On distinct distances among points in general position and other related problems

Adrian Dumitrescu*

Abstract

A set of points in the plane is said to be in general position if no three of them are collinear and no four of them are cocircular. If a point set determines only distinct vectors, it is called parallelogram free. We show that there exist n-element point sets in the plane in general position, and parallelogram free, that determine only $O(n^2/\sqrt{\log n})$ distinct distances. This answers a question of Erdős, Hickerson and Pach. We then revisit an old problem of Erdős: given any n points in the plane (or in d dimensions), how many of them can one select so that the distances which are determined are all distinct? — and provide (make explicit) some new bounds in one and two dimensions.

1 Introduction

In 1946, in his classical paper [7] published in the American Mathematical Monthly, Erdős raised the following question: What is the minimum number of distinct distances determined by n points in the plane? Denoting this number by g(n), he proved that $g(n) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$, and showed that $q(n) = O(n/\sqrt{\log n})$ by estimating the number of distinct distances in a $\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n}$ piece of the integer grid. He also went further to conjecture that the upper bound is best possible, in other words $g(n) = \Omega(n/\sqrt{\log n})$. The lower bound estimates have been successively raised by Moser (1952), Chung (1984), Beck (1983), Clarkson, Edelsbrunner, Guibas, Sharir and Welzl (1990), Chung, Szemerédi and Trotter (1992), Székely (1997), Solymosi and C. Tóth (2001), Tardos (2003), Katz and Tardos (2004), with the current best lower bound standing at $g(n) \approx \Omega(n^{0.8641})$. This question has lead to many other variants, some of which we discuss here.

Throughout this paper, we say that a set S of points in the plane is in *general position* if no three of them are collinear and no four of them are on a circle.¹ In

our bounds, we denote by c possibly different absolute constants.

Erdős asked in 1985 whether there exist n points in general position that determine only $o(n^2)$ distinct distances [9]. Erdős, Hickerson and Pach [13] constructed such point sets with $O(n^{\log 3/\log 2})$ distinct distances, a bound that was later improved by Erdős, Füredi, Pach and Ruzsa [11] to $n2^{c\sqrt{\log n}}$ (for some constant c); see also [25]. It is still an open question whether this number can be linear in n. These constructions use many duplicate vectors, which motivates the further restriction of no parallelogram—equivalently, that no two vectors determined by the point set are the same. Erdős, Hickerson and Pach [13] raised the following question: Does there exist a set S of n points in the plane in general position, such that S does not contain all four vertices of a parallelogram, but q(S), the number of distinct distances determined by S, is $o(n^2)$? The question also appears in a paper [10] from 1988, as well as in the recent collection of open problems [5] (Problem 3, Section 5.5, pp. 215). Here we give a positive answer and thus show that the above (three) conditions are not enough to force a quadratic number of distinct distances.

For a prime p, and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\widehat{x} := x \mod p$ (we view \widehat{x} as an element of $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$). An old construction of Erdős described below (see also [3, pp. 28–29, and [5, pp. 417]) has proved to be instrumental in answering several different questions in combinatorial geometry. Let n be a prime and consider the n-element point set $E_n = \{(i, \hat{i}^2) \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. E_n is a subset of $G_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}\}.$ An old (still unsolved) question asks how many points can be selected from the $n \times n$ grid G_n so that no three are collinear. Erdős has shown that E_n has no three collinear points, so this gives a first large set with n points; more complicated constructions approach 2nfrom below (obviously 2n is an upper bound). The set E_n gives also a first partial answer in the old Heilbronn problem: What is the smallest a(n) such that any set of n points in the unit square determines a triangle whose area is at most a(n)? Using the fact that the minimum nonzero area of a triangle in G_n is 1/2, after suitable scaling E_n to the unit square, one gets the estimate $a(n) \geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)^2}$, i.e., half of the area of a scaled lattice square; see [3, 5]. A more complicated construction due to Komlós, Pintz and Szemerédi [20] yields the current

^{*}Department of Computer Science, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, WI 53201-0784, USA. E-mail: ad@cs.uwm.edu. Supported in part by NSF CAREER grant CCF-0444188.

¹It is not uncommon to find this qualification attached to sets satisfying only one of the two restrictions. Alternatively, one can use the term *strong general position* for sets satisfying both restrictions.

best lower bound $a(n) = \Omega(\frac{\log n}{n^2})$.

Here we use the Erdős construction yet one more time, and show that a suitably large subset $S_n \subset E_n$ is in general position and parallelogram-free $(|S_n| = (n-1)/4)$. The fact that S_n determines only $o(n^2)$ distinct distances comes out easily from the old Erdős upper bound of $g(n) = O(n/\sqrt{\log n})$. Let $v(n) = \min g(S)$, where the minimum is taken over all n-element point sets in the plane in general position, and parallelogram from

Theorem 1 For every natural number n, $v(n) = O(n^2/\sqrt{\log n})$.

In the second part we discuss another old problem of Erdős on distinct distances [8, 12, 14]: What is the largest number h(n) so that any set of n points in the plane (or in d dimensions) has an h(n)-element subset in which all $\binom{h(n)}{2}$ distances are distinct? Denote this number by $h_d(n)$, and also write h(n) for $h_2(n)$.

The problem on the line (d = 1) turns out to be related to the classical $Sidon\ sequences\ [28]$. Erdős conjectured that $h_1(n) = (1 + o(1))n^{1/2}\ [14]$, and observed that the upper bound follows from his 1941 result with Turán [16] on Sidon sequences. By combining various number theoretical results (some of them quite old and possibly forgotten), in particular a powerful result of Komlós et al. [21], one can show:

Theorem 2 Given a set P of n points in the line, one can select a subset $X \subseteq S$ of size $|X| = \Omega(n^{1/2})$ in which all pairwise distances are distinct. This bound is best possible apart from a constant factor. Thus $h_1(n) = \Theta(n^{1/2})$; more precisely: $(0.0805 + o(1)) \cdot n^{1/2} \le h_1(n) \le (1 + o(1)) \cdot n^{1/2}$.

For the planar variant, a $\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n}$ section of the integer grid yields $h(n) = O(n^{1/2}(\log n)^{-1/4})$; see also [5]. From the results in [2], it follows that $h(n) = \Omega(n^{1/5})$. The lower bound has been subsequently raised by Lefmann and Thiele [22] to $h(n) = \Omega(n^{1/4})$. By using their method in combination with recent results of Pach and Tardos [26] on the maximum number of isosceles triangles determined by a planar point set, a better bound can be derived. We have included a short outline of the argument in Section 3. Letting $\alpha = \frac{234-68e}{110-32e}$, where e is the base of the natural logarithm ($\alpha < 2.136$), Pach and Tardos proved that the number of isosceles triangles determined by a planar set of n points is $O(n^{\alpha+\varepsilon}) = O(n^{2.136})$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$; see [5, 19]. Put now $\beta = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{3} > 0.288$. The improved lower bound on h(n) is:

Theorem 3 For any $\varepsilon > 0$, out of any set P of n points in the plane, one can select a subset $X \subseteq S$ of size $|X| = \Omega(n^{\beta-\varepsilon}) = \Omega(n^{0.288})$ in which all pairwise distances are distinct. Thus $h(n) = \Omega(n^{\beta-\varepsilon}) = \Omega(n^{0.288})$.

For $d \geq 3$, the lower bound $h_d(n) = \Omega(n^{1/(3d-2)})$ is known, cf. [22, 30]; see also [5].

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Assume first that n is a prime. Let $S_n = \{(i, \hat{i}^2) \mid i = 0, 1, \ldots, (n-1)/4\}$. Recall that a $\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n}$ piece of the integer lattice determines $O(n/\sqrt{\log n})$ distances (cf. Erdős, this leads to the upper bound $g(n) = O(n/\sqrt{\log n})$). Therefore G_n determines $O(n^2/\sqrt{\log n})$ distinct distances; obviously this upper bound also holds for any subset of G_n , E_n or S_n in particular. Clearly S_n has no three collinear points (as a subset of E_n). Moreover, Thiele has shown that S_n has no four points cocircular [31]. His result was in the context of finding large subsets of the $n \times n$ grid without four cocircular points in response to a problem raised by Erdős and Purdy; see [5, pp. 418].

To conclude our result in Theorem 1 it remains to be shown that S_n determines no parallelogram. Then the result follows from standard facts about the distribution of primes [18], e.g., that there is a prime between k and 2k for any integer $k \geq 1$.

Lemma 4 S_n determines no parallelogram.

Proof. Assume (for contradiction) that ABCD is a parallelogram whose vertices are in S_n . Let $A=(a, \widehat{a^2})$, $B=(b, \widehat{b^2})$, $C=(c, \widehat{c^2})$, $D=(d, \widehat{d^2})$. We may assume that $0 \le a < b < c < d \le (n-1)/4$. Observe that AD must be a diagonal, henceforth BC is the other diagonal. Denote by x(p) and y(p) the x- and y-coordinates of a point p. Since the midpoints of the diagonals coincide, we have

$$\frac{x(A) + x(D)}{2} = \frac{x(B) + x(C)}{2}, \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{y(A) + y(D)}{2} = \frac{y(B) + y(C)}{2}.$$

The first equality yields a+d=b+c, or equivalently b-a=d-c, and note that b-a is a nonzero invertible element of \mathbb{Z}_n (since n is prime). The second equality yields $\hat{a^2}+\hat{d^2}=\hat{b^2}+\hat{c^2}$, or equivalently $\hat{b^2}-\hat{a^2}=\hat{d^2}-\hat{c^2}$. Taking this equality modulo n we get

$$(b-a)(b+a) \equiv (d-c)(d+c) \pmod{n}.$$

After simplifying by b-a, we obtain a+b=c+d, obviously a contradiction, since $1 \le a+b < 2b < 2c < c+d < (n-1)/2$.

3 Further connections and related problems

A Sidon sequence of integers $1 \le a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_s \le n$ is one in which the sums of all pairs, $a_i + a_j$, for $i \le j$, are

all different [15, 17, 27]. Suppose that we were to find a large subset $A \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ in which all distances are distinct. It is easy to see that this amounts to finding a large Sidon sequence in $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$: that is, A is a Sidon sequence if and only if the differences (distances) between any two elements are distinct. Indeed, if $a_i < a_j \le a_k < a_l$, then $a_j - a_i = a_l - a_k$ if and only if $a_i + a_l = a_j + a_k$ (the case of overlapping intervals is similar).

Denote by s = s(n) the maximum number of elements in a Sidon sequence with elements not greater than n. By a packing argument, it follows that $s < 2n^{1/2}$, see [15], and this implies the same upper bound on $h_1(n)$: therefore $h_1(n) = O(n^{1/2})$ (for the moment, we do not insist on the constant). Sharper bounds (with a better constant) have been obtained by Erdős and Turán [16] and Lindström [23]: $s(n) \leq n^{1/2} + n^{1/4} + 1$. From the other direction, the existence of perfect difference sets [29] shows that $s(n) \geq (1-\varepsilon)n^{1/2}$ [12]. The reader can find more details in [15]. Let $S: 1 \le a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$ be any sequence of n integers. By extending the previous lower bound (in a very broad setting), Komlós et al. [21] have proved that S always contains a Sidon subsequence of size $\Omega(n^{1/2})$. From their very general theorem, the resulting constant factor is quite small, about 2^{-15} , but this has been later raised by Abbott [1] to about $0.0805 \gtrsim 2/25$. Therefore, out of a set of n integer points, one can always find a subset of size $\Omega(n^{1/2})$, with all distinct distances, and this is best possible.

3.1 All distinct distances on the line: proof of Theorem 2

It only remains to show that given n points on the line, one can select a subset of size $\Omega(n^{1/2})$, with all distinct distances. Let $A = \{a_1 < \ldots < a_n\}$ be a set of n points on the line. Using simultaneous approximation [18] (see other applications in [6, 24]), construct a set of n rational points $A' = \{a'_1 < \ldots < a'_n\}$, and then a set of integer points $A'' = \{a''_1 < \ldots < a''_n\}$, so that $a''_j - a''_i = a''_l - a''_k$ holds whenever $a_j - a_i = a_l - a_k$ holds. For any positive integer m, there exist n rational points $A' = \{a'_1 < \ldots < a'_n\} = \{r_1/m, \ldots, r_n/m\}$, where $r_i, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\left|a_i - \frac{r_i}{m}\right| \le \frac{1}{m^{1+1/n}}, \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

One can also ensure that the order of the points in A' is $r_1/m < \ldots < r_n/m$ (i.e., $a'_i = r_i/m$, for all i). It can be shown there exists an m large enough such that the structure of distinct distances is preserved, and in particular we have g(A'') = g(A') = g(A).

Now select a large Sidon subsequence from A'' (cf. with the above result of [21], of size $\Omega(n^{1/2})$), and construct a subset $B \subset A$ by including all corresponding points from A into B. By the properties of A'', all

pairwise distances in B are distinct, as desired. Taking now into account the best constants available, one has $(0.0805 + o(1)) \cdot n^{1/2} \le h_1(n) \le (1 + o(1)) \cdot n^{1/2}$.

3.2 All distinct distances in the plane: proof of Theorem 3

The method of proof is due to Lefmann and Thiele [22]; see also [25]. Denote by $\mathcal{I}(P)$ the number of isosceles triangles spanned by triples of P, where each equilateral triangle is counted three times (this is the same as the number of weighted incidences between perpendicular bisectors determined by P and points of P, where the weight of a bisector is the number of pairs of points for which it is common).

Lemma 5 (Lefmann and Thiele [22]). Let P be a set of n points in the plane, which determine t distinct distances d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_t , where d_i occurs with multiplicity m_i for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} m_i^2 \le \frac{n}{2} \left(\mathcal{I}(P) + \binom{n}{2} \right).$$

Using the upper bound $\mathcal{I}(P) = O(n^{\alpha+\varepsilon}) = O(n^{2.136})$ from [26], one obtains from Lemma 5:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} m_i^2 = O(n^{1+\alpha+\varepsilon}) = O(n^{3.136}). \tag{1}$$

Let $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ be a set of n points in the plane, which determine t distinct distances d_1, d_2, \dots, d_t , where d_i occurs with multiplicity m_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Now define a hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (P, \mathcal{E}_3 \cup \mathcal{E}_4)$ as follows:

Let $\{p_i, p_j, p_k\} \in \mathcal{E}_3 \subseteq [P]^3$ if and only if $|p_i - p_j| = |p_i - p_k|$, that is, $\Delta p_i p_j p_k$ is an isosceles triangle. Let $\{p_i, p_j, p_k, p_l\} \in \mathcal{E}_4 \subseteq [P]^4$ if and only if $|p_i - p_j| = |p_k - p_l|$, that is, the two segments have the same length. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary small (but fixed). Clearly, $|\mathcal{E}_3| \le c_3 \cdot n^{\alpha + \varepsilon}$, and $|\mathcal{E}_4| \le \sum_{i=1}^t {m_i \choose 2} \le c_4 \cdot n^{1+\alpha+\varepsilon}$, for some constants $c_3, c_4 > 0$.

To conclude the proof, one makes an experiment consisting of two steps: random sampling followed by the deletion method. In the first step, a random subset $X \subset P$ is chosen by selecting points independently with probability $p = c \cdot n^{-\alpha/3 - \varepsilon/3}$, for some constant c > 0 to be specified later. In the second step, one point from each "surviving" edge in $\mathcal{E}_3' = [X]^3 \cap \mathcal{E}_3$ and $\mathcal{E}_4' = [X]^4 \cap \mathcal{E}_4$ is deleted. It results in an independent set Y of \mathcal{H} with average size

$$E[|Y|] \ge (c - c^4 c_4) n^{1 - \alpha/3 - \varepsilon/3} - c^3 c_3,$$

see [22] for details. By choosing c sufficiently small, and after relabeling ε , one gets $E[|Y|] = \Omega(n^{\beta-\varepsilon})$, where $\beta = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{3}$, as claimed.

References

- [1] H. L. Abbott: Sidon sets, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, 33(3) (1990), 335–341.
- [2] D. Avis, P. Erdős, and J. Pach: Distinct distances determined by subsets of a point set in space, *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*, 1 (1991), 1–11.
- [3] N. Alon and J. Spencer: *The Probabilistic Method*, second edition, Wiley, New York, 2000.
- [4] F. Behrend: On sets of integers which contain no three in arithmetic progressions, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, USA, **23** (1946), 331–332.
- [5] P. Braß, W. Moser, and J. Pach: Research Problems in Discrete Geometry, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [6] A. Dumitrescu: On distinct distances and λ-free point sets, Discrete Mathematics (2007), doi:10.1016/j.disc.2007.11.046.
- [7] P. Erdős: On sets of distances of n points, American Mathematical Monthly, **53** (1946), 248–250.
- [8] P. Erdős: Nehany geometriai problémáról (in Hungarian), Matematikai Lapok, 8 (1957), 86–92; Mathematical Reviews, 20 (1959), pp. 6056.
- [9] P. Erdős: Some of my old and new problems in elementary number theory and geometry, Proceedings of the Sundance Conference on Combinatorics and Related Topics, Sundance, Utah, 1985; Congressus Numerantium 50 (1985), 97–106.
- [10] P. Erdős: Some old and new problems in combinatorial geometry, Applications of Discrete Mathematics (Clemson, SC, 1986), pp. 32–37, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1988.
- [11] P. Erdős, Z. Füredi, J. Pach and I. Z. Ruzsa: The grid revisited, *Discrete Mathematics*, 111 (1993), 189–196.
- [12] P. Erdős and R. Guy: Distinct distances between lattice points, *Elemente der Mathematik*, 25 (1970), 121–123.
- [13] P. Erdős, D. Hickerson and J. Pach: A problem of Leo Moser about repeated distances on the sphere, American Mathematical Monthly, 96 (1989), 569– 575.
- [14] P. Erdős and G. Purdy: Extremal problems in combinatorial geometry; in *Handbook of Combinatorics*, Vol. I; (R. L. Graham, M. Grötschel, and L. Lovász, editors), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995, pp. 809–874.
- [15] P. Erdős and J. Surányi: Topics in the Theory of Numbers, second edition, Springer, New York, 2003.

- [16] P. Erdős and P. Turán: On a problem of Sidon in additive number theory, and on some related problems, *Journal of London Mathematical Society*, **16** (1941), 212–215.
- [17] R. K. Guy: Unsolved Problems in Number Theory, third edition, Springer, New York, 2004.
- [18] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright: An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, fifth edition, Oxford University Press, 1979.
- [19] N. Katz and G. Tardos: A new entropy inequality for the Erdős distance problem, in *Towards a Theory of Geometric Graphs*, János Pach (editor), Contemporary Mathematics series of AMS, 2004, pages 119–126.
- [20] J. Komlós, J. Pintz and E. Szemerédi: A lower bound for Heilbronn's problem, *Journal of London Mathematical Society*, 25 (1982), 13–24.
- [21] J. Komlós, M. Sulyok, and E. Szemerédi: Linear problems in combinatorial number theory, Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae, 26(1-2) (1975), 113–121.
- [22] H. Lefmann and T. Thiele: Point sets with distinct distances, *Combinatorica*, **15(3)** (1995), 379–408.
- [23] B. Lindström: An inequality for B_2 -sequences, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, **6** (1969), 211–212.
- [24] J. Pach: Midpoints of segments induced by a point set, *Geombinatorics*, **13** (2003), 98–105.
- [25] J. Pach and P. K. Agarwal: Combinatorial Geometry, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1995.
- [26] J. Pach and G. Tardos: Isosceles triangles determined by a planar point set, Graphs and Combinatorics, 18 (2002), 769–779.
- [27] C. Pomerance and A. Sárközy: Combinatorial Number Theory; in *Handbook of Combinatorics*, Vol. I; (R. L. Graham, M. Grötschel, and L. Lovász, editors), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995, pp. 967–1018.
- [28] S. Sidon: Ein Satz über trigonometrische Polynome und seine Anwendung in der Theorie der Fourier-Reihen, *Mathematische Annalen*, **106** (1932), 536–539.
- [29] J. Singer: A theorem in finite projective geometry and some applications to number theory, *Transac*tions of American Mathematical Society, 43 (1938), 377–385.
- [30] T. Thiele: Geometric Selection Problems and Hypergraphs, Dissertation, Freie Universität Berlin, 1995.
- [31] T. Thiele: The no-four-on-circle problem, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Ser. A, 71 (1995), 332–334.