
Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

Adil El Mesaoudi-Paul¹ Eyke Hüllermeier¹ Róbert Busa-Fekete²

Abstract

We propose a new statistical model for ranking data, i.e., a new family of probability distributions on permutations. Our model is inspired by the idea of a data-generating process in the form of a noisy sorting procedure, in which deterministic comparisons between pairs of items are replaced by Bernoulli trials. The probability of producing a certain ranking as a result then essentially depends on the Bernoulli parameters, which can be interpreted as pairwise preferences. We show that our model can be written in closed form if insertion sort is used as sorting algorithm and can be characterized recursively if quick sort is used, and propose a maximum likelihood approach for parameter estimation. We also introduce a generalization of the model, in which the constraints on pairwise preferences are relaxed, and for which maximum likelihood estimation can be carried out based on a variation of the generalized iterative scaling algorithm. Experimentally, we show that the models perform very well in terms of goodness of fit, compared to existing models for ranking data.

1. Introduction

The analysis of ranking data has a long tradition in statistics, and corresponding methods have been used in various fields of application, such as psychology and the social sciences (Marden, 1996). More recently, applications in information retrieval (Liu et al., 2009) and machine learning (Fürnkranz & Hüllermeier, 2010) have caused a renewed interest in the analysis of rankings and related statistical tools, such as probability distributions on rankings.

In contrast to probability distributions on the reals, the number of parametric distributions on rankings (permutations

¹Heinz Nixdorf Institute and Department of Computer Science, Paderborn University, Germany ²Yahoo Research, New York, USA. Correspondence to: Adil El Mesaoudi-Paul <adil.paul@upb.de>.

Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning, Stockholm, Sweden, PMLR 80, 2018. Copyright 2018 by the author(s).

of a fixed size) is rather limited. The most popular models are Mallows (Mallows, 1957) and Plackett-Luce (Plackett, 1975; Luce, 1959), and to a lesser extent Babington Smith (Babington-Smith, 1950). In this paper, we add another class of probability distributions to this repertoire.

Our model is inspired by the idea of a data-generating process in the form of a noisy sorting procedure (Biernacki & Jacques, 2013), that is, the idea that a ranking is produced as the result of a sorting process, in which comparisons are not deterministic but dependant on chance. More specifically, comparisons between pairs of items are modelled as Bernoulli trials, with the Bernoulli parameters representing pairwise preferences. While these preferences obey certain consistency constraints for our basic model, we also introduce a generalization for which these constraints are relaxed. For two sorting algorithms, insertion sort and quick sort, we show that the former model can be written in closed form, and that the latter has a recursive characterization.

In addition to proposing the models themselves, we address the problem of parameter estimation based on sample data. More specifically, we devise procedures for efficient maximum likelihood estimation. In an experimental study, we assess the performance of our models in terms of goodness of fit on a large number of real-world data sets.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce notation and recall the basic families of probability distributions on rankings. Our new model classes are introduced in Section 3, their instantiation for specific sorting algorithms is discussed in Section 4, and the problem of parameter estimation is addressed in Section 5. Experimental results are presented in Section 6, prior to concluding the paper in Section 7.

2. Probability Distributions on Rankings

Consider a fixed set $O = \{o_1, \dots, o_K\}$ of K choice alternatives (objects/options/items). We identify a ranking over O with a permutation $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K$, where \mathbb{S}_K denotes the collection of permutations on $[K] = \{1, \dots, K\}$. Thus, each π is a mapping $[K] \rightarrow [K]$, such that $\pi(k)$ denotes the position of the k^{th} item o_k in the associated ranking. With each ranking π , we associate an ordering π^{-1} , where $\pi^{-1}(j)$ is the index of the item on position j . To simplify notation, we

shall denote by π both a ranking and the associated ordering, writing the former in brackets and the latter in parentheses. For example, $\pi = [2, 3, 1]$, $\pi = (3, 1, 2)$, as well as the function π defined by $\pi(1) = 2, \pi(2) = 1, \pi(3) = 1$, all denote the ranking in which o_3 is at the top, o_1 in the middle, and o_2 on the last position.

2.1. Mallows Distribution and Extensions

The Mallows model (MM) (Mallows, 1957) belongs to the exponential family of distributions and is parametrized by a reference ranking τ and a dispersion parameter ϕ :

$$\mathbb{P}_{\tau, \phi}(\pi) = \frac{1}{C(\phi)} \exp(-\phi D(\pi, \tau)) ,$$

where $D(\pi, \tau)$ is the Kendall distance (the number of pairwise inversions between π and τ) and $C(\phi)$ a normalization constant. Thus, Mallows is a distance-based model: the probability of a ranking π decreases with increasing distance from τ , which is the mode of the distribution.

The generalized Mallows model (GMM) (Fligner & Verducci, 1986) is an extension of the MM model, which has $K - 1$ dispersion parameters $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{K-1}$. Each of the latter affects one specific position in the ranking, thereby allowing permutations at the same distance from the reference ranking to have different probabilities. The probability of a ranking π according to the GMM model is given by

$$\mathbb{P}_{\tau, \phi}(\pi) = \frac{1}{C(\phi)} \exp \left(- \sum_{j=1}^{K-1} \phi_j V_j(\pi) \right) ,$$

where $V_j(\pi) = \sum_{i>j} [\pi^{-1}(i) < \pi^{-1}(j)]$ is the number of inversions for item o_j in π with respect to the identity permutation¹. As such, the GMM model uses an insertion procedure in its generative process, in which a ranking is generated by iteratively inserting elements according to the reference ranking into a list. The probability of inserting an element into a specific position is controlled by the inversion distance and the ϕ -parameters.

Meek and Meila (2014) further extend the GMM to the recursive inversion model (RIM), which is able to capture a hierarchical structure on the items. Instead of inserting single items, complete subsequences are merged in a recursive manner, preserving the order within each subsequence. The model is specified by a binary recursive decomposition of the items represented by a structure τ , and the number of inversions is controlled by a parameter θ_i associated with each merge operation. By representing a RIM as a binary tree, where the leaves correspond to the items and the internal vertices \mathcal{I} to the parameters θ_i , the probability of a

ranking $\tau(\theta)$ becomes proportional to

$$\prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \exp(-\theta_i v_i(\pi, \pi_\tau)) ,$$

where $v_i(\pi, \pi_\tau)$ is the number of inversions at vertex i of $\tau(\theta)$ for the ranking π .

2.2. Plackett-Luce Distribution

The Plackett-Luce (PL) model (Plackett, 1975; Luce, 1959) is parametrized by a vector $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_K) \in \mathbb{R}_+^K$. Each θ_i can be interpreted as the weight or “strength” of the option o_i . The probability assigned by the PL model to a ranking represented by a permutation $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K$ is given by

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(\pi) = \prod_{i=1}^K \frac{\theta_{\pi^{-1}(i)}}{\theta_{\pi^{-1}(i)} + \theta_{\pi^{-1}(i+1)} + \dots + \theta_{\pi^{-1}(K)}} \quad (1)$$

The product on the right-hand side of (1) is the probability of producing the ranking π in a *stagewise* process: First, the item on the first position is selected, then the item on the second position, and so forth. In each step, the probability of an item to be chosen next is proportional to its weight. Consequently, items with a higher weight tend to occupy higher positions. In particular, the most probable ranking (i.e., the mode of the PL distribution) is simply obtained by sorting the items in decreasing order of their weight:

$$\tau = \operatorname{argmax}_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} \mathbb{P}_\theta(\pi) = \operatorname{argsort}_{k \in [K]} \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K\} \quad (2)$$

2.3. Babington Smith Distribution

The Babington Smith (BS) model is defined as follows (Babington-Smith, 1950):

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(\pi) = \frac{1}{C(\theta)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq K} p_{\pi^{-1}(i), \pi^{-1}(j)} , \quad (3)$$

where $p_{i,j}$ is the probability to observe a preference $o_i \succ o_j$ in a direct comparison between o_i and o_j , and $C(\theta)$ is a normalization constant. Thus, the parametrization θ of the BS model consists of all pairwise probabilities $p_{i,j} = 1 - p_{j,i}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq K$.

The BS distribution results from the following “trial and error” data-generating process: First, the order of each pair of objects o_i and o_j is determined independently at random (as a result of a Bernoulli trial, i.e., by flipping a coin with bias $p_{i,j}$). Then, in case all pairwise comparisons form a consistent ranking, this ranking is adopted, otherwise the first step is repeated.

2.4. Comparison

The previous models can naturally be distinguished in terms of their parametrization. The Mallows model is quite restricted and not very flexible. It has one degree of freedom

¹ $[\cdot]$ maps true predicates to 1 and false predicates to 0.

to determine the location of the distribution (the reference ranking), and another parameter to determine the spread (comparable, for example, to the normal distribution on the reals). The PL model is more flexible (for example, see (Cheng et al., 2012) for a comparison of the expressivity of Mallows and PL), with a number of parameters that is linear in the number of items. BS has an even richer parametrization, the size of which grows quadratically with the number of items.

From a preference modeling point of view, the parametrizations of PL and BS are both quite natural: PL specifies the strength of each option individually, whereas BS takes pairwise comparisons as a point of departure. Thus, while PL implies relatively strong consistency properties, such as strong stochastic transitivity, BS principally allows for preferential cycles.

3. Ranking Distributions based on Sorting

PL and BS can both be interpreted in terms of an underlying data-generating process, in which a ranking is produced as the result of a specific stochastic process. However, especially in the case of BS, the “cognitive plausibility” of the process is questionable: It is difficult to imagine that a ranking of items is indeed produced by repeating the full set of stochastic pairwise comparisons, independently of each other, till reaching consistency (especially since most of such repetitions will be futile).

As an arguably more plausible assumption, one could imagine that a ranking is the result of a (noisy) sorting procedure. Indeed, when people produce a ranking, they often apply some kind of sorting process, in which items are compared only if necessary. This idea has recently been put forward by Biernacki and Jacques (Biernacki & Jacques, 2013), and provides the main point of departure for our contribution.

A sorting algorithm puts objects stored in a list in a certain order, based on pairwise comparisons between these objects. Most often, the objects to be sorted are numbers, and the pairwise comparison is determined based on some binary relation, for example, the \leq relation for increasing and \geq relation for decreasing order. Note that the list submitted as input to a (deterministic) sorting algorithm does not affect its output, but it does have an influence on its time complexity, and on the pairs of items that are compared. Therefore, the time complexity of sorting algorithms is often analyzed under the assumption of a uniform distribution over the possible inputs (average time complexity analysis).

3.1. Insertion Sort Rank Data Model

The model by Biernacki and Jacques (Biernacki & Jacques, 2013), called Insertion Sort Rank data (ISR) model, is specified by a reference ranking τ and real parameter p , very

much like the Mallows model. The former corresponds to the “correct” ranking, i.e., the mode of the distribution, and $p \in [0.5, 1]$ is the noise parameter that controls the peakedness of the distribution. More specifically, the following assumption is made: A sorting algorithm (insertion sort) is run on an initial ordering π , and whenever two items o_i and o_j are compared, the “right” outcome (consistent with τ) is produced with probability p (hence the “wrong” outcome with probability $1 - p$).

The algorithm’s probability to terminate with a ranking σ obviously depends on the initial ordering π , which is a latent variable of the model. To get rid of this influence, the initialization is “averaged out”, i.e., an expectation is taken over all initial rankings. Assuming a uniform distribution for π , we thus obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma | \tau, p) = \frac{1}{K!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} \mathbb{P}(\sigma | \pi, \tau, p) . \quad (4)$$

This model can also be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\sigma | \mathbf{P}) &= \frac{1}{C'(\mathbf{P})} \sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} \mathbb{P}(\sigma | \pi, \mathbf{P}) , \\ &= \frac{1}{C'(\mathbf{P})} \sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j \neq i} p_{i,j}^{d_{i,j}^{\sigma, \pi}} , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where \mathbf{P} is a $K \times K$ matrix $\mathbf{P} = [p_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq K}$ with entries

$$p_{i,j} = p_{i,j}(\tau, p) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } \tau(o_i) < \tau(o_j) \\ 1-p & \text{if } \tau(o_i) > \tau(o_j) \end{cases} . \quad (6)$$

That is, the matrix \mathbf{P} is uniquely determined by τ and p (and vice versa). Moreover, for rankings $\sigma, \pi \in \mathbb{S}_K$,

$$\mathbf{D}^{\sigma, \pi} = [d_{i,j}^{\sigma, \pi}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq K}$$

is a binary matrix with entries $d_{i,j}^{\sigma, \pi} = 1$ if the sorting algorithm, given π as initial ordering and producing σ as output, has compared o_i to o_j with a win for o_i , and to 0 otherwise. Finally,

$$C'(\mathbf{P}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_K} \sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j \neq i} p_{i,j}^{d_{i,j}^{\sigma, \pi}}$$

is the normalization constant.

Biernacki and Jacques tackle the problem of estimating the parameters of the model, τ and p , using the maximum likelihood principle. To this end, they adopt a latent variable interpretation of the model and propose an EM algorithm.

3.2. The Conjunctive Noisy Sorting Model

Our model is a modification of (5), which looks very similar at first sight: Instead of averaging out the influence of the

initial ranking π in an additive way, by aggregating the probabilities $\mathbb{P}(\sigma | \pi, \tau, p)$ with an arithmetic mean, we apply the product as an aggregation function:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \tau, p) \propto \prod_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \pi, \tau, p),$$

where \mathcal{A} is the underlying sorting algorithm. As for the latter, one may of course consider algorithms other than insertion sort. Indeed, any pairwise-comparison-based sorting algorithm can in principle be extended to a noisy sorting model by using stochastic pairwise comparisons (Braverman & Mossel, 2008; 2009). In Section 4, we will instantiate our model for two algorithms, insertion sort and quick sort.

There are different motivations for the above modification. First, as will be seen, the multiplicative variant has appealing mathematical properties and can be handled a bit more easily. Second, the model can also be motivated intuitively. The product is a *conjunctive* aggregation function (Grabisch et al., 2009), and combining probabilities in a conjunctive way is in agreement with standard (deterministic) sorting, where the “correct” output ordering σ is obtained regardless of the initial ordering π , that is, as a result for all initial orderings π . Therefore, we call our model the Conjunctive Noisy Sorting (CNS) model.

Recall the definition of the matrix \mathbf{P} with entries (6), which is in one-to-one relationship with the model parameters τ and p . With this notation, the CNS model can also be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \mathbf{P}) &= \frac{1}{C(\mathbf{P})} \prod_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} \mathbb{P}(\sigma | \pi, \mathbf{P}), \\ &= \frac{1}{C(\mathbf{P})} \prod_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j \neq i} p_{i,j}^{d_{i,j}^{\sigma, \pi}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $C(\mathbf{P}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_K} \prod_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j \neq i} p_{i,j}^{d_{i,j}^{\sigma, \pi}}$. To simplify this representation, we introduce

$$\mathbf{D}^\sigma = [d_{i,j}^\sigma]_{1 \leq i,j \leq K}, \quad d_{i,j}^\sigma = \sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} d_{i,j}^{\sigma, \pi}.$$

With this notation, the model becomes

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \mathbf{P}) = \frac{1}{C(\mathbf{P})} \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j \neq i} p_{i,j}^{d_{i,j}^\sigma}, \quad (8)$$

where

$$C(\mathbf{P}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_K} \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j \neq i} p_{i,j}^{d_{i,j}^\sigma}. \quad (9)$$

In Section 4, explicit expressions for the exponents $d_{i,j}^\sigma$ will be provided for two instantiations of the model (insertion sort and quick sort). Note that, since $p_{i,j} = p$ or $p_{i,j} = 1-p$

in (9), the normalization constant can be written as a power series in p :

$$C(p) = \sum_{j=0}^{e(K)} \alpha_j^{(K)} \cdot p^j$$

The degree $e(K)$ and the coefficients $\alpha_j^{(K)}$ are specific to K but can be precomputed.

3.3. The Generalized Conjunctive Noisy Sorting Model

CNS is a relatively simple model, comparable to Mallows and ISR in terms of its parametrization. The distribution has a single mode at τ , and all pairwise preferences are consistent with this reference. Here, we consider a more general model, which subsumes the CNS model as a special case, and in which these assumptions are relaxed. More specifically, like in the BS model, pairwise preferences $p_{i,j}$ are allowed to be defined independently for each pair of objects o_i and o_j , and are not assumed to obey any consistency conditions. Thus, we assume a noisy sorting procedure in which, whenever the comparison of objects o_i and o_j is required, a coin with success probability $p_{i,j}$ is flipped, and the outcome of this Bernoulli experiment determines the order of the two elements: o_i is preferred to o_j if the outcome is 1, and o_j is preferred to o_i otherwise. We furthermore assume that all pairwise comparisons are independent of each other, and that $p_{i,j} = 1 - p_{j,i}$ for all $i, j \in [K]$. We summarize the probabilities $p_{i,j}$ in the matrix $\mathbf{P} \in [0, 1]^{K \times K}$, which constitutes the parametrization of the model, referred to as Generalized Conjunctive Noisy Sorting (GCNS) model.

Together with a sorting algorithm \mathcal{A} and an initial ordering π , GCNS defines a distribution $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\cdot | \pi, \mathbf{P})$ over \mathbb{S}_K . Thus, for each ranking $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_K$, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \pi, \mathbf{P})$ is the probability to end up with σ when applying \mathcal{A} to the input π , and comparing items o_i and o_j according to $p_{i,j}$. Again, we eliminate the latent variable π via conjunctive aggregation:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \mathbf{P}) \propto \prod_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \pi, \mathbf{P})$$

To obtain a more compact representation, we introduce binary matrices $\mathbf{D}^{\sigma, \pi} = [d_{i,j}^{\sigma, \pi}]_{1 \leq i,j \leq K}$ for rankings $\sigma, \pi \in \mathbb{S}_K$, where the entry $d_{i,j}^{\sigma, \pi}$ in $\mathbf{D}^{\sigma, \pi}$ is set to 1 if the sorting algorithm \mathcal{A} , given π as initial ordering and producing σ as output, has compared o_i to o_j with a win for o_i , and to 0 otherwise, and the matrices

$$\mathbf{D}^\sigma = [d_{i,j}^\sigma]_{1 \leq i,j \leq K}, \quad d_{i,j}^\sigma = \sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} d_{i,j}^{\sigma, \pi}. \quad (10)$$

We shall consider only such sorting algorithms for which all these matrices are well-defined (which means that, given σ and π , it is clear whether and how o_i and o_j have been compared); this includes insertion sort and quick sort, amongst

others. Using this notation, the GCNS model can be written as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \mathbf{P}) = \frac{1}{C(\mathbf{P})} \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j \neq i} p_{i,j}^{d_{i,j}^\sigma}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$C(\mathbf{P}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_K} \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j \neq i} p_{i,j}^{d_{i,j}^\sigma}. \quad (12)$$

Based on (11), one can see that GCNS is a special case of the log-linear model over the symmetric group, because the log of the probabilities can be written as a linear function of the logarithm of the parameters. Note that the key quantity in the model is \mathbf{D}^σ , which we shall compute in a closed form when insertion sort is used as sorting algorithm, and characterize recursively when quick sort is used.

Extreme probabilities $p_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$ may cause problems in the case of inconsistencies, such as preferential cycles $p_{1,2} = p_{2,3} = p_{3,1} = 1$, which are not excluded in our general model. Applying a sorting algorithm \mathcal{A} to some $\mathbf{P} \in \{0, 1\}^{K \times K}$, an initial ordering π will be turned into an ordering σ with probability 1, i.e., $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \pi, \mathbf{P}) = 1$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma' | \pi, \mathbf{P}) = 0$ for all $\sigma' \neq \sigma$. Then, unless the same σ is produced for all initial orderings π , which is unlikely in the case of inconsistencies, the product $\prod_{\pi} \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \pi, \mathbf{P})$ will vanish for all σ , which means that (11) is no longer well-defined. Therefore, we subsequently exclude extreme probabilities and assume $0 < p_{i,j} < 1$ for all $i, j \in [K]$.

Observation 1. Assuming that $p_{i,j} > 0$ for all $i, j \in [K]$, the model (11) is well-defined in the sense that $C(\mathbf{P}) > 0$; moreover, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \mathbf{P}) > 0$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_K$.

3.4. Connection to BS

Our model has an interesting connection to BS. The latter is parametrized by the same probability matrix \mathbf{P} , specifying probabilities $p_{i,j} = 1 - p_{j,i}$ for each pair of objects o_i, o_j . Moreover, with a normalizing constant $C''(\mathbf{P})$, it can be written as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma | \mathbf{P}) = \frac{1}{C''(\mathbf{P})} \prod_{i=1}^K \prod_{j \neq i} p_{i,j}^{d_{i,j}^\sigma},$$

where $d_{i,j}^\sigma = 1$ if $\sigma(i) < \sigma(j)$ and = 0 otherwise. Comparing this expression with (11), it can be seen that BS has exactly the same structure as our model. The key difference concerns the values $d_{i,j}^\sigma$, which can be seen as weights specifying the importance of the comparison between o_i and o_j . In BS, $d_{i,j}^\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$ and $d_{i,j}^\sigma + d_{j,i}^\sigma \equiv 1$, which means that each pair has the same importance. In our model, where a pair (o_i, o_j) can be more or less relevant when producing a ranking σ with a sorting algorithms \mathcal{A} , more general (integer) values are possible.

Interestingly, if the BS model is restricted such that $p_{i,j} = p$ if $\tau(i) < \tau(j)$ and $p_{i,j} = 1 - p$ if $\tau(i) > \tau(j)$, for a fixed $\tau \in \mathbb{S}_K$ and probability p , it reduces to the Mallows model (Mallows, 1957). For exactly the same restriction, GCNS reduces to CNS. Roughly speaking, Mallows is to BS what CNS is to GCNS. Moreover, since GCNS can be seen as a “sorting variant” of BS, CNS can also be seen as a “sorting variant” of Mallows. This is another strong motivation of our model.

4. Instantiations of the Ranking Model

To make the definition of our models complete, we make use of two sorting algorithms \mathcal{A} : insertion sort, denoted by \mathcal{I} , and quick sort, denoted by \mathcal{Q} . For insertion sort algorithm, we show that (8) and (11) can be written in closed form, and for quick sort algorithm, we show that they can be characterized in a recursive way.

4.1. Insertion Sort

In (stochastic) insertion sort, we start with an empty ordering, in which all K objects are inserted one by one, in the order determined by the initial ranking π . In the l^{th} iteration, we are given a partial ordering $(o_{i(1)}, \dots, o_{i(l)})$ of $l < K$ objects and insert another object o . To this end, o is first compared with $o_{i(1)}$, then with $o_{i(2)}$, and so forth. It is inserted as position j if $o_{i(j)}$ is the first item that loses its comparison with o ; in case o is beaten by all l items, it is put on position $l + 1$.

Thus, in stochastic insertion sort, we produce an output ranking σ from an initial ranking π by comparing only a subset of all possible pairs of items. Note that the output of the noisy sorting procedure is a random ordering that depends on the success probabilities $\mathbf{P} = [p_{i,j}]$, and also on the initial ordering π , i.e., the order in which items are inserted. The following example elaborates on this dependence.

Example 1. Consider insertion sort with two different initial orderings $\pi = (o_1, o_2, o_3)$ and $\pi' = (o_3, o_2, o_1)$. Let the pairwise probabilities be $p_{1,2} = 1/4$, and $p_{1,3} = p_{2,3} = 1/2$. Now let us compute the probability of observing $\sigma = (o_1, o_2, o_3)$. Starting from π , we first insert o_1 , then o_2 , and finally o_3 . Ending with σ is thus only possible if o_1 has beaten o_2 in the first comparison, o_1 has also beaten o_3 , and o_2 has beaten o_3 . Therefore, the probability of observing σ is proportional to $p_{1,2}p_{1,3}p_{2,3} = 0.0625$. Starting from π' , σ is produced with fewer comparisons, and the same probability is proportional to $p_{1,2}p_{2,3} = 0.125$.

Now, we are going to focus on $\mathbf{D}^\sigma = \sum_{\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K} \mathbf{D}^{\sigma, \pi}$, where the sum is elementwise. The following observation allows us to compute \mathbf{D}^σ in a concise way.

Lemma 1. Assume that $\sigma_{id} = (o_1, \dots, o_K)$. Then, for

insertion sort, the matrix $D^{\sigma_{id}}$ is given by

$$d_{i,j}^{\sigma_{id}} = \begin{cases} \frac{K!}{2} & \text{if } i < j \\ \binom{K}{b_{i,j}+2}(K - b_{i,j} - 2)! b_{i,j}! & \text{if } j < i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where $b_{i,j} = i - j - 1$. Furthermore, for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_K$, we have $\mathbf{D}^\sigma = \mathbf{B}^\sigma \mathbf{D}^{\sigma_{id}} \mathbf{B}^{\sigma^\top}$, where \mathbf{B}^σ is the permutation matrix that corresponds to σ .

Proof. The first case is easy to verify, since insertion sort with an initial ordering π compares two objects only in case they are concordant (in the same order) in σ_{id} and π . The number of such orderings is $\frac{K!}{2}$.

The second case is more involved, since one needs to calculate all initial orders $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_K$ in which a pair of objects, say o_i and o_j , are discordant and compared to each other in the course of the sorting procedure. Assume that insertion sort is run with π as initial order, and the output is σ_{id} . It is easy to see that object o_i and o_j are not compared if there is a third object o_k , which is between o_j and o_i with respect to σ_{id} , and also between o_j and o_i in π ; Figure 1 illustrates such a configuration of objects. Therefore, the number of orderings for which o_i and o_j are compared is equal to $\binom{K}{b_{i,j}+2}(K - b_{i,j} - 2)!$, because o_i and o_j and the items between them have to be ordered such that o_i is the first, o_j is the second, and all items between o_j and o_i with respect to σ_{id} precede them in π . In addition, the items between o_i and o_j can be permuted arbitrarily in the initial order, which results in the term $b_{i,j}!$.

The last claim can be verified based on the fact that the argument above holds for an arbitrary permutation of objects. This concludes the proof. \square

Initial ordering π				
O_i	O_k	O_j		
Output ordering σ				
O_j	O_k	O_i		

Figure 1. An initial ordering π and output ordering for which insertion sort does not compare o_i and o_j .

4.2. Quick Sort

The quick sort algorithm is inherently random due to the random choice of the pivot item. We make use of a derandomized version by taking as pivot the item in the middle of the initial ordering (i.e., the item on position $\lceil K/2 \rceil$ for an ordering with K items).

In noisy quick sort, we start by picking a pivot element o_p from the elements $\{o_1, \dots, o_K\}$ to be ordered. We then

proceed with the partition operation, in which we construct two sub-orderings, one collecting the items that lost and the other one the items that won the pairwise comparison with the pivot element. The same process is repeated with each of the two sub-orderings (unless a sub-ordering reduces to a single item), eventually producing a complete ordering of the items. Again, we note that the output of the noisy sorting model based on quick sort depends on the pairwise probabilities \mathbf{P} and the initial ordering π . This dependence is illustrated in the following example.

Example 2. Consider the quick sort algorithm with two different initial orderings $\pi = (o_1, o_2, o_3)$ and $\pi' = (o_2, o_1, o_3)$. Let the pairwise probabilities be given as in Example 1. It is easy to see that the probability of observing $\sigma = (o_1, o_2, o_3)$ starting from π is proportional to $p_{1,2}p_{2,3} = 0.125$. When starting with π' , this probability is proportional to $p_{1,2}p_{1,3}p_{2,3} = 0.0625$.

The following lemma gives a recursive expression of \mathbf{D}^σ for the case of quick sort as a sorting algorithm.

Lemma 2. Assume that $\sigma_{id} = (o_1, \dots, o_K)$. Then, for quick sort, the matrix $D^{\sigma_{id}}$ is given by

$$d_{ij}^{\sigma_{id}} = (K-1)! \left[\sum_{k=1}^i Q(k, K, i, j) + \sum_{k=j}^K Q(1, k, i, j) \right],$$

where

$$Q(\ell, u, i, j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < p = \lceil \frac{i+j}{2} \rceil < j \\ 2 & \text{if } p = i \text{ or } p = j \\ Q(1, p-1, i, j) & \text{if } j < p \\ Q(p+1, u, i, j) & \text{if } i > p \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_K$, we have $\mathbf{D}^\sigma = \mathbf{B}^\sigma \mathbf{D}^{\sigma_{id}} \mathbf{B}^{\sigma^\top}$, where \mathbf{B}^σ is the permutation matrix that corresponds to σ .

Proof. The first case of the recursion $Q(\ell, u, i, j)$ follows from the fact that neither o_i nor o_j is chosen as pivot, in which case they will not be compared any more. In the second case, either o_i or o_j is chosen as pivot, in which case they will be compared. Otherwise, the recursion corresponds to the quick sort recursion. \square

5. Parameter Estimation

In this section, we address the problem of parameter estimation, i.e., the question of how to fit our models to a given sample $\mathcal{D} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\} \subset \mathbb{S}_K$ using the principle of maximum likelihood (ML) estimation.

5.1. The CNS Model

Given a set of observations $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$, the ML estimation consists of solving the following constrained optimiza-

tion problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{P}^\tau} \quad & \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j \neq i} d_{i,j}^{\sigma_\ell, \tau} \log p_{i,j}^\tau - n \log C(\mathbf{P}^\tau) \quad (13) \\ \text{s. t. } p_{i,j}^\tau = & \begin{cases} p & \text{if } \tau(i) < \tau(j) \\ 1-p & \text{if } \tau(i) > \tau(j) \end{cases} \quad \forall i, j \in [K], i \neq j \end{aligned}$$

Recall that \mathbf{P}^τ is equivalently represented by the reference order τ and the probability p , i.e., the maximization in the above problem is over these two parameters.

We tackle the problem with simple hill-climbing search for τ in the discrete space \mathbb{S}_K , initialized with the Borda ranking (i.e., sorting items according to their average rank in the data). The neighborhood of an ordering is defined as the set of all orderings that can be obtained by a swap of two adjacent items. For a fixed τ , the optimization problem (13) reduces to a simple one-dimensional problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_p \quad & \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left[\sum_{\tau(i) < \tau(j)} d_{i,j}^{\sigma_\ell, \tau} \log p + \sum_{\tau(i) > \tau(j)} d_{i,j}^{\sigma_\ell, \tau} \log(1-p) \right] \\ & - n \log C(\mathbf{P}^\tau) \\ \text{s. t. } \quad & p \in [0.5, 1] \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

This problem is convex (the distribution belongs to the exponential family) and can be solved numerically, for example by means of the golden section method.

In each iteration of the algorithm, the best candidate solution (τ, p) in the neighborhood of the current best solution is adopted, and the search stops if no improvement is possible anymore.

5.2. The GCNS Model

The GCNS model (11) is parametrized by \mathbf{P} . Here, the maximum likelihood (ML) principle cannot be applied directly, because the normalizing factor $C(\mathbf{P})$ in (12) cannot be written in a closed form in terms of the model parameters. Therefore, we opt for using the generalized iterative scaling (GIS) procedure (Darroch & Ratcliff, 1972), an iterative method for estimating the probabilities in a log-linear model. Given a set of observations $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\}$, ML estimation amounts to solving the following constrained optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\mathbf{P}} \quad & \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j \neq i} d_{i,j}^{\sigma_\ell} \log p_{i,j} - n \log C(\mathbf{P}) \quad (15) \\ \text{s. t. } \quad & p_{i,j} + p_{j,i} = 1, \quad \forall i, j \in [K], i \neq j . \end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma_{\downarrow j}$ denote the j^{th} ranking according to some fixed ordering over \mathbb{S}_K (e.g. Lehmer code). With $f_j = \#\{i \in [n] : \sigma_i = \sigma_{\downarrow j}\}$, the empirical frequencies corresponding

to the probabilities of all possible permutations, the GIS procedure seeks to find a parameter estimate \mathbf{P}' for which

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{K!} p'_\ell d_{i,j}^{\sigma_{\downarrow \ell}} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{K!} \hat{p}_\ell d_{i,j}^{\sigma_{\downarrow \ell}} \quad (16)$$

for all $i \neq j$, where $p'_\ell = \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma_{\downarrow \ell} | \mathbf{P}')$.

Observe that the GIS procedure can be adapted to produce the parameters of the log-linear model instead of the probabilities $p_{i,j}$ (Malouf, 2002). In addition, we note that GIS requires the computation of a vector of length $K!$, a very costly operation that will be tackled based on a Monte Carlo-based approximation technique. Further, based on Lemma 1 and 2, it is easy to see that the sum of exponents is constant for every ordering in case of both insertion and quick sort, that is $\sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j \neq i} d_{i,j}^\sigma = B_K$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_K$.

According to (Darroch & Ratcliff, 1972), \mathbf{P}' in (16) is the (unconstrained) ML estimate for \mathbf{P} . In our case, however, the constraints $p_{i,j} + p_{j,i} = 1$ in (15) need to be taken into account. Therefore, we accompany each update step in the GIS procedure with a projection step, which ensures that the estimated parameters satisfy the constraints. One update step of the iterative procedure for the parameter estimation thus can be written as

$$p_{i,j}^{(n+1)} = \Pi \left(p_{i,j}^{(n)} + \delta^{(n)} \right) ,$$

where

$$\delta^{(n)} = \log \left(\frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^{K!} \hat{p}_\ell d_{i,j}^{\sigma_{\downarrow \ell}}}{\sum_{\ell=1}^{K!} p_l^{(n)} d_{i,j}^{\sigma_{\downarrow \ell}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{B_K}} ,$$

and $\Pi(x)$ denotes the least-squares projection of $x = (x_{i,j}, x_{j,i})$, given by

$$\underset{y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \|x - y\|^2 \quad \text{s. t. } y_{i,j} + y_{j,i} = 1 , \quad (17)$$

which can be determined analytically.

5.3. Sampling

The model (11) can be sampled by using MCMC based on the fact that one can compute the acceptance ratio as

$$\log \frac{\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \mathbf{P})}{\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma' | \mathbf{P})} = \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j \neq i} (d_{i,j}^\sigma - d_{i,j}^{\sigma'}) \log p_{i,j} .$$

This allows us to make use of the Metropolis-Hastings (MH) algorithm. We use Mallows (Mallows, 1957) as proposal distribution. The pseudo-code of the sampling is given in Algorithm 1. The reference ranking of the Mallows model $\mathbb{P}(\cdot | \phi, \sigma)$, denoted by σ , is always set to the current ranking σ_{i-1} (see line 5). In this case, it is easy to verify that the stationary distribution of the Markov chain is indeed

$\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma | \mathbf{P})$, because the Mallows model is symmetric in the sense that $\mathbb{P}(\sigma | \phi, \sigma') = \mathbb{P}(\sigma' | \phi, \sigma)$, and assigns positive probability to every ranking when $\phi > 0$. Therefore, the detailed balance condition is satisfied, and the ergodicity of the chain is also ensured.

Algorithm 1 Metropolis-Hastings with Mallows proposal

```

1: procedure MH( $T, \phi$ )
2:   Select initial ordering  $\sigma_0$ 
3:    $\mathcal{D} = \emptyset$ 
4:   for  $i = 1 \rightarrow T$  do
5:      $\sigma_i \sim \mathbb{P}(\cdot | \phi, \sigma_{i-1})$   $\triangleright$  Proposal from Mallows
6:      $q_i \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j \neq i}^K (d_{i,j}^{\sigma_i} - d_{i,j}^{\sigma_{i-1}}) \log p_{i,j}$ 
7:     Accept  $\sigma_i$  with probability  $\min(1, \exp(q_i))$ 
8:      $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} \cup \{\sigma_i\}$ 
9:   return  $\mathcal{D}$ 
```

6. Experiments

To investigate the performance of our new model and the effectiveness of parameter estimation, we conducted experiments on 213 real-world data sets from the PrefLib repository (<http://www.preflib.org>). These data sets originate from different domains, ranging from actual elections over movie rankings to competitor rankings from various sporting competitions. The number of items varies between 3 and 10 (details are summarized in the supplementary material).

All models are fit using maximum likelihood estimation, and Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence between an empirical distribution and its estimation is used as a measure of the goodness of fit. In a first setting, we fit the models to the entire data, while in a second setting, we only fit to half of the data and determine divergence on the other half (averaging over 20 random splits).

In a first experiment, we compare ISR with our new variant CNS, with both insertion and quick sort as underlying sorting algorithms, using MM as an additional baseline. The ISR, CNS, and MM models are comparable in terms of their parametrization. A summary of the results in terms of win/tie/loss statistics is given in Table 1 (while the complete results can be found in the supplementary material). As can be seen, CNS shows a very strong performance, especially with insertion sort as a sorting algorithm.

In a second experiment, we compare CNS with its generalization GCNS, again with insertion and quick sort as underlying sorting algorithms in both models. The results in Table 1 clearly show that GCNS leads to better approximations. This is hardly surprising, given that GCNS has more parameters and therefore allows for fitting distributions in a more flexible way. Again, an instantiation with insertion sort seems to be preferable to the use of quick sort.

Table 1. Win/tie/loss statistics for the first (above) and second (below) experiment (first line/first setting, second line/second setting).

	CNS _I	CNS _Q	ISR	MM
CNS _I	—	197/0/16 204/0/9	197/0/16 191/0/22	170/0/43 167/0/46
CNS _Q	16/0/197 9/0/204	—	165/0/48 153/0/60	143/0/70 139/0/74
ISR	16/0/197 22/0/191	48/0/165 60/0/153	—	60/1/152 57/0/156
MM	43/0/170 46/0/167	70/0/143 74/0/139	152/1/60 156/0/57	—

	CNS _I	CNS _Q	GCNS _I	GCNS _Q
CNS _I	—	197/0/16 204/0/9	0/1/212 25/0/188	65/0/148 81/0/132
CNS _Q	16/0/197 9/0/204	—	4/0/209 10/0/203	8/0/205 18/0/195
GCNS _I	212/1/0 188/0/25	209/0/4 203/0/10	—	170/0/43 169/0/44
GCNS _Q	148/0/65 132/0/81	205/0/8 195/0/18	43/0/170 44/0/169	—

7. Conclusion and Future Work

Adopting the idea of a data-generating process in the form of a noisy sorting procedure, we proposed a variant of a parametrized probability distribution on rankings as recently proposed by Biernacki and Jacques (Biernacki & Jacques, 2013), as well as a generalization that is more flexible and makes less stringent coherence assumptions. Our models have an intuitive interpretation, exhibit convenient mathematical properties, and seem to fit empirical data very well. For two sorting algorithms, insertion sort and quick sort, we developed parameter estimation techniques based on a closed-form expression of the likelihood function for the former, and a recursive characterization of it for the latter. Experimentally, insertion sort leads to better performance.

In future work, we plan to consider other sorting algorithms, such as merge sort and heap sort. Another direction worth to investigate is the analysis of algebraic properties of our models using tools from computational algebraic geometry (Geiger et al., 2006); such properties may simplify the handling of the model and help to further improve efficiency of parameter estimation. Last but not least, we are also interested in using the model for other machine learning problems, in which distributions on rankings are needed, such as learning to rank (Ailon et al., 2005; Ailon, 2008; Cao et al., 2007) and multi-armed bandits (Busa-Fekete & Hüllermeier, 2014; Szörényi et al., 2015).

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support by the Germany Research Foundation (DFG).

References

- Ailon, Nir. Reconciling real scores with binary comparisons: A new logistic based model for ranking. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS) 21*, pp. 25–32, 2008.
- Ailon, Nir, Charikar, Moses, and Newman, Alantha. Aggregating inconsistent information: Ranking and clustering. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-seventh Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pp. 684–693, 2005.
- Babington-Smith, B. Discussion of professor Ross's paper. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B*, 12:153–162, 1950.
- Biernacki, Christophe and Jacques, Julien. A generative model for rank data based on insertion sort algorithm. *Comput. Stat. Data Anal.*, 58:162–176, February 2013.
- Braverman, Mark and Mossel, Elchanan. Noisy sorting without resampling. In *Proceedings of the Nineteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, SODA '08*, pp. 268–276, 2008.
- Braverman, Mark and Mossel, Elchanan. Sorting from noisy information. *CoRR*, abs/0910.1191, 2009.
- Busa-Fekete, Róbert and Hüllermeier, Eyke. A survey of preference-based online learning with bandit algorithms. In *International Conference on Algorithmic Learning Theory*, pp. 18–39. Springer, 2014.
- Cao, Zhe, Qin, Tao, Liu, Tie-Yan, Tsai, Ming-Feng, and Li, Hang. Learning to rank: from pairwise approach to listwise approach. In *Proceedings of the 24th international conference on Machine learning*, pp. 129–136. ACM, 2007.
- Cheng, W., Hüllermeier, E., Waegeman, W., and Welker, V. Label ranking with partial abstention based on thresholded probabilistic models. In *Proceedings NIPS-2012, 26th Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, Lake Tahoe, Nevada, US, 2012.
- Darroch, J. N. and Ratcliff, D. Generalized iterative scaling for log-linear models. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, 43(5):1470–1480, 10 1972.
- Fligner, Michael A and Verducci, Joseph S. Distance based ranking models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, pp. 359–369, 1986.
- Fürnkranz, Johannes and Hüllermeier, Eyke. Preference learning: An introduction. In *Preference learning*, pp. 1–17. Springer, 2010.
- Geiger, Dan, Meek, Christopher, and Sturmfels, Bernd. On the toric algebra of graphical models. *Ann. Statist.*, 34(3):1463–1492, 06 2006.
- Grabisch, M., Marichal, J.L., Mesiar, R., and Pap, E. *Aggregation Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- Liu, Tie-Yan et al. Learning to rank for information retrieval. *Foundations and Trends in Information Retrieval*, 3(3):225–331, 2009.
- Luce, R. D. *Individual choice behavior: A theoretical analysis*. Wiley, 1959.
- Mallows, C. Non-null ranking models. *Biometrika*, 44(1):114–130, 1957.
- Malouf, Robert. A comparison of algorithms for maximum entropy parameter estimation. In *Proceedings of the 6th Conference on Natural Language Learning - Volume 20, COLING-02*, pp. 1–7, 2002.
- Marden, John I. *Analyzing and modeling rank data*. CRC Press, 1996.
- Meek, Christopher and Meila, Marina. Recursive inversion models for permutations. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS) 27*, pp. 631–639, 2014.
- Plackett, R. The analysis of permutations. *Applied Statistics*, 24:193–202, 1975.
- Szörényi, Balázs, Busa-Fekete, Róbert, Paul, Adil, and Hüllermeier, Eyke. Online rank elicitation for Plackett-Luce: A dueling bandits approach. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS) 28*, pp. 604–612, 2015.

A. Comparison of the CNS, ISR and the MM models using the whole dataset for training and testing

Table 2: The KL divergence between the estimated and the empirical distributions for the CNS, ISR and the MM models on 213 real-world data sets using the whole dataset for training and testing.

ID	K	# Rankings	CNS _I	CNS _Q	ISR	MM
ED-00004-00000001	3	664	0.1435	0.165	0.1851	0.1382
ED-00004-00000002	3	1591	0.1116	0.109	0.1095	0.1147
ED-00004-00000003	3	533	0.0089	0.1913	0.0366	0.0061
ED-00004-00000004	3	1143	0.0161	0.0364	0.0815	0.0815
ED-00004-00000005	3	448	0.0743	0.115	0.2502	0.2522
ED-00004-00000006	3	940	0.0588	0.0949	0.1765	0.1774
ED-00004-00000007	3	1860	0.0566	0.0936	0.0978	0.0926
ED-00004-00000008	3	1045	0.0622	0.0799	0.0663	0.0619
ED-00004-00000009	3	595	0.1108	0.1769	0.347	0.3471
ED-00004-00000010	3	1394	0.0071	0.0685	0.0246	0.0078
ED-00004-00000011	3	697	0.1061	0.132	0.1899	0.1888
ED-00004-00000012	3	529	0.0197	0.0524	0.1048	0.1023
ED-00004-00000013	3	617	0.0329	0.0921	0.174	0.1699
ED-00004-00000014	3	379	0.0509	0.1591	0.3994	0.3993
ED-00004-00000015	3	1022	0.1727	0.2293	0.2489	0.2166
ED-00004-00000016	3	3705	0.1123	0.1975	0.4051	0.405
ED-00004-00000017	3	1215	0.0369	0.0993	0.3165	0.3219
ED-00004-00000018	3	842	0.0094	0.0172	0.0337	0.0105
ED-00004-00000019	3	2769	0.0409	0.093	0.2981	0.308
ED-00004-00000020	3	808	0.0846	0.1051	0.2597	0.2733
ED-00004-00000021	3	716	0.006	0.0092	0.0287	0.0131
ED-00004-00000022	3	360	0.0865	0.1312	0.0845	0.0795
ED-00004-00000023	3	542	0.0332	0.221	0.0333	0.0165
ED-00004-00000024	3	2641	0.019	0.056	0.1626	0.1629
ED-00004-00000025	3	407	0.0273	0.0835	0.2275	0.224
ED-00004-00000026	3	737	0.0101	0.0254	0.0241	0.0137
ED-00004-00000027	3	727	0.0815	0.1213	0.1221	0.1224
ED-00004-00000028	3	423	0.015	0.0598	0.1749	0.1754
ED-00004-00000029	3	375	0.0093	0.0158	0.0088	0.0083
ED-00004-00000030	3	352	0.0404	0.064	0.0707	0.0343
ED-00004-00000031	3	474	0.0515	0.0584	0.0811	0.0524
ED-00004-00000032	3	351	0.0029	0.0051	0.0092	0.0091
ED-00004-00000033	3	416	0.0057	0.0072	0.007	0.0054
ED-00004-00000034	3	1083	0.0386	0.0836	0.2166	0.2174
ED-00004-00000035	3	732	0.0783	0.1562	0.1989	0.1901
ED-00004-00000036	3	467	0.0659	0.0944	0.1876	0.1884
ED-00004-00000037	3	501	0.0632	0.0654	0.0159	0.0129
ED-00004-00000038	3	833	0.0386	0.2174	0.034	0.0204
ED-00004-00000039	3	994	0.0512	0.0688	0.0618	0.0464
ED-00004-00000040	3	2310	0.0284	0.0256	0.0398	0.0322
ED-00004-00000041	3	806	0.0101	0.0458	0.0134	0.0064
ED-00004-00000042	3	369	0.0127	0.0232	0.0096	0.0105
ED-00004-00000043	3	10347	0.1519	0.2389	0.4696	0.4698
ED-00004-00000044	3	417	0.0282	0.0704	0.1506	0.1495
ED-00004-00000045	3	578	0.0726	0.0976	0.1924	0.193
ED-00004-00000046	3	427	0.0457	0.2228	0.0285	0.0241

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000047	3	1034	0.0699	0.0993	0.0651	0.065
ED-00004-00000048	3	496	0.0333	0.0515	0.1847	0.1897
ED-00004-00000049	3	1377	0.0462	0.1478	0.0647	0.0447
ED-00004-00000050	3	391	0.0173	0.0466	0.2168	0.2286
ED-00004-00000051	3	453	0.1608	0.2084	0.3514	0.3515
ED-00004-00000052	3	2840	0.0661	0.0785	0.0683	0.0651
ED-00004-00000053	3	871	0.0621	0.0849	0.1223	0.0787
ED-00004-00000054	3	815	0.0307	0.0387	0.0328	0.0296
ED-00004-00000055	3	622	0.0854	0.1425	0.2764	0.2761
ED-00004-00000056	3	14081	0.1147	0.0361	0.1827	0.1457
ED-00004-00000057	3	998	0.0178	0.0261	0.0387	0.0392
ED-00004-00000058	3	367	0.0293	0.0415	0.0313	0.0269
ED-00004-00000059	3	2704	0.0059	0.0133	0.0337	0.0332
ED-00004-00000060	3	440	0.0161	0.0089	0.0211	0.0194
ED-00004-00000061	3	405	0.0506	0.0632	0.0998	0.0939
ED-00004-00000062	3	1117	0.0336	0.0718	0.1347	0.124
ED-00004-00000063	3	490	0.1359	0.2405	0.2493	0.2246
ED-00004-00000064	3	547	0.0279	0.0358	0.0308	0.0254
ED-00004-00000065	3	368	0.0542	0.1227	0.1706	0.1617
ED-00004-00000066	3	382	0.0331	0.0403	0.0501	0.0521
ED-00004-00000067	3	417	0.0873	0.1551	0.5174	0.5355
ED-00004-00000068	3	1021	0.0592	0.0827	0.1177	0.1144
ED-00004-00000069	3	445	0.088	0.1065	0.1292	0.0843
ED-00004-00000070	3	563	0.0466	0.0985	0.1143	0.1065
ED-00004-00000071	3	1538	0.0127	0.0632	0.2189	0.2235
ED-00004-00000072	3	1008	0.0523	0.0689	0.0999	0.0994
ED-00004-00000073	3	397	0.0117	0.0178	0.018	0.0168
ED-00004-00000074	3	963	0.0842	0.1603	0.3258	0.3252
ED-00004-00000075	3	779	0.0192	0.0341	0.0639	0.017
ED-00004-00000076	3	751	0.0527	0.1144	0.3467	0.3512
ED-00004-00000077	3	363	0.0329	0.0308	0.0084	0.0067
ED-00004-00000078	3	955	0.0465	0.0474	0.0357	0.0359
ED-00004-00000079	3	443	0.1221	0.2136	0.2811	0.2688
ED-00004-00000080	3	996	0.0644	0.1055	0.1516	0.1275
ED-00004-00000081	3	1688	0.0266	0.0539	0.0717	0.0706
ED-00004-00000082	3	751	0.0594	0.105	0.0628	0.0519
ED-00004-00000083	3	460	0.0146	0.0274	0.0513	0.0476
ED-00004-00000084	3	538	0.0386	0.0863	0.1235	0.1191
ED-00004-00000085	3	860	0.2014	0.1859	0.2099	0.1755
ED-00004-00000086	3	426	0.0495	0.143	0.3809	0.3825
ED-00004-00000087	3	554	0.0429	0.0571	0.1031	0.1034
ED-00004-00000088	3	982	0.0804	0.1699	0.3461	0.3442
ED-00004-00000089	3	1063	0.1028	0.1311	0.1604	0.1309
ED-00004-00000090	3	506	0.0332	0.0397	0.0351	0.0315
ED-00004-00000091	3	2708	0.0733	0.0623	0.0737	0.0724
ED-00004-00000092	3	1631	0.026	0.0339	0.053	0.0445
ED-00004-00000093	3	2415	0.0445	0.0745	0.1256	0.1242
ED-00004-00000094	3	713	0.015	0.099	0.0562	0.0217
ED-00004-00000095	3	1074	0.0123	0.0321	0.0747	0.0742
ED-00004-00000096	3	371	0.029	0.0434	0.0309	0.0256
ED-00004-00000097	3	1216	0.1028	0.1122	0.1786	0.198
ED-00004-00000098	3	695	0.0582	0.0885	0.1021	0.0843
ED-00004-00000099	3	383	0.0992	0.1704	0.3537	0.3515
ED-00004-00000100	3	440	0.0211	0.092	0.2272	0.2303

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000101	4	1256	0.2909	0.3848	0.2851	0.2734
ED-00004-00000102	4	382	0.2463	0.4362	0.3789	0.3321
ED-00004-00000103	4	411	0.1839	0.2332	0.3583	0.3499
ED-00004-00000104	4	625	0.1001	0.1938	0.4416	0.431
ED-00004-00000105	4	362	0.1376	0.2621	0.2574	0.231
ED-00004-00000106	4	718	0.229	0.305	0.3088	0.2376
ED-00004-00000107	4	494	0.1867	0.2172	0.4924	0.4983
ED-00004-00000108	4	384	0.1493	0.2456	0.6305	0.6416
ED-00004-00000109	4	419	0.1506	0.142	0.1657	0.171
ED-00004-00000110	4	431	0.1157	0.1967	0.4507	0.4203
ED-00004-00000111	4	390	0.162	0.3245	0.3359	0.3201
ED-00004-00000112	4	473	0.1275	0.2704	0.4396	0.454
ED-00004-00000113	4	422	0.1186	0.1695	0.2872	0.2575
ED-00004-00000114	4	494	0.0811	0.1429	0.3996	0.3985
ED-00004-00000115	4	362	0.2297	0.4018	0.5312	0.5318
ED-00004-00000116	4	387	0.2087	0.2766	0.7739	0.7271
ED-00004-00000117	4	518	0.0836	0.1385	0.1887	0.1663
ED-00004-00000118	4	1187	0.085	0.1204	0.3703	0.3697
ED-00004-00000119	4	389	0.3624	0.3539	0.6727	0.6417
ED-00004-00000120	4	674	0.1802	0.2787	0.5966	0.6037
ED-00004-00000121	4	472	0.0681	0.1463	0.3216	0.3248
ED-00004-00000122	4	529	0.1591	0.225	0.2127	0.1836
ED-00004-00000123	4	376	0.1661	0.1751	0.188	0.1761
ED-00004-00000124	4	506	0.1387	0.2897	0.6303	0.6522
ED-00004-00000125	4	440	0.0941	0.1944	0.303	0.2317
ED-00004-00000126	4	379	0.1782	0.3908	0.7069	0.6534
ED-00004-00000127	4	379	0.112	0.1515	0.257	0.2533
ED-00004-00000128	4	643	0.0561	0.2314	0.8545	0.8693
ED-00004-00000129	4	369	0.2182	0.3359	0.3211	0.2664
ED-00004-00000130	4	412	0.1565	0.1799	0.4037	0.4013
ED-00004-00000131	4	420	0.0548	0.1097	0.1781	0.1801
ED-00004-00000132	4	363	0.0775	0.0857	0.129	0.1275
ED-00004-00000133	4	525	0.1772	0.2048	0.5391	0.5468
ED-00004-00000134	4	357	0.1514	0.1834	0.2593	0.247
ED-00004-00000135	4	447	0.1568	0.4596	1.003	1.0232
ED-00004-00000136	4	403	0.1186	0.1759	0.5335	0.5175
ED-00004-00000137	4	373	0.0746	0.1126	0.3291	0.3345
ED-00004-00000138	4	588	0.0754	0.1202	0.1921	0.1704
ED-00004-00000139	4	525	0.1151	0.3131	0.4103	0.3383
ED-00004-00000140	4	352	0.1364	0.1315	0.0839	0.0733
ED-00004-00000141	4	378	0.1573	0.1372	0.2969	0.289
ED-00004-00000142	4	803	0.1239	0.1917	0.53	0.5211
ED-00004-00000143	4	362	0.1884	0.2358	0.7006	0.6963
ED-00004-00000144	4	395	0.1159	0.1285	0.2224	0.2302
ED-00004-00000145	4	486	0.1315	0.196	0.1543	0.1313
ED-00004-00000146	4	449	0.1927	0.2029	0.1421	0.1464
ED-00004-00000147	4	400	0.0693	0.1207	0.0804	0.0591
ED-00004-00000148	4	485	0.2165	0.3035	0.6917	0.6848
ED-00004-00000149	4	430	0.1445	0.1408	0.1659	0.156
ED-00004-00000150	4	408	0.2664	0.4808	0.9338	0.9022
ED-00004-00000151	4	394	0.1646	0.2352	0.2257	0.2165
ED-00004-00000152	4	712	0.1727	0.1831	0.3454	0.3236
ED-00004-00000153	4	380	0.1166	0.2712	0.6553	0.6498
ED-00004-00000154	4	547	0.109	0.2696	0.4443	0.4164

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000155	4	436	0.1097	0.1911	0.3601	0.3577	
ED-00004-00000156	4	391	0.175	0.2132	0.2854	0.2678	
ED-00004-00000157	4	554	0.0972	0.1346	0.2631	0.2538	
ED-00004-00000158	4	355	0.1555	0.3175	0.5992	0.6079	
ED-00004-00000159	4	443	0.1904	0.3816	0.5131	0.5033	
ED-00004-00000160	4	350	0.1536	0.2666	0.2052	0.1698	
ED-00004-00000161	4	447	0.0973	0.0719	0.0945	0.0977	
ED-00004-00000162	4	389	0.1535	0.182	0.6392	0.6594	
ED-00004-00000163	4	532	0.2872	0.2643	0.2956	0.3041	
ED-00004-00000164	4	512	0.2302	0.2029	0.3295	0.3338	
ED-00004-00000165	4	883	0.258	0.33	0.4686	0.4603	
ED-00004-00000166	4	448	0.2328	0.2579	0.2723	0.2449	
ED-00004-00000167	4	408	0.1322	0.2171	0.53	0.5332	
ED-00004-00000168	4	405	0.1821	0.4821	0.7189	0.7039	
ED-00004-00000169	4	583	0.274	0.2958	0.2617	0.2528	
ED-00004-00000170	4	473	0.2896	0.3382	0.418	0.4263	
ED-00004-00000171	4	384	0.1915	0.3291	0.2742	0.2021	
ED-00004-00000172	4	446	0.2869	0.3196	0.4981	0.506	
ED-00004-00000173	4	358	0.1219	0.1456	0.2763	0.2844	
ED-00004-00000174	4	420	0.1213	0.2492	0.6388	0.6518	
ED-00004-00000175	4	425	0.1285	0.2367	0.4901	0.4869	
ED-00004-00000176	4	388	0.0498	0.0775	0.218	0.2148	
ED-00004-00000177	4	903	0.1175	0.2903	0.595	0.5956	
ED-00004-00000178	4	366	0.13	0.241	0.5145	0.4839	
ED-00004-00000179	4	454	0.2272	0.3312	0.5813	0.5543	
ED-00004-00000180	4	392	0.2288	0.3389	0.5731	0.5723	
ED-00004-00000181	4	731	0.1427	0.2733	0.5326	0.4818	
ED-00004-00000182	4	578	0.1778	0.179	0.2108	0.2038	
ED-00004-00000183	4	440	0.1971	0.2651	0.3006	0.271	
ED-00004-00000184	4	412	0.2267	0.2753	0.2927	0.2356	
ED-00004-00000185	4	510	0.1326	0.2077	0.4408	0.4372	
ED-00004-00000186	4	417	0.1354	0.1979	0.5247	0.5044	
ED-00004-00000187	4	1207	0.1685	0.1633	0.1683	0.1319	
ED-00004-00000188	4	623	0.167	0.2153	0.3869	0.3644	
ED-00004-00000189	4	403	0.2072	0.2534	0.2368	0.231	
ED-00004-00000190	4	535	0.0892	0.1636	0.3483	0.3468	
ED-00004-00000191	4	858	0.1123	0.1593	0.127	0.1045	
ED-00004-00000192	4	823	0.2841	0.3275	0.4972	0.5087	
ED-00004-00000193	4	801	0.1242	0.2344	0.466	0.4429	
ED-00004-00000194	4	418	0.1387	0.243	0.3199	0.3176	
ED-00004-00000195	4	657	0.072	0.2223	0.5548	0.6164	
ED-00004-00000196	4	1814	0.153	0.2782	0.8216	0.815	
ED-00004-00000197	4	382	0.2166	0.2454	0.5844	0.5977	
ED-00004-00000198	4	732	0.1964	0.291	0.5459	0.5468	
ED-00004-00000199	4	525	0.2694	0.2717	0.4218	0.4148	
ED-00004-00000200	4	391	0.1191	0.1537	0.2424	0.2338	
ED-00009-00000001	9	146	6.5156	6.9431	7.1342	6.7602	
ED-00009-00000002	7	153	3.0337	3.6027	3.0906	2.9054	
ED-00014-00000001	10	5000	5.7979	5.9677	6.7849	6.5561	
ED-00015-00000048	10	4	11.9007	12.2477	12.533	10.1731	
ED-00024-00000001	4	795	0.0305	0.0474	0.0358	0.0281	
ED-00024-00000002	4	794	0.0304	0.0628	0.0419	0.0256	
ED-00024-00000003	4	800	0.0326	0.0803	0.0688	0.0312	
ED-00024-00000004	4	794	0.0266	0.0631	0.0571	0.0358	

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00025-00000001	4	793	0.0167	0.0296	0.0268	0.0192
ED-00025-00000002	4	795	0.0353	0.0508	0.0528	0.0552
ED-00025-00000003	4	795	0.0302	0.0851	0.0617	0.026
ED-00025-00000004	4	797	0.0301	0.0466	0.0504	0.0354
ED-00032-00000002	6	15	2.9233	3.3322	3.4135	3.6122

B. Comparison of the CNS and GCNS models using the whole dataset for training and testing

Table 3: The KL divergence between the estimated and the empirical distributions for the CNS and the GCNS models on 213 real-world data sets using the whole dataset for training and testing.

ID	K	# Rankings	CNS _I	CNS _Q	GCNS _I	GCNS _Q
ED-00004-00000001	3	664	0.1435	0.165	0.0044	0.0203
ED-00004-00000002	3	1591	0.1116	0.109	0.0784	0.0791
ED-00004-00000003	3	533	0.0089	0.1913	0.0088	0.2134
ED-00004-00000004	3	1143	0.0161	0.0364	0.0128	0.0077
ED-00004-00000005	3	448	0.0743	0.115	0.0405	0.0312
ED-00004-00000006	3	940	0.0588	0.0949	0.0025	0.003
ED-00004-00000007	3	1860	0.0566	0.0936	0.0178	0.0396
ED-00004-00000008	3	1045	0.0622	0.0799	0.0435	0.0718
ED-00004-00000009	3	595	0.1108	0.1769	0.008	0.0175
ED-00004-00000010	3	1394	0.0071	0.0685	0.0018	0.064
ED-00004-00000011	3	697	0.1061	0.132	0.0852	0.0545
ED-00004-00000012	3	529	0.0197	0.0524	0.0112	0.0259
ED-00004-00000013	3	617	0.0329	0.0921	0.0048	0.0354
ED-00004-00000014	3	379	0.0509	0.1591	0.0048	0.0313
ED-00004-00000015	3	1022	0.1727	0.2293	0.0097	0.1201
ED-00004-00000016	3	3705	0.1123	0.1975	0.0027	0.0066
ED-00004-00000017	3	1215	0.0369	0.0993	0.0063	0.0019
ED-00004-00000018	3	842	0.0094	0.0172	0.0014	0.01
ED-00004-00000019	3	2769	0.0409	0.093	0.0204	0.0081
ED-00004-00000020	3	808	0.0846	0.1051	0.0826	0.0407
ED-00004-00000021	3	716	0.006	0.0092	0.002	0.0104
ED-00004-00000022	3	360	0.0865	0.1312	0.0584	0.1146
ED-00004-00000023	3	542	0.0332	0.221	0.0314	0.2542
ED-00004-00000024	3	2641	0.019	0.056	0.0142	0.0207
ED-00004-00000025	3	407	0.0273	0.0835	0.008	0.0057
ED-00004-00000026	3	737	0.0101	0.0254	0.0058	0.021
ED-00004-00000027	3	727	0.0815	0.1213	0.0095	0.0024
ED-00004-00000028	3	423	0.015	0.0598	0.0052	0.002
ED-00004-00000029	3	375	0.0093	0.0158	0.0086	0.0143
ED-00004-00000030	3	352	0.0404	0.064	0.0118	0.0369
ED-00004-00000031	3	474	0.0515	0.0584	0.0095	0.0167
ED-00004-00000032	3	351	0.0029	0.0051	0.0024	0.0035
ED-00004-00000033	3	416	0.0057	0.0072	0.0017	0.0037
ED-00004-00000034	3	1083	0.0386	0.0836	0.0086	0.0098
ED-00004-00000035	3	732	0.0783	0.1562	0.0355	0.0266
ED-00004-00000036	3	467	0.0659	0.0944	0.0284	0.0186
ED-00004-00000037	3	501	0.0632	0.0654	0.061	0.0651
ED-00004-00000038	3	833	0.0386	0.2174	0.0325	0.2405

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000039	3	994	0.0512	0.0688	0.0093	0.0255	
ED-00004-00000040	3	2310	0.0284	0.0256	0.0042	0.0002	
ED-00004-00000041	3	806	0.0101	0.0458	0.0064	0.0463	
ED-00004-00000042	3	369	0.0127	0.0232	0.0055	0.0158	
ED-00004-00000043	3	10347	0.1519	0.2389	0.0014	0.0073	
ED-00004-00000044	3	417	0.0282	0.0704	0.0052	0.0091	
ED-00004-00000045	3	578	0.0726	0.0976	0.0325	0.0211	
ED-00004-00000046	3	427	0.0457	0.2228	0.0369	0.2328	
ED-00004-00000047	3	1034	0.0699	0.0993	0.0113	0.0537	
ED-00004-00000048	3	496	0.0333	0.0515	0.0087	0.0044	
ED-00004-00000049	3	1377	0.0462	0.1478	0.0073	0.1269	
ED-00004-00000050	3	391	0.0173	0.0466	0.0155	0.0013	
ED-00004-00000051	3	453	0.1608	0.2084	0.0775	0.0496	
ED-00004-00000052	3	2840	0.0661	0.0785	0.0101	0.0168	
ED-00004-00000053	3	871	0.0621	0.0849	0.0045	0.0726	
ED-00004-00000054	3	815	0.0307	0.0387	0.0097	0.0159	
ED-00004-00000055	3	622	0.0854	0.1425	0.0041	0.0029	
ED-00004-00000056	3	14081	0.1147	0.0361	0.0468	0.0159	
ED-00004-00000057	3	998	0.0178	0.0261	0.0058	0.0038	
ED-00004-00000058	3	367	0.0293	0.0415	0.002	0.0117	
ED-00004-00000059	3	2704	0.0059	0.0133	0.004	0.007	
ED-00004-00000060	3	440	0.0161	0.0089	0.013	0.0058	
ED-00004-00000061	3	405	0.0506	0.0632	0.04	0.0214	
ED-00004-00000062	3	1117	0.0336	0.0718	0.0112	0.0023	
ED-00004-00000063	3	490	0.1359	0.2405	0.0167	0.1235	
ED-00004-00000064	3	547	0.0279	0.0358	0.0151	0.0242	
ED-00004-00000065	3	368	0.0542	0.1227	0.0108	0.0199	
ED-00004-00000066	3	382	0.0331	0.0403	0.0113	0.0082	
ED-00004-00000067	3	417	0.0873	0.1551	0.006	0.0008	
ED-00004-00000068	3	1021	0.0592	0.0827	0.0202	0.038	
ED-00004-00000069	3	445	0.088	0.1065	0.0086	0.0256	
ED-00004-00000070	3	563	0.0466	0.0985	0.0044	0.0079	
ED-00004-00000071	3	1538	0.0127	0.0632	0.0053	0.006	
ED-00004-00000072	3	1008	0.0523	0.0689	0.0418	0.0397	
ED-00004-00000073	3	397	0.0117	0.0178	0.0062	0.0056	
ED-00004-00000074	3	963	0.0842	0.1603	0.0037	0.001	
ED-00004-00000075	3	779	0.0192	0.0341	0.0018	0.0182	
ED-00004-00000076	3	751	0.0527	0.1144	0.0005	0.0022	
ED-00004-00000077	3	363	0.0329	0.0308	0.0295	0.0296	
ED-00004-00000078	3	955	0.0465	0.0474	0.0465	0.0422	
ED-00004-00000079	3	443	0.1221	0.2136	0.01	0.0241	
ED-00004-00000080	3	996	0.0644	0.1055	0.016	0.0328	
ED-00004-00000081	3	1688	0.0266	0.0539	0.0131	0.0187	
ED-00004-00000082	3	751	0.0594	0.105	0.0403	0.0988	
ED-00004-00000083	3	460	0.0146	0.0274	0.008	0.0034	
ED-00004-00000084	3	538	0.0386	0.0863	0.0118	0.0276	
ED-00004-00000085	3	860	0.2014	0.1859	0.1101	0.0884	
ED-00004-00000086	3	426	0.0495	0.143	0.0039	0.0206	
ED-00004-00000087	3	554	0.0429	0.0571	0.0279	0.0314	
ED-00004-00000088	3	982	0.0804	0.1699	0.0126	0.0117	
ED-00004-00000089	3	1063	0.1028	0.1311	0.0068	0.0632	
ED-00004-00000090	3	506	0.0332	0.0397	0.0232	0.0307	
ED-00004-00000091	3	2708	0.0733	0.0623	0.067	0.0552	
ED-00004-00000092	3	1631	0.026	0.0339	0.0022	0.0032	

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000093	3	2415	0.0445	0.0745	0.0165	0.0224	
ED-00004-00000094	3	713	0.015	0.099	0.0109	0.1133	
ED-00004-00000095	3	1074	0.0123	0.0321	0.0085	0.0086	
ED-00004-00000096	3	371	0.029	0.0434	0.0203	0.0383	
ED-00004-00000097	3	1216	0.1028	0.1122	0.025	0.0513	
ED-00004-00000098	3	695	0.0582	0.0885	0.0142	0.0281	
ED-00004-00000099	3	383	0.0992	0.1704	0.0331	0.007	
ED-00004-00000100	3	440	0.0211	0.092	0.0031	0.0349	
ED-00004-00000101	4	1256	0.2909	0.3848	0.2018	0.2594	
ED-00004-00000102	4	382	0.2463	0.4362	0.1363	0.2968	
ED-00004-00000103	4	411	0.1839	0.2332	0.0896	0.1371	
ED-00004-00000104	4	625	0.1001	0.1938	0.0338	0.1065	
ED-00004-00000105	4	362	0.1376	0.2621	0.0472	0.0819	
ED-00004-00000106	4	718	0.229	0.305	0.0558	0.0789	
ED-00004-00000107	4	494	0.1867	0.2172	0.0946	0.1402	
ED-00004-00000108	4	384	0.1493	0.2456	0.1197	0.2094	
ED-00004-00000109	4	419	0.1506	0.142	0.0773	0.0718	
ED-00004-00000110	4	431	0.1157	0.1967	0.0588	0.1146	
ED-00004-00000111	4	390	0.162	0.3245	0.0898	0.2182	
ED-00004-00000112	4	473	0.1275	0.2704	0.0994	0.1857	
ED-00004-00000113	4	422	0.1186	0.1695	0.0508	0.0787	
ED-00004-00000114	4	494	0.0811	0.1429	0.043	0.0795	
ED-00004-00000115	4	362	0.2297	0.4018	0.1451	0.324	
ED-00004-00000116	4	387	0.2087	0.2766	0.0405	0.126	
ED-00004-00000117	4	518	0.0836	0.1385	0.0697	0.085	
ED-00004-00000118	4	1187	0.085	0.1204	0.0197	0.0688	
ED-00004-00000119	4	389	0.3624	0.3539	0.1076	0.1281	
ED-00004-00000120	4	674	0.1802	0.2787	0.1023	0.1448	
ED-00004-00000121	4	472	0.0681	0.1463	0.0461	0.09	
ED-00004-00000122	4	529	0.1591	0.225	0.0318	0.0748	
ED-00004-00000123	4	376	0.1661	0.1751	0.1263	0.129	
ED-00004-00000124	4	506	0.1387	0.2897	0.0381	0.1439	
ED-00004-00000125	4	440	0.0941	0.1944	0.039	0.0714	
ED-00004-00000126	4	379	0.1782	0.3908	0.0258	0.1152	
ED-00004-00000127	4	379	0.112	0.1515	0.0685	0.0958	
ED-00004-00000128	4	643	0.0561	0.2314	0.0396	0.1663	
ED-00004-00000129	4	369	0.2182	0.3359	0.1294	0.1747	
ED-00004-00000130	4	412	0.1565	0.1799	0.0716	0.1276	
ED-00004-00000131	4	420	0.0548	0.1097	0.0484	0.0764	
ED-00004-00000132	4	363	0.0775	0.0857	0.069	0.0793	
ED-00004-00000133	4	525	0.1772	0.2048	0.1008	0.132	
ED-00004-00000134	4	357	0.1514	0.1834	0.0753	0.1199	
ED-00004-00000135	4	447	0.1568	0.4596	0.0681	0.3848	
ED-00004-00000136	4	403	0.1186	0.1759	0.0415	0.0742	
ED-00004-00000137	4	373	0.0746	0.1126	0.0539	0.0588	
ED-00004-00000138	4	588	0.0754	0.1202	0.028	0.0527	
ED-00004-00000139	4	525	0.1151	0.3131	0.0267	0.0653	
ED-00004-00000140	4	352	0.1364	0.1315	0.1292	0.1197	
ED-00004-00000141	4	378	0.1573	0.1372	0.1104	0.1016	
ED-00004-00000142	4	803	0.1239	0.1917	0.0458	0.1015	
ED-00004-00000143	4	362	0.1884	0.2358	0.0446	0.1396	
ED-00004-00000144	4	395	0.1159	0.1285	0.0637	0.0741	
ED-00004-00000145	4	486	0.1315	0.196	0.0787	0.1153	
ED-00004-00000146	4	449	0.1927	0.2029	0.1463	0.1708	

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000147	4	400	0.0693	0.1207	0.0299	0.0478	
ED-00004-00000148	4	485	0.2165	0.3035	0.0544	0.1365	
ED-00004-00000149	4	430	0.1445	0.1408	0.1004	0.0859	
ED-00004-00000150	4	408	0.2664	0.4808	0.0278	0.2299	
ED-00004-00000151	4	394	0.1646	0.2352	0.1398	0.1824	
ED-00004-00000152	4	712	0.1727	0.1831	0.0723	0.0882	
ED-00004-00000153	4	380	0.1166	0.2712	0.0404	0.222	
ED-00004-00000154	4	547	0.109	0.2696	0.0406	0.108	
ED-00004-00000155	4	436	0.1097	0.1911	0.0743	0.1278	
ED-00004-00000156	4	391	0.175	0.2132	0.1065	0.1517	
ED-00004-00000157	4	554	0.0972	0.1346	0.0882	0.105	
ED-00004-00000158	4	355	0.1555	0.3175	0.1051	0.3377	
ED-00004-00000159	4	443	0.1904	0.3816	0.0773	0.2606	
ED-00004-00000160	4	350	0.1536	0.2666	0.1033	0.085	
ED-00004-00000161	4	447	0.0973	0.0719	0.0927	0.0699	
ED-00004-00000162	4	389	0.1535	0.182	0.0583	0.1037	
ED-00004-00000163	4	532	0.2872	0.2643	0.1179	0.1636	
ED-00004-00000164	4	512	0.2302	0.2029	0.1307	0.1533	
ED-00004-00000165	4	883	0.258	0.33	0.1999	0.3111	
ED-00004-00000166	4	448	0.2328	0.2579	0.1878	0.2184	
ED-00004-00000167	4	408	0.1322	0.2171	0.0616	0.1481	
ED-00004-00000168	4	405	0.1821	0.4821	0.0763	0.3508	
ED-00004-00000169	4	583	0.274	0.2958	0.2515	0.2834	
ED-00004-00000170	4	473	0.2896	0.3382	0.0884	0.2182	
ED-00004-00000171	4	384	0.1915	0.3291	0.0908	0.1391	
ED-00004-00000172	4	446	0.2869	0.3196	0.2028	0.2568	
ED-00004-00000173	4	358	0.1219	0.1456	0.1025	0.1216	
ED-00004-00000174	4	420	0.1213	0.2492	0.0885	0.2075	
ED-00004-00000175	4	425	0.1285	0.2367	0.0695	0.1742	
ED-00004-00000176	4	388	0.0498	0.0775	0.0223	0.0621	
ED-00004-00000177	4	903	0.1175	0.2903	0.0438	0.1453	
ED-00004-00000178	4	366	0.13	0.241	0.0419	0.1683	
ED-00004-00000179	4	454	0.2272	0.3312	0.051	0.1002	
ED-00004-00000180	4	392	0.2288	0.3389	0.1572	0.2578	
ED-00004-00000181	4	731	0.1427	0.2733	0.0262	0.0539	
ED-00004-00000182	4	578	0.1778	0.179	0.0869	0.0696	
ED-00004-00000183	4	440	0.1971	0.2651	0.1393	0.1711	
ED-00004-00000184	4	412	0.2267	0.2753	0.0742	0.0875	
ED-00004-00000185	4	510	0.1326	0.2077	0.0488	0.152	
ED-00004-00000186	4	417	0.1354	0.1979	0.0482	0.0657	
ED-00004-00000187	4	1207	0.1685	0.1633	0.1323	0.1066	
ED-00004-00000188	4	623	0.167	0.2153	0.0614	0.1013	
ED-00004-00000189	4	403	0.2072	0.2534	0.1628	0.212	
ED-00004-00000190	4	535	0.0892	0.1636	0.04	0.1025	
ED-00004-00000191	4	858	0.1123	0.1593	0.0783	0.0928	
ED-00004-00000192	4	823	0.2841	0.3275	0.1456	0.2212	
ED-00004-00000193	4	801	0.1242	0.2344	0.0532	0.1049	
ED-00004-00000194	4	418	0.1387	0.243	0.0741	0.1581	
ED-00004-00000195	4	657	0.072	0.2223	0.0658	0.1765	
ED-00004-00000196	4	1814	0.153	0.2782	0.0467	0.1623	
ED-00004-00000197	4	382	0.2166	0.2454	0.1518	0.2264	
ED-00004-00000198	4	732	0.1964	0.291	0.0904	0.2582	
ED-00004-00000199	4	525	0.2694	0.2717	0.1584	0.2071	
ED-00004-00000200	4	391	0.1191	0.1537	0.0803	0.1362	

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00009-00000001	9	146	6.5156	6.9431	5.9504	6.5754
ED-00009-00000002	7	153	3.0337	3.6027	2.3158	2.7336
ED-00014-00000001	10	5000	5.7979	5.9677	5.5145	5.7508
ED-00015-00000048	10	4	11.9007	12.2477	9.6904	8.1243
ED-00024-00000001	4	795	0.0305	0.0474	0.0235	0.0313
ED-00024-00000002	4	794	0.0304	0.0628	0.0197	0.0253
ED-00024-00000003	4	800	0.0326	0.0803	0.0175	0.0263
ED-00024-00000004	4	794	0.0266	0.0631	0.0231	0.0242
ED-00025-00000001	4	793	0.0167	0.0296	0.0102	0.0143
ED-00025-00000002	4	795	0.0353	0.0508	0.0247	0.0295
ED-00025-00000003	4	795	0.0302	0.0851	0.0119	0.0184
ED-00025-00000004	4	797	0.0301	0.0466	0.0189	0.0176
ED-00032-00000002	6	15	2.9233	3.3322	2.5029	2.6499

C. Comparison of the CNS, ISR and the MM models using a 50/50 split for training and testing

Table 4: The KL divergence between the estimated and the empirical distributions for the CNS, ISR and the MM models on 213 real-world data sets using a 50/50 split for training and testing.

ID	K	# Rankings	CNS _T	CNS _Q	ISR	MM
ED-00004-00000001	3	664	0.1442	0.1657	0.2077	0.1389
ED-00004-00000002	3	1591	0.1258	0.1535	0.1104	0.1172
ED-00004-00000003	3	533	0.0159	0.2006	0.0428	0.0127
ED-00004-00000004	3	1143	0.0203	0.0398	0.0845	0.0863
ED-00004-00000005	3	448	0.0808	0.1193	0.2528	0.2548
ED-00004-00000006	3	940	0.0655	0.11	0.1789	0.1798
ED-00004-00000007	3	1860	0.0588	0.0953	0.1007	0.0958
ED-00004-00000008	3	1045	0.0707	0.0897	0.0666	0.0623
ED-00004-00000009	3	595	0.1438	0.2085	0.361	0.3607
ED-00004-00000010	3	1394	0.012	0.0753	0.0282	0.0121
ED-00004-00000011	3	697	0.115	0.1432	0.2039	0.2033
ED-00004-00000012	3	529	0.0292	0.063	0.1165	0.114
ED-00004-00000013	3	617	0.0425	0.1019	0.1895	0.1899
ED-00004-00000014	3	379	0.0589	0.1654	0.4011	0.4006
ED-00004-00000015	3	1022	0.1694	0.2805	0.2651	0.2257
ED-00004-00000016	3	3705	0.1119	0.1967	0.4056	0.4052
ED-00004-00000017	3	1215	0.0387	0.0993	0.318	0.3238
ED-00004-00000018	3	842	0.0155	0.0251	0.0378	0.0159
ED-00004-00000019	3	2769	0.0438	0.0944	0.2983	0.3089
ED-00004-00000020	3	808	0.089	0.1098	0.2676	0.2808
ED-00004-00000021	3	716	0.0116	0.0139	0.0343	0.0187
ED-00004-00000022	3	360	0.0995	0.1407	0.1056	0.1018
ED-00004-00000023	3	542	0.0407	0.2248	0.0433	0.0246
ED-00004-00000024	3	2641	0.0205	0.0579	0.1639	0.1673
ED-00004-00000025	3	407	0.0366	0.0934	0.2443	0.2398
ED-00004-00000026	3	737	0.0153	0.0326	0.029	0.0183
ED-00004-00000027	3	727	0.0852	0.148	0.1266	0.1262
ED-00004-00000028	3	423	0.0254	0.0712	0.2021	0.2003
ED-00004-00000029	3	375	0.0238	0.0293	0.0306	0.0276
ED-00004-00000030	3	352	0.0499	0.0736	0.08	0.0439

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000031	3	474	0.0638	0.0711	0.0933	0.0648	
ED-00004-00000032	3	351	0.0197	0.0201	0.0289	0.0291	
ED-00004-00000033	3	416	0.0165	0.0174	0.0169	0.0143	
ED-00004-00000034	3	1083	0.0412	0.0862	0.215	0.2154	
ED-00004-00000035	3	732	0.0823	0.1587	0.2003	0.1922	
ED-00004-00000036	3	467	0.0755	0.1034	0.2011	0.202	
ED-00004-00000037	3	501	0.0713	0.0734	0.0256	0.0234	
ED-00004-00000038	3	833	0.0419	0.2138	0.0384	0.0244	
ED-00004-00000039	3	994	0.0542	0.0715	0.0653	0.0497	
ED-00004-00000040	3	2310	0.0303	0.0271	0.0419	0.0343	
ED-00004-00000041	3	806	0.0143	0.0517	0.0165	0.01	
ED-00004-00000042	3	369	0.0271	0.0322	0.0199	0.0195	
ED-00004-00000043	3	10347	0.1501	0.2376	0.471	0.4713	
ED-00004-00000044	3	417	0.0346	0.0754	0.1615	0.1601	
ED-00004-00000045	3	578	0.0963	0.1218	0.2074	0.2079	
ED-00004-00000046	3	427	0.0615	0.2418	0.0395	0.0379	
ED-00004-00000047	3	1034	0.0872	0.1314	0.0656	0.065	
ED-00004-00000048	3	496	0.0389	0.0553	0.1933	0.1969	
ED-00004-00000049	3	1377	0.0471	0.1503	0.0645	0.0451	
ED-00004-00000050	3	391	0.0285	0.0612	0.2357	0.2471	
ED-00004-00000051	3	453	0.2027	0.2548	0.3942	0.3928	
ED-00004-00000052	3	2840	0.0683	0.0811	0.072	0.0672	
ED-00004-00000053	3	871	0.0689	0.0897	0.13	0.0862	
ED-00004-00000054	3	815	0.0369	0.0448	0.0351	0.0321	
ED-00004-00000055	3	622	0.1043	0.1604	0.2801	0.2787	
ED-00004-00000056	3	14081	0.1151	0.0365	0.1833	0.1461	
ED-00004-00000057	3	998	0.0203	0.0267	0.0454	0.0461	
ED-00004-00000058	3	367	0.0508	0.0655	0.0472	0.0424	
ED-00004-00000059	3	2704	0.0074	0.0148	0.0369	0.0366	
ED-00004-00000060	3	440	0.0326	0.0265	0.0377	0.034	
ED-00004-00000061	3	405	0.0624	0.0786	0.1153	0.1077	
ED-00004-00000062	3	1117	0.037	0.0745	0.1392	0.1288	
ED-00004-00000063	3	490	0.1434	0.2497	0.2652	0.2413	
ED-00004-00000064	3	547	0.0445	0.0501	0.0425	0.038	
ED-00004-00000065	3	368	0.0638	0.1321	0.1779	0.1711	
ED-00004-00000066	3	382	0.0443	0.06	0.0641	0.0662	
ED-00004-00000067	3	417	0.0957	0.1616	0.5242	0.5416	
ED-00004-00000068	3	1021	0.0755	0.0987	0.1249	0.1224	
ED-00004-00000069	3	445	0.0892	0.1072	0.132	0.0858	
ED-00004-00000070	3	563	0.0563	0.1104	0.1197	0.1122	
ED-00004-00000071	3	1538	0.015	0.0669	0.226	0.2304	
ED-00004-00000072	3	1008	0.0563	0.0722	0.1052	0.1049	
ED-00004-00000073	3	397	0.0272	0.0345	0.0323	0.0306	
ED-00004-00000074	3	963	0.0872	0.1639	0.3327	0.3323	
ED-00004-00000075	3	779	0.0243	0.0396	0.0687	0.0219	
ED-00004-00000076	3	751	0.0608	0.1211	0.3547	0.3591	
ED-00004-00000077	3	363	0.0417	0.0392	0.0193	0.0187	
ED-00004-00000078	3	955	0.0525	0.0538	0.04	0.0399	
ED-00004-00000079	3	443	0.1341	0.2274	0.3062	0.2984	
ED-00004-00000080	3	996	0.0709	0.1126	0.1643	0.1266	
ED-00004-00000081	3	1688	0.0287	0.0561	0.0751	0.0741	
ED-00004-00000082	3	751	0.0643	0.1069	0.0687	0.0576	
ED-00004-00000083	3	460	0.0226	0.0334	0.0585	0.0549	
ED-00004-00000084	3	538	0.0418	0.0887	0.1299	0.1266	

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000085	3	860	0.226	0.2304	0.2239	0.1827	
ED-00004-00000086	3	426	0.0598	0.155	0.4066	0.4083	
ED-00004-00000087	3	554	0.0557	0.0698	0.1079	0.1079	
ED-00004-00000088	3	982	0.0829	0.1734	0.3549	0.3527	
ED-00004-00000089	3	1063	0.113	0.1706	0.1699	0.1326	
ED-00004-00000090	3	506	0.0478	0.055	0.0467	0.0438	
ED-00004-00000091	3	2708	0.0759	0.0796	0.0763	0.0744	
ED-00004-00000092	3	1631	0.0333	0.0472	0.058	0.0496	
ED-00004-00000093	3	2415	0.045	0.0751	0.1275	0.1251	
ED-00004-00000094	3	713	0.0209	0.1043	0.0578	0.0261	
ED-00004-00000095	3	1074	0.0151	0.0353	0.0798	0.0793	
ED-00004-00000096	3	371	0.0418	0.0538	0.0427	0.0359	
ED-00004-00000097	3	1216	0.1075	0.1137	0.179	0.1997	
ED-00004-00000098	3	695	0.0746	0.1006	0.1151	0.0938	
ED-00004-00000099	3	383	0.1112	0.1803	0.3632	0.3607	
ED-00004-00000100	3	440	0.0306	0.1048	0.2428	0.2446	
ED-00004-00000101	4	1256	0.3104	0.404	0.2924	0.2801	
ED-00004-00000102	4	382	0.2872	0.4779	0.4101	0.363	
ED-00004-00000103	4	411	0.2225	0.2712	0.4197	0.4127	
ED-00004-00000104	4	625	0.1258	0.221	0.4696	0.4559	
ED-00004-00000105	4	362	0.2269	0.3502	0.2904	0.2655	
ED-00004-00000106	4	718	0.2463	0.3229	0.3283	0.2531	
ED-00004-00000107	4	494	0.2791	0.3246	0.5333	0.5383	
ED-00004-00000108	4	384	0.1842	0.28	0.692	0.7008	
ED-00004-00000109	4	419	0.2131	0.2119	0.193	0.1967	
ED-00004-00000110	4	431	0.1466	0.2311	0.4899	0.4583	
ED-00004-00000111	4	390	0.1776	0.3386	0.3612	0.3383	
ED-00004-00000112	4	473	0.1659	0.3114	0.4715	0.4851	
ED-00004-00000113	4	422	0.1593	0.2699	0.3251	0.2989	
ED-00004-00000114	4	494	0.1111	0.171	0.4479	0.4399	
ED-00004-00000115	4	362	0.2755	0.4793	0.5995	0.6003	
ED-00004-00000116	4	387	0.2597	0.352	0.8209	0.7657	
ED-00004-00000117	4	518	0.1019	0.1529	0.216	0.1915	
ED-00004-00000118	4	1187	0.1276	0.1752	0.3865	0.3858	
ED-00004-00000119	4	389	0.4282	0.4379	0.7297	0.7042	
ED-00004-00000120	4	674	0.1934	0.2853	0.5974	0.6057	
ED-00004-00000121	4	472	0.0946	0.165	0.3333	0.3521	
ED-00004-00000122	4	529	0.1862	0.256	0.2387	0.2087	
ED-00004-00000123	4	376	0.2408	0.2838	0.2252	0.2162	
ED-00004-00000124	4	506	0.1948	0.3303	0.6639	0.6881	
ED-00004-00000125	4	440	0.1277	0.2227	0.358	0.2814	
ED-00004-00000126	4	379	0.2106	0.4289	0.7692	0.7093	
ED-00004-00000127	4	379	0.1536	0.1916	0.2874	0.2773	
ED-00004-00000128	4	643	0.0759	0.2514	0.8805	0.906	
ED-00004-00000129	4	369	0.257	0.3929	0.3812	0.3171	
ED-00004-00000130	4	412	0.1846	0.2169	0.4729	0.4773	
ED-00004-00000131	4	420	0.0849	0.1391	0.2177	0.2224	
ED-00004-00000132	4	363	0.1232	0.1333	0.1737	0.1743	
ED-00004-00000133	4	525	0.2158	0.2422	0.5754	0.579	
ED-00004-00000134	4	357	0.1984	0.2587	0.313	0.3063	
ED-00004-00000135	4	447	0.1832	0.4834	1.0442	1.0649	
ED-00004-00000136	4	403	0.1599	0.223	0.5773	0.5671	
ED-00004-00000137	4	373	0.1131	0.1504	0.385	0.3869	
ED-00004-00000138	4	588	0.0896	0.1353	0.2181	0.191	

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000139	4	525	0.1432	0.3373	0.4517	0.3598	
ED-00004-00000140	4	352	0.1776	0.1797	0.1328	0.1153	
ED-00004-00000141	4	378	0.2228	0.263	0.3474	0.3339	
ED-00004-00000142	4	803	0.136	0.206	0.5439	0.5393	
ED-00004-00000143	4	362	0.2418	0.293	0.7803	0.7792	
ED-00004-00000144	4	395	0.1584	0.1828	0.2564	0.2647	
ED-00004-00000145	4	486	0.1805	0.2671	0.1811	0.159	
ED-00004-00000146	4	449	0.2481	0.2679	0.174	0.1818	
ED-00004-00000147	4	400	0.0957	0.1426	0.111	0.0904	
ED-00004-00000148	4	485	0.2476	0.3316	0.7238	0.7108	
ED-00004-00000149	4	430	0.1888	0.195	0.2072	0.1955	
ED-00004-00000150	4	408	0.2952	0.511	0.9946	0.9432	
ED-00004-00000151	4	394	0.2004	0.2658	0.2726	0.2734	
ED-00004-00000152	4	712	0.2016	0.2179	0.3721	0.3476	
ED-00004-00000153	4	380	0.1536	0.3003	0.7018	0.6965	
ED-00004-00000154	4	547	0.1388	0.2958	0.4722	0.4464	
ED-00004-00000155	4	436	0.1546	0.224	0.3864	0.3831	
ED-00004-00000156	4	391	0.2199	0.2805	0.3594	0.342	
ED-00004-00000157	4	554	0.1257	0.1633	0.2897	0.2864	
ED-00004-00000158	4	355	0.203	0.3634	0.6331	0.6409	
ED-00004-00000159	4	443	0.2574	0.4337	0.5566	0.5536	
ED-00004-00000160	4	350	0.1972	0.3068	0.2479	0.2149	
ED-00004-00000161	4	447	0.1371	0.1176	0.1302	0.1349	
ED-00004-00000162	4	389	0.2295	0.3587	0.6712	0.6891	
ED-00004-00000163	4	532	0.3295	0.3813	0.3288	0.3375	
ED-00004-00000164	4	512	0.2827	0.2405	0.372	0.3662	
ED-00004-00000165	4	883	0.329	0.4065	0.4858	0.4677	
ED-00004-00000166	4	448	0.2898	0.3137	0.3072	0.2795	
ED-00004-00000167	4	408	0.1801	0.2611	0.5658	0.5682	
ED-00004-00000168	4	405	0.2056	0.5172	0.7423	0.7258	
ED-00004-00000169	4	583	0.3064	0.3254	0.2882	0.2786	
ED-00004-00000170	4	473	0.3293	0.425	0.4531	0.4578	
ED-00004-00000171	4	384	0.2328	0.3644	0.3278	0.2576	
ED-00004-00000172	4	446	0.3272	0.3632	0.5319	0.5386	
ED-00004-00000173	4	358	0.1822	0.1987	0.334	0.3415	
ED-00004-00000174	4	420	0.1567	0.3019	0.6769	0.6931	
ED-00004-00000175	4	425	0.1852	0.2936	0.5631	0.5549	
ED-00004-00000176	4	388	0.106	0.1367	0.2541	0.2487	
ED-00004-00000177	4	903	0.1277	0.2984	0.6088	0.6249	
ED-00004-00000178	4	366	0.1659	0.282	0.5456	0.5181	
ED-00004-00000179	4	454	0.2604	0.3785	0.6424	0.6171	
ED-00004-00000180	4	392	0.2993	0.4098	0.6168	0.6147	
ED-00004-00000181	4	731	0.1585	0.2863	0.5446	0.4964	
ED-00004-00000182	4	578	0.2175	0.237	0.2361	0.2296	
ED-00004-00000183	4	440	0.2264	0.2943	0.3453	0.315	
ED-00004-00000184	4	412	0.3327	0.4752	0.3472	0.2781	
ED-00004-00000185	4	510	0.1794	0.2552	0.4749	0.4718	
ED-00004-00000186	4	417	0.1681	0.2312	0.5603	0.5374	
ED-00004-00000187	4	1207	0.1744	0.1695	0.1816	0.1442	
ED-00004-00000188	4	623	0.1993	0.2981	0.4151	0.3926	
ED-00004-00000189	4	403	0.2483	0.2944	0.2877	0.2691	
ED-00004-00000190	4	535	0.1264	0.1962	0.3885	0.3859	
ED-00004-00000191	4	858	0.13	0.177	0.1477	0.1224	
ED-00004-00000192	4	823	0.2958	0.3363	0.5174	0.526	

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000193	4	801	0.1381	0.2451	0.4741	0.4523
ED-00004-00000194	4	418	0.1716	0.2761	0.3747	0.3675
ED-00004-00000195	4	657	0.0963	0.2463	0.5805	0.6419
ED-00004-00000196	4	1814	0.1631	0.2885	0.8373	0.829
ED-00004-00000197	4	382	0.2438	0.2717	0.6105	0.6302
ED-00004-00000198	4	732	0.206	0.3005	0.5511	0.5531
ED-00004-00000199	4	525	0.2872	0.2872	0.4539	0.4433
ED-00004-00000200	4	391	0.1519	0.2018	0.2731	0.2635
ED-00009-00000001	9	146	7.1057	7.5177	7.4381	7.4363
ED-00009-00000002	7	153	3.3233	3.8891	3.4936	3.3221
ED-00014-00000001	10	5000	6.4856	6.6562	7.2392	7.1185
ED-00015-00000048	10	4	13.3683	14.048	24.6566	22.4856
ED-00024-00000001	4	795	0.0487	0.0645	0.0586	0.0453
ED-00024-00000002	4	794	0.048	0.0803	0.0589	0.0428
ED-00024-00000003	4	800	0.0502	0.0966	0.0857	0.0496
ED-00024-00000004	4	794	0.044	0.0818	0.0745	0.0521
ED-00025-00000001	4	793	0.0326	0.0443	0.043	0.0359
ED-00025-00000002	4	795	0.0518	0.0678	0.0693	0.0712
ED-00025-00000003	4	795	0.0426	0.0953	0.0735	0.0402
ED-00025-00000004	4	797	0.0484	0.0623	0.0688	0.0552
ED-00032-00000002	6	15	3.9403	4.3213	5.0529	4.9025

D. Comparison of the CNS and GCNS models using a 50/50 split for training and testing

Table 5: The KL divergence between the estimated and the empirical distributions for the CNS and the GCNS models on 213 real-world data sets using a 50/50 split for training and testing.

ID	K	# Rankings	CNS _I	CNS _Q	GCNS _I	GCNS _Q
ED-00004-00000001	3	664	0.1442	0.1657	0.0144	0.0306
ED-00004-00000002	3	1591	0.1258	0.1535	0.0789	0.0807
ED-00004-00000003	3	533	0.0159	0.2006	0.0187	0.2282
ED-00004-00000004	3	1143	0.0203	0.0398	0.0201	0.0141
ED-00004-00000005	3	448	0.0808	0.1193	0.0547	0.0459
ED-00004-00000006	3	940	0.0655	0.11	0.0103	0.0108
ED-00004-00000007	3	1860	0.0588	0.0953	0.0206	0.0422
ED-00004-00000008	3	1045	0.0707	0.0897	0.0488	0.0799
ED-00004-00000009	3	595	0.1438	0.2085	0.0203	0.0315
ED-00004-00000010	3	1394	0.012	0.0753	0.008	0.073
ED-00004-00000011	3	697	0.115	0.1432	0.0948	0.0608
ED-00004-00000012	3	529	0.0292	0.063	0.0288	0.0457
ED-00004-00000013	3	617	0.0425	0.1019	0.0194	0.0501
ED-00004-00000014	3	379	0.0589	0.1654	0.0254	0.0509
ED-00004-00000015	3	1022	0.1694	0.2805	0.0192	0.1187
ED-00004-00000016	3	3705	0.1119	0.1967	0.0044	0.0085
ED-00004-00000017	3	1215	0.0387	0.0993	0.0146	0.0089
ED-00004-00000018	3	842	0.0155	0.0251	0.0106	0.0203
ED-00004-00000019	3	2769	0.0438	0.0944	0.0261	0.0128
ED-00004-00000020	3	808	0.089	0.1098	0.091	0.0509
ED-00004-00000021	3	716	0.0116	0.0139	0.0114	0.0188
ED-00004-00000022	3	360	0.0995	0.1407	0.0764	0.125

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000023	3	542	0.0407	0.2248	0.044	0.2528	
ED-00004-00000024	3	2641	0.0205	0.0579	0.0166	0.0236	
ED-00004-00000025	3	407	0.0366	0.0934	0.026	0.0233	
ED-00004-00000026	3	737	0.0153	0.0326	0.0172	0.0338	
ED-00004-00000027	3	727	0.0852	0.148	0.0182	0.0123	
ED-00004-00000028	3	423	0.0254	0.0712	0.0244	0.0216	
ED-00004-00000029	3	375	0.0238	0.0293	0.0308	0.0362	
ED-00004-00000030	3	352	0.0499	0.0736	0.0295	0.0543	
ED-00004-00000031	3	474	0.0638	0.0711	0.0278	0.0368	
ED-00004-00000032	3	351	0.0197	0.0201	0.0238	0.0244	
ED-00004-00000033	3	416	0.0165	0.0174	0.0152	0.0187	
ED-00004-00000034	3	1083	0.0412	0.0862	0.018	0.0194	
ED-00004-00000035	3	732	0.0823	0.1587	0.0442	0.0345	
ED-00004-00000036	3	467	0.0755	0.1034	0.0397	0.0321	
ED-00004-00000037	3	501	0.0713	0.0734	0.069	0.0727	
ED-00004-00000038	3	833	0.0419	0.2138	0.0403	0.2387	
ED-00004-00000039	3	994	0.0542	0.0715	0.015	0.0325	
ED-00004-00000040	3	2310	0.0303	0.0271	0.0076	0.0039	
ED-00004-00000041	3	806	0.0143	0.0517	0.0146	0.0556	
ED-00004-00000042	3	369	0.0271	0.0322	0.0252	0.0296	
ED-00004-00000043	3	10347	0.1501	0.2376	0.002	0.0075	
ED-00004-00000044	3	417	0.0346	0.0754	0.0227	0.0287	
ED-00004-00000045	3	578	0.0963	0.1218	0.0482	0.0355	
ED-00004-00000046	3	427	0.0615	0.2418	0.059	0.2612	
ED-00004-00000047	3	1034	0.0872	0.1314	0.0193	0.0637	
ED-00004-00000048	3	496	0.0389	0.0553	0.0226	0.0185	
ED-00004-00000049	3	1377	0.0471	0.1503	0.0112	0.1336	
ED-00004-00000050	3	391	0.0285	0.0612	0.0331	0.0177	
ED-00004-00000051	3	453	0.2027	0.2548	0.1031	0.0708	
ED-00004-00000052	3	2840	0.0683	0.0811	0.0124	0.0203	
ED-00004-00000053	3	871	0.0689	0.0897	0.0123	0.0826	
ED-00004-00000054	3	815	0.0369	0.0448	0.0162	0.0226	
ED-00004-00000055	3	622	0.1043	0.1604	0.0187	0.0171	
ED-00004-00000056	3	14081	0.1151	0.0365	0.0466	0.0154	
ED-00004-00000057	3	998	0.0203	0.0267	0.0106	0.0104	
ED-00004-00000058	3	367	0.0508	0.0655	0.0209	0.0307	
ED-00004-00000059	3	2704	0.0074	0.0148	0.0067	0.0089	
ED-00004-00000060	3	440	0.0326	0.0265	0.0318	0.0258	
ED-00004-00000061	3	405	0.0624	0.0786	0.0618	0.0438	
ED-00004-00000062	3	1117	0.037	0.0745	0.0184	0.0072	
ED-00004-00000063	3	490	0.1434	0.2497	0.0305	0.1397	
ED-00004-00000064	3	547	0.0445	0.0501	0.0302	0.0396	
ED-00004-00000065	3	368	0.0638	0.1321	0.0325	0.0398	
ED-00004-00000066	3	382	0.0443	0.06	0.03	0.0298	
ED-00004-00000067	3	417	0.0957	0.1616	0.0237	0.0195	
ED-00004-00000068	3	1021	0.0755	0.0987	0.0288	0.0466	
ED-00004-00000069	3	445	0.0892	0.1072	0.0221	0.0404	
ED-00004-00000070	3	563	0.0563	0.1104	0.0134	0.0167	
ED-00004-00000071	3	1538	0.015	0.0669	0.0095	0.0101	
ED-00004-00000072	3	1008	0.0563	0.0722	0.0478	0.0436	
ED-00004-00000073	3	397	0.0272	0.0345	0.0238	0.0274	
ED-00004-00000074	3	963	0.0872	0.1639	0.0099	0.0075	
ED-00004-00000075	3	779	0.0243	0.0396	0.0117	0.0285	
ED-00004-00000076	3	751	0.0608	0.1211	0.0095	0.0116	

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000077	3	363	0.0417	0.0392	0.0436	0.0432	
ED-00004-00000078	3	955	0.0525	0.0538	0.0543	0.0501	
ED-00004-00000079	3	443	0.1341	0.2274	0.0262	0.0427	
ED-00004-00000080	3	996	0.0709	0.1126	0.0231	0.0399	
ED-00004-00000081	3	1688	0.0287	0.0561	0.0178	0.0238	
ED-00004-00000082	3	751	0.0643	0.1069	0.0458	0.1018	
ED-00004-00000083	3	460	0.0226	0.0334	0.021	0.0188	
ED-00004-00000084	3	538	0.0418	0.0887	0.0226	0.0358	
ED-00004-00000085	3	860	0.226	0.2304	0.1212	0.1009	
ED-00004-00000086	3	426	0.0598	0.155	0.0217	0.0397	
ED-00004-00000087	3	554	0.0557	0.0698	0.0387	0.0428	
ED-00004-00000088	3	982	0.0829	0.1734	0.0171	0.0164	
ED-00004-00000089	3	1063	0.113	0.1706	0.0128	0.071	
ED-00004-00000090	3	506	0.0478	0.055	0.037	0.0456	
ED-00004-00000091	3	2708	0.0759	0.0796	0.0703	0.0584	
ED-00004-00000092	3	1631	0.0333	0.0472	0.0068	0.0071	
ED-00004-00000093	3	2415	0.045	0.0751	0.0188	0.025	
ED-00004-00000094	3	713	0.0209	0.1043	0.0236	0.123	
ED-00004-00000095	3	1074	0.0151	0.0353	0.0135	0.0127	
ED-00004-00000096	3	371	0.0418	0.0538	0.037	0.0511	
ED-00004-00000097	3	1216	0.1075	0.1137	0.0303	0.0571	
ED-00004-00000098	3	695	0.0746	0.1006	0.0261	0.0402	
ED-00004-00000099	3	383	0.1112	0.1803	0.0543	0.0263	
ED-00004-00000100	3	440	0.0306	0.1048	0.0196	0.055	
ED-00004-00000101	4	1256	0.3104	0.404	0.2166	0.2737	
ED-00004-00000102	4	382	0.2872	0.4779	0.1958	0.3537	
ED-00004-00000103	4	411	0.2225	0.2712	0.1513	0.198	
ED-00004-00000104	4	625	0.1258	0.221	0.0702	0.1455	
ED-00004-00000105	4	362	0.2269	0.3502	0.1134	0.1437	
ED-00004-00000106	4	718	0.2463	0.3229	0.0874	0.1095	
ED-00004-00000107	4	494	0.2791	0.3246	0.1363	0.1846	
ED-00004-00000108	4	384	0.1842	0.28	0.1784	0.2635	
ED-00004-00000109	4	419	0.2131	0.2119	0.1205	0.1133	
ED-00004-00000110	4	431	0.1466	0.2311	0.1034	0.1635	
ED-00004-00000111	4	390	0.1776	0.3386	0.1261	0.2616	
ED-00004-00000112	4	473	0.1659	0.3114	0.159	0.25	
ED-00004-00000113	4	422	0.1593	0.2699	0.1009	0.1286	
ED-00004-00000114	4	494	0.1111	0.171	0.0892	0.1261	
ED-00004-00000115	4	362	0.2755	0.4793	0.2125	0.4021	
ED-00004-00000116	4	387	0.2597	0.352	0.0915	0.1826	
ED-00004-00000117	4	518	0.1019	0.1529	0.1021	0.1142	
ED-00004-00000118	4	1187	0.1276	0.1752	0.0352	0.0849	
ED-00004-00000119	4	389	0.4282	0.4379	0.1722	0.1967	
ED-00004-00000120	4	674	0.1934	0.2853	0.1313	0.1701	
ED-00004-00000121	4	472	0.0946	0.165	0.092	0.1312	
ED-00004-00000122	4	529	0.1862	0.256	0.0657	0.1095	
ED-00004-00000123	4	376	0.2408	0.2838	0.1724	0.1789	
ED-00004-00000124	4	506	0.1948	0.3303	0.0744	0.1768	
ED-00004-00000125	4	440	0.1277	0.2227	0.0953	0.1255	
ED-00004-00000126	4	379	0.2106	0.4289	0.0796	0.1748	
ED-00004-00000127	4	379	0.1536	0.1916	0.1312	0.1517	
ED-00004-00000128	4	643	0.0759	0.2514	0.0737	0.2042	
ED-00004-00000129	4	369	0.257	0.3929	0.1913	0.2371	
ED-00004-00000130	4	412	0.1846	0.2169	0.1135	0.1755	

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000131	4	420	0.0849	0.1391	0.0953	0.1232	
ED-00004-00000132	4	363	0.1232	0.1333	0.1204	0.1334	
ED-00004-00000133	4	525	0.2158	0.2422	0.1431	0.1736	
ED-00004-00000134	4	357	0.1984	0.2587	0.1242	0.1752	
ED-00004-00000135	4	447	0.1832	0.4834	0.1081	0.4173	
ED-00004-00000136	4	403	0.1599	0.223	0.0942	0.1315	
ED-00004-00000137	4	373	0.1131	0.1504	0.1157	0.1232	
ED-00004-00000138	4	588	0.0896	0.1353	0.0573	0.0819	
ED-00004-00000139	4	525	0.1432	0.3373	0.069	0.1054	
ED-00004-00000140	4	352	0.1776	0.1797	0.1853	0.1763	
ED-00004-00000141	4	378	0.2228	0.263	0.1717	0.1618	
ED-00004-00000142	4	803	0.136	0.206	0.0708	0.1283	
ED-00004-00000143	4	362	0.2418	0.293	0.1104	0.2097	
ED-00004-00000144	4	395	0.1584	0.1828	0.1074	0.1182	
ED-00004-00000145	4	486	0.1805	0.2671	0.1243	0.1622	
ED-00004-00000146	4	449	0.2481	0.2679	0.1916	0.2144	
ED-00004-00000147	4	400	0.0957	0.1426	0.0722	0.0893	
ED-00004-00000148	4	485	0.2476	0.3316	0.1033	0.1852	
ED-00004-00000149	4	430	0.1888	0.195	0.1462	0.1327	
ED-00004-00000150	4	408	0.2952	0.511	0.0736	0.2728	
ED-00004-00000151	4	394	0.2004	0.2658	0.1977	0.2349	
ED-00004-00000152	4	712	0.2016	0.2179	0.1026	0.1226	
ED-00004-00000153	4	380	0.1536	0.3003	0.1002	0.2737	
ED-00004-00000154	4	547	0.1388	0.2958	0.0833	0.1483	
ED-00004-00000155	4	436	0.1546	0.224	0.122	0.1748	
ED-00004-00000156	4	391	0.2199	0.2805	0.1566	0.2069	
ED-00004-00000157	4	554	0.1257	0.1633	0.1282	0.1459	
ED-00004-00000158	4	355	0.203	0.3634	0.1725	0.3992	
ED-00004-00000159	4	443	0.2574	0.4337	0.1263	0.3091	
ED-00004-00000160	4	350	0.1972	0.3068	0.1693	0.1487	
ED-00004-00000161	4	447	0.1371	0.1176	0.1415	0.1201	
ED-00004-00000162	4	389	0.2295	0.3587	0.1136	0.155	
ED-00004-00000163	4	532	0.3295	0.3813	0.1563	0.2073	
ED-00004-00000164	4	512	0.2827	0.2405	0.17	0.1942	
ED-00004-00000165	4	883	0.329	0.4065	0.2223	0.3305	
ED-00004-00000166	4	448	0.2898	0.3137	0.2235	0.2574	
ED-00004-00000167	4	408	0.1801	0.2611	0.1132	0.1946	
ED-00004-00000168	4	405	0.2056	0.5172	0.1095	0.3887	
ED-00004-00000169	4	583	0.3064	0.3254	0.2929	0.3237	
ED-00004-00000170	4	473	0.3293	0.425	0.1408	0.2754	
ED-00004-00000171	4	384	0.2328	0.3644	0.1611	0.2081	
ED-00004-00000172	4	446	0.3272	0.3632	0.2542	0.3039	
ED-00004-00000173	4	358	0.1822	0.1987	0.1665	0.1886	
ED-00004-00000174	4	420	0.1567	0.3019	0.1392	0.2617	
ED-00004-00000175	4	425	0.1852	0.2936	0.132	0.244	
ED-00004-00000176	4	388	0.106	0.1367	0.0756	0.1195	
ED-00004-00000177	4	903	0.1277	0.2984	0.0671	0.1689	
ED-00004-00000178	4	366	0.1659	0.282	0.0994	0.2227	
ED-00004-00000179	4	454	0.2604	0.3785	0.0979	0.1505	
ED-00004-00000180	4	392	0.2993	0.4098	0.2173	0.3128	
ED-00004-00000181	4	731	0.1585	0.2863	0.0575	0.0847	
ED-00004-00000182	4	578	0.2175	0.237	0.1207	0.1011	
ED-00004-00000183	4	440	0.2264	0.2943	0.1842	0.2144	
ED-00004-00000184	4	412	0.3327	0.4752	0.1255	0.1468	

Ranking Distributions based on Noisy Sorting

ED-00004-00000185	4	510	0.1794	0.2552	0.098	0.1967	
ED-00004-00000186	4	417	0.1681	0.2312	0.0919	0.1084	
ED-00004-00000187	4	1207	0.1744	0.1695	0.1507	0.1259	
ED-00004-00000188	4	623	0.1993	0.2981	0.1032	0.1428	
ED-00004-00000189	4	403	0.2483	0.2944	0.2104	0.2602	
ED-00004-00000190	4	535	0.1264	0.1962	0.0822	0.1405	
ED-00004-00000191	4	858	0.13	0.177	0.1056	0.1218	
ED-00004-00000192	4	823	0.2958	0.3363	0.1696	0.2456	
ED-00004-00000193	4	801	0.1381	0.2451	0.0762	0.1259	
ED-00004-00000194	4	418	0.1716	0.2761	0.1302	0.2136	
ED-00004-00000195	4	657	0.0963	0.2463	0.1038	0.2149	
ED-00004-00000196	4	1814	0.1631	0.2885	0.0556	0.1752	
ED-00004-00000197	4	382	0.2438	0.2717	0.1878	0.274	
ED-00004-00000198	4	732	0.206	0.3005	0.1165	0.2856	
ED-00004-00000199	4	525	0.2872	0.2872	0.1868	0.2355	
ED-00004-00000200	4	391	0.1519	0.2018	0.1336	0.191	
ED-00009-00000001	9	146	7.1057	7.5177	5.703	7.2042	
ED-00009-00000002	7	153	3.3233	3.8891	2.048	2.894	
ED-00014-00000001	10	5000	6.4856	6.6562	6.0803	6.4193	
ED-00015-00000048	10	4	13.3683	14.048	35.3826	37.7856	
ED-00024-00000001	4	795	0.0487	0.0645	0.0519	0.059	
ED-00024-00000002	4	794	0.048	0.0803	0.0486	0.0552	
ED-00024-00000003	4	800	0.0502	0.0966	0.0458	0.0568	
ED-00024-00000004	4	794	0.044	0.0818	0.0497	0.0491	
ED-00025-00000001	4	793	0.0326	0.0443	0.0344	0.039	
ED-00025-00000002	4	795	0.0518	0.0678	0.056	0.0588	
ED-00025-00000003	4	795	0.0426	0.0953	0.0354	0.0424	
ED-00025-00000004	4	797	0.0484	0.0623	0.0476	0.0455	
ED-00032-00000002	6	15	3.9403	4.3213	4.3297	5.3402	