Appendix

In the appendices we present the proofs, and additional lemmas that are used in the proofs.

A. Lemma 1

Lemma 1 proves that if (5) is satisfied for some action $a \in A(I)$ on iteration T, then the value of action a and all its descendants on every iteration played so far can be set to the T-near counterfactual best response value. The same lemma holds if one replaces the T-near counterfactual best response values with exact counterfactual best response values. The proof for Lemma 1 draws from recent work on warm starting CFR using only an average strategy profile (Brown & Sandholm, 2016).

Lemma 1. Assume T iterations of CFR with RM have been played in a two-player zero-sum game. If $T(\psi^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T,T}(I,a)) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T v^{\sigma^t}(I)$ and one sets $v^{\sigma^t}(I,a) = \psi^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T,T}(I,a)$ for each $t \leq T$ and for each $I' \in D(I,a)$ sets $v^{\sigma^t}(I',a') = \psi^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T,T}(I',a')$ and $v^{\sigma^t}(I') = \psi^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T,T}(I')$ then after T' additional iterations of CFR with RM, the bound on exploitability of $\bar{\sigma}^{T+T'}$ is no worse than having played T+T' iterations of CFR with RM unaltered.

Proof. The proof builds upon Theorem 2 in (Brown & Sandholm, 2016). Assume $T(\psi^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T,T}(I,a)) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T v^{\sigma^t}(I)$. We wish to warm start to T iterations. For each $I' \in D(I,a)$ set $v^{\sigma^t}(I',a') = \psi^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T,T}(I',a')$ and $v^{\sigma^t}(I') = \psi^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T,T}(I')$ and set $v^{\sigma^t}(I,a) = \psi^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T,T}(I,a)$ for all $t \leq T$. For every other action, leave regret unchanged. For each $I' \in D(I,a)$ we know by construction that $\Phi(R^T(I'))$ is within the CFR bound $y_{I'}^T$ after changing regret. By assumption $T(\psi^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T,T}(I,a)) \leq \sum_{t=1}^T v^{\sigma^t}(I)$, so $R^T(I,a) \leq 0$ and therefore $\Phi(R^T(I))$ is unchanged. Finally, since the T iterations were played according to CFR with RM and regret is unchanged for every other information set I'', so the conditions for Theorem 2 in (Brown & Sandholm, 2016) hold for every information set, and therefore we can warm start to T iterations of CFR with RM with no penalty to the convergence bound.

B. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. From Lemma 1 we can immediately set regret for $a \in A(I)$ to $v^{\sigma^t}(I,a) = \psi^{\bar{\sigma}^T_{-i},T}(I,a)$. By construction of $T', R^t(I,a)$ is guaranteed to be nonpositive for $T \leq t \leq T+T'$ and therefore $\sigma^t(I,a)=0$. Thus, $\bar{\sigma}_i^{T+T'}(I')$ for $I' \in D(I,a)$ is identical regardless of what is played in D(I,a) during $T \leq t \leq T+T'$.

Since
$$(T+T')\left(\psi^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^{T+T'},T+T'}(I,a)\right)\leq T\left(\psi^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^{T},T}(I,a)\right)+T'\left(U(I,a)\right) \text{ and } \sum_{t=1}^{T+T'}v^{\sigma^{t}}(I)\geq$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \sum_{t=1}^T v^{\sigma^t}(I) \,+\, T'\big(L(I)\big), \ \ \text{so by the definition of} \ \ T', \\ (T+T')\big(\psi^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^{T+T'},T+T'}(I,a)\big) \,\,\leq\,\, \sum_{t=1}^{T+T'} v^{\sigma^t}(I). \ \ \text{So if} \\ \text{regrets in} \ D(I,a) \ \ \text{and} \ \ R^{T+T'}(I,a) \ \ \text{are set according to} \\ \text{Lemma 1, then after} \ T'' \ \ \text{additional iterations of CFR with} \\ \text{RM, the bound on exploitability of} \ \ \bar{\sigma}^{T+T'+T''} \ \ \text{is no worse} \\ \text{than having played} \ T+T'+T'' \ \ \text{iterations of CFR with} \\ \text{RM from scratch.} \end{array}$

C. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Consider an information set I and action $a \in A(I)$ where for every opponent Nash equilibrium strategy $\sigma_{-P(I)}^*$, $CBV^{\sigma_{-P(I)}^*}(I,a) < CBV^{\sigma_{-P(I)}^*}(I)$. Let i = P(I). Let $\delta = \min_{\sigma_{-i} \in \Sigma^*} \left(CBV^{\sigma_{-i}}(I) - CBV^{\sigma_{-i}}(I,a)\right)$ where Σ^* is the set of Nash equilibria. Let $\sigma'_{-i} = \arg\max_{\sigma_{-i} \in \Sigma_{-i} \mid CBV^{\sigma_{-i}}(I) - CBV^{\sigma_{-i}}(I,a) \leq \frac{3\delta}{4}} u_{-i}(\sigma_{-i},BR(\sigma_{-i}))$ Since σ'_{-i} is not a Nash equilibrium strategy and CFR converges to a Nash equilibrium strategy for both players, so there exists a T_{δ} such that for all $T \geq T_{\delta}$, $CBV^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T}(I) - CBV^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T}(I,a) > \frac{3\delta}{4}$. Let $T'_{I,a} = \frac{4|\mathcal{I}|^2\Delta^2|A|}{\delta^2}$. For $T \geq T'_{I,a}$ since $R_i^T \leq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_i} R^T(I)$, so $CBV^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T}(I) - \sum_{t=1}^T v^{\sigma^t}(I) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$. Let $T_{I,a} = \max(T'_{I,a}, T_{\delta})$ and $\delta_{I,a} = \frac{\delta}{4}$. Then for $T \geq T_{I,a}, CBV^{\bar{\sigma}_{-i}^T}(I,a) - \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T v^{\sigma^t}(I)}{T} \leq -\delta_{I,a}$.

D. Proof of Corollary 1

Proof. Let $I \not\in \mathcal{I}_S$. Then $I \in D(I',a')$ for some I' and $a' \in A(I')$ such that for every opponent Nash equilibrium strategy $\sigma^*_{-P(I')}$, $CBV^{\sigma^*_{-P(I')}}(I',a') < CBV^{\sigma^*_{-P(I')}}(I')$. Applying Theorem 2, this means there exists a $T_{I',a'}$ and $\delta_{I',a'} > 0$ such that for $T \geq T_{I',a'}$, $CBV^{\bar{\sigma}^T_{-i}}(I',a') - \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T v^{\sigma^t}(I')}{T} \leq -\delta_{I',a'}$. So (5) always applies for $T \geq T_{I',a'}$ for I' and a' and I will always be pruned. Since (8) does not require knowledge of regret, it need not be stored for I.

Since D(I',a') will always be pruned for $T \geq T_{I',a'}$, so for any $T \geq \frac{(T_{I',a'})^2}{C^2}$ iterations for some constant C > 0, $\pi_i^{\bar{\sigma}^T}(I) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}$, which satisfies the threshold of the average strategy. Thus, the average strategy in D(I,a) can be discarded.

E. Lemma 2

Lemma 2. If for all $T \geq T'$ iterations of CFR with BRP, $T(CBV^{\bar{\sigma}^T}(I,a)) - \sum_{t=1}^T v^{\sigma^t}(I) \leq -xT$ for some x > 0, then any history h' such that $h \cdot a \sqsubseteq h'$ for some $h \in I$ need only be traversed at most $O(\ln(T))$ times.

Proof. Let $a \in A(I)$ be an action such that for all $T \ge T'$, $T(CBV^{\bar{\sigma}^T}(I,a)) - \sum_{t=1}^T v^{\sigma^t}(I) \le -xT$ for some x > 0. $\psi^{\bar{\sigma}^T_{-i},T}(I,a) \le CBV^{\bar{\sigma}^T_{-i}}$, so from Theorem 1, D(I,a) can be pruned for $m \ge \lfloor \frac{xT}{U(I,a)-L(I)} \rfloor$ iterations on iteration T. Thus, over iterations $T \le t \le T+m$, only a constant number of traversals must be done. So each iteration requires only $\frac{C}{m}$ work when amortized, where C is a constant. Since x, U(I,a), and L(I) are constants, so on each iteration $t \ge T'$, only an average of $\frac{C}{t}$ traversals of D(I,a) is required. Summing over all $t \le T$ for $T \ge T'$, and recognizing that T' is a constant, we get that action a is only taken $O\left(\ln(T)\right)$ over T iterations. Thus, any history h' such that $h \cdot a \sqsubseteq h'$ for some $h \in I$ need only be traversed at most $O\left(\ln(T)\right)$ times. \square

F. Proof of Theorem 3

Proof. Consider an $h^* \not\in S$. Then there exists some $h \cdot a \sqsubseteq h^*$ such that $h \in S$ but $h \cdot a \not\in S$. Let I = I(h) and i = P(I). Since $h \cdot a \not\in S$ but $h \in S$, so for every Nash equilibrium σ^* , $CBV^{\sigma^*}(I,a) < CBV^{\sigma^*}(I)$. From Theorem 2, there exists a $T_{I,a}$ and $\delta_{I,a} > 0$ such that after $T \geq T_{I,a}$ iterations of CFR, $CBV^{\bar{\sigma}^T_{-i}}(I,a) - \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T v^{\sigma^t(I)}}{T} \leq -\delta_{I,a}$. Thus from Lemma 2, h^* need only be traversed at most $O\left(\ln(T)\right)$ times.