
Supplement to: Fast Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithms via Lie groups

Steve Huntsman
BAE Systems FAST Labs

1 Proofs

Proof of Lemma 1. $e_{(j,k)}e_{(\ell,m)} = (\delta_{k\ell} - \delta_{n\ell})e_{(j,m)}$. Considering $j \leftrightarrow \ell, k \leftrightarrow m$, we are done. \square

Proof of Lemma 2. Using the rightmost expression in (5) and using $j, k, \ell, m \neq n$ to simplify the product of the innermost two factors, we have that

$$e_{(j,k)}^{(p)}e_{(\ell,m)}^{(p)} = (\delta_{k\ell} + r_\ell)e_{(j,m)}^{(p)}.$$

Taking $j = \ell$ and $k = m$ establishes the result for $i \leq 2$. The general case follows by induction on i . \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Note that

$$pe_{(j,k)}^{(p)} = (p_j - r_j p_n)(e_k^T - e_n^T) \equiv 0.$$

Furthermore, linear independence and the commutation relations are obvious, so it suffices to show that $\exp te_{(j,k)}^{(p)} \in \langle p \rangle$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp te_{(j,k)}^{(p)} &= I + e_{(j,k)}^{(p)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^i (\delta_{jk} + r_j)^{i-1}}{i!} \\ &= I + \frac{e^{t(\delta_{jk} + r_j)} - 1}{\delta_{jk} + r_j} e_{(j,k)}^{(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof of Lemma 3. By hypothesis and (5), $-\sum_j t_j e_{(j,j)}^{(p)}$ has nonpositive diagonal entries and nonnegative off-diagonal entries (i.e., it is a generator matrix for a continuous-time Markov process); the result follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{(\mathcal{J})}^{(p)} \beta_{(\mathcal{J})}^{(p)} &= \sum_{u,v,w,x} \alpha_{j_u j_v} \beta_{j_w j_x} e_{(j_u, j_v)}^{(p)} e_{(j_w, j_x)}^{(p)} \\ &= \sum_{u,v,w,x} \alpha_{j_u j_v} (\delta_{j_v j_w} + r_{j_w}) \beta_{j_w j_x} e_{(j_u, j_x)}^{(p)} \\ &= \sum_{u,x} (\alpha_{(\mathcal{J})} (I + 1r_{(\mathcal{J})}) \beta_{(\mathcal{J})})_{u,x} e_{(j_u, j_x)}^{(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from (1) and the third from bookkeeping. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. The Sherman-Morrison formula (see Horn and Johnson (2013)) gives that

$$\omega(I + 1r_{(\mathcal{J})})^{-1} = \omega \left(I - \frac{1}{1 + r_{(\mathcal{J})}} 1r_{(\mathcal{J})} \right)$$

and the elements of this matrix are precisely the coefficients in (13). Using the notation of Lemma 4, we can therefore rewrite (13) as

$$A_{(\mathcal{J})}^{(p;\omega)} = (\omega(I + 1r_{(\mathcal{J})})^{-1})_{(\mathcal{J})}^{(p)},$$

whereupon invoking the lemma itself yields $(A_{(\mathcal{J})}^{(p;\omega)})^{i+1} = \omega^i A_{(\mathcal{J})}^{(p;\omega)}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The result now follows similarly to Theorem 1. \square

Proof of Lemma 5. Writing $A \equiv A_{(\mathcal{J})}^{(p;\omega)}$ here for clarity, the result follows from three elementary observations: $\Delta(A) \geq 0$, $\max \Delta(A) > 0$, and $A - \Delta(\Delta(A)) \leq 0$. \square

References

R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis, 2nd ed.* Cambridge, 2013.