Exclusive Dijet production from CDF2LHC *

Michele Gallinaro[†]

The Rockefeller University 1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021, USA

Exclusive dijet production at the Tevatron can be used as a benchmark to establish predictions on exclusive diffractive Higgs production, a process with a much smaller cross section. Exclusive dijet production in Double Pomeron Exchange processes, including diffractive Higgs production with measurements at the Tevatron and predictions for the Large Hadron Collider are presented. Using new data from the Tevatron and dedicated diffractive triggers, no excess over a smooth falling distribution for exclusive dijet events could be found. Upper limits on the exclusive dijet production cross section are presented and compared to current theoretical predictions.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Qk, 13.85.-t, 14.80Bn, 29.40Vj

1. Introduction

The search for the Higgs boson occupies the center-stage of the highenergy physics program, both currently at the Tevatron and in the near future at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN.

Within the standard model (SM) [1], the Higgs mechanism invoked to break the electroweak symmetry implies the existence of a single neutral scalar particle, the Higgs boson. The mass of this particle is not specified, but indirect experimental limits are obtained from precision measurements of the electroweak parameters. Currently, these measurements constrain its value to less than 260 GeV/c² at 95% confidence level [2]. Indications that a few Higgs candidate events were found at $M_H \simeq 115$ GeV/c² during the last phase of data-taking at the large electron-positron (LEP) collider at CERN attracted world-wide attention in the scientific community. Findings were later dismissed and a lower Higgs mass limit at $M_H > 114.4$ GeV/c² (95% C.L.) was set [3], but interest has remained high.

^{*} Presented at the "TeV4 LHC" Workshop, February 3-5, 2005, Brookhaven NY, USA.

 $^{^\}dagger$ Representing the CDF collaboration.

In the case of a small mass $(M_H < 130 \text{ GeV/c}^2)$, the Higgs boson decays predominantly to $b\bar{b}$ or $\tau^+\tau^-$ pairs, with branching fractions of ~ 90% and ~ 10%, respectively (Fig. 1). In this case, the identification of Higgs production and decay modes will be complicated by the large backgrounds [4]. Diffractive processes with lower branching ratios may result in a cleaner



Fig. 1. Branching ratios of the standard model Higgs decay channels for different Higgs masses (from Djouadi et al., 1998 [5]).

experimental signature and are worth exploring. This is the case in Higgs production through Double Pomeron Exchange (DPE) processes

$$p\bar{p} \to pH\bar{p}$$
 or $pp \to pHp$,

where the leading hadrons in the final state are produced at small angles with respect to the direction of the incoming particles and two large forward rapidity gap regions are present on opposite sides of the interaction. The exclusive Higgs process was originally studied by Bialas and Landshoff [6], and then followed by other theoretical work, such as that by Khoze, Martin and Ryskin [7]. Diffractive Higgs production could provide a distinct signature with exclusive two-jet ($b\bar{b}$ or $\tau^+\tau^-$) event final states. Furthermore, the presence of the rapidity gaps provides an experimental environment which is practically free of background containing soft secondary particles, and where the signal to background event ratio is favorable. The background from direct $b\bar{b}$ production is small thanks to several suppression mechanisms (such as color and spin factors, and the $J_z = 0$ selection rule) [8]. Therefore, the signal from $H \to b\bar{b}$ is expected to have a mass resolution which is greatly improved due to the absence of underlying event particles. The predictions for the Higgs cross section due to exclusive DPE production are model dependent. In one model [9], which at the time of writing has still survived the exclusion limits set by the Tevatron data, and for a Higgs mass of $M_H = 120 \text{ GeV}/\text{c}^2$, the predicted cross sections are $\sigma_H^{\text{TeV}} \sim 0.2$ fb at the Tevatron and $\sigma_H^{\text{LHC}} \sim 3$ fb at the LHC, with large uncertainties. In such a calculation, a signal over background ratio of $S/B \sim 4$ is expected when a mass resolution of ~ 1 GeV and a *b*-jet fake rate of 1% are taken into account. When a more realistic estimate for the mass energy resolution (~ 3 GeV) and the signal efficiency ($\sim 6\%$) are considered [10], the signal over background ratio decreases, thus requiring more data to extract a Higgs signal. Since only a handful of events are expected for each 100 fb⁻¹ of data at the LHC, this channel may be hard to unveil.

2. Exclusive Dijet Production

The exclusive dijet production rate in DPE events, discussed in the previous section, is of great interest in determining the (background to) exclusive Higgs production cross section and in preparation for the LHC experiments [11]. The gluon-gluon fusion Higgs production process $gg \rightarrow H$ is replaced by the $gg \rightarrow$ jet jet process, with a much larger production cross section. Therefore, measurements at the Tevatron can directly provide a background estimate, and a benchmark for predicting the exclusive Higgs production cross section. The characteristic signature of this type of events is a leading nucleon and/or a rapidity gap on both forward regions, and it results in an exclusive dijet final state produced together with both the leading proton and anti-proton surviving the interaction and escaping in the very forward region. At CDF, the Roman Pot (RP) spectrometer [12] can tag the anti-proton, while the proton is inferred by the presence of an adjacent large ($\Delta \eta > 3$) rapidity gap.

During Run I, about 100 DPE candidate events were identified and used to set an upper limit of 3.7 nb on the exclusive dijet production cross section [13]. In Run II, a dedicated trigger (RP+J5) selects events with a three-fold RP coincidence and at least one calorimeter tower with $E_T >$ 5 GeV [12]. A further offline selection requires at least two jets of $E_T^{corr} >$ 5 GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. Jets are corrected for detector effects and underlying event background contributions. Calorimeter information alone is used to determine $\xi_{\overline{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_T^i e^{-\eta^i}$, which is calculated using all calorimeter towers (Fig. 2). The declining of the distribution at $\xi_{\overline{p}} \sim 0.03$ occurs in the region where the RP acceptance is decreasing. The contribution in the large number of events at $\xi_{\overline{p}} \sim 1$ comes from two sources: diffractive dijets with a superimposed soft non-diffractive interaction, and non-diffractive dijets superimposed with a soft diffractive interaction. The plateau observed



Fig. 2. Momentum loss of the antiproton $(\xi_{\overline{p}})$ distribution in the RP+J5 and J5 samples. SD and BG regions are selected according to the measured ξ values.

between $0.02 < \xi_{\overline{p}} < 0.1$ (SD) results from a $d\sigma/d\xi \sim 1/\xi$ distribution, which is expected for diffractive production.

The RP+J5 trigger can also be used to study DPE events, which can be isolated by counting BSC (Beam Shower Counters) and MP (MiniPlug) multiplicities [14] on the proton side (Fig. 3, left). The two peaks, at high and low multiplicity, are due to Single Diffractive (SD) and DPE events, respectively. A much larger sample has already been collected with a dedicated trigger requiring one RP coincidence, a proton-side (opposite to the RP-side) rapidity gap in the BSCs, and at least one calorimeter tower ($E_T > 5$ GeV) in the central detector. Multiple interactions are rejected offline by requiring events with 0 or 1 vertices. At least two jets ($E_T^{corr} > 10$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$) are required. The sample is further tightened by requiring the events to have $0.01 < \xi_{\overline{p}} < 0.1$. In order to reduce multiplicity fluctuations in SD events and thus enhance DPE events, a rapidity gap of ~ 4 units, including MP and BSC ($3.6 < |\eta| < 7.5$), is also required on the proton side. The E_T distributions for both leading and next-to-leading jets are similar for ND, SD and DPE samples (Fig. 3, right).

At CDF, in ~ 110 pb⁻¹ of Run II data, the DPE dijet sample already consists of approximately 17,000 events. The dijet mass fraction (R_{jj}) , defined as the dijet invariant mass (M_{jj}) divided by the mass of the entire system, $M_X = \sqrt{\xi_{\overline{p}} \cdot \xi_p \cdot s}$, is calculated using all available energy in the calorimeter. If jets are produced exclusively, R_{jj} should be equal to one. Uncorrected energies are used in Figure 4 (left) and no visible excess is evident at $R_{jj} \sim 1$ over a smooth distribution. After including systematic



Fig. 3. *Left*: MP versus BSC multiplicity on the outgoing proton side in RP+J5 triggered events; *Right*: Next-to-leading jet transverse energy distribution.

uncertainties, an upper limit on the exclusive dijet production cross section is calculated based on all events with $R_{jj} > 0.8$ (Table 1). The measurement provides a generous upper limit cross section, as all events at $R_{jj} > 0.8$ are considered due to exclusive dijet production. The cross section upper limits on exclusive dijet production as a function of the minimum next-to-leading jet E_T is presented in Figure 4 (right).

minimum leading jet E_T	cross section upper limit
$10 \mathrm{GeV}$	$1140 \pm 60(\text{stat})^{+47}_{-45}(\text{syst}) \text{ pb}$
$25 {\rm GeV}$	$34 \pm 3(\text{stat})^{+15}_{-10}(\text{syst}) \text{ pb}$

Table 1. Exclusive dijet production cross section limit for events at $R_{jj} > 0.8$.

A brief note of clarification is due. The measurement of the dijet mass fraction is only minimally affected by the uncertainty in the calorimeter energy scale. In fact, the uncorrected energies cancel out the uncertainties in the ratio. Furthermore, at large dijet mass fractions, where the exclusive production is expected to emerge, only a small energy is found outside the two main energy clusters, and therefore its uncertainty is small relative to the entire event reconstructed energy. Thus, the upper limit, which is calculated for all events with $R_{ij} > 0.8$, is indeed generous.

Figure 5 shows the lego display of two dijet events, one with large $(R_{jj} = 0.81)$ and another with small $(R_{jj} = 0.36)$ dijet mass fractions. Both event displays show some energy deposition well outside the jet regions, indicating that the events are not produced exclusively.

In conclusion, dijet exclusive production in DPE at the Tevatron can be used to set a baseline for the search of diffractive Higgs at the LHC. However,



Fig. 4. Left: Dijet mass fraction for different rapidity gap regions. Right: Upper limit cross section for DPE dijet events with $R_{jj} > 0.8$ as a function of the minimum next-to-leading jet E_T .



Fig. 5. Event display in the pseudorapidity-azimuthal angle, $\eta - \phi$, coordinates of two dijet events with: (*left*) large mass fraction ($R_{jj} = 0.81$) and (*right*) small mass fraction ($R_{jj} = 0.36$). The event with large mass fraction shows calorimeter energy deposition well outside the main thrust of both jets, suggesting the two jets are not produced exclusively.

no exclusive dijet events have been found yet, and only a cross section upper limit was set at $\sigma_{excl}^{TeV} < 1.1(0.03)$ nb for jets with $E_T > 10(25)$ GeV.

3. Heavy Flavor Tagging

The quark/gluon composition of dijet final states can be used to provide additional hints on exclusive dijet production. At leading order (LO), the exclusive $gg \to gg$ process is dominant, as the contribution from $gg \to q\bar{q}$ is strongly suppressed [7]. In fact, the exclusive dijet cross section $\sigma_{excl}(gg \to$ $q\bar{q}$) vanishes as $m_q/M^2 \to 0$ ($J_z = 0$ selection rule). This condition is satisfied when quarks are light (such as u, d, or s quarks), or when the dijet mass is much larger than the quark mass. Thus, if the dijet mass is large enough compared to the *b*-quark mass, only gluon jets will be produced exclusively. This "suppression" mechanism can be used to extract an improved upper limit on the exclusive dijet cross section. Figure 6 illustrates the method that can be used to determine the heavy-flavor composition of the final sample.



Fig. 6. Schematic illustration of the behavior of dijet events processes as a function of the mass fraction, $R_{jj} = M_{jj}/M_X$, i.e. the ratio of dijet mass divided by the invariant mass of the entire system. Top: The spectrum of $q\bar{q}$ events (solid line) is suppressed in the high (exclusive) R_{jj} region with respect to all dijet events (dashed line). Bottom: the ratio of $q\bar{q}$ to all dijets is expected to fall in the exclusive region $(R_{jj} \rightarrow 1)$ if exclusive dijet events are produced.

The measured ratio, D, of *b*-tagged jets divided by all inclusive jet events is presented in Figure 7 as a function of the dijet mass fraction. A decreasing trend is observed in the data in the large mass fraction region ($R_{jj} > 0.7$), which may be an indication that the inclusive distribution contains an exclusive dijet production component. The fraction of *b*-tagged jets to inclusive jets, $S = D^{>0.7}/D^{<0.4}$, is measured to be $0.59 \pm 0.33(\text{stat}) \pm 0.23(\text{syst})$. Only four events are present in the last bin and a definite conclusion about exclusive production cannot be drawn due to the large statistical and systematical uncertainties.



Fig. 7. Ratio of b-tagged jets to all inclusive jets as a function of the mass fraction R_{ij} . The error band corresponds to the overall systematic uncertainty.

4. Conclusions

Improved CDF forward detectors added new capabilities and extended our understanding of diffractive phenomena during Run II at the Tevatron, in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV. Exclusive production of dijet events has not yet been found in the data and stringent cross section limits have been set. Further investigation of the quark/gluon composition of dijet final states has been exploited, and a method of extracting the exclusive dijet production from inclusive data using bottom quark jets is presented. The small number of events collected so far is not sufficient to identify exclusive dijet production. More data to be collected at the Tevatron with a specifically designed trigger will hopefully help shed light on the exclusive process mechanism.

REFERENCES

- S. Weinberg, "A model of leptons", Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 1264; A. Salam, "Elementary particle theory", Almquist and Wiksells, Stockholm (1968) 367.
- [2] LEP collaborations ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, the LEP Electroweak Working Group, the SLD Electroweak, Heavy Flavour Groups, "A combination of preliminary electroweak measurements and constraints on the Standard Model", CERN-EP/2002-091, hep-ex/0412015.
- [3] ALEPH collaboration, DELPHI collaboration, L3 collaboration, OPAL collaboration and The LEP Working Group for Higgs boson searches, "Search

for the Standard Model Higgs boson at LEP", Phys. Lett. B 565 (2003) 61.

- [4] T. Han, S. Willenbrock, "QCD correction to the $pp \rightarrow WH$ and ZH total cross sections", Phys. Lett. B 273 (1991) 167.
- [5] A. Djouadi, J. Kalinowski, M. Spira, "HDECAY: a program for Higgs boson decays in the standard model and its supersymmetric extension", Comput. Phys. Commun. 108 (1998) 56.
- [6] A. Bialas and P.V. Landshoff, Phys. Lett. B 256 (1991) 540; A. Schäfer, O. Nachtmass and R. Scöpf, Phys. Lett. B 249 (1990) 331; A. Bialas and W. Szeremeta, Phys. Lett. B 296 (1992) 191.
- [7] V.A. Khoze, A.D. Martin, and M.G. Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J. C 23 (2002) 311, C 26 (2002) 229, C 25 (2002) 391, C 34 (2004) 327; A.B. Kaidalov, V.A. Khoze, A.D. Martin, and M.G. Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J. C 31 (2003) 387, C 33 (2004) 261.
- [8] V.A. Khoze A.D. Martin and M.G. Ryskin, Eur. Phys. J. C19 (2001) 477, erratum-ibid. C20 (2001) 599, hep-ph/0011393.
- [9] V.A. Khoze, A.D. Martin, M.G. Ryskin, "Prospects for new physics observations in diffractive processes at the LHC and Tevatron", hep-ph/0111078.
- [10] Talk by K. Osterberg, "Experimental issues at the LHC", Manchester (2003); talk by M. Boonekamp, "Higgs production with forward protons", Geneva (2004).
- [11] See for example,
 V.A.Khoze, A.D. Martin, M.G. Ryskin, *Phys. Lett.* B 401 (1997) 330;
 M. Boonekamp, R. Peschanski, C. Royon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (2001) 251806.
- [12] M. Gallinaro, "Prospects for Diffractive Physics with the CDF Forward Detectors at the Tevatron", hep-ph/0407255; Presented at "Diffraction at the LHC", Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, March 31-April 2, 2004, and references therein.
- [13] T. Affolder et al. (CDF collaboration), "Dijet production by double pomeron exchange at the Fermilab Tevatron", Phys. Rev. Lett 85 (2000) 4215.
- [14] The *Beam Shower Counters* (BSC) are an array of scintillator counters located along the beampipe, covering the forward rapidity regions between $5.5 < \eta <$ 7.5. The *MiniPlug* (MP) calorimeters measure the energy flow in the forward direction, on both sides of the CDF interaction point. Both BSC and MP calorimeters are described in [12] and references therein.