

Linear Complexity of Quaternary Sequences Over \mathbb{Z}_4 Derived From Generalized Cyclotomic Classes Modulo $2p$

Zhixiong Chen¹ and Vladimir Edemskiy²

(Corresponding author: Zhixiong Chen)

School of Mathematics, Putian University, Putian, Fujian 351100, P. R. China¹

Department of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Novgorod State University, Veliky Novgorod 173003, Russia²

(Email: ptczx@126.com, Vladimir.Edemskiy@novsu.ru)

(Received Mar. 31, 2016; revised and accepted June 1 & July 19, 2016)

Abstract

We determine the exact values of the linear complexity (LC) of $2p$ -periodic quaternary sequences over \mathbb{Z}_4 (the residue class ring modulo 4) defined from the generalized cyclotomic classes modulo $2p$ in terms of the theory of Galois rings of characteristic 4, where p is an odd prime. It is more difficult and complicated to consider sequences over \mathbb{Z}_4 than that over finite fields due to the zero divisors in \mathbb{Z}_4 . Hence it brings some interesting twists. We prove the main results as follows

$$LC = \begin{cases} 2p, & \text{if } p \equiv -3 \pmod{8}, \\ 2p - 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ p, & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{16}, \\ p + 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, \\ (p + 1)/2, & \text{if } p \equiv -9 \pmod{16}, \\ (p + 3)/2, & \text{if } p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

which answers an open problem proposed by Kim, Hong and Song.

Keywords: Cryptography; Galois Rings; Generalized Cyclotomic Classes; Linear Complexity; Quaternary Sequences; Stream Ciphers

1 Introduction

Due to applications of quaternary sequences in communication systems, radar and cryptography [12], it is of interest to design large families of quaternary sequences.

Certain quaternary sequences were defined in the literature¹ by using generalized cyclotomic classes modulo $2p$ for an odd prime p . Let g be an odd number such that g is a primitive root modulo p and modulo $2p$ simultaneously. We note that such g always exists, see [14]. We denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{2p} = \{0, 1, \dots, 2p - 1\}$ the

residue class ring modulo $2p$. Put $D_0 = \langle g^2 \rangle = \{g^{2n} \pmod{2p} : n = 0, 1, \dots, (p - 3)/2\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{2p}$, and $D_1 = \{g^{2n+1} \pmod{2p} : n = 0, 1, \dots, (p - 3)/2\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{2p}$. If we write $E_i = \{2u \pmod{2p} : u \in D_i\}$ for $i = 0, 1$, we have the following partition

$$\mathbb{Z}_{2p} = D_0 \cup D_1 \cup E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{0, p\}.$$

We remark that $D_0 \cup D_1$ is exactly the set of all odd numbers in $\mathbb{Z}_{2p} \setminus \{p\}$ and $E_0 \cup E_1$ is exactly the set of all even numbers in $\mathbb{Z}_{2p} \setminus \{0\}$.

In terms of the generalized cyclotomic classes above, Chen and Du [5] defined a family of quaternary sequences $(e_u)_{u \geq 0}$ with elements in the finite field $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \alpha, 1 + \alpha\}$ as

$$e_u = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u = 0 \text{ or } u \in D_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } u \in D_1, \\ 1 + \alpha, & \text{if } u = p \text{ or } u \in E_0, \\ \alpha, & \text{if } u \in E_1. \end{cases}$$

They determined the linear complexity of $(e_u)_{u \geq 0}$ in [5]. Later Ke, Yang and Zhang [15] calculated their autocorrelation values.² In fact, before [5, 15] Kim, Hong and Song [17] defined another family of quaternary sequences $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ with elements in $\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, the residue class ring modulo 4, as follows

$$s_u = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } u = 0 \text{ or } u \in D_0, \\ 1, & \text{if } u \in D_1, \\ 2, & \text{if } u = p \text{ or } u \in E_0, \\ 3, & \text{if } u \in E_1. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

They derived the periodic autocorrelation function of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$. However, as we know, the question about the

¹The generalized cyclotomic classes modulo $2p$ are also used to define binary sequences [3, 4, 7, 11, 26].

²Ke and Zhang extended to define quaternary cyclotomic sequences of length $2p^m$ [16]. Chang and Li defined quaternary cyclotomic sequences of length $2pq$ [1]. Both are over the finite field \mathbb{F}_4 .

linear complexity of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ is still open due to the phenomenon of zero divisors in \mathbb{Z}_4 . In this work, we will develop a way to solve this problem using the theory of Galois rings of characteristic 4. We note that there are many quaternary sequences over \mathbb{Z}_4 have been investigated in the literature, see e.g., [2, 8, 9, 10, 21, 22, 25].

We recall that the linear complexity $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0})$ of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ above is the least order L of a linear recurrence relation (i.e., linear feedback shift register, or LFSR for short) over \mathbb{Z}_4

$$s_{u+L} + c_1 s_{u+L-1} + \dots + c_{L-1} s_{u+1} + c_L s_u = 0 \quad \text{for } u \geq 0,$$

which is satisfied by $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ and where $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_L \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, see [23]. The connection polynomial is $C(X)$ given by $1 + c_1 X + \dots + c_L X^L$. We note that $C(0) = 1$. Let

$$S(X) = s_0 + s_1 X + \dots + s_{2p-1} X^{2p-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$$

be the generating polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$. Then an LFSR with a connection polynomial $C(X)$ generates $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$, if and only if [23],

$$S(X)C(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{X^{2p} - 1},$$

where $C(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ satisfies $C(0) = 1$. That is,

$$LC((s_u)) = \min\{\deg(C(X)) : C(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X], C(0) = 1, S(X)C(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{X^{2p} - 1}\}. \tag{2}$$

Let r be the order of 2 modulo p . We denote by $GR(4^r, 4)$ the Galois ring of order 4^r of characteristic 4, which is isomorphic to the residue class ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[X]/(f(X))$, where $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ is a basic irreducible polynomial of degree r [24, 19]. The group of units of $GR(4^r, 4)$, denoted by $GR^*(4^r, 4)$, contains a cyclic subgroup of order $2^r - 1$. Since $p|(2^r - 1)$, let $\beta \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ be of order p . Then we find that $\gamma = 3\beta \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ is of order $2p$ ³. From Equation (2), we will consider the values $S(\gamma^v)$ for $v = 0, 1, \dots, 2p - 1$, which allow us to derive the linear complexity of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$. Due to $S(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$, we cannot consider it in the same way as those in finite fields. For example, 1 and 3 are the roots of $2X - 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$, but $2X - 2$ is not divisible by $(X - 1)(X - 3)$, i.e., in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ the number of roots of a polynomial can be greater than its degree. So we need to develop some necessary technique here. Indeed, the theory of Galois ring enters into our problem by means of the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ be a non-constant polynomial. If $\xi \in GR(4^r, 4)$ is a root of $P(X)$, we have $P(X) = (X - \xi)Q_1(X)$ for some polynomial $Q_1(X) \in GR(4^r, 4)[X]$.

Furthermore, if $\eta \in GR(4^r, 4)$ is another root of $P(X)$ and $\eta - \xi$ is a unit, we have $P(X) = (X - \xi)(X - \eta)Q_2(X)$, where $Q_1(X) = (X - \eta)Q_2(X)$.

Lemma 2. Let $\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ be of order $2p$, and let $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ be any non-constant polynomial.

³In the context we always suppose that $\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ is of order $2p$.

(I). If $P(\gamma^v) = 0$ for all $v \in D_i$, where $i = 0, 1$, then we have

$$P(X) = P_1(X) \prod_{v \in D_i} (X - \gamma^v)$$

for some polynomial $P_1(X) \in GR(4^r, 4)[X]$. Similarly, If $P(\gamma^v) = 0$ for all $v \in E_i$, where $i = 0, 1$, then we have

$$P(X) = P_2(X) \prod_{v \in E_i} (X - \gamma^v)$$

for some polynomial $P_2(X) \in GR(4^r, 4)[X]$.

(II). If $P(\gamma^v) = 0$ for all $v \in \{p\} \cup D_0 \cup D_1$, then we have

$$P(X) = P_3(X)(X^p + 1)$$

for some polynomial $P_3(X) \in GR(4^r, 4)[X]$. Similarly, if $P(\gamma^v) = 0$ for all $v \in \{0\} \cup E_0 \cup E_1$, then we have

$$P(X) = P_4(X)(X^p - 1)$$

for some polynomial $P_4(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$.

(III). If $P(0) = 1$, $P(\gamma^v) = 0$ for $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{2p} \setminus \{0, p\}$, and $P(\pm 1) \in \{0, 2\}$, then we have $\deg P(X) \geq 2p - 1$. Furthermore, if either $P(1) = P(-1) = 0$ or $P(1) = P(-1) = 2$, we have $\deg P(X) \geq 2p$.

We give a proof of both lemmas in the Appendix for the convenience of the reader.

2 Linear Complexity of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$

2.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

We describe a relationship among D_0, D_1, E_0 and E_1 .

Lemma 3. Let $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$.

(I). For $v \in D_i$, we have

$$vD_j \triangleq \{vu \pmod{2p} : u \in D_j\} = D_{i+j \pmod 2},$$

and

$$vE_j \triangleq \{vu \pmod{2p} : u \in E_j\} = E_{i+j \pmod 2}.$$

(II). For $v \in E_i$, we have

$$vD_j \triangleq \{vu \pmod{2p} : u \in D_j\} = E_{i+j \pmod 2},$$

and

$$vE_j \triangleq \{vu \pmod{2p} : u \in E_j\} = E_{i+j \pmod 2}$$

if $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 8$, and otherwise

$$vE_j \triangleq \{vu \pmod{2p} : u \in E_j\} = E_{i+j+1 \pmod 2}.$$

(III). If $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$D_i = \{(v + p) \pmod{2p} : v \in E_i\},$$

and otherwise

$$D_{i+1 \bmod 2} = \{(v + p) \pmod{2p} : v \in E_i\}.$$

(IV). If $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$E_i = \{(v + p) \pmod{2p} : v \in D_i\},$$

and otherwise

$$E_{i+1 \bmod 2} = \{(v + p) \pmod{2p} : v \in D_i\}.$$

(V). If $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$E_i = \{u + p : u \in D_i, u < p\} \cup \{u - p : u \in D_i, u > p\}.$$

Proof.

(I). If $v \in D_i$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $u \in D_j$ for $j = 0, 1$ then we can write $v \equiv g^{i+2k} \pmod{2p}$, $0 \leq k \leq (p-3)/2$ and $u \equiv g^{j+2l} \pmod{2p}$, $0 \leq l \leq (p-3)/2$. So, $vu \equiv g^{i+j+2k+2l} \pmod{2p}$, which implies $vu \in D_{i+j \bmod 2}$. Since $|vD_i| = |D_{i+j \bmod 2}|$, it follows that $vD_i = D_{i+j \bmod 2}$. The equality $vE_j = E_{i+j+1 \bmod 2}$ can be proved similarly.

(II). Let $v \in E_i$. We write $v \equiv 2u \pmod{2p}$, $u \in D_i$. Therefore, by (I) and our definitions we have

$$vD_j = 2uD_j = 2D_{i+j \bmod 2} = E_{i+j \bmod 2}.$$

Now, we consider vE_j . First, we have by (I) again

$$vE_j = 2uE_j = 2E_{i+j \bmod 2}.$$

Second, for any $w \in 2E_{i+j \bmod 2}$, we can write $w \equiv 4a \pmod{2p}$ for $a \in D_{i+j \bmod 2}$. Clearly w is even and $w \in E_0 \cup E_1$, so we get $w \equiv 2b \pmod{2p}$ for $b \in D_0 \cup D_1$. Then we have $b \equiv 2a \pmod{p}$.

For $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, in which case 2 is a quadratic residue modulo p [14], we have $b \in D_{i+j \bmod 2}$, which leads to $w \equiv 2b \pmod{2p} \in E_{i+j \bmod 2}$, i.e., $2E_{i+j \bmod 2} \subseteq E_{i+j \bmod 2}$. Since $2E_{i+j \bmod 2}$ and $E_{i+j \bmod 2}$ have the same cardinality, it follows that $vE_j = 2E_{i+j \bmod 2} = E_{i+j \bmod 2}$.

The case of $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ follows in a similar way, in which case 2 is a quadratic non-residue modulo p .

(III). Let $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, since 2 is a quadratic residue modulo p [14], we can find when \bar{v} runs through D_0 (resp. D_1), $p + 2\bar{v}$ modulo $2p$ runs through D_0 (resp. D_1). Since otherwise, if $p + 2\bar{v}_0 \pmod{2p} \in D_1$ for some $\bar{v}_0 \in D_1$, then we write $p + 2\bar{v}_0 \equiv g^{1+2k_0} \pmod{2p}$ for some integer k_0 , from which we derive $2\bar{v}_0 \equiv g^{1+2k_0} \pmod{p}$. It leads to the result that 2 is a quadratic non-residue modulo p , a contradiction.

So, $D_i = \{(v + p) \pmod{2p} : v \in E_i\}$ if $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$.

The equality $D_{i+1 \bmod 2} = \{(v + p) \pmod{2p} : v \in E_i\}$ for $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ is proved similarly as the first. Here, if \bar{v} runs through D_0 (resp. D_1), then $p + 2\bar{v}$ modulo $2p$ runs through D_1 (resp. D_0).

(IV). Comes from (III).

(V). In fact first, the set

$$\{u + p : u \in D_1, u < p\} \cup \{u - p : u \in D_1, u > p\}$$

exactly contains $|D_1|$ even numbers. Second, we suppose that $a \in E_0$ for some

$$a \in \{u + p : u \in D_1, u < p\} \cup \{u - p : u \in D_1, u > p\}.$$

Write $a \equiv 2v \pmod{2p}$ for some $v \in D_0$. From the definition of D_0 , we see that v is a quadratic residue modulo p . Then a is a quadratic residue modulo p due to $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, in which case 2 is a quadratic residue modulo p [14]. However, a is of the form $u + p$ or $u - p$ for some $u \in D_1$, and $a \equiv u \pmod{p}$ is a quadratic non-residue modulo p , a contradiction. So

$$\{u + p : u \in D_1, u < p\} \cup \{u - p : u \in D_1, u > p\} \subseteq E_1,$$

and both have the same cardinality. □

For $i = 0, 1$, let

$$S_i(X) = \sum_{u \in D_i} X^u$$

and

$$T_i(X) = S_i(X^2) = \sum_{u \in E_i} X^u \pmod{X^{2p} - 1}.$$

According to Equation (1), the generating polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ is

$$S(X) = 2X^p + S_1(X) + 2T_0(X) + 3T_1(X). \quad (3)$$

As mentioned before, we will consider the values $S(\gamma^v)$ for a unit $\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ of order $2p$ and $v = 0, 1, \dots, 2p-1$. According to the definitions of D_0, D_1, E_0 and E_1 , we will describe $S(\gamma^v)$ in the following lemma in terms of $S_0(\gamma)$ (or $S_1(\gamma)$) due to the fact that in the ring $GR(4^r, 4)$

$$S_0(\gamma) + S_1(\gamma) = \sum_{u \in D_0 \cup D_1} \gamma^u = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \gamma^{2j+1} - \gamma^p = 1. \quad (4)$$

Lemma 4. Let $\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ be of order $2p$, and let $S(X)$ be the generating polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ described in Equation (3).

(I). If $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$S(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 1 - 2S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_0, \\ -1 + 2S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_1, \\ 3, & \text{if } v \in E_0 \cup E_1. \end{cases}$$

(II). If $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$S(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v \in D_0 \cup D_1, \\ 2 - 2S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_0, \\ 2S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_1. \end{cases}$$

Proof.

(I). Let $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$. By Lemma 3(I) we first get

$$S_1(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 1 - S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_0, \\ S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_1. \end{cases}$$

Second, for any $v \in E_j$ for $j \in \{0, 1\}$, write $v = 2\bar{v}$ for $\bar{v} \in D_j$. We see that $p + 2\bar{v} \in D_{j+1}$ by Lemma 3(III) and $\gamma^v = \gamma^{2\bar{v}} = -\gamma^{p+2\bar{v}}$, by Lemma 3(I) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(\gamma^v) &= S_1(-\gamma^{p+2\bar{v}}) = - \sum_{u \in D_1} \gamma^{u(p+2\bar{v})} \\ &= \begin{cases} - \sum_{w \in D_0} \gamma^w, & \text{if } \bar{v} \in D_0, \\ - \sum_{w \in D_1} \gamma^w, & \text{if } \bar{v} \in D_1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$S_1(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} -S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_0, \\ -1 + S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_1. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, by Lemma 3(I)-(IV), we have

$$T_0(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} -1 + S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_0, \\ -S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_1, \\ S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_0, \\ 1 - S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$T_1(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} -S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_0, \\ -1 + S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in D_1, \\ 1 - S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_0, \\ S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } v \in E_1. \end{cases}$$

Then putting everything together, we get the first assertion.

The second assertion of this lemma can be proved in a similar way.

So in order to determine the values of $S(\gamma^v)$, it is sufficient to calculate $S_0(\gamma)$. We need the parameter $[i, j]$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$, which is the cardinality of the set $(1 + D_i) \cap E_j$, i.e.,

$$[i, j] = |(1 + D_i) \cap E_j|,$$

where $1 + D_i = \{1 + u \pmod{2p} : u \in D_i\}$.

Lemma 5. With notations as before. We have

$$[0, 0] = \begin{cases} (p - 5)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ (p - 3)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \\ (p - 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ (p + 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$[0, 1] = \begin{cases} (p - 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ (p + 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, \\ (p - 5)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ (p - 3)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since g used above is also a primitive modulo p , we write

$$H_0 = \{g^{2n} \pmod{p} : n = 0, 1, \dots, (p - 3)/2\}$$

and

$$H_1 = \{g^{1+2n} \pmod{p} : n = 0, 1, \dots, (p - 3)/2\}.$$

We find that for $i = 0, 1$

$$\{u \pmod{p} : u \in D_i\} = H_i$$

and

$$\{2u \pmod{p} : u \in D_i\} = H_{i+\ell \pmod{2}},$$

where $\ell = 0$ if $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ and $\ell = 1$ if $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, i.e., $\ell = 0$ if 2 is a quadratic residue modulo p , and $\ell = 1$ otherwise [14]. Therefore,

$$[i, j] = |(1 + D_i) \cap E_j| = |(1 + H_i) \cap H_{j+\ell \pmod{2}}|.$$

We conclude the proof by applying the values of $|(1 + H_i) \cap H_j|$ computed in [13]. \square

With the values of $[0, 0]$ and $[0, 1]$, we prove the following statement, which is a generalization of [6, Theorem 1].

Lemma 6. Let $\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ be of order $2p$. Then we have

$$(S_0(\gamma))^2 = S_0(\gamma) + \begin{cases} (p - 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ (p + 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{8}, \\ (p + 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ (p - 1)/4, & \text{if } p \equiv -3 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the definition of $S_0(X)$ we have

$$(S_0(\gamma))^2 = \sum_{l,m=0}^{(p-3)/2} \gamma^{g^{2l}+g^{2m}} = \sum_{l,m=0}^{(p-3)/2} \gamma^{g^{2m}(g^{2(l-m)}+1)}.$$

For each fixed m , since the order of g modulo $2p$ is $p - 1$, we see that $l - m$ modulo $(p - 1)$ runs through the range $0, 1, \dots, (p - 3)/2$ if l does. So we have

$$(S_0(\gamma))^2 = \sum_{m,n=0}^{(p-3)/2} \gamma^{g^{2m}(g^{2n}+1)}. \tag{5}$$

Since g is odd, we see that $g^{2n} + 1 \pmod{2p}$ is even for any n . That is, $g^{2n} + 1 \pmod{2p} \in E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{0\}$. So we consider $g^{2n} + 1 \pmod{2p}$ in three different cases.

Case 1. Let

$$N_0 = \{n : 0 \leq n \leq (p-3)/2, g^{2n} + 1 \pmod{2p} \in E_0\}.$$

In fact, the cardinality $|N_0|$ of N_0 equals $[0, 0]$. For each $n \in N_0$, as the proof of Lemma 4 we obtain that by Equation (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{(p-3)/2} \gamma^{g^{2m}(g^{2n}+1)} &= \sum_{v \in D_0} \gamma^{2v} = S_0(\gamma^2) = S_0(-\gamma^{p+2}) \\ &= \begin{cases} -S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \\ -1 + S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. Similar to Case 1, we let

$$N_1 = \{n : 0 \leq n \leq (p-3)/2, g^{2n} + 1 \pmod{2p} \in E_1\}.$$

Then the cardinality $|N_1|$ equals $[0, 1]$. Now for each $n \in N_1$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{(p-3)/2} \gamma^{g^{2m}(g^{2n}+1)} &= \sum_{v \in D_1} \gamma^{2v} = S_1(\gamma^2) = S_1(-\gamma^{p+2}) \\ &= \begin{cases} -1 + S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \\ -S_0(\gamma), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Case 3. There is an n such that $(g^{2n} + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2p}$ if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. In this case, we have $n = (p-1)/4$ and $\sum_{m=0}^{(p-3)/2} \gamma^{g^{2m}(g^{2n}+1)} = (p-1)/2$. Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Using Equation (5) we obtain that

$$(S_0(\gamma))^2 = |N_0| \cdot (-S_0(\gamma)) + |N_1| \cdot (-1 + S_0(\gamma)) + (p-1)/2.$$

Then we get the desired result by using the values of $[0, 0]$ ($=|N_0|$) and $[0, 1]$ ($=|N_1|$) in Lemma 5.

The assertions for $p \equiv -1, 3, -3 \pmod{8}$ can be obtained in a similar way. \square

With the help of Lemma 6 we now deduce the values of $S_0(\gamma)$. It is clear that $S_0(\gamma) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ or $S_1(\gamma) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ from Equation (4). Therefore, without loss of generality we always suppose that $S_0(\gamma) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$. (Of course, if one supposes that $S_1(\gamma) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$, then $S_1(\gamma)$ will be used in the context.)

Lemma 7. Let $\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ be of order $2p$ with $S_0(\gamma) = \sum_{u \in D_0} \gamma^u \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$. We have

$$S_0(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{16}, \\ \rho, & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{16}, \\ 3, & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 9 \pmod{16}, \\ 2 + \rho, & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 13 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

where ρ satisfies the equation $\rho^2 + 3\rho + 3 = 0$ over \mathbb{Z}_4 .

Proof. Let $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{16}$. Then, by Lemma 6, we obtain that $(S_0(\gamma))^2 = S_0(\gamma)$. Under given assumptions about $S_0(\gamma)$, we have $S_0(\gamma) = 1$. The other assertions of this lemma can be proved in a similar way. \square

Lemma 8. Let $\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ be of order $2p$ with $S_0(\gamma) = \sum_{u \in D_0} \gamma^u \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$, and let $S(X)$ be the generating polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ described in Equation (3).

(I). For any odd prime p , we have

$$S(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} p + 1, & \text{if } v = 0, \\ 2, & \text{if } v = p. \end{cases}$$

(II). If $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$S(\gamma^v) \in GR^*(4^r, 4), \text{ for all } v \in D_0 \cup D_1 \cup E_0 \cup E_1.$$

(III). If $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, we have

$$S(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } v \in D_0 \cup D_1 \cup E_0, \\ 2, & \text{if } v \in E_1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (I) can be checked easily. (II) and (III) follow immediately from Lemmas 4 and 7. \square

In the following subsections, we will derive linear complexity of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ in Equation (2) by considering the factorization of $S(X)$.

2.2 Linear Complexity for the Case $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$

Theorem 1. Let $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ be the quaternary sequence over \mathbb{Z}_4 defined by Equation (1). Then the linear complexity of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = \begin{cases} 2p, & \text{if } p \equiv -3 \pmod{8}, \\ 2p - 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. With notations as before. That is, we use $S(X)$ the generating polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ and let $\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ be of order $2p$ with $S_0(\gamma) = \sum_{u \in D_0} \gamma^u \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$. Suppose that $C(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ is a connection polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$. We remark that $\min \deg(C(X)) \leq 2p$.

For $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, by Equation (2) and Lemma 8(II) we get

$$C(\gamma^v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in D_0 \cup D_1 \cup E_0 \cup E_1.$$

Now we consider the values of $C(\gamma^0)$ and $C(\gamma^p)$.⁴ Let $s(X)$ and $c(X)$ be the polynomials of degree < 2 such that

$$S(X) \equiv s(X) \pmod{X^2 - 1}$$

and

$$C(X) \equiv c(X) \pmod{X^2 - 1}.$$

If $p \equiv -3 \pmod{8}$, we have $S(-1) = S(1) = 2$ by Lemma 8(I). It follows that $s(X) = 2$ or $s(X) = 2X$. So by Equation (2) again, we see that

$$c(X) \in \{0, 2, 2X, 2X + 2\}$$

⁴In fact, $C(\gamma^0) = C(1)$ and $C(\gamma^p) = C(-1)$.

and hence either $C(-1) = C(1) = 0$ or $C(-1) = C(1) = 2$.

In terms of all values of $C(\gamma^v)$ for $v = 0, 1, \dots, 2p - 1$ above, by Lemma 2(III) we have $\deg C(X) \geq 2p$. Consequently, we get $\min \deg(C(X)) = 2p$ and hence $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 2p$ for this case.

Similarly if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, we have $S(1) = 0$ and $S(-1) = 2$ by Lemma 8(I), and hence $s(X) = 1 - X$. Then we get

$$c(X) \in \{0, 2, X + 1, 2X + 2\}$$

and hence $C(-1) = C(1) = 0$, or $C(-1) = C(1) = 2$, or $C(-1) = 0$ and $C(1) = 2$. Then by Lemma 2(III) we have $\deg C(X) \geq 2p - 1$.

On the other hand, since $s(X) = 1 - X$, we see that $S(X)$ is divisible by $X - 1$ over \mathbb{Z}_4 , from which we derive

$$S(X) \cdot \frac{X^{2p} - 1}{X - 1} \equiv 0 \pmod{X^{2p} - 1}.$$

Then $\frac{X^{2p} - 1}{X - 1}$ is a connection polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$. So we get $\min \deg(C(X)) = 2p - 1$, i.e., $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 2p - 1$. \square

2.3 Linear Complexity for the Case $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$

Due to Lemma 8(III), it is more complicated to determine the connection polynomial $C(X)$ with the smallest degree when $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$. For $j = 0, 1$, define

$$\Gamma_j(X) = \prod_{v \in D_j} (X - \gamma^v) \text{ and } \Lambda_j(X) = \prod_{v \in E_j} (X - \gamma^v),$$

where $\gamma \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ is of order $2p$ with $S_0(\gamma) = \sum_{u \in D_0} \gamma^u \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$. In particular, by Lemma 3(IV) we have for $j = 0, 1$,

$$\Lambda_j(X) = \prod_{v \in D_j} (X - \gamma^{v+p}).$$

Lemma 9. *If $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, then $\Gamma_j(X)$ and $\Lambda_j(X)$ are polynomials over \mathbb{Z}_4 for $j = 0, 1$.*

Proof. We only consider $\Gamma_0(X)$ here, for $\Gamma_1(X)$, $\Lambda_0(X)$ and $\Lambda_1(X)$ it can be done in a similar manner. It is sufficient to show that the coefficients of $\Gamma_0(X)$

$$a_m = (-1)^m \sum_{\substack{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \\ i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in D_0}} \gamma^{i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m} \in \mathbb{Z}_4$$

for $1 \leq m \leq (p - 1)/2$.

Let γ^b be a term of the last sum and $b \equiv i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m \pmod{2p}$, $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. By Lemma 3 for any $n : 0 < n < (p - 1)/2$ we have that $g^{2^n i_j} \in D_0, j = 0, \dots, m$. Hence, $X - \gamma^{g^{2^n} i_j}, j = 0, \dots, m$ are the factors in the product $\prod_{v \in D_j} (X - \gamma^v)$. So, $\gamma^{g^{2^n} i_1} \dots \gamma^{g^{2^n} i_m} = \gamma^{g^{2^n} b}$ is also a term of this sum for any $n = 0, \dots, (p - 3)/2$, i.e.,

$$\gamma^b + \gamma^{g^{2b}} + \dots + \gamma^{g^{p-3b}} = S_0(\gamma^b)$$

is a part of this sum. Therefore, there must exist the elements b_1, \dots, b_n such that

$$a_m = (-1)^m \sum_{i=0}^n S_0(\gamma^{b_i}) + A,$$

where

$$A = \{a | a \equiv (i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ and } i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m; i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in D_0\}.$$

By Lemma 7 and the proof of Lemma 4 we have that $S_0(\gamma^{b_i}) \in \mathbb{Z}_4$. This completes the proof of Lemma 9. \square

Since γ^v is a root of $X^p + 1$ for any $v \in \{p\} \cup D_0 \cup D_1$, and $\gamma^{v_1} - \gamma^{v_2} \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ for distinct $v_1, v_2 \in \{p\} \cup D_0 \cup D_1$, it follows that

$$X^p + 1 = (X + 1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X), \tag{6}$$

from Lemma 2 and the definitions on $\Gamma_0(X)$ and $\Gamma_1(X)$. Similarly, we have

$$X^p - 1 = (X - 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X). \tag{7}$$

Now, let us explore the expansion of

$$S(X) = 2X^p + S_1(X) + 2T_0(X) + 3T_1(X).$$

Lemma 10. *We have the polynomial factoring in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[X]$*

$$S_1(X) + 3T_1(X) = \begin{cases} (X^p - 1)\Gamma_0(X)U_1(X), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{16}, \\ (X^p - 1)\Gamma_0(X)U_2(X) + 2(X^p + 1), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 9 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$2X^p + 2T_0(X) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_0(X)\Lambda_0(X)(X - 1)V_1(X) + 2(X^p + 1), & \text{if } p \equiv -1, -9 \pmod{16}, \\ \Gamma_0(X)\Lambda_0(X)V_2(X) + 2(X^p + 1), & \text{if } p \equiv 1, 9 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

where $U_i(X), V_i(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X], i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Since $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$, by Lemma 3(V) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(X) + 3T_1(X) &= \sum_{u \in D_1} X^u + 3 \sum_{u \in E_1} X^u \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u \in D_1 \\ u < p}} X^u + \sum_{\substack{u \in D_1 \\ u > p}} X^u + 3 \sum_{\substack{u \in D_1 \\ u < p}} X^{u+p} + 3 \sum_{\substack{u \in D_1 \\ u > p}} X^{u-p} \\ &= (X^p + 3) \left(3 \sum_{\substack{u \in D_1 \\ u < p}} X^u + \sum_{\substack{u \in D_1 \\ u > p}} X^{u-p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$M(X) = 3 \sum_{\substack{u \in D_1 \\ u < p}} X^u + \sum_{\substack{u \in D_1 \\ u > p}} X^{u-p}.$$

With the choice of γ as before, if $v \in D_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(\gamma^v) &= 3 \sum_{\substack{u \in D_1 \\ u < p}} \gamma^{vu} + \sum_{\substack{u \in D_1 \\ u > p}} \gamma^{v(u-p)} \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{u \in D_1 \\ u < p}} \gamma^{vu} - \sum_{\substack{u \in D_1 \\ u > p}} \gamma^{vu} \\ &= -S_1(\gamma) = -1 + S_0(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where we use $\gamma^p = -1$ and Equation (4). So for $v \in D_0$, by Lemma 7 we get

$$M(\gamma^v) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{16}, \\ 2, & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 9 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

from which, and by Lemma 2, we derive

$$M(X) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_0(X)U_1(X), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{16}, \\ 2 + \Gamma_0(X)U_2(X), & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 9 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

where $U_1(X), U_2(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$. We complete the proof of the first statement.

Now, we consider the polynomial $2X^p + 2T_0(X)$. Since $2X^p + 2T_0(X) = 2(X^p + 1) + 2 + 2T_0(X)$, we only need to consider $2 + 2T_0(X)$.

We first consider the roots of $2 + 2S_0(X)$. According to the proof of Lemma 4, we see that $p + 2 \in D_0$ since $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$. For any $v \in E_0$ with $v \equiv 2\bar{v} \pmod{2p}$, where $\bar{v} \in D_0$, we obtain by Equation (4) and Lemma 7

$$\begin{aligned} 2 + 2S_0(\gamma^v) &= 2 + 2 \sum_{u \in D_0} \gamma^{uv} = 2 + 2 \sum_{u \in D_0} \gamma^{2\bar{v}u} \\ &= 2 + 2S_0(\gamma^2) = 2 + 2S_0(-\gamma^{p+2}) \\ &= 2 - 2S_0(\gamma) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, by Lemma 2 we have

$$2 + 2S_0(X) = \Lambda_0(X)G(X)$$

for some $G(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$, then we have

$$2 + 2S_0(X^2) = \Lambda_0(X^2)G(X^2).$$

Since $T_0(X) = S_0(X^2) \pmod{X^{2p} - 1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_0(X^2) &= \prod_{v \in E_0} (X^2 - \gamma^v) = \prod_{u \in D_0} (X^2 - \gamma^{2u}) \\ &= \prod_{u \in D_0} (X - \gamma^u)(X + \gamma^u) \\ &= \prod_{u \in D_0} (X - \gamma^u)(X - \gamma^{u+p}) \\ &= \prod_{u \in D_0} (X - \gamma^u) \prod_{v \in D_0} (X - \gamma^{v+p}) \\ &= \Gamma_0(X)\Lambda_0(X), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$2 + 2T_0(X) = 2 + 2S_0(X^2) = \Gamma_0(X)\Lambda_0(X)G(X^2).$$

On the other hand, from the fact that

$$2 + 2T_0(1) = p + 1 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{8}, \\ 2, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$

and $\Gamma_0(1)\Lambda_0(1) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$, we write

$$G(X^2) = (X - 1)V_1(X)$$

for $p \equiv -1 \pmod{16}$ or $p \equiv -9 \pmod{16}$. Otherwise, we write $V_2(X) = G(X^2)$. Putting everything together, we complete the proof of the second statement. \square

Lemma 11. Let $S(X)$ be the generating polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ described in (3). We have in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_4[X]$

$$S(X) = \begin{cases} (X - 1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X)W_1(X), & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{16}, \\ \Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X)W_2(X), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}, \\ (X - 1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X)\Lambda_0(X)W_3(X), & \text{if } p \equiv -9 \pmod{16}, \\ \Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X)\Lambda_0(X)W_4(X), & \text{if } p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

where $W_i(\gamma^v) \neq 0, i = 1, 2$ for $v \in E_0 \cup E_1$ and $W_i(\gamma^v) = 0, i = 3, 4$ for $v \in E_1$.

Proof. Let $p \equiv -1 \pmod{16}$. By (6) we have

$$2(X^p + 1) = 2(X + 1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X) = 2(X - 1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X).$$

Then according to Lemma 10, we write

$$S(X) = (X - 1)\Gamma_0(X)H(X),$$

where

$$H(X) = U_1(X)(X^p - 1)/(X - 1) + \Lambda_0(X)V_1(X) + 2\Gamma_1(X).$$

We check that

$$H(\gamma^v) \begin{cases} = 0, & \text{if } v \in D_1, \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } v \in E_0, \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } v \in E_1. \end{cases}$$

For $v \in D_1$, we have $S(\gamma^v) = 0$ by Lemma 8. Since $(\gamma^v - 1)\Gamma_0(\gamma^v) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$, we have $H(\gamma^v) = 0$ by Lemma 1.

For $v \in E_0$, we have $((\gamma^v)^p - 1)/(\gamma^v - 1) = 0$ and $\Lambda_0(\gamma^v) = 0$, so that $H(\gamma^v) = 2\Gamma_1(\gamma^v) \neq 0$;

For $v \in E_1$, since $S(\gamma^v) = 2$ by Lemma 8, we have $H(\gamma^v) \neq 0$.

So we have by Lemma 1

$$H(X) = \Gamma_1(X)W_1(X)$$

for some $W_1(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ and $W_1(\gamma^v) \neq 0$ for $v \in E_0 \cup E_1$. Then we get the factorization of $S(X)$ for $p \equiv -1 \pmod{16}$.

Another assertions of this lemma can be proved in a similar way. \square

Theorem 2. Let $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ be the quaternary sequence over \mathbb{Z}_4 defined by Equation (1). Then the linear complexity of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{16}, \\ p + 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $p \equiv -1 \pmod{16}$. Since

$$S(X) = (X - 1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X)W_1(X)$$

by Lemma 11, together with Lemma 8(I) we have $W_1(\gamma^v) \neq 0$ for $v \in E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{p\}$. Then we see that

$$S(X)(X + 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{X^{2p} - 1}.$$

That is, $(X + 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)$ is a connection polynomial of degree p of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$. So the minimal degree of connection polynomials of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ is $\leq p$.

Let $C(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ be a connection polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$. Due to

$$\gcd((X - 1)\Gamma_0(X)\Gamma_1(X), (X + 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)) = 1,$$

we have

$$W_1(X)C(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{(X + 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)}$$

by Equations (2), (6), (7) and Lemma 11. So we deduce

$$W_1(\gamma^v)C(\gamma^v) = 0 \text{ for } v \in E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{p\}.$$

Since $W_1(\gamma^v) \neq 0$ for $v \in E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{p\}$, if $W_1(\gamma^v) \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ then we get $C(\gamma^v) = 0$, and if $W_1(\gamma^v) = 2\eta, \eta \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$, then we have either $C(\gamma^v) = 0$ or $C(\gamma^v) = 2$. I.e., $2C(\gamma^v) = 0$ for $v \in E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{p\}$.

By the definition of $C(X) = 1 + c_1X + \dots$, i.e., $2C(x)$ is non-constant, then by Lemma 1 we have that $2C(x)$ is divisible by $(X + 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)$, i.e., $\deg C(X) \geq p$ and hence $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = p$ for this case. We prove the first statement.

Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$. From that

$$S(X)(X^2 - 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{(X^{2p} - 1)},$$

we see that $(X^2 - 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)$ is a connection polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ of degree $p + 1$.

For any connection polynomial $C(X)$ of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$, a similar way presented above gives

$$W_2(X)C(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{(X^2 - 1)\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, denote by $s(X)$ and $c(X)$ the polynomials of degree < 2 such that

$$S(X) \equiv s(X) \pmod{X^2 - 1}$$

and

$$C(X) \equiv c(X) \pmod{X^2 - 1}.$$

As earlier, we can obtain that $c(X) \in \{0, 2, 2X, 2X + 2\}$, hence $2c(X) = 0$ and $2C(X) = (X^2 - 1)M(X)$ for some $M(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$. Since by Lemma 11 $W_2(\gamma^v) \neq 0$ for $v \in E_0 \cup E_1$, it follows that $2C(\gamma^v) = 0$ and $M(\gamma^v) = 0$ for $v \in E_0 \cup E_1$. Therefore, $M(X)$ is divisible by $\Lambda_0(X)\Lambda_1(X)$ by Lemma 1, i.e., $\deg C(X) \geq p + 1$ and hence $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = p + 1$ for this case. \square

Theorem 3. Let $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ be the quaternary sequence defined by Equation (1). Then the linear complexity of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = \begin{cases} (p + 1)/2, & \text{if } p \equiv -9 \pmod{16}, \\ (p + 3)/2, & \text{if } p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof can follow that of Theorem 2 in a similar way. Here we give a sketch.

Let $p \equiv -9 \pmod{16}$. On the one hand, $(X + 1)\Lambda_1(X)$ is a connection polynomial of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$ of degree $(p + 1)/2$ by Equation (2).

On the other hand, for any connection polynomial $C(X)$ of $(s_u)_{u \geq 0}$, we have

$$W_3(X)C(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{(X + 1)\Lambda_1(X)}.$$

Now since $W_3(\gamma^v) \neq 0$ for $v \in E_1 \cup \{p\}$, it follows that $2C(\gamma^v) = 0$ for $v \in \cup E_1 \cup \{p\}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2 again $2C(x)$ is divisible by $(X + 1)\Lambda_1(X)$, i.e., $\deg C(X) \geq (p + 1)/2$ and hence $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = (p + 1)/2$ for this case.

The case of $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ follows the way of $p \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ in Theorem 2 and we omit it. \square

3 Final Remarks and Conclusions

We determined the exact values of the linear complexity of $2p$ -periodic quaternary sequences over \mathbb{Z}_4 defined from the generalized cyclotomic classes modulo $2p$ by considering the factorization of the generating polynomial $S(X)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_4[X]$. It is more complicated to study this problem than that in finite fields. Besides the autocorrelation considered in [17], this is another cryptographic feature of the quaternary cyclotomic sequences of period $2p$.

A direct computing of the linear complexity has been done for $3 \leq p \leq 1000$ by the Berlekamp-Massey algorithm adapted by Reeds and Sloane in [20] for the residue class ring to confirm our theorems. Below we list some experimental data.

1. $p = 3$, $(s_u)_{u \geq 0} = (0, 0, 2, 2, 3, 1)$, then $C(X) = 1 + X + X^2 + X^3 + X^4 + X^5$ and $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 5 (= 2p - 1)$.
2. $p = 5$, $(s_u)_{u \geq 0} = (0, 0, 2, 1, 3, 2, 3, 1, 2, 0)$, then $C(X) = 1 + 3X^{10}$ and $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 10 (= 2p)$.
3. $p = 7$, $(s_u)_{u \geq 0} = (0, 0, 2, 1, 2, 1, 3, 2, 2, 0, 3, 0, 3, 1)$, then $C(X) = 1 + X^2 + X^3 + 3X^4$ and $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 4 (= (p + 1)/2)$.
4. $p = 17$, $C(X) = 1 + X + 3X^{17} + 3X^{18}$, $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 18 (= p + 1)$.
5. $p = 31$, $C(X) = 1 + 3X^{31}$, $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 31 (= p)$.
6. $p = 41$, $C(X) = 1 + 2X^2 + 3X^3 + 2X^5 + 2X^6 + 3X^7 + 3X^8 + 3X^9 + X^{10} + 2X^{11} + 3X^{12} + X^{13} + X^{14} + X^{15} + 2X^{16} + 2X^{17} + X^{19} + 2X^{20} + 3X^{22}$, $LC((s_u)_{u \geq 0}) = 22 (= (p + 3)/2)$.

We hope that the procedures in this paper used to derive the linear complexity can be extended to quaternary cyclotomic sequences with larger period (for example, $2p^n$).

We finally remark that it is interesting to consider the k -error linear complexity of the sequences in this work.

From [18], it is also possible to use the sequences to define quaternary interleaved sequences of larger period.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the referees for their valuable comments and to thank Prof. Xiaoni Du for many suggestions. Parts of this work were written during a very pleasant visit of Z. Chen to the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology in Feb., 2016. He wishes to thank Prof. Cunsheng Ding for the hospitality and financial support.

Z.X.C. was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No. 61373140, the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province under grant No.2015J01662 and the Foundation of Putian Univ. under grant No. 2016074.

V.A.E. was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of Russia as a part of state-sponsored project No. 1.949.2014/K.

References

- [1] Z. L. Chang, D. D. Li, "On the linear complexity of quaternary cyclotomic sequences with the period $2pq$," *IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences*, vol. E97-A, no. 2, pp. 679–684, 2014.
- [2] Z. X. Chen, "Linear complexity and trace representation of quaternary sequences over \mathbb{Z}_4 based on generalized cyclotomic classes modulo pq ," *Cryptography Communications*, 2016. (DOI 10.1007/s12095-016-0185-6)
- [3] T. W. Cusick, C. Ding, A. Renvall, *Stream Ciphers and Number Theory*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [4] C. Ding, T. Hellesest, H. Martinsen, "New families of binary sequences with optimal three-level autocorrelation," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 428–433, 2001.
- [5] X. N. Du, Z. X. Chen, "Linear complexity of quaternary sequences generated using generalized cyclotomic classes modulo $2p$," *IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences*, vol. E94-A, no. 5, pp. 1214–1217, 2011.
- [6] V. A. Edemskiy, "On the linear complexity of binary sequences on the basis of biquadratic and sextic residue classes," *Discrete Mathematics and Applications*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 75–84, 2010.
- [7] V. A. Edemskiy, O. Antonova, "The linear complexity of generalized cyclotomic sequences with period $2p^n$," *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 213–223, 2014.
- [8] V. A. Edemskiy, A. Ivanov, "Autocorrelation and linear complexity of quaternary sequences of period $2p$ based on cyclotomic classes of order four," in *Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT'13)*, pp. 3120–3124, Istanbul, Turkey, 2013.
- [9] V. A. Edemskiy, A. Ivanov, "Linear complexity of quaternary sequences of length pq with low autocorrelation," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 259, pp. 555–560, 2014.
- [10] V. A. Edemskiy, A. Ivanov, "The linear complexity of balanced quaternary sequences with optimal autocorrelation value," *Cryptography and Communications*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 485–496, 2015.
- [11] V. A. Edemskiy, A. Palvinskiy, "The linear complexity of binary sequences of length $2p$ with optimal three-level autocorrelation," *Information Processing Letters*, vol. 116, no. 2, pp. 153–156, 2016.
- [12] S. W. Golomb, G. Gong, *Signal Design for Good Correlation: For Wireless Communications, Cryptography and Radar Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [13] M. Hall, *Combinatorial Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1975.
- [14] K. Ireland, M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Springer, 1982.
- [15] P. Ke, Z. Yang, J. Zhang, "On the autocorrelation and linear complexity of some $2p$ periodic quaternary cyclotomic sequences over \mathbb{F}_4 ," *IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences*, vol. EA94-A, no. 11, pp. 2472–2477, 2011.
- [16] P. Ke, S. Zhang, "New classes of quaternary cyclotomic sequence of length $2p^m$ with high linear complexity," *Information Processing Letters*, vol. 112, pp. 646–650, 2012.
- [17] Y.-J. Kim, Y.-P. Hong, H. Y. Song, "Autocorrelation of some quaternary cyclotomic sequences of length $2p$," *IEICE Transactions on Fundamentals of Electronics, Communications and Computer Sciences*, vol. E91-A, no. 12, pp. 3679–3684, 2008.
- [18] X. Ma, T. J. Yan, D. D. Zhang, Y. Y. Liu, "Linear complexity of some binary interleaved sequences of period $4N$," *International Journal of Network Security*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 244–249, 2016.
- [19] B. R. McDonald, *Finite Rings With Identity*, New York. Marcel Dekker, 1974.
- [20] J. A. Reeds, N. J. A. Sloane, "Shift-register synthesis modulo m ," *SIAM Journal on Computing*, vol. 14, pp. 505–513, 1985.
- [21] P. Udaya, M. U. Siddiqi, "Optimal biphasic sequences with large linear complexity derived from sequences over \mathbb{Z}_4 ," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 42, pp. 206–216, 1996.
- [22] P. Udaya, M. U. Siddiqi, "Optimal and suboptimal quadriphase sequences derived from maximal length sequences over \mathbb{Z}_4 ," *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*, vol. 9, pp. 161–191, 1998.

[23] P. Udaya, M. U. Siddiqi, "Generalized GMW quadriphase sequences satisfying the Welch bound with equality," *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*, vol. 10, pp. 203-225, 2000.

[24] Z. X. Wan, *Finite Fields and Galois Rings*, Singapore, World Scientific Publisher, 2003.

[25] C. H. Wu, "Trace representation of cyclotomic generator of order r ," *International Journal of Computer Mathematics: Computer Systems Theory*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 3-13, 2016.

[26] J. Zhang, C. A. Zhao, "The linear complexity of a class of binary sequences with period $2p$," *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 475-491, 2015.

$Q(X)(X^p + 1)/(X + 1)$, $Q(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ and $2Q(X) \neq 0$. Since $P(-1) = 2$ it follows that $Q(-1) = 2$ and $Q(X) = (X + 1)F(X) + 2$, $F(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ or

$$P(X) = (X^p + 1)F(X) + 2(X^p + 1)/(X + 1).$$

From the last equality and conditions of this lemma we obtain $2F(\gamma^v) = 0$ for $v \in E_0 \cup E_1 \cup \{0\}$, therefore $2F(x)$ is divisible by $x^p - 1$ and $\deg P(X) \geq 2p$.

Remark 1. The polynomial $P(X)$ is not obliged to be divisible by $X^{2p} - 1$ when $P(\gamma^j) = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2p - 1$. For example, $P(X) = X^{2p} - 1 + 2(X^p + 1)$.

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 1.

It is well known that if $\xi \in GR(4^r, 4)$ is a root of the polynomial $P(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_4[X]$ then $P(X) = (X - \xi)Q_1(X)$ for some polynomial $Q_1(X) \in GR(4^r, 4)[X]$. Let η be another root of $P(X)$ and $\xi - \eta \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$, then we have $(\xi - \eta)Q_1(\eta) = 0$, i.e., $Q_1(\eta) = 0$. So, $Q_1(X) = (X - \eta)Q_2(X)$ holds for some polynomial $Q_2(X) \in GR(4^r, 4)[X]$ and we derive $P(X) = (X - \xi)(X - \eta)Q_2(X)$.

Proof of Lemma 2.

(I). By the choice of γ we have an expansion $(X^p - 1)/(X - 1) = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (X - \gamma^{2j})$, hence $p = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (1 - \gamma^j)(1 + \gamma^j)$. So, $\gamma^j - \gamma^n \in GR^*(4^r, 4)$ when $j, n \in \{0, \dots, 2p - 1\}$ and $j \not\equiv n \pmod{p}$. Therefore, if $P(\gamma^j) = 0$ for all $j \in D_i$ or for all $j \in E_i, i = 0, 1$, then $P(X)$ is divisible by $\prod_{j \in D_i} (X - \gamma^j)$ or $\prod_{j \in E_i} (X - \gamma^j)$ by Lemma 1. The first assertion of Lemma 2 is proved.

(II). This assertion follows from (I).

(III). We consider two cases.

Let $P(1) = 0$ or $P(-1) = 0$. Suppose $P(-1) = 0$, in this case by (II) we have that

$$P(X) = (X^p + 1)P_3(X)$$

and $2P_3(X) \neq 0$ since $P(0) = 1$. From the equality $P(X) = (X^p + 1)P_3(X)$ for $X = \gamma^v$, $v \in E_0 \cup E_1$ we deduce $2P_3(\gamma^v) = 0$, therefore $2P_3(X)$ is divisible by $(X^p - 1)/(X - 1)$ and $\deg P(X) \geq 2p - 1$. Furthermore, if $P(1) = 0$ then $2P_3(X)$ is divisible by $(X^p - 1)$ and $\deg P(X) \geq 2p$.

Let $P(1) \neq 0$ and $P(-1) \neq 0$. Then, we derive $P(1) = 2$, $P(-1) = 2$ and $P(\gamma^j) = 0$ for all $j \in D_0 \cup D_1$. By (I) we have $P(X) =$

Biography

Zhixiong Chen was born in 1972. He received the M.S degree in Mathematics from Fujian Normal University in 1999 and Ph.D. degree in Cryptography from Xidian University in 2006, respectively. Now he is a professor of Putian University. He worked as a visiting scholar supervised by Prof. Arne Winterhof in Austrian Academy of Sciences (Linz) in 2013 and by Prof. Andrew Klapper in University of Kentucky (Lexington) during 2014-2015, respectively. His research interests include stream cipher, elliptic curve cryptography and digital signatures.

Vladimir Edemskiy finished Leningrad University in Mathematics and he received the Ph.D. degree in Algebra and Number Theory from Leningrad University in 1990. In 2010 he received the D. Sc. degree from Novgorod State University. Now he is a professor of Novgorod State University. His research interests include pseudorandom sequences, design sequences and cryptography.