

Supplementaries

1 Proof Theorem 2

Proof. First note that we can use results using VC dimension as pseudo dimension can always be formulated as the VC dimension of an appropriate set,

[Mohri et al.(2012)Mohri, Rostamizadeh, and Talwalkar, Eq. (10.4)]. First we show that an unlabeled sample size is big enough to guarantee that with probability at least $1 - \frac{\delta}{4}$ it holds that $\hat{R}(f_\tau^*) \leq \tau + \epsilon$. For $h = \text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F}, \phi)$ and $m > h$ Theorem 5.1 from [Vapnik(1998)] states together with Sauer's Lemma that

$$P \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (\hat{R}(f) - R(f)) > \epsilon \right] \leq 4e^{h-m(\epsilon-\frac{1}{m})^2}.$$

Bounding

$$4e^{h-m(\epsilon-\frac{1}{m})^2} \leq \frac{\delta}{4}$$

and rewriting this gives us that

$$m \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left[\ln \frac{16}{\delta} + h \ln \frac{2em}{h} + 2 \right] = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left[\ln \frac{16}{\delta} + h \ln m + h \ln \frac{2e}{h} + 2 \right]$$

is sufficient to ensure that $\hat{R}(f) - R(f) < \epsilon$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with probability at least $\frac{\delta}{4}$. Using the inequality $\ln x \leq \alpha x - \ln \alpha - 1$ with $x = m$ and $\alpha = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2h}$ we can conclude that a sample of size

$$m \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left[\ln \frac{16}{\delta} + h \left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{2h} m + \ln \frac{2h}{\epsilon^2} - 1 \right) + h \ln \frac{2e}{h} + 2 \right] = \frac{m}{2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left[\ln \frac{16}{\delta} + h \ln \frac{4}{\epsilon^2} + 2 \right]$$

\iff

$$m \geq \frac{2}{\epsilon^2} \left[\ln \frac{16}{\delta} + h \ln \frac{4}{\epsilon^2} + 2 \right]$$

(1)

is sufficient to guarantee $\hat{R}(f) - R(f) < \epsilon$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with probability at least $\frac{\delta}{4}$. In particular choosing $f = f_\tau^*$ and noting that by definition $R(f_\tau^*) \leq \tau$ we conclude that with the same probability

$$\hat{R}(f_\tau^*) \leq \tau + \epsilon. \quad (2)$$

For the second part we use the classical Hoeffding inequality with a labeled sample size of n

$$P \left[\hat{Q}(f_\tau^*) - Q(f_\tau^*) \geq \theta \right] \leq e^{-\frac{2\theta^2 n}{B^2}}.$$

Choosing $\theta = B\sqrt{\ln(\frac{4}{\delta})\frac{1}{2n}}$ lets us conclude that with probability at least $\frac{\delta}{4}$ it holds that

$$\hat{Q}(f_\tau^*) \leq Q(f_\tau^*) + B\sqrt{\ln(\frac{4}{\delta})\frac{1}{2n}}. \quad (3)$$

For the third part we use again Theorem 5.1 from [Vapnik(1998)], which lets us conclude that

$$P \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_\tau^\psi} (Q(f) - \hat{Q}(f)) > \epsilon \right] \leq 4 \text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F}, \phi) e^{-n(\frac{1}{B}(\epsilon - \frac{1}{m}))^2}.$$

Setting

$$4 \text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F}, \phi) e^{-n(\frac{1}{B}(\epsilon - \frac{1}{m}))^2} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$$

and solving for n we conclude that a labeled sample size of

$$n \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left[B^2 \left(\text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F}, \phi) + \ln \frac{8}{\delta} \right) + 2 \right]$$

is sufficient to guarantee with probability at least $\frac{\delta}{2}$ that

$$Q(f) - \hat{Q}(f) \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau+\epsilon}^\psi. \quad (4)$$

Putting everything together we get that with probability $1 - \delta$ the classifier g that minimizes $\hat{Q}(\cdot, X, Y)$ subject to $\hat{R}(\cdot, U) \leq \tau + \epsilon$ satisfies

$$Q(g) \leq \hat{Q}(g) + \epsilon \leq \hat{Q}(f_\tau^*) + \epsilon \leq Q(f_\tau^*) + \epsilon + B\sqrt{\frac{\ln(\frac{4}{\delta})}{2n}}.$$

The first inequality follows from Inequality 4. The second inequality follows because f is the empirical minimizer. Note that we also need Inequality 2, i.e. that $\hat{R}(f_\tau^*) \leq \tau + \epsilon$, to make sure that f_τ^* was in the search space. The third inequality follows from Inequality 3. To obtain the final inequality we use the labeled sample size to show that

$$\epsilon \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \left[B^2 \left(\text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F}, \phi) + \ln \frac{8}{\delta} \right) + 2 \right]} \geq B \sqrt{\frac{\ln(\frac{4}{\delta})}{2n}}.$$

The first inequality holds by assumption of the labeled sample size, while the second inequality is shown by reducing it to

$$\text{Pdim}(\mathcal{F}, \phi) + 2 \geq \ln\left(\frac{\delta}{16}\right)$$

which trivially holds.

□

References

- [Mohri et al.(2012)] Mohri, Rostamizadeh, and Talwalkar] Mehryar Mohri, Afshin Rostamizadeh, and Ameet Talwalkar. *Foundations of Machine Learning*. The MIT Press, 2012. ISBN 026201825X, 9780262018258.
- [Vapnik(1998)] Vladimir N. Vapnik. *Statistical Learning Theory*. Wiley-Interscience, 1998.