe Claudin, Julio Ottino, Devang Khakhar, Jean-Philippe Bouchaud, Olivier Pouliquen, Jacques Duran, Anaël Lemaître, Evelyne Kolb, Hugues Chaté, Gary Grest, Arshad Kudrolli, Douglas Durian, Peter Schiffer, Leo Silbert, Wolfgang Losert, Daniel Blair, Paul Chaikin, Henrich Jaeger, Sid Nagel, Leo Kadanoff, Thomas Witten, Sue Coppersmith, Baruch Meerson, Ray Goldstein, Chay Goldenberg, Isaak Goldhirsch, Robert Ecke, Thorsten Pöschel, Alexandre Valance, James Dufty, James Jenkins, Dietrich Wolf, Haye Hinrichsen, Lorenz Kramer, Len Pismen, Martin van Hecke, Wim van Saarloos, Guenter Ahlers, Jacob Israelachvili, James Langer, Pierre-Gilles de Gennes and many others for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Office of the Basic Energy Sciences at the United States Department of Energy, grants W-31-109-ENG-38, and DE-FG02-04ER46135.

The review was partly written when one of us (I.A) was attending Granular Session in Institute Henry Poincaré, Paris, and Granular Physics Program, Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics in Santa Barbara.

## References

- Aegerter, C.M., K.A. Lorincz, M.S Welling, and R.J. Wijn-gaarden, 2004, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 058702.
- Andereck, D., S.S Liu, and H.L. Swinney, 1986, *J. Fluid Mech* **164**, 155.
- Andersen, K.H., M.L. Chabanol, and M. van Hecke, 2001, *Phys. Rev. E* **63**, 066308.
- Andersen, K.H., M. Abel, J. Krug, C. Ellegaard, L.R. Sondergaard, and J. Udesen, 2002, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 234302.
- Andreotti, B., P. Claudin, and S. Douady, 2002, *Euro. Phys. J. B* **28**, 341.
- Aoki, K.M., T. Akiyama, Y. Maki, and T. Watanabe, 1996, *Phys. Rev. E* **54**, 874.
- Aradian, A., E. Raphaël, and P.-G. de Gennes, 2002, *C. R. Physique* **3**, 187.
- Aranson, I.S. and L.S. Tsimring, 1998, *Physica A* **249**, 103.
- Aranson, I., and L. Tsimring, 1999, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 4643.
- Aranson, Tsimring, and Vinokur, I.S., L.S. Tsimring, and V.M. Vinokur, 1999, *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, R1327.
- Aranson, I.S., D. Blair, W.-K. Kwok, G. Karapetrov, U. Welp, G.W. Crabtree, V.M. Vinokur, and L.S. Tsimring, 1999, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 731.
- Aranson, I.S., D. Blair, V.A. Kalatsky, G.W. Crabtree, W.-K. Kwok, and U. Welp, 2000, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 3306.
- Aranson, I.S., B Meerson, P.V. Sasorov, and V.M. Vinokur, 2002, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 204301.
- Aranson, I.S. and L.S. Tsimring, 2001, *Phys. Rev. E* **64**, 020301.
- Aranson, I.S. and L.S. Tsimring, 2002, *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 061303.

- Aranson, I.S., L.S. Tsimring, and V.M. Vinokur, 2002, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 125402
- Aranson, I.S. and L. Kramer, 2002, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 99.
- Aranson, I.S. and J.S. Olafsen, 2002, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 061302.
- Aranson, I.S. and L.S. Tsimring, 2003, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 021305.
- Aranson, I.S. and M.V. Sapozhnikov, 2004, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 234301.
- Aranson, I., and L. Tsimring, 2005, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 050901.
- Argentina, M., M.G. Clerck, and R. Soto, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 044301.
- Arndt, T., T. Siegmann-Hegerfeld, S. J. Fiedor, J. M. Ottino, and R. M. Lueptow, 2005, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 011306.
- Asakura, S., and F. Oosawa, 1958, J. Polymer Sci. **33**, 183.
- Aumaitre, S., C. A. Kruelle, and I. Rehberg, 2001, **64**, 041305.
- Ayrton, H., 1910, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **84**, 285.
- Babić, M., 1993, J. Fluid Mech. **254**, 127.
- Bagnold, R. A., 1956, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **187**, 1.
- Bagnold, R.A. 1954, in *The Physics of Blown Sand and Desert Dunes*, (London: Methuen), pp. 265.
- Bak, P., C. Tang, and K. Weisenfeld, 1987, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 381.
- Barashenkov, I.V., N.V. Alexeeva, and E.V. Zemlyanaya, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 104101.
- Ben-Naim, E. and P.L. Krapivsky, 2003, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 031104.
- Betat, A., and I. Rehberg, 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 88.
- Bizon, C., M.D. Shattuck, J.T. Newman, P.B. Umbanhowar, J.B. Swift, W.D. McCormick, and H.L. Swinney, 1997, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4713.
- Bizon, C., M.D. Shattuck, J.B. Swift, W.D. McCormick, and H.L. Swinney, 1998, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 57.
- Bizon, C., M.D. Shattuck, J.R. de Bruyn, J.B. Swift, W.D. McCormick, and H.L. Swinney, 1998, J. Stat. Phys. **93**, 449.
- Blair, D.L., I.S. Aranson, G.W. Crabtree, V.M. Vinokur, L.S. Tsimring, and C. Jossierand, 2000, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 5600.
- Blair, D.L., T. Neicu, and A. Kudrolli, 2003, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 031303.
- Blair, D.L. and A. Kudrolli, 2003, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 0201302.
- Börzsönyi, T. and R.E. Ecke, 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. **??**, ??.
- Börzsönyi, T., Halsey, T.C., and R.E. Ecke, 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 208001.
- Bouchaud, J.-P., M.E. Cates, J. Ravi Prakash, and S.F. Edwards, 1994, J. Phys. (France) I **4**, 1393.
- Bouchaud, J.-P., M.E. Cates, J. Ravi Prakash, and S.F. Edwards, 1995, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1982.
- Bougie, J., S.-J. Moon, J.B. Swift, and H.L. Swinney, 2002, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 051301.
- Bougie, J., J. Kreft, J.B. Swift, and H.L. Swinney, 2005, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 021301.
- Boutreux, T., and P. G. de Gennes, 1996, J. Phys. I **6**, 1295.
- Boutreux, T., E. Raphael, and P.-G. de Gennes, 1998, Phys. Rev. E **58**, 4692.
- Bray, A.J., 1994, Adv. Phys. **43**, 357.
- Brey, J. J., J. W. Dufty, C. S. Kim, and A. Santo, 1998, Phys. Rev. E **58**, 4638.
- Brendel, L., T., Unger, and D.E., Wolf, 2004, in: *The Physics of Granular Media*, (edited by H. Hinrichsen and D. E. Wolf, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA), p. 325.
- Brilliantov, N.V. and Th., Pöschel 2004, in *Kinetic Theory of Granular Gases*, (Oxford University Press), pp. 329.
- Brito, R. and M.H. Ernst, 1998, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C **8**, 1339.
- Brown, R. L., 1939, The Institute of Fuel **13**, 15.
- Buehler, R.J., J.R.H. Wentorf, J.O. Hirschfelder, and C.F. Curtiss, 1951, J. Chem. Phys. **19**, 61.
- Burtally, N., P.J. King, and M.R. Swift, 2002, Science **295**, 1877.
- Catuto, C. and U.M.B. Marconi, 2004, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 174502.
- Cafiero, R., S. Luding, and H.J. Hermann, 2000, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 6014.
- Caps, H. and N. Vanderwalle, 2001, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 041302.
- Carlson, J.M. and A.A. Batista, 1996, Phys. Rev. E **53**, 4153.
- Carnahan, N.F. and K.E. Starling, 1969, J. Chem. Phys. **51**, 635.
- Cerda, E., F. Melo, and S. Rica, 1997, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4570.
- Chicarro, R., R. Peralta-Fabi, and R. M. Velasco, 1997, in *Powders & Grains 97*, edited by R. P. Behringer and J. T. Jenkins (Balkema, Rotterdam), pp. 479–481.
- Chladni, E.F.F., 1787, *Entdeckungen im Reich des Klanges*
- Choo, K., T.C.A. Molteno, and S.W. Morris, 1997, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2975.
- Choo, K., M. W. Baker, T. C. A. Molteno, and S. Morris, 1998, Phys. Rev. E **58**, 6115.
- Ciamarra, M. P., A. Coniglio, and M. Nicodemi, 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 188001.
- Clément, E., L. Vanel, J. Rajchenbach, and J. Duran, 1996, Phys. Rev. E **53**, 2972.
- Conti, M., B. Meerson, A. Peleg, and P.V. Sasorov, 2002, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 046117.
- Conway, S.L., D.J. Goldfarb, T. Shinbrot, and B.J. Glasser, 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 074301.
- Conway, S.L., T. Shinbrot, and B.J. Glasser, 2004, Nature (London) **431**, 433.
- Cooke, M. H., D. J. Stephens, and J. Bridgewater, 1976, Powder Technol. **15**, 1.
- Corvin, E.I., H.M. Jaeger, and S.R. Nagel, 2005, Nature (London) **435**, 1075.
- Costello, R.M., K.L. Cruz, C. Egnatuk, D.T. Jacobs, M.C. Krivos, T.S. Louis, R.J. Urban, and H. Wagner, 1976, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 041304.
- Coullet, P., J. Lega, B. Houchmanzaden, and J. Lajzerowicz, 1990, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 1352.
- Coussot, P., Q.D. Nguyen, H.T. Huynh, and D. Bonn, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 175501.
- Coussot, P., J.S. Raynaud, F. Bertrand, P. Moucheron, J.P. Guilbaud, H.T. Huynh, S. Jarny, and D. Bonn, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 175501.
- Crawford, C. and H. Riecke, 1999, Physica D **129**, 83.
- Cross, M.C. and P.C. Hohenberg, 1993, Rev. Mod. Phys. **65**, 851.
- Cundall, P.A. and O.D.L. Strack, 1979, Géotechnique **29**, 47.
- DaCruz, F., F. Chevoir, D. Bonn, and P. Coussot, 2002, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 051301.
- Dammer, S.M. and D.E. Wolf, 2004, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 15062.
- Daerr, A. 2001, Phys. Fluids **13**, 2115.
- Daerr, A. 2001, *Dynamique des avalanches*, (Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Paris VII), pp. 181.
- Daerr, A. and S. Douady, 1999, Nature (London) **399**, 241.
- Daerr, A., P. Lee, J. Lanuza, and E. Clément, 2003, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 065201.
- Das, S.K. and S. Puri, 2003, Europhys. Lett. **61**, 749.
- Davies, R.H., 1990, J. Hydrol. (NZ) **29**, 18.
- de Bruyn, J.R., C. Bizon, M.D. Shattuck, D. Goldman, J.B. Swift, and H.L. Swinney, 1998, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1421.

- de Gennes, P.-G., 1999, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, S374.
- de Gennes, P.-G., and P., Pincus, 1970, *Phys. Kondens. Mater.* **11**, 189.
- Dennin, M., and C.M., Knobler, 1997, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 2485.
- Dinkelacker, F., A. Hübler, and E. Lüscher, 1987, *Biol. Cybern.* **56**, 51.
- Dolgunin, V. N., A. N. Kudy, and A. A. Ukolov, 1998, *Powder Technol.* **96**, 211.
- Douady, S., B. Andreotti, and A. Daerr, 1999, *Euro. Phys. J. B* **11**, 131.
- Douady, S., B. Andreotti, A. Daerr, and P. Clade, 2002, *C. R. Physique* **3**, 177.
- Douady, S., S. Fauve, and C. Laroche, 1989, *Europhys. Lett.* **8**, 621.
- Duong, N.-H., A.E. Hosoi, and T. Shinbrot, 2004, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 224502.
- Duran, J., 1999, *Sands, Powders and Grains: Introduction to the Physics of Granular Materials*, (Springer-Verlag New York), pp. 214.
- Duran, J., 2000, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **84**(5), 5126.
- Duran, J., 2001, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **87**(5), 254301.
- Duru, P., M. Nicolas, E.J. Hinch, and C. Guazzelli, 2002, *J. Fluid Mech* **452**, 371.
- Edwards, S.F. and D.V. Grinev, 1998, *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, 4758.
- Efrati, E., E. Livne, and B. Meerson, 2005, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 088001.
- Eggers, J. and H. Riecke, 1999, *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, 4476.
- Ehrhard, G.C.M.A., A. Stephenson, and P.M. Reis, 2005, *Phys. Rev. E* **71**, 041301.
- Elperin, T., and A. Vikhansky, 1998, *Europhys. Lett.* **42**, 619.
- Evesque, P., and J. Rajchenbach, 1989, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 44.
- Evesque, P., 1990, *J. Phys. France II* **311**, 393.
- Falcon, É., R. Wunenburger, P. Évesque, C. Chabot, Y. Garrabos, and D. Beysens, 1999, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 440.
- Falcon, E., K. Kumar, K. M. S. Bajaj, and J. K. Bhattacharjee, 1999, *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, 5716.
- Falk, M.L. and J.S. Langer, 1998, *Phys. Rev. E* **57**, 7192.
- Faraday, M., 1831, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London* **52**, 299.
- Fauve, S., S. Douady, and C. Laroche, 2003, *J. Phys. C3* **50**, 187.
- Ferguson, A., B. Fisher, and B. Chakraborty, 20034, *Europhys. Lett.* **66**, 277.
- Fiodor, S.J. and J.M. Ottino, 2003, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 244301.
- Forterre, Y. and O. Pouliquen, 2001, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 5886.
- Forterre, Y. and O. Pouliquen, 2002, *J. Fluid Mech* **467**, 361.
- Forterre, Y. and O. Pouliquen, 2003, *J. Fluid Mech* **486**, 21.
- Fraerman, A. A., A. S. Melnikov, I. M. Nefedov, I. A. Shereshevskii, and A. V. Shpiro, 1997, *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 6316.
- Frette, V., and J. Stavans, 1997, *Phy. Rev. E* **56**, 6981.
- Fried, E., A.Q. Shen, and S.T Thoroddsen, 1998, *Phys. Fluids* **10**, 10.
- Fuchs, M. and M.E. Cates, 2002, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 248304.
- Garcimartín, A., D. Maza, J.L. Ilquimiche, and I. Zuriguel, 2002, *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 031303.
- Garzó, V., and J. W. Dufty, 1999, *Phys. Rev. E* **59**, 5895.
- Gallas, J.A.C., H.J. Hermann, and S. Sokolowski, 1992, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 1371.
- Gallas, J.A.C., H.J. Hermann, and S. Sokolowski, 1992, *J. Phys. II France* **2**, 1389.
- Gallas, J.A.C., H.J. Hermann, and S. Sokolowski, 1992, *Physica A* **189**, 437.
- Gallas, J.A.C. and S. Sokolowski, 1992, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **7**, 2037.
- Gao, D., S. Subramanian, R.O. Fox, and D.K. Hoffman, 2005, *Phys. Rev. E* **71**, 021302.
- Gidaspow, D., 1992, *Multiphase Flows and Fluidization*, (Academic Press), pp. 467.
- Goldenberg, C. and I. Goldhirsch 2002, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 084302.
- Goldfarbs, D., B. Glasser, and T. Shinbrot, 2002, *Nature* **415**, 302.
- Goldhirsch, I. 2003, *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech* **35**, 267.
- Goldhirsch, I. and G. Zanetti, 1993, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1619.
- Goldman, D.I., J.B. Swift, and H.L. Swinney, 2004, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 174302.
- Goldsmith, W. 1964, *Impact: The Theory and Physical Behaviour of Colliding Solids*, (London: Edward Arnold), pp. 379.
- Goldstein, A. and M. Shapiro, 1995, *J. Fluid Mech.* **282**, 75.
- Gollub, J.P. and J.S. Langer, 1999, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, S396.
- Grégoire, G. and H. Chaté, 2004, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 025702.
- Gray, J. M. N. T., and K. Hutter, 1997a, *Continuum Mech. Thermodyn.* **9**(1), 341.
- Grossman, E.L., T. Zhou, and E. Ben-Naim, 1997, *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 4200.
- Gurbatov, S.N., A.I. Saichev, and S.F. Shandarin, 1985, *Soviet Phys. Doklady* **30**, 921.
- Haff, P.K., 1983, *J. Fluid. Mech* **134**, 401.
- He, H., B. Meerson, and G. Doolen, 2002, *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 030301.
- Helbing, D., I. Farkas, and T. Vicsek, 2000, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 1240.
- Helbing, D., 2001, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 1067.
- Hersen, P., K.H. Andersen, H. Elbelrhiti, B. Andreotti, P. Cladin, and S. Doaudy, 2004, *Phys. Rev. E* **69**, 011304.
- Hill, J. M., 1997, in *IUTAM Symposium on Mechanics of Granular and Porous Materials*, edited by N. A. Fleck and A. C. E. Cocks (Kluwer Academic Publishers), pp. 251–262.
- Hill, K.M. and J. Kakalios, 1994, *Phys. Rev. E* **49**, 3610.
- Hill, K.M. and J. Kakalios, 1995, *Phys. Rev. E* **52**, 4393.
- Hill, S.A. and G.F. Mazenko, 2003, *Phys. Rev. E* **67**, 061302.
- Israelachvili, J.N., P.M. McGuiggan, and A.M.. Homola, 1988, *Science* **240**, 189.
- Ivanova, A., V. Kozlov, and P. Evasque, 1996, *Europhys. Lett.* **35**, 159.
- Iverson, R. M., 1997, *Rev. Geophys.* **35**, 245.
- Jackson, R. 2000, *The Dynamics of Fluidized Particles*, (Cambridge University Press), pp. 368.
- Jaeger, H.M., C.-h. Liu, and S.R. Nagel, 1989, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 40.
- Jaeger, H.M., S.R. Nagel, and R.P. Behringer, 1996, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68**, 1259.
- Jenkins, J.T. and M.W. Richman, 1985, *Phys. Fluids* **28**, 3485.
- Jenkins, J.T. and D.K. Yoon, 1985, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 194301.
- Jenkins, J.T. and C. Zhang, 2002, *Phys. Fluids* **14**, 1228.
- Jenny, H. 1964, *Cymatics, vol.2: wave phenomena, vibrational effects, harmonic oscillations, with their structure, kinetics*,

- and dynamics, (Basilus Presse), pp. 368.
- Jia, L. C., P.-Y. Lai, and C. K. Chan, 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3832.
- Kadanoff, L.P., 1999, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 435.
- Kevrekidis, I., C. W. Gear, and G. Hummer, 2004, AICHE Journ. **50**(7), 1346.
- Khain, E. and B. Meerson, 2003, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 050501.
- Khain, E. and B. Meerson, 2002, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 021306.
- Khain, E., B. Meerson, and P.V. Sasorov, 2004, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 051310.
- Khain, E. and B. Meerson, 2004, Europhys. Lett. **65**, 193.
- Khakhar, D.V., J.J. McCarthy, and J.M. Ottino, 1997, Phys. Fluids **9**, 3600.
- Khakhar, D. V., J. J. McCarthy, and J. M. Ottino, 1999, Chaos **9**, 594.
- Khakhar, D.V., A.V. Opre, P. Andersén, and J.M. Ottino, 2001, J. Fluid Mech. **441**, 255.
- Khan, Z., W. A. Tokaruk, and S. W. Morris, 2004, Europhys. Lett. **66**, 212.
- Khan, Z., and S. W. Morris, 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 048002.
- Kiyashko, S., L. Korzinov, M. Rabinovich, and L. Tsimring, 1996, Phys. Rev. E **54**(24), 5037.
- Knight, J. B., H. M. Jaeger, and S. R. Nagel, 1993, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**(24), 3728.
- Koeppel, J. P., M. Enz, and J. Kakalios, 1998, Phys. Rev. E **58**, 4104.
- Kohlstedt, K., A. Snezhko, M.V. Sapozhnikov, I.S. Aranson, J.S. Olafsen, and E. Ben-Naim, 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. **??**, ???.
- Kudrolli, A., M. Wolpert, and J.P. Gollub, 1997, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1383.
- Kroy, K., G. Sauer mann, and H.J. Hermann, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 054301.
- Kroy, K., G. Sauer mann, and H.J. Hermann, 2002, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 031302.
- Kudrolli, A., 2004, Report Prog. Phys. **67**, 209.
- Kunii, D. and O. Levenspiel, 1991, *Fluidization Engineering*, (Boston, Büttenworth), pp. 491.
- Landau, L.D. and E.M. Lifshits, 1959, *Fluid Mechanics*, (London: Pergamon Press), pp. 536.
- Landry, J.W., L.E. Silbert, and G.S. Grest, 2002, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 0641303.
- Langlois, V. and A. Valance, 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 248001.
- Laroche, C., S. Douady, and S. Fauve, 1989, J. Phys. France **50**, 699.
- Lajeunesse, E., A. Mangeney-Castelnau, and J.-P. Vilotte, 2004, Phys. Fluids **14**, 7.
- Lauridsen, J., M. Twardos, and M. Dennin, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 098303.
- Lauridsen, J., G. Chanan, and M. Dennin, 2004, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 018303.
- Lemaitre, A., 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 195503.
- Lemaitre, A. and J. Carlson, 2004, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 061611.
- Lemieux, P.-A. and D.J. Durian, 2000, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4273.
- Levin, Y., 1989, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1159.
- Levine, D., 1999, Chaos **9**, 573.
- Levitán, B., 1998, Phys. Rev. E **58**(2), 2061.
- Li, J., I.S. Aranson, W.-K. Kwok, and L.S. Tsimring, 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 134301.
- Li, H., and J. J. McCarthy, 2005, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 021305.
- Liffman, K., G. Metcalfe, and P. Cleary, 1997, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 4574.
- Lifshitz, I.M. and V.V., Slyozov 1958, Zh. Eks. Teor. Fiz. **35**, 479, [Translated 1959, Sov. Phys. JETP **8**, 331].
- Lifshitz, I.M. and V.V., Slyozov 1961, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **19**, 35
- Lioubashevski, O., Y. Hamiel, A. Agnon, Z. Reches, and J. Feniberg, 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3190.
- Linz, S.J. and P. Hänggi, 1995, Phys. Rev. E **51**, 2538.
- Liu, A. and S.R. Nagel, 1998, Nature (London) **396**, 21.
- Livne, E., B. Meerson, and P.V. Sasorov., 2002, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 021302.
- Livne, E., B. Meerson, and P.V. Sasorov., 2002, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 050301.
- Lois, G., A. Lemaitre, and J.M. Carlson, 2005, Phys. Rev. E **??**, ????
- Losert, W., D.G.W. Cooper, and J.P. Gollub, 1999, Phys. Rev. E **59**, 5855.
- Losert, W., L. Bocquet, T.C. Lubensky, and J.P. Gollub, 2000, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1428.
- Luding, S., E. Clément, A. Blumen, J. Rajchenbach, and J. Duran, 1994, Phys. Rev. E **50**, R1762.
- Luding, S., E. Clément, J. Rajchenbach, and J. Duran, 1996, Europhys. Lett. **36**, 247.
- Luding, S. 2001, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 042201.
- Luding, S., 2004, *in: The Physics of Granular Media*, (edited by H. Hinrichsen and D. E. Wolf, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA), p. 299.
- Makse, H. A., P. Cizeau, and H. E. Stanley, 1997a, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3298.
- Makse, H. A., S. Havlin, P. R. King, and H. E. Stanley, 1997b, Nature **386**, 379.
- Makse, H. A., 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3186.
- Makse, H.A., and J. Kurchan, 2002, Nature (London) **415**, 614.
- Malloggi, F., J. Lanuza, B. Andreotti, and E. Clement, 2005, in *Powders & Grains 2005*, edited by R. Garcia-Rojo, H.J. Herrmann, and S. McNamara (Balkema, Rotterdam), pp. ???.
- Malloggi, F., J. Lanuza, B. Andreotti, and E. Clement, 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. **submitted**, ????
- McNamara, S. and W.R. Young, 1996, Phys. Rev. E **53**, 5089.
- McNamara, S., R. García-Rojo, and M. Strauß, 2004, Plug conveying antrag ideas.
- Meerson, B., 1996, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 215.
- Meerson, B., Th. Pöschel, and Y. Bromberg, 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 024301.
- Meerson, B., Th. Pöschel, P.V. Sasorov, and P.V. Schwager, 2004, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 021302.
- Meerson, B. and A. Puglisi, 2005, Europhys. Lett. **??**, ????
- Mehta, A. 1994, *in: Granular Matter. An Interdisciplinary Approach*, Mehta A. (Ed), (Springer Verlag, N.Y.), pp. 306.
- Mehta, A. and J.M. Luck, 1990, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 393.
- Melo, F., P.B. Umbanhowar, and H.L. Swinney., 1994, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 172.
- Melo, F., P.B. Umbanhowar, and H.L. Swinney., 1995, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3838.
- Metcalfe, G., T. Shinbrot, J.J. McCarthy, and J.M. Ottino., 1995, Nature (London) **374**, 39.
- Metcalfe, G. and M. Shattuck, 1998, Physica A **233**, 709.
- Moon, S.J., M.D. Shattuck, C. Bizon, D.I. Goldman, J.B. Swift, and H.L. Swinney 2002, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 011301.
- Moon, S.J., D.I. Goldman, J.B. Swift, and H.L. Swinney 2003,

- Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 134301.
- Möbius, M., B.E. Lauderdale, S.R. Nagel, and H.M. Jaeger 2001, Nature (London) **414**, 270.
- Moreau, J.J., 1994, Eur. J. Mech. A **13**, 93.
- Mueth, D.M., G.F. Debregeas, G.S. Karczmar, P.J. Eng, S.R. Nagel, and H.M. Jaeger 2000, Nature (London) **406**, 385.
- Mujica, N. and F. Melo, 1998, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5121.
- Mullin, T., 2000, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**(20), 4741.
- Mullin, T., 2002, Science **202**, 1851
- Nasuno, S., A. Kudrolli, and J.P. Gollub, 1997, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 949.
- Nedderman, R.M., 1992, *Statics and Kinematics of Granular Materials*, (Cambridge University Press), pp. 368.
- Newey, M., J. Ozik, S.M. van der Meer, E. Ott, and W. Losert, 2004, Europhys. Lett. **66**, 205.
- Nie, X., E. Ben-Naim, and S.Y. Chen, 2000, Europhys. Lett. **51**, 679.
- Nie, X., E. Ben-Naim, and S.Y. Chen, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 204301.
- Nishimori, H. and N. Ouchi, 1993, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 197.
- Oh, J. and G. Ahlers, 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 094501.
- O'Hern, C.S. A.J. Liu, and S.R. Nagel, 2004, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 165702.
- Olafsen, J.S. and J.S. Urbach, 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4369.
- Ono, I.K. C.S. O'Hern, D.J. Durian, S.A. Langer, A.J. Liu, and S.R. Nagel, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 095703.
- Onsager, L., 1949, Ann. NY Acad. Sci. **51**, 627.
- Orpe, A., and D. Khakhar, 2001, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 031302.
- Orpe, A., and D. Khakhar, 2005, J. Fluid Mech **??**, ????
- Ottino, J.M. and D.V. Khakhar, 2000, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. **32**, 55.
- Oyama, Y., 1939, Bull. Inst. Phys. Chem. Res. (Tokyo), Rep. **18**, 600.
- Paczuski, M. and S. Boettcher, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 111.
- Pak, H.K. and R.P. Behringer, 1993, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1832.
- Pak, H.K., E. van Doorn, and R.P. Behringer, 1995, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4643.
- Paolotti, D., A. Barrat, U.M.B. Marconi, and A. Puglisi, 2004, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 061304.
- Park, H.-K. and H.-T. Moon, 2002, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 051310.
- Pooley, C.M. and J.M. Yeomans, 2004, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 118001.
- Pöschel, Th. and Th. Schwager, 2005, *Computational Granular Dynamics*, (Springer-Verlag), pp. 322.
- Pouliquen, O., 1999, J. Fluid Mech. **11**, 542.
- Pouliquen, O., J. Delour, O. and S.B. Savage, 1997, Nature (London) **386**, 816.
- Prevost, A., P. Melby, D.A. Egolf, and J.S. Urbach, 2004, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 050301.
- Prigozhin, L., 1999, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 729.
- Pye, K. and H. Tsoar, 1991, *Aeolian Sand and Sand Dunes*, (Allen & Unwin Pty.), pp. 396.
- Radjai, F., D.E. Wolf, M. Jean, and J.J. Moreau, 1998, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1961.
- Rajchenbach, J., 1990, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 2221.
- Rajchenbach, J., 2000, Adv. Phys. **49**, 229.
- Rajchenbach, J., 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 014301.
- Rajchenbach, J., 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 074301.
- Rajchenbach, J., 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 144302.
- Ramirez, R., T. Pöschel, N.V. Brilliantov, and T. Schwager, 1999, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 4465.
- Rapaport, D.C., 1995, *The Art of Molecular Dynamics Simulations*, (Cambridge University Press), pp. 534.
- Rapaport, D. C., 2002, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 061306.
- Reis, P.M. and T. Mullin, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 244301.
- Reicha, E.C., C. Bizon, M.D. Shattuck, and H.L. Swinney, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 014302.
- Richardson, J.F. and W.N. Zaki, 1954, Trans. Inst. Chem. Eng. **32**, 35.
- Ristow, G.H., 1997, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 833.
- Ristow, G.H., 1999, *Pattern Formation in Granular Materials*, (Springer-Verlag Telos), pp. 161.
- Ristow, G. H., 2001, *Pattern Formation in Granular Materials* (Springer, London).
- Rosato, A. D., K. J. Strandburg, F. Prinz, and R. H. Swendsen, 1987, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**(10), 1038.
- Samadani, A., A. Pradhan, and A. Kudrolli, 1999, Phys. Rev. E **62**, 1059.
- Samadani, A., and A. Kudrolli, 2000, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**(24), 5102.
- Samadani, A. and A. Kudrolli, 2001, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 051301.
- Sapozhnikov, M.V., I.S. Aranson, and J.S. Olafsen, 2003, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 010302.
- Sapozhnikov, M.V., Y.V. Tolmachev, I.S. Aranson, and W.K. Kwok, 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 014301.
- Sapozhnikov, M.V., I.S. Aranson, Y.V. Tolmachev, and W.K. Kwok, 2004, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 084502.
- Sapozhnikov, M.V., A. Peleg, B. Meerson, I.S. Aranson, and K.L. Kohlstedt, 2005, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 011307.
- Savage, S. 1979, J. Fluid Mech **92**, 53.
- Savage, S. and K. Hutter, 1989, J. Fluid Mech **199**, 177.
- Savage, S. B., 1988, J. Fluid Mech. **194**, 457.
- Savage, S. B., 1993, in *Disorder and granular media*, edited by D. Bideau (North Holland, Amsterdam), p. 264.
- Schäffer, J., S. Dippel, and D.E. Wolf, 1996, J. Phys. I France **6**, 5.
- Scherer, M. A., F. Melo, and M. Marder, 1999, Phys. Fluids A **11**, 58.
- Schofield, J., and I. Oppenheim, 1994, Physica A **204**, 555.
- Schröter, M., D.I. Goldman, and H.L. Swinney, 2005, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 030301.
- Shandarín, S.F. and Ya.B. Zeldovich, 1989, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61**, 185.
- Sheffler, T. and D.E. Wolf, 2002, Gran. Matter **4**, 103.
- Shen, A.Q., 2002, Phys. Fluids **14**, 462.
- Shinbrot, T., 1997, Nature (London) **389**, 574.
- Shinbrot, T., 2004, Nature **429**, 352.
- Shoichi, S., 1998, Mod. Phys. Lett. B **12**, 115.
- Silbert, L.E., 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 098002.
- Silbert, L.E., D. Ertas, G.S. Grest, T.C. Halsey, and D. Levine, 2002, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 031304.
- Silbert, L.E., D. Ertas, G.S. Grest, T.C. Halsey, and D. Levine, 2002, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 051307.
- Silbert, L.E., J.W. Landry, and G.S. Grest, 2002, Phys. Rev. E **66**, 061303.
- Silbert, L.E., J.W. Landry, and G.S. Grest, 2003, Phys. Fluids **15**, 1.
- Snezhko, A., I.S. Aranson, and W.K. Kwok, 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 108002.
- Song, Y., E.A. Mason, and R.M. Stratt, 1989, J. Phys. Chem. **93**, 6916.
- Stambaugh, J., D.P. Lanthrop, E. Ott, and W. Losert, 2004, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 026207.

- Stambaugh, J., Z. Smith, E. Ott, and W. Losert, 2004, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 031304.
- Staron, L., J.-P. Vilotte, and F. Radjai, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 204302.
- Stegner, A. and J.E. Wesfreid, 1999, Phys. Rev. E **60**, R3487.
- Sunthar, P. and V. Kumaran, 2001, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 041303.
- Swift, J.B. and P.C. Hohenberg, 1977, Phys. Rev. A **15**, 319.
- Taguchi, Y.-H. 1992, J. Phys II France **69**, 1367.
- Tan, M.-L. and I. Goldhirsch, 1998, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3022.
- Taberlet, N., W. Losert, and P. Richard, 20024, Europhys. Lett. **68**, 522.
- Tezges, P., T. Vicsek, and P. Schiffer, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 094301.
- Tezges, P., T. Vicsek, and P. Schiffer, 2003, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 051303.
- Tennakoon, S.G.K., L. Kondic, and R.P. Behringer, 1998, Europhys. Lett. **99**, 1.
- Thomas, C.C and J.P. Gollub, 2004, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 061305.
- Toner, J. and Y. Tu, 1995, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4326.
- Troian, S.M., E. Herbolzheimer, S.A. Safran, and J.F. Joanny, 1989, Europhys. Lett. **10**, 25.
- Tsai, J.-C., G.A. Voth, and J.P. Gollub, 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 064301.
- Tsai, J.-C., F. Ye, J. Rodriguez, J.P. Gollub, and T.C Lubensky, 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 214301.
- Tsimring, L.S. and I.S. Aranson, 1997, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 213.
- Umbanhowar, P.B., F. Melo, and H.L. Swinney, 1996, Nature (London) **382**, 793.
- Umbanhowar, P.B. and H.L. Swinney, 2000, Physica A **288**, 344.
- Unger, T., J. Kertész, and D. E. Wolf, 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 178001.
- Urbach, M., J. Klafter, D. Gourdon, and J. Israelachvili, 2004, Nature (London) **699**, 255.
- van Noije, T.P.C. and M.H. Ernst, 2000, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 1765.
- Venkataramani, S.C. and E. Ott, 1998, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3495.
- Venkataramani, S.C. and E. Ott, 2001, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 046202.
- Villarruel, F.X., B.E. Lauderdale, D.M. Mueth, and H.M. Jaeger, 2000, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 6914.
- Volfson, D., L.S. Tsimring, and I.S. Aranson, 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 245301.
- Volfson, D., L.S. Tsimring, and I.S. Aranson, 2003, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 021301.
- Volfson, D., L.S. Tsimring, and I.S. Aranson, 2004, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 031302.
- Volfson, D., A. Kudrolli, and L.S. Tsimring, 2004, Phys. Rev. E **70**, 051312.
- Voth, G.A., B. Bigger, M.R. Buckley, W. Losert, M.P. Brenner, H.A. Stone, and J.P. Gollub, 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 234301.
- Wagner, C., 1961, Z. Elektrochem. **65**, 681.
- Walker, J., 1982, Sci. American **247**, 166.
- Walton, O.R., 1993, Mech. Mater. **16**, 259.
- Wildman, R.D., J.M. Huntley, and D.J. Parker, 2001, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3304.
- Williams, J. C., 1976, Powder Technol. **15**, 245.
- Xu, N., and C.S. O'Hern, 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 055701.
- Yeh, S.-R., M. Seul, and B.I. Shraiman, 1997, Nature (London) **386**, 57.
- Yanagita, T., 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 3488.
- Zhang, W., and J. V. nals, 1997, J. Fluid Mech. **336**, 301.
- Zhou, J., B. Dupuy, A.L. Bertozzi, and A.E. Hosoi, 2005, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 117803.
- Zik, O., D. Levine, S.G. Lipson, S. Shtrichman, and J. Stavans, 1994, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 644.