

–Supplementary Material–

Mohammadreza Zolfaghari, Kamaljeet Singh and Thomas Brox

University of Freiburg
{zolfagha,singhk,brox}@cs.uni-freiburg.de

Abstract. In this supplementary document we provide additional details and experimental results.

1 ECO

Figure 1 represents the architecture of our ECO model. In comparison to the ECO Lite model, ECO benefits from a 2D network in parallel to the 3D network that can directly provide visual semantics of individual frames. We apply average pooling for the 2D-Net_s network to generate video-level features and then concatenate them with features obtained from 3D-net. The final output is a one-hot vector for the different class labels.




Fig. 1: **Architecture overview of ECO.** Each video is split into N subsections of equal size. From each subsection a single frames is randomly sampled. The samples are processed by a regular 2D convolutional network to yield a representation for each sampled frame. In this design, we use a 2D network in parallel with the 3D network. 2D-Net directly provides the visual semantics of single frames and 3D net processes the temporally stacked representations of frames using a 3D convolutional network. We apply average pooling for the 2D network to generate video-level features and concatenate them with the features from 3D-net. For simplicity, the figure just shows one channel of the 96 output channels of 2D-Net.

2 Network Architectures

Our ECO architecture consists of three submodules:

2D-Net: For the 2D network that exploits static semantics of individual frames, we use the first part of the BN-Inception architecture (until inception-3c layer) [1] as shown in Table 1. This network creates feature maps M_i for i^{th} input frame.

3D-Net: For the 3D network, we adopt several layers of 3D-Resnet18 [2], as show in Table 1. The concatenated output feature maps of 2D Net are fed as a single tensor $M_\varphi = [M_1, M_2, \dots, M_N]$; $M_\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times N \times H \times W}$ to the 3D network, where C is the number of channels at the last layer of 2D-Net, N is the number of sampled frames, and $H = W = 28$ size of feature map (Fig. 1).

2D-Net_S : In the ECO full design (Fig. 1), we use 2D-Net_s in parallel with 3D-net. For this network, we use the BN-Inception architecture from the inception-4a layer before the last pooling layer [1].

Table 1: **Architecture details for 2D-Net and 3D-Net used in ECO:** The input to the network is N frames of size 224×224 .

layer name	output size	2D-Net (H _{2D})	layer name	output size	3D-Net (H _{3D})
conv1_x	112×112	[2D conv 7×7 64]	conv3_x	$28 \times 28 \times N$	$\begin{bmatrix} \text{3D conv } 3 \times 3 \times 3 \text{ 128} \\ \text{3D conv } 3 \times 3 \times 3 \text{ 128} \end{bmatrix} \times 2$
pool1	56×56	[max pool 3×3]	conv4_x	$14 \times 14 \times \lfloor N/2 \rfloor$	$\begin{bmatrix} \text{3D conv } 3 \times 3 \times 3 \text{ 256} \\ \text{3D conv } 3 \times 3 \times 3 \text{ 256} \end{bmatrix} \times 2$
conv2_x	56×56	[2D conv 3×3 192]	conv5_x	$7 \times 7 \times \lfloor N/4 \rfloor$	$\begin{bmatrix} \text{3D conv } 3 \times 3 \times 3 \text{ 512} \\ \text{3D conv } 3 \times 3 \times 3 \text{ 512} \end{bmatrix} \times 2$
pool2	28×28	[max pool 3×3]		$1 \times 1 \times 1$	pooling, "#c"-d fc, softmax
inception (3a)	28×28	— 256	—	—	—
inception (3b)	28×28	— 320	—	—	—
inception (3c)	28×28	— 96	—	—	—

3 Sampling Function for Online Learning

For online video understanding, we use a strategy for sampling frames, which considers long-range information of the incoming stream while giving more importance to the more recent frames. We propose our sampling function as follows:

$$F_S^T = \{0.5^T Q_F^0\} \bigcup_{t=1}^T \{0.5^{(T-t+1)} Q_F^t\}, \quad (1)$$

where Q_F is a queue of the last N frames, $T = \lfloor i/N \rfloor - 1$; i = frame number, and N = number of samples. For instance, in the first time step, we will use all N frames as input to the network:

$$F_S^0 = \{0.5^0 Q_F^0\} = Q_F^0$$

As an another example, at time step 2, we have:

$$F_S^2 = \{0.5^2 Q_F^0\} \bigcup_{t=1}^2 \{0.5^{(2-t+1)} Q_F^t\} = \{0.5^2 Q_F^0\} \cup \{0.5^2 Q_F^1\} \cup \{0.5^1 Q_F^2\},$$

i.e., current samples include 25% samples of Q_F at time 0, 25% samples of Q_F at time 1, and 50% of the last N frames. As can be seen in Equation 1, recent frames contribute more than older frames. To avoid storing all incoming frames, we modify the strategy in a way that just keeps the sampled frames in memory:

$$F_S = \begin{cases} Q_F & \text{if } T = 0 \\ \{0.5 Q_F\} \cup \{0.5 S_F\} & \text{if } T > 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Where S_F contains the sampled frames of the previous time step using F_S , and 0.5 means 50% of the samples. The function F_S returns the sampled frames at each time T . The returned sampled frames are stored and updated incrementally in S_F as explained in Algorithm 1, which allows us to keep only S_F and Q_F (queue of incoming N frames) in memory. As shown in Equation 2, at $T = 0$, F_S just returns the first N frames, i.e., Q_F but for $T > 0$ F_S uniformly samples half of the frames from Q_F and half from S_F .

The incremental updating of S_F and sampling from the recent Q_F frames ensures that the more recent frames are given more importance when fed into the proposed model, thereby making the model predictions more robust. Afterwards, the method feeds the sampled frames to ECO and updates the prediction by averaging the scores with the previous sampling and with the current sampling.

4 Video Length VS Number of Samples

In this experiment, we evaluate the effect of an increasing number of samples based on the video length. Therefore, we cluster videos by length into five categories [0-60], [60-120], [120-180], [180-240], and [240-320]. As shown in Fig. 2, action recognition on the short videos (length less than 60 frames) is harder task and sparse sampling limits the confusion. For longer videos, dense sampling helps up to some point.

5 Effect of Sampling Location

We evaluate the effect of the sampling location during test time. At inference time, we sample N frames from the entire video with equal distances. In this experiment, we shift the location of samples temporally and present the results




Fig. 2: Effect of increasing number of samples on accuracy for variable length of videos. For shorter videos, sparse sampling works better, while for longer videos dense sampling provides higher accuracy.

in terms of mean and standard deviation. Table 2 clearly shows that shifting the sampled location does not affect the performance excessively. In addition, an increasing number of samples decreases the standard deviation.

Table 2: Effect of the sampling location at inference time on the UCF101 and HMDB51 datasets (split1) using the ECO model.

Datasets	Statistics	Number of Frames					
		4	8	12	16	24	32
UCF101	Mean	89.83	91.81	92.42	92.73	93.30	92.09
	Standard Deviation	0.2329	0.0953	0.1525	0.1363	0.1189	0.1272
HMDB51	Mean	62.62	65.88	69.67	68.91	69.42	69.48
	Standard Deviation	0.4460	0.6172	0.2106	0.5653	0.3339	0.3844

6 Early Action Recognition: UCF101

Fig. 3 evaluates the proposed method in the online learning mode. For this experiment we used split1 of the UCF101 dataset. As shown in Fig. 3, the approach performs already very well when just a few frames of the video have been observed.










Fig. 3: Early action classification results of ECO on the UCF101 dataset (split1). ECO yields a high accuracy already after seeing a short part of the video.

7 More Qualitative Results on Video Captioning

Table 3 provides more qualitative results on the video captioning task. In this table, we compare the quality of captions produced by our approach to that of SCN [3]. ECO and SCN use the same language model for captioning, while the version using ECO benefits from the better feature representation of the video.

Table 3: Qualitative Results on MSVD, where ECO improved over SCN [3].
 ECO_L refers to $ECO_{Lite-16F}$, ECO to ECO_{32F} , and ECO_R to $ECO_{32F+resnet}$.

 <p> SCN: a man is playing a guitar ECO_L: a man is playing a keyboard ECO: a man is playing a piano ECO_R: a man is playing a piano </p>	 <p> SCN: a man is singing ECO_L: a man is riding a scooter ECO: a man is riding a bike ECO_R: a man is riding a bicycle </p>	 <p> SCN: a boy is playing the music ECO_L: a boy is playing a trumpet ECO: a boy is playing a trumpet ECO_R: a boy is playing a trumpet </p>
 <p> SCN: a man is kicking a soccer ball ECO_L: two men are fighting ECO: a man is attacking a man ECO_R: two men are fighting </p>	 <p> SCN: a woman is mixing some meat ECO_L: a woman is seasoning a piece of meat ECO: a woman is mixing flour ECO_R: a woman is coating flour </p>	 <p> SCN: a boy is running ECO_L: a boy is walking ECO: a man is doing exercise ECO_R: a man is exercising </p>

References

1. Ioffe, S., Szegedy, C.: Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. In: Proceedings of the 32Nd International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning - Volume 37. ICML'15, JMLR.org (2015) 448–456
2. Tran, D., Ray, J., Shou, Z., Chang, S., Paluri, M.: Convnet architecture search for spatiotemporal feature learning. CoRR **abs/1708.05038** (2017)
3. Gan, Z., Gan, C., He, X., Pu, Y., Tran, K., Gao, J., Carin, L., Deng, L.: Semantic compositional networks for visual captioning. In: CVPR. (2017)