# A Proofs of Main Theorems

## A.1 Proof of Lemma 1

Let  $R_t = R(A_t, w_t)$  be the stochastic regret of CombUCB1 at time t, where  $A_t$  and  $w_t$  are the solution and the weights of the items at time t, respectively. Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{E}_t = \{\exists e \in E : |\bar{w}(e) - \hat{w}_{T_{t-1}(e)}(e)| \ge c_{t-1,T_{t-1}(e)}\}$  be the event that  $\bar{w}(e)$  is outside of the high-probability confidence interval around  $\hat{w}_{T_{t-1}(e)}(e)$  for some item e at time t; and let  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t$  be the complement of  $\mathcal{E}_t$ ,  $\bar{w}(e)$  is in the high-probability confidence interval around  $\hat{w}_{T_{t-1}(e)}(e)$  for all e at time t. Then we can decompose the regret of CombUCB1 as:

$$R(n) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{t_0-1} R_t\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{E}_t\} R_t\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{1}\{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t\} R_t\right].$$

Now we bound each term in our regret decomposition.

The regret of the initialization,  $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{t_0-1} R_t\right]$ , is bounded by KL because Algorithm 2 terminates in at most L steps, and  $R_t \leq K$  for any  $A_t$  and  $w_t$ .

The second term in our regret decomposition,  $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=t_0}^{n} \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{E}_t\} R_t\right]$ , is small because all of our confidence intervals hold with high probability. In particular, for any e, s, and t:

$$P(|\bar{w}(e) - \hat{w}_s(e)| \ge c_{t,s}) \le 2 \exp[-3 \log t],$$

and therefore:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{E}_t\}\right] \le \sum_{e \in E} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^t P(|\bar{w}(e) - \hat{w}_s(e)| \ge c_{t,s}) \le 2\sum_{e \in E} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^t \exp[-3\log t] \le 2\sum_{e \in E} \sum_{t=1}^n t^{-2} \le \frac{\pi^2}{3}L.$$

Since  $R_t \leq K$  for any  $A_t$  and  $w_t$ ,  $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{E}_t\} R_t\right] \leq \frac{\pi^2}{3}KL$ .

Finally, we rewrite the last term in our regret decomposition as:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{1}\left\{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t\right\} R_t\right] \stackrel{\text{(a)}}{=} \sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}\left\{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t\right\} \mathbb{E}\left[R_t \mid A_t\right]\right] \stackrel{\text{(b)}}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=t_0}^n \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\left\{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\right\}\right].$$

In equality (a), the outer expectation is over the history of the agent up to time t, which in turn determines  $A_t$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t$ ; and  $\mathbb{E}[R_t | A_t]$  is the expected regret at time t conditioned on solution  $A_t$ . Equality (b) follows from  $\Delta_{A_t} = \mathbb{E}[R_t | A_t]$ . Now we bound  $\Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\}$  for any suboptimal  $A_t$ . The bound is derived based on two facts. First, when CombUCB1 chooses  $A_t$ ,  $f(A_t, U_t) \ge f(A^*, U_t)$ . This further implies that  $\sum_{e \in A_t \setminus A^*} U_t(e) \ge \sum_{e \in A^* \setminus A_t} U_t(e)$ . Second, when event  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t$  happens,  $|\overline{w}(e) - \widehat{w}_{T_{t-1}(e)}(e)| < c_{t-1,T_{t-1}(e)}$  for all items e. Therefore:

$$\sum_{e \in A_t \setminus A^*} \bar{w}(e) + 2 \sum_{e \in A_t \setminus A^*} c_{t-1, T_{t-1}(e)} \ge \sum_{e \in A_t \setminus A^*} U_t(e) \ge \sum_{e \in A^* \setminus A_t} U_t(e) \ge \sum_{e \in A^* \setminus A_t} \bar{w}(e) \,,$$

and  $2\sum_{e \in A_t \setminus A^*} c_{t-1,T_{t-1}(e)} \ge \Delta_{A_t}$  follows from the observation that  $\Delta_{A_t} = \sum_{e \in A^* \setminus A_t} \bar{w}(e) - \sum_{e \in A_t \setminus A^*} \bar{w}(e)$ . Now note that  $c_{n,T_{t-1}(e)} \ge c_{t-1,T_{t-1}(e)}$  for any time  $t \le n$ . Therefore, the event  $\mathcal{F}_t$  in (3) must happen and:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=t_0}^n \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\left\{\overline{\mathcal{E}}_t, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\right\}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=t_0}^n \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\left\{\mathcal{F}_t\right\}\right].$$

This concludes our proof.

## A.2 Proof of Theorem 2

By Lemma 1, it remains to bound  $\hat{R}(n) = \sum_{t=t_0}^{n} \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ , where the event  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is defined in (3). By Lemma 2 and from the assumption that  $\Delta_{A_t} = \Delta$  for all suboptimal  $A_t$ , it follows that:

$$\hat{R}(n) = \Delta \sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{F}_t\} = \Delta \sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{1}\{G_{1,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\} + \Delta \sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{1}\{G_{2,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\} .$$

To bound the above quantity, it is sufficient to bound the number of times that events  $G_{1,t}$  and  $G_{2,t}$  happen. Then we set the tunable parameters d and  $\alpha$  such that the two counts are of the same magnitude.

**Claim 1.** Event 
$$G_{1,t}$$
 happens at most  $\frac{\alpha}{d}K^2L\frac{6}{\Delta^2}\log n$  times.

*Proof.* Recall that event  $G_{1,t}$  can happen only if at least d chosen suboptimal items are not observed "sufficiently often" up to time  $t, T_{t-1}(e) \le \alpha K^2 \frac{6}{\Delta^2} \log n$  for at least d items in  $\tilde{A}_t$ . After the event happens, the observation counters of these items increase by one. Therefore, after the event happens  $\frac{\alpha}{d}K^2L\frac{6}{\Delta^2}\log n$  times, all suboptimal items are guaranteed to be observed at least  $\alpha K^2\frac{6}{\Delta^2}\log n$  times and  $G_{1,t}$  cannot happen anymore.

**Claim 2.** Event  $G_{2,t}$  happens at most  $\frac{\alpha d^2}{(\sqrt{\alpha}-1)^2}L\frac{6}{\Delta^2}\log n$  times.

*Proof.* Event  $G_{2,t}$  can happen only if there exists  $e \in \tilde{A}_t$  such that  $T_{t-1}(e) \leq \frac{\alpha d^2}{(\sqrt{\alpha}-1)^2} \frac{6}{\Delta^2} \log n$ . After the event happens, the observation counter of item e increases by one. Therefore, the number of times that event  $G_{2,t}$  can happen is bounded trivially by  $\frac{\alpha d^2}{(\sqrt{\alpha}-1)^2} L \frac{6}{\Delta^2} \log n$ .

Based on Claims 1 and 2,  $\hat{R}(n)$  is bounded as:

$$\hat{R}(n) \le \left(\frac{\alpha}{d}K^2 + \frac{\alpha d^2}{(\sqrt{\alpha} - 1)^2}\right)L\frac{6}{\Delta}\log n$$

Finally, we choose  $\alpha = 4$  and  $d = K^{\frac{2}{3}}$ ; and it follows that the regret is bounded as:

$$R(n) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{R}(n)\right] + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\right) KL \leq K^{\frac{4}{3}}L\frac{48}{\Delta}\log n + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\right) KL.$$

# A.3 Proof of Theorem 3

Let  $\mathcal{F}_t$  be the event in (3). By Lemmas 1 and 2, it remains to bound:

$$\hat{R}(n) = \sum_{t=t_0}^n \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{F}_t\} = \sum_{t=t_0}^n \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{G_{1,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\} + \sum_{t=t_0}^n \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{G_{2,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\} .$$

In the next step, we introduce item-specific variants of events  $G_{1,t}$  (6) and  $G_{2,t}$  (7), and then associate the regret at time t with these events. In particular, let:

$$G_{e,1,t} = G_{1,t} \cap \left\{ e \in \tilde{A}_t, T_{t-1}(e) \le \alpha K^2 \frac{6}{\Delta_{A_t}^2} \log n \right\}$$

$$\tag{14}$$

$$G_{e,2,t} = G_{2,t} \cap \left\{ e \in \tilde{A}_t, T_{t-1}(e) \le \frac{\alpha d^2}{(\sqrt{\alpha} - 1)^2} \frac{6}{\Delta_{A_t}^2} \log n \right\}$$
(15)

be the events that item e is not observed "sufficiently often" under events  $G_{1,t}$  and  $G_{2,t}$ , respectively. Then by the definitions of the above events, it follows that:

$$\mathbb{1}\{G_{1,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\} \le \frac{1}{d} \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \mathbb{1}\{G_{e,1,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\} \\
\mathbb{1}\{G_{2,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\} \le \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \mathbb{1}\{G_{e,2,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\},$$

where  $\tilde{E} = E \setminus A^*$  is the set of subptimal items; and we bound  $\hat{R}(n)$  as:

$$\hat{R}(n) \le \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{1}\{G_{e,1,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\} \frac{\Delta_{A_t}}{d} + \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{1}\{G_{e,2,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\} \Delta_{A_t}.$$

Let each item e be contained in  $N_e$  suboptimal solutions and  $\Delta_{e,1} \ge ... \ge \Delta_{e,N_e}$  be the gaps of these solutions, ordered from the largest gap to the smallest one. Then  $\hat{R}(n)$  can be further bounded as:

$$\begin{split} \hat{R}(n) &\leq \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \sum_{t=t_0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{N_e} \mathbbm{1}\{G_{e,1,t}, \Delta_{A_t} = \Delta_{e,k}\} \frac{\Delta_{e,k}}{d} + \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \sum_{t=t_0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{N_e} \mathbbm{1}\{G_{e,2,t}, \Delta_{A_t} = \Delta_{e,k}\} \Delta_{e,k} \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \sum_{t=t_0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{N_e} \mathbbm{1}\left\{e \in \tilde{A}_t, T_{t-1}(e) \leq \alpha K^2 \frac{6}{\Delta_{e,k}^2} \log n, \Delta_{A_t} = \Delta_{e,k}\right\} \frac{\Delta_{e,k}}{d} + \\ &\sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \sum_{t=t_0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{N_e} \mathbbm{1}\left\{e \in \tilde{A}_t, T_{t-1}(e) \leq \frac{\alpha d^2}{(\sqrt{\alpha} - 1)^2} \frac{6}{\Delta_{e,k}^2} \log n, \Delta_{A_t} = \Delta_{e,k}\right\} \Delta_{e,k} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \frac{6\alpha K^2 \log n}{d} \left[\Delta_{e,1} \frac{1}{\Delta_{e,1}^2} + \sum_{k=2}^{N_e} \Delta_{e,k} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{e,k}^2} - \frac{1}{\Delta_{e,k-1}^2}\right)\right] + \\ &\sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \frac{6\alpha d^2 \log n}{(\sqrt{\alpha} - 1)^2} \left[\Delta_{e,1} \frac{1}{\Delta_{e,1}^2} + \sum_{k=2}^{N_e} \Delta_{e,k} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{e,k}^2} - \frac{1}{\Delta_{e,k-1}^2}\right)\right] \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{d} K^2 + \frac{\alpha d^2}{(\sqrt{\alpha} - 1)^2}\right) \frac{12}{\Delta_{e,\min}} \log n, \end{split}$$

where inequality (a) is by the definitions of events  $G_{e,1,t}$  and  $G_{e,2,t}$ , inequality (b) is from the solution to:

$$\max_{A_1,\dots,A_n} \sum_{t=t_0}^n \sum_{k=1}^{N_e} \mathbb{1}\left\{ e \in \tilde{A}_t, T_{t-1}(e) \le \frac{C}{\Delta_{e,k}^2} \log n, \Delta_{A_t} = \Delta_{e,k} \right\} \Delta_{e,k}$$

for appropriate C, and inequality (c) follows from Lemma 3 of Kveton et al. [12]:

$$\left[\Delta_{e,1} \frac{1}{\Delta_{e,1}^2} + \sum_{k=2}^{N_e} \Delta_{e,k} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta_{e,k}^2} - \frac{1}{\Delta_{e,k-1}^2} \right) \right] < \frac{2}{\Delta_{e,N_e}} = \frac{2}{\Delta_{e,\min}} \,. \tag{16}$$

Finally, we choose  $\alpha = 4$  and  $d = K^{\frac{2}{3}}$ ; and it follows that the regret is bounded as:

$$R(n) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{R}(n)\right] + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\right) KL \leq \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} K^{\frac{4}{3}} \frac{96}{\Delta_{e,\min}} \log n + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\right) KL.$$

#### A.4 Proof of Theorem 4

The first step of the proof is identical to that of Theorem 2. By Lemma 1, it remains to bound  $\hat{R}(n) = \sum_{t=t_0}^{n} \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ , where the event  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is defined in (3). By Lemma 3 and from the assumption that  $\Delta_{A_t} = \Delta$  for all suboptimal  $A_t$ , it follows that:

$$\hat{R}(n) = \Delta \sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{F}_t\} = \Delta \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=t_0}^n \mathbb{1}\{G_{i,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\}.$$

Note that  $\Delta_{A_t} > 0$  implies  $\Delta_{A_t} = \Delta$ . Therefore,  $m_{i,t}$  does not depend on t and we denote it by  $m_i = \alpha_i \frac{K^2}{\Delta^2} \log n$ . Based on the same argument as in Claim 1, event  $G_{i,t}$  cannot happen more than  $\frac{Lm_i}{\beta_i K}$  times, because at least  $\beta_i K$  items that are observed at most  $m_i$  times have their observation counters incremented in each event  $G_{i,t}$ . Therefore:

$$\hat{R}(n) \le \Delta \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{Lm_i}{\beta_i K} = KL \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} \right] \log n \,. \tag{17}$$

It remains to choose  $(\alpha_i)$  and  $(\beta_i)$  such that:

- $\lim_{i\to\infty} \alpha_i = \lim_{i\to\infty} \beta_i = 0;$
- Monotonicity conditions in (9) and (10) hold;
- Inequality (12) holds,  $\sqrt{6} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{i-1} \beta_i}{\sqrt{\alpha_i}} \le 1;$
- $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}$  is minimized.

We choose  $(\alpha_i)$  and  $(\beta_i)$  to be geometric sequences,  $\beta_i = \beta^i$  and  $\alpha_i = d\alpha^i$  for  $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$  and d > 0. For this setting,  $\alpha_i \to 0$  and  $\beta_i \to 0$ , and the monotonicity conditions are also satisfied. Moreover, if  $\beta < \sqrt{\alpha}$ , we have:

$$\sqrt{6}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{\beta_{i-1}-\beta_i}{\sqrt{\alpha_i}} = \sqrt{6}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{\beta^{i-1}-\beta^i}{\sqrt{d\alpha^i}} = \sqrt{\frac{6}{d}}\frac{1-\beta}{\sqrt{\alpha}-\beta} \le 1$$

provided that  $d \ge 6 \left(\frac{1-\beta}{\sqrt{\alpha}-\beta}\right)^2$ . Furthermore, if  $\alpha < \beta$ , we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha^i}{\beta^i} = \frac{d\alpha}{\beta - \alpha}$$

Given the above, the best choice of d is  $6\left(\frac{1-\beta}{\sqrt{\alpha-\beta}}\right)^2$  and the problem of minimizing the constant in our regret bound can be written as:

$$\begin{split} &\inf_{\alpha,\beta} \quad 6\left(\frac{1-\beta}{\sqrt{\alpha}-\beta}\right)^2 \frac{\alpha}{\beta-\alpha} \\ &\text{s.t.} \quad 0<\alpha<\beta<\sqrt{\alpha}<1 \,. \end{split}$$

We find the solution to the above problem numerically, and determine it to be  $\alpha = 0.1459$  and  $\beta = 0.2360$ . For these  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,  $6\left(\frac{1-\beta}{\sqrt{\alpha}-\beta}\right)^2 \frac{\alpha}{\beta-\alpha} < 267$ . We apply this upper bound to (17) and it follows that the regret is bounded as:

$$R(n) \le \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{R}(n)\right] + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\right) KL \le KL\frac{267}{\Delta}\log n + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\right) KL$$

### A.5 Proof of Theorem 5

Let  $\mathcal{F}_t$  be the event in (3). By Lemmas 1 and 3, it remains to bound:

$$\hat{R}(n) = \sum_{t=t_0}^n \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{F}_t\} = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \sum_{t=t_0}^n \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{G_{i,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\}.$$

In the next step, we define item-specific variants of events  $G_{i,t}$  (11) and associate the regret at time t with these events. In particular, let:

$$G_{e,i,t} = G_{i,t} \cap \left\{ e \in \tilde{A}_t, T_{t-1}(e) \le m_{i,t} \right\}$$

$$(18)$$

be the event that item e is not observed "sufficiently often" under event  $G_{i,t}$ . Then it follows that:

$$\mathbb{1}\{G_{i,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\} \le \frac{1}{\beta_i K} \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \mathbb{1}\{G_{e,i,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\} ,$$

because at least  $\beta_i K$  items are not observed "sufficiently often" under event  $G_{i,t}$ . Therefore, we can bound  $\hat{R}(n)$  as:

$$\hat{R}(n) \le \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_0}^{n} \mathbb{1}\{G_{e,i,t}, \Delta_{A_t} > 0\} \frac{\Delta_{A_t}}{\beta_i K}$$

Let each item e be contained in  $N_e$  suboptimal solutions and  $\Delta_{e,1} \ge \ldots \ge \Delta_{e,N_e}$  be the gaps of these solutions, ordered from the largest gap to the smallest one. Then  $\hat{R}(n)$  can be further bounded as:

$$\begin{split} \hat{R}(n) &\leq \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{N_e} \mathbbm{1}\{G_{e,i,t}, \Delta_{A_t} = \Delta_{e,k}\} \frac{\Delta_{e,k}}{\beta_i K} \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{t=t_0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{N_e} \mathbbm{1}\left\{e \in \tilde{A}_t, T_{t-1}(e) \leq \alpha_i \frac{K^2}{\Delta_{e,k}^2} \log n, \Delta_{A_t} = \Delta_{e,k}\right\} \frac{\Delta_{e,k}}{\beta_i K} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i K \log n}{\beta_i} \left[\Delta_{e,1} \frac{1}{\Delta_{e,1}^2} + \sum_{k=2}^{N_e} \Delta_{e,k} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_{e,k}^2} - \frac{1}{\Delta_{e,k-1}^2}\right)\right] \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i K \log n}{\beta_i} \frac{2}{\Delta_{e,\min}} \\ &= \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} K \frac{2}{\Delta_{e,\min}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}\right] \log n \,, \end{split}$$

where inequality (a) is by the definition of event  $G_{e,i,t}$ , inequality (b) follows from the solution to:

$$\max_{A_1,\dots,A_n} \sum_{t=t_0}^n \sum_{k=1}^{N_e} \mathbb{1}\left\{e \in \tilde{A}_t, T_{t-1}(e) \le \alpha_i \frac{K^2}{\Delta_{e,k}^2} \log n, \Delta_{A_t} = \Delta_{e,k}\right\} \frac{\Delta_{e,k}}{\beta_i K}$$

and inequality (c) follows from (16). For the same  $(\alpha_i)$  and  $(\beta_i)$  as in Theorem 4, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} < 267$  and it follows that the regret is bounded as:

$$R(n) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{R}(n)\right] + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\right) KL \leq \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} K \frac{534}{\Delta_{e,\min}} \log n + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\right) KL.$$

# A.6 Proof of Theorem 6

The key idea is to decompose the regret of CombUCB1 into two parts, where the gaps are larger than  $\epsilon$  and at most  $\epsilon$ . We analyze each part separately and then set  $\epsilon$  to get the desired result.

By Lemma 1, it remains to bound  $\hat{R}(n) = \sum_{t=t_0}^{n} \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ , where the event  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is defined in (3). We partition  $\hat{R}(n)$  as:

$$\hat{R}(n) = \sum_{t=t_0}^n \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{F}_t, \Delta_{A_t} < \epsilon\} + \sum_{t=t_0}^n \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{F}_t, \Delta_{A_t} \ge \epsilon\}$$
$$\leq \epsilon n + \sum_{t=t_0}^n \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{F}_t, \Delta_{A_t} \ge \epsilon\}$$

and bound the first term trivially. The second term is bounded in the same way as  $\hat{R}(n)$  in the proof of Theorem 5, except that we only consider the gaps  $\Delta_{e,k} \ge \epsilon$ . Therefore,  $\Delta_{e,\min} \ge \epsilon$  and we get:

$$\sum_{t=t_0}^n \Delta_{A_t} \mathbb{1}\{\mathcal{F}_t, \Delta_{A_t} \ge \epsilon\} \le \sum_{e \in \tilde{E}} K \frac{534}{\epsilon} \log n \le KL \frac{534}{\epsilon} \log n \,.$$

Based on the above inequalities:

$$R(n) \le \frac{534KL}{\epsilon} \log n + \epsilon n + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\right) KL$$

Finally, we choose  $\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{534KL\log n}{n}}$  and get:

$$R(n) \le 2\sqrt{534KLn\log n} + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\right)KL < 47\sqrt{KLn\log n} + \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} + 1\right)KL,$$

which concludes our proof.

# **B** Technical Lemmas

**Lemma 4.** Let  $S_i$ ,  $\overline{S}_i$ , and  $m_i$  be defined as in Lemma 3; and  $|S_i| < \beta_i K$  for all i > 0. Then:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\bar{S}_i \setminus \bar{S}_{i-1}|}{\sqrt{m_i}} < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta_{i-1} - \beta_i)K}{\sqrt{m_i}}.$$

*Proof.* The lemma is proved as:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\bar{S}_i \setminus \bar{S}_{i-1}| \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_i}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (|S_{i-1} \setminus S_i|) \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_i}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (|S_{i-1}| - |S_i|) \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_i}} \\ &= \frac{|S_0|}{\sqrt{m_1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |S_i| \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{i+1}}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_i}}\right) \\ &< \frac{\beta_0 K}{\sqrt{m_1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i K \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{i+1}}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_i}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\beta_{i-1} - \beta_i) K \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_i}} \,. \end{split}$$

The first two equalities follow from the definitions of  $\bar{S}_i$  and  $S_i$ . The inequality follows from the facts that  $|S_i| < \beta_i K$  for all i > 0 and  $|S_0| \le \beta_0 K$ .