1100 Supplementary 1101 8.3. Proof of Lemma 1 1102 From the optimality condition of the x problem (7a) we have 1159 $\nabla f(x^{r+1}) + A^T(\mu^r + \beta A x^{r+1}) + \beta B^T B(x^{r+1} - x^r) = 0.$ Applying (7b), we have $A^{T} \mu^{r+1} = -\nabla f(x^{r+1}) - \beta B^{T} B(x^{r+1} - x^{r}).$ (33)1109 1110 From equation (7b) $(\mu^{r+1} = \mu^r + \beta A^T \mu^r)$ it is clear the difference of the dual variables lies in the column space of A. Therefore the following is true $\sigma_{\min}^{1/2} \|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\| \le \|A^T (\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r)\|.$ 1114 This inequality combined with (33) implies that $\|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\| \le \frac{1}{\sigma^{1/2}} \| - \nabla f(x^{r+1}) - \beta B^T B(x^{r+1} - x^r) - (-\nabla f(x^r) - \beta B^T B(x^r - x^{r-1})) \|$ 1118 1173 $= \frac{1}{\sigma^{1/2}} \left\| \nabla f(x^r) - \nabla f(x^{r+1}) - \beta B^T B w^r \right\|.$ 1119 1120 1121 Squaring both sides and dividing by β , we obtain the desired result. Q.E.D. 1122 8.4. Proof of Lemma 2 1179 Since f(x) has Lipschitz continuous gradient, and that $A^TA + B^TB \succeq I$ by Assumption [A1], it is known that if $\beta > L$, then the x-problem (7a) is strongly convex with modulus $\gamma := \beta - L > 0$; See (Zlobec, 2005) [Theorem 1181 2.1]. That is, we have 1128 $L_{\beta}(x,\mu^r) + \frac{\beta}{2} \|x - x^r\|_{B^T B}^2 - (L_{\beta}(z,\mu^r) + \frac{\beta}{2} \|z - x^r\|_{B^T B}^2)$ 1129 1184 $\geq \langle \nabla_x L_\beta(z, \mu^r) + \beta(B^T B(z - x^r)), x - z \rangle + \frac{\gamma}{2} ||x - z||^2, \ \forall \ x, z \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ \forall \ \mu^r.$ (34)1186 1133 1188 Using this property, we have 1189 $L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}) - L_{\beta}(x^{r}, \mu^{r})$ 1135 $= L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, u^{r+1}) - L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, u^{r}) + L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, u^{r}) - L_{\beta}(x^{r}, u^{r})$ $\leq L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}) - L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r}) + L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r}) + \frac{\beta}{2} ||x^{r+1} - x^{r}||_{B^{T}B}^{2} - L_{\beta}(x^{r}, \mu^{r})$ 1139 $\stackrel{\text{(i)}}{\leq} \frac{\|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\|^2}{\beta} + \langle \nabla_x L_\beta(x^{r+1}, \mu^r) + \beta (B^T B(x^{r+1} - x^r)), x^{r+1} - x^r \rangle - \frac{\gamma}{2} \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2$ $\stackrel{\text{(ii)}}{\leq} \frac{\|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\|^2}{\beta} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2$ 1199 $\leq \frac{1}{\sigma_{r+1}} \left(\frac{2L^2}{\beta} \left\| x^r - x^{r+1} \right\|^2 + 2\beta \left\| B^T B w^r \right\|^2 \right) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2$ 1200 $= -\left(\frac{\beta - L}{2} - \frac{2L^2}{\beta \sigma_{\min}}\right) \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 + \frac{2\beta}{\sigma_{\min}} \|B^T B w^r\|^2$ (35)1148 11491204 where in (i) we have used (34) with the identification $z = x^{r+1}$ and $x = x^r$ and the fact that 1150 1205 $L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}) - L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r}) = \langle \mu^{r+1} - \mu^{r}, Ax^{r+1} \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta} \|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^{r}\|^{2}$

; in (ii) we have used the optimality condition for the x-subproblem (7a). The claim is proved.

Q.E.D.

1209

1152

1210 **8.5.** Proof of Lemma 3 1211 From the optimality condition of the x-subproblem (7a) we have 1212 1267 $\langle \nabla f(x^{r+1}) + A^T u^r + \beta A^T A x^{r+1} + \beta B^T B (x^{r+1} - x^r), x^{r+1} - x \rangle < 0, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^Q.$ 1213 1214 1269 (36)If we shift r to r-1, we get $\langle \nabla f(x^r) + A^T u^{r-1} + \beta A^T A x^r + \beta B^T B (x^r - x^{r-1}), x^r - x \rangle \leq 0, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^Q.$ 1218 Plugging $x = x^r$ into the first inequality and $x = x^{r+1}$ into the second, adding the resulting inequalities and utilizing the μ -update step (7b) we obtain $\langle \nabla f(x^{r+1}) - \nabla f(x^r) + A^T(\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r) + \beta B^T B w^r, x^{r+1} - x^r \rangle < 0.$ Rearranging, we have $\langle A^T(\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r), x^{r+1} - x^r \rangle \le -\langle \nabla f(x^{r+1}) - \nabla f(x^r) + \beta B^T B w^r, x^{r+1} - x^r \rangle.$ (37)1279 1280 Let us bound the lhs and the rhs of (37) separately. 1281 First the lhs of (37) can be expressed as 1227 1282 $\langle A^{T}(\mu^{r+1} - \mu^{r}), x^{r+1} - x^{r} \rangle = \langle \beta A^{T} A x^{r+1}, x^{r+1} - x^{r} \rangle$ 1228 $=\langle \beta Ax^{r+1}, Ax^{r+1} - Ax^r \rangle$ 1285 $= \beta \|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 - \beta \langle Ax^{r+1}, Ax^r \rangle$ 1286 1287 $= \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 - \|Ax^r\|^2 + \|A(x^{r+1} - x^r)\|^2 \right).$ (38)1289 Second we have the following bound for the rhs of (37) $-\langle \nabla f(x^{r+1}) - \nabla f(x^r) + \beta B^T B w^r, x^{r+1} - x^r \rangle$ 1236 $< L \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 - \beta \langle B^T B w^r, x^{r+1} - x^r \rangle$ $= L\|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\|x^r - x^{r-1}\|_{B^T B}^2 - \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|_{B^T B}^2 - \|w^r\|_{B^T B}^2 \right).$ 1239 (39)Combining the above two bounds, we have 1241 1242 $\frac{\beta}{2} \left(\|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 + \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|_{B^T B}^2 \right) \le L \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\|x^r - x^{r-1}\|_{B^T B}^2 + \|Ax^r\|^2 \right)$ 1297 1243 1244 1299 $-\frac{\beta}{2} \left(\|w^r\|_{B^T B}^2 + \|A(x^{r+1} - x^r)\|^2 \right).$ 1245 Q.E.D. The desired claim is proved. 1247 1248 8.6. Proof of Lemma 4 1249 Multiplying both sides of (10) by the constant c and then add them to (9), we obtain $L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}) + \frac{c\beta}{2} \left(\|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 + \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|_{B^T B}^2 \right)$ $\leq L_{\beta}(x^r, \mu^r) + cL\|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 + \frac{c\beta}{2} (\|x^r - x^{r-1}\|_{B^TB}^2 + \|Ax^r\|^2)$ 1309 $-\left(\frac{\beta - L}{2} - \frac{2L^2}{\beta \sigma_{\min}}\right) \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 + \frac{2\beta}{\sigma_{\min}} \|B^T B w^r\|^2$ $-\frac{c\beta}{2} \left(\|w^r\|_{B^TB}^2 + \|A(x^{r+1} - x^r)\|^2 \right)$ 1258 $\leq L_{\beta}(x^r, \mu^r) + \frac{c\beta}{2} \left(\|x^r - x^{r-1}\|_{B^TB}^2 + \|Ax^r\|^2 \right)$ $-\left(\frac{\beta - L}{2} - \frac{2L^2}{\beta \sigma_{min}} - cL\right) \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 - \left(\frac{c\beta}{2} - \frac{2\beta \|B^T B\|_F}{\sigma_{min}}\right) \|w^r\|_{B^T B}^2.$ 1319

1320 The desired result is proved.

Q.E.D.

1379

1384

1387

1389

1396

1399

1406

1409

1429

1322 **8.7.** Proof of Lemma **5**

1329

1340

1347

1349

1354

1358 1359

1370

To prove this we need to utilize the boundedness assumption in [A2].

1325 First, we can express the augmented Lagrangian function as following

$$L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}) = f(x^{r+1}) + \langle \mu^{r+1}, Ax^{r+1} \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} ||Ax^{r+1}||^{2}$$

$$= f(x^{r+1}) + \frac{1}{\beta} \langle \mu^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1} - \mu^{r} \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} ||Ax^{r+1}||^{2}$$

$$= f(x^{r+1}) + \frac{1}{2\beta} \left(||\mu^{r+1}||^{2} - ||\mu^{r}||^{2} + ||\mu^{r+1} - \mu^{r}||^{2} \right) + \frac{\beta}{2} ||Ax^{r+1}||^{2}.$$

Therefore, summing over $r = 1 \cdots, T$, we obtain

$$\sum_{r=1}^{T} L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}) = \sum_{r=1}^{T} \left(f(x^{r+1}) + \frac{\beta}{2} ||Ax^{r+1}||^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta} ||\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r||^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2\beta} \left(||\mu^{T+1}||^2 - ||\mu^1||^2 \right).$$

1338 Suppose Assumption [A2] is satisfied and β is chosen according to (13) and (14), then clearly the above sum is 1339 lower bounded since

$$f(x) + \frac{\beta}{2} ||Ax||^2 \ge f(x) + \frac{\delta}{2} ||Ax||^2 \ge 0, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^Q.$$

This fact implies that the sum of the potential function is also lower bounded (note, the remaining terms in the potential function are all nonnegative), that is

$$\sum_{r=1}^{T} P_{c,\beta}(x^{r+1}, x^r, \mu^{r+1}) > -\infty, \quad \forall \ T > 0.$$

Note that if c and β are chosen according to (13) and (14), then $P_{c,\beta}(x^{r+1}, x^r, \mu^{r+1})$ is nonincreasing. Combined with the lower boundedness of the sum of the potential function, we can conclude that the following is true

$$P_{c,\beta}(x^{r+1}, x^r, \mu^{r+1}) > -\infty, \quad \forall \ r > 0.$$
 (40)

1352 This completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

8.8. Proof of Theorm 1

First we prove part (1). Combining Lemmas 4 and 5, we conclude that $||x^{r+1} - x^r||^2 \to 0$. Then according to (8), in the limit we have $\mu^{r+1} \to \mu^r$, or equivalently $Ax^r \to 0$. That is, the constraint violation will be satisfied in the limit.

Then we prove part (2). From the optimality condition of x-update step (7a) we have

$$\nabla f(x^{r+1}) + A^T \mu^r + \beta A^T (Ax^{r+1}) + \beta B^T B(x^{r+1} - x^r) = 0.$$

Then we argue that $\{\mu^r\}$ is a bounded sequence if $\nabla f(x^{r+1})$ is bounded. Indeed the fact that $\|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 \to 0$ and $Ax^{r+1} \to 0$ imply that both $(x^{r+1} - x^r)$ and Ax^{r+1} are bounded. Then the boundedness of μ^r follows from the assumption that $\nabla f(x)$ is bounded for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^Q$, and that μ^r lies in the column space of A.

Then we argue that $\{x^r\}$ is bounded if $f(x) + \frac{\beta}{2} ||Ax||^2$ is coercive. Note that the potential function can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} P_{c,\beta}(x^{r+1},x^r,\mu^{r+1}) &= f(x^{r+1}) + \langle \mu^{r+1},Ax^{r+1}\rangle + \frac{\beta}{2}\|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 + \frac{c\beta}{2}\left(\|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 + \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|_{B^TB}^2\right) \\ &= f(x^{r+1}) + \frac{1}{2\beta}(\|\mu^{r+1}\|^2 - \|\mu^r\|^2 + \|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\|^2) + \frac{\beta}{2}\|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 \\ &+ \frac{c\beta}{2}\left(\|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 + \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|_{B^TB}^2\right) \end{split}$$

1430 and by our analysis in Lemma 5 we know that it is decreasing thus upper bounded. Suppose that $\{x^r\}$ is 1431 unbounded and let \mathcal{K} denote an infinite subset of iteration index in which $\lim_{r \in \mathcal{K}} x^r = \infty$. Passing limit to 1432 $P_{c,\beta}(x^{r+1},x^r,\mu^{r+1})$ over \mathcal{K} , and using the fact that $x^{r+1} \to x^r$, $\mu^{r+1} \to \mu^r$, we have 1433

$$\lim_{r \in \mathcal{K}} P_{c,\beta}(x^{r+1}, x^r, \mu^{r+1}) = \lim_{r \in \mathcal{K}} f(x^{r+1}) + \frac{c\beta + \beta}{2} ||Ax^{r+1}|| = \infty$$

1437 where the last equality comes from the coerciveness assumption. This is a contradiction to the fact that the 1438 potential function $P_{c,\beta}(x^{r+1},x^r,\mu^{r+1})$ is upper bounded. This concludes the proof for the second part of the 1439 result.

Then we prove part (3). Let \mathcal{K} denote any converging infinite iteration index such that $\{(\mu^r, x^r)\}_{r \in \mathcal{K}}$ converges to the limit point (μ^*, x^*) . Passing limit in \mathcal{K} , and using the fact that $||x^{r+1} - x^r|| \to 0$, we have

$$\nabla f(x^*) + A^T \mu^* + \beta A^T A x^* = 0.$$

Combined with the fact that $Ax^* = 0$, we conclude that (μ^*, x^*) is indeed a stationary point of the original problem (5), satisfying (16).

1448 Additionally, even if the sequence $\{x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}\}$ does not have a limit point, from part (1) we still have $\|\mu^{r+1} - 1449 \|\mu^r\| \to 0$ and $\|x^r - x^{r+1}\| \to 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \nabla_x L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^r) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \nabla f(x^{r+1}) \stackrel{\text{(i)}}{=} \lim_{r \to \infty} -\beta B^T B(x^{r+1} - x^r) = 0$$

where (i) is from the optimality condition of the x-subproblem (7a). Therefore we have $Q(x^{r+1}, \mu^r) \to 0$.

Finally we prove part (4). Our first step is to bound the size of the gradient of the augmented Lagrangian. From the optimality condition of the x-problem (7a), we have

$$\|\nabla_x L_{\beta}(x^r, \mu^{r-1})\|^2 = \|\nabla_x L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^r) + \beta B^T B(x^{r+1} - x^r) - \nabla_x L_{\beta}(x^r, \mu^{r-1})\|^2$$

$$= \|\nabla f(x^{r+1}) - \nabla f(x^r) + A^T (\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r) + \beta B^T B(x^{r+1} - x^r)\|^2$$

$$\leq 3L^2 \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 + 3\|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\|^2 \|A^T A\| + 3\beta^2 \|B^T B(x^{r+1} - x^r)\|^2.$$

By utilizing the estimate (8), we see that there must exist a constant $\xi > 0$ such that the following is true

$$Q(x^r, \mu^{r-1}) = \|\nabla_x L_{\beta}(x^r, \mu^{r-1})\|^2 + \beta \|Ax^r\|^2 \le \xi \|x^r - x^{r+1}\|^2 + \xi \|B^T Bw^r\|^2.$$

From the descent estimate (9) we see that there must exist a constant $\nu > 0$ such that

$$P_{c,\beta}(x^{r+1}, x^r, \mu^{r+1}) - P_{c,\beta}(x^r, x^{r-1}, \mu^r) \le -\nu \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 - \nu \|B^T B w^r\|^2.$$

1471 Matching the above two bounds, we have

$$Q(x^r, \mu^{r-1}) \le \frac{\nu}{\xi} \left(P_{c,\beta}(x^r, x^{r-1}, \mu^r) - P_{c,\beta}(x^{r+1}, x^r, \mu^{r+1}) \right).$$

Summing over r, and let T denote the first time that $Q(x^r, \mu^{r-1})$ reaches below φ , we obtain

$$\varphi \leq \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{r=1}^{T-1} Q(x^r, \mu^{r-1}) \leq \frac{1}{T-1} \frac{\nu}{\xi} \left(P_{c,\beta}(x^1, x^0, \mu^1) - P_{c,\beta}(x^T, x^{T-1}, \mu^T) \right)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{T-1} \frac{\nu}{\xi} \left(P_{c,\beta}(x^1, x^0, \mu^1) - \underline{P} \right) := \frac{\nu}{T-1}.$$

We conclude that the convergence in term of the optimality gap function $Q(x^{r+1}, \mu^r)$ is sublinear. Q.E.D.

8.9. The Analysis Outline for Prox-GPDA

First, following the derivation leading to (8) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\|^2 \le \frac{2L^2}{\beta \sigma_{\min}} \|x^r - x^{r-1}\|^2 + \frac{2\beta}{\sigma_{\min}} \|B^T B w^r\|^2.$$
 (41)

Note that the first term is now related to the square of the difference between the previous two iterations.

Following the proof steps in Lemma 2, the descent of the augmented Lagrangian is given by

$$L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}) - L_{\beta}(x^{r}, \mu^{r})$$

$$\leq -\frac{\beta - L}{2} \|x^{r+1} - x^{r}\|^{2} + \frac{2\beta}{\sigma_{\min}} \|B^{T}Bw^{r}\|^{2} + \frac{2L^{2}}{\beta\sigma_{\min}} \|x^{r} - x^{r-1}\|^{2}.$$
(42)

In the third step we have the following estimate

$$\frac{\beta}{2} \left(\|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 + \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|_{B^T B}^2 \right)
\leq \frac{L}{2} \|x^{r-1} - x^r\|^2 + \frac{L}{2} \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\|x^r - x^{r-1}\|_{B^T B}^2 + \|Ax^r\|^2 \right)
- \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\|w^r\|_{B^T B}^2 + \|A(x^{r+1} - x^r)\|^2 \right).$$
(43)

Note that the first two terms come from the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle x^{r+1} - x^r, \nabla f(x^r) - \nabla f(x^{r-1}) \rangle &\leq \frac{L}{2} \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 + \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x^r) - \nabla f(x^{r-1})\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{L}{2} \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 + \frac{L}{2} \|x^r - x^{r-1}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is the application of Young's inequality.

In the fourth step we have the following overall descent estimate

$$L_{\beta}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}) + \frac{c\beta}{2} \left(\|Ax^{r+1}\|^{2} + \|x^{r+1} - x^{r}\|_{B^{T}B}^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq L_{\beta}(x^{r}, \mu^{r}) + \frac{c\beta}{2} \left(\|x^{r} - x^{r-1}\|_{B^{T}B}^{2} + \|Ax^{r}\|^{2} \right) - \left(\frac{\beta - L}{2} - \frac{cL}{2} \right) \|x^{r+1} - x^{r}\|^{2}$$

$$+ \left(\frac{2L^{2}}{\beta \sigma_{\min}} + \frac{cL}{2} \right) \|x^{r-1} - x^{r}\|^{2} - \left(\frac{c\beta}{2} - \frac{2\beta \|B^{T}B\|}{\sigma_{\min}} \right) \|w^{r}\|_{B^{T}B}^{2}.$$

$$(44)$$

Note that there is a slight difference between this descent estimate and our previous estimate (12), because now there is a positive term in the rhs, which involves $||x^r - x^{r-1}||^2$. Therefore the potential function is difficult to decrease by itself. Fortunately, such extra term can be bounded by the descent of the *previous* iteration. We can take the summation over all the iterations and obtain

$$\begin{split} &L_{\beta}(x^{T+1},\mu^{T+1}) + \frac{c\beta}{2} \left(\|Ax^{T+1}\|^2 + \|x^{T+1} - x^T\|_{B^TB}^2 \right) \\ &\leq L_{\beta}(x^1,\mu^1) + \frac{c\beta}{2} \left(\|x^1 - x^0\|_{B^TB}^2 + \|Ax^1\|^2 \right) + \left(\frac{2L^2}{\beta\sigma_{\min}} + cL \right) \|x^0 - x^1\|^2 \\ &- \sum_{r=1}^{T-1} \left(\frac{\beta - L}{2} - \frac{2L^2}{\beta\sigma_{\min}} - cL \right) \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 - \sum_{r=1}^{T} \left(\frac{c\beta}{2} - \frac{2\beta \|B^TB\|}{\sigma_{\min}} \right) \|w^r\|_{B^TB}^2 \,. \end{split}$$

Clearly as long as the potential function is lower bounded, we have $x^{r+1} \to x^r$ and $x^{r+1} - x^r \to x^r - x^{r-1}$. The rest of the proof follows similar steps leading to Theorem 1, hence is omitted.

9. Proof of Convergence for Prox-PDA-IP

In this part we present the convergence analysis for Prox-PDA-IP algorithm which main steps are given in (19) and (20). Our analysis consists of a series of steps.

Step 1. Our first step is again to bound the size of the successive difference of $\{\mu^r\}$. To this end, write down the optimality condition for the x-update (19) as

$$A^{T}\mu^{r+1} = -\nabla f(x^{r+1}) - \beta^{r+1}B^{T}B(x^{r+1} - x^{r}). \tag{45}$$

1708

1709

1710

1712

 $1716 \\ 1717$

1719

1721

1724

1729

1733

1749

1759

1659 Subtracting the previous iteration, we obtain

$$A^{T}(\mu^{r+1} - \mu^{r}) = -(\nabla f(x^{r+1}) - \nabla f(x^{r})) - \beta^{r}B^{T}B(w^{r}) - (\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r})B^{T}B(x^{r+1} - x^{r}). \tag{46}$$

Therefore, using the fact that $\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r \in col(A)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\beta^{r+1}} \|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\|^2 \le \frac{3}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}} \left(L^2 + (\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r)^2 \|B^TB\| \right) \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 + \frac{3(\beta^r)^2}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}} \|B^TB(w^r)\|^2. \tag{47}$$

Also from the optimality condition we have the following relation

$$x^{r+1} = x^r - \frac{1}{\beta^{r+1}} (B^T B)^{-1} \left(\nabla f(x^{r+1}) + A^T \mu^{r+1} \right) := x^r - \frac{1}{\beta^{r+1}} v^{r+1}, \tag{48}$$

where we have defined the primal update direction v^{r+1} as

$$v^{r+1} = (B^T B)^{-1} \left(\nabla f(x^{r+1}) + A^T \mu^{r+1} \right).$$

Step 2. In the second step we analyze the descent of the augmented Lagrangian. We have the following estimate

$$L_{\beta^{r+1}}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}) - L_{\beta^{r}}(x^{r}, \mu^{r})$$

$$= L_{\beta^{r+1}}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}) - L_{\beta^{r+1}}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r}) + L_{\beta^{r+1}}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r}) - L_{\beta^{r+1}}(x^{r}, \mu^{r}) + L_{\beta^{r+1}}(x^{r}, \mu^{r}) - L_{\beta^{r}}(x^{r}, \mu^{r})$$

$$\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \frac{1}{\beta^{r+1}} \|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^{r}\|^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r}}{2(\beta^{r})^{2}} \|\mu^{r} - \mu^{r-1}\|^{2} - \frac{\beta^{r+1} - L}{2} \|x^{r+1} - x^{r}\|^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} -\left(\frac{\beta^{r+1} - L}{2} - \frac{3}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}} \left(L^{2} + (\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r})^{2} \|B^{T}B\|\right)\right) \|x^{r+1} - x^{r}\|^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r}}{2(\beta^{r})^{2}} \|\mu^{r} - \mu^{r-1}\|^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{3(\beta^{r})^{2}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}} \|B^{T}B(w^{r})\|^{2}$$

$$(49)$$

where in (i) we have used the optimality of the x-subproblem (cf. the derivation in (35)), and the fact that

$$L_{\beta^{r+1}}(x^r, \mu^r) - L_{\beta^r}(x^r, \mu^r) = \frac{\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r}{2} ||Ax^r||^2 = \frac{\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r}{2(\beta^r)^2} ||\mu^r - \mu^{r-1}||^2;$$
 (50)

in (ii) we have applied (47).

1676

1678

1679

1681

1688 1689

1690

1696

1699

1702

Step 3. In the third step, we construct the remaining part of the potential function. We have the following two inequalities from the optimality condition of the x-update (19)

$$\langle \nabla f(x^{r+1}) + A^T \mu^{r+1} + \beta^{r+1} B^T B(x^{r+1} - x^r), x^{r+1} - x \rangle \le 0, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^Q$$

$$\langle \nabla f(x^r) + A^T \mu^r + \beta^r B^T B(x^r - x^{r-1}), x^r - x \rangle \le 0, \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^Q.$$

1700 Plugging $x = x^r$ and $x = x^{r+1}$ to these two equations and adding them together, we obtain

$$\langle A^{T}(\mu^{r+1} - \mu^{r}), x^{r+1} - x^{r} \rangle$$

$$\leq -\langle \nabla f(x^{r+1}) - \nabla f(x^{r}), x^{r+1} - x^{r} \rangle - \langle B^{T}B(\beta^{r+1}(x^{r+1} - x^{r}) - \beta^{r}(x^{r} - x^{r-1})), x^{r+1} - x^{r} \rangle.$$

The lhs of the above inequality can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} &\langle A^T(\mu^{r+1}-\mu^r), x^{r+1}-x^r\rangle \\ &=\frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2}\left(\|Ax^{r+1}\|^2-\|Ax^r\|^2+\|A(x^{r+1}-x^r)\|^2\right) \\ &=\frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2}\|Ax^{r+1}\|^2-\frac{\beta^r}{2}\|Ax^r\|^2+\frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2}\|A(x^{r+1}-x^r)\|^2+\frac{\beta^r-\beta^{r+1}}{2}\|Ax^r\|^2, \end{split}$$

 $1849 \\ 1850$

while its rhs can be bounded as

$$\begin{split} &-\langle \nabla f(x^{r+1}) - \nabla f(x^r), x^{r+1} - x^r \rangle - \langle B^T B(\beta^{r+1}(x^{r+1} - x^r) - \beta^r(x^r - x^{r-1})), x^{r+1} - x^r \rangle \\ &\leq L \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 - (\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r) \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|_{B^T B}^2 \\ &+ \frac{\beta^r}{2} \left(\|x^r - x^{r-1}\|_{B^T B}^2 - \|x^r - x^{r+1}\|_{B^T B}^2 - \|w^r\|_{B^T B}^2 \right) \\ &= L \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 - \frac{\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r}{2} \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|_{B^T B}^2 \\ &+ \frac{\beta^r}{2} \|x^r - x^{r-1}\|_{B^T B}^2 - \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \|x^r - x^{r+1}\|_{B^T B}^2 - \frac{\beta^r}{2} \|w^r\|_{B^T B}^2 \\ &\leq L \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 + \frac{\beta^r}{2} \|x^r - x^{r-1}\|_{B^T B}^2 - \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \|x^r - x^{r+1}\|_{B^T B}^2 - \frac{\beta^r}{2} \|w^r\|_{B^T B}^2. \end{split}$$

Therefore, combining the above three inequalities we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2}\|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2}\|x^r - x^{r+1}\|_{B^TB}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\beta^r}{2}\|Ax^r\|^2 + \frac{\beta^r}{2}\|x^r - x^{r-1}\|_{B^TB}^2 + \frac{\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r}{2(\beta^r)^2}\|\mu^{r-1} - \mu^r\|^2 + L\|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 - \frac{\beta^r}{2}\|w^r\|_{B^TB}^2. \end{split}$$

Multiplying both sides by β^r , we obtain

$$\frac{\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{2}\|Ax^{r+1}\|^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{2}\|x^{r} - x^{r+1}\|_{B^{T}B}^{2} \\
\leq \frac{\beta^{r}\beta^{r-1}}{2}\|Ax^{r}\|^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r}\beta^{r-1}}{2}\|x^{r} - x^{r-1}\|_{B^{T}B}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r}}{2\beta^{r}}\|\mu^{r-1} - \mu^{r}\|^{2} + \beta^{r}L\|x^{r+1} - x^{r}\|^{2} \\
- \frac{(\beta^{r})^{2}}{2}\|w^{r}\|_{B^{T}B}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r}(\beta^{r} - \beta^{r-1})}{2}\|Ax^{r}\|^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r}(\beta^{r} - \beta^{r-1})}{2}\|x^{r} - x^{r-1}\|_{B^{T}B}^{2} \\
= \frac{\beta^{r}\beta^{r-1}}{2}\|Ax^{r}\|^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r}\beta^{r-1}}{2}\|x^{r} - x^{r-1}\|_{B^{T}B}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r-1}}{2\beta^{r}}\|\mu^{r-1} - \mu^{r}\|^{2} + \beta^{r}L\|x^{r+1} - x^{r}\|^{2} \\
- \frac{(\beta^{r})^{2}}{2}\|w^{r}\|_{B^{T}B}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r}(\beta^{r} - \beta^{r-1})}{2}\|x^{r} - x^{r-1}\|_{B^{T}B}^{2} \tag{51}$$

where in the last equality we have merged the terms $\frac{\beta^{r+1}-\beta^r}{2\beta^r}\|\mu^{r-1}-\mu^r\|^2$ and $\frac{\beta^r(\beta^r-\beta^{r-1})}{2}\|Ax^r\|^2$.

Step 4. In this step we construct and estimate the descent of the potential function. For some given c > 0, let us define the potential function as

$$P_{\beta^{r+1},c}(x^{r+1},x^r,\mu^{r+1}) = L_{\beta^{r+1}}(x^{r+1},\mu^{r+1}) + \frac{c\beta^{r+1}\beta^r}{2} \|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 + \frac{c\beta^{r+1}\beta^r}{2} \|x^r - x^{r+1}\|_{B^TB}^2.$$

Note that this potential function has some major differences compared with the one we used before; cf. (11). In particular, the second and the third terms are now quadratic, rather than linear, in the penalty parameters. This new construction is the key to our following analysis.

Then combining the estimate in (51) and (49), we obtain

$$P_{\beta^{r+1},c}(x^{r+1}, x^r, \mu^{r+1}) - P_{\beta^r,c}(x^r, x^{r-1}, \mu^r)$$

$$\leq -\left(\frac{\beta^{r+1} - L}{2} - \frac{3}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}} \left(L^2 + (\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r)^2 \|B^T B\|\right) - c\beta^r L\right) \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2$$

$$+ \frac{\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r-1}}{2\beta^r} \left(\frac{1}{\beta^r} + c\right) \|\mu^r - \mu^{r-1}\|^2 + \frac{c\beta^r (\beta^r - \beta^{r-1})}{2} \|x^r - x^{r-1}\|_{B^T B}^2$$

$$-\left(\frac{c(\beta^r)^2}{2} - \frac{3(\beta^r)^2 \|B^T B\|}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}}\right) \|w^r\|_{B^T B}^2$$
(52)

where in the inequality we have also used the fact that $\beta^r \geq \beta^{r-1}$.

Taking the sum of r from t to T (for some T > t > 1) and utilize again the estimate in (47), we have

$$\begin{split} &P_{\beta^{T+1},c}(x^{T+1},x^{T},\mu^{T+1}) - P_{\beta^{t},c}(x^{t},x^{t-1},\mu^{t}) \\ &\leq \sum_{r=t}^{T} - \left(\frac{\beta^{r+1} - L}{2} - \frac{3 + 3(1/\beta^{r} + c)(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r-1})/2\beta^{r}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}} \left(L^{2} + (\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r-1})^{2} \|B^{T}B\|\right) \\ &- c\beta^{r}L - \frac{c\beta^{r+1}(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r})\|B^{T}B\|}{2}\right) \|x^{r+1} - x^{r}\|^{2} \\ &- \left(\frac{c(\beta^{r})^{2}}{2} - \frac{(3 + 3(1/\beta^{r} + c)(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r-1})/2\beta^{r})(\beta^{r})^{2} \|B^{T}B\|}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}}\right) \|w^{r}\|_{B^{T}B}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{c\beta^{t}(\beta^{t} - \beta^{t-1})}{2} \|x^{t} - x^{t-1}\|_{B^{T}B}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{t+1} - \beta^{t-1}}{2\beta^{t}} (1/\beta^{t} + c)\|\mu^{t} - \mu^{t-1}\|^{2}. \end{split} \tag{53}$$

First, note that for any $c \in (0,1)$, the coefficient in front of $\|w^r\|_{B^TB}^2$ becomes negative for sufficiently large (but finite) t. This is because $\{\beta^r\} \to \infty$, and that the first term in the parenthesis scales in $\mathcal{O}((\beta^r)^2)$ while the second term scales in $\mathcal{O}(\beta^r)$. For the first term to be negative, we need c>0 to be small enough such that the following is true for large enough r

$$\frac{\beta^{r+1} - L}{2} - c\beta^r L - \frac{c\beta^{r+1}(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r) \|B^T B\|}{2} > \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{24}.$$

Suppose that r is large enough such that $(\beta^{r+1} - L)/2 > \beta^{r+1}/3$, or equivalently $\beta^{r+1} > 3L$. Also choose $c = \min\{1/(4L), 1/(12\kappa ||B^TB||)\}$, where κ is given in (21). Then we have

$$\frac{\beta^{r+1} - L}{2} - c\beta^r L - \frac{c\beta^{r+1}(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r) \|B^T B\|}{2} > \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{3} - \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{4} - \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{24} = \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{24}.$$
 (54)

For this given c, we can also show that the following is true for sufficiently large r

$$\frac{3 + 3(1/\beta^r + c)(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r-1})/2\beta^r}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}} \left(L^2 + (\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r)^2 \|B^T B\| \right) \le \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{48}$$
$$\left(\frac{c(\beta^r)^2}{2} - \frac{(3 + 3(1/\beta^r + c)(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r-1})/2\beta^r)(\beta^r)^2 \|B^T B\|}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}} \right) \ge \frac{c(\beta^r)^2}{48}.$$

1916 In conclusion we have that for sufficiently large but finite t_0 , we have

$$P_{\beta^{T+1},c}(x^{T+1}, x^T, \mu^{T+1}) - P_{\beta^{t_0},c}(x^{t_0-1}, x^{t_0}, \mu^{t_0})$$

$$\leq \sum_{r=t_0}^{T} \left(-\frac{\beta^{r+1}}{48} \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 - \frac{c(\beta^r)^2}{48} \|w^r\|_{B^TB}^2 \right)$$

$$+ \frac{c\beta^{t_0} (\beta^{t_0} - \beta^{t_0-1})}{2} \|x^{t_0} - x^{t_0-1}\|_{B^TB}^2 + \frac{\beta^{t_0+1} - \beta^{t_0-1}}{2\beta^{t_0}} (1/\beta^{t_0} + c) \|\mu^{t_0} - \mu^{t_0-1}\|^2.$$
(55)

1980 Therefore we conclude that if $\{\beta^{r+1}\}$ satisfies (21), and for c > 0 sufficiently small, there exits a finite $t_0 > 0$ 1981 such that for all $T > t_0$, the first two terms of the rhs of (53) are negative.

Step 5. Next we show that the potential function must be lower bounded. Observe that the augmented Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{array}{ll}
1985 & L_{\beta^{r+1}}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}) \\
1987 & = f(x^{r+1}) + \langle \mu^{r+1}, Ax^{r+1} \rangle + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 \\
1988 & \\
1989 & = f(x^{r+1}) + \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}} \left(\|\mu^{r+1}\|^2 - \|\mu^r\|^2 + \|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\|^2 \right) + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 \\
1991 & = f(x^{r+1}) + \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}} \|\mu^{r+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\beta^r} \|\mu^r\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}} \|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2\beta^r} - \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}}\right) \|\mu^r\|^2 + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 \\
1993 & \geq f(x^{r+1}) + \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}} \|\mu^{r+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\beta^r} \|\mu^r\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}} \|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\|^2 + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 \\
1994 & \geq f(x^{r+1}) + \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}} \|\mu^{r+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\beta^r} \|\mu^r\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}} \|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\|^2 + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \|Ax^{r+1}\|^2
\end{array}$$

where we have used the fact that $\beta^{r+1} \geq \beta^r$. Note that t_0 in (55) is a finite number hence $\frac{1}{2\beta^{t_0}} \|\mu^{t_0}\|^2$ is finite, and utilize Assumption [A2], we conclude that

$$\sum_{r=t_0}^{\infty} L_{\beta^{r+1}}(x^{r+1}, \mu^{r+1}) > -\infty.$$
 (56)

2050

 $2051 \\ 2052$

2064

By noting that the remaining terms of the potential function are all nonnegative, we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} P_{\beta^{r+1},c}(x^{r+1}, x^r, \mu^{r+1}) > -\infty.$$
 (57)

Combining (57) and the bound (55) (which is true for a finite $t_0 > 0$), we conclude that the potential function $P_{\beta^{r+1},c}(x^{r+1},x^r,\mu^{r+1})$ is lower bounded for all r.

Step 6. In this step we show that the successive differences of various quantities converge.

The lower boundedness of the potential function combined with the bound (55) (which is true for a finite $t_0 > 0$) 2012 implies that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \beta^{r+1} \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 < \infty, \tag{58a}$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (\beta^r)^2 \|w^r\|_{B^T B}^2 < \infty. \tag{58b}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\beta^{r+1} \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 \to 0, \tag{59a}$$

$$(\beta^r)^2 \|w^r\|_{B^T B}^2 \to 0.$$
 (59b)

These two facts applied to (46), combined with $\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r \in col(A)$, indicate that the following is true

$$\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r \to 0. {(60)}$$

Also (55) implies that the potential function is upper bounded as well, and this indicates that

$$\frac{c\beta^{r+1}\beta^r}{2} \|Ax^{r+1}\|^2 \text{ is bounded,} \quad \frac{c\beta^{r+1}\beta^r}{2} \|x^r - x^{r+1}\|^2 \text{ is bounded.}$$
 (61)

The second of the above inequality implies that $\beta^{r+1}B^TB(x^{r+1}-x^r)$ is bounded. If we further assume that $\nabla f(x)$ is bounded, and use (45), we can conclude that $\{\mu^r\}$ is bounded.

Step 7. Next we show that every limit point of (x^r, μ^r) converges to a stationary solution of problem (5). Let us pass a subsequence \mathcal{K} to (x^r, μ^r) and denote (x^*, μ^*) as its limit point. For notational simplicity, in the following the index r all belongs to the set \mathcal{K} .

From relation (58a) we have that any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists t large enough such that the following is true

$$\sum_{r=t-1}^{\infty} \beta^{r+1} \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 \le \frac{\epsilon}{c\kappa 16}.$$
 (62)

 $2147 \\ 2148$

 $2165 \\ 2166$

Utilizing (48), we have that the following is true

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^{r+1}} \|v^{r+1}\|^2 < \infty, \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \sum_{r=t}^{\infty} (\beta^r)^2 \|w^r\|_{B^T B}^2 = 0.$$
 (63)

2103 The first relation implies that $\liminf_{r\to\infty} ||v^{r+1}|| = 0$. Applying these relations to (47), we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^{r+1}} \|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\|^2 < \infty.$$

This implies that for any given $\epsilon > 0$, c > 0, there exists an index t sufficiently large such that

$$\sum_{r=t-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^{r+1}} \|\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r\|^2 < \frac{\epsilon^2}{4096L \|B^T B\|_F \kappa (1+c)}.$$
 (64)

Applying this inequality and (62) to (55), we have that for large enough t and for any T > t the following is true

$$P_{\beta^{T+1},c}(x^{T+1}, x^T, \mu^{T+1}) - P_{\beta^t,c}(x^t, x^{t-1}, \mu^t) \le -\sum_{r=t}^T \left(\frac{\beta^{r+1}}{48} \|x^{r+1} - x^r\|^2 \right) + \frac{\epsilon^2}{4096L \|B^TB\|}.$$
 (65)

Next we modify a classical argument in (Bertsekas & Tsitsiklis, 1996)[Proposition 3.5] to show that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} ||v^{r+1}|| \to 0$$

2120 We already know from the first relation in (63) that $\liminf_{r\to\infty} \|v^{r+1}\| = 0$. Suppose that $\|v^{r+1}\|$ does not 2121 converge to 0, then we must have $\limsup_{r\to\infty} \|v^{r+1}\| > 0$. Hence there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|v^{r+1}\| < \epsilon/2$ 2122 for infinitely many r, and $\|v^{r+1}\| > \epsilon$ for infinitely many r. Then there exists an infinite subset of iteration 2123 indices \mathcal{R} such that for each $r \in \mathcal{R}$, there exists a t(r) such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v^r\| < \epsilon/2, \quad, \|v^{t(r)}\| > \epsilon, \\ & \epsilon/2 < \|v^t\| \le \epsilon, \quad \forall \ r < t < t(r). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\lim_{r \in \mathcal{K}} \mu^r = \mu^*$, we have that for r large enough, the following is true for all $t \geq 0$

$$\|\mu^r - \mu^{r+t}\| \le \frac{\epsilon}{8} \frac{1}{\|(B^T B)^{-1}\| \|A^T A\|}.$$
 (66)

Without loss of generality we can assume that this relation holds for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$. Note that the following is true

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \|v^{t(r)}\| - \|v^r\| \leq \|v^{t(r)} - v^r\| = \left\| (B^T B)^{-1} \sum_{t=r}^{t(r)-1} (\nabla f(x^{t+1}) - \nabla f(x^t) + A^T (\mu^{t+1} - \mu^t)) \right\| \\
\leq \|(B^T B)^{-1}\| \left(\sum_{t=r}^{t(r)-1} \|\nabla f(x^{t+1}) - \nabla f(x^t)\| + \|A^T A\| \|\mu^{t(r)} - \mu^r\| \right) \\
\leq \|(B^T B)^{-1}\| \left(\sum_{t=r}^{t(r)-1} \frac{L}{\beta^{t+1}} \|v^{t+1}\| + \|A^T A\| \|\mu^{t(r)} - \mu^r\| \right) \\
\leq \epsilon L \|(B^T B)^{-1}\| \sum_{t=r}^{t(r)-1} \frac{1}{\beta^{t+1}} + \frac{\epsilon}{8} \tag{67}$$

2200 where in the last inequality we have used (66) and the fact that for all $t \in (r+1, t(r))$, we have $||v^t|| < \epsilon$. This 2201 implies that

$$\frac{3}{8L\|(B^TB)^{-1}\|} \le \sum_{t=r}^{t(r)-1} \frac{1}{\beta^{t+1}}.$$
 (68)

2282

Using the descent of the potential function (65) we have, for $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and r large enough

$$P_{\beta^{t(r)},c}(x^{t(r)}, x^{t(r)-1}, \mu^{t(r)}) - P_{\beta^{r},c}(x^{r}, x^{r-1}, \mu^{r})$$

$$\leq -\sum_{t=r}^{t(r)-1} \frac{1}{48\beta^{t+1}} \|v^{t+1}\|^{2} + \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{4096L\|B^{T}B\|}$$

$$\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} -\left(\frac{\epsilon}{4}\right)^{2} \sum_{t=r}^{t(r)-1} \frac{1}{48\beta^{t+1}} + \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{4096L\|B^{T}B\|}$$

$$\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} -\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2048L\|B^{T}B\|} + \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{4096L\|B^{T}B\|}$$

$$\leq -\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{4096L\|B^{T}B\|}$$
(69)

where in (i) we have used the fact that for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$, $||v^{r+i}|| \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for $i = 1, \dots, t(r)$; in (ii) we have used (68). However we know that the potential function is convergent, i.e.,

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} P_{\beta^{t(r)},c}(x^{t(r)}, x^{t(r)-1}, \mu^{t(r)}) \to P_{\beta^r,c}(x^r, x^{r-1}, \mu^r) = 0$$

which contradicts to (69). Therefore we conclude that $||v^{r+1}|| \to 0$.

Finally, combining $||v^{r+1}|| \to 0$ with the convergence of $\mu^{r+1} - \mu^r$ (cf. (60)), we conclude that every limit point 2230 of $\{x^r, \mu^r\}$ satisfies

$$\nabla f(x^*) + A^T \mu^* = 0, \quad Ax^* = 0.$$

Therefore it is a stationary solution for problem (5). This completes the proof.

10. Proof of Convergence for Algorithm 2

To make the derivation compact, define the following matrix

$$\mathbf{M}^{r+1} := \nabla_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1})
= \left[((X_1^{r+1} y_1^{r+1}) - z_1) (y_1^{r+1})^T + 2\gamma X_1^{r+1}; \cdots; ((X_N^{r+1} y_N^{r+1}) - z_N) (y_N^{r+1})^T + 2\gamma X_N^{r+1} \right].$$
(70)

The proof consists of six steps

 $\begin{array}{c} 2225 \\ 2226 \end{array}$

2241

Step 1. First we note that the optimality condition for the X-subproblem (30c) is given by

$$\mathbf{A}^{T}\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} = -\mathbf{M}^{r+1} - \beta \langle \mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}, (\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}) \rangle.$$
 (71)

By utilizing the fact that $\Omega^{r+1} - \Omega^r$ lies in the column space of A, and the eigenvalues of A^TA equal to those of A^TA , we have the following bound

$$\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^r\|_F^2 \le \frac{2}{\sigma_{\min}} \left(\|\mathbf{M}^{r+1} - \mathbf{M}^r\|_F^2 + \beta^2 \|\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B}[(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^r) - (\mathbf{X}^r - \mathbf{X}^{r-1})]\|_F^2 \right).$$

Next let us analyze the first term in the rhs of the above inequality. The following identity holds true

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\boldsymbol{M}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{M}^r\|_F^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \|(X_i^{r+1}y_i^{r+1} - z_i)(y_i^{r+1})^T - (X_i^r y_i^r - z_i)(y_i^r)^T + 2\gamma(X_i^{r+1} - X_i^r)\|_F^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \|(X_i^{r+1}y_i^{r+1} - z_i)(y_i^{r+1})^T - (X_i^r y_i^r - z_i)(y_i^r)^T + 2\gamma(X_i^{r+1} - X_i^r)\|_F^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \|(X_i^{r+1} - X_i^r\|_F^2 \|y_i^{r+1}(y_i^{r+1})^T\|^2 + 4\|X_i^r y_i^r - z_i\|^2 \|y_i^{r+1} - y_i^r\|^2 + 4\|X_i^r (y_i^{r+1} - y_i^r)\|^2 \|y_i^{r+1}\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N 4\|X_i^{r+1} - X_i^r\|_F^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N 4(\tau^2 + 4\gamma^2) \|X_i^{r+1} - X_i^r\|_F^2 + 4\theta_i^r \|y_i^{r+1} - y_i^r\|^2 + 4\tau \|X_i^r (y_i^{r+1} - y_i^r)\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N 4(\tau^2 + 4\gamma^2) \|X_i^{r+1} - X_i^r\|_F^2 + 4\theta_i^r \|y_i^{r+1} - y_i^r\|^2 + 4\tau \|X_i^r (y_i^{r+1} - y_i^r)\|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

where in the last inequality we have defined the constant θ_i^r as

2350

$$\theta_i^r := \|X_i^r y_i^r - z_i\|^2. \tag{73}$$

 $2386 \\ 2387$

Therefore, combining the above two inequalities, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \| \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r} \|_{F}^{2} \leq \frac{8}{\beta \sigma_{\min}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left((\tau^{2} + 4\gamma^{2}) \| X_{i}^{r+1} - X_{i}^{r} \|_{F}^{2} + \theta_{i}^{r} \| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \|^{2} + \tau \| X_{i}^{r} (y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}) \|^{2} \right) + \frac{2\beta}{\sigma_{\min}} \| \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} [(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}) - (\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1})] \|_{F}^{2}$$
(74)

Step 2. Next let us analyze the descent of the augmented Lagrangian. First we have

$$L_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{X}^{r}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{r+1}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r}) - L_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{X}^{r}, \boldsymbol{Y}^{r}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \| X_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{r+1} - z_{i} \|^{2} + h_{i}(y_{i}^{r+1}) - \frac{1}{2} \| X_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{r} - z_{i} \|^{2} - h_{i}(y_{i}^{r}) \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \| X_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{r+1} - z_{i} \|^{2} + h_{i}(y_{i}^{r+1}) + \frac{\theta_{i}^{r}}{2} \| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \| X_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{r} - z_{i} \|^{2} - h_{i}(y_{i}^{r}) \right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\left\langle (X_{i}^{r})^{T} (X_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{r+1} - z_{i}) + \theta_{i}^{r} (y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}), y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \| X_{i}^{r} (y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}) \|^{2} - \frac{\theta_{i}^{r}}{2} \| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \|^{2} \right)$$

$$+ \left\langle \zeta_{i}^{r+1}, y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right\rangle \right)$$

$$\leq -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \| X_{i}^{r} (y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}) \|^{2} + \frac{\theta_{i}^{r}}{2} \| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \|^{2} \right)$$

$$(75)$$

where in the second to the last equality we have used the convexity of h_i , and $\zeta_i^{r+1} \in \partial h_i(y_i^{r+1})$; the last inequality uses the optimality condition of the y-step (30b). Similarly, we can show that

$$L_{\beta}(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^r) - L_{\beta}(\mathbf{X}^r, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^r) \le -\frac{\beta + 2\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^r\|_F^2$$
 (76)

where we have utilized the fact that $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} = 2\mathbf{D} \succeq \mathbf{I}_{NM}$. Therefore, combining the estimate (74), we

2420 obtain

 $2451 \\ 2452$

$$L_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r+1}) - L_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{X}^{r}, Y^{r}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r})$$

$$\leq -\left(\frac{\beta + 2\gamma}{2} - \frac{8(\tau^{2} + 4\gamma^{2})}{\beta\sigma_{\min}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|X_{i}^{r+1} - X_{i}^{r}\|_{F}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\theta_{i}^{r}}{2} - \frac{8\theta_{i}^{r}}{\beta\sigma_{\min}}\right) \|y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\|^{2}$$

$$-\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{8\tau}{\sigma_{\min}\beta}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|X_{i}^{r}(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r})\|^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{2\beta}{\sigma_{\min}} \|\boldsymbol{B}^{T}\boldsymbol{B}[(\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^{r}) - (\boldsymbol{X}^{r} - \boldsymbol{X}^{r-1})]\|_{F}^{2}.$$

$$(77)$$

2480

2489

Step 3. This step follows Lemma 3 in the analysis of Algorithm 1. In particular, after writing down the optimality condition of the X^{r+1} and X^r step, we can obtain

$$\begin{split} & \langle \boldsymbol{A}^T (\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}^r), \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^r \rangle \\ & \leq - \left\langle \boldsymbol{M}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{M}^r + \beta \boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{B} \left[(\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^r) - (\boldsymbol{X}^r - \boldsymbol{X}^{r-1}) \right], \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^r \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Then it is easy to show that the above inequality implies the following

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\beta}{2} \left(\langle \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1}, \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{B} (\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^r), \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^r \rangle \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\langle \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{X}^r, \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{X}^r \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{B} (\boldsymbol{X}^r - \boldsymbol{X}^{r-1}), \boldsymbol{X}^r - \boldsymbol{X}^{r-1} \rangle \right) - \frac{\beta}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{A} (\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^r), \boldsymbol{A} (\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^r) \rangle \\ &- \langle \boldsymbol{M}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{M}^r, \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^r \rangle - \frac{\beta}{2} \| \boldsymbol{B} [(\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^r) - (\boldsymbol{X}^r - \boldsymbol{X}^{r-1})] \|_F^2. \end{split}$$

2448 Note the following fact

$$-\langle \boldsymbol{M}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{M}^{r}, \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^{r} \rangle$$

$$= -\langle \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} f(\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}) - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} f(\boldsymbol{X}^{r}, Y^{r}), \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^{r} \rangle$$

$$= -\langle \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} f(\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}) - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} f(\boldsymbol{X}^{r}, Y^{r+1}) + \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} f(\boldsymbol{X}^{r}, Y^{r+1}) - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} f(\boldsymbol{X}^{r}, Y^{r}), \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^{r} \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} -\langle \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} f(\boldsymbol{X}^{r}, Y^{r+1}) - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} f(\boldsymbol{X}^{r}, Y^{r}), \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^{r} \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2d} \|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} f(\boldsymbol{X}^{r}, Y^{r+1}) - \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} f(\boldsymbol{X}^{r}, Y^{r})\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{d}{2} \|\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^{r}\|_{F}^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\theta_{i}^{r} \|y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\|^{2} + \tau \|X_{i}^{r} (y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r})\|^{2} \right) + \frac{d}{2} \|\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^{r}\|_{F}^{2}$$

$$(78)$$

where in (i) we utilize the convexity of f(X, Y) wrt X for any fixed y; in (ii) we use the Cauchy-Swartz inequality, where d > 0 is a constant (to be determined later); (iii) is true due to a similar calculation as in (72).

Overall we have

$$\frac{\beta}{2} \left(\langle \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r+1}, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r+1} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}), \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \rangle \right)
\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\langle \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r}, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1}), \mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \rangle \right) - \frac{\beta}{2} \langle \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}), \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}) \rangle
+ \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\theta_{i}^{r} \| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \|^{2} + \tau \| X_{i}^{r} (y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}) \|^{2} \right) + \frac{d}{2} \| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \|_{F}^{2}
- \frac{\beta}{2} \| \mathbf{B} [(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}) - (\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1})] \|_{F}^{2}$$
(79)

Step 4. Let us define the potential function as

2559

$$P_{\beta,c}(\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1}, \boldsymbol{X}^r, Y^{r+1}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r+1})$$

$$:= L_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r+1}) + \frac{c\beta}{2} \left(\langle \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1}, \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{B} (\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^r), \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^r \rangle \right). \tag{80}$$

Then utilize the bounds (77) and (79), we obtain

$$\begin{split} &P_{\beta,c}(\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1},\boldsymbol{X}^{r},Y^{r+1},\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r+1}) - P_{\beta,c}(\boldsymbol{X}^{r},\boldsymbol{X}^{r-1},Y^{r},\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r}) \\ &\leq -\left(\frac{\beta+2\gamma}{2} - \frac{8(\tau^{2}+4\gamma^{2})}{\beta\sigma_{\min}} - \frac{cd}{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{r}\|_{F}^{2} \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\theta_{i}^{r}}{2} - \frac{8\theta_{i}^{r}}{\beta\sigma_{\min}} - \frac{c\theta_{i}^{r}}{d}\right) \|\boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{r}\|^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{8\tau}{\sigma_{\min}\beta} - \frac{c\tau}{d}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{r}(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{y}_{i}^{r})\|^{2} \\ &- \left(\frac{c\beta}{2} - \frac{2\beta\|\boldsymbol{B}^{T}\boldsymbol{B}\|}{\sigma_{\min}}\right) \|\boldsymbol{B}[(\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^{r}) - (\boldsymbol{X}^{r} - \boldsymbol{X}^{r-1})]\|_{F}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore the following are the condition that guarantees the descent of the potential function

$$\frac{\beta + 2\gamma}{2} - \frac{8(\tau^2 + 4\gamma^2)}{\beta \sigma_{\min}} - \frac{cd}{2} > 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} - \frac{8}{\sigma_{\min}\beta} - \frac{c}{d} > 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{8\tau}{\sigma_{\min}\beta} - \frac{c\tau}{d} > 0, \quad \frac{c\beta}{2} - \frac{2\beta \|B^T B\|}{\sigma_{\min}} > 0.$$
(81)

To see that it is always possible to find the tuple (β, c, d) , first let us set c such that the last inequality is satisfied

$$c > \frac{4\|B^T B\|}{\sigma_{\min}}. (82)$$

2590

Second, let us pick any d such that the following is true

$$d > \max\{2c\tau, 2c\}.$$

Then clearly it is possible to make β large enough such that all the four conditions in (81) are satisfied.

Step 5. We need to prove that the potential function is lower bounded. We lower bound the augmented Lagrangian as follows

$$L_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r+1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \| X_{i}^{r+1} y_{i}^{r+1} - z_{i} \|^{2} + \gamma \| X_{i}^{r+1} \|_{F}^{2} + h_{i}(y_{i}^{r+1}) \right) + \langle \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r+1}, \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1}, \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \| X_{i}^{r+1} y_{i}^{r+1} - z_{i} \|^{2} + \gamma \| X_{i}^{r+1} \|_{F}^{2} + h_{i}(y_{i}^{r+1}) \right) + \frac{\beta}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1}, \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\beta} \left(\| \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r} \|_{F}^{2} + \| \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r+1} \|_{F}^{2} - \| \boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r} \|_{F}^{2} \right).$$
(83)

Then by the same argument leading to (40), we conclude that as long as h_i is lower bounded over its domain, then the potential function will be lower bounded.

Step 6. Combining the results in Step 5 and Step 4, we conclude the following

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|X_i^{r+1} - X_i^r\|_F^2 \to 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|y_i^{r+1} - y_i^r\|^2 \to 0$$
(84a)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|X_i^r(y_i^{r+1} - y_i^r)\|^2 \to 0, \quad \|B^T B[(X^{r+1} - X^r) - (X^r - X^{r-1})]\|_F \to 0.$$
 (84b)

2640 Then utilizing (74), we have

2649

2678

2679

2684

$$\Omega^{r+1} - \Omega^r \to 0$$
, or equivalently $AX^{r+1} \to 0$.

2643 That is, in the limit the network-wide consensus is achieved. Next we show that the primal and dual iterates are 2644 bounded.

2646 Note that the potential function is both lower and upper bounded. Combined with (84) we must have that the 26/7 augmented Lagrangian is both upper and lower bounded. Using the expression (83), the assumption that $h_i(y_i)$ 2618 is lower bounded, and the fact that y_i is bounded, we have that in the limit, the following term is bounded

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \|X_i^{r+1} y_i^{r+1} - z_i\|^2 + \gamma \|X_i^{r+1}\|_F^2.$$

This implies that the primal variable sequence $\{X_i^{r+1}\}$ are bounded for all i. To show the boundedness of the dual sequence, note that $\Omega^{r+1} \in \operatorname{col}(A)$ (due to the initialization that $\Omega^0 = \mathbf{0}$). Therefore using (71) we have

$$\sigma_{\min}(\boldsymbol{A}^T\boldsymbol{A})\|\boldsymbol{\Omega}^{r+1}\|_F^2 \leq 2\|\boldsymbol{M}^{r+1}\|_F^2 + 2\beta\|\boldsymbol{B}^T\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{X}^{r+1} - \boldsymbol{X}^r)\|_F^2$$

2657 Note that from the expression of M in (70), we see that $\{M^{r+1}\}$ is bounded because both X^{r+1} and Y^{r+1} are 2658 bounded. Similarly, the second term on the rhs of the above inequality is bounded because $X^{r+1} \to X^r$. These two facts imply that $\{\Omega^{r+1}\}$ is bounded as well.

Arguing the convergence to stationary point as well as the convergence rate follows exactly the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 1.

10.1. Prox-PDA-IP for Distributed Matrix Factorization

²⁶⁶⁵ In this section we extend the Prox-PDA for distributed matrix factorization utilizing increasing penalty parameters, just as what we have done in Section 5. In particular, when replacing the penalty parameter β by an increasing sequence $\{\beta^r\}$ that satisfies (21), the resulting algorithm also generates bounded $\{X^{r+1}\}$ and $\{\Omega^{r+1}\}$, whose limit points are stationary points of problem (26). The detailed steps of this variant is given in Algorithm 2669

Algorithm 3 Prox-PDA-IP for Distributed Matrix Factorization

- 1: At iteration 0, initialize $\Omega^0 = \mathbf{0}$, and X^0, y^0 2: At each iteration r+1, update variables by:

$$\theta_i^r = \|X_i^r y_i^r - z_i\|^2, \quad \forall i; \tag{85a}$$

$$y_i^{r+1} = \arg\min_{\|y_i\|^2 \le \tau} \frac{1}{2} \|X_i^r y_i - z_i\|^2 + h_i(y_i)$$

$$+ \frac{\beta^{r+1}\theta_i^r}{2} \|y_i - y_i^r\|^2 + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \|X_i^r (y_i - y_i^r)\|^2, \quad \forall i;$$
(85b)

$$\mathbf{X}^{r+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{NM \times K}} f\left(\mathbf{X}, Y^{r+1}\right) + \langle \mathbf{\Omega}^r, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \rangle + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \langle \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} \rangle + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \langle \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^r), \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^r) \rangle;$$
(85c)

$$\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} = \mathbf{\Omega}^r + \beta^{r+1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r+1}. \tag{85d}$$

Now, we provide the proof of convergence for Algorithm 3. Our convergence claim is given below.

Theorem 4 Consider using Algorithm 3 to solve the distributed matrix factorization problem (27). Suppose that h(Y) is lower bounded over dom h, the penalty parameter $\{\beta^r\}$ satisfies (21), and that the matrix B satisfies

$$B^T B \succ 0, \quad and \quad ||B^T B|| > 1.$$
 (86)

2709

2714

2719

2739

2747

2749

Then in the limit, consensus will be achieved, i.e.,

$$X_i = X_j, \quad \forall \ (i, j) \in \mathcal{E}.$$

2750 Further, the sequences $\{\mathbf{X}^{r+1}\}$ and $\{\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1}\}$ are both bounded, and every limit point generated by Algorithm 5 is 2751 a stationary point for problem (27).

The proof essentially combines the analysis steps of Theorem 2 and 3. However the notation is significantly more complicated due to the increased number of terms involved in the analysis. We include the proof here for completeness.

Step 1. Bound the size of the successive difference of $\{\Omega^r\}$. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3, the optimality condition for the X-update can be written as

$$\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} = -\mathbf{M}^{r+1} - \beta^{r+1} \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r})$$
(87)

$$\mathbf{A}^{T}\mathbf{\Omega}^{r} = -\mathbf{M}^{r} - \beta^{r}\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1}). \tag{88}$$

2808

2822

 $2826 \\ 2827$

2850

2857

Subtracting the above equations, we obtain

$$\mathbf{A}^T \left(\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^r \right) = - \left(\mathbf{M}^{r+1} - \mathbf{M}^r \right) - \beta^r \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} \left((\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^r) - (\mathbf{X}^r - \mathbf{X}^{r-1}) \right) - \left(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r \right) \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^r)$$

Since $\Omega^{r+1} - \Omega^r$ lies in the column space of **A**, and the eigenvalues of $A^T A$ equal to that of $\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}$, we have

$$\sigma_{\min}(A^T A) \left\| \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^r \right\|_F^2 \le \left\| \mathbf{A} \left(\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^r \right) \right\|_F^2,$$

which results in

$$\frac{1}{\beta^{r+1}} \left\| \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} \\
\leq \frac{1}{\beta^{r+1} \sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} \left\| - \left(\mathbf{M}^{r+1} - \mathbf{M}^{r} \right) - \beta^{r} \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} \left(\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right) - \left(\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right) \right) - \left(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r} \right) \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right) \right\|^{2} \\
\leq \frac{3}{\beta^{r+1} \sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} \left(\left\| \mathbf{M}^{r+1} - \mathbf{M}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \left(\beta^{r} \right)^{2} \left\| \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} \left(\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right) - \left(\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right) \right) \right\|_{F}^{2} \\
+ \left(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r} \right)^{2} \left\| \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right) \right\|^{2} \right). \tag{89}$$

Also, from (72) we have

$$\left\| \mathbf{M}^{r+1} - \mathbf{M}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} 4(\tau^{2} + 4\gamma^{2}) \left\| X_{i}^{r+1} - X_{i}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} + 4\theta_{i}^{r} \left\| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right\|^{2} + 4\tau \left\| X_{i}^{r} \left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|^{2}.$$
 (90)

Thus, we have the following bound

$$\frac{1}{\beta^{r+1}} \left\| \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} \\
\leq \frac{12}{\beta^{r+1} \sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\tau^{2} + 4\gamma^{2}) \left\| X_{i}^{r+1} - X_{i}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \theta_{i}^{r} \left\| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right\|^{2} + \tau \left\| X_{i}^{r} \left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|^{2} \\
+ \frac{3(\beta^{r})^{2}}{\beta^{r+1} \sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} \left\| \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} \left((\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}) - (\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1}) \right) \right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{3(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r})^{2}}{\beta^{r+1} \sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} \left\| \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}) \right\|_{F}^{2}.$$
(91)

Step 2. We analyze the descent of the augmented Lagrangian.

First, as in (76), we utilize the strong convexity of the objective function of the **X**-update (cf. (85c)) and obtain the following descent estimate for the **X**-update

$$L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right) - L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right) \le -\frac{\beta^{r+1} + 2\gamma}{2} \left\|\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2}.$$
 (92)

Note that compared with (76) we have replaced β with β^{r+1} .

Similarly, we have the following estimate for the descent of the Y-update

$$L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right) - L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r}, Y^{r}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\|X_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{r+1} - z_{i}\right\|^{2} + h_{i}(y_{i}^{r+1}) - \frac{1}{2} \left\|X_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{r} - z_{i}\right\|^{2} - h_{i}(y_{i}^{r})\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\|X_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{r+1} - z_{i}\right\|^{2} + h_{i}(y_{i}^{r+1}) + \frac{\beta^{r+1}\theta_{i}^{r}}{2} \left\|y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right\|^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\|X_{i}^{r}\left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right)\right\|^{2}\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\|X_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{r} - z_{i}\right\|^{2} - h_{i}(y_{i}^{r})\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\|X_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{r+1} - z_{i}\right\| + \beta^{r+1}\theta_{i}^{r}\left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right) + \beta^{r+1}(X_{i}^{r})^{T}\left(X_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{r+1} - X_{i}^{r} y_{i}^{r}\right), y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\|X_{i}^{r}\left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right)\right\|^{2} - \frac{\beta^{r+1}\theta_{i}^{r}}{2} \left\|y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right\|^{2} - \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\|X_{i}^{r}\left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right)\right\|^{2} + \left\langle\varsigma_{i}^{r+1}, y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right\rangle\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\|X_{i}^{r}\left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right)\right\|^{2} - \frac{\beta^{r+1}\theta_{i}^{r}}{2} \left\|y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right\|^{2}\right)$$

where in the second to last inequality, $\varsigma_i^{r+1} \in \partial h_i(y_i^{r+1})$; the last inequality is true due to the optimality condition of the Y-update.

Next we analyze the descent of the augmented Lagrangian. Let us first decompose the successive difference of the augmented Lagrangian into the following

$$\begin{split} & L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1}\right) - L_{\beta^{r}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r}, Y^{r}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right) \\ &= L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1}\right) - L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right) \\ &+ L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right) - L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right) \\ &+ L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right) - L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r}, Y^{r}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right) \\ &+ L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r}, Y^{r}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right) - L_{\beta^{r}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r}, Y^{r}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right) \\ &+ L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} - \frac{\beta^{r+1} + 2\gamma}{2} \left\|\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1 + \beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\|X_{i}^{r}\left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right)\right\|^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1} \theta_{i}^{r}}{2} \left\|y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right\|^{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r}}{2(\beta^{r})^{2}} \left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r-1}\right\|_{F}^{2} \end{split}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the estimate given in (92) and (93). Plugging in the estimate (91), and (90), we have

$$L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, \mathbf{Y}^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1}\right) - L_{\beta^{r}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r}, \mathbf{Y}^{r}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right) \\ \leq \frac{3}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} \left(\begin{array}{c} \left\|\mathbf{M}^{r+1} - \mathbf{M}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} + (\beta^{r})^{2} \left\|\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}\right) - (\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1})\right)\right\|_{F}^{2} \\ + (\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r})^{2} \left\|\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r})\right\|_{F}^{2} \\ - \frac{\beta^{r+1} + 2\gamma}{2} \left\|\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1+\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\|X_{i}^{r}\left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right)\right\|^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}\theta_{i}^{r}}{2} \left\|y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right\|^{2}\right) \\ + \frac{\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r}}{2(\beta^{r})^{2}} \left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r-1}\right\|_{F}^{2} \\ \leq \frac{3}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(4(\tau^{2} + 4\gamma^{2}) \left\|X_{i}^{r+1} - X_{i}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} + 4\theta_{i}^{r} \left\|y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right\|^{2} + 4\tau \left\|X_{i}^{r}\left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right)\right\|^{2}\right) \\ + \frac{3(\beta^{r})^{2}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} \left\|\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}\left((\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}) - (\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1})\right)\right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{3(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r})^{2}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} \left\|\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r})\right\|_{F}^{2} \\ - \frac{\beta^{r+1} + 2\gamma}{2} \left\|\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1+\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\|X_{i}^{r}\left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right)\right\|^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}\theta_{i}^{r}}{2} \left\|y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right\|^{2}\right) \\ + \frac{\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r}}{2(\beta^{r})^{2}} \left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r-1}\right\|_{F}^{2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\|y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right\|^{2} + \left(\frac{12\tau}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} - \frac{1+\beta^{r+1}}{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\|X_{i}^{r}\left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\right)\right\|^{2} \\ + \frac{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} + \frac{3(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r})^{2} \left\|\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}\right\|_{F}^{2}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} - \frac{\beta^{r+1}\theta_{i}}{2} \right) \left\|(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r})\right\|_{F}^{2} \\ + \frac{\beta^{r+1}-\beta^{r}}{2(\beta^{r})^{2}} \left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r-1}\right\|_{F}^{2}.$$

Step 3. We construct the remaining part of the potential function. From the optimality condition of the X-update, we obtain

$$\left\langle \mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} + \mathbf{M}^{r+1} + \beta^{r+1} \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right), \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X} \right\rangle \le 0, \tag{95}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{\Omega}^r + \mathbf{M}^r + \beta^r \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B} \left(\mathbf{X}^r - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right), \mathbf{X}^r - \mathbf{X} \rangle \le 0.$$
 (96)

Plugging $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}^r$ and $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}^{r+1}$ into (95) and (96) and adding them together, we have

$$\left\langle \mathbf{A}^{T} \left(\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r} \right), \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\rangle
\leq -\left\langle \mathbf{M}^{r+1} - \mathbf{M}^{r}, \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} \left(\beta^{r+1} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right) - \beta^{r} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right) \right), \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\rangle.$$
(97)

The lhs of (97) can be expressed as

 $3003 \\ 3004$

$$\left\langle \mathbf{A}^{T} \left(\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r} \right), \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\rangle
= \left\langle \beta^{r+1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r+1}, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\rangle
= \beta^{r+1} \left\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r+1} \right\|_{F}^{2} - \beta^{r+1} \left\langle \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r+1}, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\rangle
= \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r+1} \right\|_{F}^{2} - \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{A} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right) \right\|_{F}^{2}
= \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r+1} \right\|_{F}^{2} - \frac{\beta^{r}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{(\beta^{r} - \beta^{r+1})}{2} \left\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{A} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right) \right\|_{F}^{2}.$$
(98)

Noting the following fact (cf. (78))

$$-\left\langle \mathbf{M}^{r+1} - \mathbf{M}^{r}, \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\theta_{i}^{r} \left\| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right\|^{2} + \tau \left\| X_{i}^{r} \left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|^{2} \right) + \frac{d}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2}, \quad (99)$$

where d > 0 is a constant. The rhs of (97) can be rewritten as

$$-\langle \mathbf{M}^{r+1} - \mathbf{M}^{r}, \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} \left(\beta^{r+1} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right) - \beta^{r} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right) \right), \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \rangle$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\theta_{i}^{r} \left\| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right\|^{2} + \tau \left\| X_{i}^{r} \left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|^{2} \right) + \frac{d}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2}$$

$$-\beta^{r+1} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2} + \beta^{r} \left\langle \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right), \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\rangle$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\theta_{i}^{r} \left\| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right\|^{2} + \tau \left\| X_{i}^{r} \left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|^{2} \right) + \frac{d}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} - \left(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r} \right) \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{\beta^{r}}{2} \left(\left\| \mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2} - \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2} - \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} - \left(\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\theta_{i}^{r} \left\| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right\|^{2} + \tau \left\| X_{i}^{r} \left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|^{2} \right) + \frac{d}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} - \frac{\left(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r} \right)}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2}$$

$$- \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2} - \frac{\beta^{r}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} - \left(\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\theta_{i}^{r} \left\| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right\|^{2} + \tau \left\| X_{i}^{r} \left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|^{2} \right) + \frac{d}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} - \left(\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\theta_{i}^{r} \left\| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right\|^{2} + \tau \left\| X_{i}^{r} \left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|^{2} \right) + \frac{d}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} - \left(\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{d}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\theta_{i}^{r} \left\| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right\|^{2} + \tau \left\| X_{i}^{r} \left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|^{2} \right) + \frac{d}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}^{r}}^{2} + \tau \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{$$

where the first inequality is obtained by plugging in (99); in the last inequality we have used the fact that $\beta^{r+1} \geq \beta^r$.

Therefore, combining (98) and (100), we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r+1} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2} \\ & \leq \frac{\beta^{r}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2} + \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\theta_{i}^{r} \left\| y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right\|^{2} + \tau \left\| X_{i}^{r} \left(y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|^{2} \right) + \frac{d}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} \\ & - \frac{\beta^{r}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} - \left(\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}}^{2} - \frac{\left(\beta^{r} - \beta^{r+1} \right)}{2(\beta^{r})^{2}} \left\| \mathbf{\Omega}^{r} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r-1} \right\|_{F}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Multiplying both sides by β^r , we have

$$\frac{\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1}\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r}\beta^{r+1}}{2} \|\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}}^{2} \\
\leq \frac{\beta^{r}\beta^{r-1}}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r}\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r}\beta^{r-1}}{2} \|\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1}\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r}}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\theta_{i}^{r} \|y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\|^{2} + \tau \|X_{i}^{r} (y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r})\|^{2}\right) \\
+ \frac{d\beta^{r}}{2} \|\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}\|_{F}^{2} - \frac{(\beta^{r})^{2}}{2} \|\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} - (\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1})\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}}^{2} - \frac{(\beta^{r} - \beta^{r+1})}{2\beta^{r}} \|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r-1}\|_{F}^{2} \\
+ \frac{\beta^{r}(\beta^{r} - \beta^{r-1})}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r}\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r}(\beta^{r} - \beta^{r-1})}{2} \|\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1}\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r}}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\theta_{i}^{r} \|y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r}\|^{2} + \tau \|X_{i}^{r} (y_{i}^{r+1} - y_{i}^{r})\|^{2}\right) \\
+ \frac{d\beta^{r}}{2} \|\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}\|_{F}^{2} - \frac{(\beta^{r})^{2}}{2} \|\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} - (\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1})\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}}^{2} + \frac{(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r-1})}{2\beta^{r}} \|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r-1}\|_{F}^{2} \\
+ \frac{\beta^{r}(\beta^{r} - \beta^{r-1})}{2} \|\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1}\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}}^{2}$$
(101)

 $3184 \\ 3185$

Step 4. In this step, we construct and estimate the descent of the potential function. With c > 0, let us define the potential function as

$$P_{\beta^{r+1},c}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, \mathbf{X}^{r}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1}\right) = L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1}\right) + \frac{c\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{2} \left\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1}\right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{c\beta^{r}\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\|\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r}\right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}}^{2}$$
(102)

Combining the estimate in (94) and (101), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 3106 \\ & 3107 \\ & P_{\beta^{r+1},c} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, \mathbf{X}^{r}, \mathbf{Y}^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} \right) - P_{\beta^{r},c} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r}, \mathbf{X}^{r-1}, \mathbf{Y}^{r}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r} \right) \\ & = L_{\beta^{r+1}} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, \mathbf{Y}^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} \right) - L_{\beta^{r}} \left(\mathbf{X}^{r}, \mathbf{Y}^{r}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r} \right) + \frac{c\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1} \right\|_{F}^{2} \\ & 3109 \\ & + \frac{c\beta^{r}\beta^{r+1}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}}^{2} - \frac{c\beta^{r}\beta^{r-1}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} - \frac{c\beta^{r}\beta^{r-1}}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}}^{2} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{12\theta^{r}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} - \frac{\beta^{r+1}\theta^{r}}{2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \mathbf{y}_{i}^{r+1} - \mathbf{y}_{i}^{r} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \left(\frac{12r}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} - \frac{1+\beta^{r+1}}{2} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r} \left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{r+1} - \mathbf{y}_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|_{F}^{2} \\ & + \frac{3(\beta^{r})^{2}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} + \frac{3(\beta^{r+1}-\beta^{r})^{2}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} - \frac{c\mathbf{X}^{r} - \mathbf{X}^{r-1}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} + \frac{3(\beta^{r+1}-\beta^{r})^{2}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} - \frac{\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} + \frac{3(\beta^{r+1}-\beta^{r})^{2}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} - \frac{\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} - \frac{\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} + \frac{3(\beta^{r+1}-\beta^{r})^{2}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} + \frac{3(\beta^{r+1}-\beta^{r})^{2}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{\beta^{r}} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r} \left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{r+1} - \mathbf{y}_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\delta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{\beta^{r}} \right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{\beta^{r}} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{\beta^{r}} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r} \left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{r+1} - \mathbf{y}_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|_{F}^{2} \\ 3122 \\ 3123 \\ 4 + \left(\frac{12r}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} - \frac{1+\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{2} + \frac{\epsilon\beta^{r}\beta^{r}}{d} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r} \left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{r+1} - \mathbf{y}_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|_{F}^{2} \\ 3124 \\ 4 + \left(\frac{12r}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} - \frac{1+\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{2} + \frac{\epsilon\beta^{r}\beta^{r}}{d} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r} \left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{r+1} - \mathbf{y}_{i}^{r} \right) \right\|_{F}^{2} \\ 3126 \\ 4 + \left(\frac{3(\beta^{r})^{2}}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} - \frac{1+\beta^{r+1}\beta^{r}}{2} + \frac{\epsilon\beta^{r}\beta^{r}}{d} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{r} \left(\mathbf{y}_{i}^{r+1} - \mathbf{y}_$$

where the first inequality is obtained by plugging in (94) and (101); in the last inequality we have used the fact that $\beta^{r+1} \ge \beta^r$. Taking the sum of r from t to t+1 (for some t+1) and utilize the estimate in (91), we

3249

3259

3269

3277 3278

3279

3284

3289 3290

3299

3190 have

 $3228 \\ 3229$

3239 3240

 $\begin{array}{ll} 3192 & P_{\beta^{T+1},c}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T+1},\mathbf{X}^{T},Y^{T+1},\mathbf{\Omega}^{T+1}\right) - P_{\beta^{t},c}\left(\mathbf{X}^{t},\mathbf{X}^{t-1},Y^{t},\mathbf{\Omega}^{t}\right) \\ 3193 & \\ 3194 & \\ 3195 & \\ 3196 & \\ 3197 & \\ 3198 & \\ 3209 & \\ 3200 & \\ 3200 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3202 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3202 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3202 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3202 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3202 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3202 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3202 & \\ 3203 & \\ 3203 & \\ 3204 & \\ 3205 & \\ 3206 & \\ 3206 & \\ 3207 & \\ 3208 & \\ 3208 & \\ 3208 & \\ 3208 & \\ 3209 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3202 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3202 & \\ 3203 & \\ 3204 & \\ 3205 & \\ 3206 & \\ 3206 & \\ 3207 & \\ 3208 & \\ 3208 & \\ 3208 & \\ 3209 & \\ 3210 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3202 & \\ 3208 & \\ 3209 & \\ 3210 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3201 & \\ 3202 & \\ 3203 & \\ 3204 & \\ 3205 & \\ 3206 & \\ 3207 & \\ 3208 & \\ 3208 & \\ 3209 & \\ 3210 & \\ 3211 & \\ 3211 & \\ 3212 & \\ 3212 & \\ 3213 & \\ 3214 & \\ 3215 & \\ 3216 & \\ \end{array}$

3219 It can be observed that the coefficient in front of $\|((\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^r) - (\mathbf{X}^r - \mathbf{X}^{r-1}))\|_{\mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{B}}^2$ becomes negative for 3220 sufficiently large (but finite) t.

Suppose that r is large enough such that $\frac{12(\tau^2+4\gamma^2)}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^TA)} + \frac{3(\beta^{r+1}-\beta^r)^2\|\mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{B}\|_F^2}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^TA)} - \frac{\beta^{r+1}+2\gamma}{2} < -\frac{\beta^{r+1}}{3}$ and choose $c = \min\{1/(2d), 1/(12\kappa\|B^TB\|)\}$, then we have

$$\frac{\frac{12(\tau^2 + 4\gamma^2)}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^TA)} + \frac{3\left(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r\right)^2 \left\|\mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{B}\right\|_F^2}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^TA)} - \frac{\beta^{r+1} + 2\gamma}{2} + \frac{dc\beta^r}{2} + \frac{c\beta^{r+1}\left(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^r\right) \left\|\mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{B}\right\|}{2}}{2} < -\frac{\beta^{r+1}}{3} + \frac{\beta^r}{4} + \frac{\beta^r}{24} = -\frac{\beta^{r+1}}{24}$$

We can also show that for sufficiently large r, the following is true

$$\left(\frac{\beta^{r+2} - \beta^{r}}{2\beta^{r+1}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\beta^{r+1}} + c\right) \frac{12(\tau^{2} + 4\gamma^{2})}{\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} + \left(\frac{\beta^{r+2} - \beta^{r}}{2\beta^{r+1}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\beta^{r+1}} + c\right) \frac{3(\beta^{r+1} - \beta^{r})^{2} \left\|\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}\right\|_{F}^{2}}{\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} \leq \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{48},$$

$$\frac{3(\beta^{r})^{2} \left\|\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}\right\|}{\beta^{r+1}\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} - \frac{c(\beta^{r})^{2}}{2} + \left(\frac{\beta^{r+2} - \beta^{r}}{2\beta^{r+1}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\beta^{r+1}} + c\right) \frac{3(\beta^{r})^{2} \left\|\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}\right\|}{\sigma_{\min}(A^{T}A)} \leq -\frac{c(\beta^{r})^{2}}{48}.$$

Furthermore, if we choose $d = \max\{1, \sqrt{\tau}\}$, the coefficients in front of the following terms would be negative for sufficiently large r

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|y_i^{r+1} - y_i^r\|^2, \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|X_i^r (y_i^{r+1} - y_i^r)\|^2, \|((\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^r) - (\mathbf{X}^r - \mathbf{X}^{r-1}))\|_{\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B}}^2, \|(\mathbf{X}^{r+1} - \mathbf{X}^r)\|_F^2.$$

In conclusion, we have that for sufficiently large but finite t_0 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{\beta^{T+1},c}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T+1},\mathbf{X}^{T},Y^{T+1},\mathbf{\Omega}^{T+1}\right) - P_{\beta^{t_0},c}\left(\mathbf{X}^{t},\mathbf{X}^{t-1},Y^{t},\mathbf{\Omega}^{t}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{t=t_0}^{T} -\frac{\beta^{r+1}}{48} \left\| \left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}-\mathbf{X}^{r}\right) \right\|_{F}^{2} - \frac{c(\beta^{r})^{2}}{48} \left\| \left(\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}-\mathbf{X}^{r}\right)-\left(\mathbf{X}^{r}-\mathbf{X}^{r-1}\right)\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{c\beta^{t_0}\left(\beta^{t_0}-\beta^{t_0-1}\right)}{2} \left\| \mathbf{X}^{t_0}-\mathbf{X}^{t_0-1} \right\|_{\mathbf{B}^{T}\mathbf{B}}^{2} + \left(\frac{\beta^{t_0+1}-\beta^{t_0-1}}{2(\beta^{t_0})^{2}} + \frac{c\left(\beta^{t_0+1}-\beta^{t_0-1}\right)}{2\beta^{t_0}}\right) \left\| \mathbf{\Omega}^{t_0}-\mathbf{\Omega}^{t_0-1} \right\|_{F}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 5. In this step, we show that the potential function must be lower bounded. Observe that the augmented Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{split} &L_{\beta^{r+1}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1},Y^{r+1},\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1}\right) \\ &= f\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1},Y^{r+1}\right) + \left\langle \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1},\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1}\right\rangle + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2}\left\langle \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1},\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1}\right\rangle \\ &= f\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1},Y^{r+1}\right) + \left\langle \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1},\frac{\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1}-\mathbf{\Omega}^{r}}{\beta^{r+1}}\right\rangle + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2}\left\langle \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1},\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1}\right\rangle \\ &= f\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1},Y^{r+1}\right) + \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}}\left(\left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1}\right\|_{F}^{2} - \left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} + \left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2}\right) + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2}\left\langle \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1},\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1}\right\rangle \\ &= f\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1},Y^{r+1}\right) + \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}}\left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1}\right\|_{F}^{2} - \frac{1}{2\beta^{r}}\left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2\beta^{r}} - \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}}\right)\left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}}\left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2}\left\langle \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1},\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1}\right\rangle \\ &\geq f\left(\mathbf{X}^{r+1},Y^{r+1}\right) + \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}}\left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1}\right\|_{F}^{2} - \frac{1}{2\beta^{r}}\left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{1}{2\beta^{r+1}}\left\|\mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1} - \mathbf{\Omega}^{r}\right\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\beta^{r+1}}{2}\left\langle \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1},\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}^{r+1}\right\rangle \end{split}$$

Thus, following the similar argument in the Step 5 of the proof of Theorem 2, we conclude that the potential function $L_{\beta^{r+1}}(\mathbf{X}^{r+1}, Y^{r+1}, \mathbf{\Omega}^{r+1})$ is lower bounded for all r.

Now that we have shown the descent and the lower boundedness of the potential function, the rest of the proof follows the same arguments as Step 6 - Step 7 of Theorem 2, therefore is omitted.

3378

3400

3406

References

3306

3308

3309

3319

3329

- Allen-Zhu, Z. and Hazan, E. Variance Reduction for Faster Non-Convex Optimization. In *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Machine Learning*, ICML, 2016.
- Antoniadis, A., Gijbels, I., and Nikolova, M. Penalized likelihood regression for generalized linear models with non-quadratic penalties. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 63(3):585–615, 2009.
- Aybat, N-S. and Hamedani, E-Y. A primal-dual method for conic constrained distributed optimization problems. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2016.
 - Bertsekas, D. P. Constrained Optimization and Lagrange Multiplier Method. Academic Press, 1982.
 - Bertsekas, D. P. and Tsitsiklis, J. N. Neuro-Dynamic Programming. Athena Scientific, Belmont, MA, 1996.
- Bianchi, P. and Jakubowicz, J. Convergence of a multi-agent projected stochastic gradient algorithm for non-convex optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 58(2):391–405, 2013.
- Bjornson, E. and Jorswieck, E. Optimal resource allocation in coordinated multi-cell systems. Foundations and Trends in Communications and Information Theory, 9, 2013.
- Cevher, V., Becker, S., and Schmidt, M. Convex optimization for big data: Scalable, randomized, and parallel algorithms for big data analytics. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 31(5):32–43, 2014.
- Defazio, A., Bach, F., and Lacoste-Julien, S. Saga: A fast incremental gradient method with support for non-strongly convex composite objectives. In *The Proceeding of NIPS*, 2014.
- Forero, P. A., Cano, A., and Giannakis, G. B. Consensus-based distributed support vector machines. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 11(May):1663–1707, 2010.
- Giannakis, G. B., Ling, Q., Mateos, G., Schizas, I. D., and Zhu, H. Decentralized learning for wireless communications and networking. arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.08855, 2015.
- H.-T. Wai, T.-H. Chang and Scaglione, A. A consensus-based decentralized algorithm for non-convex optimization with application to dictionary learning. In the Proceedings of the IEEE ICASSP, 2015.

- 3410 Hajinezhad, D., Hong, M., Zhao, T., and Wang, Z. Nestt: A nonconvex primal-dual splitting method for distributed and 3411 stochastic optimization. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 29, pp. 3215–3223. 2016.
- Hong, M., Luo, Z.-Q., and Razaviyayn, M. Convergence analysis of alternating direction method of multipliers for a family of nonconvex problems. 2014. technical report, University of Minnesota.

3469

3479

3487

3489

3496

3497

3499

3509

3519

- 3415 Johnson, R. and Zhang, T. Accelerating stochastic gradient descent using predictive variance reduction. In the Proceedings of the Neural Information Processing (NIPS). 2013.
- 3417 Ling, Q., Xu, Y., Yin, W., and Wen, Z. Decentralized low-rank matrix completion. In 2012 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pp. 2925–2928, March 2012.
- Ling, Q., Shi, W., Wu, G., and Ribeiro, A. DLM: Decentralized linearized alternating direction method of multipliers. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 63(15):4051–4064, Aug 2015.
- Lobel, I. and Ozdaglar, A. Distributed subgradient methods for convex optimization over random networks. *Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on*, 56(6):1291–1306, 2011.
- 3424 Lobel, I., Ozdaglar, A., and Feijer, D. Distributed multi-agent optimization with state-dependent communication. *Math-* 425 *ematical Programming*, 129(2):255–284, 2011.
- Lorenzo, P. Di and Scutari, G. Next: In-network nonconvex optimization. IEEE Transactions on Signal and Information Processing over Networks, 2(2):120–136, 2016.
- 3429 Nedic, A. and Olshevsky, A. Distributed optimization over time-varying directed graphs. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 60(3):601–615, 2015.
- 3431 Nedic, A. and Ozdaglar, A. Cooperative distributed multi-agent optimization. In *Convex Optimization in Signal Processing* 3432 and Communications. Cambridge University Press, 2009a.
- Nedic, A. and Ozdaglar, A. Distributed subgradient methods for multi-agent optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 54(1):48–61, 2009b.
- 3436 Powell, M. M. D. An efficient method for nonlinear constraints in minimization problems. In *Optimization*. Academic Press, 1969.
- 3438 Rahmani, M. and Atia, G. A decentralized approach to robust subspace recovery. In 2015 53rd Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing (Allerton), pp. 802–807. IEEE, 2015.
- Reddi, S., Sra, S., Poczos, B., and Smola, A. Fast incremental method for nonconvex optimization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.06159, 2016.
- Scardapane, S. and Lorenzo, P. Di. A framework for parallel and distributed training of neural networks. $arXiv\ preprint$ $arXiv:1610.07448,\ 2016.$
- 3445 Scardapane, S., Fierimonte, R., Lorenzo, P. Di, Panella, M., and Uncini, A. Distributed semi-supervised support vector 3446 machines. *Neural Networks*, 80:43–52, 2016.
- 3447 Schmidt, M., Roux, N. Le, and Bach., F. Minimizing finite sums with the stochastic average gradient. 2013. Technical report, INRIA.
- 3450 Shi, W., Ling, Q., Wu, G., and Yin, W. Extra: An exact first-order algorithm for decentralized consensus optimization.

 SIAM Journal on Optimization, 25(2):944–966, 2014.
- 3452 Tatarenko, T. and Touri, B. Non-convex distributed optimization. 2015. arXiv Preprint: arXiv:1512.00895.
- Yan, F., Sundaram, S., Vishwanathan, S. V. N., and Qi, Y. Distributed autonomous online learning: Regrets and intrinsic privacy-preserving properties. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 25(11):2483–2493, 2013.
- 3456 Zhu, M. and Martinez, S. An approximate dual subgradient algorithm for multi-agent non-convex optimization. In 49th 3457 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), pp. 7487–7492, 2010.
- 3458 Zlobec, S. On the liu-floud convexification of smooth programs. Journal of Global Optimization, 32(3):401-407, 2005.