FLAT DIRECTIONS IN SUSY GUTS ^a

BORUT BAJC

J. Stefan Institute, 1001 Ljubljana, Slovenia. E-mail: borut.bajc@ijs.si

ILIA GOGOLADZE

International Center for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy, and Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, Georgian Academy of Sciences, 380077 Tbilisi, Georgia. E-mail: iliag@ictp.trieste.it

RAMON GUEVARA

Dept. of Physics, University of Trieste, 34100 Trieste, Italy. E-mail: guevara@ts.infn.it

GORAN SENJANOVIC´

International Center for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy. E-mail: goran@ictp.trieste.it

It is shown that a realistic SUSY SU(6) GUT can dynamically generate the GUT scale and solve at the same time the doublet-triplet splitting problem. The cosmological implications of such a model are briefly reviewed.

1 Introduction

One of the main reasons to consider supersymmetry as a phenomenological viable model is the hierarchy problem: why is the Higgs boson so light, since it is naively believed that it should get a mass of the order of the next new scale, for example the grand unified scale or the Planck scale. Supersymmetry solves technically this problem, assuring that the boson-fermion cancellations in loop diagrams do not change considerably the scalar mass. There are however two remnants of the hierarchy problem still in susy models.

The first one is to understand, why mass scales in nature are so different, for example, why is $M_{GUT} \ll M_{Planck}$, or why is $M_W \ll M_{GUT}$.

The second one is how to achieve the mass splitting between Higgs $SU(2)$ doublets and Higgs SU(3) triplets, which inevitably appear in any GUT.

This short paper deals with the above problems, as well as with cosmolog-ical implications. For a longer version see^{[1](#page-2-0)}.

^aTalk given by Borut Bajc at SUSY'01, Dubna, Russia

2 Flat directions

It has been shown long ago^{[2](#page-2-0)} that flat directions in supersymmetric models can generate dynamically very different scales. The idea of such a mechanism, known also as dimensional transmutation or radiative symmetry breaking, is very simple: a) have a supersymmetric model with at least one flat direction; this flat direction is exact at any order of perturbation thery due to a supersymmetric non-renormalization theorem; b) break supersymmetry spontaneosusly or softly, in order to lift this flat direction; higher loop contributions to the effective potential can generate a nontrivial minimum; since these corrections are logarithmic in the flat direction field, this new minimum is exponentially far away from the original scale (for example the susy breaking scale or the soft mass term). In such a way one can reproduce with soft supersymmetry breaking the electroweak^{[3](#page-2-0)} or the GUT^{[4](#page-2-0)} scale from the Planck scale.

The simplest example of a GUT flat diection is given by

$$
W = \lambda \ Tr(\Sigma^3) \,, \tag{1}
$$

where Σ is an adjoint of the gauge SU(6). The flat direction is

$$
\Sigma = \sigma diag(1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1). \tag{2}
$$

Such simple flat directions are present only in SU(2n) theories.

The solution (2) with arbitrary nonzero σ breaks the original SU(6) gauge group to $SU(3) \times SU(3) \times U(1)$. To break further one can use for example the Fayet-Iliopoulos D-term of an extra (anomalous) gauge $U(1)$:

$$
D_{U(1)} = q_H |H|^2 + q_{\bar{H}} |\bar{H}|^2 - \zeta , \qquad (3)
$$

where H and \bar{H} are the fundamental and antifundamental representations of $SU(6)$ and q_H , $q_{\bar{H}}$ have the same sign as ζ . The supersymmetric solution

$$
H = \bar{H} = \sqrt{\zeta/(q_H + q_{\bar{H}})} (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)
$$
 (4)

together with (2) clearly breaks the GUT into the standard model.

3 The doublet-triplet splitting

The previous example has unfortunately light Higgs triplets and heavy doublets, which is the opposite of what we want. To obtain the right pattern we need^{[1](#page-2-0)} at least two adjoints (A, A') and two singlets (S, S') :

$$
W = \lambda Tr(A^2 A') + \lambda_S S Tr(AA') + \lambda_{S'} S' Tr(A'^2).
$$
 (5)

The solution is given by A in the $SU(4)\times SU(2)\times U(1)$ direction and proportional to an undetermined (flat direction) S and a zero A' . Using again the D-term (3) (3) , one gets exactly two light Higgs doublets and heavy triplets⁵.

4 Cosmology

At high enough temperature the $-T^4$ term dominates and the symmetry restoration takes place \mathbf{b} , since a large nonzero vev of the flat direction would diminish the number of light degrees of freedom ⁷ . Due to the flatness of the potential, the critical temperature⁸ is only of order $(m_{3/2}M_{GUT})^{1/2}$, which is safe for the production of monopoles¹. Also, the phase transition is first order, reducing further the production rate. Of course, all this is relevant only if the phase transition really takes place, which is the subject of further studies.

Acknowledgments

We thank the organizers of SUSY'01 for great hospitality and extremely well organized conference. We are grateful to Lotfi Boubekeur for collaboration in the early stage of this work and to Gia Dvali for important comments. The work of B.B. is supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Sport of the Republic of Slovenia; the work of I.G and G.S. is partially supported by EEC under the TMR contracts ERBFMRX-CT960090 and HPRN-CT-2000- 00152. Both B.B. and R.G. thank ICTP for hospitality during the course of this work.

References

- 1. B. Bajc, I. Gogoladze, R. Guevara and G. Senjanović, [hep-ph/0108196](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0108196).
- 2. E. Witten, Phys. Lett. B 105, 267 (1981).
- 3. L. Alvarez-Gaume, J. Polchinski and M. B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. B 221, 495 (1983).
- 4. K. Tabata, I. Umemura and K. Yamamoto, Phys. Lett. B 127, 90 (1983); H. Goldberg, Phys. Lett. B 400, 301 (1997).
- 5. G. Dvali and S. Pokorski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 907 (1997).
- 6. G. Dvali and L. Krauss, [hep-ph/9811298](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9811298); B. Bajc and G. Senjanović, Phys. Rev. D 61, 103506 (2000).
- 7. F. R. Klinkhamer, Phys. Lett. B 110, 203 (1982); P. Ginsparg, Phys. Lett. B 112, 45 (1982); S. Pi, *Phys. Lett.* B 112, 441 (1982).
- 8. K. Yamamoto, Phys. Lett. B 133, 315 (1983).

^b An opposite behaviour is however possible due to different initial conditions 6 .