

# Thermodynamic constraint on the primordial black hole formation in the radiation dominated epoch

Hyun Kyu Lee\*

*Department of Physics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea  
and*

*Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Pohang 790-784, Korea  
(October 29, 2018)*

It has been suggested that the overdense region as a result of inhomogeneities in the early Universe would have undergone a collapse into the primordial black holes(PBH). In this work, we discuss a possible constraint on the PBH formation in the radiation dominated epoch by imposing the generalized second law of thermodynamics in the context of spherically collapsing scenario. It is found that both the critical temperature  $T_c$  over which the formation of PBH is not possible and the lower bound on the mass of PBH depend on the number of degrees of freedom at the time of PBH formation. In the standard model, one can show that the lower bound on the mass of PBH known in the literature, of order Planck mass, is consistent with the thermodynamic constraint constructed in this work. We also pointed out the possibility that the critical temperature(lower bound on PBH mass) can be lowered(increased) provided the number of relativistic degrees of freedom of the Universe is increasing substantially beyond the standard model.

There are many observational indications, for example, the existence of the galaxies and the fluctuations observed in CMBR, which imply that the early Universe must have been inhomogeneous. It has been suggested that the overdense region as a result of inhomogeneities in the early Universe underwent into the primordial black holes(PBH) [1] [2]. The existence of PBH has been considered to have interesting cosmological consequences, for example, on the cosmic microwave background radiation, primordial nucleosynthesis and dark matter candidate. The mass distribution of PBH can provide a useful information on the spectrum of density fluctuations in the early Universe. Hence the formation as well as the evolution of PBH have been interesting subjects of investigations [3] [4] [5]. Since PBH is an issue in the early Universe, the discussion depends on the theory of gravity, which might be different from that of Einstein. For example, the formation and evolution of PBH has been discussed also in scalar-tensor theories of gravity [15].

One of the remarkable developments in black hole physics is the thermodynamic understanding of a black hole [6] [7]. The entropy of a black hole is proportional to the surface area of horizon and the temperature to the surface gravity. Then the second law of thermodynamics can be stated in a generalized way that the total entropy of black hole plus external Universe never decreases. Hence it is interesting to see whether the generalized second law of thermodynamics can impose any constraint on the physical processes in which black holes are involved. The purpose of this work is to discuss how the formation of PBH can be constrained by imposing the generalized second law of thermodynamics, which is believed to be valid during the spherically collapsing process.

The evolution of the overdense gravity-dominated-regions is supposed to be described by the Friedman-Robertson-Walker(FRW) metric. Since overdense region is characterized with the spatial curvature,  $k > 0$ , in the background of expanding Universe, the scale factor  $R$  is decreasing to form a black hole at a later stage. In this spherically-collapsing scenario the entropy in a comoving volume is conserved without any loss or any net creation up to a black hole threshold. It is normally assumed that PBH mass is of order horizon mass in the early Universe [3], which is supposed to be radiation dominated with temperature  $T$ . Then the PBH mass has temperature dependence as  $M_{pbh} \sim T^{-2}$  and the entropy of PBH according to the black hole thermodynamics behaves as  $S_{pbh} \sim T^{-4}$ . Since the matter in the particle horizon is a kind of progenitor of PBH, it is interesting to compare  $S_{pbh}$  with the entropy enclosed in a particle horizon,  $S_{hor} \sim T^{-3}$ . One can see that there must be a cross-over temperature  $T_c$  above which PBH entropy is smaller than that of its progenitor. It implies that the the formation of PBH at  $T > T_c$  may not be possible without violating the second law of thermodynamics. In this work, we discuss the possible mass limit of PBH using an adiabatic and spherical collapsing model of overdense region into a black hole. The FRW metric is used both for collapsing region and expanding background Universe, which are assumed to be radiation dominated.

It is well known that a spherical collapse of matter results in a black hole if the repulsion due to pressure is not

---

\*e-mail: hkleee@hepth.hanyang.ac.kr

sufficient against gravitational collapse [8]. According to Birkhoff theorem, during the spherical collapse there is no observable change outside the collapsing matter. For example, there is no gravitational nor electromagnetic waves which might otherwise carry out the energy away and create the entropy. The evolution in FRW metric is characterized by the scale factor,  $R$ , defined by

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + R^2\left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - \kappa r^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2\right), \quad (1)$$

where  $\kappa$  is positive for a collapsing matter. The evolution of overdense region in the early Universe is supposed to be described by eq.(1) with  $\kappa$  identified as the perturbed total energy per unit mass<sup>1</sup>.

The early Universe is assumed to be in radiation dominated era in thermal equilibrium (with temperature  $T$ ), where the energy  $U$  can be expressed in terms of energy density  $\rho$  and volume :  $U = \rho(T)V$ . Using the thermodynamic identity

$$TdS = dU + pdV \quad (2)$$

one can get

$$dp = \frac{(\rho + p)}{T}dT, \quad (3)$$

and

$$dS = d\frac{(\rho + p)V}{T}. \quad (4)$$

Using eq.(3) and the energy conservation for a comoving volume,

$$d((\rho + p)R^3) = R^3 dp, \quad (5)$$

we get

$$d[R^3(\rho + p)/T] = 0. \quad (6)$$

Compared with eq.(4), it shows that the entropy in a comoving volume is conserved [9]. It implies that the entropy of collapsing matter which are fixed in a comoving frame is conserved during the collapsing process up to black hole threshold.

When it becomes black hole then the entropy is determined by the completely different reasoning regardless of whatever the amount of entropy has been carried in. The entropy of black hole is determined by the surface area of the horizon and for the Schwarzschild black hole it is given by

$$S_{bh} = \frac{4\pi G}{\hbar c} M_{bh}^2 \quad (7)$$

in the unit of Boltzmann constant  $k_B$ . There have been many studies in understanding the microscopic nature of black hole entropy e.g., using membrane paradigm [10] and recently in string theory [12]. Hence the discontinuity in the entropy between the advected one and the black hole entropy is naturally expected during collapsing procedure. As an example, if one compare the entropy of the Sun and the entropy of black hole of the same mass, the difference of entropy is quite large:

$$S_{\odot} \sim 10^{54} \ll S_{bh} \sim 10^{77} \quad (8)$$

We consider the issue how this discontinuity can be understood microscopically near at the black hole threshold is a separated problem beyond of this work.

We assume that the second law of thermodynamics should be obeyed during the collapsing procedure whatever the microscopic understanding of the black hole entropy is turned out to be. This gives us a condition that the black hole entropy should not be smaller than the advected entropy,

---

<sup>1</sup> In the work of Oppenheimer and Snyder [8],  $\kappa$  is given by  $\kappa = \frac{8\pi}{3}GR(0)^2\rho(0)$ , where  $R(0)$  and  $\rho(0)$  are the scale factor and the mass density of pressure-less dust when collapse starts.

$$S_{bh} \geq S_{adv}, \quad (9)$$

since there is no out-going entropy in this spherically collapsing scenario. This gives possible limits on the formation temperature and the mass of PBH which is being described below. It is interesting to note that the cosmological holographic constraints on the entropy [11] have been discussed in the similar context: the entropy of matter inside a black hole should be smaller than the black hole entropy. In ref. ([11]) the discussion is given using order of magnitude estimations. In this work, however, a detailed calculation will be given by taking account of the matter content of a underlying theory and also the dynamics of collapsing procedure.

The mass of PBH is estimated to be of order  $M_{hor}$  in the early Universe with equation of states  $p = \gamma\rho$ . It is because PBH mass must be bigger than the Jeans mass  $\sim \gamma^2 M_{hor}$  but smaller than the horizon mass itself [3]. It is fair to assume that the entropy being advected along the collapsing matter is of order  $S_{hor}$ . As an approximation for order-of-magnitude estimations we can simply put

$$M_{pbh} = M_{hor}, \quad S_{adv} = S_{hor}. \quad (10)$$

Since there is no change of entropy in background expanding Universe, the condition from the second law of thermodynamics that the entropy of final black hole should not be smaller than the advected entropy can be written as

$$S_{hor} \leq 4\pi \left(\frac{M_{hor}}{m_{pl}}\right)^2, \quad (11)$$

where  $m_{pl} = \sqrt{\hbar c/G}$  ( $= 2.18 \times 10^{-5}$ g) is the Planck mass.

The energy density and entropy density of the radiation dominated Universe are given by

$$\rho = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g_* T^4, \quad s = \frac{2\pi^2}{45} g_* T^3, \quad (12)$$

where  $g_*$  is determined by the relativistic degrees of freedom at the temperature  $T$  of the Universe [9]. Then mass and entropy enclosed in the horizon can be obtained as

$$S_{hor} = \frac{0.050}{\sqrt{g_*}} \left(\frac{m_{pl}}{T}\right)^3, \quad (13)$$

$$M_{hor} = \frac{0.038}{\sqrt{g_*}} \left(\frac{m_{pl}}{T}\right)^2 m_{pl}. \quad (14)$$

The horizon radius<sup>2</sup> is given by

$$r_{hor} = \frac{0.30}{\sqrt{g_*}} \left(\frac{m_{pl} c^2}{k_B T}\right)^2 l_{pl}, \quad (15)$$

where the Planck length is defined by  $l_{pl} = \hbar/m_{pl} c = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}}$ .

Since  $M_{pbh}$  is identified with  $M_{hor}$  in this work, the radius of PBH horizon,  $r_{pbh}$ , is given by

$$r_{pbh} = 2M_{hor}G/c^2 = 2\left(\frac{M_{hor}}{m_{pl}}\right)l_{pl}. \quad (16)$$

Compared with the horizon radius, one can see that the event horizon of PBH,  $r_{pbh}$ , is smaller than horizon radius,  $r_{hor}$ ,

$$r_{pbh} = .25 r_{hor}, \quad (17)$$

which is consistent with the collapsing scenario into PBH.

Now the entropy of PBH is given by

---

<sup>2</sup>The horizon radius in this work is defined by a half of causal distance,  $d_H$ , since the matters in a distance of causal distance only are relevant in this discussion [9].

$$S_{pbh} = \frac{0.018}{g_*} \left( \frac{m_{pl} c^2}{k_B T} \right)^4. \quad (18)$$

We can see that PBH entropy is decreasing faster with temperature than the advected entropy, eq.(13), and there is a cross-over temperature [13],  $T_c$ , beyond which the entropy of PBH is smaller than that of advected matter. Hence the critical temperature is given by

$$k_B T_c = \frac{0.36}{\sqrt{g_*}} m_{pl} c^2. \quad (19)$$

PBH may form only when the temperature of the Universe drops below  $T_c$ . And the critical mass  $m_{pbh} \geq M_{hor}^c$  is given by

$$M_{hor}^c = 0.29 \sqrt{g_*} m_{pl}. \quad (20)$$

It is interesting to note that within a factor of order unity it is consistent with condition that the Compton wavelength of PBH,  $\lambda_{pbh} = \frac{\hbar}{M_{hor}^c c}$ , is within the particle horizon:

$$\lambda_{pbh} < r_{hor} = 7.92 \frac{M_{hor}}{m_{pl}} \lambda_{pbh} \quad (21)$$

One can see that  $T_c$  depends on the number of degrees of freedom: when we take larger value of  $g_*$ , we get lower critical temperature and the lower bound on PBH mass becomes higher. With  $g_* = 106.75$  in the standard model and using the presently observed gravitational constant  $G_0 = 6.67 \times 10^{-11} m^3 k g^{-1} s^{-2}$ , we get

$$k_B T_c = 3.5 \times 10^{-2} m_{pl} c^2. \quad (22)$$

and  $M_{hor}^c$  in eq.(20) is given by

$$M_{hor}^c = 3 m_{pl}, \quad (23)$$

which is similar to the lower bound conventionally quoted in the literature. This result shows that the lower bound on PBH mass discussed in the other context using order of magnitude estimations is in fact consistent with the thermodynamics of black hole.

To complete the numerical estimations, the critical horizon mass, eq.(20) is compared to the horizon mass at Planck time,  $t_{pl} \equiv l_{pl}/c (= \frac{\hbar}{m_{pl} c^2})$ , given by

$$M_{hor}(t_{pl}) = 0.069 m_{pl}. \quad (24)$$

One can see that it is bigger by two-orders of magnitude than the horizon mass at Planck time. Similar estimation shows that the density at the critical temperature is much smaller than the density at Planck temperature:

$$\rho(T_c) = \frac{0.18}{g_*} \rho(T_{pl}), \quad (25)$$

where the temperature at Planck time is given by  $T_{pl} = 0.55 m_{pl}/g_*^{1/4}$  and the energy density at Planck time( $t_{pl}$ ) by  $\rho(T_{pl}) = \frac{3}{32\pi} \frac{m_{pl}}{l_{pl}^3}$  in the standard model.

It should be noted that the parameters,  $g_*$  and  $G$ , in determining the critical temperature can have different values from the standard model depending on the new physics at such high temperature stage of the Universe. It is possible that up to this temperature there might be more degree of freedom than the standard model with new physics, e.g., super-symmetry. Then the critical temperature might be lowered. On the other hand the possibility of varying gravitational constant at the early stage of Universe arises naturally in various scalar tensor theories of gravity. At the earlier stage of Universe a strong time variation is possible according to the generalized scalar tensor theories. However the strong variation is only possible for the vacuum dominated era. In the radiation dominated era which follows vacuum dominated era, no change of gravitational constant is expected. Therefore for PBH's formed in the radiation dominated era, the change the critical temperature due to the variation of the gravitational constant from the present value of  $G_0$  is not expected to be substantial except the case in which the overdense region carries "gravitational memory" [15]. It is because although the change of gravitational constant is possible in the matter dominated era following the radiation dominated era it is restricted by the nucleosynthesis analysis. Up to back in

nucleosynthesis era, only a small variation of the gravitational constant from the presently observed value of  $G_0$  is found to be consistent with the observed primordial abundance [14].

In summary it is demonstrated that there is a possible constraint on the PBH formation imposed by the second law of thermodynamics, if it is formed by spherical collapse of overdense region during radiation-dominated era<sup>3</sup> in the background of expanding Universe. It is assumed that PBHs can form with a horizon mass and the entropy of comoving volume in the collapsing matter and expanding background Universe is conserved up to black hole threshold. In the radiation dominated Universe, the event horizon of PBH is always smaller than the particle horizon.

By comparing the entropy of the black hole at the later stage with that of advected entropy of collapsing matter, one can find a constraint that there is a critical temperature  $T_c$  above which no PBH can be formed without violating the second law of thermodynamics. The critical temperature appears to depend on the physics in the earlier epoch than GUT scale: the number of degrees of freedom at the time of PBH formation. In the standard model, we can demonstrate explicitly that the thermodynamical lower bound of PBH mass as well as the critical temperature is of order Planck scale. This result shows that the lower bound on PBH mass discussed in the other context using order of magnitude estimations is in fact consistent with the thermodynamics of black hole. However beyond the standard model there is a possibility that the critical temperature can be lowered if the number of relativistic degrees of freedom of the Universe is increasing substantially.

It is also found that the entropy condition on PBH formation is consistent with the condition that the Compton wavelength should be within the particle horizon for the semiclassical treatment of PBH in this work. Recently there is an interesting work on the entropy of a black hole surrounded by a thermal atmosphere [16], in which the black hole entropy is shown to be less than the classical one adopted in this work. Assuming that it can be applied also for the collapsing procedure, one can see that the effect of the background, the expanding Universe in thermal equilibrium, does not relax the constraint on PBH formation but makes the constraint more stringent by lowering the critical temperature.

If the critical temperature becomes substantially lower than Planck temperature such that failed-collapse into PBH might be abundant, there is a question on what would be the later stage of collapse if PBH cannot be formed. There should be a physical process in which a substantial part of energy is transported outward with entropy creation, before the last envelope of collapsing matter reaches ‘would-be event horizon’. It may be in the form of radiation. Although it depends also on the presence of ‘would-be event horizon’, the characteristics may not be the same as Hawking radiation, which is believed to be valid only for PBH formed at  $T < T_c$ . Therefore one can suspect a radiation from a collapsing object at  $T > T_c$  with different characteristics from Hawking radiation. Hence if it is possible to implement these effects of  $T_c$  into the observational data and/or in evolution models of early Universe, it might be useful in accessing new physics around/above GUT scale, e.g., supersymmetry and scalar-tensor theory of gravity.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Hongsu Kim and Sang-Pyo Kim for elaborating discussions. The author also thanks anonymous referee for valuable comments in clarifying several points in this work. This work was supported by grant No. 1999-2-11200-003-5 from the Korea Science & Engineering Foundation.

- 
- [1] Ya. B. Zeldovich and I. Novikov, *Sov. Astron. Astrophys J.* 10, 602(1967)
  - [2] S.W. Hawking, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 152, 75(1971)
  - [3] B. J. Carr and S.W. Hawking, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 168, 399(1974); B.J. Carr, *ApJ*, 201, 1(1975)
  - [4] K. Jedamzik, *Phys. Rep.* 307, 155(1998)
  - [5] P.S. Custodio and J.E. Horvath, *astro-ph/9802362*
  - [6] J.D. Bekenstein, *Lett. Nuov. Cim.* 4, 737(1973); *Phys. Rev. D*7, 2333(1973); *Phys. Rev. D*9, 3292(1974); V.P. Frolov and D.N. Page, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 71, 3902(1993)

---

<sup>3</sup>It should be noted that PBH can be produced in the vacuum dominated era, which is proposed for the earlier phases of the Universe preceding the radiation dominated era. Then the constraint discussed above cannot be strictly applied to the black holes formed in this epoch, since no entropy is associated with a vacuum energy such that black hole entropy always wins.

- [7] J.M. Bardeen, B. Carter and S.W. Hawking, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 31, 161(1973)
- [8] J.R. Oppenheimer and H. Snyder, *Phys. Rev.* 54, 608(1939); J.R. Oppenheimer and G. Volkof, *Phys. Rev.* 55, 374(1939)
- [9] S. Weinberg, “Gravitation and Cosmology” (John Wiley & Sons, 1972); E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, “The Early Universe” (Addison-Wesley, 1990)
- [10] K. S. Thorne, R.H. Price, and D. A. Macdonald, “Black Holes; The Membrane Paradigm” (Yale University Press, New Haven and London, 1986)
- [11] N. Kaloper and A. Linde, *Phys. Rev. D*60, 103509(1999)
- [12] See, for example, G.T. Horowitz, [astro-ph/9704072](#)
- [13] Similar analysis is possible also for a spherical collapse of neutron-star-like object. It is supposed to be a degenerated neutron matter with temperature much smaller than the Fermi temperature,  $T \ll T_F$ . The entropy is then proportional to the number of neutrons  $S_{NS} \sim N$ , up to a factor which depending on  $\frac{T}{T_F}$  which is assumed not to change substantially. Then the entropy of neutron star matter can be approximated as  $S_{NS} \sim M_{NS}$ . The entropy of string-matter is also known to be approximately proportional to the energy [12]. As in PBH formation, when it collapses into a black hole, the entropy of black hole is given by  $S_{BH} = \frac{4\pi}{\hbar} M_{NS}^2$ . The constraint due to the second law of thermodynamics is then  $S_{BH} \geq S_{NS}$ . For the black hole mass larger than the solar mass it is well satisfied as in eq.(8). However for the smaller mass there can be a cross-over  $M_c$ , beyond which black hole entropy becomes smaller than the neutron star matter. We get  $M_c \sim 10^{13}g$ . It is not clear however in what astrophysical situation this limit is useful. For example, in neutron star matter, the degenerate pressure is turned out to be big enough against gravitational collapse for  $M < M_\odot$ . One can only speculate that it may give a constraint on the gravitational collapse of matter-lumps produced in cosmic phase transitions.
- [14] J.D. Barrow and P. Parsons, *Phys. Rev. D*55, 1906(1997); J.B. Kim, J.H. Kim and H.K. Lee, *Phys. Rev. D*58, 027301(1998)
- [15] J.D. Barrow and B.J. Carr, *Phys. Rev. D*54, 3920(1996)
- [16] D.N. Page, *Phys. Rev. D*65, 024017(2002)