

## A Appendix A: Proofs

In this section, we provide detailed proofs of the theoretical results presented in the manuscript.

### A.1 Proof of Theorem 4

Because  $F$  is label-wise effective, its order of prediction value on a specific instance  $x_i$  is correct. Therefore, the threshold error  $\epsilon_i$  can happen in either the two ways:

- $\epsilon_i$  positive labels are predicted as negative labels.

In this case, the true positive number  $TP_i$  on this instance becomes  $|Y_{i\cdot}^+| - \epsilon_i$ , and the false positive number  $FP_i$  is zero, and the false negative number  $FN_i$  becomes  $\epsilon_i$ .

The precision value and the recall value will be:

$$Prec_i = \frac{TP_i}{TP_i + FP_i} = 1, \quad Rec_i = \frac{TP_i}{TP_i + FN_i} = \frac{|Y_{i\cdot}^+| - \epsilon_i}{|Y_{i\cdot}^+|}$$

And the  $F$ -measure $_i$  is:

$$F\text{-measure}_i = \frac{2Prec_i \times Rec_i}{Prec_i + Rec_i} = \frac{2(|Y_{i\cdot}^+| - \epsilon_i)}{2|Y_{i\cdot}^+| - \epsilon_i}$$

- $\epsilon_i$  negative labels are predicted as positive labels.

In this case, the true positive number  $TP_i$  on this instance is still  $|Y_{i\cdot}^+|$ , and the false positive number  $FP_i = \epsilon_i$ , and the false negative number  $FN_i$  is zero.

The precision value and the recall value will be:

$$Prec_i = \frac{TP_i}{TP_i + FP_i} = \frac{|Y_{i\cdot}^+|}{|Y_{i\cdot}^+| + \epsilon_i}, \quad Rec_i = \frac{TP_i}{TP_i + FN_i} = 1$$

And the  $F$ -measure $_i$  is:

$$F\text{-measure}_i = \frac{2Prec_i \times Rec_i}{Prec_i + Rec_i} = \frac{2|Y_{i\cdot}^+|}{2|Y_{i\cdot}^+| + \epsilon_i}$$

The instance-F1 is lower bounded by the sum of minimum value of  $F$ -measure $_i$ , thus:

$$\text{instance-F1}(H) \geq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \min \left\{ \frac{2(|Y_{i\cdot}^+| - \epsilon_i)}{2|Y_{i\cdot}^+| - \epsilon_i}, \frac{2|Y_{i\cdot}^+|}{2|Y_{i\cdot}^+| + \epsilon_i} \right\}$$

Under the assumption that all the instances are i.i.d drawn, micro-F1 equals instance-F1. Theorem 4 is proved.  $\square$

### A.2 Proof of Theorem 5

Because  $F$  is instance-wise effective, its order of prediction value on a specific label  $Y_{\cdot j}$  is correct. Therefore, the threshold error  $\epsilon_j$  can happen in either the two ways:

- $\epsilon_j$  positive instances are predicted as negative instances.

In this case, the true positive number  $TP_j$  on this label becomes  $|Y_{\cdot j}^+| - \epsilon_j$ , and the false positive number  $FP_j$  is zero, and the false negative number  $FN_j$  becomes  $\epsilon_j$ .

The precision value and the recall value will be:

$$Prec_j = \frac{TP_j}{TP_j + FP_j} = 1, \quad Rec_j = \frac{TP_j}{TP_j + FN_j} = \frac{|Y_{\cdot j}^+| - \epsilon_j}{|Y_{\cdot j}^+|}$$

And the  $F$ -measure $_j$  is:

$$F\text{-measure}_j = \frac{2Prec_j \times Rec_j}{Prec_j + Rec_j} = \frac{2(|Y_{\cdot j}^+| - \epsilon_j)}{2|Y_{\cdot j}^+| - \epsilon_j}$$

2.  $\epsilon_j$  negative instances are predicted as positive instances.

In this case, the true positive number  $TP_j$  on this label is still  $|Y_{\cdot j}^+|$ , and the false positive number  $FP_j = \epsilon_j$ , and the false negative number  $FN_j$  is zero.

The precision value and the recall value will be:

$$Prec_j = \frac{TP_j}{TP_j + FP_j} = \frac{|Y_{\cdot j}^+|}{|Y_{\cdot j}^+| + \epsilon_j}, \quad Rec_j = \frac{TP_j}{TP_j + FN_j} = 1$$

And the  $F$ -measure <sub>$j$</sub>  is:

$$F\text{-measure}_j = \frac{2Prec_j \times Rec_j}{Prec_j + Rec_j} = \frac{2|Y_{\cdot j}^+|}{2|Y_{\cdot j}^+| + \epsilon_j}$$

The macro-F1 is lower bounded by the sum of minimum value of  $F$ -measure <sub>$j$</sub> , thus:

$$macro\text{-}FI(H) \geq \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^l \min \left\{ \frac{2(|Y_{\cdot j}^+| - \epsilon_j)}{2|Y_{\cdot j}^+| - \epsilon_j}, \frac{2|Y_{\cdot j}^+|}{2|Y_{\cdot j}^+| + \epsilon_j} \right\}$$

Theorem 5 is proved.  $\square$

### A.3 Proof of LIMO Algorithm

**Theorem A.3.1.** *In each iteration (step 5 to step 15) of Algorithm 1, the updated direction of the model is an unbiased estimation of the gradient of this objective function:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg \min_{\mathbf{W}, \xi} \sum_{i=1}^l \|\mathbf{w}_i\|^2 + \lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{(u,v)} \xi_i^{uv} + \lambda_2 \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{(a,b)} \xi_{ab}^j \\ & \text{s.t. } \mathbf{w}_u^\top \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{w}_v^\top \mathbf{x}_i > 1 - \xi_i^{uv}, \quad \xi_i^{uv} \geq 0, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \text{ and } (u, v) \in Y_i^+ \times Y_i^-, \\ & \quad \mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_b > 1 - \xi_{ab}^j, \quad \xi_{ab}^j \geq 0, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, l \text{ and } (a, b) \in Y_j^+ \times Y_j^-. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that the function in Equation (1) is  $f(\mathbf{W})$ , because  $\mathbf{W}$  can be decomposed into  $[\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_l]$ , we consider the partial gradient of a particular  $\mathbf{w}_k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{W})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_k} &= 2\mathbf{w}_k + \lambda_1 \phi_1 + \lambda_2 \phi_2 = 2\mathbf{w}_k \\ &+ \lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \llbracket k \in Y_i^- \rrbracket \mathbf{x}_i \sum_{j \in Y_i^+} \llbracket 1 - (\mathbf{w}_j - \mathbf{w}_k)^\top \mathbf{x}_i > 0 \rrbracket \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \llbracket k \in Y_i^+ \rrbracket \mathbf{x}_i \sum_{j \in Y_i^-} \llbracket 1 - (\mathbf{w}_k - \mathbf{w}_j)^\top \mathbf{x}_i > 0 \rrbracket \right\} \\ &+ \lambda_2 \sum_{a \in Y_{\cdot k}^+} \sum_{b \in Y_{\cdot k}^-} (\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_a) \llbracket 1 - \mathbf{w}_k^\top (\mathbf{x}_a - \mathbf{x}_b) > 0 \rrbracket \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The second term  $\lambda_1 \phi_1$  is the gradient of label-wise margin on  $\mathbf{w}_k$ , and the third term  $\lambda_2 \phi_2$  is the gradient of the instance-wise margin on  $\mathbf{w}_k$ .

Assume that  $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_{ik}, y_{ij})$  is picked in step 5 and 6, the direction will be computed in step 8 or 9 according to:

$$\begin{aligned} g^{label}(\mathbf{x}_i, y_{ik}, y_{ij}) &= \llbracket k \in Y_i^- \rrbracket \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_i \llbracket 1 - (w_k - w_j)^\top \mathbf{x}_i > 0 \rrbracket \\ &\quad - \llbracket k \in Y_i^+ \rrbracket \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_i \llbracket 1 - (w_j - w_k)^\top \mathbf{x}_i > 0 \rrbracket + \mathbf{w}_k \end{aligned}$$

Then do the expectation:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\mathbf{x}_i} [E_{y_{ij}} [g^{label}(\mathbf{x}_i, y_{ik}, y_{ij})]] &= \frac{1}{C} E_{\mathbf{x}_i} \left[ \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_i \sum_{j \in Y_i^+} [\![1 - (\mathbf{w}_k - \mathbf{w}_j)^\top \mathbf{x}_i > 0]\!] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_i \sum_{j \in Y_i^-} [\![1 - (\mathbf{w}_j - \mathbf{w}_k)^\top \mathbf{x}_i > 0]\!] + \frac{1}{D} \mathbf{w}_k \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{C'} \lambda_1 \phi_1 + \frac{1}{D'} \mathbf{w}_k
\end{aligned}$$

Where  $C'$  and  $D'$  are constants. Similarly, we can prove the expectation of the direction in step 11 to 15:

$$E_{\mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b} [g^{inst}(y_k, \mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_b)] = \frac{1}{C''} \lambda_2 \phi_2 + \frac{1}{D''} \mathbf{w}_k$$

Because of the linearity of expectation, and absorbing the constants into  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , the gradient  $\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{W})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_k}$  can be unbiased estimated. Namely, the updated direction of the algorithm is an unbiased estimation of the gradient of Equation (1).  $\square$

## B Appendix B: Detailed Experimental Results

In this section, detailed experimental results are included. The results of synthetic data are in Section B.1 and The results of benchmark data are in Section B.2

### B.1 Detailed Experimental Results of Synthetic Data

In this section, the detailed experimental results of synthetic data are given.

Table B.1: Original absolute value and rescaled value of experiments on ranking measures. In the left columns are absolute values, and in the right columns are rescaled relative values.

measure	LIMO-inst		LIMO		LIMO	
ranking loss	0.027	0.00	0.015	0.99	0.015	1.00
avg. precision	0.992	0.00	0.992	0.58	0.992	1.00
one-error	0.000	1.00	0.001	0.28	0.001	0.00
coverage	1.576	0.00	1.557	0.97	1.556	1.00
macro-AUC	0.842	1.00	0.828	0.00	0.842	0.98
instance-AUC	0.973	0.00	0.985	0.99	0.985	1.00
micro-AUC	0.861	0.14	0.854	0.00	0.903	1.00

Table B.2: Original absolute value and rescaled value of experiments on classification measures. In the left columns are absolute values, and in the right columns are rescaled relative values.

measure	LIMO-inst-t		LIMO-inst-t(x)		LIMO-label-t		LIMO-label-t(x)		LIMO-t		LIMO-t(x)	
Hamming loss	0.172	0.28	0.160	0.48	0.188	0.00	0.131	1.00	0.163	0.43	0.134	0.94
micro-F1	0.837	0.00	0.860	0.43	0.840	0.06	0.890	1.00	0.858	0.40	0.885	0.92
macro-F1	0.869	0.87	0.857	0.25	0.861	0.46	0.859	0.35	0.872	1.00	0.852	0.00
instance-F1	0.804	0.00	0.883	0.79	0.835	0.32	0.904	1.00	0.858	0.54	0.900	0.96

### B.2 Detailed Experimental Results of Benchmark Data

The ranking results in Figure 4 in paper are computed from Table B.3. Because this table is too large, we can only rotate it to show in the next page.

Table B.3: Experimental results on eleven multi-label performance measures. For each performance measure, “ $\downarrow$ ” indicates “the smaller the better” and “ $\uparrow$ ” indicates “the larger the better”. The results are shown in  $\text{mean} \pm \text{std}(\text{rank})$ . The smaller the rank, the better the performance.

Dataset	Algorithm	hamming loss $\downarrow$	ranking loss $\downarrow$	one-error $\downarrow$	avg. precision $\uparrow$	coverage $\downarrow$	instance-F1 $\uparrow$	instance-AUC $\uparrow$	macro-F1 $\uparrow$	macro-AUC $\uparrow$	micro-F1 $\uparrow$	micro-AUC $\uparrow$
CAL500	BR	.145 $\pm$ .003(5)	.216 $\pm$ .005(4)	.470 $\pm$ .008(4)	.212 $\pm$ .025(5)	143.025 $\pm$ 2.319(4)	.354 $\pm$ .009(5)	.784 $\pm$ .005(4)	.097 $\pm$ .006(5)	.544 $\pm$ .012(2)	.357 $\pm$ .011(5)	.779 $\pm$ .006(4)
	ML-kNN	.139 $\pm$ .003(3)	.184 $\pm$ .005(3)	.491 $\pm$ .007(3)	.106 $\pm$ .023(3)	129.789 $\pm$ 2.426(2)	.321 $\pm$ .010(6)	.816 $\pm$ .005(3)	.053 $\pm$ .002(6)	.523 $\pm$ .009(3)	.318 $\pm$ .010(6)	.813 $\pm$ .004(3)
	GFM	.200 $\pm$ .002(6)	.522 $\pm$ .007(5)	.900 $\pm$ .000(1)	.166.481 $\pm$ 0.906(6)	.454 $\pm$ .006(3)	.662 $\pm$ .006(3)	.183 $\pm$ .005(3)	.518 $\pm$ .013(5)	.457 $\pm$ .006(3)	.661 $\pm$ .006(5)	.458 $\pm$ .014(6)
	LIMO-inst	.143 $\pm$ .004(4)	.545 $\pm$ .015(6)	.147 $\pm$ .004(6)	.971 $\pm$ .022(6)	162.632 $\pm$ 1.539(5)	.386 $\pm$ .010(4)	.455 $\pm$ .015(6)	.302 $\pm$ .008(1)	.566 $\pm$ .011(1)	.389 $\pm$ .010(4)	.815 $\pm$ .004(2)
	LIMO-label	.138 $\pm$ .002(2)	.180 $\pm$ .004(2)	.499 $\pm$ .008(2)	.105 $\pm$ .023(2)	129.993 $\pm$ 2.491(3)	.473 $\pm$ .004(2)	.820 $\pm$ .004(2)	.126 $\pm$ .003(4)	.510 $\pm$ .011(6)	.477 $\pm$ .004(2)	.816 $\pm$ .004(1)
	LIMO	.137 $\pm$ .025(1)	.178 $\pm$ .004(1)	.501 $\pm$ .008(1)	.122 $\pm$ .035(4)	129.323 $\pm$ 2.672(1)	.475 $\pm$ .006(1)	.822 $\pm$ .004(1)	.288 $\pm$ .006(2)	.523 $\pm$ .011(4)	.479 $\pm$ .006(1)	.816 $\pm$ .004(1)
medical	BR	.011 $\pm$ .001(1)	.073 $\pm$ .001(5)	.416 $\pm$ .001(5)	.804 $\pm$ .029(6)	3.697 $\pm$ 1.752(5)	.766 $\pm$ .022(1)	.927 $\pm$ .022(1)	.384 $\pm$ .040(5)	.877 $\pm$ .038(3)	.792 $\pm$ .020(1)	.910 $\pm$ .039(3)
	ML-kNN	.016 $\pm$ .001(5)	.048 $\pm$ .008(4)	.788 $\pm$ .017(4)	.266 $\pm$ .025(5)	3.034 $\pm$ 0.411(4)	.564 $\pm$ .033(5)	.953 $\pm$ .007(4)	.190 $\pm$ .015(6)	.797 $\pm$ .029(5)	.654 $\pm$ .028(4)	.949 $\pm$ .008(4)
	GFM	.025 $\pm$ .002(6)	.287 $\pm$ .026(6)	.692 $\pm$ .025(5)	.217 $\pm$ .025(3)	6.581 $\pm$ 0.714(6)	.636 $\pm$ .025(4)	.882 $\pm$ .013(6)	.216 $\pm$ .018(4)	.650 $\pm$ .027(6)	.605 $\pm$ .027(5)	.875 $\pm$ .014(6)
	LIMO-inst	.015 $\pm$ .001(4)	.017 $\pm$ .005(1)	.881 $\pm$ .018(2)	.170 $\pm$ .028(2)	1.248 $\pm$ 0.279(1)	.444 $\pm$ .090(6)	.983 $\pm$ .005(1)	.448 $\pm$ .024(2)	.901 $\pm$ .032(1)	.439 $\pm$ .087(6)	.579 $\pm$ .005(1)
	LIMO-label	.014 $\pm$ .001(3)	.032 $\pm$ .006(3)	.829 $\pm$ .018(3)	.217 $\pm$ .026(4)	2.237 $\pm$ 0.378(3)	.641 $\pm$ .030(3)	.968 $\pm$ .006(3)	.207 $\pm$ .012(5)	.859 $\pm$ .035(4)	.702 $\pm$ .020(3)	.960 $\pm$ .007(3)
	LIMO	.013 $\pm$ .001(2)	.019 $\pm$ .006(2)	.893 $\pm$ .017(1)	.147 $\pm$ .027(1)	1.423 $\pm$ 0.350(2)	.706 $\pm$ .019(2)	.981 $\pm$ .006(2)	.464 $\pm$ .024(1)	.896 $\pm$ .029(2)	.757 $\pm$ .012(2)	.977 $\pm$ .006(2)
enron	BR	.070 $\pm$ .003(6)	.136 $\pm$ .010(4)	.539 $\pm$ .086(4)	.533 $\pm$ .263(6)	16.834 $\pm$ 0.669(4)	.482 $\pm$ .009(3)	.866 $\pm$ .010(4)	.187 $\pm$ .015(3)	.631 $\pm$ .025(5)	.473 $\pm$ .008(3)	.814 $\pm$ .008(4)
	ML-kNN	.053 $\pm$ .001(3)	.096 $\pm$ .004(3)	.624 $\pm$ .014(3)	.310 $\pm$ .022(4)	13.615 $\pm$ 0.423(3)	.409 $\pm$ .025(5)	.904 $\pm$ .004(3)	.083 $\pm$ .008(6)	.633 $\pm$ .022(4)	.461 $\pm$ .017(4)	.898 $\pm$ .003(1)
	GFM	.069 $\pm$ .003(5)	.554 $\pm$ .027(6)	.399 $\pm$ .021(6)	.246 $\pm$ .041(2)	31.645 $\pm$ 0.764(6)	.428 $\pm$ .022(4)	.669 $\pm$ .014(6)	.118 $\pm$ .012(5)	.553 $\pm$ .015(6)	.437 $\pm$ .016(5)	.654 $\pm$ .011(6)
	LIMO-inst	.054 $\pm$ .001(4)	.205 $\pm$ .008(5)	.520 $\pm$ .008(5)	.344 $\pm$ .013(5)	23.679 $\pm$ 0.804(5)	.404 $\pm$ .036(6)	.796 $\pm$ .008(5)	.310 $\pm$ .018(1)	.717 $\pm$ .015(1)	.414 $\pm$ .056(6)	.810 $\pm$ .006(5)
	LIMO-label	.049 $\pm$ .001(2)	.085 $\pm$ .003(2)	.672 $\pm$ .010(2)	.233 $\pm$ .017(1)	12.324 $\pm$ 0.444(2)	.565 $\pm$ .011(1)	.916 $\pm$ .003(2)	.137 $\pm$ .005(4)	.644 $\pm$ .019(3)	.591 $\pm$ .008(2)	.897 $\pm$ .003(2)
	LIMO	.049 $\pm$ .001(1)	.083 $\pm$ .003(1)	.672 $\pm$ .010(1)	.253 $\pm$ .022(3)	11.880 $\pm$ 0.255(1)	.562 $\pm$ .010(2)	.918 $\pm$ .003(1)	.278 $\pm$ .017(2)	.663 $\pm$ .021(2)	.596 $\pm$ .006(1)	.896 $\pm$ .006(1)
core15k	BR	.014 $\pm$ .000(5)	.280 $\pm$ .010(5)	.077 $\pm$ .013(6)	.962 $\pm$ .004(6)	207.643 $\pm$ 3.477(5)	.139 $\pm$ .005(4)	.720 $\pm$ .010(5)	.044 $\pm$ .003(4)	.158 $\pm$ .004(4)	.158 $\pm$ .006(3)	.706 $\pm$ .009(5)
	ML-kNN	.009 $\pm$ .002(3)	.135 $\pm$ .002(3)	.245 $\pm$ .004(2)	.736 $\pm$ .009(3)	114.727 $\pm$ 1.658(3)	.017 $\pm$ .002(6)	.865 $\pm$ .002(3)	.009 $\pm$ .001(6)	.540 $\pm$ .007(5)	.027 $\pm$ .003(6)	.866 $\pm$ .002(3)
	GFM	.021 $\pm$ .001(6)	.803 $\pm$ .012(6)	.100 $\pm$ .005(5)	.516 $\pm$ .030(1)	320.449 $\pm$ 2.173(6)	.150 $\pm$ .008(3)	.416 $\pm$ .012(6)	.029 $\pm$ .002(5)	.516 $\pm$ .006(6)	.146 $\pm$ .011(4)	.411 $\pm$ .013(6)
	LIMO-inst	.010 $\pm$ .000(2)	.275 $\pm$ .004(4)	.105 $\pm$ .004(4)	.897 $\pm$ .006(5)	1.72.120 $\pm$ 2.183(4)	.058 $\pm$ .003(5)	.725 $\pm$ .004(4)	.118 $\pm$ .003(1)	.706 $\pm$ .006(1)	.057 $\pm$ .002(5)	.725 $\pm$ .004(4)
	LIMO-label	.011 $\pm$ .000(4)	.112 $\pm$ .003(1)	.289 $\pm$ .006(1)	.710 $\pm$ .010(2)	99.629 $\pm$ 2.136(2)	.214 $\pm$ .004(1)	.888 $\pm$ .003(1)	.050 $\pm$ .002(3)	.658 $\pm$ .006(3)	.236 $\pm$ .004(1)	.882 $\pm$ .002(1)
	LIMO	.011 $\pm$ .000(3)	.116 $\pm$ .003(2)	.227 $\pm$ .005(3)	.791 $\pm$ .008(4)	94.253 $\pm$ 2.109(1)	.152 $\pm$ .005(2)	.884 $\pm$ .003(2)	.117 $\pm$ .004(2)	.692 $\pm$ .007(2)	.177 $\pm$ .005(2)	.881 $\pm$ .003(2)
bibex	BR	.016 $\pm$ .001(5)	.114 $\pm$ .007(3)	.528 $\pm$ .012(2)	.428 $\pm$ .014(2)	32.758 $\pm$ 1.929(4)	.399 $\pm$ .009(1)	.886 $\pm$ .007(3)	.318 $\pm$ .016(3)	.866 $\pm$ .007(4)	.419 $\pm$ .012(3)	.869 $\pm$ .007(4)
	ML-kNN	.014 $\pm$ .000(2)	.218 $\pm$ .004(5)	.339 $\pm$ .006(5)	.599 $\pm$ .007(6)	56.259 $\pm$ 1.260(5)	.160 $\pm$ .007(6)	.782 $\pm$ .004(5)	.066 $\pm$ .006(6)	.661 $\pm$ .007(5)	.211 $\pm$ .007(5)	.776 $\pm$ .005(5)
	GFM	.037 $\pm$ .000(6)	.707 $\pm$ .003(6)	.210 $\pm$ .004(6)	.492 $\pm$ .010(5)	85.281 $\pm$ 0.701(6)	.223 $\pm$ .008(5)	.618 $\pm$ .003(6)	.130 $\pm$ .007(5)	.575 $\pm$ .003(6)	.185 $\pm$ .007(6)	.626 $\pm$ .003(6)
	LIMO-inst	.014 $\pm$ .000(1)	.120 $\pm$ .003(4)	.494 $\pm$ .008(4)	.469 $\pm$ .016(4)	32.403 $\pm$ 0.640(3)	.392 $\pm$ .005(2)	.880 $\pm$ .003(4)	.323 $\pm$ .005(2)	.921 $\pm$ .002(2)	.438 $\pm$ .006(1)	.877 $\pm$ .003(3)
	LIMO-label	.014 $\pm$ .000(4)	.527 $\pm$ .002(2)	.433 $\pm$ .013(3)	.390 $\pm$ .008(3)	20.425 $\pm$ 0.422(2)	.386 $\pm$ .007(4)	.929 $\pm$ .002(2)	.232 $\pm$ .004(4)	.911 $\pm$ .002(3)	.405 $\pm$ .007(4)	.917 $\pm$ .002(2)
	LIMO	.014 $\pm$ .000(3)	.508 $\pm$ .001(1)	.570 $\pm$ .004(1)	.390 $\pm$ .008(1)	17.447 $\pm$ 0.357(1)	.390 $\pm$ .007(3)	.942 $\pm$ .001(1)	.326 $\pm$ .006(1)	.924 $\pm$ .002(1)	.435 $\pm$ .004(2)	.938 $\pm$ .002(1)