

On the average number of unitary factors of finite abelian groups

by

J. WU (Nancy)

1. Introduction. Let \mathbb{X} be the semigroup of all finite abelian groups with respect to the direct product \otimes and let \mathcal{E}_0 be the identity of \mathbb{X} . For $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{X}$ and $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{X}$, we use $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$ to denote the group of maximal order in \mathbb{X} which is simultaneously a direct factor of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} . We say that \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are *relatively prime* if $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{E}_0$. A direct factor \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{G} is called *unitary* if $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{G}$ and $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E}_0$. The number of unitary factors of \mathcal{G} is denoted by $t(\mathcal{G})$. In 1960, Cohen [2] proved

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{|\mathcal{G}| \leq x} t(\mathcal{G}) = A_1 x \log x + A_2 x + O(\sqrt{x} \log x),$$

where the summation is over all \mathcal{G} in \mathbb{X} of order $|\mathcal{G}| \leq x$ and the A_j are some effective constants. After a study on Dirichlet's series associated with $t(\mathcal{G})$, Krätzel [7] found a connection between (1.1) and the following three-dimensional divisor problem:

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n_1 n_2 n_3^2 \leq x} 1 = B_1 x \log x + B_2 x + B_3 \sqrt{x} + \Delta(1, 1, 2; x),$$

where the B_j are some effective constants and $\Delta(1, 1, 2; x)$ is an error term. Using exponential sum techniques, he showed that $\Delta(1, 1, 2; x) \ll x^{11/29}(\log x)^2$, which implies

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{|\mathcal{G}| \leq x} t(\mathcal{G}) = A_1 x \log x + A_2 x + A_3 \sqrt{x} + \Delta(x)$$

with $\Delta(x) \ll x^{11/29}(\log x)^2$. This estimate was improved to $\Delta(x) \ll x^{3/8}(\log x)^4$ by Schmidt [11], then to $\Delta(x) \ll_{\varepsilon} x^{77/208+\varepsilon}$ by Liu [9] and to $\Delta(x) \ll_{\varepsilon} x^{29/80+\varepsilon}$ by Liu [10], where ε denotes an arbitrarily small positive number.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 11L07, 11N45.

In this paper, we give a better bound.

THEOREM 1. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\Delta(1, 1, 2; x) \ll_{\varepsilon} x^{47/131+\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(x) \ll_{\varepsilon} x^{47/131+\varepsilon}.$$

For comparison, we have $29/80 = 0.3625$ and $47/131 \approx 0.3587$. From [10], we know that in the proof of Theorem 1 the most difficult part is to estimate the exponential sums of type

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{h \sim H} a_h \sum_{n_1 \sim N_1} \sum_{n_2 \sim N_2} e(xh/(n_1^2 n_2)),$$

where $|a_h| \leq 1$, $e(t) := e^{2\pi i t}$ and the notation $h \sim H$ means $cH < h \leq c'H$ with some positive unspecified constants c, c' . Liu [10] has treated (1.4) by combining Fouvry–Iwaniec’s method [3] and Kolesnik’s method [6].

We notice that via van der Corput’s B -process the sum (1.4) can be transformed into bilinear exponential sums of type I,

$$T(M, N) := \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \in I(m)} \varphi_m e\left(X \frac{m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}}{M^{\alpha} N^{\beta}}\right),$$

where $I(m)$ is a subinterval of $[N, 2N]$. Using the classical A, B process and the well known AB theorem of Kolesnik (see Theorem 1 of [6] and Lemma 1.5 of [8]) we shall prove an estimate for $T(M, N)$ (see Theorem 3 below). In addition we also use an idea of Jia ([5], Lemma 13) and Liu ([8], Lemma 2.4) to investigate bilinear exponential sums of type II,

$$S(M, N) := \sum_{m \sim M} \sum_{n \sim N} \varphi_m \psi_n e\left(X \frac{m^{\alpha} n^{\beta}}{M^{\alpha} N^{\beta}}\right).$$

Baker and Harman have simplified Jia–Liu’s argument to obtain a slightly more general estimate for $S(M, N)$ (see Theorem 2 of [1]) than those of Jia and Liu. But all such results contain some restrictions on (X, M, N) and the number of terms is relatively large; this is not convenient in applications. Our result (see Theorem 2 below) essentially has the same power as their estimates, but it is without restriction, more general and simpler in form. Finally, it is worth indicating that we also need Theorem 7 of [12] and Lemma 2.3 of [13] for the proof of Theorem 1.

2. Estimates for exponential sums. We first prove two estimates for $S = S(M, N)$, defined as in Section 1. In the sequel, the letter ε_0 denotes a suitably small positive number (depending on α, β and α_j at most).

THEOREM 2. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha\beta(\alpha - 1)(\beta - 1) \neq 0$, $X > 0$, $M \geq 1$, $N \geq 1$, $|\varphi_m| \leq 1$, $|\psi_n| \leq 1$ and $\mathcal{L} := \log(2 + XMN)$. If (κ, λ) is an exponent pair, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad S(M, N) &\ll \{(X^{2+4\kappa} M^{8+10\kappa} N^{9+11\kappa+\lambda})^{1/(12+16\kappa)} \\
&\quad + X^{1/6} M^{2/3} N^{3/4+\lambda/(12+12\kappa)} \\
&\quad + (XM^3 N^4)^{1/5} + (XM^7 N^{10})^{1/11} \\
&\quad + M^{2/3} N^{11/12+\lambda/(12+12\kappa)} \\
&\quad + MN^{1/2} + (X^{-1} M^{14} N^{23})^{1/22} + X^{-1/2} MN\} \mathcal{L}^2, \\
(2.2) \quad S(M, N) &\ll \{(XM^3 N^4)^{1/5} + (X^4 M^{10} N^{11})^{1/16} + (XM^7 N^{10})^{1/11} \\
&\quad + MN^{1/2} + (X^{-1} M^{14} N^{23})^{1/22} + X^{-1/2} MN\} \mathcal{L}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We begin in the same way as Jia [5], Liu [8], Baker and Harman [1]. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $\beta > 0$ and \mathcal{L} is sufficiently large. Let $Q \in [\mathcal{L}, N/\mathcal{L}]$ be a parameter to be chosen later. By Cauchy's inequality and a "Weyl shift" ([4], Lemma 2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|S|^2 &\ll \frac{(MN)^2}{Q} \\
&\quad + \frac{M^{3/2} N}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq |q_1| < Q} \left(1 - \frac{|q_1|}{Q}\right) \sum_{n+q_1, n \sim N} \psi_{n+q_1} \bar{\psi}_n \sum_{m \sim M} m^{-1/2} e(Am^\alpha t),
\end{aligned}$$

where $t = t(n, q_1) := (n+q_1)^\beta - n^\beta$ and $A := X/(M^\alpha N^\beta)$. Splitting the range of q_1 into dyadic intervals and removing $1 - q_1/Q$ by partial summation, we get

$$(2.3) \quad |S|^2 \ll (MN)^2 Q^{-1} + \mathcal{L} M^{3/2} N Q^{-1} \max_{1 \leq Q_1 \leq Q} |S(Q_1)|,$$

where

$$S(Q_1) := \sum_{q_1 \sim Q_1} \sum_{n+q_1, n \sim N} \psi_{n+q_1} \bar{\psi}_n \sum_{m \sim M} m^{-1/2} e(Am^\alpha t).$$

If $X(MN)^{-1} Q_1 \geq \varepsilon_0$, by Lemma 2.2 of [12] we can transform the innermost sum to a sum over l and then using Lemma 2.3 of [12] with $n = m$ we can estimate the corresponding error term. As a result, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S(Q_1) &\ll \sum_{q_1 \sim Q_1} \sum_{n+q_1, n \sim N} \psi_{n+q_1} \bar{\psi}_n \sum_{l \in I} l^{-1/2} e(\tilde{\alpha}(At)^\gamma l^{1-\gamma}) \\
&\quad + \{(XM^{-1} N^{-1} Q_1^3)^{1/2} + M^{-1/2} N Q_1 \\
&\quad + (X^{-1} M N Q_1)^{1/2} + (X^{-2} M N^4)^{1/2}\} \mathcal{L},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma := 1/(1-\alpha)$, $\tilde{\alpha} = |1-\alpha| \cdot |\alpha|^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)}$, $I := [c_1 A M^{\alpha-1} |t|, c_2 A M^{\alpha-1} |t|]$ and $c_j = c_j(\alpha)$ are some constants. Exchanging the order of summation and estimating the sum over l trivially, we find, for some $l \asymp X(MN)^{-1} Q_1$, the

inequality

$$(2.4) \quad S(Q_1) \ll (XM^{-1}N^{-1}Q_1)^{1/2} \left| \sum_{(n,q_1) \in \mathbf{D}_1(l)} \psi_{n+q_1} \bar{\psi}_n e(\tilde{\alpha}(At)^\gamma l^{1-\gamma}) \right| \\ + \{(XM^{-1}N^{-1}Q_1^3)^{1/2} + M^{-1/2}NQ_1 \\ + (X^{-1}MNQ_1)^{1/2} + (X^{-2}MN^4)^{1/2}\} \mathcal{L},$$

where $\mathbf{D}_1(l)$ is a suitable subregion of $\{(n, q_1) : n \sim N, q_1 \sim Q_1\}$. Let $S_1(Q_1)$ be the double sums on the right-hand side of (2.4). Using Lemma 2.6 of [12] to relax the range of q_1 , we see that there exists a real number θ independent of (n, q_1) such that

$$S_1(Q_1) \ll \mathcal{L} \sum_{n \sim N} \left| \sum_{q_1 \sim Q_1} \psi_{n+q_1} e(\theta q_1) e(\tilde{\alpha}(At)^\gamma l^{1-\gamma}) \right|.$$

If $\mathcal{L} \leq Q_1 \leq Q$, using again Cauchy's inequality and a "Weyl shift" with $Q_2 \leq \varepsilon_0 \sqrt{Q_1}$ yields

$$|S_1(Q_1)/\mathcal{L}|^2 \ll (NQ_1)^2 Q_2^{-1} + NQ_1 Q_2^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq q_2 \leq Q_2} |S_2(q_1, q_2)|,$$

where

$$S_2(q_1, q_2) := \sum_{n \sim N} \sum_{q_1+q_2, q_1 \sim Q_1} \psi_{n+q_1+q_2} \bar{\psi}_{n+q_1} e(t_1(n, q_1, q_2))$$

and $t_1(n, q_1, q_2) := \tilde{\alpha} A^\gamma l^{1-\gamma} \{t(n, q_1+q_2)^\gamma - t(n, q_1)^\gamma\}$. Writing $n' := n+q_1$, exchanging the order of summation and using Lemma 2.6 of [12], we can deduce

$$S_2(q_1, q_2) = \sum_{(n', q_1) \in \mathbf{D}_2} \sum_{q_2} \psi_{n'+q_2} \bar{\psi}_{n'} e(t_1(n' - q_1, q_1, q_2)) \\ \ll \mathcal{L} \sum_{n' \sim N} \left| \sum_{q_1 \sim Q_1} e(\theta' q_1) e(T(n', q_1, q_2)) \right|,$$

where $T(n', q_1, q_2) := t_1(n' - q_1, q_1, q_2)$, \mathbf{D}_2 is a suitable subregion of $\{(n', q_1) : n' \sim N, q_1 \sim Q_1\}$ and θ' is a real number independent of (n', q_1) . A final application of Cauchy's inequality and a "Weyl shift" with $Q_3 = Q_2^2$ gives

$$|S_2(q_1, q_2)/\mathcal{L}|^2 \ll (NQ_1)^2 Q_3^{-1} + NQ_1 Q_3^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq q_3 \leq Q_3} \sum_{q_1 \sim Q_1} |S_3(q_1, q_2, q_3)|,$$

where $S_3(q_1, q_2, q_3) := \sum_{n' \sim N} e(f(n'))$ and $f(n') := T(n', q_1, q_2) - T(n', q_1 + q_3, q_2)$. It is easy to show that $f(n')$ satisfies the conditions of exponent pair and $f'(n') \asymp XN^{-2}Q_1^{-1}q_2q_3$ ($n' \sim N$). Hence we have

$$S_3(q_1, q_2, q_3) \ll (XN^{-2}Q_1^{-1}q_2q_3)^\kappa N^\lambda + (XN^{-2}Q_1^{-1}q_2q_3)^{-1},$$

which implies

$$S_1(Q_1) \ll \{(X^\kappa N^{3-2\kappa+\lambda} Q_1^{4-\kappa} Q_2^{3\kappa})^{1/4} + NQ_1 Q_2^{-1/2} + (X^{-1} N^5 Q_1^5 Q_2^{-3})^{1/4}\} \mathcal{L}^{7/4}$$

provided $Q_1 \geq \mathcal{L}$, $Q_2 \leq \varepsilon_0 \sqrt{Q_1}$. By Lemma 2.4(ii) of [12] optimizing Q_2 over $(0, \varepsilon_0 \sqrt{Q_1}]$ yields

$$S_1(Q_1) \ll \{(X^\kappa N^{3+4\kappa+\lambda} Q_1^{4+5\kappa})^{1/(4+6\kappa)} + N^{3/4+\lambda/(4+4\kappa)} Q_1 + NQ_1^{3/4} + (X^{-2} N^{10} Q_1^7)^{1/8}\} \mathcal{L}^{7/4}$$

provided $Q_1 \geq \mathcal{L}$. In view of the term $NQ_1^{3/4} \mathcal{L}^{7/4}$, this inequality holds trivially when $Q_1 \leq \mathcal{L}$. Inserting the preceding estimate in (2.4) yields, for any $Q_1 \in [1, Q]$,

$$\begin{aligned} S(Q_1) &\ll \{(X^{2+4\kappa} M^{-2-3\kappa} N^{1+\kappa+\lambda} Q_1^{6+8\kappa})^{1/(4+6\kappa)} \\ &\quad + X^{1/2} M^{-1/2} N^{1/4+\lambda/(4+4\kappa)} Q_1^{3/2} \\ &\quad + (X^2 M^{-2} N^2 Q_1^5)^{1/4} + (X^2 M^{-4} N^6 Q_1^{11})^{1/8} + (X M^{-1} N^{-1} Q_1^3)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + M^{-1/2} N Q_1 + (X^{-1} M N Q_1)^{1/2} + (X^{-2} M N^4)^{1/2}\} \mathcal{L}^{7/4} \\ &=: (E_1 + E_2 + \dots + E_8) \mathcal{L}^{7/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E_5 \leq E_3$ and $E_6 = (E_4^4 E_8)^{1/5} (M^2 Q_1)^{-1/10}$, both E_5 and E_6 are superfluous. Replacing Q_1 by Q and inserting the bound obtained in (2.3), we find, for any $Q \in [\mathcal{L}, N/\mathcal{L}]$,

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} S &\ll \{(X^{2+4\kappa} M^{4+6\kappa} N^{5+7\kappa+\lambda} Q^{2+2\kappa})^{1/(8+12\kappa)} \\ &\quad + X^{1/4} M^{1/2} N^{5/8+\lambda/(8+8\kappa)} Q^{1/4} \\ &\quad + (X^2 M^4 N^6 Q)^{1/8} + (X^2 M^8 N^{14} Q^3)^{1/16} \\ &\quad + M N Q^{-1/2} + (X^{-1} M^2 N^3 Q^{-1})^{1/2}\} \mathcal{L}^{11/8}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $(X^{-1} M^4 N^3 Q^{-1})^{1/4}$ can be absorbed by $M N Q^{-1/2}$. In view of $M N Q^{-1/2}$, the preceding estimate holds trivially when $Q \in (0, \mathcal{L}]$.

If $X(MN)^{-1} Q_1 \leq \varepsilon_0$, we can remove $m^{-1/2}$ by partial summation and then estimate the sum over m by Kuz'min–Landau's inequality ([4], Theorem 2.1). Hence we see that (2.5) always holds for $0 < Q \leq N/\mathcal{L}$. Using Lemma 2.4(ii) of [12] to optimize Q over $(0, N/\mathcal{L}]$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} S &\ll \{(X^{2+4\kappa} M^{8+10\kappa} N^{9+11\kappa+\lambda})^{1/(12+16\kappa)} \\ &\quad + (X^{2\kappa} M^{8+10\kappa} N^{11+13\kappa+\lambda})^{1/(12+16\kappa)} \\ &\quad + X^{1/6} M^{2/3} N^{3/4+\lambda/(12+12\kappa)} \\ &\quad + M^{2/3} N^{11/12+\lambda/(12+12\kappa)} + (X M^3 N^4)^{1/5} \\ &\quad + (X M^6 N^9)^{1/10} + (X M^7 N^{10})^{1/11} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (X^{-1}M^{14}N^{23})^{1/22} + MN^{1/2} + X^{-1/2}MN\} \mathcal{L}^2 \\
& =: (F_1 + F_2 + \dots + F_{10}) \mathcal{L}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$F_2 = (F_4^{6+3\kappa} F_5^{5\kappa})^{1/(6+8\kappa)} N^{-\kappa(1+\kappa-\lambda)/((4+4\kappa)(6+8\kappa))}$$

and $F_6 = (F_5^{16} F_8^{11})^{1/27} M^{-2/135}$, they are both superfluous. This proves (2.1).

To prove (2.2), we take $Q_2 = \varepsilon_0 \min\{\sqrt{Q_1}, (X^{-1}N^2Q_1)^{1/3}\}$ such that $|f'(n')| \leq 1/2$ for $n' \sim N$. Thus Kuz'min–Landau's inequality gives $S_3(q_1, q_2, q_3) \ll (XN^{-2}Q_1^{-1}q_2q_3)^{-1}$, from which we can deduce, as before, the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
S & \ll \{(XM^3N^4)^{1/5} + (XM^6N^9)^{1/10} + (X^4M^{10}N^{11})^{1/16} \\
& + (X^2M^{10}N^{13})^{1/16} + (XM^7N^{10})^{1/11} + (X^{-1}M^{14}N^{23})^{1/22} \\
& + MN^{1/2} + X^{-1/2}MN + M^{1/2}N\} \mathcal{L}^2 \\
& =: (G_1 + G_2 + \dots + G_9) \mathcal{L}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to verify that $G_2 = (G_1^{16}G_6^{11})^{1/27}M^{-2/135}$, $G_4 = (G_3^{15}G_6^{11})^{1/26}(M^2N^{11})^{-1/416}$, $G_9 = (G_5G_6^2)^{1/3}M^{-3/22}$. Thus G_2, G_4, G_9 are superfluous. This completes the proof. ■

For $T = T(M, N)$ defined as in Section 1, we have the following result.

THEOREM 3. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha\beta(\alpha-1)(\beta-1)(\alpha+\beta-1)(2\alpha+\beta-2) \neq 0$, $X > 0$, $M \geq 1$, $N \geq 1$, $\mathcal{L} := \log(2 + XMN)$, $|\varphi_m| \leq 1$ and $I(m)$ be a subinterval of $[N, 2N]$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
T(M, N) & \ll \{(X^5M^{10}N^8)^{1/16} + (X^3M^{10}N^{12})^{1/16} + (XM^2N^3)^{1/4} \\
& + (X^3M^{14}N^{18})^{1/22} + (XM^6N^9)^{1/10} + (X^7M^{30}N^{24})^{1/40} \\
& + (XM^5N^5)^{1/7} + MN^{1/2} + X^{-1}MN\} \mathcal{L}^3.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $X \leq \varepsilon_0 N$, then $T \ll X^{-1}MN$ by Kuz'min–Landau's inequality. When $X \geq \varepsilon_0 N$, using (2.3) with $\psi_n = 1$, we have, for any $1 \leq Q \leq \varepsilon_0 N$,

$$(2.6) \quad |T|^2 \ll (MN)^2 Q^{-1} + \mathcal{L} M^{3/2} N Q^{-1} \max_{1 \leq Q_1 \leq Q} |T(Q_1)|,$$

where

$$T(Q_1) := \sum_{q \sim Q_1} \sum_{n \in I_1(q)} \sum_{m \in J(n, q)} m^{-1/2} e\left(X \frac{m^{\alpha} t(n, q)}{M^{\alpha} N^{\beta}}\right),$$

$t(n, q) := (n + q)^{\beta} - n^{\beta}$, $I_1(q)$ is a subinterval of $[N, 2N]$ and $J(n, q)$ a subinterval of $[M, 2M]$.

If $L := X(MN)^{-1}Q_1 \geq \varepsilon_0$, similarly to (2.4), we can prove, for some $l \asymp L$,

$$\begin{aligned} T(Q_1) &\ll (XM^{-1}N^{-1}Q_1)^{1/2} \left| \sum_{(n,q) \in \mathbf{D}(l)} e(f(n,q)) \right| \\ &\quad + \{M^{-1/2}NQ_1 + (XM^{-1}N^{-1}Q_1^3)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + (X^{-2}MN^4)^{1/2} + (X^{-1}MNQ_1)^{1/2}\} \mathcal{L}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(n,q) := \tilde{\alpha}(XQ_1/N)(l/L)^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)} \{t(n,q)/(N^{\beta-1}Q_1)\}^{1/(1-\alpha)}$ and $\mathbf{D}(l)$ is a suitable subregion of $\{(n,q) : n \sim N, q \sim Q_1\}$. It is easy to show that $f(n,q)$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 1.5 of [8] (which is a revised form of Theorem 1 of Kolesnik [6]) with $A = XN^{-1}Q_1/(N^{\beta-1}Q_1)^{1/(1-\alpha)}$, $\Delta = Q_1/N$. By this lemma with $(F, X, Y) = (XN^{-1}Q_1, N, Q_1)$, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad T(Q_1) &\ll \{(X^5M^{-3}N^{-2}Q_1^8)^{1/6} + (X^3M^{-3}N^2Q_1^8)^{1/6} \\ &\quad + (XM^{-1}NQ_1^2)^{1/2} + (X^3M^{-4}N^4Q_1^{11})^{1/8} \\ &\quad + (XM^{-2}N^3Q_1^5)^{1/4} + (X^7M^{-5}N^{-6}Q_1^{20})^{1/10} \\ &\quad + (X^2M^{-2}Q_1^7)^{1/4} + (X^{-2}MN^4)^{1/2} + (X^{-1}MNQ_1)^{1/2}\} \mathcal{L}^4, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $M^{-1/2}NQ_1 + (XM^{-1}N^{-1}Q_1^3)^{1/2}$ can be absorbed by $(XM^{-2}N^3Q_1^5)^{1/4} + (X^2M^{-2}Q_1^7)^{1/4}$ (in view of the hypothesis $X \geq \varepsilon_0 N$).

If $L \leq \varepsilon_0$, the Kuz'min–Landau inequality implies that (2.7) also holds. Replacing Q_1 by Q and inserting into (2.6) yield

$$\begin{aligned} |T|^2 &\ll \{(X^5M^6N^4Q^2)^{1/6} + (X^3M^6N^8Q^2)^{1/6} + (XM^2N^3)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + (X^3M^8N^{12}Q^3)^{1/8} + (XM^4N^7Q)^{1/4} + (X^7M^{10}N^4Q^{10})^{1/10} \\ &\quad + (X^2M^4N^4Q^3)^{1/4} + (MN)^2Q^{-1}\} \mathcal{L}^5, \end{aligned}$$

where we have eliminated two superfluous terms $X^{-1}M^2N^3Q^{-1}$ and $(X^{-1}M^4N^3Q^{-1})^{1/2}$ (which can be absorbed by $(MN)^2Q^{-1}$). Using Lemma 2.4(ii) of [12] to optimize Q over $(0, \varepsilon_0 N]$ gives the required result. This concludes the proof. ■

Next we shall apply Theorems 2 and 3 to treat

$$\begin{aligned} S_I &:= \sum_{m_1 \sim M_1} \sum_{m_2 \sim M_2} \sum_{m_3 \sim M_3} \psi_{m_2} e\left(X \frac{m_1^{\alpha_1} m_2^{\alpha_2} m_3^{-\alpha_2}}{M_1^{\alpha_1} M_2^{\alpha_2} M_3^{-\alpha_2}}\right), \\ S_{II} &:= \sum_{m_1 \sim M_1} \sum_{m_2 \sim M_2} \sum_{m_3 \sim M_3} \varphi_{m_1} \psi_{m_2} e\left(X \frac{m_1^{\alpha_1} m_2^{\alpha_2} m_3^{-\alpha_2}}{M_1^{\alpha_1} M_2^{\alpha_2} M_3^{-\alpha_2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which are general forms of (1.4). The following results will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. Let $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha_1\alpha_2(\alpha_2+1)(\alpha_1-j\alpha_2-j) \neq 0$ ($j = 1, 2$), $X > 0$, $M_j \geq 1$, $|\varphi_{m_1}| \leq 1$, $|\psi_{m_2}| \leq 1$ and let $Y := 2 + XM_1M_2M_3$. If (κ, λ) is an exponent pair, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{II} &\ll \{(X^{4+6\kappa}M_1^{9+11\kappa+\lambda}M_2^{8+10\kappa}M_3^{4+6\kappa})^{1/(12+16\kappa)} \\ &\quad + X^{1/3}M_1^{3/4+\lambda/(12+12\kappa)}M_2^{2/3}M_3^{1/3} + (X^3M_1^8M_2^6M_3^4)^{1/10} \\ &\quad + (X^5M_1^{20}M_2^{14}M_3^8)^{1/22} + X^{1/6}M_1^{11/12+\lambda/(12+12\kappa)}M_2^{2/3}M_3^{1/3} \\ &\quad + (XM_1M_2^2)^{1/2} + (X^2M_1^{23}M_2^{14}M_3^8)^{1/22} + M_1M_2 \\ &\quad + X^{-1/2}M_2M_3 + X^{-1}M_1M_2M_3\}Y^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $X \geq M_3 \geq M_1$, then

$$(2.8) \quad S_{II} \ll \{(X^{186}M_1^{407}M_2^{350}M_3^{186})^{1/536} \\ + (X^{164}M_1^{385}M_2^{328}M_3^{164})^{1/492} + (X^3M_1^8M_2^6M_3^4)^{1/10} \\ + (X^5M_1^{20}M_2^{14}M_3^8)^{1/22} + (XM_1M_2^2)^{1/2}\}Y^\varepsilon,$$

$$(2.9) \quad S_{II} \ll \{(X^{13}M_1^{15}M_2^{22}M_3^4)^{1/26} + (X^2M_1^2M_2^3M_3)^{1/4} \\ + (X^9M_1^{11}M_2^{18})^{1/18} + (XM_1^4M_2^3M_3)^{1/4}\}Y^\varepsilon.$$

Proof. If $M'_3 := X/M_3 \leq \varepsilon_0$, the Kuz'min–Landau inequality implies

$$S_{II} \ll X^{-1}M_1M_2M_3.$$

Next we suppose $M'_3 \geq \varepsilon_0$. As before, using Lemma 2.2 of [12] to the sum over m_3 and estimating the corresponding error term by Lemma 2.3 there with $n = m_1$, we obtain

$$S_{II} \ll X^{-1/2}M_3S + (X^{1/2}M_2 + M_1M_2 + X^{-1/2}M_2M_3 + X^{-1}M_1M_2M_3) \log Y,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S &:= \sum_{m_1 \sim M_1} \sum_{m_2 \sim M_2} \sum_{m'_3 \sim M'_3} \tilde{\varphi}_{m_1} \tilde{\psi}_{m_2} \tilde{\xi}_{m'_3} e\left(\tilde{\alpha}_2 X \frac{m_1^{\beta_1} m_2^{\beta_2} m_3'^{\beta_2}}{M_1^{\beta_1} M_2^{\beta_2} M_3'^{\beta_2}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{m_1 \sim M_1} \sum_{m'_2 \sim M'_2} \tilde{\varphi}_{m_1} \tilde{\xi}_{m'_2} e\left(\tilde{\alpha}_2 X \frac{m_1^{\beta_1} m_2'^{\beta_2}}{M_1^{\beta_1} M_2'^{\beta_2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and $\beta_j := \alpha_j/(1+\alpha_2)$ ($j = 1, 2$), $\tilde{\alpha}_2 := |1+\alpha_2| \cdot |\alpha_2|^{-\beta_2}$, $|\tilde{\varphi}_{m_1}| \leq 1$, $|\tilde{\psi}_{m_2}| \leq 1$, $|\tilde{\xi}_{m'_3}| \leq 1$, $M'_2 := M_2M'_3$, $\tilde{\xi}_{m'_2} := \sum \sum_{m_2m'_3=m'_2} \tilde{\psi}_{m_2} \tilde{\xi}_{m'_3}$. By Theorem 2 with $(M, N) = (M'_2, M_1)$ we estimate S to get the first assertion.

In particular taking $(\kappa, \lambda) = BA^2(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{4}{6}) = (\frac{11}{30}, \frac{16}{30})$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} S_{II} &\ll \{(X^{186}M_1^{407}M_2^{350}M_3^{186})^{1/536} + (X^{164}M_1^{385}M_2^{328}M_3^{164})^{1/492} \\ &\quad + (X^3M_1^8M_2^6M_3^4)^{1/10} + (X^5M_1^{20}M_2^{14}M_3^8)^{1/22} \\ &\quad + (X^{82}M_1^{467}M_2^{328}M_3^{164})^{1/492} + (XM_1M_2^2)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (X^2 M_1^{23} M_2^{14} M_3^8)^{1/22} \\
& + M_1 M_2 + X^{-1/2} M_2 M_3 + X^{-1} M_1 M_2 M_3 \} Y^\varepsilon \\
& =: (H_1 + H_2 + \dots + H_{10}) Y^\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $X \geq M_3 \geq M_1$, we have $H_5 \leq H_2$, $H_7 \leq H_4$, $H_j \leq H_6$ ($8 \leq j \leq 10$) and thus H_5, H_j ($7 \leq j \leq 10$) are superfluous. This proves (2.8).

The last inequality can be proved similarly by using Theorem 7 of [12] with $(M_1, M_2, M_3) = (M_1, 1, M'_2)$. This completes the proof. ■

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_1 - 2)(\alpha_2 + 1)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1) \neq 0$, $X > 0$, $M_j \geq 1$, $|\psi_{m_2}| \leq 1$ and let $Y := 2 + X M_1 M_2 M_3$. If $M_3 \geq M_1$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad S_I & \ll \{(X^7 M_1^8 M_2^{10} M_3^6)^{1/16} + (X^5 M_1^{12} M_2^{10} M_3^6)^{1/16} \\
& + (X M_1^3 M_2^2 M_3^2)^{1/4} + (X^3 M_1^9 M_2^7 M_3^4)^{1/11} \\
& + (X^2 M_1^9 M_2^6 M_3^4)^{1/10} + (X^{17} M_1^{24} M_2^{30} M_3^{10})^{1/40} \\
& + (X^5 M_1^{10} M_2^{10} M_3^4)^{1/14} + (X M_1 M_2^2)^{1/2} + X^{-1} M_1 M_2 M_3 \} Y^\varepsilon, \\
(2.11) \quad S_I & \ll \{(X^{15} M_1^{11} M_2^{22} M_3^4)^{1/26} + (X^2 M_1^2 M_2^3 M_3)^{1/4} + (X^3 M_2^3 M_3)^{1/4} \\
& + (X^{11} M_1^7 M_2^{18})^{1/18} + M_2 M_3 + X^{-1} M_1 M_2 M_3 \} (\log 2Y)^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. As before we may suppose $M'_3 := X/M_3 \geq \varepsilon_0$ and prove

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad S_I & \ll X^{-1/2} M_3 T \\
& + (X^{1/2} M_2 + M_1 M_2 + X^{-1/2} M_2 M_3 + X^{-1} M_1 M_2 M_3) \log Y,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T & := \sum_{m_1 \sim M_1} \sum_{m_2 \sim M_2} \sum_{m'_3 \in I_3} g(m_1) \tilde{\psi}_{m_2} \xi_{m'_3} e\left(\tilde{\alpha}_2 X \frac{m_1^{\beta_1} m_2^{\beta_2} m_3'^{\beta_2}}{M_1^{\beta_1} M_2^{\beta_2} M_3'^{\beta_2}}\right), \\
I_3 & := [c_3(m_1/M_1)^{\alpha_1} (m_2/M_2)^{\alpha_2} M'_3, c_4(m_1/M_1)^{\alpha_1} (m_2/M_2)^{\alpha_2} M'_3],
\end{aligned}$$

and $\beta_j, \tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{\psi}_{m_2}, \xi_{m'_3}$ are defined as before, $c_j = c_j(\alpha_2)$ are constants, $g(m_1)$ is a monomial with $|g(m_1)| \leq 1$. We define $\tilde{\xi}_{m'_2}$ and M'_2 in the same way as in the proof of Corollary 1. Exchanging the order of summation, we have

$$T = \sum_{m'_2 \sim M'_2} \tilde{\xi}_{m'_2} \sum_{m_1 \in I_1(m'_2)} g(m_1) e\left(\tilde{\alpha}_2 X \frac{m_1^{\beta_1} m_2'^{\beta_2}}{M_1^{\beta_1} M_2'^{\beta_2}}\right),$$

where $I_1(m'_2)$ is a subinterval of $[M_1, 2M_1]$. Removing $g(m_1)$ by partial summation and estimating the double sum obtained by Theorem 3 with $(M, N) = (M'_2, M_1)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} T \ll & \{(X^5 M_1^8 M_2'^{10})^{1/16} + (X^3 M_1^{12} M_2'^{10})^{1/16} + (X M_1^3 M_2'^2)^{1/4} \\ & + (X^3 M_1^{18} M_2'^{14})^{1/22} + (X M_1^9 M_2'^6)^{1/10} + (X^7 M_1^{22} M_2'^{26})^{1/36} \\ & + (X M_1^5 M_2'^5)^{1/7} + M_1^{1/2} M_2'\} Y^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting into (2.12) and noticing that the last four terms on the right-hand side of (2.12) can be absorbed by $(X M_1 M_2')^{1/2}$, we obtain (2.10). The inequality (2.11) is (2.7) of [13] with $(M_1, M_2, M_3) = (M_2, M_1, M_3)$ and $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (\alpha_2, \alpha_1, -\alpha_2)$. This concludes the proof. \blacksquare

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

We shall prove only

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta(1, 1, 2; x) \ll_\varepsilon x^{47/131+\varepsilon},$$

since this implies $\Delta(x) \ll_\varepsilon x^{47/131+\varepsilon}$ by a simple convolution argument. For this we recall some standard notations. Let $\mathbf{u} := (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ be a permutation of $(1, 1, 2)$ and let $\mathbf{N} := (N_1, N_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$. We write $\psi(t) := \{t\} - 1/2$ ($\{t\}$ is the fractional part of t) and define

$$S(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{N}; x) := \sum_1 \psi((x/(n_1^{u_1} n_2^{u_2}))^{1/u_3}),$$

where the summation condition of \sum_1 is $n_1^{u_1} n_2^{u_2+u_3} \leq x$, $n_1(\leq)n_2$, $n_1 \sim N_1$, $n_2 \sim N_2$. The notation $n_1(\leq)n_2$ means that $n_1 = n_2$ for $u_1 < u_2$, and $n_1 < n_2$ otherwise. It is well known that for proving (3.1) it suffices to verify

$$S(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{N}; x) \ll x^{47/131+\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{u} = (1, 1, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1).$$

Since $S(1, 1, 2, \mathbf{N}; x) \ll x^{5/14+\varepsilon}$ (see [10], p. 263), it remains to consider $\mathbf{u} = (2, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1)$. We shall prove the desired estimate for $\mathbf{u} = (2, 1, 1)$ in two cases according to the size of N_1 , which we shall formulate as two lemmas. The case of $\mathbf{u} = (1, 2, 1)$ can be treated similarly (more easily). We recall that we have $N_1 \leq N_2 \leq G := x/(N_1^2 N_2)$, $N_1 N_2 \leq x^{1/2}$ when $\mathbf{u} = (2, 1, 1)$. This fact will be used (implicitly) many times in the proofs of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

LEMMA 3.1. *For $\mathbf{u} = (2, 1, 1)$, we have*

$$S(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{N}; x) \ll_\varepsilon \{(x^{186} N_1^{35})^{1/536} + (x N_1^2)^{1/4} + (x^{40} N_1^7)^{1/116} + x^{5/14}\} x^\varepsilon.$$

In particular, if $N_1 \leq x^{118/655}$, then $S(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{N}; x) \ll_\varepsilon x^{47/131+\varepsilon}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 of [12], we have, for any $H \geq 1$,

$$(3.2) \quad S(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{N}; x) \ll H^{-1} N_1 N_2 + (\log x) \max_{1 \leq H_0 \leq H} H_0^{-1} |S(H_0, \mathbf{N})|,$$

where

$$S(H_0, \mathbf{N}) := \sum_{h \sim H_0} a_h \sum_{n_1 \sim N_1} \sum_{n_2 \sim N_2} e(hx/(n_1^2 n_2)), \quad |a_h| \leq 1.$$

The inequalities (2.8) and (2.9) with $(X, M_1, M_2, M_3) = (GH_0, N_1, H_0, N_2)$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} S(H_0, \mathbf{N}) &\ll \{(G^{186}N_1^{407}N_2^{186})^{1/536} + (GN_1H_0)^{1/2} + \chi_1 + \chi_2\}H_0x^\varepsilon, \\ S(H_0, \mathbf{N}) &\ll \{(G^{13}N_1^{15}N_2^4H_0^9)^{1/26} + (G^2N_1^2N_2H_0)^{1/4} + (G^9N_1^{11}H_0^9)^{1/18} \\ &\quad + (xN_1^2)^{1/4}\}H_0x^\varepsilon \\ &=: \{D_1 + D_2 + D_3 + (xN_1^2)^{1/4}\}H_0x^\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

with $\chi_1 := (G^3N_1^8N_2^4H_0^{-1})^{1/10}$ and $\chi_2 := (G^5N_1^{20}N_2^8H_0^{-3})^{1/22}$, where we have used the fact that $(G^{164}N_1^{385}N_2^{164})^{1/492} \leq (G^{186}N_1^{407}N_2^{186})^{1/536}$ (in view of $N_1 \leq x^{1/4}$). From these, we deduce that for any $H_0 \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} S(H_0, \mathbf{N}) &\ll \left\{ (G^{186}N_1^{407}N_2^{186})^{1/536} + (GN_1H_0)^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (xN_1^2)^{1/4} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 3} R_{j,k} \right\} H_0 x^\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where $R_{j,k} := \min\{\chi_j, D_k\}$. Since

$$\begin{cases} R_{1,1} \leq (\chi_1^{45}D_1^{13})^{1/58} = (x^{40}N_1^7)^{1/116}, \\ R_{1,2} \leq (\chi_1^5D_2^2)^{1/7} = x^{5/14}, \\ R_{1,3} \leq (\chi_1^5D_3)^{1/6} = (x^{36}N_1^{11})^{1/108}, \\ R_{2,1} \leq (\chi_2^{33}D_1^{13})^{1/46} = (x^{28}N_1^{19})^{1/92} < x^{5/14}, \\ R_{2,2} \leq (\chi_2^{11}D_2^6)^{1/17} = (x^{11}N_1^4)^{1/34} < x^{5/14}, \\ R_{2,3} \leq (\chi_2^{11}D_3^3)^{1/14} = (x^{24}N_1^{23})^{1/84} < x^{5/14}, \end{cases}$$

and $(x^{36}N_1^{11})^{1/108} \leq (x^{40}N_1^7)^{1/116}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(H_0, \mathbf{N}) &\ll \{(G^{186}N_1^{407}N_2^{186})^{1/536} + (GN_1H_0)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + (xN_1^2)^{1/4} + (x^{40}N_1^7)^{1/116} + x^{5/14}\}H_0x^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting into (3.2) and optimizing H by Lemma 2.4(iii) of [12] yield the desired estimate. ■

LEMMA 3.2. *For $\mathbf{u} = (2, 1, 1)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{N}; x) &\ll \{(x^7/N_1^5)^{1/17} + (x^{17}/N_1^3)^{1/47} + (x^{11}/N_1^4)^{1/29} \\ &\quad + (x^{13}/N_1^2)^{1/36} + (x^5/N_1^4)^{1/12} + x^{103/294}\}x^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $N_1 \geq x^{118/655}$, then $S(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{N}; x) \ll_\varepsilon x^{47/131+\varepsilon}$.

PROOF. Corollary 2 with $(X, M_1, M_2, M_3) = (GH_0, N_1, H_0, N_2)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad S(H_0, \mathbf{N}) &\ll \{L(H_0) + (G^5N_1^{12}N_2^6H_0^{-1})^{1/16} + (GN_1^3N_2^2H_0^{-1})^{1/4} \\ &\quad + (G^3N_1^9N_2^4H_0^{-1})^{1/11} + (G^2N_1^9N_2^4H_0^{-2})^{1/10}\}H_0x^\varepsilon \\ &=: \{L(H_0) + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 + \sigma_4\}H_0x^\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.4) \quad S(H_0, \mathbf{N}) \ll \{(G^{15} N_1^{11} N_2^4 H_0^{11})^{1/26} + (G^2 N_1^2 N_2 H_0)^{1/4} \\ + (G^3 N_2 H_0^2)^{1/4} + (G^{11} N_1^7 H_0^{11})^{1/18}\} H_0 x^\varepsilon \\ =: \{E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + (G^{11} N_1^7 H_0^{11})^{1/18}\} H_0 x^\varepsilon,$$

where

$$L(H_0) := (G^7 N_1^8 N_2^6 H_0)^{1/16} + (G^{17} N_1^{24} N_2^{10} H_0^7)^{1/40} \\ + (G^5 N_1^{10} N_2^4 H_0)^{1/14} + (G N_1 H_0)^{1/2},$$

and we have used the fact that $G^{-1} N_1 N_2 H_0^{-1}$ can be absorbed by $(G N_1^3 N_2^2 H_0^{-1})^{1/4}$ in (3.3), both N_2 and $G^{-1} N_1 N_2 H_0^{-1}$ by $(G^3 N_2 H_0^2)^{1/4}$ in (3.4). From (3.3) and (3.4), we deduce that for any $H_0 \geq 1$,

$$S(H_0, \mathbf{N}) \ll \left\{ L(H_0) + (G^{11} N_1^7 H_0^{11})^{1/18} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 4} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq 3} S_{j,k} \right\} H_0 x^\varepsilon,$$

where $S_{j,k} := \min\{\sigma_j, E_k\}$. It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{cases} S_{1,1} \leq (\sigma_1^{88} E_1^{13})^{1/101} = (x^{70} N_1^3)^{1/202} < x^{103/294}, \\ S_{1,2} \leq (\sigma_1^4 E_2)^{1/5} = x^{7/20} < x^{103/294}, \\ S_{1,3} \leq (\sigma_1^8 E_3)^{1/9} = (x^{13}/N_1^2)^{1/36}, \\ \\ S_{2,1} \leq (\sigma_2^{22} E_1^{13})^{1/35} = (x^{13}/N_1^4)^{1/35}, \\ S_{2,2} \leq (\sigma_2 E_2)^{1/2} = (x^3/N_1)^{1/8}, \\ S_{2,3} \leq (\sigma_2^2 E_3)^{1/3} = (x^5/N_1^4)^{1/12}, \\ \\ S_{3,1} \leq (\sigma_3^{121} E_1^{26})^{1/147} = (x^{48} N_1^{14})^{1/147} \leq x^{103/294}, \\ S_{3,2} \leq (\sigma_3^{11} E_2^4)^{1/15} = (x^5 N_1)^{1/15} < x^{103/294}, \\ S_{3,3} \leq (\sigma_3^{11} E_3^2)^{1/13} = x^{9/26} < x^{103/294}, \\ \\ S_{4,1} \leq (\sigma_4^{55} E_1^{26})^{1/81} = (x^{52} N_1^{17})^{1/162} < x^{103/294}, \\ S_{4,2} \leq (\sigma_4^5 E_2^4)^{1/9} = (x^6 N_1)^{1/18} < x^{103/294}, \\ S_{4,3} \leq (\sigma_4^5 E_3^2)^{1/7} = (x^5/N_1)^{1/14}, \end{cases}$$

and $(x^{13}/N_1^4)^{1/35} \leq (x^3/N_1)^{1/8}$, $(x^5/N_1)^{1/14} \leq (x^3/N_1)^{1/8}$. Consequently, we obtain, for any $H_0 \geq 1$, the inequality

$$S(H_0, \mathbf{N}) \ll \{L(H_0) + (G^{11} N_1^7 H_0^{11})^{1/18} + (x^{13}/N_1^2)^{1/36} \\ + (x^3/N_1)^{1/8} + (x^5/N_1^4)^{1/12} + x^{103/294}\} H_0 x^\varepsilon.$$

Inserting this estimate in (3.2) and using Lemma 2.4(iii) of [12] to optimize H , we find

$$S(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{N}; x) \ll \{(x^7/N_1^5)^{1/17} + (x^{17}/N_1^3)^{1/47} + (x^{11}/N_1^4)^{1/29} \\ + (x^{13}/N_1^2)^{1/36} + (x^3/N_1)^{1/8} + (x^5/N_1^4)^{1/12} + x^{103/294}\} x^\varepsilon.$$

Observing that $(x^3/N_1)^{1/8} \leq (x^{11}/N_1^4)^{1/29}$, we get the required estimate. ■

References

- [1] R. C. Baker and G. Harman, *Numbers with a large prime factor*, Acta Arith. 73 (1995), 119–145.
- [2] E. Cohen, *On the average number of direct factors of a finite abelian group*, ibid. 6 (1960), 159–173.
- [3] E. Fouvry and H. Iwaniec, *Exponential sums with monomials*, J. Number Theory 33 (1989), 311–333.
- [4] S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, *Van der Corput's Method of Exponential Sums*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [5] C. H. Jia, *The distribution of square-free numbers*, Sci. China Ser. A 36 (1993), 154–169.
- [6] G. Kolesnik, *On the number of abelian groups of a given order*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 329 (1981), 164–175.
- [7] E. Krätzel, *On the average number of direct factors of a finite abelian group*, Acta Arith. 51 (1988), 369–379.
- [8] H.-Q. Liu, *The greatest prime factor of the integers in an interval*, ibid. 65 (1993), 302–328.
- [9] —, *On some divisor problems*, ibid. 68 (1994), 193–200.
- [10] —, *Divisor problems of 4 and 3 dimensions*, ibid. 73 (1995), 249–269.
- [11] P. G. Schmidt, *Zur Anzahl unitärer Faktoren abelscher Gruppen*, ibid. 64 (1993), 237–248.
- [12] P. Sargos and J. Wu, *Multiple exponential sums with monomials and their applications in number theory*, Prépublications 97/n°37 de l'Institut Élie Cartan, Université Henri Poincaré (Nancy 1).
- [13] J. Wu, *On the distribution of square-full and cube-full integers*, Monatsh. Math., to appear.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques
Institut Élie Cartan – CNRS UMR 9973
Université Henri Poincaré (Nancy 1)
54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France
E-mail: wujie@iecn.u-nancy.fr

Received on 29.11.1996
and in revised form on 30.10.1997

(3089)